# Survey of Juvenile Salmon and Associated Epipelagic Ichthyofauna in the Marine Waters of Southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004 

by
Joseph A. Orsi, Emily A. Fergusson, Molly V. Sturdevant, Bruce L. Wing, Alex C. Wertheimer, and William R. Heard

Auke Bay Laboratory, Alaska Fisheries Science Center National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration<br>United States Department of Commerce<br>11305 Glacier Highway<br>Juneau, AK 99801-8626 USA<br>submitted to the<br>\title{ North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission }

by
the United States of America

September 2005

## THIS PAPER MAY BE CITED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

Orsi, J. A., E. A. Fergusson, M. V. Sturdevant, B. L. Wing, A. C. Wertheimer, and W. R. Heard. 2005. Survey of juvenile salmon and associated epipelagic ichthyofauna in the marine waters of southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004. (NPAFC Doc. 871) Auke Bay Lab., Alaska Fish. Sci. Cen., Nat. Mar. Fish. Serv., NOAA, 11305 Glacier Highway, Juneau, AK 99801-8626, USA, 61 p.

# Survey of Juvenile Salmon and Associated Epipelagic Ichthyofauna in the Marine Waters of Southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004 


#### Abstract

Juvenile Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.), ecologically-related species, and associated biophysical data were collected along a primary marine migration corridor in the northern region of southeastern Alaska. Thirteen stations were sampled over six time periods (31 sampling days) from May to August 2004. This survey marks the eighth consecutive year of systematic monitoring on how juvenile salmon interact in marine ecosystems, and was implemented to identify the relationships among biophysical parameters that influence the habitat use, marine growth, predation, stock interactions, and year-class strength of salmon. Habitats sampled included stations in inshore (Auke Bay and Taku Inlet), strait (four stations each in Chatham Strait and Icy Strait), and coastal (four stations off Icy Point) localities. At each station, fish, zooplankton, surface water samples, and physical profile data were collected using a surface rope trawl, conical and bongo nets, water sampler, and a conductivity-temperature-depth profiler, usually during daylight. Surface ( $3-\mathrm{m}$ ) temperatures and salinities ranged from 6.9 to $17.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 9.5 to 31.6 PSU from May to August. A total of 13,460 fish and squid, representing 29 taxa, were captured in 75 rope trawl hauls from June to August. Juvenile salmon comprised $48 \%$ of the total catch and occurred frequently in the trawl hauls, with pink (O. gorbuscha) occurring in $75 \%$ of the trawls, sockeye (O. nerka) in $73 \%$, chum (O. keta) in $72 \%$, coho (O. kisutch) in $51 \%$, and chinook salmon ( $O$. tshawytscha) in $19 \%$. Of the 6,552 salmonids caught, over $99 \%$ were juveniles. Walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma) and Pacific herring (Clupea pallasi) were the only non-salmonid species that comprised more than $1 \%$ of the total catch. Temporal and spatial differences were observed in the catch rates, size, condition, and stock of origin of juvenile salmon species. Catch rates of juvenile salmon were generally highest in June for all species except coho that had catch rates highest in August. Between habitat types, juvenile salmon catch rates were almost always highest in the strait habitat for each species and in each time period. Size of juvenile salmon increased steadily throughout the season; mean fork lengths in June, July, and August were, respectively: 98, 129, and 163 mm for pink; 104, 139, and 166 mm for chum; 111, 137, and 165 mm for sockeye; 170,203 , and 246 mm for coho; and 199,228 , and 279 for chinook salmon. Coded-wire tags were recovered from 14 juvenile coho, three juvenile and six immature chinook salmon; all but one were from hatchery and wild stocks of southeastern Alaska origin. The non-Alaska stock was a juvenile chinook originating from Oregon. Alaska hatchery stocks were also identified by thermal otolith marks from 74\% of the chum, $18 \%$ of the sockeye, $9 \%$ of the coho, and $45 \%$ of the chinook salmon. Onboard stomach analysis of 199 potential predators, representing 10 species, revealed four predation instances on juvenile salmon: three by adult coho salmon and one by an immature chinook salmon. This research suggests that in southeastern Alaska, juvenile salmon exhibit seasonal patterns of habitat use synchronous with environmental change, and display species- and stock-dependent migration patterns. Long-term monitoring of key stocks of juvenile salmon, on both intra- and interannual bases, will enable researchers to understand how growth, abundance, and ecological interactions affect year-class strength and to better understand the role salmon play in North Pacific marine ecosystems.


## Introduction

The Southeast Coastal Monitoring Project (SECM), a coastal monitoring study in the northern region of southeastern Alaska, was initiated in 1997 to annually study the early marine ecology of Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.) and associated epipelagic icthyofauna to better understand effects of environmental change on salmon production. Salmon are a keystone species that constitute important ecological links between marine and terrestrial habitats, and therefore play a significant, yet poorly understood, role in marine ecosystems. Fluctuations in the survival of this important living marine resource have broad ecological and socio-economic implications for coastal localities throughout the Pacific Rim. Increasing evidence for relationships between production of Pacific salmon and shifts in climate conditions has renewed interest in processes governing salmon year-class strength (Beamish 1995). In particular, climate variation has been associated with ocean production of salmon during El Niño and La Niña events, such as the recent warming trends that benefited many wild and hatchery stocks of Alaskan salmon (Wertheimer et al. 2001). However, research is lacking in areas such as the links between salmon production and climate variability, between intra- and interspecific competition and carrying capacity, and between stock composition and biological interactions. Past research has not provided adequate time-series data to explain such links (Pearcy 1997). Because the numbers of salmonids produced in the region have increased over the last few decades (Wertheimer et al. 2001), mixing between stocks with different life history characteristics has also increased. The consequences of such changes on the growth, survival, distribution, and migratory rates of salmonids remain unknown.

One SECM goal is to identify mechanisms linking salmon production to climate change using a time series of synoptic data that combines stock-specific life history characteristics of salmon and their ocean conditions. Until recently, stock-specific information relied on laborintensive methods of marking individual fish, such as coded-wire tagging (CWT; Jefferts et al. 1963), which could not practically be applied to all of the fish released by enhancement facilities. However, mass-marking with thermally induced otolith marks (Hagen and Munk 1994) is a technological advance implemented in many parts of Alaska. The high incidence of these marking programs in southeastern Alaska (Courtney et al. 2000) offers an opportunity to examine growth, survival, and migratory rates of specific salmon stocks during high levels regional hatchery production of chum salmon (O. keta) and historically high returns of wild pink salmon ( O. gorbuscha). For example, in recent years, two private non-profit enhancement facilities in the northern region of southeastern Alaska annually produced more than 150 million otolith-marked juvenile chum salmon. Consequently, since the mid-1990s, commercial harvests of adult chum salmon in the common property fishery in the region have averaged about 12.5 million fish annually (ADFG 2003), including a high proportion of otolith-marked fish from regional enhancement facilities. In addition, sockeye salmon ( $O$. nerka), coho salmon ( $O$. kisutch), and chinook salmon ( $O$. tshawytscha) are otolith-marked by some enhancement facilities. Therefore, examining the early marine ecology of these marked stocks provides an opportunity to study stock-specific abundance, distribution, and species interactions of juvenile salmon that will later recruit to the fishery.

Increased hatchery production of juvenile salmon in southeastern Alaska has raised concern over potential hatchery and wild stock interactions during their early marine residence. A recent study using a bioenergetics approach and SECM data from Icy Strait concluded that hatchery and wild stocks consumed only a small percentage of the available zooplankton (Orsi et
al. 2004); this study also suggested that abundant vertically migrating planktivores have a greater impact on the zooplankton standing stock than hatchery stock groups of chum salmon. These findings stress the importance of examining the entire epipelagic community of ichthyofauna in the context of trophic interactions

This document summarizes catches of juvenile salmon, ecologically-related species, and the associated biophysical data collected by SECM scientists in 2004.

## Methods

Up to 13 stations were sampled in each of six time periods, as conditions permitted, by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) ship John N. Cobb, a 29-m long research vessel with a main engine of 325 hp and a cruising speed of 10 knots, from May to August 2004 (Table 1). Stations were located along the primary seaward migration corridor used by juvenile salmon that originate in the northern region of southeastern Alaska. This corridor extends 250 km from inshore waters, within the Alexander Archipelago, along Chatham Strait, Icy Strait, and off Icy Point into the Gulf of Alaska (Figure 1). At each station, the physical environment, zooplankton, and fish were typically sampled during daylight hours (0655-1920); however, additional sampling during nocturnal hours (0030-0115) was conducted at the Icy Strait stations with 13 two-boat trawl hauls and three rope trawl hauls (Appendix 1).

The selection of the 13 core sampling stations was determined by 1 ) the presence of historical time series of biophysical data in the region, 2) the objective of sampling habitats that transition the primary seaward migration corridor used by juvenile salmon, and 3) the operational constraints of the vessel. The inshore stations (Auke Bay Monitor and Taku Inlet) and the four Icy Strait stations were selected initially because historical data exist for them (Bruce et al. 1977; Jaenicke and Celewycz 1994; Landingham et al. 1998; Murphy and Orsi 1999; Murphy et al. 1999; Orsi et al. 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000a and 2000b, 2001a, 2001b, 2002, 2003, 2004). The Chatham Strait stations were selected to intercept juvenile otolith-marked salmon entering Icy Strait from both the south (i.e., Hidden Falls Hatchery (HF), operated by Northern Southeast Alaska Regional Aquaculture Association (NSRAA)), and from the north (i.e., Douglas Island Pink and Chum Hatchery (DIPAC) facilities) (Figure 1). The Icy Point stations were selected to monitor conditions in the coastal habitat of the Gulf of Alaska. Vessel and sampling gear constraints limited operations to offshore distances between 1.5 km and 65 km , and to bottom depths greater than 75 m ; this precluded trawling at the Auke Bay Monitor station (Table 1). Sea conditions of waves less than 2.5 m and winds less than $12.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ were usually necessary to operate the sampling gear safely, which particularly influenced sampling opportunities in coastal waters.

## Oceanographic sampling

Oceanographic data were collected at each station immediately before or after each trawl haul, and consisted of one conductivity-temperature-depth profiler (CTD) cast, one or more vertical plankton hauls with conical nets, and one or more double oblique plankton haul with a bongo net system. The CTD data were collected with a Sea-Bird ${ }^{1}$ SBE 19 Seacat profiler to 200

[^0]m or within 10 m of the bottom. Surface ( 3 m ) temperature and salinity data were collected at 1minute intervals with an onboard thermosalinograph (Sea-Bird SBE 21). Surface water samples were taken at each station for later nutrient and chlorophyll analysis contracted to the Marine Chemistry Laboratory at the University of Washington School of Oceanography. To quantify ambient light levels, light intensities ( $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) were recorded at each station with a Li-Cor Model 189 radiometer.

Zooplankton was sampled at all stations with several net types during each month. At least one shallow vertical haul ( 20 m ) was made at each station with a $50-\mathrm{cm}, 243-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh NORPAC net. Up to one deep vertical haul (to 200 m or within 10 m of bottom) was made at most stations with a $57-\mathrm{cm}, 202-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh WP-2 net (Table 2). One double oblique bongo haul was made at all stations, except the Upper Chatham Strait stations, to a depth of 200 m or within 20 m of the bottom, using a $60-\mathrm{cm}$ diameter tandem frame with $505-\mu \mathrm{m}$ and $333-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh nets. A Bendix bathykymograph was used with the oblique bongo hauls to record the maximum sampling depth of each haul. General Oceanics model 2031 or Rigosha flow meters were placed inside the bongo and deep conical nets for calculation of filtered water volumes.

Zooplankton samples were preserved in a $5 \%$ formalin-seawater solution. In the laboratory, zooplankton settled volumes (SV, ml) and total settled volumes (TSV, ml) of each $20-\mathrm{m}$ vertical haul were measured after settling the samples for a $24-\mathrm{hr}$ period in Imhof cones. Mean SVs were determined for pooled stations by habitat and month. Displacement volumes (DV, ml) of zooplankton were measured for bongo net samples ( $333-\mu \mathrm{m}$ and $505-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh) collected in Icy Strait. Samples were brought to a constant volume ( 500 ml ) by adding water, and then were sieved through $243-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh to separate the zooplankton from the liquid. The volume of decanted liquid was measured and subtracted from the sample starting volume to yield zooplankton DV. Standing stock of shallow ( 20 m ) and deep ( $\leq 200 \mathrm{~m}$ ) bongo samples was calculated using DV ( ml ) divided by the volume of water filtered $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ based on flowmeter revolutions per haul. Detailed zooplankton species composition of these hauls was determined microscopically from subsamples obtained using a Folsom splitter. Density was then estimated by multiplying the count in the subsample by the split fraction and dividing the expanded count by the volume filtered. Percent total composition was summarized by major taxa, including small calanoid copepods ( $\leq 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ TL), large calanoid copepods ( $>2.5 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{TL}$ ), euphausiids (principally larval and juvenile stages), oikopleurans (Larvacea), decapod larvae, amphipods, chaetognaths, and combined minor taxa. Laboratory processing is ongoing.

## Fish sampling

Fish sampling was accomplished with a Nordic 264 rope trawl modified to fish the surface water directly astern of the John N. Cobb. The trawl was 184 m long and had a mouth opening of 24 m by 30 m (depth by width). A pair of 3-m foam-filled Lite trawl doors, each weighing 544 kg ( 91 kg submerged), was used to spread the trawl open. Earlier gear trials with this vessel and trawl indicated the actual fishing dimensions of the trawl to be 18 m deep (head rope to foot rope) by 24 m wide (wingtip to wingtip), with a spread between the trawl doors ranging from 52 m to 60 m (Orsi et al., unpubl. cruise report 1996). Trawl mesh sizes from the jib lines aft to the cod end were $162.6 \mathrm{~cm}, 81.3 \mathrm{~cm}, 40.6 \mathrm{~cm}, 20.3 \mathrm{~cm}, 12.7 \mathrm{~cm}$, and 10.1 cm over the $129.6-\mathrm{m}$ meshed length of the rope trawl. A $6.1-\mathrm{m}$ long, $0.8-\mathrm{cm}$ knotless liner mesh was sewn into the cod end. The trawl also contained a small mesh panel of $10.2-\mathrm{cm}$ mesh sewn along the jib lines on the top panel between the head rope and the $162.6-\mathrm{cm}$ mesh to reduce loss of
small fish. To keep the trawl headrope at the surface, a cluster of three A-4 Polyform buoys, each encased in a knotted mesh bag, was tethered to each wingtip of the headrope, and one A-3 Polyform float was clipped onto the center of the headrope. The trawl was fished with 137 m of $1.6-\mathrm{cm}$ wire main warp attached to each door and three $55-\mathrm{m}$ (two $1.0-\mathrm{cm}$ and one $1.3-\mathrm{cm}$ ) wire bridles.

For each haul, the trawl was fished across a station for 20 min at about $1.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}(3$ knots), covering approximately 1.9 km (1.0 nautical mile). Station coordinates were targeted as the midpoint of the trawl haul; however, current, swell, and wind conditions dictated the direction in which the trawl was set. Trawling effort in the strait habitat was augmented to ensure that sufficient samples of marked juvenile salmon were obtained for interannual comparisons. In particular, replicate trawls were conducted in Icy Strait when weather and time allowed, with minimal accompanying oceanographic sampling.

After each trawl haul, the fish were anesthetized with tricaine methanesulfonate (MS222), identified, enumerated, measured, labeled, bagged, and frozen. After the catch was sorted, fish and squid were measured to the nearest mm fork length (FL) or mantle length with a Limnoterra FMB IV electronic measuring board (Chaput et al. 1992). Usually all fish and squid were measured, but very large catches were subsampled due to processing time constraints. Up to 50 juvenile salmon of each species were bagged individually; the remainder was bagged in bulk. All fish were frozen immediately after measurement. During times of extended processing, fish were chilled with ice packs to minimize tissue decomposition and gastric activity. All chinook and coho salmon were examined for missing adipose fins that would indicate the possible presence of implanted CWTs; those with adipose fins intact were again screened with a detector in the laboratory. The snouts of these fish were dissected in the laboratory to recover CWTs, which were then decoded and verified to determine fish origin.

Frozen individual juvenile salmon were weighed in the laboratory to the nearest 0.1 gram (g). Mean lengths, weights, and Fulton condition factors ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3} \cdot 10^{5}$; Cone 1989) were computed for each species by habitat and sampling interval. To identify stock of origin of juvenile chum, sockeye, coho, and chinook salmon, the sagittal otoliths were extracted from the crania and preserved in $95 \%$ ethyl alcohol. Laboratory processing of otoliths for thermal marks was contracted to DIPAC. Otoliths were prepared for microscopic examination of potential thermal marks by mounting them on slides and grinding them down to the primordia (Secor et al. 1992). Ambiguous otolith thermal marks were verified by personnel at the Alaska Department of Fish and Game otolith laboratory. Stock composition and growth trajectories of thermally marked fish were then determined for each month and habitat.

Potential predators of juvenile salmon from each haul were identified, measured, and weighed onboard the vessel. Their stomachs were excised, weighed, and classified by percent fullness (nearest $10 \%$ ). Stomach contents were removed, empty stomachs weighed, and total content weight determined by subtraction. General prey composition was determined by estimating contribution of major taxa to the nearest $10 \%$ of total volume. The wet-weight contribution of each prey taxon to the diets was then calculated by multiplying its percent volume by the total content weight. Fish prey was identified to species, if possible, and lengths were estimated. The incidence of predation on juvenile salmon was computed for each potential predator species. Overall diets were summarized by percent weight of major prey taxa and the frequency of feeding fish.

## Results and Discussion

During the 4 -month (31-d) survey in 2004, data were collected from 78 rope trawl hauls ( 75 day and 3 night), 13 two-boat trawl hauls (all night), 93 CTD casts, 132 bongo net samples ( 26 from $20-\mathrm{m}$ depths and 106 from depths to 200 m ), 136 conical net hauls ( 100 from $20-\mathrm{m}$ depths and 36 from depths to 200 m ), and 58 surface water samples (Table 2). The sampling periods occurred near the ends of each month from May to August. Oceanographic sampling was completed at all stations from May to August. Rope trawling occurred in strait and coastal localities from June to August, and in inshore localities at the Taku Inlet stations in May and June.

## Oceanography

Surface (3-m) temperature and salinity data followed similar seasonal patterns but differed between habitats. Overall, surface temperatures and salinities during the survey ranged from 6.9 to $17.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and from 9.5 to 31.6 PSU from May to August (Table 3). In general, inshore habitat was the least saline, while coastal habitat was warmest and most saline. In all habitats temperatures generally increased from May to August (Figure 2a). Salinities were consistently high and stable in the coastal habitat from May to July and were lowest in inshore habitat in July (Figure 2b). Salinities in the inshore and strait habitats declined from May to July, then increased in August.

A total of 58 surface water samples were taken at 16 stations over the course of the season (Tables 2 and 4). Nutrient concentration ranges and means were $0.1-1.4$ and $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{PO}_{4}, 0.5-53.2$ and $10.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}, 0.0-12.6$ and $1.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{NO}_{3}, 0.0-0.3$ and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, and $0.2-4.8$ and $1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{NH}_{4}$. Chlorophyll ranged from 0.0 to $18.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ with a mean of $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and phaeopigment concentrations ranged from 0.0 to $5.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ with a mean of $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (Table 4).

Ambient light intensities for 67 daylight $(0700-1930 \mathrm{~h})$ rope trawls over the season ranged from 3.2 to $880 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, with a mean of $67.6 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, while ambient light during for 3 nocturnal rope trawls ( $0030-0115 \mathrm{~h}$ ) ranged from 0 to $0.1 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, with a mean of $\sim 0.05 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Ninety two water clarity measurements were made by observing the disappearance of the CTD following deployment. Depth of visibilities ranged from 0.5 to 11 m , with a mean of 4.5 m .

Seasonal patterns in plankton settled volumes, SV, were evident from the 20-m NORPAC ( $243-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh) vertical hauls, although SV was highly variable among habitats (Table 5; Figure 2c). Qualitative, visual examination of samples indicated a wide diversity of mesozooplankton taxa and phytoplankton present. Average zooplankton SV peaked in May or June in all habitats, and generally declined over the season.

Seasonal patterns in zooplankton were also evident in the deep and shallow bongo samples collected at the Icy Strait stations (Table 6). From May to August, zooplankton displacement volumes ( $\mathrm{DV}, \mathrm{ml}$ ) and total density (organisms $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) of bongo ( $333-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh) peaked in June at most stations, although shallow bongo samples were not collected in May. Density values ranged from 0.02 to $2,761.4$ organisms $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in shallow samples and from 21.7 to $2,142.0$ organisms $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ in deep samples (Table 6, Figure 3).

Zooplankton bongo samples were summarized to characterize prey fields present for planktivorous juvenile salmon and ecologically-related ichthyofauna. A total of 13 samples from each bongo net type, $333-\mu \mathrm{m}$ and $505-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh, were examined in detail; the complete set of
matched samples was not available for May (Table 6; Fig. 3). Zooplankton taxa present in Icy Strait across the season included small calanoid copepods, large calanoid copepods, euphausiids, oikopleurans, decapod larvae, and combined minor taxa (Figure 3). The minor taxa mainly included chaetognaths, cladocera, bryozoan larvae, pteropods, hyperiid amphipods, barnacle larvae, and coelenterates. Zooplankton density and taxonomic composition differed strikingly by mesh size, shallow vs. deep water column, and day vs. night. Total densities were generally twice as great in $333-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh (range 629 to 1908 organisms per cubic meter) as in $505-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh samples (range 27 to 844 organisms per cubic meter) (Table 6). Seasonally, total zooplankton densities peaked in May-June for day and night $505-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh samples, but for $333-\mu \mathrm{m}$ samples, densities were highest in June for day samples and lowest in June for night samples. These patterns reflect the different taxonomic compositions of the sample types (Figure 3). The $333-\mu \mathrm{m}$ samples were dominated by small calanoid copepods, while the $505-\mu \mathrm{m}$ samples were dominated by large calanoid copepods. Deep and night samples generally had higher percentages of copepods than shallow or day samples. These taxa have different life history strategies and may respond differently to environmental conditions (Park et al. 2004). Euphausiids comprised the highest percentages of zooplankton taxa in May and June; their higher abundance in shallow night samples was masked in composition figures by the abundant, smaller taxa. This taxon is prevalent in juvenile salmon diets during these months (Landingham et al., 1998; Sturdevant et al., 2005). Larvaceans were comprised the greatest percentages of daytime, shallow samples of both mesh sizes and were present throughout the season (Figure 3). These gelatinous invertebrates are consumed by many fish, chum salmon in particular (Purcell et al. 2005; Sturdevant et al., 2005).

## Catch composition

For the entire season across all habitats, a total of 13,460 fish and squid, representing 29 taxa, were captured in 75 rope trawl hauls from June to August (Table 7). These catches do not include fish from the 13 two-boat trawl hauls and three nocturnal rope trawl hauls; salmonid catches from these hauls are reported in Appendix 1. Juvenile salmon comprised $48 \%$ of the total fish catch and $99 \%$ of the total salmonid catch. Juvenile salmon were generally the most frequently occurring species, with pink occurring in $75 \%$ of the trawls, sockeye in $73 \%$, chum in $72 \%$, coho in $51 \%$, and chinook salmon in $19 \%$ (Table 8 ). Non-salmonid species making up more than $1 \%$ of total catch included walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma) and Pacific herring (Clupea pallasi); these species occurred in $28 \%$ and $12 \%$ of the trawl hauls. In addition, large catches of larval fish from the Osmeridae family in Taku Inlet totaled 4,006 and represented $30 \%$ of the catch.

Catch composition differed by habitat for juvenile salmon and abundant non-salmonids. Juvenile salmon comprised $70-75 \%$ of the catch in the strait habitat from June to August, while in the coastal habitat they represented about $5 \%$ of the catch in June and August and $90 \%$ of the catch in July (Figure 4). Walleye pollock was the dominant non-salmonid species, representing about $20 \%$ of the catch in the strait habitat in June and July. Catches and life history stages of the salmon are listed by date, haul number, and station in Appendix 1.

Distribution of juvenile salmon differed for the months, habitats, and species sampled; however, in 2004, the temporal patterns of distribution generally shifted earlier for most species than in previous years. In the strait habitat, where catches were considerably higher than the coastal habitat, all species of juvenile salmon, except coho salmon peaked in June, rather than in

July (Figure 5). In the coastal habitat, the peak catches for most species of juvenile salmon occurred in July as typical.

Size and condition of juvenile salmon differed among the species and sampling periods (Tables 9-13; Figures 6-8). Juvenile coho and chinook salmon were consistently 25 to 100 mm longer than sockeye, chum, and pink salmon in a given time period. Most species increased in both length and weight in successive time periods, indicating growth despite the influx of additional stocks with varied times of saltwater entry. Mean FLs of juvenile salmon in June, July, and August were: $97.9,129.2$, and 163.2 mm for pink; $103.6,139.4$, and 165.6 mm for chum; $111.2,137.1$, and 164.6 mm for sockeye; $169.5,203.1$, and 245.7 mm for coho; and 199.0, 228.4, and 278.8 for chinook salmon. Mean weights of juvenile salmon in June, July, and August were: $8.4,20.7$, and 43.9 g for pink; $10.7,26.6$, and 46.1 g for chum; $15.4,27.7$, and 46.6 g for sockeye; $60.0,102.3$, and 183.3 g for coho; and $70.4,144.2$, and 289.1 g for chinook salmon. Mean condition factor values for juvenile salmon in June, July, and August were: 0.9, 0.9, and 1.0 for pink; 1.0, 0.9 , and 1.0 for chum; 1.1, 1.0, and 1.0 for sockeye; 1.2, 1.2, and 1.2 for coho; and $1.1,1.4$, and 1.3 for chinook salmon. Condition factor generally increased seasonally; mean values near 1.0 indicated healthy feeding environments.

Twenty-three of the 29 juvenile and immature salmon lacking adipose fins contained CWTs (Table 14). Fourteen CWTs were recovered from juvenile coho, one in June, two in July, and 11 in August. Three CWTs were recovered from juvenile chinook, all in July. Six CWTs were recovered from immature chinook, two in June, two in July, and two in August. All specimens were recovered in Icy Strait and originated from wild and hatchery stocks indigenous to the northern region of southeastern Alaska, except one juvenile chinook which originated from a hatchery stock on the Clackamas River in Oregon.

For juvenile chum salmon, stock-specific information was derived from the otoliths of a subsample of 1,280 fish, representing $41 \%$ of those caught (Table 15). These fish were the same individuals sampled for weight and condition. Of all chum salmon otoliths examined, 943 (74\%) were marked: 791 ( $62 \%$ ) were from DIPAC, $60(5 \%)$ were from HF, and $92(7 \%)$ were from Neets Bay (NB). Neets Bay is an enhancement facility located more than 500 km south of the study area near Ketchikan, in the southern region of southeastern Alaska; this facility began releasing thermally marked juvenile salmon in 2003. The remaining 337 ( $26 \%$ ) chum salmon examined were unmarked and probably included both wild stocks and unmarked hatchery stocks from southern release localities. Seasonally, the contribution of hatchery stocks of chum salmon was highest ( $>75 \%$ ) in June in the strait habitat and was $<50 \%$ in other months and in the coastal habitat (Figure 9). Despite the apparently earlier migration of juvenile chum salmon based on peak catch rates in the strait habitat in June, the stock-specific migration pattern was similar to past years, where the stock compositions were highest for DIPAC in June and HF in July.

For juvenile sockeye salmon, stock-specific information was derived from the otoliths of a subsample of 262 fish, representing $98 \%$ of those caught (Table 16). These fish were the same individuals sampled for weight and condition. Of all the sockeye salmon otoliths examined, 47 (18\%) were marked and originated from two stock groups: 42 from Snettisham Hatchery (16\%) and 5 from Tatsamenie Lake, Taku River (2\%). The remaining 215 ( $82 \%$ ) sockeye salmon examined were unmarked and presumably from wild stocks. The contribution of marked stocks of sockeye salmon was highest ( $50 \%$ ) in the coastal habitat in July, but was $<25 \%$ in other months and in the strait habitat (Figure 10).

For juvenile coho salmon, stock-specific information was derived from the otoliths of 290 fish, representing $97 \%$ of those caught (Table 17). These fish were the same individuals sampled for weight and condition. Of all the coho salmon otoliths examined, 25 (9\%) were marked and originated from 2 stock groups: 23 (8\%) from DIPAC and $2(1 \%)$ from Port Armstrong on southern Baranof Island. The remaining 265 (91\%) coho salmon examined were unmarked and included both wild stocks and possibly unmarked hatchery stocks from southern release localities. In the strait habitat, hatchery stock contribution of coho salmon increased from $<5 \%$ in June to about $15 \%$ in August (Figure 11).

For juvenile chinook salmon, stock-specific information was derived from the otoliths of 11 fish, representing $48 \%$ of those caught (Table 18). These fish were the same individuals sampled for weight and condition. Of all the chinook salmon otoliths examined, $5(45 \%)$ were marked and all originated from HF hatchery. The remaining 6 (55\%) chinook salmon examined were unmarked and included both wild stocks and possible unmarked hatchery stocks from southern release localities. All HF hatchery fish were caught in the strait habitat their composition declined from $\sim 70 \%$ in June to $25 \%$ in August (Figure 12).

Monthly samples of thermally marked juvenile chum, sockeye, coho, and chinook salmon were used to examine stock-specific growth trajectories. Weights of juvenile salmon from marked stocks were compared with weights of juvenile salmon from unmarked stocks (Figures 13 and 14). The marked salmon stocks were from DIPAC, HF, NB, and Snettisham hatcheries; these fish were released in 2004 at the following approximate dates and size ranges: chum in April-May (1-4 g); sockeye in April-June (5-10 g); coho in May-June (15-23 g); and chinook salmon in May-July ( $9-59 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Stock-specific size of salmon increased monthly for all groups (Figures 13 and 14).

Four incidents of predation on juvenile salmon were observed among the 199 potential predators examined. The stomach of a 313 mm , immature chinook salmon caught in Upper Chatham Strait in June contained a single juvenile pink salmon approximately 80 mm ; the prey fish was $26 \%$ of the predator length and the stomach was approximately $75 \%$ full. In total, salmon constituted only $0.4 \%$ of total prey weight among the 34 immature chinook salmon predators. In contrast, three adult coho salmon in Icy Strait had consumed juvenile salmon, In July, the full stomach of an 810 mm adult coho salmon contained two unidentifiable juvenile salmon, one at approximately 165 mm . In August, a 560 mm adult coho salmon stomach contained a 136 mm juvenile pink salmon ( $50 \%$ volume) and a 208 mm herring, while a 730 mm adult coho salmon stomach contained a 148 mm juvenile chum salmon ( $33 \%$ volume) and the remains of two digested non-salmonids. In total, these juvenile salmon prey constituted $63.7 \%$ of total prey weight among the six adult coho salmon predators.

Although juvenile salmon were not prominent prey items for any of the potential predators, other fish prey were common (Figure 15). Overall, fish prey dominated the diets of immature chinook salmon ( $95 \%$ prey weight), adult coho salmon ( $97 \%$ ), and spiny dogfish ( $89 \%$ ); fish composed $<22 \%$ of the prey weight of walleye pollock and adult chum salmon. A wide variety of taxa were consumed by the most piscivorous species, immature chinook salmon, including capelin, Pacific herring, lanternfish, fish larvae, Pacific sandfish, Pacific sandlance, and walleye pollock, as well as unidentifiable remains.

A wide variety of pelagic invertebrate prey was consumed by the potential predators examined, including decapod larvae, euphausiids, amphipods, cephalopods, oikopleurans, copepods, gelatinous taxa (salps, ctenophores, and cnidarians), and pteropods (Figure 15). The
most varied planktivory was observed for walleye pollock, which ate $49 \%$ euphausiids and 3$12 \%$ each of amphipods, copepods, decapod larvae, and oikopleurans, as well as smaller amounts of other taxa. The majority of invertebrate prey taxa constituted small amounts, $<1 \%$ weight, of several predators' diets. Decapod larvae were predominant only among adult pink salmon, constituting nearly $82 \%$ of prey weight. Substantial predation on euphausiids occurred among immature chinook salmon ( $14 \%$ prey weight) and spiny dogfish ( $10 \%$ prey weight). Amphipods contributed the greatest prey weight, $13 \%$, to spiny dogfish, and up $\leq 7 \%$ among adult pink and chum salmon and walleye pollock. Cephalopods were eaten only by adult pink salmon ( $16 \%$ prey weight), while oikopleurans were prominent only in adult chum salmon ( $31 \%$ weight). Copepods were minor dietary components to all predator species except walleye pollock. Gelatinous taxa and pteropods contributed $<1 \%$ prey weight to all predator species (Figure 15).

In the past 8 years, coastal monitoring in southeastern Alaska has shown both similar and contrasting patterns with respect to the temporal and spatial occurrence of biophysical data from prior years. A common annual pattern of seasonality existed in surface temperatures and salinity levels, which increased progressively westward from inshore to coastal habitats. In 2004, surface temperatures were generally warmer than in previous years and consequently catches of juvenile salmon peaked a month earlier than usual for most species. The coastal monitoring of stations in the northern region of southeastern Alaska is currently ongoing; in 2005, most stations in each habitat were sampled monthly from May to August. Long-term ecological monitoring of key juvenile salmon stocks, in concert with ocean sampling programs that measure appropriate biophysical parameters across adequate spatial and temporal scales, is needed to better understand how marine habitat use patterns, growth, species interactions, and hatchery stock interactions affect year-class strength in dynamic marine ecosystems.
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Table 1.-Localities and coordinates of stations sampled in the marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska using the NOAA ship John N. Cobb, May-August 2004. Station positions are shown in Figure 1.

| Station | $\begin{gathered} \text { Latitude } \\ \text { North } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Longitude West | Distance |  | bottom depth $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | offshore km | between km |  |
| Inshore |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auke Bay |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABM | $58^{\circ} 22.00^{\prime}$ | $134^{\circ} 40.00^{\prime}$ | 1.5 | - | 60 |
| Taku Inlet transect |  |  |  |  |  |
| TKG | $58^{\circ} 15.88^{\prime}$ | $134^{\circ} 05.74{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.4 | - | 71 |
| TKH | $58^{\circ} 12.62^{\prime}$ | $134^{\circ} 06.55^{\prime}$ | 1.4 | 6.1 | 105 |
| TKI | $58^{\circ} 11.19^{\prime}$ | $134^{\circ} 11.71^{\prime}$ | 2.2 | 5.7 | 175 |
| Strait |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Chatham Strait transect |  |  |  |  |  |
| UCA | $58^{\circ} 04.57{ }^{\prime}$ | $135^{\circ} 00.08^{\prime}$ | 3.2 | - | 400 |
| UCB | $58^{\circ} 06.22^{\prime}$ | $135^{\circ} 00.91^{\prime}$ | 6.4 | 3.2 | 100 |
| UCC | $58^{\circ} 07.95^{\prime}$ | $135^{\circ} 01.69^{\prime}$ | 6.4 | 3.2 | 100 |
| UCD | $58^{\circ} 09.64^{\prime}$ | $135^{\circ} 02.52^{\prime}$ | 3.2 | 3.2 | 200 |
| Icy Strait transect |  |  |  |  |  |
| ISA | $58^{\circ} 13.25^{\prime}$ | $135^{\circ} 31.76$ | 3.2 | - | 128 |
| ISB | $58^{\circ} 14.22^{\prime}$ | $135^{\circ} 29.26^{\prime}$ | 6.4 | 3.2 | 200 |
| ISC | $58^{\circ} 15.28^{\prime}$ | $135^{\circ} 26.65^{\prime}$ | 6.4 | 3.2 | 200 |
| ISD | $58^{\circ} 16.38^{\prime}$ | $135^{\circ} 23.98^{\prime}$ | 3.2 | 3.2 | 234 |
| Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Icy Point transect |  |  |  |  |  |
| IPA | $58^{\circ} 20.12^{\prime}$ | $137^{\circ} 07.16^{\prime}$ | 6.9 | - | 160 |
| IPB | $58^{\circ} 12.71{ }^{\prime}$ | $137^{\circ} 16.96$ | 23.4 | 16.8 | 130 |
| IPC | $58^{\circ} 05.28^{\prime}$ | $137^{\circ} 26.75^{\prime}$ | 40.2 | 16.8 | 150 |
| IPD | $57^{\circ} 53.50{ }^{\prime}$ | $137^{\circ} 42.60^{\prime}$ | 65.0 | 24.8 | 1,300 |

Table 2.-Numbers and types of data collected in different habitats sampled monthly in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004.

| Dates(days) | Habitat | Data collection type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rope <br> trawl | Two-boat trawl | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CTD } \\ & \text { cast } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oblique bongo | $\begin{gathered} 20-\mathrm{m} \\ \text { vertical } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WP-2 } \\ \text { vertical } \end{gathered}$ | Chlorophyll \& nutrients |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18-22 May } \\ & \text { (5 days) } \end{aligned}$ | Inshore | 3 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 4 |
|  | Strait | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Coastal | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20-28 \text { June } \\ & \text { ( } 9 \text { days) } \end{aligned}$ | Inshore | 3 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
|  | Strait | 13 | 13 | 14 | 24 | 13 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Coastal | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23-31 July } \\ & \text { (9 days) } \end{aligned}$ | Inshore | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Strait | 25 | 0 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Coastal | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 21-28 August <br> (8 days) | Inshore | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Strait | 22* | 0 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Coastal | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Total |  | 78 | 13 | 93 | 132 | 100 | 36 | 58 |

${ }^{1}$ Rope trawl $=20-\mathrm{min}$ hauls with NORDIC 264 surface trawl 18 m deep by 24 m wide, asterisks denotes $40-\mathrm{min}$ hauls $(n=5)$; Two-boat trawl $=10-\mathrm{min}$ hauls with KODIAK pair trawl, 3 m deep by 6 m wide; CTD casts $=$ to 200 m or within 10 m of the bottom; oblique bongo $=60-\mathrm{cm}$ diameter frame, 505 - and $333-\mu \mathrm{m}$ meshes, towed double obliquely down to and up from a depth of 200 m or within 20 m of the bottom ( $20-\mathrm{m}$ depths were also included in these totals); 20-m vertical $=50-\mathrm{cm}$ diameter frame, $243-\mu \mathrm{m}$ conical net towed vertically from 20 m ; WP-2 vertical $=57-\mathrm{cm}$ diameter frame, $202-\mu \mathrm{m}$ conical net towed vertically from 200 m or within 10 m of the bottom; Chlorophyll and nutrients are surface seawater samples that are summarized in Table 4.

Table 3.-Surface (3-m) temperature and salinity data collected monthly in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004. Station code acronyms are listed in Table 1.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Salinity <br> $(\mathrm{PSU})$ | Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Salinity <br> $(\mathrm{PSU})$ | Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Salinity <br> $(\mathrm{PSU})$ | Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Salinity <br> $(\mathrm{PSU})$ |

Inshore

|  | Auke Bay ABM |  | TKG |  | Taku Inlet transect TKH |  | TKI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 12.0 | 25.1 | 6.9 | 27.4 | 7.6 | 22.1 | 9.9 | 21.3 |
| June | 14.5 | 20.8 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 16.2 | 12.2 | 15.6 |
| July | 14.6 | 14.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| August | 14.3 | 18.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Upper Chatham Strait transect

|  | UCA |  | UCB |  | UCC |  | UCD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 9.7 | 29.7 | 9.7 | 29.5 | 8.1 | 30.2 | 7.2 | 30.3 |
| June | 15.0 | 20.7 | 13.7 | 23.9 | 13.5 | 24.5 | 15.0 | 21.0 |
| July | 12.9 | 28.3 | 13.7 | 27.8 | 14.9 | 27.3 | 14.6 | 26.3 |
| August | 14.6 | 25.1 | 13.8 | 26.4 | 14.5 | 24.3 | 14.6 | 23.1 |


|  | ISA |  | Icy Strait transect ISB |  | ISC |  | ISD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 8.2 | 30.0 | 8.6 | 29.9 | 8.1 | 29.8 | 8.3 | 29.7 |
| June | 14.1 | 25.0 | 13.9 | 24.6 | 12.2 | 27.8 | 12.8 | 27.5 |
| July | 13.3 | 26.5 | 14.9 | 23.2 | 12.0 | 20.7 | 15.1 | 20.9 |
| August | 11.9 | 26.7 | 14.2 | 21.7 | 14.5 | 22.6 | 14.3 | 23.0 |

## Coastal

Icy Point transect

|  | IPA |  | IPB |  | IPC |  | IPD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 7.3 | 30.9 | 8.2 | 31.0 | 8.9 | 31.0 | 9.1 | 30.9 |
| June | 13.1 | 31.3 | 13.0 | 31.6 | 13.3 | 31.6 | 13.0 | 31.6 |
| July | 14.7 | 31.2 | 15.7 | 31.3 | 15.3 | 31.3 | 15.7 | 31.4 |
| August | 14.9 | 30.3 | 17.4 | 31.3 | 16.8 | 31.3 | 17.4 | 31.3 |

Table 4.-Nutrient and chlorophyll concentrations from $250-\mathrm{ml}$ surface water samples in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004. Station code acronyms are listed in Table 1.

| Station | Date | Nutrients [ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ] |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chlorophyll } \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Phaeopigment ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [ $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ] | $\left[\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]$ | [ $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ ] | [ $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ] | [ $\mathrm{NH}_{4}$ ] |  |  |
| ABM | 18 May | 0.24 | 3.04 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 2.65 | 1.60 | 1.00 |
|  | 21 June | 0.32 | 0.82 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 0.38 | 0.80 |
|  | 23 July | 0.47 | 4.86 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 2.80 | 1.13 | 0.54 |
|  | 21 Aug. | 0.41 | 4.95 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.78 | 1.13 | 0.54 |
| TKG | 20 May | 0.72 | 39.45 | 12.60 | 0.08 | 3.30 | 0.23 | 0.20 |
|  | 24 June | 0.64 | 32.78 | 4.22 | 0.04 | 3.20 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| TKH | 20 May | 0.66 | 53.16 | 11.11 | 0.03 | 4.79 | 0.16 | 0.15 |
|  | 24 June | 0.37 | 32.67 | 3.30 | 0.01 | 3.22 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| TKI | $20 \text { May }$ | 0.42 | $36.75$ | 8.94 | $0.07$ | $2.83$ | 1.01 | 0.48 |
|  | $24 \text { June }$ | $0.50$ | $27.55$ | $3.78$ | $0.04$ | $3.30$ | 1.91 | 0.42 |
| UCA | 19 May | 0.15 | 2.03 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 4.30 | 1.30 |
|  | 23 June | 0.09 | 1.65 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.44 | 1.12 | 0.66 |
|  | 27 July | 0.32 | 13.26 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 2.08 | 1.75 | 0.96 |
|  | 21 Aug. | 0.10 | 13.61 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 3.54 | 1.20 | 0.44 |
| UCB | 19 May | 0.18 | 2.13 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0.42 | 5.96 | 1.87 |
|  | 23 June | 0.38 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 1.56 | 0.81 |
|  | 27 July | 0.26 | 11.81 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 1.56 | 0.72 | 0.50 |
|  | 21 Aug. | 0.39 | 14.42 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.71 | 0.89 | 0.46 |
| UCC | $19 \text { May }$ | 0.30 | 2.03 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 10.68 | 3.69 |
|  | $23 \text { June }$ | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 1.27 | 0.82 |
|  | 27 July | 0.33 | 10.19 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 2.21 | 0.75 | 0.44 |
|  | 21 Aug. | 0.40 | 12.45 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1.41 | 0.81 | 0.26 |
| UCD | 19 May | 0.56 | 4.62 | 1.21 | 0.11 | 0.63 | 18.87 | 5.32 |
|  | 23 June | 0.46 | 1.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.81 | 1.49 | 0.93 |
|  | 27 July | 0.36 | 10.73 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 3.88 | 0.75 | 0.46 |
|  | 21 Aug. | 0.20 | 12.45 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 1.32 | 0.72 | 0.18 |
| ISA | $19 \text { May }$ | 0.27 | 4.54 | 0.48 | 0.10 | 1.18 | 4.81 | 2.10 |
|  | 22 June | 0.20 | 1.56 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 1.09 | 0.56 |
|  | 25 July | 0.16 | 5.44 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.53 | 0.20 |
|  | 24 Aug. | 0.51 | 21.71 | 6.16 | 0.10 | 1.93 | 0.96 | 0.48 |

Table 4.-(Cont.)

| Station | Date | Nutrients [ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ] |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chlorophyll } \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Phaeopigment ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [ $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ] | [Si(OH) ${ }_{4}$ ] | $\left[\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]$ | [ $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ] | [ $\mathrm{NH}_{4}$ ] |  |  |
| ISB | 19 May | 0.16 | 2.22 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 2.64 | 2.00 |
|  | 22 June | 0.19 | 0.92 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.89 | 1.13 | 0.67 |
|  | 25 July | 0.16 | 4.63 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.70 | 1.01 | 0.33 |
|  | 24 Aug. | 0.73 | 12.99 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 3.32 | 0.29 | 0.10 |
| ISC | 19 May | 0.16 | 2.13 | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 6.58 | 3.99 |
|  | 22 June | 0.20 | 0.83 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.69 | 0.57 |
|  | 25 July | 0.23 | 13.70 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 2.65 | 0.40 | 0.16 |
|  | 24 Aug. | 0.49 | 3.54 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 1.61 | 0.24 | 0.11 |
| ISD | 19 May | 0.21 | 2.04 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.46 | 12.83 | 4.82 |
|  | 22 June | 0.17 | 0.55 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.36 | 0.81 | 0.58 |
|  | 25 July | 0.28 | 13.52 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 1.84 | 1.05 | 0.30 |
|  | 24 Aug. | 0.24 | 4.73 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 1.07 | 0.30 | 0.11 |
| IPA | 22 May | 1.37 | 19.88 | 10.60 | 0.30 | 2.49 | 1.49 | 0.71 |
|  | 21 June | 0.46 | 10.30 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.51 | 0.64 | 0.29 |
|  | 24 July | 0.75 | 9.89 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.53 | 1.65 | 0.98 |
|  | 23 Aug. | 0.68 | 12.00 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 2.45 | 1.78 | 0.91 |
| IPB | 22 May | 0.98 | 16.25 | 6.64 | 0.17 | 0.94 | 3.44 | 1.25 |
|  | 21 June | 0.41 | 8.74 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.45 | 0.59 | 0.30 |
|  | 24 July | 0.62 | 4.69 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.97 | 0.13 | 0.06 |
|  | 23 Aug. | 1.42 | 7.13 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 4.01 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| IPC | 22 May | 0.60 | 11.32 | 1.57 | 0.11 | 0.72 | 7.02 | 2.01 |
|  | 21 June | 0.56 | 6.99 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.21 |
|  | 24 July | 0.71 | 6.52 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 1.25 | 0.23 | 0.12 |
|  | 23 Aug. | 0.75 | 7.22 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
| IPD | 22 May | 0.86 | 8.07 | 1.60 | 0.11 | 0.64 | 2.81 | 1.01 |
|  | 21 June | 0.45 | 8.73 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.92 | 0.54 | 0.29 |
|  | 24 July | 0.55 | 4.52 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.96 | 0.09 | 0.04 |
|  | 23 Aug. | 0.62 | 5.51 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 2.50 | 0.09 | 0.03 |

Table 5.- Mean zooplankton settled volumes (SV, ml ) and total plankton settled volumes (TSV, ml ) from vertical 20-m NORPAC hauls sampled in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004. Station code acronyms are listed in Table 1. Asterisk denotes that separation of zooplankton from phytoplankton and slub was not distinct. Standing stock ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) can be computed by dividing by the water volume filtered, a factor of $3.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.


## Coastal

|  | IPA |  |  | Icy Point transect IPB |  |  | IPC |  |  | IPD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 1 | 28 | 28 | 1 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 13 |
| June | 1 | * | 3 | 1 | * | 2 | 1 | * | 3 | 1 | 10 | 10 |
| July | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 200 | 200 |
| August | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |

Table 6.-Zooplankton displacement volumes (DV, ml), standing stock ( $\mathrm{DV} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ), and total density (number $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) from shallow (20-m) and deep ( $\leq 200-\mathrm{m}$ ), day and night, double oblique bongo ( $333-$ and $505-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh) hauls in the marine waters of Icy Strait in the northern region of southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004. Station codes for the Icy Strait transect are listed in Table 1.


Table 6.-(cont.)

| Month | depth | DV | $\mathrm{DV} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Total density | depth | DV | $\mathrm{DV} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Total density | depth |  | $\mathrm{DV} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Total density | depth | DV | $\mathrm{DV} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Total density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ISA |  |  |  | ISB |  |  |  | ISC |  |  |  | ISD |  |  |  |

N

| June | - | - | - | - | 505- $\mu \mathrm{mm}$ mesh (night) |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 20 | 0.84 | 844 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 200 | 275 | 1.23 | 650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | - | - | - | - | 24 | 27 | 1.08 | 427 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | - | - | 232 | 143 | 0.63 | 360 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| August | - | - | - | - | 17 | 25 | 1.04 | 334 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | - | - | 221 | 114 | 0.49 | 316 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 7.-Numbers of fish and squid captured in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004.

| Common name | Scientific name | Number caught |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June | July | August | Total |
| Salmonids |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chum salmon ${ }^{1}$ | Oncorhynchus keta | 2,854 | 237 | 50 | 3,141 |
| Pink salmon ${ }^{1}$ | O. gorbuscha | 2,019 | 595 | 148 | 2,762 |
| Sockeye salmon ${ }^{1}$ | O. nerka | 178 | 74 | 14 | 266 |
| Coho salmon ${ }^{1}$ | O. kisutch | 70 | 94 | 135 | 299 |
| Chinook salmon ${ }^{1}$ | O. tshawytscha | 12 | 7 | 4 | 23 |
| Chinook salmon ${ }^{2}$ | O. tshawytscha | 12 | 21 | 2 | 35 |
| Chum salmon ${ }^{3}$ | O. keta | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Pink salmon ${ }^{3}$ | O. gorbuscha | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 |
| Coho salmon ${ }^{3}$ | O. kisutch | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Sockeye salmon ${ }^{3}$ | O. nerka | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total salmonids |  |  |  |  | 6,552 |

Non-salmonids

| Smelts | Osmeridae | 4,006 | 0 | 0 | 4,006 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Walleye pollock | Theragra chalcogramma | 1,816 | 268 | 4 | 2,088 |
| Pacific herring | Clupea pallasi | 156 | 1 | 4 | 161 |
| Painted greenling | Oxylebius pictus | 132 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| Spiny dogfish | Squalus acanthias | 4 | 2 | 118 | 124 |
| Squid | Gonatidae | 57 | 14 | 39 | 110 |
| Pacific sandfish | Trichodon trichodon | 68 | 2 | 0 | 70 |
| Lampfish | Myctophidae | 50 | 9 | 10 | 69 |
| Crested sculpin | Blepsias bilobus | 2 | 35 | 19 | 56 |
| Eulachon | Thaleichthys pacificus | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Pacific sandlance | Ammodytes hexapterus | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Smooth lumpsucker | Aptocyclus ventricosus | 8 | 2 | 1 | 11 |
| Smoothtongue | Leuroglossus stilbius schmidti | 10 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| Capelin | Mallotus villosus | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Prowfish | Zaprora silenus | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 spine stickleback | Gasterosteus aculeatus | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Wolf-eel | Anarrhichthys ocellatus | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Salmon shark | Lamna ditropis | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Black rockfish | Sebastes melanops | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Lingcod | Ophiodon elongates | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Walleye pollock larvae | Theragra chalcogramma | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Starry flounder | Platichthys stellatus | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Spiny lumpsucker | Eumicrotremus orbis | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Table 7.-(Cont.)

| Common | Scientific | Number caught |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| name | name | June | July | August | Total |
| Rockfish | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Sebastes sp. | Atheresthes stomias | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\quad$Total non-salmonids |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Total fish and squid |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Juvenile ${ }^{2}$ Immature ${ }^{3}$ Adult

Table 8.-Frequency of occurrence of fishes and squid captured in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. The percent occurrence of fish per 75 total hauls is shown in parentheses.

| Common name | Scientific name | Frequency of occurrence |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June | July | August | Total | (\%) |
| Salmonids |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chum salmon ${ }^{1}$ | Oncorhynchus keta | 15 | 24 | 15 | 54 | (72) |
| Pink salmon ${ }^{1}$ | O. gorbuscha | 13 | 23 | 20 | 56 | (75) |
| Sockeye salmon ${ }^{1}$ | O. nerka | 12 | 18 | 8 | 38 | (51) |
| Coho salmon ${ }^{1}$ | O. kisutch | 11 | 25 | 19 | 55 | (73) |
| Chinook salmon ${ }^{1}$ | O. tshawytscha | 5 | 5 | 4 | 14 | (19) |
| Chinook salmon ${ }^{2}$ | O. tshawytscha | 4 | 8 | 2 | 14 | (19) |
| Chum salmon ${ }^{3}$ | O. keta | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | (8) |
| Pink salmon ${ }^{3}$ | O. gorbuscha | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | (9) |
| Coho salmon ${ }^{3}$ | O. kisutch | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | (8) |
| Sockeye salmon ${ }^{3}$ | O. nerka | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (1) |
| Non-salmonids |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smelts | Osmeridae | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | (4) |
| Walleye pollock | Theragra chalcogramma | 11 | 6 | 4 | 21 | (28) |
| Pacific herring | Clupea pallasi | 5 | 1 | 3 | 9 | (12) |
| Painted greenling | Oxylebius pictus | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (1) |
| Spiny dogfish | Squalus acanthias | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | (7) |
| Squid | Gonatidae | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | (9) |
| Pacific sandfish | Trichodon trichodon | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | (11) |
| Lampfish | Myctophidae | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | (5) |
| Crested sculpin | Blepsias bilobus | , | 14 | 12 | 27 | (36) |
| Eulachon | Thaleichthys pacificus | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | (3) |
| Pacific sandlance | Ammodytes hexapterus | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | (3) |
| Smooth lumpsucker | Aptocyclus ventricosus | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | (8) |
| Smoothtongue | Leuroglossus stilbius schmidti | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | (3) |
| Capelin | Mallotus villosus | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | (3) |
| Prowfish | Zaprora silenus | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | (5) |
| 3 spine stickleback | Gasterosteus aculeatus | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | (1) |
| Wolf-eel | Anarrhichthys ocellatus | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | (4) |
| Salmon shark | Lamna ditropis | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | (4) |
| Black rockfish | Sebastes melanops | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | (3) |
| Lingcod | Ophiodon elongates | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (1) |
| Walleye pollock larvae | Theragra chalcogramma | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | (3) |
| Starry flounder | Platichthys stellatus |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | (1) |
| Spiny lumpsucker | Eumicrotremus orbis | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | (1) |
| Rockfish | Sebastes sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (1) |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Atheresthes stomias | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (1) |

[^1]Table 9.-Length (mm, fork), weight $(\mathrm{g})$, and condition $\left[\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10^{5}\right)\right]$ of juvenile pink salmon captured in different marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004.


Table 10.-Length (mm, fork), weight $(\mathrm{g})$, and condition $\left[\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10^{5}\right)\right]$ of juvenile chum salmon captured in different marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004.

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| Upper | Length | 496 | 73-121 | 97.3 | 0.4 | 2 | 123-160 | 141.5 | 18.5 | 25 | 119-223 | 163.8 | 5.5 |
| Chatham | Weight | 496 | 3.5-17.1 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 2 | 16.6-33.9 | 25.3 | 8.7 | 25 | 15.7-112.2 | 44.6 | 5.0 |
| Strait | Condition | 496 | 0.5-2.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.8-0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 25 | 0.3-1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | 1146 | 71-138 | 106.2 | 0.3 | 213 | 103-197 | 139.9 | 1.1 | 22 | 122-225 | 170.7 | 6.1 |
| Strait | Weight | 466 | 4.8-20.4 | 12.0 | 0.1 | 205 | 10.2-74.1 | 26.9 | 0.7 | 17 | 18.5-119.7 | 52.0 | 7.1 |
|  | Condition | 466 | 0.6-1.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 205 | 0.6-1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 17 | 0.9-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | 5 | 101-137 | 119.4 | 7.0 | 23 | 114-191 | 134.9 | 4.1 | 3 | 124-154 | 143.0 | 9.5 |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | 23 | 11.8-65.5 | 23.4 | 2.9 | 3 | 16.5-30.8 | 25.6 | 4.6 |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | 23 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.8-0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Total | Length | 1647 | 71-138 | 103.6 | 0.2 | 238 | 103-197 | 139.4 | 1.1 | 50 | 119-225 | 165.6 | 4.0 |
|  | Weight | 962 | 3.5-20.4 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 230 | 10.2-74.1 | 26.6 | 0.7 | 45 | 15.7-119.7 | 46.1 | 3.9 |
|  | Condition | 962 | 0.5-2.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 230 | 0.6-1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 45 | 0.3-1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

Table 11.-Length (mm, fork), weight (g), and condition $\left[\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10^{5}\right)\right]$ of juvenile sockeye salmon captured in different marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004.

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| Upper | Length | 44 | 79-138 | 104.0 | 2.2 | 2 | 139-155 | 147.0 | 8.0 | 4 | 166-206 | 179.0 | 9.1 |
| Chatham | Weight | 44 | 5.2-29.1 | 12.3 | 0.8 | 2 | 25.6-40.3 | 33.0 | 7.3 | 4 | 49.6-93.5 | 63.3 | 10.2 |
| Strait | Condition | 44 | 0.4-2.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | 132 | 71-172 | 112.5 | 1.4 | 70 | 95-180 | 137.2 | 2.3 | 5 | 153-189 | 165.4 | 6.3 |
| Strait | Weight | 132 | 3.7-50.1 | 15.6 | 0.6 | 70 | 9.0-63.4 | 27.8 | 1.3 | 5 | 35.0-71.1 | 46.6 | 6.3 |
|  | Condition | 132 | 0.2-1.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 70 | 0.9-1.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | 3 | 130-189 | 163.3 | 17.5 | 2 | 123-129 | 126.0 | 3.0 | 5 | 140-163 | 152.4 | 3.9 |
| Point | Weight | 3 | 21.0-69.0 | 47.8 | 14.1 | 2 | 15.9-20.5 | 18.2 | 2.3 | 5 | 23.6-41.3 | 33.3 | 3.3 |
|  | Condition | 3 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.9-1.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.9-1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Total | Length | 179 | 71-189 | 111.2 | 1.3 | 74 | 95-180 | 137.1 | 2.2 | 14 | 140-206 | 164.6 | 4.5 |
|  | Weight | 179 | 3.7-69.0 | 15.4 | 0.6 | 74 | 9.0-63.4 | 27.7 | 1.3 | 14 | 23.6-93.5 | 46.6 | 4.8 |
|  | Condition | 179 | 0.2-2.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 74 | 0.9-1.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 14 | 0.9-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

Table 12.-Length (mm, fork), weight $(\mathrm{g})$, and condition $\left[\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10^{5}\right)\right]$ of juvenile coho salmon captured in different marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004.

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| Upper | Length | 38 | 121-195 | 159.4 | 3.0 | 3 | 219-227 | 222.7 | 2.3 | 5 | 213-257 | 229.2 | 7.5 |
| Chatham | Weight | 38 | 21.0-101.7 | 50.9 | 2.8 | 3 | 124.3-133.3 | 130.0 | 2.8 | 5 | 105.8-198.7 | 138.1 | 16.6 |
| Strait | Condition | 38 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.1-1.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.9-1.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | 32 | 125-237 | 181.4 | 4.9 | 81 | 157-249 | 201.0 | 2.4 | 130 | 188-302 | 146.3 | 1.7 |
| Strait | Weight | 31 | 22.0-158.7 | 71.1 | 5.6 | 81 | 42.7-204.1 | 99.3 | 3.8 | 129 | 79.1-341.6 | 185.1 | 4.0 |
|  | Condition | 31 | 0.8-1.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 81 | 1.0-1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 129 | 0.7-2.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | 10 | 182-243 | 214.4 | 6.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | 10 | 68.7-168.9 | 118.3 | 11.6 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | 10 | 1.1-1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | Length | 70 | 121-237 | 169.5 | 3.0 | 94 | 157-249 | 203.1 | 2.3 | 135 | 188-302 | 245.7 | 1.7 |
|  | Weight | 69 | 21.0-158.7 | 60.0 | 3.2 | 94 | 42.7-204.1 | 102.3 | 3.6 | 134 | 79.1-341.6 | 183.3 | 4.0 |
|  | Condition | 69 | 0.8-1.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 94 | 1.0-1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 134 | 0.7-2.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 |

Table 13.-Length (mm, fork), weight $(\mathrm{g})$, and condition $\left[\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10^{5}\right)\right]$ of juvenile chinook salmon captured in different marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004.

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| Upper | Length | 1 | 190 | 190.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 188 | 188.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Chatham | Weight | 1 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 0.0 | 1 | 84.4 | 84.4 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Strait | Condition | 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Icy | Length | 5 | 175-295 | 252.2 | 22.0 | 6 | 205-305 | 235.2 | 14.6 | 4 | 229-310 | 278.8 | 19.1 |
| Strait | Weight | 2 | 60.5-220.8 | 140.7 | 80.2 | 4 | 116-185.7 | 159.1 | 15.0 | 4 | 143.8-377.7 | 289.1 | 54.1 |
|  | Condition | 2 | 1.1-1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 4 | 1.2-1.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 4 | 1.2-1.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | Length | 12 | 147-295 | 199.0 | 16.4 | 7 | 188-305 | 228.4 | 14.1 | 4 | 229-310 | 278.8 | 19.1 |
|  | Weight | 9 | 33.9-220.8 | 70.4 | 20.0 | 5 | 84.4-185.7 | 144.2 | 18.9 | 4 | 143.8-377.7 | 289.1 | 54.1 |
|  | Condition | 9 | 1.0-1.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.2-1.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 4 | 1.2-1.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 |

Table 14.-Data on salmon with a missing adipose fin, in addition to release and recovery information of coded-wire tagged chinook and coho salmon captured in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. Station code acronyms and coordinates are shown in Table 1.

| Species | Coded- <br> wire <br> tag code | Release information |  |  |  |  |  | Recovery information |  |  |  |  | Age |  | Distance traveled (km) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Brood year | $\text { Agency }{ }^{1}$ | Locality | Date | (mm) | (g) | Locality | Station code | Date | (mm) | (g) |  |  |  |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coho | 04:10/10 | 2002 | ADFG | Taku River (Wild) | 5/15/04 | 88 | 6.2 | Icy Strait | ISC | 6/22/04 | 171 | 53.7 | 1.0 | 38 | 150 |
| Coho | No tag | - | - | - | - | - | - | Icy Strait | ISB | 6/22/04 | 169 | 40.4 | - | - | - |
| Chinook | 03:62/54 | 2001 | NMFS | Little Port Walter | 5/19/03 | - | 18.7 | Icy Strait | ISA | 6/22/04 | 450 | 1200 | 1.1 | 400 | 230 |
| Chinook | 04:07/25 | 2001 | DIPAC | Fish Creek | 6/12/03 | - | 23.9 | Icy Strait | ISA | 6/22/04 | 346 | 600 | 1.1 | 376 | 84 |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coho | 04:09/54 | 2002 | AKI | Port Armstrong, AK | 6/03/04 | 142 | 28.7 | Icy Strait | ISC | 7/28/04 | 217 | 116.5 | 1.0 | 440 | 240 |
| Coho | 04:48/43 | 2002 | NSRAA | Kasnyku Bay | 6/01/04 | - | 19.5 | Icy Strait | ISC | 7/25/04 | 213 | 107.6 | 1.0 | 46 | 140 |
| Coho | No tag | - | - | - | - | - | - | Icy Strait | ISC | 7/28/04 | 207 | 105.4 | - | - | - |
| Chinook | 04:04/53 | 2001 | ADFG | Chilkat River (Wild) | 4/11/03 | - | - | Icy Strait | ISC | 7/29/04 | 460 | 1150 | 1.1 | 475 | 150 |
| Chinook | 04:07/23 | 2001 | DIPAC | Gastineau Channel | 6/12/03 | - | 24.7 | Icy Strait | ISC | 7/29/04 | 403 | 800 | 1.1 | 413 | 130 |
| Chinook | 04:08/56 | 2002 | NSRAA | Kasnyku Bay | 6/04/04 | - | 35.4 | Icy Strait | ISC | 7/25/04 | 227 | 167.8 | 1.0 | 49 | 140 |
| Chinook | 04:08/56 | 2002 | NSRAA | Kasnyku Bay | 6/04/04 | - | 35.4 | Icy Strait | ISC | 7/28/04 | 228 | 168.9 | 1.0 | 52 | 140 |
| Chinook | 09:38/43 | 2002 | ODFW | Clackamas River, OR | 3/18/04 | - | 44.9 | Icy Strait | ISC | 7/25/04 | 305 | 390 | 1.0 | 129 | $\sim 1600$ |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coho | 04:06/94 | 2002 | NSRAA | Kasnyku Bay | 6/06/04 | - | 18.5 | Icy Strait | ISA | 8/25/04 | 277 | 256.2 | 1.0 | 443 | 140 |
| Coho | 04:06/94 | 2002 | NSRAA | Kasnyku Bay | 6/06/04 | - | 18.5 | Icy Strait | ISA | 8/25/04 | 277 | 256.2 | 1.0 | 443 | 140 |
| Coho | 04:09/31 | 2002 | DIPAC | Gastineau Channel | 6/09/04 | - | 21.2 | Icy Strait | ISC | 8/26/04 | 241 | 167 | 1.0 | 444 | 130 |
| Coho | 04:09/31 | 2002 | DIPAC | Gastineau Channel | 6/09/04 | - | 21.2 | Icy Strait | ISA | 8/25/04 | 249 | 196.3 | 1.0 | 445 | 130 |
| Coho | 04:06/94 | 2002 | NSRAA | Kasnyku Bay | 6/06/04 | - | 18.5 | Icy Strait | ISA | 8/25/04 | 277 | 256.2 | 1.0 | 443 | 140 |
| Coho | 04:06/94 | 2002 | NSRAA | Kasnyku Bay | 6/06/04 | - | 18.5 | Icy Strait | ISA | 8/25/04 | 277 | 256.2 | 1.0 | 443 | 140 |
| Coho | 04:09/31 | 2002 | DIPAC | Gastineau Channel | 6/09/04 | - | 21.2 | Icy Strait | ISC | 8/26/04 | 241 | 167 | 1.0 | 444 | 130 |

Table 14.-(Cont.)

|  |  | Release information |  |  |  |  |  | Recovery information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Distance traveled (km) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Codedwire tag code | Brood year | Agency ${ }^{1}$ | Locality | Date | (mm) | (g) | Locality | Station code | Date | (mm) | (g) |  |  |  |
| Coho | 04:06/94 | 2002 | NSRAA | Kasnyku Bay | 6/06/04 | - | 18.5 | Icy Strait | ISA | 8/25/04 | 277 | 256.2 | 1.0 | 443 | 140 |
| Coho | 04:09/31 | 2002 | DIPAC | Gastineau Channel | 6/09/04 | - | 21.2 | Icy Strait | ISC | 8/26/04 | 241 | 167.0 | 1.0 | 444 | 130 |
| Coho | 04:09/31 | 2002 | DIPAC | Gastineau Channel | 6/09/04 | - | 21.2 | Icy Strait | ISA | 8/25/04 | 249 | 196.3 | 1.0 | 445 | 130 |
| Coho | 04:09/32 | 2002 | DIPAC | Gastineau Channel | 6/08/04 |  | 17.2 | Icy Strait | ISB | 8/25/04 | 234 | 142.4 | 1.0 | 445 | 130 |
| Coho | No tag | - | - | - | - | - |  | Icy Strait | ISA | 8/25/04 | 247 | 204.7 | - | - |  |
| Coho | No tag | - | - | - | - | - | - | Icy Strait | ISC | 8/26/04 | 225 | 137.5 | - | - | - |
| Chinook | 04:05/55 | 2000 | DIPAC | Gastineau Channel | 6/14/02 | - | 22.5 | Icy Strait | ISC | 8/26/04 | 600 | 2400 | 1.2 | 440 | 130 |
| Chinook | 04:06/88 | 2001 | NSRAA | Kasnyku Bay | 6/01/03 | - | 39.7 | Icy Strait | ISC | 8/26/04 | 440 | 1100 | 1.1 | 453 | 140 |
| Chinook | No tag | - | - | - | - | - | - | Icy Strait | ISC | 8/25/04 | 307 | 370.7 | - | - | - |
| Chinook | No tag | - | - | - | - | - | - | Icy Strait | ISA | 8/24/04 | 310 | 376.9 | - | - | - |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{ADFG}=$ Alaska Department of Fish and Game; AKI = Armstrong Keta Inc.; DIPAC = Douglas Island Pink and Chum; NMFS = National Marine Fisheries Service; NSRAA = Northern Southeast Regional Aquaculture Association; ODFW = Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Table 15.-Stock-specific information on juvenile chum salmon captured in different marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. Length (mm, fork), weight $(\mathrm{g})$, and condition $\left[\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10^{5}\right)\right]$ are reported for each stock group.

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| DIPAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Length | 408 | 73-121 | 98.7 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 163-188 | 174.7 | 7.3 |
| Chatham | Weight | 408 | 4.6-17.1 | 9.9 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 19.7-56.7 | 40.1 | 10.9 |
| Strait | Condition | 408 | 0.5-2.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.3-1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Icy | Length | 360 | 85-130 | 107.4 | 0.4 | 18 | 123-166 | 143.4 | 2.6 | 2 | 167-225 | 196.0 | 29.0 |
| Strait | Weight | 360 | 5.2-20.4 | 12.3 | 0.1 | 18 | 16.4-41.4 | 28.7 | 1.5 | 2 | 47.4-119.7 | 83.6 | 36.2 |
|  | Condition | 360 | 0.6-1.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 18 | 0.9-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | Length | 768 | 73-130 | 102.8 | 0.3 | 18 | 123-166 | 143.4 | 2.6 | 5 | 163-225 | 183.2 | 11.3 |
|  | Weight | 768 | 4.6-20.4 | 11.0 | 0.1 | 18 | 16.4-41.4 | 28.7 | 1.5 | 5 | 19.7-119.7 | 57.5 | 16.7 |
|  | Condition | 768 | 0.5-2.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 18 | 0.9-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.3-1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| Hidden Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Length | 2 | 85-93 | 89.0 | 4.0 | 1 | 123 | 123.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 121-161 | 139.3 | 11.7 |
| Chatham | Weight | 2 | 6.6-8.1 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 1 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 3 | 16.0-42.1 | 27.0 | 7.8 |
| Strait | Condition | 2 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.9-1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |

Table 15.-(Cont.)

## Neets Bay

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper | Length | 2 | $90-101$ | 95.5 | 5.5 | 1 | 160 | 160.0 | 0.0 | 4 | $161-172$ | 167.3 | 2.6 |
| Chatham | Weight | 2 | $9.1-13.5$ | 11.3 | 2.2 | 1 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 4 | $39.9-53.7$ | 47.8 | 3.2 |
| Strait | Condition | 2 | $1.2-1.3$ | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 4 | $1.0-1.1$ | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | 3 | $104-113$ | 107.7 | 2.7 | 80 | $128-182$ | 153.5 | 1.6 | - | - | - | - |
| Strait | Weight | 3 | $9.5-15.2$ | 12.3 | 1.6 | 80 | $14.8-63.8$ | 34.2 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | 3 | $0.8-1.1$ | 1.0 | 0.1 | 80 | $0.6-1.2$ | 0.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | 2 | $148-153$ | 150.5 | 2.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | 2 | $26.5-33.3$ | 29.9 | 3.4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | 2 | $0.8-0.9$ | 0.9 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | Length | 5 | $90-113$ | 102.8 | 3.8 | 83 | $128-182$ | 153.5 | 1.6 | 4 | $161-172$ | 167.3 | 2.6 |
|  | Weight | 5 | $9.1-15.2$ | 11.9 | 1.2 | 83 | $14.8-63.8$ | 34.1 | 1.1 | 4 | $39.9-53.7$ | 47.8 | 3.2 |
|  | Condition | 5 | $0.8-1.3$ | 1.1 | 0.1 | 83 | $0.6-1.2$ | 0.9 | 0.0 | 4 | $1.0-1.1$ | 1.0 | 0.0 |

Table 15.-(Cont.)

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| Unmarked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Length | 75 | 76-113 | 90.9 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 119-223 | 165.5 | 8.4 |
| Chatham | Weight | 75 | 3.9-14.1 | 7.3 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 15.7-112.2 | 48.2 | 7.7 |
| Strait | Condition | 75 | 0.6-1.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 0.3-1.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Icy | Length | 74 | 82-129 | 106.7 | 1.1 | 143 | 103-197 | 135.5 | 1.3 | 13 | 122-222 | 161.8 | 7.7 |
| Strait | Weight | 74 | 4.8-18.6 | 11.5 | 0.4 | 143 | 10.2-74.1 | 24.3 | 0.8 | 13 | 18.5-115.5 | 46.8 | 7.4 |
|  | Condition | 74 | 0.6-1.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 143 | 0.6-1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.9-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | 14 | 117-191 | 134.4 | 6.3 | 3 | 124-154 | 143.0 | 9.5 |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | 14 | 12.0-65.5 | 24.0 | 4.6 | 3 | 16.5-30.8 | 25.6 | 4.6 |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | 14 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.8-0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Total | Length | 149 | 76-129 | 98.8 | 0.9 | 157 | 103-197 | 135.4 | 1.3 | 31 | 119-223 | 161.8 | 5.3 |
|  | Weight | 149 | 3.9-18.6 | 9.4 | 0.3 | 157 | 10.2-74.1 | 24.3 | 0.8 | 31 | 15.7-115.5 | 45.4 | 4.9 |
|  | Condition | 149 | 0.6-1.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 157 | 0.6-1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 31 | 0.3-1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

Table 16. -Stock-specific information on juvenile sockeye salmon captured in different marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. Length ( mm , fork), weight $(\mathrm{g})$, and condition $\left[\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10^{5}\right)\right]$ are reported for each stock group.

|  |  | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Locality | Factor | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| Snettisham |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Length | 8 | 85-121 | 108.3 | 4.3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 166 | 166.0 | 0.0 |
| Chatham | Weight | 8 | 10.0-19.3 | 14.6 | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 49.6 | 49.6 | 0.0 |
| Strait | Condition | 8 | 0.9-2.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | 27 | 91-172 | 113.9 | 3.1 | 5 | 146-169 | 157.0 | 4.6 | - | - | - | - |
| Strait | Weight | 27 | 10.2-26.7 | 15.9 | 0.8 | 5 | 33.2-53.4 | 40.6 | 3.6 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | 27 | 0.2-1.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.9-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | 1 | 129 | 129.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | , | 20.5 | 20.5 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | Length | 35 | 85-172 | 112.6 | 2.6 | 6 | 129-169 | 152.3 | 6.0 | 1 | 166 | 166.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Weight | 35 | 10.0-26.7 | 15.6 | 0.7 | 6 | 20.5-53.4 | 37.2 | 4.4 | 1 | 49.6 | 49.6 | 0.0 |
|  | Condition | 35 | 0.2-2.4 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.9-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Tatsamenie Lake (Total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Length | 3 | 97-123 | 109.0 | 7.6 | 2 | 136-137 | 136.5 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Chatham | Weight | 3 | 8.8-17.8 | 11.9 | 3.0 | 2 | 27.0-27.6 | 27.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - |
| Strait | Condition | 3 | 0.7-1.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |

Table 16.-(Cont.)

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| Unmarked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Length | 34 | 79-138 | 103.7 | 2.6 | 2 | 139-155 | 147.0 | 8.0 | 3 | 171-206 | 183.3 | 11.3 |
| Chatham | Weight | 34 | 5.2-29.1 | 12.0 | 0.9 | 2 | 25.6-40.3 | 33.0 | 7.3 | 3 | 53.0-93.5 | 67.8 | 12.9 |
| Strait | Condition | 34 | 0.4-2.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 3 | 1.1-1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | 101 | 71-171 | 112.3 | 1.7 | 62 | 95-180 | 136.0 | 2.4 | 5 | 153-189 | 165.4 | 6.3 |
| Strait | Weight | 101 | 3.7-50.1 | 15.7 | 0.8 | 62 | 9.0-63.4 | 26.9 | 1.4 | 5 | 35.0-71.1 | 46.6 | 6.3 |
|  | Condition | 101 | 0.7-1.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 62 | 0.9-1.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | 2 | 171-189 | 180.0 | 9.0 | 1 | 123 | 123.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 140-163 | 152.4 | 3.9 |
| Point | Weight | 2 | 53.3-69.0 | 61.2 | 7.9 | 1 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 5 | 23.6-41.3 | 33.3 | 3.3 |
|  | Condition | 2 | 1.0-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.9-1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Total | Length | 137 | 71-189 | 111.2 | 1.6 | 65 | 95-180 | 136.1 | 2.3 | 13 | 140-206 | 164.5 | 4.9 |
|  | Weight | 137 | 3.7-69.0 | 15.5 | 0.8 | 65 | 9.0-63.4 | 27.0 | 1.3 | 13 | 23.6-93.5 | 46.4 | 5.2 |
|  | Condition | 137 | 0.4-2.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 65 | 0.9-1.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.9-1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

Table 17.-Stock-specific information on juvenile coho salmon captured in different marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. Length (mm, fork), weight $(\mathrm{g})$, and condition $\left[\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10^{5}\right)\right]$ are reported for each stock group.

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| DIPAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Length | 1 | 156 | 156.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 213-222 | 217.5 | 4.5 |
| Chatham | Weight | 1 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 112.9-128.1 | 120.5 | 7.6 |
| Strait | Condition | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | 3 | 177-189 | 184.7 | 3.8 | 17 | 213-270 | 239.2 | 3.6 |
| Strait | Weight | - | - | - | - | 3 | 60.9-81.0 | 72.5 | 6.0 | 17 | 108.4-209.9 | 163.9 | 7.4 |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1.1-1.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 17 | 1.1-1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | Length | 1 | 156 | 156.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 177-189 | 184.7 | 3.8 | 19 | 213-270 | 236.9 | 3.6 |
|  | Weight | 1 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 0.0 | 3 | 60.9-81.0 | 72.5 | 6.0 | 19 | 108.4-209.9 | 159.4 | 7.3 |
|  | Condition | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.1-1.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 19 | 1.1-1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Port Armstrong |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper | Length | 1 | 190 | 190.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Chatham | Weight | 1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Strait | Condition | 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | 1 | 214 | 214.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Strait | Weight | - | - | - | - | 1 | 113.1 | 113.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |

Table 17.-(Cont.)

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | Length | 1 | 190 | 190.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 214 | 214.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Weight | 1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 0.0 | 1 | 113.1 | 113.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |

## Unmarked

| Upper | Length | 36 | $121-195$ | 158.7 | 3.0 | 3 | $219-227$ | 222.7 | 2.3 | 3 | $224-257$ | 237.0 | 10.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Chatham | Weight | 36 | $21.0-101.7$ | 50.6 | 2.9 | 3 | $124.3-133.3$ | 130.0 | 2.8 | 3 | $105.8-198.7$ | 149.8 | 26.9 |
| Strait | Condition | 36 | $1.0-1.5$ | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3 | $1.1-1.3$ | 1.2 | 0.1 | 3 | $0.9-1.2$ | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Icy | Length | 30 | $125-237$ | 182.1 | 5.2 | 77 | $157-249$ | 201.4 | 2.5 | 106 | $188-302$ | 247.2 | 2.0 |
| Strait | Weight | 30 | $22.0-158.7$ | 71.4 | 5.7 | 77 | $42.7-204.1$ | 100.2 | 4.0 | 106 | $79.1-341.6$ | 188.2 | 4.5 |
|  | Condition | 30 | $0.8-1.3$ | 1.1 | 0.0 | 77 | $1.0-1.4$ | 1.2 | 0.0 | 106 | $0.7-2.5$ | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | 10 | $182-243$ | 214.4 | 6.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | 10 | $68.7-168.9$ | 118.3 | 11.6 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | 10 | $1.1-1.3$ | 1.2 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | Length | 66 | $121-237$ | 169.3 | 3.2 | 90 | $157-249$ | 203.6 | 2.3 | 109 | $188-302$ | 246.9 | 1.9 |
|  | Weight | 66 | $21.0-158.7$ | 60.1 | 3.3 | 90 | $42.7-204.1$ | 103.2 | 3.7 | 109 | $79.1-341.6$ | 187.1 | 4.4 |
|  | Condition | 66 | $0.8-1.5$ | 1.2 | 0.0 | 90 | $1.0-1.4$ | 1.2 | 0.0 | 109 | $0.7-2.5$ | 1.2 | 0.0 |

Table 18. -Stock-specific information on juvenile chinook salmon captured in different marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. Length ( mm , fork), weight $(\mathrm{g})$, and condition $\left[\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10^{5}\right)\right]$ are reported for each stock group.

|  |  | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Locality | Factor | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |

## Hidden Falls

|  | Upper | Length | 1 | 190 | 190.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chatham | Weight | 1 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Strait | Condition | 1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Icy | Length | 1 | 175 | 175.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 227-228 | 227.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 269 | 269.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Strait | Weight | 1 | 60.5 | 60.5 | 0.0 | 2 | 167.2-167.5 | 167.4 | 0.1 | 1 | 270.0 | 270.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Condition | 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.4-1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| t | Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Condition | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | Length | 2 | 175-190 | 182.5 | 7.5 | 2 | 227-228 | 227.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 269 | 269.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Weight | 2 | 60.5-99.6 | 80.1 | 19.5 | 2 | 167.2-167.5 | 167.4 | 0.1 | 1 | 270.0 | 270.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Condition | 2 | 1.1-1.5 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 2 | 1.4-1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 |

## Unmarked

| Upper | Length | - | - | - | - | 1 | 188 | 188.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Chatham | Weight | - | - | - | - | 1 | 84.4 | 84.4 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Strait | Condition | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Icy | Length | 1 | 272 | 272.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 213 | 213.0 | 0.0 | 3 | $229-310$ | 282.0 |
| Strait | Weight | 1 | 220.8 | 220.8 | 0.0 | 1 | 116.0 | 116.0 | 0.0 | 3 | $143.8-377.7$ | 295.4 |
|  | Condition | 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3 | $1.2-1.3$ | 1.2 |

Table 18.-(Cont.)

| Locality | Factor | June |  |  |  | July |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se | $n$ | range | mean | se |
| Icy | Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Point | Weight | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Condition | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | Length | 1 | 272 | 272.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 188-213 | 200.5 | 12.5 | 3 | 229-310 | 282.0 | 26.5 |
|  | Weight | , | 220.8 | 220.8 | 0.0 | 2 | 84.4-116.0 | 100.2 | 15.8 | 3 | 143.8-377.7 | 295.4 | 75.9 |
|  | Condition | 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.2-1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.2-1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 |

Table 19.-Number of potential predators of juvenile salmon examined at sea, captured by rope trawl in the marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, MayAugust 2004.

| $\underline{\text { Predator species }}$ | Life history stage | Number examined | Number empty | Percent feeding | Number with salmon | Percent feeders with salmon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salmonids |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pink salmon | Adult | 7 | 1 | 85.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Chum salmon | Adult | 10 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sockeye salmon | Adult | 1 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coho salmon | Adult | 6 | 0 | 100.0 | 3 | 50 |
| Chinook salmon | Immature | 34 | 5 | 85.3 | 1 | 3.4 |
| Non-salmonids |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spiny dogfish | Adult | 42 | 19 | 54.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Starry flounder | Adult | 1 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Black rockfish | Adult | 2 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific sandfish | Adult | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Walleye pollock | Immature | 95 | 6 | 93.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 199 | 32 | 16.1 | 4 | 2.4 |


| Date | Haul\# | Station | Juvenile |  |  |  |  | Immature <br> Chinook | Adult |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pink | Chum | Sockeye | Coho | Chinook |  | Pink | Chum | Sockeye | Coho |
| 21-June | 8019 | IPA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21-June | 8020 | IPB | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 21-June | 8023 | IPA | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21-June | 8024 | IPB | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 22-June | 8025 | ISA | 4 | 115 | - | 2 | - | 5 | - | 1 | - | - |
| 22-June | 8026 | ISB | 32 | 49 | 4 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22-June | 8027 | ISC | 20 | 27 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22-June | 8028 | ISD | 21 | 156 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 23-June | 8029 | UCA | 139 | 208 | 11 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23-June | 8030 | UCB | 18 | 78 | 8 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 23-June | 8031 | UCC | 40 | 163 | 14 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23-June | 8032 | UCD | 8 | 105 | 10 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-June | 8033 | TKI | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-June | 8034 | TKH | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-June | 8035 | TKG | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-June | 8036 | ISA | 28 | 41 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| 25-June | 8037 | ISB | 641 | 665 | 45 | 12 | 3 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - |
| 25-June | 8038 | ISC | 901 | 864 | 36 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-June | 8039 | ISD | 166 | 375 | 35 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 26-June | 8040+ | ISC | 30 | 90 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26-June | 8041+ | ISC | 36 | 70 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26-June | 8042+ | ISC | 43 | 185 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 26-June | 8043+ | ISD | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-June | 8044+ | ISD | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-June | 8045+ | ISD | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-June | 8046+ | ISC | 35 | 90 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Date | Haul\# | Station | Juvenile |  |  |  |  | Immature Chinook | Adult |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pink | Chum | Sockeye | Coho | Chinook |  | Pink | Chum | Sockeye | Coho |
| 27-June | 8047+ | ISC | 14 | 35 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-June | 8048+ | ISC | 7 | 10 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-June | 8049+ | ISC | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-June | 8050+ | ISC | 13 | 39 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28-June | 8051* | ISC | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| 27-June | 8052+ | ISC | 1 | 19 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-June | 8053+ | ISC | 4 | 29 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-July | 8055 | IPA | 12 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-July | 8056 | IPA | 21 | 9 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-July | 8057 | IPB | 30 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-July | 8058 | IPB | 10 | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-July | 8061 | ISA | 10 | 8 | 11 | 7 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 25-July | 8062 | ISB | 16 | 10 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-July | 8063 | ISC | 80 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-July | 8064 | ISD | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26-July | 8065 | ISA | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 26-July | 8066 | ISB | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26-July | 8067 | ISC | 11 | 11 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 26-July | 8068 | ISD | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 27-July | 8069 | UCD | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-July | 8070 | UCC | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 27-July | 8071 | UCB | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-July | 8072 | UCA | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 28-July | 8073 | ISA | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 28-July | 8074 | ISB | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28-July | 8075 | ISC | 13 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28-July | 8076 | ISD | 22 | 9 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29-July | 8077 | ISC | 39 | 5 | 9 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Date | Haul\# | Station | Juvenile |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Immature }}{}$ | Adult |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pink | Chum | Sockeye | Coho | Chinook |  | Pink | Chum | Sockeye | Coho |
| 29-July | 8078 | ISC | 8 | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29-July | 8079 | ISC | 31 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29-July | 8080 | ISC | 22 | 2 | - | 13 | - | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| 29-July | 8081 | ISC | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 29-July | 8082 | ISC | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 30-July | 8083 | ISC | 83 | 39 | 5 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30-July | 8084 | ISC | 106 | 26 | 7 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 30-July | 8085* | ISC | 54 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 21-August | 8087 | UCD | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21-August | 8088 | UCC | 19 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22-August | 8089 | UCB | 33 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22-August | 8090 | UCA | 6 | 10 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 23-August | 8091 | IPA | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23-August | 8092 | IPA | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23-August | 8093 | IPB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23-August | 8094 | IPB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-August | 8097 | ISA | 11 | 2 | - | 20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-August | 8098 | ISB | - | 3 | - | 16 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-August | 8099 | ISC | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24-August | 8100 | ISD | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-August | 8101 | ISA | - | - | - | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-August | 8102 | ISB | 3 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-August | 8103 | ISC | 3 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 25-August | 8104** | ISC | 3 | 2 | - | 11 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25-August | 8105 | ISD | 4 | 2 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26-August | 8106 | ISC | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26-August | 8107** | ISC | 1 | - | 1 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 26-August | 8108 | ISC | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Appendix 1.-(Cont.)

| Date | Haul\# | Station | Juvenile |  |  |  |  | Immature | Adult |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pink | Chum | Sockeye | Coho | Chinook | Chinook | Pink | Chum | Sockeye | Coho |
| 26-August | 8109** | ISC | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 26-August | 8110 | ISC | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26-August | 8111** | ISC | 16 | 5 | 2 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 27-August | 8112** | ISC | 29 | 4 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27-August | 8113 | ISC | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28-August | 8114* | ISC | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Figure 1.-Stations sampled in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004. Small arrows indicate two major enhancement facilities: Douglas Island Pink and Chum (DIPAC) Hatchery and Hidden Falls Hatchery.


Figure 2.-Surface temperature ( $3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{a}$ ), salinity ( $3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~b}$ ), and zooplankton settled volumes from vertical NORPAC hauls ( $20 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{c}$ ) in inshore, strait, and coastal marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, May-August 2004. Zooplankton standing stock $\left(\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ can be computed by dividing by water volume filtered, a factor of 3.9 $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ for these samples.


Figure 3.- Monthly zooplankton composition (percent number per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) from day and night, shallow ( $20-\mathrm{m}$ ) and deep ( $\leq 200-\mathrm{m}$ ) double oblique bongo net samples collected at station ISC in Icy Strait in the northern region of southeastern Alaska, May-August 04. Left panels represent $333-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh, right panels represent $505-\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh. NS indicates no sample.


Figure 4.-Fish composition from rope trawl catches in strait and coastal marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, June-August 2004. Number of fish is indicated above each bar.


Figure 5.-Mean catch per rope trawl haul of juvenile salmon in strait and coastal marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, June-August, 2004.


Figure 6.-Length (mm, fork) of juvenile salmon captured in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. Length of vertical bars is the size range for each sample, and the boxes within the size range are one standard error on either side of the mean. Sample sizes are shown in parentheses.


Figure 7.-Weight (g) of juvenile salmon captured in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, JuneAugust 2004. Length of vertical bars is the size range for each sample, and the bars within the size range are one standard error on either side of the mean. Sample sizes are shown in parentheses.


Figure 8.-Fulton's condition $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mm}^{3} \cdot 10^{5}\right)$ of juvenile salmon captured in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. Length of vertical bars is the size range for each sample, and the boxes within the size range are one standard error on either side of the mean. Sample sizes are shown in parentheses.


Figure 9.-Monthly stock composition of juvenile chum salmon based on otolith thermal marks in strait and coastal marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, June-August 2004. Number of salmon sampled per month and habitat is indicated above each bar.


Figure 10.-Monthly stock composition of juvenile sockeye salmon based on otolith thermal marks in strait and coastal marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, June-August 2004. Number of salmon sampled per month and habitat is indicated above each bar.


Figure 11.-Monthly stock composition of juvenile coho salmon based on otolith thermal marks in strait and coastal marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, June-August 2004. Number of salmon per month and habitat is indicated above each bar.


Figure 12.-Monthly stock composition of juvenile chinook salmon based on otolith thermal marks in the strait and coastal marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska, June-August 2004. Number of salmon per month and habitat is indicated above each bar.


Figure 13.-Stock-specific growth trajectories of juvenile chum and sockeye salmon captured in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. Weights of May fish are mean values at time of hatchery release. The sample sizes and the standard error of the mean are indicated above each bar.


Figure 14.-Stock-specific growth trajectories of juvenile coho and chinook salmon captured in marine waters of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, June-August 2004. Weights of May fish are mean values at time of hatchery release. The sample sizes and the standard error of the mean are indicated above each bar.


Figure 15.-Prey composition of potential salmon predator species captured in marine habitats of the northern region of southeastern Alaska by rope trawl, May-August 2004. See also Table 19 for feeding rates. The numbers of fish examined are shown above the bars.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Reference to trade names does not imply endorsement by the Auke Bay Laboratory, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA Fisheries.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Juvenile ${ }^{2}$ Immature ${ }^{3}$ Adult

