Post-9/11 EP Initiatives

Nader L. Mamish

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

W. Craig Conklin

Department of Homeland Security Federal Emergency Management Agency

National Radiological Emergency Preparedness Conference
Harrisburg, PA
April 11, 2005



Purpose

- FEMA & NRC Seeking Stakeholder Comments & Suggestions
- Open to Revising Challenges
- Open to Creative Solutions
- Desire Stakeholder Views on Offsite Response Communication



Meeting Format

- Introduction of Challenge
- Introduction of Solutions
- Discussion of Solutions & Alternatives
- All Ideas Noted for Consideration



Town Hall Session: Response to Security Events

- Security Scenarios at Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs)
- Challenges & Proposed Enhancements to Onsite Response
- Challenges & Proposed Enhancements to Offsite Response
- Additional Initiatives



INTRODUCTION: What Do We Know? What Have We Done?

- Post-9/11 Changes
 - Supplemented Design Basis Threat
 - Federal Agency Coordination (Including Creation of Department of Homeland Security (DHS) & State DHS Offices)
 - National Response Plan



Post-9/11 Challenges

- Terrorist Attack Scenarios Present Challenges
 - Safety Basis Does Not Change
 - Challenges to Response
 - Challenges to Resource Deployment
 - Loss of Resources Possible
 - Differences in Accident Precursors



Enhancements to Nuclear Power Plant EP

- Onsite Changes
- Evaluation of EP Planning Basis
- Force-on-Force Exercises
- Consideration of Aircraft Threat



Onsite Changes

- Alternative Facilities
- Onsite Evacuation Routes
- Onsite Protective Actions
- Staffing Review



Evaluation of EP Planning Basis

- EP Planning Basis for Nuclear Power Reactors Remains Valid
- Implementation Can Be Enhanced



Implementation of Enhancements

- Notification to Offsite Response Organizations (OROs)
- Offsite Resources
- Examination of NRC Protective Action Recommendation (PAR) Guidance
- Terrorist Scenario Based Drill & Exercise Program



Force-on-Force Exercises

- NRC Conducting Force-on-Force (FOF)
 Exercises with EP Participation
- Tests EP-Operations-Security Interface
- NRC Exercises Conducted Every 3 Years
- Lessons Learned by Site EP Programs



Consideration of Aircraft Threat

- NRC Communications
- Operational Issues
- Personnel Safety Issues



Questions ... Comments

Questions on Overview?

Onsite Enhancements Are Next ...



Onsite EP Enhancements

- NRC Staff Met With the Commission Regarding Enhancements
- Staff Seeking Feedback at NREP



Onsite EP Enhancements

- Emergency Action Levels (EALs)
- Prompt NRC Notifications
- Onsite Protective Actions
- Drill & Exercise Program



Emergency Action Levels (EALs)

- Proposed Armed Attack EALs
 Made More Proactive
 - Owner Controlled Area Incursion Alert
 - Protected Area Incursion SAE
 - General Emergency Remains the Same



NRC Notifications

- Prompt Notification to NRC of Armed Attack
- NRC Will Notify DHS & Warn Other NPPs
- Implementation of the National Response Plan (NRP) for Incident of National Significance



Onsite Protective Actions

- Onsite Protective Actions (PAs) for Armed Attacks
- Enhancements for PAs for Aircraft Attack



Drill & Exercise Program

- Pilot Drills at 4-6 Plants
- Results to Commission
- Conduct Observed Exercise (Off-Year?) at Every Site Over Next 3 Years
- Terrorist Scenarios Part of Routine Program Thereafter



Questions?

Comments?

Offsite Enhancements Next ...

- Break -



Offsite Enhancements

- Abbreviated Notifications
- Protective Actions
- Activate Fully at Alert



Abbreviated Notifications

- Early Notification of OROs?
- EALs Anticipating Impact of Terrorist Acts
- Notify OROs of Incidents of National Significance



Abbreviated Notifications

- Timing of Response May Not Allow Typical Notification Process
 - Notification Could Take 4-7 Minutes
 - Control Room May Not Have That Time
- Follow-Up Notification Performed ASAP



Proposed Notification

- One Point of Contact
- Site & Classification
- Verification?



Questions?

Comments?



Offsite Protective Actions

- Security Events Cannot Change Core
 Physics BUT Events May Not Progress in Logical, Diagnosable or Stepwise Manner
- Enhanced Public PAs May be Appropriate in Such Scenarios
- Many Sites Already Implement These Types of Actions



Site Area Emergency Offsite Protective Actions

- Sound Sirens
- Activate EAS With Instructions
- Shelter-in-Place Within 2 Miles
- Evacuate Special Needs, Schools, Parks
- Prepare for Additional Actions, as appropriate



Questions?

Comments?



Additional Initiatives

- Considering Update to NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1 to Capture Security Event Enhancements
- Potential Review of NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1



Questions?

Comments?



Additional Initiatives

- DHS & FCC Rolling Out Enhanced Version of Reverse-911 Warning Systems
- Systems Could Warn Public Via Multiple Channels: TV, Radio, Phone & Cell Phone
- 21st Century Notification



Questions?

Comments?



Additional Initiatives

- NPP Notifications to OROs & NRC Have Used Telephone & Paper Systems Successfully for Over 25 Years
- Procedures Could be Replaced With Rapid Electronic Systems
- Notifications Verified by the System Itself



Questions?

Comments?



What Other Initiatives ... Enhancements ... Should the NRC & FEMA Consider?



In Closing

- Summarize & Document Concerns
- Summarize & Document Areas Where There Are No Issues
- Discuss Future Opportunities for Comment
 & Input Into the Process





Conclusion

- EP Planning Basis Remains Valid
- Initiatives & Enhancements Identified for Security Events



Thank You for Participating

Craig Conklin Craig.Conklin@dhs.gov

Nader Mamish nlm@nrc.gov



