

Prospectus for Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.2

Thresholds of Change in Ecosystems

Lead Agency: USGS

Supporting Agencies: USDA, DOE, EPA, NOAA, NSF

1. Overview: Description of Topic, Audience, Intended Use, and Questions to be Addressed

1.1 Description of Topic and Questions to be Addressed

One of the primary goals of the Ecosystems research element of the Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) is to enhance the understanding and ability to predict and forecast effects of climate change on ecosystems. Over the past several decades, numerous scientific publications and reports have described and discussed historical and potential effects of climate change and variability on ecosystems and their constituent biota and processes. Because temperature, precipitation, and related climate variables are fundamental regulators of biological processes, it is reasonable to expect that significant human-induced changes in the climate system, both its mean state and its variance structure in space and time (including occurrence of extreme weather events), will have measurable effects on the distribution, condition, composition, structure, and functioning of ecosystems. Such changes in ecosystems may, in turn, alter linkages and feedbacks between ecosystems and regional climate systems (e.g., altered albedo, changes in rates of energy and gas exchange). Because ecosystems produce a wide array of goods and services valued by humans, climate-induced changes in ecosystems could have significant effects on human communities and economies.

Numerous scientific publications document effects of changing climate conditions on ecosystems. Many of these observed changes involve changes in the timing of species life cycle or life history phenomena. Such phenological changes may significantly alter demographic processes in single species as well as interactions among species (e.g., predation, mutualism, trophic relations). In other cases, documented changes involve significant alterations in the distribution of species, including range shifts, expansions, or contractions. Changes in the spatial extent and distribution of ecosystems, and in the location of major ecotones, have also been reported. Other changes may involve alterations in ecosystem function (e.g., primary productivity) or in related ecosystem processes such as biogeochemical cycling.

In spite of the great interest and importance in understanding and forecasting ecosystem responses to climate change and variability, it is often difficult to relate specific, observable changes in ecosystems to climate change in a rigorous, causal manner. This is partly because climate variables are linked to specific ecosystem responses through complex, nonlinear chains of interacting processes. Part of the difficulty is also related to the need to ‘downscale’ attributes of change in the climate system to understand ecosystem changes at regional or ecoregional scales. Moreover, effects of climate change

1 on ecosystems and their constituent species and processes are typically confounded with
2 effects of numerous other human actions, including land use changes that fragment and
3 degrade ecosystems at various spatial scales, pollutants, invasions of non-native species,
4 and resource management and utilization practices. It is difficult to tease apart effects of
5 climate change from these other effects. These challenges are made more difficult by the
6 current paucity of long-term data and information for most ecosystem types and
7 ecoregions, especially from experiments designed to ascertain cause-and-effect
8 relationships. Nonetheless, significant progress is being made in linking observed
9 changes in the climate system and climate-sensitive parameters (e.g., extent and duration
10 of Arctic sea ice) with observed changes in the attributes of ecosystem change discussed
11 above (e.g., Dennis and Shreeve 1991; Easterling et al. 2000; Schmitz et al. 2003;
12 Parmesan and Galbraith 2004; Thuiller et al. 2005).

13
14 In the ongoing discussions of climate change effects on ecosystems, increasing focus is
15 being placed on the existence and likelihood of abrupt state changes or threshold
16 responses in the structure and functioning of ecosystems (Holling 1986; Scheffer et al.
17 2001; Higgins et al. 2002; Foley et al. 2003; Schneider 2004; Burkett et al. 2005; Hsieh et
18 al. 2005). Various interrelated terms are employed in the scientific literature to
19 characterize these types of discontinuous and rapid changes in ecosystems, including
20 ecosystem tipping points, regime shifts, threshold responses, alternative or multiple stable
21 states, and abrupt state changes. Such discontinuities in ecosystems are difficult to
22 predict, and are likely to result in profound changes to natural resources that are sensitive
23 to climate changes, as well as to human societies that depend on ecosystem goods and
24 services. While the occurrence of threshold or abrupt changes in ecosystems are
25 suggested by current ecological theory and models, and are documented in the
26 paleoecological record, they are poorly understood quantitatively as well as in terms of
27 the underlying causal mechanisms. It is unclear under what circumstances climate
28 change, both in its mean state and in its variance in space and time, including occurrence
29 of extreme weather events, might cause ecosystem threshold shifts, instead of more
30 gradual, continuous changes in ecosystems and species.

31
32 This CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Product (SAP) 4.2 will address and synthesize the
33 present state of scientific understanding regarding potential abrupt state changes or
34 regime shifts in ecosystems in response to climate change. The assembled author team
35 will rely on its expertise and knowledge of the relevant peer-reviewed literature to
36 identify and synthesize results of those studies that provide the best available evidence
37 upon which to define the circumstances in which abrupt changes in ecosystems in
38 response to climate change might be expected. The resulting report will develop a
39 conceptual framework within which to frame and discuss potential abrupt state changes
40 or regime shifts in ecosystems in response to climate change. The report will identify
41 specific difficulties or shortcomings in our ability to identify the likelihood of abrupt state
42 changes in ecosystems as a consequence of climate change.

43
44 The specific questions to be addressed by this CCSP product include:
45

- 1 1. What specifically is meant by abrupt state changes or regime shifts in the structure and
2 function of ecosystems in response to climate change?
 - 3 • How do we recognize such changes?
 - 4 • What are appropriate ecological variables or indicators for measuring such
5 changes?
 - 6 • How does our ability to recognize sudden changes in ecosystems vary with the
7 spatial or temporal scales of observation and analysis?
8
- 9 2. What evidence is available from current ecological theory, ecological modeling
10 studies, or the paleoecological record that abrupt changes in ecosystems are likely to
11 occur in response to climate change?
 - 12 • Does the available historical record elucidate whether a particular abrupt
13 ecosystem change was due to an abrupt change in climate, or whether gradual climate
14 change over time caused the ecosystem to cross a critical threshold?
15
- 16 3. Are some ecosystems more likely to exhibit abrupt state changes or threshold
17 responses to climate change?
 - 18 • What are the specific processes or factors, physical and biological, that
19 determine whether discontinuous responses are likely to occur in ecosystems in
20 response to climate change?
21
- 22 4. If abrupt changes are likely to occur in ecosystems in response to climate change, what
23 does this imply about the ability of ecosystems to provide a continuing supply of
24 ecosystem goods and services to meet the needs of humans?
25
- 26 5. If there is a high potential for abrupt or threshold-type changes in ecosystems in
27 response to climate change, what changes must we make in existing management
28 models, premises, and practices in order to manage these systems in a sustainable,
29 resilient manner?
30
- 31 6. How do we design and implement monitoring systems, at various spatial scales, in
32 order to detect and anticipate abrupt or threshold changes in ecosystems in response to
33 future climate change?
34
- 35 7. What are the major research needs and priorities that will enhance our ability in the
36 future both to forecast and to detect abrupt changes in ecosystems caused by climate
37 change?
38

39 1.2 Audience and Intended Use

40
41 The intended audience for this CCSP product includes research scientists, decision
42 makers, resource managers, and other stakeholders who have interests in assessing and
43 evaluating potential effects of climate change and variability on ecosystems, especially
44 abrupt changes or regime shifts in ecosystems in response to climate change.
45

1 The intended use of this CCSP product is to provide information on the potential for
2 abrupt changes or regime shifts in ecosystems in response to climate change, both change
3 in the mean state of the climate system and on its variance in space and time, including
4 the occurrence of extreme weather events. This product will address scientific and
5 technical issues in a comprehensive, objective, and open manner. While based
6 fundamentally on the relevant peer-reviewed literature, this product will be written to be
7 accessible and useful to the well-informed general reader and decision maker.

8 9 **2. Contact Information for Responsible Individuals at the Lead and Supporting** 10 **Agencies**

11
12 The US Geological Survey (USGS) is the lead agency for this CCSP Synthesis and
13 Assessment Product, with the US Department of Agriculture (USDA), US Department of
14 Energy (DOE), US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the National Oceanic
15 Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and National Science Foundation (NSF) as
16 supporting agencies. Because USGS is the lead agency, the product will be subject to
17 USGS guidelines implementing the Information Quality Act (IQA). Contact information
18 for the lead and supporting agencies are listed below:

19 20 USSG (Lead)

21 Colleen Charles – colleen_charles@usgs.gov 703-648-4110

22 23 USDA

24 William Hohenstein – whohenst@oce.usda.gov, 202-720-6698

25 Allen Solomon – allensolomon@fs.fed.us, 703-605-5251

26 27 DOE

28 Jeff Amthor – jeff.amthor@science.doe.gov, 301-903-2507

29 30 EPA

31 Susan Julius – julius.susan@epa.gov, 202-564-3394

32 33 NOAA

34 Ned Cyr – Ned.Cyr@noaa.gov, 301-713-2363 x159

35 Kenric Osgood – Kenric.Osgood@noaa.gov, 301-713-2363 x154

36 37 NSF

38 Henry Gholz – hgholz@nsf.gov, 703-292-7185

39 Phil Taylor – prtaylor@nsf.gov, 703-292-8582

40 41 **3. Lead Authors: Required Expertise of Lead Authors and Biographical** 42 **Information for Proposed Lead Authors**

43
44 Based on discussions among the lead and supporting agencies, the following are
45 proposed as lead authors for Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.2:
46

1 Craig D. Allen, Research Ecologist, USGS Fort Collins Science Center, Jemez
2 Mountains Field Station, Los Alamos, NM; 505-672-3861, craig_allen@usgs.gov

3
4 Julio L. Betancourt, Senior Scientist and Paleo-Biogeographer, USGS Desert Laboratory,
5 National Research Program, Tucson, AZ; 602-670-6821x109, jlbetanc@usgs.gov

6
7 Charles Birkeland, Assistant Unit Leader-Fish and Coral Reef Ecologist, USGS
8 Cooperative Research Unit and University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI; 808-956-8350,
9 charlesb@hawaii.edu

10
11 F. Stuart (Terry) Chapin, III, Professor of Ecology, Department of Biology and Wildlife,
12 Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, Lead PI-Bonanza Creek
13 LTER Program; 907-474-7922, terry.chapin@uaf.edu

14
15 James E. Cloern, Senior Research Biologist, USGS National Research Program, Menlo
16 Park, CA; 650-329-4594, jecloern@usgs.gov

17
18 Daniel B. Fagre, Research Ecologist, USGS Northern Rocky Mountain Science Center,
19 Glacier National Park, West Glacier, MT; 406-888-7922, dan_fagre@usgs.gov

20
21 Peter M. Groffman, Senior Scientist and Microbial Ecologist, Institute of Ecosystem
22 Studies, Millbrook, NY, co-PI, Hubbard Brook LTER Program; 914-677-5343,
23 groffmanp@ecostudies.org

24
25 Glenn R. Guntenspergen, Landscape/Wetland Ecologist, USGS Patuxent Wildlife
26 Research Center, Superior, WI; 218-720-4328, glenn_guntenspergen@usgs.gov

27
28 Alan K. Knapp, Professor of Biology and Graduate Degree Program in Ecology,
29 Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, co-PI, Konza Prairie LTER Program; 970-
30 491-7010, aknapp@lamar.colostate.edu

31
32 Anthony David McGuire, Assistant Unit Leader-Ecology, USGS Cooperative Research
33 Unit and University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, co-PI, Bonanza Creek LTER Program;
34 907-474-7661, ffadm@uaf.edu

35
36 Patrick J. Mulholland, Aquatic Ecologist, Carbon & Nutrient Biogeochemistry Group,
37 Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN; 865-
38 574-7304, mulhollandpj@ornl.edu

39
40 Debra P. C. Peters, Research Scientist, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Jornada
41 Experimental Range, Las Cruces, NM, Lead PI, Jornada Basin LTER Program; 505-646-
42 2777, debpeter@nmsu.edu

43
44 Daniel Roby, Assistant Unit Leader-Wildlife, USGS Cooperative Research Unit and
45 Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR; 541-737-1955, droby@usgs.gov

46

1 George Sugihara, Professor of Ecology, Scripps Institute of Oceanography and
2 University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA, co-PI, California Current Ecosystem
3 LTER Program; 858-534-5582, gsugihara@ucsd.edu

4
5 Development of this product will require an interdisciplinary group of lead and
6 supporting authors with expertise and experience directly related to thresholds of climate
7 change in ecosystems, who are acknowledged as experts based on their publication
8 records and relevant accomplishments and contributions. The lead agency will work
9 closely with the supporting agencies and proposed lead authors to develop the final list of
10 lead and supporting authors for this product. Nominations for additional authors will be
11 solicited during the public comment period, and should be submitted to the lead agency
12 contact for this product. Brief biographical information for the proposed lead authors for
13 this product is provided in Appendix A. The author team will also depend extensively on
14 solicitation of relevant information from experts in the Federal and academic research
15 community during the preparation of this report.

17 **4. Stakeholder Interactions**

18
19 In preparing this draft prospectus to develop SAP 4.2, careful consideration was given to
20 feedback received from stakeholders at the December 2002 CCSP Planning Workshop
21 for Scientists and Stakeholders, as well as the November 2005 CCSP Workshop on
22 Climate Science in Support of Decision Making. This prospectus also reflects feedback
23 on research priorities received from representatives of the ecosystem research community
24 at the February 2004 Ecosystems Interagency Working Group Workshop (Lucier et al.
25 2006), held following publication of the CCSP Strategic Plan, which guided selection of
26 questions to be addressed in preparation of SAP 4.2. Stakeholder input will also be
27 solicited through the public comment period for this prospectus and the draft report put
28 forth by the authors of SAP 4.2.

29
30 In addition, the authors in collaboration with the lead and supporting agencies may call
31 upon a set of stakeholders to broaden the input for this study as necessary. This input,
32 together with input received from sources noted above, would be considered carefully in
33 defining the scope, organization, content, and expectations for the product.

35 **5. Drafting Process: Materials to be Used in Preparing the Product**

36
37 The lead authors will draft answers to the key questions in their respective sections. They
38 will also prepare an introductory section to describe the topic, the audience, and the
39 intended use of this product. The lead author for each section may assign primary
40 responsibility for drafting to a specific contributing author. The scientific/technical
41 synthesis of the document will utilize published, peer-reviewed scientific literature.
42 Authors will consider the full range of relevant peer-reviewed information based on their
43 expertise and perspectives. The final product, including an Executive Summary, will
44 identify disparate views, where appropriate, and will carefully evaluate remaining sources
45 of uncertainty and their effects on the responses to the questions and the main
46 conclusions to be reached.

1
2 One initial workshop is envisioned as a means to help the research community provide
3 input and identify divergent opinions on thresholds of climate change in ecosystems. The
4 lead authors will be responsible for incorporating materials from contributing authors and
5 from the workshop participants in the draft product.
6

7 **6. Review Process**

8

9 During its development SAP 4.2 will be reviewed following the process described in the
10 Guidelines for Producing CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Products, and in the
11 companion Recommendations for Implementing the *CCSP Synthesis and Assessment*
12 *Guidelines*, including (1) a first draft for expert peer review, (2) a second draft posted for
13 public comment, and (3) a third draft for final review and approval through the CCSP
14 Interagency Committee and the Committee on Environment and Natural Resources
15 (CENR) / National Science and Technology Council (NSTC).
16

17 The expert peer review for the product will fully comply with requirements of the
18 Information Quality Act (PL 106-554, §515(a)) (“IQA”), the IQA Lead Agency’s
19 Information Quality Guidelines, and the requirements of the Office of Management and
20 Budget’s (OMB) Final Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review (“OMB Bulletin”).
21

22 The public is invited to nominate Expert Reviewers to participate in the peer review of
23 the draft CCSP SAP 4.2. Nominations should be e-mailed or sent to Colleen Charles
24 (colleen_charles@usgs.gov) at the U.S. Geological Survey, 12201 Sunrise Valley Dr.,
25 MS 301, Reston, Virginia 20192 by June 15, 2007. Nominations must include an up-to-
26 date curriculum vitae and listing of publications. Peer reviewers who are Federal
27 employees will be subject to Federal requirements governing conflict of interest (see 18
28 U.S.C, 208, 5C.F.R. Part 2635 (2004)). Reviewers who are not Federal employees will
29 be screened pursuant to the National Academy of Sciences policy for committee selection
30 with respect to conflict of interest.
31

32 The lead agency for this product will select qualified reviewers based on their expertise,
33 published work, and stature within and across scientific communities. For the
34 preparation and review of this product, the USGS will establish a committee under
35 applicable provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), as implemented
36 by the Department of the Interior (DOI). The lead agency will also screen for real or
37 perceived conflict of interest and independence from the lead and supporting agencies for
38 that report, and ensure that the full slate of reviewers selected reflects a balance of
39 scientific and technical perspectives. At least three independent reviews will be obtained
40 from non-climate scientists, selected by the lead and supporting agencies, to comment on
41 how understandable and useful the draft product is to non-specialists.
42

43 Following expert review, the lead authors will revise the draft product by incorporating
44 comments and suggestions from the reviewers, as the lead and supporting authors deem
45 appropriate. The lead agency will prepare the required peer review report, including a
46 summary of peer review comments and the agency’s response to the review. The peer

1 review report will be posted on the lead agency's web site and linked to the CCSP web
2 site.

3
4 Following this expert review process, the second draft will be released for public
5 comment following CCSP guidelines. The public comment period will last at least 45
6 days. The lead and supporting authors will prepare a third draft, taking into consideration
7 the comments submitted during the public comment period. The scientific judgment of
8 the lead and supporting authors will determine responses to the comments. A summary
9 of the public comments received for the product, along with a summary of responses to
10 these comments, will be posted on the CCSP web site.

11
12 Following clearance by the lead agency (USGS), the third draft of the product will be
13 submitted concurrently to the CCSP Interagency Committee and the CENR for final
14 review and approval. If the concurrent CCSP Interagency Committee/CENR review
15 determines that no further action is needed and that the product has been prepared in
16 conformance with these guidelines and the IQA (including ensuring objectivity, utility,
17 and integrity as defined in 67 FR 8452), they will clear the product for final production
18 by the lead agency. If the CCSP Interagency Committee and/or CENR determine that
19 further revision is necessary, their comments will be sent to the lead agency for
20 consideration and resolution by lead and supporting authors. If needed, the National
21 Research Council (NRC) will be asked to provide additional scientific analysis to bound
22 scientific uncertainty associated with specific issues. Final clearance will require
23 concurrence of all members of the CENR. The lead agency will produce the final
24 product and it will be released in coordination with the Climate Change Science Program
25 Office (CCSPO).

26 27 **7. Related Activities, including Other National and International Assessment** 28 **Processes**

29
30 This CCSP product will build on previous Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
31 (IPCC) assessments (e.g., First, Second, Third and Fourth Assessment Reports); the
32 recent USGCRP National Assessment, *Climate Change Impacts on the United States:*
33 *Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change* (including the Foundation
34 and Overview reports and the several regional and topical assessment reports); NRC
35 reports (e.g., *Global Environmental Change: Research Pathways for the Next Decade*,
36 1999; *Science Priorities for the Human Dimensions of Global Change*, 1994; *Sea Level*
37 *Rise and Coastal Disasters: Summary of a Forum*, 2002; *Hydrologic Science Priorities*
38 *for the U.S. Global Change Research Program: An Initial Assessment*, 1999; *Climate*
39 *Change Science: An Analysis of Some Key Questions*, 2001); and other relevant national
40 and international reports. It is expected that this CCSP product will provide input to
41 future IPCC assessments, future National Assessments, and future NRC reports on
42 climate change effects.

43 44 **8. Communications: Proposed Method of Publication and Dissemination of the** 45 **Products**

1 The lead agency will coordinate production and release with CCSPO using the standard
 2 format established for all CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Products. The final product
 3 and the comments received during the public comment period will be posted on the
 4 CCSP web site. Similarly, the peer review report for the product, along with the lead
 5 agency’s response to the review, will be posted on the lead agency website and linked to
 6 the CCSP web site. Once NSTC has cleared the document, the product will be prepared
 7 for both web and hardcopy dissemination. Final report production and layout will be
 8 managed by professional and technical editors. The number of hard copies of the
 9 product, and the means for dissemination and notification of availability, will be designed
 10 to ensure broad availability to the scientific community and to the public, including all
 11 stakeholders with a stated interest in the product.

12
 13 As part of this process, a communications plan will be developed by the lead and
 14 supporting agencies along with the lead authors as appropriate. This plan will cover all
 15 aspects of the distribution and dissemination of information about this product. Various
 16 outlets and mechanisms will be considered in order to alert stakeholders to the product
 17 and its findings, and to invite them to participate in the public comment period.

18
 19 **9. Proposed Timeline**

20
 21 The timeline proposed for Product 4.2 is as follows

22
 23 2007

24 April 2007	Public Comments on draft prospectus
25 May 2007	Final prospectus cleared & published on CCSP web site
26 May 2007	FACA review committee established
27 July/August 2007	First draft of product completed
28 September 2007	Expert review of first draft product completed
29 October 2007	Second draft of product completed
30 November 2007	Public comments on second draft product completed
31 December 2007	Third draft of product completed
32 December 2007	CCSP/CENR review of third draft product completed
33 December 2007	Final product published on CCSP website

1 **References**

- 2
3 Burkett, VR, DA Wilcox, R Stottlemeyer, W Barrow, D Fagre, J Baron, J Price, JL
4 Nielsen, CD Allen, DL Peterson, G Ruggerone, T Doyle. 2005. Nonlinear dynamics
5 in ecosystem response to climatic change: Case studies and policy implications.
6 *Ecological Complexity* **2**: 357-394.
7
8 Dennis, RLH, and TG Shreeve. 1991. Climatic change and the British butterfly fauna:
9 Opportunities and constraints. *Biological Conservation* **55**: 1-16.
10
11 Easterling, DR, GA Meehl, C Parmesan, SA Changnon, TR Karl, LO Mearns. 2000.
12 Climate extremes: Observations, modeling, and impacts. *Science* **289**: 2068-2074.
13
14 Foley, JA, MT Coe, M Scheffer, G Wang. 2003. Regime shifts in the Sahara and Sahel:
15 Interactions between ecological and climatic systems in Northern Africa. *Ecosystems*
16 **6**: 524-539.
17
18 Higgins, PAT, MD Mastrandrea, SH Schneider. 2002. Dynamics of climate and
19 ecosystem coupling: Abrupt changes and multiple equilibria. *Philosophical*
20 *Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* **357**: 647-655.
21
22 Holling, CS. 1986. The resilience of terrestrial ecosystems: local surprise and global
23 global change. In *Sustainable Development of the Biosphere* (Clark, WC and RE
24 Munn, eds.), pp. 292-317, Cambridge University Press.
25
26 Hsieh, C-h, SM Glaser, AJ Lucas, G Sugihara. 2005. Distinguishing random
27 environmental fluctuations from ecological catastrophes for the North Pacific Ocean.
28 *Nature* **435**: 336-340.
29
30 Lucier, A, M Palmer, H Mooney, K Nadlehoffer, D Ojima, F Chavez. 2006. *Ecosystems*
31 *and Climate Change: Research Priorities for the U.S. Climate Change Science*
32 *Program. Recommendations from the Scientific Community*. Report on an
33 Ecosystems Workshop, prepared for the Ecosystems Interagency Working Group.
34 Special Series No. SS-92-06, University of Maryland Center for Environmental
35 Science, Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD, USA. 50pp.
36
37 Parmesan, C and H Galbraith. 2004. *Observed Impacts of global climate change in the*
38 *U.S.* Prepared for the Pew Center on Global Environmental Change. 56 pp.
39
40 Scheffer, M, SR Carpenter, JA Foley, C Folke, BH Walker. 2001. Catastrophic shifts in
41 ecosystems. *Nature* **413**: 591-596.
42
43 Schmitz, OJ, E Post, CE Burns, KM Johnston. 2003. Ecosystem responses to global
44 climate change: Moving beyond color mapping. *BioScience* **53**: 1199-1205.
45

1 Schneider, SH. 2004. Abrupt non-linear climate change, irreversibility and surprise.
2 *Global Environmental Change* **14**: 245-258.

3
4 Thuiller, W, S Lavorel, MB Araujo, MT Sykes, IC Prentice. 2005. Climate change threats
5 to plant diversity in Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102**:
6 8245-8250.

9 **APPENDIX A – Biographical Information for Proposed Lead Authors**

10
11 Craig D. Allen, Research Ecologist, USGS Fort Collins Science Center, Jemez
12 Mountains Field Station, Los Alamos, NM; 505-672-3861, craig_allen@usgs.gov

13
14 Craig Allen is a research ecologist with the U.S. Geological Survey, and is Station Leader of the
15 Jemez Mountains Field Station based at Bandelier National Monument. He received B.S. and
16 M.S. degrees in geography from the University of Wisconsin (Madison), and a Ph.D. focused on
17 forest and landscape ecology from the University of California (Berkeley). He has worked as a
18 place-based ecologist with the Department of Interior in the Jemez Mountains since 1986. Craig
19 conducts research on the ecology and environmental history of Southwestern landscapes, and the
20 responses of Western mountain ecosystems to climate change, and provides technical support in
21 the areas of conservation biology and ecological restoration to Bandelier National Monument
22 and other land management agencies in the region. Recent and ongoing research activities
23 involving a variety of colleagues and collaborators include: development of vegetation and fire
24 histories in the Southwest; responses of semiarid forests and woodlands to drought, including
25 extensive vegetation dieback; fire effects on Jemez Mountains salamanders, Mexican spotted
26 owls, and nitrogen cycling; runoff and erosion processes in piñon-juniper watersheds;
27 ecological restoration of Southwestern forests and woodlands; development of long-term
28 ecological monitoring networks across landscape gradients in the Jemez Mountains; and
29 determining elk movements and habitat effects in the Jemez Mountains. Since 2001 Craig has
30 worked to help initiate natural resource inventory, monitoring, and research efforts in support of
31 adaptive management at the new Valles Caldera National Preserve. Craig is one of the core PI's
32 of the Western Mountain Initiative, an integration of research programs that study global change
33 in mountain ecosystems of the western United States.

34
35 Julio L. Betancourt, Senior Scientist and Paleo-Biogeographer, USGS Desert Laboratory,
36 National Research Program, Tucson, AZ; 602-670-6821x109, jlbetanc@usgs.gov

37
38 Julio Betancourt is a Research Hydrologist with USGS and an adjunct professor at the
39 University of Arizona in Tucson. For the past 25 years, he has been based at the
40 University's Desert Laboratory, a scientific institution with a 100-yr legacy in
41 environmental research about deserts (<http://www.paztcn.wr.usgs.gov/>). He is a leading
42 expert on the vegetation histories of arid and semi-arid North and South America during
43 the last glacial-interglacial cycle. His research is also helping to define how decadal to
44 multidecadal variability in ocean temperatures modulates U.S. climate and western
45 ecosystems, and how this knowledge might be used to forecast landscape change.

46

1 Charles Birkeland, Assistant Unit Leader-Fish and Coral Reef Ecologist, USGS
2 Cooperative Research Unit and University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI; 808-956-8350,
3 charlesb@hawaii.edu

4
5 Charles Birkeland received his PhD in Zoology from the University of Washington,
6 Seattle, in 1970. From 1970 to 1975 he was a post doc at the Smithsonian Tropical
7 Research Institute in Panama. From 1975 to 2000 he was on the faculty of the University
8 of Guam Marine Laboratory. He was Director of the Marine Lab from 1979 to 1982 and
9 was the third President of the International Society of Reef Studies from 1986 to 1989.
10 He organized the 7th International Coral Reef Symposium in 1992. He coauthored a book
11 on "*Acanthaster planci*: major management problem of coral reefs" and a textbook on
12 "Life and death of coral reefs". He joined the Hawaii Cooperative Fishery Research Unit
13 in Hawaii in June 2000. His research has been focused on thresholds ("tipping points") in
14 effects of large-scale factors beyond which self-reinforcing processes or positive
15 feedback mechanisms transform the population or ecosystem into another state (cause a
16 "phase shift") and prevent a return to the original state (reviewed in Birkeland, C. 2004.
17 *BioScience* 54: 1021 – 1027). He has organized and guided a BRD Global Climate
18 Change field and laboratory project "Extrinsic and intrinsic factors affecting the
19 resilience of corals to climate change, and their use in designing marine reserves"
20 to determine the biochemical and physiological processes of acclimatization and the
21 extent of genetic processes of adaptation in corals to stresses from the physical
22 environment.

23
24 F. Stuart (Terry) Chapin, III, Professor of Ecology, Department of Biology and Wildlife,
25 Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, Lead PI-Bonanza Creek
26 LTER Program; 907-474-7922, terry.chapin@uaf.edu

27
28 Terry Chapin is Professor of Ecology in the Department of Biology and Wildlife at the
29 University of Alaska Fairbanks, where he directs the Bonanza Creek Long-Term
30 Ecological Research (LTER) Program and an interdisciplinary (IGERT) program in
31 Resilience and Adaptation. He received his BA in biology at Swarthmore College in 1966
32 and my PhD in Biology at Stanford University in 1973. His research focuses on
33 ecosystem ecology and on the resilience of social-ecological systems. His ecological
34 research addresses the consequences of plant traits for ecosystem and global processes,
35 particularly vegetation effects on nutrient cycling, fire regime, and biodiversity. He also
36 studies vegetation-mediated feedbacks to high-latitude climate warming, as mediated by
37 changes in water and energy exchange. His research on social-ecological systems
38 emphasizes the resilience of northern regions to recent changes in climate and fire
39 regime. This research entails studies of human and climatic effects on fire regime, the
40 resulting effects on ecosystem services, wages, and cultural integrity, and the effects of
41 local opinions about fire and national fire policy on the fire policies developed and
42 implemented at regional scales. Most of his current research focuses on Alaska and
43 eastern Siberia.

44
45 James E. Cloern, Senior Research Biologist, USGS National Research Program, Menlo
46 Park, CA; 650-329-4594, jecloern@usgs.gov

1
2 James Cloern received BS and MS degrees in zoology from the University of Wisconsin
3 and a PhD from Washington State University. He is a senior research scientist (ST3104)
4 at the U.S. Geological Survey in Menlo Park, CA, where he has worked since 1976. Jim's
5 research is focused on the ecology and biogeochemistry of estuaries and lakes, geared to
6 understanding how they function as ecosystems. He leads a team investigation of San
7 Francisco Bay that has included study of: primary production, algal and zooplankton
8 community dynamics, ecosystem metabolism, the carbon budget, disturbance by
9 introduced species, impacts of climatic/hydrologic variability, benthic and pelagic
10 nutrient regeneration, use of stable isotopes and lipid biomarkers to characterize sources
11 of organic matter, variability at time scales from hours to decades and spatial scales from
12 centimeters to 100 kilometers. Jim has been a Fulbright Research Scholar, studying
13 Mediterranean coastal ecosystems at the Centre d'Océanologie de Marseille while
14 developing a serious appreciation for Cotes du Rhone. He has served on the editorial
15 boards of *Limnology and Oceanography*, *Estuaries*, and *Oceanologica Acta*. He has
16 mentored 11 postdoctoral scientists, served on thesis committees of 19 graduate students
17 from 6 countries, is Consulting Professor in the Department of Civil Engineering at
18 Stanford University, and has been Lecturer in the Earth Science Department at the
19 University of California-Santa Cruz.

20
21 Daniel B. Fagre, Research Ecologist, USGS Northern Rocky Mountain Science Center,
22 Glacier National Park, West Glacier, MT; 406-888-7922, dan_fagre@usgs.gov

23
24 Daniel Fagre is Research Ecologist and Global Change Research Coordinator for the
25 Northern Rocky Mountain Science Center of the U. S. Geological Survey. He is stationed
26 at Glacier National Park, Montana and is a faculty affiliate at the University of Montana,
27 Montana State University, and several other universities. He's worked for the past 15
28 years with many staff, partners and collaborators in the Northern Rocky Mountains to
29 understand how global-scale environmental changes will affect our mountain ecosystems.
30 His diverse research programs have addressed glaciers, avalanches, amphibians, alpine
31 plants, paleo-climates, snow chemistry and ecosystem dynamics of bioregions. He
32 received his Ph.D. from the University of California, Davis, and has held positions in
33 universities and several federal agencies. He helped establish the Western Mountain
34 Initiative, a program to tie mountain science across different areas, and is active in
35 several international science networks that address mountain issues. He co-authored a
36 book on national parks and protected areas, published in 2005, and has another on a
37 mountain ecosystem in press. He recently received the Director's Award for Natural
38 Resource Research from the National Park Service.

39
40 Peter M. Groffman, Senior Scientist and Microbial Ecologist, Institute of Ecosystem
41 Studies, Millbrook, NY, co-PI, Hubbard Brook LTER Program; 914-677-5343,
42 groffmanp@ecostudies.org

43
44 Peter M. Groffman is a Senior Scientist at the Institute of Ecosystem Studies in
45 Millbrook, NY; with research interests in ecosystem, landscape and microbial ecology,
46 with a focus on carbon and nitrogen dynamics. He received his Ph.D in 1984 in Ecology

1 from the University of Georgia. Specific recent research efforts include investigation of;
2 snow depth as a regulator of soil freezing and nitrogen dynamics; carbon and nitrogen
3 cycling in urban watersheds and ecosystems, the effects of atmospheric nitrogen
4 deposition on nitrogen gas fluxes, nitrate dynamics in riparian buffer zones, effects of a
5 whole watershed calcium addition on soil nitrogen and carbon cycling, and the effects of
6 exotic earthworm invasion on soil nitrogen and carbon cycling. Groffman was/is a
7 member of the U.S. National Committee for Soil Science, the National Science
8 Foundation Long Term Ecological Research Network Executive Board, the NOAA Gulf
9 of Mexico Hypoxia Nutrient Reduction Workgroup and the Working Group on Aquatic
10 Terrestrial Biogeochemistry at the National Center for Ecological Analysis and
11 Synthesis. He was a lead author for the Second (Wetlands) and Third (North America)
12 Assessment Reports of the Intergovernmental Program on Climate Change (IPCC). He
13 currently serves on the editorial boards of *Ecology* and *Ecosystems*, and was chair of the
14 Soil Ecology section of the Ecological Society of America from 1997 – 98 and the
15 Wetland Soils Section of the Soil Science Society of America from 2002 - 2003.

16
17 Glenn R. Guntenspergen, Landscape/Wetland Ecologist, USGS Patuxent Wildlife
18 Research Center, Superior, WI; 218-720-4328, glenn_guntenspergen@usgs.gov

19
20 Dr. Glenn R. Guntenspergen is a senior Landscape Ecologist with the U.S. Geological
21 Survey's Patuxent Wildlife Research Center (glenn_guntenspergen@usgs.gov/218-720-
22 4307). He received a B.S. (1974) and M.S. (1977) from the University of Illinois in
23 Biology/Zoology and a Ph.D. (1984) in Plant Ecology from the University of Wisconsin
24 (Milwaukee). He began his professional career as a Research Associate in the Botany
25 Department at Louisiana State University and subsequently joined the U.S. Fish and
26 Wildlife Service's National Wetland Research Center as a research ecologist. His
27 research interests include: climate change and freshwater and coastal wetlands,
28 understanding the role of biotic and abiotic processes influencing ecosystem function,
29 and the regional and landscape implications of spatial heterogeneity on plant community
30 organization and structure. He has published over 60 peer-reviewed journal articles and
31 book chapters. He has active collaborations with scientists internationally and sits on the
32 RAMSAR (UN Convention on Wetlands) Science Advisory Board and is a member of
33 the UNESCO Man and the Biosphere working group on the biosphere reserve concept
34 and urban issues. He has participated in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
35 Change's (IPCC) 4th assessment as a reviewer. His current research focuses on non-
36 linear responses of wetland ecosystems to climate change, the impact of climate change
37 and other global stressors on the sustainability of coastal wetlands, and identifying
38 ecological thresholds in aquatic systems.

39
40 Alan K. Knapp, Professor of Biology and Graduate Degree Program in Ecology,
41 Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, co-PI, Konza Prairie LTER Program; 970-
42 491-7010, aknapp@lamar.colostate.edu

43
44 Alan K. Knapp is a professor of Biology and Senior Ecologist for the Graduate Degree
45 Program in Ecology at Colorado State University. Previously, he served as the principal
46 investigator of the NSF-funded Konza Prairie LTER site in NE Kansas and as a

1 University Distinguished Professor at Kansas State University. His research has assessed
2 both direct and interactive effects of fire, grazing and climate in grasslands. Current
3 research interests focus on understanding how climatic variability drives ecosystem
4 dynamics, and on interactions between projected global change phenomenon and plant
5 ecophysiological, organismal and community responses.

6
7 Anthony David McGuire, Assistant Unit Leader-Ecology, USGS Cooperative Research
8 Unit and University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, co-PI, Bonanza Creek LTER Program;
9 907-474-7661, ffadm@uaf.edu

10
11 Dr. A. David McGuire is a Professor of Ecology at the University of Alaska. He has a
12 teaching appointment in the Department of Biology and Wildlife and a research
13 appointment in the Institute of Arctic Biology. He has earned degrees in engineering
14 from Cornell University in the 1970s and received his Ph.D. in Biology from the
15 University of Alaska in 1989. He held positions as a postdoctoral researcher at the
16 University of Colorado and as a research associate at the Marine Biological Laboratory
17 prior to starting his position at the University of Alaska in 1995. His primary research
18 interest is studying the role of terrestrial ecosystems in the climate system, with an
19 emphasis on high latitude terrestrial ecosystems, but he has broad interests in all aspects
20 of ecology. He has published approximately 100 peer-reviewed papers and book
21 chapters, is on the Board of Editors of Ecological Applications, and serves on several
22 national-level scientific steering committees

23
24 Patrick J. Mulholland, Aquatic Ecologist, Carbon & Nutrient Biogeochemistry Group,
25 Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN; 865-
26 574-7304, mulhollandpj@ornl.edu

27
28 Patrick Mulholland received his Ph.D. (1979) in Environmental Biology from the
29 University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; B.S. (1973) and M.S. (1975) in
30 Environmental Engineering from Cornell University. Mulholland is currently a
31 Distinguished R&D Staff Scientist in the Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge
32 National Laboratory. His research interests are the ecology of streams (nutrient cycling,
33 primary production, algal-herbivore interactions, carbon dynamics and energy flow),
34 biogeochemistry and land/water interactions in forested catchments, watershed
35 hydrology, wetland ecology, and climate change effects on freshwater ecosystems. He is
36 the co-author of a book on groundwater and stream interactions, senior author of more
37 than 50 peer-reviewed journal articles (total of 133 publications). He is an associate
38 editor for the Journal of Geophysical Research – Biogeosciences and is an AAAS Fellow.
39 Mulholland was a lead co-author of Working Group II, Chapter 10 (Hydrology and
40 Freshwater Ecology) in the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
41 Climate Change (IPCC), published in 1996. He is also lead author or co-author of
42 several other journal articles and reports on effects of climate change on freshwater
43 ecosystems, including assessments at regional (Mulholland et al., 1997, Effects of climate
44 change on freshwaters of the Southeastern United States and the Gulf Coast of Mexico,
45 Hydrological Processes 11:949-970) and continental scales (Meyer et al., 1999, Impacts

1 of climate change on aquatic ecosystem functioning and health, Journal of the American
2 Water Resources Association 35:1373-1386)

3
4 Debra P. C. Peters, Research Scientist, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Jornada
5 Experimental Range, Las Cruces, NM, Lead PI, Jornada Basin LTER Program; 505-646-
6 2777, debpeter@nmsu.edu

7
8 Debra Peters is the lead research scientist with the USDA Agricultural Research Service
9 at the Jornada Experimental Range in Las Cruces, NM. She is also the lead principal
10 investigator for the Jornada Basin Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) site funded
11 by the National Science Foundation. Her research interests include climate change effects
12 on ecosystem dynamics across spatial and temporal scales, nonlinear dynamics,
13 simulation modeling, and predicting catastrophic events. She received her B.S. from Iowa
14 State University, M.S. from San Diego State University, and Ph.D. from Colorado State
15 University. She was a postdoctoral associate and research scientist at the Natural
16 Resources Ecology Laboratory at Colorado State University before joining the Jornada in
17 1998. Her active participation in both national (LTER, National Ecological Observatory
18 Network [NEON]) and international (Global Change in Terrestrial Ecosystems
19 [GCTE/IGBP]) networks and organizations that focus on ecosystem responses to climate
20 change and variability make her qualified to serve on this committee.

21
22 Daniel Roby, Assistant Unit Leader-Wildlife, USGS Cooperative Research Unit and
23 Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR; 541-737-1955, droby@usgs.gov

24
25 Dan Roby is currently the Assistant Unit Leader – Wildlife at the USGS - Oregon
26 Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit and an Associate Professor of Wildlife
27 Ecology in the Department of Fisheries and Wildlife at Oregon State University. He
28 received a B.A. (Biology) from Antioch College (1974), a M.S. (Wildlife Management)
29 from the University of Alaska (1978), and a Ph.D. (Biology) from the University of
30 Pennsylvania (1986), where he worked under Robert E. Ricklefs on the relationship of diet
31 to reproductive energetics in seabirds. In addition to his current position at Oregon State
32 University, he has held faculty positions at Southern Illinois University – Carbondale
33 (Assistant Professor of Zoology, 1988-1992) and the University of Alaska Fairbanks
34 (Assistant Professor of Wildlife Ecology, 1992-1995). He has conducted research on the
35 ecology of seabirds in Alaska, Hawaii, Greenland, Newfoundland, South Georgia, and
36 Antarctica, as well as throughout the Pacific Northwest. His primary area of research
37 interest is the physiological ecology and conservation biology of birds, with an emphasis
38 on seabirds. His recent research includes impacts of avian predation on recovery of ESA-
39 listed salmonids in the Columbia River Basin, bioenergetics of seabirds affected by the
40 *Exxon Valdez* oil spill in Alaska, development of biomarkers of exposure to contaminants
41 in birds, seabird/fisheries interactions, and effects of global warming on seabird
42 populations at high latitudes, especially the Bering Sea. He served a Chair of the Pacific
43 Seabird Group during 2004-2006.

44 George Sugihara, Professor of Ecology, Scripps Institute of Oceanography and
45 University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA, co-PI, California Current Ecosystem
46 LTER Program; 858-534-5582, gsugihara@ucsd.edu

1
2 George Sugihara is a Professor for the Scripps Institution of Oceanography at the
3 University of California San Diego. His research interests include complexity theory,
4 catastrophic change in nonlinear systems, time series forecasting, food-web structure,
5 species abundance patterns, conservation biology, landscape ecology, fisheries
6 management and empirical climate modeling. Recently, George Sugihara lead an
7 international team of ecologists, including Lord Robert May of Oxford University and Sir
8 John Beddington of Imperial College to resolve a 30-year controversy showing that
9 fishing increases the boom and bust behavior of fished stocks, thus putting fisheries at
10 greater risk of collapse due to environmental change than anyone thought. The potential
11 for catastrophic change in marine ecosystems was demonstrated quantitatively for the
12 first time by Sugihara and his students a year earlier in their analysis of physical and
13 biological data for the North Pacific basin over the 20th century. Both of these studies
14 (supported by NOAA's Fisheries and The Environment program), appeared in *Nature*
15 *Magazine*, and have been cited by Adm. Lautenbacher (Undersecretary of Commerce and
16 Head of NOAA) as timely in view of current efforts to revise the Magnusson-Stevens Act
17 for fisheries. Sugihara is currently a member of the NAS Board on Mathematical
18 Sciences and its Applications, and is involved in an Academy report to the Federal
19 Reserve System on "systemic risk in the financial sector" which among other things
20 addresses the problem of market crashes (rapid transitions) as a generic property of
21 complex systems.

22

23