

Research and Special Programs Administration 400 Seventh Street, S.W. Washington, D.C., 20590

FEB 10 2005

Mr. Barry Pearl President Texas Eastern Products Pipeline Company 2929 Allen Parkway P.O. Box 2521 Houston, Texas 77252-2521

RE: CPF No. 4-2002-5009M

Dear Mr. Pearl:

Enclosed is the Order Directing Amendment issued by the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety in the above-referenced case. The Order finds that you have addressed the inadequacies in your procedures that were cited in the Notice of Amendment. Your receipt of the Order Directing Amendment constitutes service of that document under 49 C.F.R. § 190.5.

This enforcement action is now closed.

Sincerely,

Jan

James Reynolds Pipeline Compliance Registry Office of Pipeline Safety

Enclosure

cc: Barbara Carroll Vice President Environmental, Health and Safety

**CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED** 

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION OFFICE OF PIPELINE SAFETY WASHINGTON, DC 20590

In the Matter of Texas Eastern Products Pipeline Company	) ) y )

CPF No. 4-2002-5009M

## ORDER DIRECTING AMENDMENT

On February 25-26, 2002, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 60117, representatives of the Southwest and Western Regions, Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS), inspected Texas Eastern Products Pipeline Company's (Respondent's) integrity management program at Respondent's facility in Houston, Texas. As a result of the inspection, the Southwestern Regional Director, OPS, issued to Respondent, by letter dated May 13, 2002, a Notice of Amendment (NOA). The NOA alleged inadequacies in Respondent's integrity management program and proposed to require amendment of Respondent's procedures to comply with the requirements of 49 C.F.R. § 195.452(b).

Respondent responded to the NOA by letter dated June 13, 2002. Respondent did not contest the NOA and did not request a hearing, consequently Respondent waived its right to one.

The NOA alleged that Respondent's segment identification procedures did not consider spill migration via overland transports or waterways. Respondent explained that it had updated its integrity management program to identify high consequence areas that could be affected through overland conveyances and submitted the revised procedures. The Southwestern Region reviewed the revised procedures. In November 2004, the Region confirmed that Respondent had implemented the amended procedures. Accordingly, I find that Respondent's original integrity management program procedures as described in the NOA were inadequate to ensure safe operation of its pipeline system. However, based on the results of the Region's review, I find that Respondent has corrected the identified inadequacies. Respondent need not take any further action with respect to the matters in this case.

The terms and conditions of this Order Directing Amendment are effective upon receipt.

Nulle.

Stacey Gerard Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety

Date Issued