FELDSPAR

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

<u>Domestic Production and Use</u>: U.S. feldspar production in 2005 had an estimated value of about \$44 million. The three leading producers accounted for about two-thirds of the production, with six other companies supplying the remainder. Operations in North Carolina provided more than 40% of the output; facilities in Virginia, California, Oklahoma, Georgia, Idaho, and South Dakota, in estimated descending order of production, produced the remainder. Feldspar processors reported coproduct recovery of mica and silica sand.

Feldspar is ground to about 20 mesh for glassmaking and to 200 mesh or finer for most ceramic and filler applications. It was estimated that feldspar shipments went to at least 30 States and to foreign destinations, including Canada and Mexico. In pottery and glass, feldspar functions as a flux. The estimated 2005 end-use distribution of domestic feldspar was glass, 65%, and pottery and other, 35%.

Salient Statistics—United States:	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	2005 ^e
Production, marketable ^e	800	790	800	770	760
Imports for consumption	6	5	8	21	25
Exports	5	10	9	10	12
Consumption, apparent ^e	801	785	799	781	773
Price, average value, marketable production,					
dollars per ton ^e	55	54	54	57	58
Stocks, producer, yearend ¹	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Employment, mine and preparation plant, number ^e	400	400	400	400	400
Net import reliance ² as a percentage					
of apparent consumption	(³)	E	E	1	2

Recycling: There is no recycling of feldspar by producers; however, glass container producers use cullet (recycled glass), thereby reducing feldspar consumption.

Import Sources (2001-04): Mexico, 58%; Turkey, 41%; and other, 1%.

Tariff:ItemNumberNormal Trade RelationsFeldspar2529.10.0000Free.

Depletion Allowance: 14% (Domestic and foreign).

Government Stockpile: None.

FELDSPAR

<u>Events, Trends, and Issues</u>: Glass, including beverage containers and insulation for housing and building construction, continued to be the leading end use of feldspar in the United States. U.S. shipments of glass containers in the first 8 months were about the same as in the comparable period of 2004, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.

Feldspar use in tile and vitreous sanitaryware was reflected in housing construction. U.S. housing starts for the first 8 months were about 6% higher than in the same period of 2004, according to the U.S Census Bureau.

China has had major growth in the production of tile, sanitaryware, and tableware and has had significant impact on world markets in the last several years. Other countries in Europe or in neighboring Asian countries which directly compete in this sector, especially in lower cost products, have experienced loss of market share. Countries such as Indonesia, the Philippines, and Sri Lanka have been seeking state protection, such as tariff increases.⁴

World Mine Production, Reserves, and Reserve Base:

	Mine production		
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005°</u>	
United States ^e	770	760	
Colombia	100	100	
Czech Republic	400	450	
Egypt	350	350	
France	650	650	
Germany	450	500	
India	150	150	
Iran	200	200	
Italy	2,500	2,500	
Korea, Republic of	480	550	
Mexico	350	370	
Poland	300	320	
Portugal	120	120	
Spain	450	450	
Thailand	825	1,000	
Turkey	1,900	2,000	
Venezuela	150	175	
Other countries	<u>955</u>	900	
World total (rounded)	11,100	11,500	

Reserves and reserve base⁵

Quantitative estimates of reserves and reserve base are not available.

<u>World Resources</u>: Identified and hypothetical resources of feldspar are more than adequate to meet anticipated world demand. Quantitative data on resources of feldspar existing in feldspathic sands, granites, and pegmatites generally have not been compiled. There is ample geologic evidence that resources are large, although not always conveniently accessible to the principal centers of consumption.

<u>Substitutes</u>: Feldspar can be replaced in some of its end uses by clays, electric furnace slag, feldspar-silica mixtures, pyrophyllite, spodumene, or talc. Imported nepheline syenite, however, was the major alternative material.

^eEstimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available.

¹Change in stocks assumed to be zero for apparent consumption and net import reliance calculations.

²Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

³Less than ½ unit.

⁴King, Laura, 2005, Asian Ceramics: Industrial Minerals, no. 452, May, p. 49-51.

⁵See Appendix C for definitions.