



2005 Minerals Yearbook

COPPER

COPPER

By Daniel L. Edelstein

Domestic survey data and tables were prepared by Mohamed M. Mohamed, Nicholas A. Muniz, and Wanda G. Wooten, statistical assistants, and the world production tables were prepared by Regina R. Coleman and Glenn J. Wallace, international data coordinators.

In the United States, mine production of recoverable copper in 2005 declined by 20,000 metric tons (t) (1%) to 1.140 million metric tons (Mt) primarily owing to a 16-week strike that sharply curtailed production by a major producer. Downstream, U.S. smelter and refinery production declined by 3.5% and 4%, respectively, in part owing to increased exports of copper in concentrates. Equipment and labor shortages also delayed expansions and startup of new operations. Electrowon production of refined copper from the leaching of copper ores, which declined for the fourth consecutive year, fell by 30,000 t and accounted for 49% of mine output and 44% of refined copper production. Reported domestic consumption of refined copper in 2005 fell by 6% to 2.27 Mt and was at its lowest level since 2001.

Global mine production of copper in 2005 rose for the second consecutive year, increasing by 350,000 t (2.4%) to a record-high 15.1 Mt. Owing to production shortfalls in the United States and South America, however, global mine production fell short of its anticipated growth, and mine capacity utilization fell to its lowest level in recent years. The United States accounted for 8% of world production and narrowly retained its position as the world's second ranked mine producer. Chile, where mine production declined by 90,000 t (2%), remained the leading mine producer and accounted for more than 35% of total world production. Global smelter and refinery production rose by 5% and 4%, respectively. The United States fell to seventh place behind India in world smelter production and remained fourth in refinery output behind Chile, China, and Japan.

Global consumption of refined copper fell by 140,000 t (1%) (International Copper Study Group, 2006a, p. 19-20). Strong growth in Asia from China and India was more than offset by reduced use by other significant consuming regions. China, where apparent consumption of refined copper grew by 8%, remained the world's leading consumer of refined copper with an estimated 22% market share.

Copper prices trended upward throughout the year, and by yearend, the COMEX (COMEX Division of the New York Mercantile Exchange) spot price reached a record-high value of more than \$2.00 per pound of copper. Production of refined copper was insufficient to meet global demand, and the refined copper production deficit that had developed during the preceding 2 years continued through most of 2005. Estimated global inventories of refined copper continued their downward trend and were down by 70,000 t at yearend (International Copper Study Group, 2006a, p. 21). This shortfall happened despite the growth in world production of refined copper and the decline in refined copper consumption.

The U.S. Geological Survey estimated that world copper reserves were 480 Mt and that the world copper reserve base was 940 Mt. The United States had 7% each of the world's

copper reserves and reserve base. A recent assessment of U.S. copper resources indicated 550 Mt of copper in identified (260 Mt) and undiscovered resources (290 Mt), more than double the previous estimate (U.S. Geological Survey National Mineral Resource Assessment Team, 2000, p. 14). Similarly, a preliminary assessment of global copper resources indicated that global land-based resources exceed 3 billion metric tons, about double the previously published estimate.

The principal mining States for copper, which in descending order of production were Arizona, Utah, New Mexico, Nevada, and Montana, accounted for 99% of domestic production; copper was also recovered at mines in Idaho, Missouri, and Nevada. Although copper was recovered at 24 mines that operated in the United States, 14 mines accounted for more than 99% of production. The remaining 10 mines were either small leach operations or byproduct producers of copper.

During the year, 3 primary smelters, 4 electrolytic and 3 fire refineries, and 13 solvent extraction-electrowinning (SX-EW) facilities operated in the United States. The three fire refineries processed scrap to recover unalloyed copper products. Scrap was also consumed in relatively small quantities at several of the primary smelters. U.S. smelter and refinery capacity remained essentially unchanged at approximately 900,000 t and 2.25 Mt, respectively, and capacity utilization fell to 58% and 57%, respectively. Included in 2005 smelter capacity was Phelps Dodge Corp.'s (Phoenix, AZ) 190,000-metric-ton-per-year (t/yr) Hurley, NM, smelter. The smelter had been retained in care-and-maintenance status since closing in 2001, but in October 2005 the company announced that it was closing permanently and being dismantled.

In 2005, copper recovered from refined or remelted scrap (80% from new scrap and 20% from old scrap) composed 30% of the total U.S. copper supply. The conversion of old scrap to alloys and refined copper fell by 5% to 182,000 t of recoverable copper. The quantity of copper recovered from new scrap (769,000 t) was essentially unchanged from that of the previous year. Copper was consumed as refined copper and as direct melt scrap at approximately 30 brass mills, 14 wire-rod mills, and 500 chemical plants, foundries, and miscellaneous operations.

Owing to sustained low capacity utilization at U.S. refineries, the net import reliance for refined copper as a percentage of apparent consumption (42%) remained close to the record-high level of 43% in 2004. Imports of refined copper rose by approximately 200,000 t to 1 Mt of refined copper; Chile, Canada, and Peru, in decreasing order, accounted for 88% of refined copper imports. Despite lower domestic consumption, the rise in imports reflected lower copper inventory levels from which to draw. Domestic inventories, which had declined by 522,000 t in 2004, declined by only 68,000 t in 2005.

Legislation and Government Programs

In 2003, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), as part of its review of existing drinking water standards for 69 substances (including lead and copper) for which national primary drinking water regulations were established prior to 1997, affirmed its conclusion that the established maximum contaminant level goal for copper of 1.3 milligrams per liter should be retained pending collection of additional data on health risks. Owing to high-profile incidences of elevated drinking water lead levels in the District of Columbia, the EPA initiated a national review and held a series of workshops in 2004 to discuss issues associated with implementation of the lead and copper rule. As a result of the review and workshops, the EPA released a drinking water lead reduction plan in March 2005 that identified nine actions to improve implementation of the rule. In 2006, these actions were consolidated into seven proposed changes aimed at reducing public exposure to lead in drinking water. While copper levels were not targeted, several actions, including the advance notification and approval of changes to water treatment that could affect a water system's corrosion control and proposed timelines for allowing plumbing replacement as a treatment option for reducing lead or copper levels, could affect the use of copper and copper alloys in water supply markets (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006).

On April 1, the Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, initiated expedited sunset reviews of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders against brass sheet and strip from several countries including Brazil, Canada, France, Italy, and Japan. The material was classified under codes 7409.21.00 and 7409.29.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. In all cases, the U.S. Department of Commerce found that revocation of duties would likely lead to recurrence of dumping or countervailing subsidy (U.S. Department of Commerce, 2005a, b).

Production

Mine production in the United States declined slightly in 2005 despite expectations of higher output held at yearend 2004. On July 2, workers at ASARCO Incorporated's (Asarco) (Phoenix, AZ) mines and facilities began a strike that lasted 16 weeks and sharply curtailed mine output. Unusually heavy rains in parts of Arizona in the first half of the year reduced SX-EW output, and equipment and labor shortages throughout the industry delayed expansions and mine startups. Quadra Mining Ltd. (Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada) reported that slope stability problems at the Tripp-Veteran pit (Robinson Mine, NV) forced the company to divert equipment to dewater a section of pit wall and to switch some production to lower grade and more metallurgically difficult ore that Quadra had not planned on mining until the first quarter of 2006. This reduced anticipated annual production by approximately 7,000 t of copper in concentrate (Quadra Mining, Ltd., 2005).

Downstream copper smelter and refinery production declined owing to the Asarco strike, shutdown of Asarco's smelter in Hayden, AZ, in October for repairs, and reduced SX-EW production, which in part resulted from dilution of

leach solutions from heavy rains. Three primary smelters and four primary electrolytic refineries operated during 2005. The Miami electrolytic refinery in Arizona and the Chino smelter in New Mexico that closed in 2002 were retained on care-and-maintenance status until their official closure in October (Phelps Dodge Corp., 2005).

Company Reviews.—On July 2, workers at Asarco's Ray Mine in Arizona began a strike that soon spread to its other Arizona facilities (Hayden smelter and Mission and Silver Bell Mines) and its Amarillo, TX, refinery. The strike followed expiration of the contract between Asarco and the United Steelworkers Union at Ray. Prior to the strike, workers at Asarco's other facilities had continued to work under the terms of a previous contract that had expired in July 2004. On July 13, Asarco declared a force majeure on all outbound refined copper products from its Amarillo complex, including wire rod. Asarco was wholly owned by Grupo Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (Mexico City, Mexico) through its subsidiary, Americas Mining Corp. (Barry, 2005b, c; Brooks, 2005).

The strike by about 1,500 Asarco workers remained unsettled when Asarco filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection from creditors on August 10. Grupo Mexico cited high production costs, high environmental and asbestos liabilities, and the prolonged general strike as the reasons for the filing. Owing to the need for increased stripping and the highest rainfall in 10 years at the Ray Mine, Asarco's break-even cash costs rose to \$1.14 per pound of copper in 2005, up from \$0.95 per pound in the second quarter of 2004. Analysts estimated that Asarco's environmental liabilities could exceed \$1.9 billion (Barry, 2005d; Grupo Mexico, S.A. de C.V., 2005, p. 9; Millman, 2005; Platts Metals Week, 2005c; ASARCO LLC, undated §¹).

Workers at Asarco voted on November 12-13 to end their strike, though ramp-up to full production was not expected until February 2006. The new contract extended the terms of their existing contract through the end of 2006 but included a successorship clause that required any buyer of Asarco to recognize and negotiate with the union (Barry, 2005§). Grupo Mexico reported mine production, through bankruptcy filing, of 53,700 t of copper in concentrate and 32,700 t of electrowon copper and total refined production of 80,000 t (Grupo Mexico, S.A. de C.V., 2006, p. 13-14). Full-year 2005 cathode production was projected to be 150,000 t, down from a prestrike projection of 220,000 t (Barry, 2005a).

Copper production from BHP Billiton's (Melbourne, Australia) and residual Arizona leach operations at Miami and Pinto Valley totaled 8,800 t in 2005, down from 9,500 t in 2004 (BHP Billiton, 2006, p. 16). In January, Resolution Copper Company [owned 55% by Rio Tinto plc (London, United Kingdom) and 45% by BHP Billiton] announced that it would resume its drilling program as part of a comprehensive 5-year, \$200 million feasibility study aimed at providing necessary data to plan for construction of the project. Resolution was formed to evaluate a large copper sulfide deposit located at a depth of approximately 2 kilometers (km) below the surface beneath BHP Billiton's shuttered Magma Mine, which operated from 1912 through 1996 in the Pioneer mining district east of

¹References that include a section mark (§) are found in the Internet References Cited section.

Superior, AZ. If mine development were to proceed, Rio Tinto didn't anticipate production prior to 2014 (Resolution Copper Company, 2005).

Constellation Copper Corp. (Denver, CO) announced that it began applying acid solution to its leach dumps at its Lisbon Valley Mine in Utah on December 19. Startup of SX-EW cathode had been anticipated during the fourth quarter of the year, but completion of the SX-EW facilities had been delayed by a shortage of pipefitters, and construction was not completed until mid-January 2006, with the first cathode produced later during the first quarter. By mid-October 2005, mining of ore had reached its projected capacity of 24,000 metric tons per day (t/d). In May, Constellation announced that it had discovered an additional copper deposit at the Flying Diamond exploration target and additional drilling continued through the year (Constellation Copper Corp., 2005a, b; 2006).

Nord Resources Corporation (Dragoon, AZ) continued working toward reopening of its Johnson Camp Mine located in southern Arizona, which last produced in August 2003. In January, Nord completed the purchase of a crusher and, in October, completed an update of the 2000 feasibility study. In order to resume full mining operations, Nord anticipated a capital requirement in excess of \$22 million during its first 2 years of operation for rehabilitation and expansion of facilities and installation of crushing and conveying equipment. Restart was subject to obtaining the necessary financing and mining permits. Operations at Johnson Camp would involve removal of 4,500 t/d of overburden and mining about 8,000 t/d of ore to produce about 9,000 t/yr of electrowon cathode (Nord Resources Corp., 2006).

In 2005, Phelps Dodge reported copper production of 1.17 Mt, which included minority participants' share of 223,000 t, from its worldwide operations, compared with 1.20 Mt and 220,000 t, respectively, in 2004. U.S. production in 2005 was 671,000 t of copper (515,000 t electrowon and 168,000 t in concentrate), a decrease of 13,000 t from that in 2004 (Phelps Dodge Corp., 2006, p. 9).

Production of electrowon copper at the Morenci Mine complex in Arizona of 363,000 t was down by 18,000 t. The complex comprised an open pit, a concentrator, four solvent extraction (SX) facilities, and three electrowinning tankhouses. In June, Phelps Dodge announced that it would spend \$210 million to construct the first-ever commercial-scale copper concentrate leaching and direct electrowinning facility at Morenci. The facility would employ proprietary pressure leaching technology developed by Phelps Dodge and under demonstration at the Bagdad Mine in Arizona to process copper ores containing a mix of primary and secondary copper sulfide minerals. Restart of the idled Morenci concentrator was included in the cost and project development. The new concentrate leaching facilities were to be incorporated into the existing leaching and electrowinning complex at Morenci. Concentrate leach production, slated for startup in 2007, would replace an expected decline in Morenci's heap leach output later in this decade. The actions at Morenci affected plans for several other Phelps Dodge facilities in Arizona and New Mexico, including the Miami refinery in Arizona, the Chino smelter in New Mexico, and the Tyrone and Cobre Mines in New Mexico.

The affected assets were determined by Phelps Dodge to be "impaired," and the idled Chino smelter and Miami refinery were permanently closed (Phelps Dodge Corp., 2005).

Production at the Bagdad Mine in Arizona declined to 91,300 t (76,900 t in net concentrate production and 14,300 t electrowon) from 99,900 t of copper in 2004. Electrowon production was reduced in part by a temporary conversion in May (7-month duration) of the high-temperature concentrate pressure-leaching demonstration plant to medium temperatures to test an alternative technology that consumes less acid and oxygen (Phelps Dodge Corp., 2006, p. 13).

At the Sierrita Mine in Arizona, copper production rose by about 2,000 t to reach 80,000 t. Electrowon production rose to 6,800 t. The electrowinning tankhouse had been shuttered during much of 2004 owing to expiration of its land lease that was subsequently renewed. In early 2006, production began at a new 18,000-t/yr copper sulfate plant (Phelps Dodge Corp., 2006, p. 3).

At the Chino Mine in New Mexico, the sulfide ore mill operated at 80% of capacity throughout the year, and production rose to 46,000 t from 27,000 t in 2004. Electrowon production fell to 49,000 t from 56,000 t in 2004 owing to lower ore grade and reduced placement of ore on leach stockpiles. Though rehabilitation work continued in the first half of the year at the Cobre Mine, based on higher estimated restart and operating costs from its decision to permanently close the Chino smelter, Phelps Dodge claimed a \$59.9 million pretax impairment charge against Cobre in June. Phelps Dodge reclassified most of Cobre's millable reserves as leach reserves because new mine plans excluded reopening the mill. At the Tyrone Mine, production of electrowon copper fell to 36,700 t from 39,000 t in 2004. Phelps Dodge focused on reclaiming stockpiles around the perimeter of the property, which effectively increased operating costs while reducing reserves by 14% (Phelps Dodge Corp., 2006, p. 3-15).

At Miami, AZ, Phelps Dodge's electrowon production rose to 11,200 t of copper from 8,900 t in 2004. Mining of leach material, which happened last in 2001, remained suspended, though Phelps Dodge reported Miami reserves to be 102,000 t of leach ore grading 0.37% copper. Production of refined copper at its El Paso, TX, refinery declined to 267,000 t from 280,000 t in 2004, well below its capacity of about 410,000 t (Phelps Dodge Corp., 2006, p. 3-11).

Robinson Nevada Mining Company (RNMC) (a wholly owned subsidiary of Quadra Mining Ltd., Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada), produced 227,000 t of copper concentrate containing 57,200 t of copper during its first full year of operation from the Tripp area of the Tripp-Veteran pit at the Robinson Mine. Though in 2004 it contracted with Washington Group International (Boise, ID) to conduct its mining operations, by yearend 2005, RNMC had assumed control of mining operations. Total reported cash costs of \$1.16 per pound of copper were higher than anticipated owing to lower head grades, lower than anticipated recoveries, and higher smelting and utility costs. The stripping ratio (ore:waste), which averaged 4.0 for the year, increased throughout the year as the company worked to expose ore in the Veteran area of the pit. At yearend, proven and probable reserves had increased to more than 145 Mt of ore grading 0.69% copper and 0.25 grams per metric ton (g/t) gold (Quadra Mining Ltd., 2006, p. 1-7).

During the fourth quarter of 2005, Quadra completed the acquisition of the Carlota project in the Globe/Miami mining district in Arizona from Cambior Inc. (Longueuil, Quebec, Canada) for an aggregate of about \$23 million. Carlota was projected to be an open-pit leach operation with an 11-year mine life and an average production rate of about 30,000 t/yr of copper (Quadra Mining Ltd., 2006, p. 7).

At Rio Tinto's Bingham Canyon Mine in Utah, production of copper in concentrate fell by 16% to 221,000 t despite slightly higher mill throughput. Copper mill-head grade declined to 0.53% copper from 0.63% copper in 2004 owing to optimization of mine production in favor of molybdenum. Average molybdenum grades nearly doubled to 0.058% molybdenum from 0.033% in 2004. Smelter and refinery production, however, fell by only 4% and 6% to 229,000 t and 232,000 t, respectively, owing to the processing of stockpiled concentrates and a 2-week smelter maintenance shutdown that had reduced production during the fourth quarter of 2004 (Rio Tinto plc., 2005a, p. 16; 2005b, p. 14).

Consumption

Despite a 5% increase in reported consumption in 2004, a downturn in the market that developed in the fourth quarter of the year continued into 2005, and reported domestic consumption of refined copper in 2005 plummeted by 6% to the lowest level since 1992. While U.S. production and shipments of wire rod declined by 8% and 7%, respectively, apparent consumption (domestic shipments plus net trade) rose slightly to 1.9 Mt. Net imports of wire rod more than doubled to 220,000 t and accounted for 12% of apparent consumption (American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc., 2006b). Despite a strong fourth quarter finish, brass mill product shipments by domestic producers declined nominally in 2005 to 770,000 t from 780,000 t in 2004. The copper tube market, which rose to 282,000 t from 276,000 t in 2004, was the only market segment to show a significant increase (American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc., 2006a).

The estimated total supply of copper and copper-alloy products to the U.S. market by fabricators (brass mills, wire mills, foundries, and powder producers), which included net imports, declined by 6% in 2005 from that in 2004 and was at about the same level as in 2003. Brass mill products accounted for 50% of total shipments to the domestic market; wire mill products, 48%; and foundry and powder products, 2%. In building construction, which was the leading end-use sector, total mill shipments declined by 9% and accounted for 49% of the market. Building construction included products used for air conditioning, architectural applications, builder's hardware, building wire, commercial refrigeration, and plumbing and heating. Shipments for electric/electronic products (20% market share), consumer and general products (11% market share), transportation equipment (11% marketshare), and industrial machinery (9% market share) declined by 3%, 5%, 5%, and 2%, respectively (Copper Development Association, Inc., 2006, p. 18-21).

Prices and Stocks

Following a sharp rise in prices at yearend 2004, the COMEX price having peaked at \$1.54 per pound of copper on

December 28, copper prices moderated slightly during the first quarter of the year with the COMEX price averaging about \$1.47 per pound for the first quarter. Copper prices renewed their upward trend in April, when the COMEX price averaged \$1.49 per pound, the highest monthly average since January 1989. In March and April, the London Metal Exchange Ltd. (LME) spot price premium over the COMEX price averaged 4.6 cents per pound and 4.0 cents per pound, respectively. This uncharacteristically large spread was last seen briefly in 1996 and compared with an average premium of only about 1 cent per pound in 2004. World commodity exchange stock levels during both periods of high price differential were at extremely low levels by historical standards. In 1996, exchange inventories fell to below 200,000 t, from a peak of almost 700,000 t in 1993, and by the end of March 2005, exchange stocks had fallen to approximately 100,000 t from 1.3 Mt in 2002.

Copper began a more precipitous rise during the third quarter of the year. With copper inventories at minimal levels, copper prices became extremely sensitive to announced production disruptions. Production disruptions in the United States, including the Asarco strike, pitwall problems at the Robinson Mine, and reduced production at the Bingham Canyon Mine, as well as global disruptions, such as a week-long strike at the beginning of July at the 140,000 t/yr-of-copper Zaldivar Mine in Chile helped to stimulate the midyear price rise (Placer-Dome Inc., 2005).

During the fourth quarter of the year, copper prices continued to move upward to successive record-high levels. The COMEX price averaged \$2.01 per pound of copper in November and \$2.17 per pound in December, closing the year at \$2.16 per pound. A particularly tight U.S. market for copper led to an increasing spread between LME and COMEX prices, which averaged \$0.10 per pound in December. The price rise was in part fueled by speculation in mid-November that a trader acting on behalf of China's State Reserve Bureau (SRB) had built a substantial short position by selling forward copper contracts containing an estimated 100,000 to 200,000 t of copper on the LME and that releases totaling about 60,000 t of copper from SRB stockpiles over the preceding months were intended to ease supply constraints and lower copper prices (Platts Metals Week, 2005a). The reported December expiration date for the forward contracts came and went without disruption, and speculation was that the initial SRB short position had been overestimated (Bresnick, 2005§).

Copper scrap prices generally followed the upward trend in refined copper prices. With higher refined prices, however, the discount of most grades of copper scrap to refined copper increased. The American Metal Market average price discount for refiners No. 2 scrap rose to 31 cents per pound from 21 cents per pound in 2004 and 11 cents per pound in 2003, and the discount for brass mill No. 1 scrap rose to 15 cents per pound from about 3 cents per pound in 2004.

Trade

With limited exchange stocks to draw upon, the shortfall in domestic copper production relative to demand was satisfied by an increase in imports of refined copper. Net refined copper

imports in 2005 rose to 961,000 t from 689,000 t in 2004. U.S. net import reliance as a percentage of apparent consumption, which includes copper recovered from old scrap, declined to 42% from the record-high 43% in 2004. Chile was the leading source of unwrought copper products from 2001 through 2005 and accounted for 34% of unmanufactured imports, followed by Canada (33%) and Peru (19%). Refined copper accounted for 78% of unwrought copper imports during the same period. The U.S. Census Bureau reported that exports of contained copper in concentrates rose to 147,000 t in 2005 from 24,000 t in 2004. Though an increase was anticipated from a full-year of operation of both the Robinson Mine and the Continental Pit in Montana, the balance between U.S. concentrate production and U.S. smelter production indicates that it is likely that Census Bureau data overstated the copper content of exports, tabulating some data on a gross weight basis instead of the purported copper basis.

U.S. Census Bureau data compiled by the Copper and Brass Fabricators Council Inc. (2006, p. 1-9) indicate that imports of 286,000 t of copper and copper-alloy semifabricated products (excluding wire-rod mill products) were down by 12% from those of the previous year, and exports rose to 164,000 t from 143,000 t in 2004. Consequently, net imports declined to 122,000 t in 2005 from 184,000 t in 2004. In 2005, Canada and Mexico collectively accounted for 71% of semifabricated copper exports and 28% of imports.

Exports of copper scrap for 2004 totaled 643,000 t, down from 714,000 t in 2004. China (including Hong Kong) was the destination for 61% of domestic scrap exports and accounted for 71% of reported global scrap imports. The United States remained the leading source of scrap, accounting for 17% of reported global scrap exports. However, there is a large discrepancy between reported global exports of scrap (3.76 Mt) and reported imports (7.0 Mt) (International Copper Study Group, 2006a, p. 41-44).

In 2004, the U.S. Census Bureau reported that the value of Russian wire-rod imports totaled \$132 million and therefore exceeded the threshold limit of \$115 million allowable under the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP). As a result, wire-rod imports from Russia lost their duty-free status effective July 1, 2005, and were then subject to a 3% ad valorem tariff. In 2003, Russia had been the second ranked source of wire-rod imports in to the United States, behind Canada but fell to third behind Mexico in 2004. Despite the loss of duty-free status, imports of wire rod from Russia rose to 102,000 t in 2005 from 29,000 t in 2004.

Russian export tariffs favored the export of value-added products, resulting in greater domestic consumption of refined copper and an increase in exports of copper and copper-alloy semifabricates, which rose to 350,000 t in 2005 from only 59,000 t in 2001, while Russian exports of refined copper fell to 301,000 t in 2005 from 585,000 t in 2001.

World Industry Structure

While world production of refined copper rose to 16.6 Mt, an increase of 700,000 t from that in 2004, world copper use declined by 1% to 16.63 Mt (International Copper Study Group, 2006a, p. 19). The combined impact of higher production and

lower copper use resulted in a global production deficit of only 50,000 t. This followed on the heels of a large production deficit that totaled about 1.3 Mt from 2003-2004. Global world reported stocks fell by about 1.13 Mt over the same period and began 2005 at only 920,000 t or, at the prevailing rate of consumption, less than a 3-week supply (International Copper Study Group, 2006a, p. 21). Inventories held on the global commodity exchanges totaled only 124,000 t, down from 1.3 Mt at the beginning of 2003. The limited inventory coupled with the production deficit in 2005 created upward pressure on global prices and market sensitivity to announced supply disruptions. The discrepancy between the calculated production deficit and stock drawdown can readily be accounted for by the release of unreported inventories, especially those in China, the leading global consumer of copper.

While world smelter and refinery production rose by 700,000 t, world mine production rose by only 400,000 t to 15.1 Mt, with all of the increase coming as copper in concentrate. Mine capacity, however, rose by approximately 900,000 t, and capacity utilization fell to 89.4% in 2005 from 92.9% in 2004 and was at its lowest level in 10 years (International Copper Study Group, 2006b, p. 16-63). Spot treatment and refining charges at global smelters, which had fallen to almost zero at the beginning of 2004 before rising to about 34 cents per pound of copper during the fourth quarter, continued to rise during 2005 and averaged about 40 cents per pound of copper during the fourth quarter of the year (CRU International Ltd., 2006, p. 53-57). During 2004, smelters reportedly had taken advantage of a surge in concentrate availability and a rise in treatment and refining charges to rebuild diminished inventories rather than boost their output. Capacity utilization at global smelters fell to 83.1% from 84.0% in 2004 owing to a 900,000-t increase in smelter capacity (International Copper Study Group, 2006a, p. 13-14; 2006b, p. 13).

The 3-year growth in world use of refined copper stalled in 2005, and world use of copper fell to 16.63 Mt from 16.77 Mt in 2004. Asia was the only major copper consuming region of the world to experience a growth in refined copper use: Growth in apparent use in China (8%) and India (19%) overshadowed lower consumption in Japan (4%), the Republic of Korea (9%), and Taiwan (8%). In North America, only Mexico experienced a growth in use (International Copper Study Group, 2006a, p. 9-10).

Consolidation of the global copper industry continued in 2005. In North America, Canadian mining companies Noranda Inc. and Falconbridge Ltd. announced in March that they would combine the assets of the two companies under the name NorandaFalconbridge by way of a "share exchange take-over bid by Noranda." Noranda already owned 58.8% of Falconbridge. The merged entity had a production capacity of more than 550,000 t/yr of refined copper, 530,000 t/yr of zinc, and 100,000 t/yr of nickel and a fully integrated aluminum unit. The two companies already held joint interests in the Kidd Creek Mine and metallurgical facilities in Canada and the Collahuasi and Lomas Bayas Mines in Chile. The merger was completed in June, and despite the initial announcement, the merged companies carried only the Falconbridge name (Noranda Inc., 2005; Platts Metals Week, 2005b).

In a second industry consolidation, BHP Billiton (United Kingdom and Australia) announced in March that the board of

directors of WMC Resources Ltd. (Australia) had recommended that its shareholders accept a takeover offer from BHP Billiton of \$A7.85 per share for the entire issued capital of WMC Resources, thus ending a bid for control of WMC by Xstrata Plc (Switzerland) that had begun in October 2004. In June, BHP Billiton announced that it had secured more than 90% of WMC shares and that it would then proceed to compulsorily acquire the balance. The principal asset in the acquisition was WMC's Olympic Mine Dam, the world's fourth largest identified copper resource, one of the world's ten largest gold deposits, and a major producer of uranium ore. BHP Billiton already controlled a majority interest in Escondida (Chile), the world's leading copper mine and largest identified resource (BHP Billiton, 2005a, b).

World Review

Mine production.—In 2005, world mine capacity continued its strong upward growth, increasing by 900,000 t (5.6%). There was significant capacity growth in Indonesia (260,000 t), Zambia (150,000 t), Chile (130,000 t), China (65,000 t), Brazil (60,000 t), Australia (50,000 t), and Iran (30,000 t). In Indonesia, effective capacity had been reduced by about 280,000 t in 2004 from its engineered capacity when landslides limited access to high-grade ore in the Grasberg Mine (International Copper Study Group, 2006b, p. 12-63).

Australia.—Several new mines began operation during the year. At the Whim Creek Mine (Straits Resources Ltd., Perth, Australia), electrowon cathode production began in June, and by mid-October, the mine reportedly was operating at its full capacity of 17,000 t/yr of cathode. Production of concentrate at the Tritton underground mine (also owned by Straits Resources) began in April, and the company shipped more than 78,000 t of concentrate in 2005. Annual capacity was projected to be 25,000 t/yr of copper in concentrate over an 11-year life (Straits Resources Ltd., 2006, p. 10-12). The Telfer Mine (Newcrest Mining Ltd., Melbourne, Victoria, Australia), which had operated as an open pit gold operation until 2000, was recommissioned and began producing gold-copper concentrate from a new processing train in November 2004 and started a second processing train in February 2005. At capacity, the mine was projected to produce 24,000 t/yr of copper in concentrate. The company reported combined reserves accessible by open pit and underground mining that containing 590,000 t of copper and 530 t of gold (Newcrest Mining Ltd., 2006§).

Brazil.—The Sossego Mine experienced its first year of full production, having produced its first concentrate in June 2004. Production in 2005 rose to 107,000 t of copper in concentrate from 73,000 t in 2004. The open pit operation, which was expected to produce 140,000 t/yr of copper at full capacity, had a projected life of about 14 years and was the first of five copper projects owned by Companhia Vale do Rio Doce (CVRD) in Brazil to be developed. In October, CVRD's board of directors approved investing in CVRD's Project 118, slated to produce 45,000 t/yr of electrowon cathode beginning in 2007 (Companhia Vale do Rio Doce, 2006, p. 41-42).

Chile.—Expansions at the Escondida Mine and Corporación Nacional del Cobre de Chile's (Codelco) (Santiago, Chile) Norte Division were partially balanced by reduced capacity from

falling ore grades at the Los Pelambres and Los Bronces Mines. Total production from Codelco's mines declined nominally to 1.83 Mt from 1.84 Mt in 2004. Despite a capacity increase at Codelco Norte, production fell to 965,000 t from 983,000 t in 2004 owing to failure of a semiautogenous grinding mill. Production at Codelco's El Teniente, Andina, and Salvador, divisions rose nominally to 437,000 t, 248,000 t, and 77,500 t, respectively. Basic engineering was completed on Codelco's proposed Gaby project, which was expected to produce 150,000 t/yr of electrowon cathode beginning in 2008 (Corporación Nacional del Cobre de Chile, 2006, p. 26-42). Though production at the Escondida Mine rose to 1.27 Mt from 1.21 Mt in 2004 following commissioning of the Norte crusher and ore handling system, production fell short of expectations owing to technical problems (BHP Billiton, 2006, p. 6).

Zambia.—First Quantum Minerals Ltd. (Vancouver) reported producing 69,600 t of copper (41,500 t in electrowon copper and 28,100 t in concentrate) from its newly restarted Kansanshi Mine [formerly owned by Zambian Consolidated Copper Mines (ZCCM) and subsequently by Cyprus Amax Minerals Company]. First Quantum estimated the combined cash cost of production at \$0.63 per pound of copper. Production fell short of expectations owing to a shortage of mining equipment. Full capacity was projected at about 150,000 t of copper per year, equally split between concentrate and electrowon copper (First Quantum Minerals Ltd., 2006, p. 3). Capacity also increased at the Chambishi Mine, which was reopened in 2003 by China's Non-Ferrous Metal Mining Co., which had purchased an 85% share in the operations from ZCCM.

Smelter Production.—World smelter capacity rose by approximately 1 million metric tons per year (Mt/yr) to a record-high 20.3 Mt/yr. With the exception of small incremental increases, China, India, and Thailand accounted for most of the expansion (International Copper Study Group, 2006b, p. 64-89).

China.—Capacity rose by more than 400,000 t/yr to about 2.2 Mt/yr. The Jinchuan smelter doubled its capacity to 250,000 t/yr of anode; the Daye Non-Ferrous Metal Co. smelter reached full capacity of 200,000 t/yr; the Huludao smelter installed Ausmelt technology to double capacity to about 120,000 t/yr; and by yearend, Jinchuan Non-Ferrous Metal Co. had completed the smelter expansion that increased capacity to 350,000 t/yr of anode from 130,000 t/yr over a 2-year period (International Copper Study Group, 2006b, p. 65-66).

India.—The Birla Copper Unit of Hindalco Industries Ltd. (Worli, Mumbai, India) commissioned a new Ausmelt copper smelting and converting plant in November 2004 that will allow capacity to double to about 500,000 t/yr in 2006 from 250,000 t/yr (Ausmelt Ltd., 2004). In 2005, Sterlite Industries Ltd. (Aurangabad, India) commissioned a new ISASMELT smelter at Tuticorin in southern India that doubled its capacity to 300,000 t/yr of copper from 150,000 t/yr. The new furnace replaced an ISASMELT furnace commissioned in 1996 (Xstrata Plc., 2006§).

Thailand.—The Rayong copper smelter, about 170 km from Bangkok, was completed in mid-2004. The smelter employs a rotary smelting reactor and Chilean Teniente converting technology. Though the smelter experienced technical problems at startup, the new smelter and associated refinery were designed

to have the capacity to produce 165,000 t/yr of cathode, 150,000 t/yr from concentrate and 15,000 t/yr from scrap (Aker Kvaerner ASA, 2005§).

Refinery Production.—Total world refinery capacity also rose by approximately 1 Mt/yr (5%) to more than 20 Mt/yr. New electrowinning capacity accounted for about 160,000 t/yr of the expansion. For the most part, increased capacity was matched to expansions in smelting capacity. Exceptions included expansion of Southern Copper Corp.'s (Phoenix, AZ) Ilo refinery in Peru to 350,000 t/yr from 290,000 t/yr prior to expansion of smelting capacity and commissioning in India of the Jhagadia Ltd. 50,000-t/yr electrolytic refinery, which was designed to process high-grade scrap (International Copper Study Group, 2006b, p. 80-103).

Outlook

Heading into 2006, the refined copper production deficit that had persisted during the preceding 3 years resulted in tight supplies, limited stock availability, and concerns over supply adequacy. Copper availability remained extremely tight during the first 9 months of 2006, with estimated production only slightly exceeding consumption. Reported global inventories declined by 20,000 t from those at yearend 2005 (International Copper Study Group, 2006a, p. 9). Global mine production in 2006 was expected to fall short of expectations owing to production problems in Chile, Indonesia, and the United States, as well as labor disruptions in Chile and Mexico. Copper prices continued their upward trend, and in May 2006, the COMEX spot price reached a record-high price of \$4.08 per pound, nearly twice the previous record-high price of \$2.28 set in December 2005, before settling back to an average of \$3.54 per pound during the fourth quarter. Higher metal prices also led to increased investment interest in metal markets, including copper, and speculation as to the long-term effects high prices could have on substitution and demand for copper. Record-high profits led to competition for and continued consolidation of international copper mining companies.

In the United States, mine production in 2006 was expected to rise to the highest level since 2001, following a post-strike return to full production at Asarco's mines; startup of new mines in Montana, Nevada, and Utah; and restart of concentrate production at the Morenci Mine. Consumption of refined copper was expected to decline further owing to the compound effects of a turndown in the housing market, substitution for copper tubing occasioned by the high copper prices, and greater import penetration by foreign copper wire rod. U.S. mine and refinery production were expected to increase further in 2007 as new operations started up or reached capacity.

References Cited

American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc., 2006a, Copper and copper alloy mill product: Chatham, NJ, American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc. Report 3, May 16, 7 p.
American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc., 2006b, US copper wirerod market: Chatham, NJ, American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc. Report 4, February 14, 4 p.
Ausmelt Ltd., 2004, Ausmelt achieves final sign off of Indian copper project: Dandenong, Australia, Ausmelt Ltd. release to the Australian stock exchange, December 9, 2 p.
Barry, Sean, 2005a, Asarco ramp-up continues but full production still two months away: American Metal Market, v. 113, no. 49-32, December 13, p. 6.

Barry, Sean, 2005b, Deadlock at Asarco lingers; no deal after 3 days of talks: American Metal Market, v. 113, no. 25-2, July 6, p. 4.
Barry, Sean, 2005c, Strike drives Asarco to set force majeure, traders say: American Metal Market, v. 113, no. 27-2, July 12, p. 1.
Barry, Sean, 2005d, Strike-torn Asarco files for protection: American Metal Market, v. 113, no. 31-4, August 11, p. 1, 6.
BHP Billiton, 2005a, BHP Billiton achieves more than 90 percent of WMC and proceeds with compulsory acquisition: Melbourne, Australia, BHP Billiton news release, June 17, 2 p.
BHP Billiton, 2005b, BHP Billiton announces US\$7.3 billion cash offer for WMC Resources: Melbourne, Australia, BHP Billiton news release, March 8, 5 p.
BHP Billiton, 2006, BHP Production report for the quarter ended 31 December 2005: London, United Kingdom, BHP Billiton production report, January 24, 19 p.
Brooks, David, 2005, Spreading Asarco strike could pare output 50%: American Metal Market, v. 113, no. 26-2, July 6, p. 1, 2.
Companhia Vale do Rio Doce, 2006, Annual report—2006: Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Companhia Vale do Rio Doce, 127 p.
Constellation Copper Corp., 2005a, New copper deposit discovered at Lisbon Valley, Utah: Denver, CO, Constellation Copper Corp. news release, May 5, 2 p.
Constellation Copper Corp., 2005b, Progress on construction and start-up of Lisbon Valley copper mine: Denver, CO, Constellation Copper Corp. news release, October 17, 2 p.
Constellation Copper Corp., 2006, Lisbon Valley copper mine copper-rich solution flowing—Construction update: Denver, CO, Constellation Copper Corp. news release, January 4, 2 p.
Copper and Brass Fabricators Council Inc., 2006, Import/export report—December 2005: Washington, DC, Copper and Brass Fabricators Council Inc., February 21, 158 p.
Copper Development Association Inc., 2006, Annual data 2005—Copper supply and consumption: New York, NY, Copper Development Association Inc., 21 p.
Corporación Nacional del Cobre de Chile, 2006, Annual report—2005: Santiago, Chile, Corporación Nacional del Cobre de Chile, 160 p.
CRU International Ltd., 2006, Copper quarterly industry and market outlook: London, United Kingdom, CRU International Ltd., January, 72 p.
First Quantum Minerals Ltd., 2006, First Quantum reports operational and financial results for three months and twelve months ended December 31, 2005: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, First Quantum Ltd. news release, March 9, 14 p.
Grupo México, S.A. de C.V., 2005, 2Q2005 CEO report: Mexico City, Mexico, Grupo México, S.A. de C.V., July 21, 18 p.
Grupo México, S.A. de C.V., 2006, Annual report—2005: Mexico City, Mexico, Grupo México, S.A. de C.V., 55 p.
International Copper Study Group, 2006a, Copper bulletin: Lisbon, Portugal, International Copper Study Group, v. 13, no. 11, November, 54 p.
International Copper Study Group, 2006b, Directory of copper mines and plants 2004 to 2009—3rd quarter 2006: Lisbon, Portugal, International Copper Study Group, 120 p.
Millman, Joel, Aepfel, Timothy, and McKay, P.A., 2005, Asarco files for chapter 11, cites costs, strike: The Wall Street Journal, August 11, p. A3, A8.
Noranda Inc., 2005, Noranda and Falconbridge agree to combine: Toronto, Ontario, Canada, Noranda Inc. news release, March 9, 12 p.
Nord Resources Corp., 2006, Nord Resources announces filing of form 10-KSB: Dagoon, AZ, Nord Resources Corp. news release, January 19, 3 p.
Phelps Dodge Corp., 2005, Phelps Dodge to construct first-ever commercial-scale copper concentrate leaching facility at Morenci Mine; will take \$420 million in non-cash special charges in second quarter: Phoenix, AZ, Phelps Dodge Corp. press release, June 2, 1 p.
Phelps Dodge Corp., 2006, Annual report—2005: Phoenix, AZ, Phelps Dodge Corp., 152 p.
Placer Dome Inc., 2005, Strike ends at Placer Dome's Zaldivar Mine: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Placer Dome Inc. news release, July 11, 1 p.
Platts Metals Week, 2005a, Copper prices climb on uncertainty over SRB short positions: Platts Metals Week, v. 76, no. 47, p. 2, 8-9.
Platts Metals Week, 2005b, Noranda, Falconbridge to merge; Brascan eyeing options: Platts Metals Week, v. 76, no. 11, March 14, p. 1, 7.
Platts Metals Week, 2005c, No talks scheduled at Asarco; force majeure in effect: Platts Metals Week, v. 76, no. 29, July 18, p. 12.
Quadra Mining Ltd., 2005, Quadra revises Robinson Mine production schedule for 2005: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Quadra Mining Ltd. news release, July 15, 1 p.

Quadra Mining Ltd., 2006, Management discussion and analysis for the year and fourth quarter ended December 31, 2005: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Quadra Mining Ltd., 20 p.

Resolution Copper Company, 2005, Resolution Copper resumes drilling program: Superior, AZ, Resolution Copper Company press release, January 21, 2 p.

Rio Tinto plc., 2006a, Fourth quarter 2005 operations review: London, United Kingdom, Rio Tinto plc. press release, January 18, 20 p.

Rio Tinto plc., 2006b, 2005 annual report and financial statements: London, United Kingdom, Rio Tinto plc., 78p.

Straits Resources Ltd., 2006, Annual report—2005: Perth, Australia, Straits Resources Ltd., 132 p.

U.S. Department of Commerce, 2005a, Brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Italy and Japan—Final results of the expedited sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders: Federal Register, v. 70, no. 151, August 8, p. 45650-45651.

U.S. Department of Commerce, 2005b, Final results of expedited sunset review—Brass sheet and strip from Brazil: Federal Register, v. 70, no. 213, November 4, p. 67139-67140.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006, National primary drinking water regulations for lead and copper—Short-term regulatory revisions and clarifications: Federal Register, v. 71, no. 137, July 18, p. 40827-408630.

U.S. Geological Survey National Mineral Resource Assessment Team, 2000, 1998 assessment of undiscovered deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1178, 21 p.

Bresnick, Julie, 2005 (December 21), SRB shorts fail to cause a stir; some see hoax, accessed January 3, 2005, via URL <http://www.amm.com>.

Newcrest Mining Ltd., [undated], Telfer, Operations Review, accessed December 5, 2006, via URL <http://www.newcrest.com.au>.

Xstrata Plc., 2006, Sterlite Industries copper smelter, accessed November 18, 2006, via URL <http://www.isasmelt.com>.

GENERAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION

U.S. Geological Survey Publications

Copper. Ch. in *Metal Prices in the United States Through 1998*.

Copper. Ch. in *Mineral Commodity Summaries*, annual.

Copper. *Mineral Industry Surveys*, monthly.

Flows of Selected Materials Associated With World Copper Smelting. Open-File Report 2004-1395

Nature and Use of Copper Reserve and Resource Data, The. Professional Paper 907-F, 1981.

Other

Copper. Ch. in *Mineral Facts and Problems*, U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 675, 1985.

Copper Bulletin. International Copper Study Group, monthly.

Directory of Copper Mines and Plants. International Copper Study Group, quarterly.

Non-Ferrous Metal Data. American Bureau of Metal Statistics.

World Metals Statistics. World Bureau of Metal Statistics, monthly.

Internet References Cited

Aker Kvaerner ASA, 2004 (June 1), Aker Kvaerner delivers Thai copper project, accessed June 5, 2005, via URL <http://www.akerkvaerner.com>.

ASARCO LLC, [undated], Restructuring information, accessed July 12, 2006, via <http://www.asarcorg.com>.

Barry, Sean, 2005 (November 11), After 19 weeks, labor peace and a pivotal step toward restructuring, *American Metal Market*, accessed November 14, 2005, via URL <http://www.amm.com>.

TABLE 1
SALIENT COPPER STATISTICS¹

(Metric tons, copper, unless otherwise specified)

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	
United States:						
Production:						
Mine:						
Ore concentrated, gross weight	thousand metric tons	148,000	104,000	114,000	139,000	154,000
Average yield of copper ²	percent	0.48	0.52	0.46	0.38	0.34
Recoverable copper:						
Arizona		879,000	767,000	741,000	723,000	690,000
Michigan, Montana, Utah		W	W	W	W	W
New Mexico		141,000	112,000	87,800	122,000	131,000
Other States		318,000	263,000	287,000	312,000	319,000
Total		1,340,000	1,140,000	1,120,000	1,160,000	1,140,000
Total value	millions	\$2,270	\$1,910	\$2,100	\$3,420	\$4,360
Smelter:						
Primary and secondary, gross weight		919,000	683,000	539,000	542,000	523,000
Byproduct sulfuric acid, sulfur content	thousand metric tons	813	695	590	600	575
Refinery:						
Primary materials:						
Electrolytic from domestic ores		808,000	725,000	532,000	531,000	524,000
Electrolytic from foreign materials		192,000	116,000	130,000	140,000	130,000
Electrowon		628,000	601,000 ^f	591,000	584,000	554,000
Total		1,630,000	1,440,000	1,250,000	1,260,000	1,210,000

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 1—Continued
SALIENT COPPER STATISTICS¹

(Metric tons, copper, unless otherwise specified)

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
United States—Continued:					
Production—Continued:					
Refinery—Continued:					
Secondary materials (scrap), electrolytic and fire refined	172,000	69,900	53,300	50,800	47,200
Total refinery	1,800,000	1,510,000	1,310,000	1,310,000	1,260,000
Secondary copper:					
Recovered from new scrap	833,000	840,000	737,000	774,000	769,000
Recovered from old scrap	317,000	190,000	207,000	191,000	182,000
Total	1,150,000	1,030,000	944,000	965,000	951,000
Copper sulfate, gross weight	55,200	49,200	32,100	25,100	25,600
Exports:					
Refined	22,500	26,600	93,300	118,000	39,500
Unmanufactured ³	556,000	506,000	703,000	789,000	815,000
Imports:					
Refined	991,000	927,000	882,000	807,000	1,000,000
Unmanufactured ³	1,400,000	1,230,000	1,140,000	1,060,000	1,230,000
Stocks, December 31:					
Blister and in-process material	98,000	44,400	56,800	51,400	44,300
Refined copper:					
Refineries	28,600	11,700	12,100	10,400	8,190
Wire-rod mills	37,600	23,000	29,700	20,300	20,400
Brass mills	25,500	28,700	20,200	21,500	24,500
Other industry	4,860	4,800	4,240	3,230	5,750
New York Commodity Exchange (COMEX)	244,000	362,000	255,000	43,700	6,180
London Metal Exchange (LME), U.S. warehouses	617,000	601,000	335,000	35,000	800
Total	957,000	1,030,000	656,000	134,000	65,900
Consumption:					
Refined copper, reported	2,620,000	2,370,000	2,290,000	2,410,000	2,270,000
Apparent consumption, primary refined and old scrap ⁴	2,510,000	2,610,000	2,430,000	2,550,000	2,400,000
Price:					
Producer, weighted average cents per pound	76.85	75.80	85.25	133.94	173.49
COMEX, first position do.	72.57	71.67	81.05	128.97	168.23
LME, Grade A cash do.	71.57	70.72	80.68	129.96	166.84
World, production:					
Mine thousand metric tons	13,700 ^r	13,700 ^r	13,700 ^r	14,700 ^r	15,100 ^c
Smelter, gross weight do.	12,700 ^r	12,500 ^r	12,700 ^r	12,800 ^r	13,500 ^c
Refinery do.	15,700 ^r	15,500 ^r	15,300 ^r	15,900 ^r	16,600

^cEstimated. ^rRevised. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included in "Other States."

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits, except prices; may not add to totals shown.

²Yield calculations are for concentrated ore only.

³Includes copper content of alloy scrap.

⁴In 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005, apparent consumption is calculated using general imports of 1,200,000 metric tons (t), 1,060,000 t, 687,000 t, 704,000 t, and 977,000 t, respectively.

TABLE 2
LEADING COPPER-PRODUCING MINES IN THE UNITED STATES IN 2005, IN ORDER OF OUTPUT¹

Rank	Mine	County and State	Operator	Source of copper	Capacity (thousand metric tons)
1	Morenci	Greenlee, AZ	Phelps Dodge Corp.	Copper ore, leached	390
2	Bingham Canyon	Salt Lake, UT	Kennecott Utah Copper Corp.	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated	300
3	Ray	Pinal, AZ	ASARCO Incorporated	Copper ore, concentrated and leached	170
4	Bagdad	Yavapai, AZ	Phelps Dodge Corp.	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated and leached	100
5	Chino	Grant, NM	do.	do.	125
6	Sierrita	Pima, AZ	do.	do.	100
7	Tyrone	Grant, NM	do.	Copper ore, leached	80
8	Continental Pit	Silver Bow, MT	Montana Resources	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated	45
9	Mission Complex	Pima, AZ	ASARCO Incorporated	Copper ore, concentrated	70
10	Silver Bell	do.	do.	Copper ore, leached	22
11	Robinson	White Pine, NV	Quadra Mining Ltd.	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated	60
12	Miami	Gila, AZ	Phelps Dodge Corp.	Copper ore, leached	50
13	Pinto Valley	do.	BHP Copper Co.	do.	5
14	Miami	do.	do.	do.	5

¹The mines on this list accounted for more than 99% of U.S. mine production in 2005.

TABLE 3
MINE PRODUCTION OF COPPER-BEARING ORES AND RECOVERABLE COPPER CONTENT
OF ORES PRODUCED IN THE UNITED STATES, BY SOURCE AND TREATMENT PROCESS¹

(Metric tons)

Source and treatment process	2004		2005	
	Gross weight ²	Recoverable copper	Gross weight ²	Recoverable copper
Mined copper ore:				
Concentrated	139,000,000	533,000	154,000,000	529,000
Leached	NA	584,000	NA	554,000
Total	NA	1,120,000	NA	1,080,000
Copper precipitates shipped, leached from tailings, dumps, and in-place material	1,270	2,360	1,490	1,990
Other copper-bearing ores ³	4,780,000	37,200	5,170,000	55,000
Grand total	XX	1,160,000	XX	1,140,000

NA Not available. XX Not applicable.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²In 2005, 14,518 kilograms of gold and 207 metric tons of silver were recovered from concentrated ore. The average value of gold and silver per metric ton of ore concentrated was \$1.66.

³Includes gold ore, lead ore, silver ore, silver-copper ore, zinc ore, and ore shipped directly to smelter.

TABLE 4
CONSUMPTION OF COPPER AND BRASS MATERIALS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY ITEM¹

(Metric tons, gross weight)

Item	Brass mills	Wire-rod mills	Foundries, chemical plants, miscellaneous users	Smelters, refiners, ingot makers	Total
2004:					
Copper scrap	876,000 ^{r,2}	W	80,700	183,000	1,140,000
Refined copper ³	573,000	1,780,000	57,400	4,560	2,410,000
Hardeners and master alloys	10,000	--	2,040	--	12,100
Brass ingots	1,470	--	95,200	--	96,600
Slab zinc	68,300	--	(4)	(4)	95,500
2005:					
Copper scrap	870,000	W	81,700	192,000	1,140,000
Refined copper ³	528,000	1,680,000	60,700	4,540	2,270,000
Hardeners and master alloys	10,000	--	2,330	--	12,400
Brass ingots	--	--	89,900	--	89,900
Slab zinc	59,700	--	(4)	(4)	82,500

^rRevised. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included with "Brass mills." -- Zero.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Includes item indicated by symbol W.

³Detailed information on consumption of refined copper can be found in table 5.

⁴Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included in "Total."

TABLE 5
CONSUMPTION OF REFINED COPPER SHAPES IN THE UNITED STATES, BY CLASS OF CONSUMER¹

(Metric tons, copper)

Class of consumer	Cathodes	Ingots and ingot bars	Cakes and slabs	Wirebar, billets, other	Total
2004:					
Wire-rod mills	1,770,000	--	--	8,860	1,780,000
Brass mills	389,000	15,100	57,000	112,000	573,000
Chemical plants	--	--	--	1,200	1,200
Ingot makers	W	W	W	4,560 ²	4,560
Foundries	3,470	6,230	--	11,300	21,000
Miscellaneous ³	W	W	W	35,200 ²	35,200
Total	2,160,000	21,400	57,000	173,000	2,410,000
2005:					
Wire-rod mills	1,680,000	--	--	2,590	1,680,000
Brass mills	361,000	23,300	35,300	108,000	528,000
Chemical plants	--	--	--	1,200	1,200
Ingot makers	W	W	W	4,540 ²	4,540
Foundries	3,780	5,490	--	10,900	20,200
Miscellaneous ³	W	W	W	39,300 ²	39,300
Total	2,040,000	28,800	35,300	167,000	2,270,000

W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included with "Wirebar, billets, other." -- Zero.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Includes items indicated by symbol W.

³Includes consumers of copper powder and copper shot, iron and steel plants, and other manufacturers.

TABLE 6
COPPER RECOVERED FROM SCRAP PROCESSED IN THE UNITED STATES,
BY KIND OF SCRAP AND FORM OF RECOVERY¹

(Metric tons, copper)

	2004	2005
Kind of scrap:		
New scrap:		
Copper-base	735,000	730,000
Aluminum-base	38,800	39,300
Nickel-base	18	18
Total	774,000	769,000
Old scrap:		
Copper-base	169,000	168,000
Aluminum-base	22,100	14,200
Nickel-base	279	214
Zinc-base	29	33
Total	191,000	182,000
Grand total	965,000	951,000
Form of recovery:		
As unalloyed copper	51,400	48,100
In brass and bronze	840,000	837,000
In alloy iron and steel	1,020	985
In aluminum alloys	60,400	53,400
In other alloys	28	32
In chemical compounds	12,300	12,300
Total	965,000	951,000

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

TABLE 7
COPPER RECOVERED AS REFINED COPPER AND IN ALLOYS AND OTHER FORMS
FROM COPPER-BASE SCRAP PROCESSED IN THE UNITED STATES, BY TYPE OF OPERATION¹

(Metric tons, copper)

Type of operation	From new scrap		From old scrap		Total	
	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005
Ingot makers	25,700	24,100	63,800	70,100	89,500	94,200
Refineries ²	16,000	16,000	34,700	31,200	50,800	47,200
Brass and wire-rod mills	669,000	667,000	36,300	29,800	705,000	697,000
Foundries and manufacturers	19,400	17,500	30,800	33,700	50,200	51,200
Chemical plants	5,040	5,040	3,130	3,130	8,160	8,160
Total	735,000	730,000	169,000	168,000	904,000	898,000

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Electrolytically refined based on source of material at smelter level.

TABLE 8
PRODUCTION OF SECONDARY COPPER AND COPPER-ALLOY PRODUCTS
IN THE UNITED STATES, BY ITEM PRODUCED FROM SCRAP¹

(Metric tons, gross weight)

Item produced from scrap	2004	2005
Unalloyed copper products:		
Refined copper	50,800	47,200
Copper powder	48	314
Copper castings	574	547
Total	51,400	48,100
Alloyed copper products:		
Brass and bronze ingots:		
Tin bronzes	10,200	10,100
Leaded red brass and semired brass	68,400	68,700
High leaded tin bronze	10,400	10,500
Yellow brass	5,890	5,910
Manganese bronze	8,270	8,240
Aluminum bronze	6,010	5,980
Nickel silver	2,140	1,990
Silicon bronze and brass	5,900	6,010
Copper-base hardeners and master alloys	5,500	5,810
Miscellaneous	4,940	4,930
Total	128,000	128,000
Brass mill and wire-rod mill products	865,000	859,000
Brass and bronze castings	44,100 ^r	45,300
Brass powder	71	69
Copper in chemical products	12,300	12,300
Grand total	1,100,000	1,090,000

^rRevised.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

TABLE 9
COMPOSITION OF SECONDARY COPPER-ALLOY PRODUCTION IN THE UNITED STATES¹

(Metric tons)

	Copper	Tin	Lead	Zinc	Nickel	Aluminum	Total
Brass and bronze ingot production: ²							
2004	107,000	3,830 ^r	5,760 ^r	10,500 ^r	225 ^r	14	128,000
2005	109,000	3,750	5,510	9,150	184	12	128,000
Secondary metal content of brass mill products:							
2004	706,000	475	6,160	150,000	W	W	865,000
2005	698,000	1,750	3,590	154,000	W	W	859,000
Secondary metal content of brass and bronze castings:							
2004	39,200 ^r	1,520	1,130	2,030	182	62	44,100 ^r
2005	40,600	1,450	1,100	1,940	116	60	45,300

^rRevised. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included in "Total."

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Includes approximately 96% from scrap and 4% from other than scrap in 2004 and 2005.

TABLE 10
CONSUMPTION AND YEAREND STOCKS OF COPPER-BASE SCRAP¹

(Metric tons, gross weight)

Scrap type and processor	2004		2005	
	Consumption	Stocks	Consumption	Stocks
Unalloyed scrap:				
No. 1 wire and heavy:				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	58,600	980 ^r	68,700	5,890
Brass and wire-rod mills	394,000	(2)	382,000	(2)
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	27,200	(2)	28,000	(2)
No. 2 mixed heavy and light:				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	28,800	2,090 ^r	34,900	1,220
Brass and wire-rod mills	6,250	(2)	5,260	(2)
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	3,570	(2)	5,160	(2)
Total unalloyed scrap:				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	87,400	3,070 ^r	104,000	7,120
Brass and wire-rod mills	401,000	28,800	387,000	33,800
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	30,700	2,550	33,200	2,220
Alloyed scrap:				
Red brass: ³				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	26,300	1,360 ^r	22,900	1,340
Brass mills	14,200	(2)	14,330	(2)
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	9,820	(2)	7,900	(2)
Leaded yellow brass:				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	8,140	735 ^r	8,010	746
Brass mills	314,000	(2)	183,000	(2)
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	1,150	(2)	981	(2)
Yellow and low brass, all plants	42,600	948 ^r	174,000	901
Cartridge cases and brass, all plants	86,700	(2)	94,600	(2)
Auto radiators:				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	25,000	1,048 ^r	24,300	1,020
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	4,300	(2)	5,030	(2)
Bronzes:				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	11,100	750 ^r	10,300	625
Brass mills and miscellaneous manufacturers	18,400	(2)	17,900	(2)
Nickel-copper alloys, all plants				
Low grade and residues, smelters, refiners, miscellaneous manufacturers	20,900	224 ^r	18,700	211
Other alloy scrap: ⁴				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	1,130	382 ^r	1,180	372
Brass mills and miscellaneous manufacturers	6,000	(2)	5,398	(2)
Total alloyed scrap:				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	95,500	6,070 ^r	88,800	5,850
Brass mills	480,000	25,000	487,000	24,300
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	50,000	2,150 ^r	48,500	2,160
Total scrap:				
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	183,000	9,140 ^r	193,000	13,000
Brass and wire-rod mills	881,000	53,800	874,000	58,100
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	80,700	4,700 ^r	81,700	4,380

^rRevised.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Individual breakdown is not available; included in "Total unalloyed scrap," "Total alloyed scrap," and "Total scrap."

³Includes cocks and faucets, commercial bronze, composition turnings, gilding metal, railroad car boxes, and silicon bronze.

⁴Includes aluminum bronze, beryllium copper, and refinery brass.

TABLE 11
CONSUMPTION OF PURCHASED COPPER-BASE SCRAP^{1,2}

(Metric tons, gross weight)

Type of operation	From new scrap		From old scrap		Total	
	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005
Ingot makers	40,200	48,100	91,700	96,600	132,000	145,000
Smelters and refineries	16,200	16,200	34,900	31,700	51,100	47,800
Brass and wire-rod mills	843,000	842,000	37,700	31,600	880,000	874,000
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	42,600	40,100	38,200	41,600	80,700	81,700
Total	942,000	947,000	202,000	201,000	1,140,000	1,150,000

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Consumption at brass and wire-rod mills assumed equal to receipts.

TABLE 12
FOUNDRIES AND MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURERS CONSUMPTION OF
BRASS INGOT, REFINED COPPER, AND COPPER SCRAP IN THE UNITED STATES¹

(Metric tons, gross weight)

Ingot type or material consumed	2004	2005
Brass ingot:		
Tin bronzes	22,800	16,400
Leaded red brass and semired brass	55,300	54,400
Yellow, leaded, low brass ²	6,440	7,850
Manganese bronze	3,930 ^r	3,870
Nickel silver ³	2,270 ^r	2,410
Aluminum bronze	3,580 ^r	3,970
Hardeners and master alloys ⁴	2,040	2,330
Lead free alloys ⁵	864	974
Total brass ingot	97,200	92,200
Refined copper	57,400	60,700
Copper scrap	80,700	81,700

^rRevised.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Includes brass and silicon bronze.

³Includes brass, copper nickel, and nickel bronze.

⁴Includes special alloys.

⁵Includes copper-bismuth and copper-bismuth-selenium alloys.

TABLE 13
AVERAGE PRICES FOR COPPER SCRAP AND ALLOY-INGOT, BY TYPE

(Cents per pound)

Year	Brass mills No. 1 scrap	Refiners No. 2 scrap	Dealers' buying (New York)	
			No. 2 scrap	Red brass turnings and borings
2004	126.41	107.62	86.86	55.14
2005	153.46	137.28	95.92	61.10

Source: American Metal Market.

TABLE 14
U.S. EXPORTS OF UNMANUFACTURED COPPER, BY COUNTRY¹

Country	Ore and concentrate		Matte, ash and precipitates		Refined		Unalloyed copper scrap		Blister and anodes		Total	
	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value (thousands)
2004	24,200	\$48,172	22,137	\$27,154	118,289	\$232,811	325,118	\$430,226	46,404	\$120,534	536,148	\$858,897
2005:												
Belgium	41	73	37	10	49	73	644	1,250	724	1,410	1,500	2,820
Canada	18,300	32,400	30,900	35,600	2,070	6,020	27,900	33,300	20,500	35,400	99,700	143,000
China	75,700	111,000	9,650	10,600	19,000	47,300	265,000	440,000	343	1,270	369,000	610,000
Germany	--	--	93	131	182	277	10,600	16,400	779	2,980	11,600	19,800
Hong Kong	2	3	1	7	7	13	9,200	7,650	3,190	12,900	12,400	20,600
India	149	177	1	33	6,650	5,720	4,460	4,930	58	205	11,300	11,100
Japan	28,700	49,200	70	126	5	8	6,710	21,900	2,230	4,830	37,800	76,100
Korea, Republic of	1	4	32	46	132	285	27,200	69,900	464	1,910	27,900	72,200
Malaysia	10	19	--	--	--	--	33	22	117	367	160	408
Mexico	6,380	13,200	682	849	9,860	33,900	1,010	3,070	2,660	8,680	20,600	59,700
Peru	--	--	1	11	28	42	--	--	--	--	29	53
Singapore	19	43	20	96	13	75	1	7	491	1,960	544	2,180
Spain	1,730	7,670	--	--	--	--	146	472	203	837	2,080	8,980
Taiwan	15	25	14	27	180	280	11,600	32,500	1,680	6,820	13,500	39,700
Thailand	5,390	10,700	(2)	5	--	--	874	2,400	125	520	6,390	13,600
United Kingdom	67	84	46	65	158	328	276	1,040	223	1,010	770	2,530
Other	136	234	302	691	1,190	2,600	1,080	2,470	7,730	16,200	10,400	22,200
Total	137,000	224,000	41,800	48,300	39,500	97,000	366,000	637,000	41,600	97,300	626,000	1,100,000

-- Zero.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Less than ½ unit.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

TABLE 15
U.S. EXPORTS OF COPPER SEMIMANUFACTURES, BY COUNTRY¹

Country	Pipes and tubing		Plates, sheets, foil, bars		Bare wire, including wire rod ²		Wire and cable, stranded		Copper sulfate	
	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value (thousands)
2004	24,800	\$102,000	29,600	\$120,000	148,000	\$464,000	32,400	\$175,000	1,440	\$1,710
2005:										
Australia	26	103	25	109	3	58	91	2,540	--	--
Brazil	38	207	36	910	4	85	69	1,160	--	--
Canada	7,140	36,400	14,500	70,300	16,200	67,700	5,870	28,400	1,530	2,040
China	71	267	615	3,530	3,110	6,110	2,960	7,540	353	525
Denmark	--	--	9	58	16	147	38	495	--	--
France	19	66	65	805	179	2,020	192	2,220	--	--
Germany	50	133	136	1,310	206	3,570	154	3,520	5	4
Hong Kong	43	195	1,060	5,890	64	779	245	3,100	--	--
Italy	--	--	6	142	4	105	85	391	--	--
Japan	8	76	501	3,370	34	265	430	3,780	38	74
Korea, Republic of	10	53	1,670	4,990	374	1,040	270	3,100	20	33
Malaysia	258	1,690	263	1,810	83	452	10	289	9	8
Mexico	20,100	88,100	7,710	28,400	132,000	517,000	18,700	98,200	79	78
Netherlands	20	159	201	1,130	30	408	104	1,390	105	187
Saudi Arabia	362	1,820	-3	6	5	65	72	423	--	--
Singapore	40	415	158	1,230	14	245	328	3,470	25	20
Sweden	--	--	20	257	22	355	17	644	--	--
Taiwan	20	106	121	1,530	19	214	383	1,880	11	9
Thailand	-3	13	352	2,090	68	486	26	972	3	3
United Kingdom	56	312	91	1,200	153	1,060	82	2,460	--	--
Other	954	4,510	920	7,110	1,600	6,200	8,680	46,100	1,050	1,540
Total	29,200	135,000	28,500	136,000	154,000	608,000	38,800	212,000	3,220	4,520

-- Zero.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Total revised exports of wire rod in 2004 were 128,563 metric tons (t) valued at \$389 million, and in 2005, wire rod exports were 137,515 t valued at \$530 million.

³Less than ½ unit.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, adjusted by the U.S. Geological Survey for misclassified wire rod shipments to Mexico.

TABLE 16
U.S. IMPORTS FOR CONSUMPTION OF UNMANUFACTURED COPPER, BY COUNTRY¹

Country	Ore and concentrate		Matte, ash and precipitates		Blister and anode		Refined		Unalloyed scrap		Total	
	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value ² (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value ² (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value ² (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value ² (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value ² (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, Cu content)	Value ² (thousands)
2004	22,900	\$25,000	1,680	\$3,850	151,000	\$423,000	807,000	\$2,170,000	23,400	\$37,100	1,010,000	\$2,660,000
2005:												
Belgium	--	--	--	--	--	--	8,160	32,800	--	--	8,160	32,800
Brazil	--	--	--	--	10	158	30,500	112,000	--	--	30,500	112,000
Canada	2	2	138	656	86,500	257,000	296,000	881,000	9,080	22,500	391,000	1,160,000
Chile	--	--	--	--	41,700	152,000	429,000	1,620,000	842	3,730	471,000	1,780,000
Costa Rica	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2,020	1,780	2,020	1,780
Dominican Republic	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	210	197	210	197
Finland	--	--	--	--	65	335	2,910	12,300	--	--	2,980	12,700
Germany	--	--	--	--	12	42	24,900	95,200	85	20	25,000	95,300
Honduras	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1,910	3,320	1,910	3,320
Japan	--	--	--	--	(3)	5	5,340	23,700	70	350	5,410	24,100
Mexico	221	473	37	34	3,870	13,200	28,900	94,000	13,300	36,100	46,400	144,000
Peru	--	--	--	--	--	--	154,000	565,000	54	223	154,000	565,000
Taiwan	--	--	1,180	3,410	--	--	--	--	2	16	1,180	3,430
United Kingdom	--	--	35	118	(3)	24	(3)	10	258	1,340	293	1,490
Other	--	--	253	676	16	47	24,700	98,600	2,220	3,440	27,200	103,000
Total	223	476	1,640	4,890	132,000	423,000	1,000,000	3,540,000	30,100	73,000	1,170,000	4,040,000

-- Zero.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Cost, insurance, freight value at U.S. port.

³Less than ½ unit.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

TABLE 17
U.S. IMPORTS FOR CONSUMPTION OF COPPER SEMIMANUFACTURES, BY COUNTRY¹

Country	Pipes and tubing		Plates, sheets, foil, bars		Bare wire, including wire rod ²		Wire and cable, stranded		Copper sulfate	
	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value ³ (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value ³ (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value ³ (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value ³ (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, copper)	Value ³ (thousands)
2004	957	\$5,090	83,600	\$335,000	242,000	\$842,000	7,920	\$34,100	56,100	\$55,100
2005:										
Australia	--	--	248	879	--	--	1	21	--	--
Belgium	1	20	122	644	--	--	(4)	10	287	349
Brazil	10	57	636	3,230	36,800	139,000	--	--	--	--
Canada	167	939	6,080	31,400	156,000	597,000	377	2,410	8,290	9,230
Chile	7	37	6,130	27,000	--	--	44	163	541	625
China	124	632	1,700	8,970	201	849	4,400	7,810	6,460	6,930
Finland	9	160	3,500	20,900	496	2,400	42	253	--	--
France	2	223	3,470	15,900	362	4,290	27	420	37	43
Germany	104	539	24,800	112,000	897	5,530	433	3,060	3	27
Israel	--	--	5	100	5	43	2,210	13,900	--	--
Italy	(4)	12	1,940	8,540	2	38	1	13	--	--
Japan	4	49	2,920	17,000	227	2,020	5	57	33	180
Luxembourg	--	--	928	8,120	--	--	--	--	--	--
Mexico	45	326	1,810	8,620	77,800	286,000	2,780	10,000	34,600	40,900
Norway	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Peru	--	--	4,720	19,900	743	3,140	190	802	1,050	1,230
Russia	--	--	(4)	7	102,000	392,000	--	--	196	258
Sweden	(4)	7	9,500	48,400	67	357	(4)	2	--	--
Taiwan	2	38	26	255	128	610	24	437	3,950	3,760
Turkey	--	--	--	--	8,120	40,000	652	3,340	--	--
United Kingdom	14	86	401	1,760	556	2,620	14	155	(4)	4
Other	15	183	2,780	13,500	301	2,580	365	2,740	442	450
Total	505	3,310	71,800	347,000	385,000	1,480,000	11,600	45,600	55,900	64,000

-- Zero.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Total revised imports of wire rod in 2004 were 227,791 metric tons (t) valued at \$709 million, and in 2005, wire rod imports were 365,901 t valued at \$1.38 billion.

³Cost, insurance, freight value at U.S. port.

⁴Less than 1/2 unit.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

TABLE 18
U.S. EXPORTS OF COPPER SCRAP, BY COUNTRY¹

Country	Unalloyed copper scrap				Copper-alloy scrap			
	2004		2005		2004		2005	
	Quantity (metric tons, gross weight)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, gross weight)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, gross weight)	Value (thousands)	Quantity (metric tons, gross weight)	Value (thousands)
Belgium	3,850	\$4,490	644	\$1,250	8,200	\$8,930	6,890	\$10,500
Canada	33,300	43,500	27,900	33,300	15,000	27,000	13,900	28,900
China	222,000	257,000	265,000	440,000	239,000	220,000	182,000	236,000
Germany	8,830	15,300	10,600	16,400	13,800	20,600	9,990	15,900
Hong Kong	3,910	9,480	9,200	7,650	11,000	9,800	12,800	13,300
India	4,160	5,900	4,460	4,930	45,100	45,000	15,800	27,200
Japan	7,930	15,800	6,710	21,900	9,660	25,900	7,950	20,400
Korea, Republic of	22,400	40,900	27,200	69,900	16,300	40,000	10,200	20,500
Mexico	4,560	12,300	1,010	3,070	1,570	4,720	1,570	5,140
Taiwan	11,300	21,000	11,600	32,500	13,700	26,300	10,600	20,100
Other	3,060	4,210	2,410	6,410	15,800	23,600	19,700	21,100
Total	325,000	430,000	366,000	637,000	389,000	451,000	291,000	419,000

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

TABLE 19
U.S. IMPORTS FOR CONSUMPTION OF COPPER SCRAP, BY COUNTRY¹

Country	Unalloyed copper scrap		Copper-alloy scrap		
	Quantity		Quantity		
	(metric tons, gross weight)	Value ² (thousands)	Gross weight (metric tons)	Cu content ^{e,3} (metric tons)	Value ² (thousands)
2004	23,400	\$37,100	78,300	56,400	\$150,000
2005:					
Canada	9,080	22,500	47,700	34,400	123,000
Costa Rica	2,020	1,780	495	356	1,130
El Salvador	358	517	73	52	119
Germany	85	20	76	55	497
Guatemala	79	123	1,500	1,080	4,290
Honduras	1,910	3,320	651	468	1,330
Mexico	13,300	36,100	24,800	17,800	43,700
Taiwan	2	16	348	251	1,050
United Kingdom	258	1,340	925	666	2,740
Venezuela	--	--	193	139	170
Other	2,960	7,290	6,920	4,980	24,200
Total	30,100	73,000	83,700	60,200	203,000

^eEstimated. -- Zero.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Cost, insurance, freight value at U.S. port.

³Content is estimated by the U.S. Geological Survey to be 72% of gross weight.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

TABLE 20
COPPER: WORLD MINE PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY^{1,2}

(Metric tons)

Country	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005 ^c
Argentina	191,667	204,027 ^r	199,020	177,143	188,000
Armenia	16,460	16,641	18,000 ^e	17,700 ^e	16,400
Australia:					
Concentrates	769,000	787,000	763,000	795,800	876,000 ³
Leaching, electrowon	102,000	96,000 ^e	67,000	58,300	51,000 ³
Total	871,000	883,000 ^e	830,000	854,100	927,000 ³
Bolivia	18 ^e	3	182	596 ^r	714 ³
Botswana ^c	19,200 ^r	21,600 ^r	27,400 ^r	22,500 ^{r,2}	26,100
Brazil	32,734	32,711	26,275	103,153 ^r	131,000 ^p
Bulgaria	88,000	92,800	91,700	93,000	97,000
Burma, leaching, electrowon	25,800	27,500	27,870 ^r	31,756	34,478 ³
Canada, concentrates	633,531	603,498	557,082	566,491 ^r	566,500 ^p
Chile: ⁴					
Concentrates	3,200,800	2,979,000	3,251,100	3,776,200	3,735,900 ^{p,3}
Leaching, electrowon	1,538,200	1,602,000	1,653,100	1,636,300	1,584,600 ^{p,3}
Total	4,739,000	4,581,000	4,904,200	5,412,500	5,320,500 ^{p,3}
China: ^e					
Concentrates	587,000	568,000	610,000	742,000 ^r	740,000
Leaching, electrowon	18,000	25,000	10,000	10,000	15,000
Total	605,000	593,000	620,000	752,000 ^r	755,000
Colombia	2,192	1,853	1,578 ^r	1,701 ^r	1,700
Congo (Kinshasa): ^{e,5}					
Concentrates	37,800 ^r	27,500 ^r	30,300 ^r	31,800 ^r	49,500 ³
Leaching, electrowon	--	6,500 ^r	29,500 ^r	41,500 ^r	56,500
Total	37,800 ^r	34,000 ^r	59,800 ^r	73,300 ^r	106,000
Cuba ^e	1,000	1,000	--	--	--
Cyprus, leaching, electrowon	5,176	3,695	2,552	1,240	--
Ecuador ^e	100	100	100	100	--
Finland	13,715	14,400	14,900	15,500	15,000 ³
Georgia ^c	8,000	10,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
India	32,400	31,500	28,500	29,500 ^r	26,900 ³
Indonesia ⁵	1,081,040	1,171,726 ^r	1,005,831 ^r	840,318	1,065,000 ³
Iran: ^e					
Concentrates	121,000	121,000	130,000	150,000 ^r	185,000
Leaching, electrowon	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Total	133,000	133,000	142,000	162,000 ^r	197,000
Japan	744	-- ^r	-- ^r	-- ^r	--
Kazakhstan ^c	470,100 ³	490,000	485,000	461,000 ³	402,000
Korea, North ^c	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Laos	--	--	--	--	30,500
Macedonia ^c	9,000	5,600	700 ^r	-- ^r	22,000
Mexico:					
Concentrates	310,623	260,574	284,653	333,540	350,000
Leaching, electrowon	60,500	69,300 ^r	71,000	72,000	75,000
Total	371,123	329,874 ^r	355,653	405,540	425,000
Mongolia	133,503	131,705	131,600	132,000	126,547 ³
Morocco	5,400 ^r	5,000	4,900	4,400 ^r	4,400
Namibia	12,393 ^r	18,012	16,175 ^r	11,174 ^r	10,900
Pakistan	--	--	3,200	15,000	17,700 ³
Papua New Guinea	218,000 ^e	211,311	190,200	173,400	193,000 ³
Peru:					
Concentrates	590,896	686,748	660,025	868,574	844,368 ³
Leaching, electrowon	131,409 ^r	156,467 ^r	171,198	167,000	165,530 ³
Total	722,305 ^r	843,215 ^r	831,223	1,035,574	1,009,898 ³

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 20—Continued
COPPER: WORLD MINE PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY^{1,2}

(Metric tons)

Country	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005 ^c
Philippines	20,322	18,364	20,400	15,984 ^r	16,323 ³
Poland	474,000	502,800	495,000	531,000	523,000
Portugal	82,900	77,000	78,000	96,000	89,500
Romania ⁶	19,185	18,962	23,389 ^r	18,767 ^r	15,000
Russia ^e	600,000	695,000	675,000	675,000	700,000
Saudi Arabia ^c	800	800	800	500	700
Serbia and Montenegro ^c	31,000	36,900	26,400	13,000 ^r	25,000
South Africa	141,865	129,589	120,800 ^r	120,577 ^r	103,907 ^p
Spain	9,700	--	--	--	4,900 ³
Sweden	74,269	76,200 ^r	96,000 ^r	90,600 ^r	97,800 ³
Tanzania, in concentrates and bullion	2,645	4,191 ^r	3,715 ^r	4,133 ^r	4,200
Turkey ⁶	56,864	48,253	58,000 ^e	49,000 ^e	48,000
United States: ⁵					
Concentrates	714,000	601,000	525,000	576,000	586,000 ³
Leaching, electrowon	624,000	542,000	591,000	584,000	554,000 ³
Total	1,340,000	1,140,000	1,120,000	1,160,000	1,140,000 ³
Uzbekistan ^c	78,000	80,000	80,000	95,000 ^r	100,000
Zambia:					
Concentrates	233,000	251,100	269,000	344,300	330,000
Leaching, electrowon	79,000	78,900	80,000	82,600	106,000
Total	312,000 ⁷	330,000	349,000	426,900	436,000
Zimbabwe, concentrates	2,057	2,502	2,767	2,383	2,700
Grand total	13,700,000	13,700,000	13,700,000	14,700,000 ^r	15,100,000
Of which:					
Concentrates	11,100,000	11,000,000	11,000,000	12,000,000 ^r	12,400,000
Leaching, electrowon	2,600,000	2,620,000	2,720,000	2,700,000	2,660,000

^cEstimated. ^pPreliminary. ^rRevised. -- Zero.

¹World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Table represent copper content by analysis of concentrates produced (includes cement copper, if applicable), except where otherwise noted. Table includes data available through July 22, 2006.

³Reported figure.

⁴Reported by Comision Chilena del Cobre. Includes recoverable copper content of nonduplicative mine and metal products produced from domestic ores and concentrates and leach production for electrowinning.

⁵Recoverable content.

⁶Excludes copper content of pyrite.

⁷Data are for fiscal years beginning April 1 of year stated.

TABLE 21
COPPER: WORLD SMELTER PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY^{1,2}

(Metric tons, gross weight)

Country	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005 ^e
Armenia, primary ^c	4,000	6,700	7,500	7,500	9,800 ³
Australia, primary	455,000	458,000 ^c	435,000	443,000	410,000 ³
Austria, secondary	68,642	64,932	75,000	88,000	90,000
Belgium, secondary	138,200	125,900	117,500	107,000 ^r	99,200 ³
Bolivia, primary	--	--	--	-- ^r	--
Botswana, primary ⁴	19,209	21,590	25,292 ^r	21,195 ^r	26,700
Brazil, primary	212,243	189,651	173,378 ^r	208,020 ^r	210,000 ^p
Bulgaria:					
Primary	157,000 ^r	181,000 ^r	215,300 ^r	227,100 ^r	240,100 ³
Secondary ^c	5,000	15,000	16,000	7,000	5,000
Total	162,000 ^r	196,000 ^r	231,300 ^r	234,100 ^r	245,000
Canada:					
Primary	601,359	513,934	430,116	446,221	450,000 ^p
Secondary	41,640	24,761	26,789	29,962	30,000 ^p
Total	642,999	538,695	456,905	476,183	480,000 ³
Chile, primary	1,503,200	1,438,700	1,542,400	1,517,600	1,558,100 ^{p,3}
China:^c					
Primary	1,120,000	1,180,000	1,380,000	1,500,000 ^r	1,700,000
Secondary	190,000	310,000	350,000	440,000	540,000
Total	1,310,000	1,490,000	1,730,000	1,940,000 ^r	2,240,000
Congo (Kinshasa), primary, electrowon	25,000 ^r	10,000 ^r	8,000	20,000 ^r	10,000
Finland:					
Primary	169,300	160,900	176,400 ^r	168,600 ^r	170,000
Secondary ^c	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Total	171,300	162,900	178,400 ^r	170,600 ^r	172,000
Germany:					
Primary	317,700 ^r	295,100 ^r	288,800	278,600	257,200 ³
Secondary	240,900 ^r	283,100 ^r	306,600	262,600	251,400 ³
Total	558,600 ^r	578,200 ^r	595,400	541,200	508,600 ³
India:					
Primary	293,000 ^c	385,400	391,000	401,000 ^r	486,600 ³
Secondary ^c	--	--	--	--	38,000
Total	293,000 ^c	385,400	391,000	401,000	524,600 ³
Indonesia, undifferentiated	217,500	211,200	247,400	211,600	275,000
Iran, undifferentiated ⁵	181,526 ^r	171,591 ^r	168,613 ^r	184,814 ^r	185,000
Japan:					
Primary	1,328,489	1,317,291	1,343,353	1,270,495	1,319,247 ³
Secondary	139,764	182,069	172,724	194,927	198,516 ³
Total	1,468,253	1,499,360	1,516,077	1,465,422	1,517,763 ³
Kazakhstan, undifferentiated	433,600	446,200	431,930	445,200	425,000
Korea, North, primary and secondary ^c	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Korea, Republic of:					
Primary	386,200 ^r	380,000 ^r	410,000 ^r	380,000 ^r	426,000
Secondary	42,300 ^r	50,000 ^r	50,000 ^r	50,000 ^r	50,000
Total	428,500	430,000	460,000	430,000	476,000 ³
Mexico:					
Primary	305,000 ^r	243,000 ^r	238,000 ^r	274,000 ^r	290,000
Secondary ^c	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Total	310,000 ^r	248,000 ^r	243,000 ^r	279,000 ^r	295,000
Namibia, primary ^{6,7}	27,015	26,703	26,036	24,704 ^r	23,300
Oman, primary ^c	24,200 ³	25,000 ^r	18,000 ^r	25,000 ^r	25,000
Peru, primary	396,400 ^r	379,600 ^r	396,100 ^r	377,800 ^r	381,600 ³
Philippines, primary	165,000	165,800	227,900	217,300 ^r	201,300 ³

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 21—Continued
COPPER: WORLD SMELTER PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY^{1,2}

(Metric tons, gross weight)

Country	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005 ^e
Poland:					
Primary	485,900	511,000	560,000 ^r	545,000 ^r	550,000
Secondary ^c	27,900	39,400 ^r	24,100 ^r	25,000 ^r	25,000
Total	513,800	550,400 ^r	584,100 ^r	570,000 ^r	575,000
Romania:					
Primary	9,279	8,871	4,493 ^r	61 ^r	100
Secondary ^c	2,000	2,000	500	--	--
Total	11,279	10,871	4,993 ^r	61 ^r	100
Russia:^c					
Primary	650,000	660,000	670,000	662,000	686,000
Secondary	245,000	200,000	170,000	257,000	272,000
Total	895,000	860,000	840,000	919,000 ³	958,000
Serbia and Montenegro:^e					
Primary	24,000	36,000 ^r	14,000 ^r	12,000 ^r	16,300
Secondary	14,000	6,700 ^r	3,600 ^r	1,100 ^r	6,000
Total	38,000	42,700 ^r	17,600 ^r	13,100 ^r	22,300
South Africa, primary	117,237	116,996	112,025	89,300 ^e	100,000
Spain:					
Primary	255,200	281,300	276,300	210,200	278,600
Secondary ^c	24,700 ³	16,700	14,000	14,100	5,000
Total	279,900	298,000	290,300	224,300	283,600 ³
Sweden:^c					
Primary	173,000	188,000	185,000	206,000 ^r	192,000
Secondary	35,000	35,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Total	208,000	223,000	215,000	236,000 ^r	222,000
Turkey, undifferentiated ⁸	33,504	32,550	30,400 ^e	11,500	9,000
United States, undifferentiated	919,000	683,000	539,000	542,000	523,000 ³
Uzbekistan, undifferentiated ^c	90,000	75,000	75,000	105,000 ^r	115,000
Zambia, primary:					
Electrowon	50,000	60,000	50,000	60,000	50,000
Other	306,000	311,400	200,000	220,000	220,000
Total	356,000	371,400	250,000	280,000	270,000
Zimbabwe, primary ^{e,6}	2,160	-- ^r	-- ^r	-- ^r	--
Grand total	12,700,000 ^r	12,500,000 ^r	12,700,000 ^r	12,800,000 ^r	13,500,000
Of which:					
Primary:					
Electrowon	75,000 ^r	70,000 ^r	58,000 ^r	80,000 ^r	60,000
Other	9,510,000 ^r	9,480,000 ^r	9,750,000 ^r	9,730,000 ^r	10,200,000
Secondary	1,220,000 ^r	1,360,000 ^r	1,360,000 ^r	1,510,000 ^r	1,650,000
Undifferentiated ⁹	1,890,000 ^r	1,630,000 ^r	1,510,000 ^r	1,520,000 ^r	1,550,000

^eEstimated. ^pPreliminary. ^rRevised. -- Zero.

¹World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²This table includes total production of smelted copper metal, including low-grade cathode produced by electrowinning methods. The smelter feed maybe derived from ore, concentrates, copper precipitate or matte (primary), and/or scrap (secondary). To the extent possible, primary and secondary output of each country is shown separately. In some cases, total smelter production is officially reported, but the distribution between primary and secondary has been estimated. Table includes data available through July 15, 2006.

³Reported figure.

⁴Copper content of nickel-copper matte exported to Norway for refining.

⁵Data are for year beginning March 21 of that stated. Secondary production is estimated to be about 5% of total.

⁶Includes impure cathodes produced by electrowinning in nickel processing.

⁷Includes 8,000 to 10,000 metric tons per year for 2001-05 produced from imported toll concentrates.

⁸Secondary production is estimated to be about one-third of total.

TABLE 22
COPPER: WORLD REFINERY PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY^{1,2}

(Metric tons)

Country	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Argentina, secondary ^c	16,000	16,000	16,000	16,000	16,000
Australia, primary:					
Electrowon	102,000 ^e	96,000 ^e	67,400	58,300	50,900
Other	456,000 ^e	449,000 ^e	416,600	431,800	420,200
Total	558,000 ^e	545,000 ^e	484,000	490,100	471,100
Austria, secondary ^c	69,000	65,000	65,100 ^r	59,000 ^{r,3}	52,000
Belgium: ^e					
Primary ⁴	236,000	207,000	208,000	223,000	252,900 ³
Secondary	187,000	216,000	215,000	174,000	130,000
Total	423,000	423,000	423,000	397,000	382,900 ³
Brazil, primary	212,243	189,651	173,378 ^r	208,020 ^r	210,000 ^p
Bulgaria: ^e					
Primary	29,400 ³	38,000	43,000	52,300	60,500
Secondary	5,000	3,000	2,000	3,000	3,000
Total	34,400	41,000	45,000	55,300	63,500
Burma, electrowon	25,800	27,500	27,900 ^e	31,800	32,000 ^e
Canada:					
Primary	524,900 ^r	469,760 ^r	428,077 ^r	495,867 ^r	483,500
Secondary	42,800	24,761	26,789	31,100	31,800
Total	567,700 ^r	494,521 ^r	454,866 ^r	526,967 ^r	515,300
Chile, primary					
Electrowon	1,538,200	1,602,000	1,653,100	1,636,300	1,584,600 ^p
Other	1,344,000	1,248,100	1,248,800	1,200,400 ^r	1,239,400 ^p
Total	2,882,200	2,850,100	2,901,900	2,836,700 ^r	2,824,000 ^p
China, primary ^e					
Primary					
Electrowon	18,000	20,000	10,000	10,000	15,000
Other	1,200,000	1,280,000	1,420,000	1,580,000 ^r	1,850,000
Secondary	300,000	350,000	430,000	620,000 ^r	750,000
Total	1,518,000 ³	1,650,000	1,860,000	2,210,000 ^r	2,615,000 ³
Cyprus, electrowon	5,176	3,695	2,552	1,240	--
Egypt, secondary ^c	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Finland: ^e					
Primary	105,000	112,000	120,000	117,000 ^r	118,000
Secondary	15,000	15,000	15,000 ^r	16,000	16,000
Total	120,000	127,000	135,000 ^r	133,000 ^r	134,000
Germany:					
Primary	303,000 ^r	327,000 ^r	286,653 ^r	283,686 ^r	293,800
Secondary	390,773 ^r	368,791 ^r	310,925 ^r	368,956 ^r	344,400
Total	693,773 ^r	695,791 ^r	597,578 ^r	652,642 ^r	638,200
Hungary, secondary ^c	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
India: ^e					
Primary, electrolytic	310,000 ³	354,000	375,000	399,000 ^r	497,000 ³
Secondary	18,000	20,000	19,000	20,000	20,000
Total	328,000	374,000	394,000	419,000 ^r	517,000
Indonesia, primary	212,500	192,400	223,300	210,500	262,900
Iran, primary ⁵					
Electrowon ^e	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Other ⁶	140,000 ^r	131,000 ^r	134,632	140,000 ^r	163,100
Total	152,000 ^r	143,000 ^r	146,632	152,000 ^r	175,100
Italy, secondary	35,500	32,400	26,700 ^e	34,000 ^r	32,200

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 22—Continued
COPPER: WORLD REFINERY PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY^{1,2}

(Metric tons)

Country	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Japan:					
Primary	1,287,165	1,211,111	1,251,728	1,188,491	1,227,528
Secondary	138,526	189,968	178,637	191,653	167,756
Total	1,425,691	1,401,079	1,430,365	1,380,144	1,395,284
Kazakhstan, primary	425,700	453,000	432,901	445,200	418,833
Korea, North, primary ^c	15,000 ^f	15,000 ^f	15,000 ^f	15,000 ^f	15,000
Korea, Republic of, undifferentiated	473,252 ^f	499,116 ^f	509,970 ^f	495,952 ^f	526,566
Laos, electrowon	--	--	--	--	30,500
Mexico, primary:					
Electrowon	60,500 ^f	69,300 ^f	71,000 ^f	72,000 ^c	75,000 ^c
Other	332,500 ^f	318,700 ^f	249,000 ^f	321,000 ^f	325,000 ^c
Secondary	15,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
Total ^c	408,000 ^f	423,000 ^f	355,000 ^f	428,000 ^f	435,000
Mongolia, electrowon	1,476	1,500	1,341	2,376 ^f	2,475
Norway, primary ⁶	26,700	30,500 ^c	35,900	35,600	38,500
Oman, primary ^c	24,000	24,000	17,000	24,000 ^f	24,000
Peru, primary:					
Electrowon	131,409 ^f	156,467 ^f	171,198	167,000	165,530
Other	342,502 ^f	346,282 ^f	345,848	338,308	344,862
Total	473,911 ^f	502,749 ^f	517,046	505,308	510,392
Philippines, primary	164,530	144,315	171,200 ³	175,000	172,000
Poland:					
Primary	498,451	508,674	513,600 ^f	531,100 ^f	540,300
Secondary	30,286	19,146	16,000 ^f	21,000 ^f	20,000
Total	528,737	527,820	529,600 ^f	552,100 ^f	560,300
Romania:					
Primary	18,500	11,453	16,739	24,383	30,000
Secondary ^c	4,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Total	22,500	13,453	18,739	26,383	32,000
Russia:					
Primary	650,000	670,000 ^c	670,000 ^c	662,000	664,000
Secondary	244,500	200,000 ^c	170,000 ^c	257,000	269,000
Total	894,500	870,000 ^c	840,000 ^c	919,000	933,000
Serbia and Montenegro:					
Primary	32,365	35,897	14,000 ^f	12,000 ^f	23,000
Secondary ^c	17,000 ^f	17,000 ^f	8,000 ^f	7,000 ^f	7,000
Total	49,365 ^f	52,897 ^f	22,000 ^f	19,000 ^f	30,000
South Africa, primary ⁶	132,000 ^f	119,970 ^f	111,400 ^f	91,495 ^f	97,000
Spain:					
Primary	235,100	272,000 ^c	259,000 ^c	193,200	242,700
Secondary ^c	55,600 ³	37,000	35,000	35,000	26,300 ³
Total	290,700	309,000 ^c	294,000 ^c	228,200	269,000
Sweden: ^c					
Primary	179,000 ³	199,000	189,000	210,000	200,000
Secondary	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	22,000
Total	204,000 ³	224,000	214,000	235,000	222,000
Taiwan, secondary ^c	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Thailand, primary	--	--	--	27,200 ^f	26,100
Turkey: ^c					
Primary	54,400	39,000	40,000	45,000	90,000
Secondary	4,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Total	58,400	41,000	45,000	50,000	95,000
Ukraine, secondary	--	10	20	20	20

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 22—Continued
 COPPER: WORLD REFINERY PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY^{1,2}

(Metric tons)

Country	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
United States:					
Primary:					
Electrowon	628,000	601,000 ^r	591,000	584,000	554,000
Other	1,000,000	841,000	662,000	671,000	654,000
Secondary	172,000	69,900	53,300	50,800	47,200
Total	1,800,000	1,510,000	1,310,000	1,310,000	1,260,000
Uzbekistan: ^c					
Primary	80,000	75,000	75,000	105,000 ^r	115,000
Secondary	10,000	--	--	--	--
Total	90,000	75,000	75,000	105,000 ^r	115,000
Zambia, primary:					
Electrowon ⁷	79,000	83,700	109,000	124,000 ^r	150,000 ^e
Other	217,500	253,100	240,800	286,000 ^r	244,000 ^e
Total	296,500	336,800	349,800	410,000 ^r	394,000 ^e
Zimbabwe, primary	5,300 ^e	2,502	2,767	2,383	2,400 ^e
Grand total	15,700,000 ^r	15,500,000 ^r	15,300,000 ^r	15,900,000 ^r	16,600,000 ^e
Of which:					
Primary:					
Electrowon	2,600,000	2,670,000	2,720,000	2,700,000	2,670,000 ^e
Other	11,300,000	11,100,000 ^r	10,900,000 ^r	11,200,000	11,900,000 ^e
Secondary	1,810,000 ^r	1,730,000 ^r	1,670,000 ^r	2,000,000 ^r	2,010,000 ^e

^cEstimated. ^pPreliminary. ^rRevised. -- Zero.

¹World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²This table includes total production of refined copper whether produced by pyrometallurgical or electrolytic refining methods and whether derived from primary unrefined copper or from scrap. Copper cathode derived from electrowinning processing is also included. Table includes data available through July 22, 2006.

³Reported figure.

⁴Includes reprocessed leach cathode from Congo (Kinshasa).

⁵Data are for Iranian years beginning March 21 of that stated.

⁶May include secondary.

⁷Electrowon covers only high-grade electrowon cathodes reported as "finished production leach cathodes."