

PHOENIX AREA
FY-2003 ACTIVE USERS
and
CENSUS PROJECTIONS

Prepared by:

OFFICE OF PLANNING, EVALUATION AND INFORMATION RESOURCES
PHOENIX AREA OFFICE
INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE
Two Renaissance Square, 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 605
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4424
(602) 364-5280

Please contact the Area Statistician or Area Program Planning Officer regarding any revisions, additions, or corrections to this document.

4/1/2004

PHOENIX AREA
FY-2003 ACTIVE USERS and CENSUS PROJECTIONS

Service Unit	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census Pop	Census Pop
	/2	/5	/5
Colorado River	7,559	7,605	8,372
Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute*	1,244	1,552	1,631
Elko	2,442	2,611	2,693
Fort Yuma	3,650	4,455	5,028
Gila River Health Care Corporation	19,768	19,429	21,458
Keams Canyon	6,073	7,872	8,185
Phoenix	57,623	55,155	69,340
San Carlos	11,282	11,064	12,027
Schurz	12,742	24,266	30,875
Uintah-Ouray	4,903	7,864	9,476
Whiteriver	14,870	14,189	14,785
Area Totals	142,156	156,062	183,870

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

* The Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Area does not include the active user counts of Ada, Canyon, Elmore, and Twin Falls Counties in Idaho.

4/1/2004

COLORADO RIVER SERVICE UNIT

TABLE 1

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
Code: 64					/1						
	Parker	La Paz				3,421		100%	2,918	2,796	
		Bouse	04	15	448		20				
		Ehrenberg	04	15	445		31				
		Parker	04	15	285		3,188				
		Poston	04	15	286		119				
		Quartzsite	04	15	449		32				
		Salome	04	15	450		9				
		Vicksburg	04	15	451		0				
		Wenden	04	15	030		22				
		Mohave (part)					979		35%	973	1,197
		Bullhead City	04	08	041		95				
		Dolan Springs	04	08	043		5				
		Lake Havasu City	04	08	302		231				
		Mohave Valley	04	08	039		617				
		Oatman	04	08	044		1				
		Riviera	04	08	446		7				
		Topock	04	08	045		12				
		Wickieup	04	08	046		1				
		Yucca	04	08	447		10				
		Riverside (part)					58		100%	140	168
	Blythe	06	33	040			58				
	San Bernardino (part)					533		71%	636	747	
	Big River	06	36	328			32				
	Earp	06	36	459			13				
	Needles	06	36	456			478				
	Parker Dam	06	36	480			10				
	Vidal	06	36	049			0				
	Service Area Sub-Total						4,991	4,991		4,668	4,908
	Peach Springs	Mohave (part)				1,837		65%	1,827	2,246	
		Chloride	04	08	042		2				
		Hackberry	04	08	047		0				
		Kingman	04	08	151		303				
		Peach Springs	04	08	153		1,451				
		Truxton	04	08	048		28				
		Valentine	04	08	154		53				
		Yavapai					32		100%	57	63
		Nelson	04	13	267		0				
		Seligman	04	13	270		32				
	Coconino (part)					14		3%	23	25	
	Williams	04	03	034			12				
	Bellefont	04	03	035			2				
	Service Area Sub-Total						1,883	1,883		1,907	2,334
	Supai	Coconino (part)				469		97%	773	827	
		Supai	04	03	033		469				
	Service Area Sub-Total						469	469		773	827
	Chemehuevi	San Bernardino (part)				216		29%	258	303	
		Chem Valley	06	36	038		216				
	Service Area Sub-Total						216	216		258	303
COLORADO RIVER SERVICE UNIT TOTAL						7,559	7,559		7,605	8,372	

TABLE II
COLORADO SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Coconino, AZ	483	796	852
La Paz, AZ	3,421	2,918	2,796
Mohave, AZ	2,816	2,800	3,443
San Bernardino, CA	749	894	1,050
Yavapai, AZ	32	57	63
Riverside, CA	58	140	168
Service Unit Total:	7,559	7,605	8,372

TABLE III
COLORADO RIVER SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2003 Active Users	2003 Estimated Census (user based)	2013 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
COCONINO CO.					
PEACH SPRING SA	1%	14	23	25	15
SUPAI SA	99%	469	773	827	502
LA PAZ CO.					
PARKER SA	100%	3,421	2,918	2,796	3,278
MOHAVE CO.					
PEACH SPRING SA	65%	1,837	1,827	2,246	2,259
PARKER SA	35%	979	973	1,197	1,204
YAVAPAI CO.					
PEACH SPRING SA	100%	32	57	63	35
STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
SAN BERNARDINO CO.					
CHEMEHUEVI SA	29%	216	258	303	254
PARKER SA	71%	533	636	747	626
RIVERSIDE CO.					
PARKER SA	100%	58	140	168	0
TOTAL		7,559	7,605	8,372	8,173
PARKER SA		4,991	4,668	4,908	5,108
PEACH SPRINGS SA		1,883	1,907	2,334	2,309
SUPAI SA		469	773	827	502
CHEMEHUEVI SA		216	258	303	254
TOTAL		7,559	7,605	8,372	8,173

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County. For example, in Mohave County, 1,818 Users were from the Peach Springs SA and 967 were from the Parker SA, for a total of 2,785 Users. Parker's share is 35% (967/2,785) and Peach Spring's share is 65% (1,818/2,785).

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).

4/1/2004

DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT

TABLE I

Service Unit Code: 73	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		Elko, NV(part)			/1	1,226		43%	1,193	1,207
		Mountain City	32	04	452		3			
		Owyhee	32	04	560		1,220			
		Tuscarora	32	04	453		0			
		Wild Horse M.	32	04	454		3			
	Duck Valley	Owyhee, ID				18		100%	359	424
		Bruneau	16	37	144		5			
		Grand View	16	37	145		12			
		Marsing	16	37	143		0			
		Riddle	16	37	450		1			
		Ada, ID*				0				
		Boise	16	01	129		0			
		Canyon, ID*				0				
		Caldwell	16	14	130		0			
		Nampa	16	14	131		0			
		Elmore, ID*				0				
		Glenns Ferry	16	20	001		0			
		Mountain Home	16	20	981		0			
		Twin Falls, ID*				0				
		Twin Falls	16	42	197		0			
OWYHEE SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						1,244	1,244		1,552	1,631

TABLE II

DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Ada, ID*	0		
Canyon, ID*	0		
Elmore, ID*	0		
Twin Falls, ID*	0		
Elko, NV(part)	1,226	1,193	1,207
Owyhee, ID	18	359	424
Service Unit Total:	1,244	1,552	1,631

TABLE III

DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2003 Active Users	2003 Estimated Census (user based)	2013 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF NEVADA					
ELKO CO.(part)					
Duck Valley SA	43%	1,226	1,193	1,207	1,240
STATE OF IDAHO					
OWYHEE CO.	100%	18	359	424	21
ADA CO.*		0			
CANYON CO.*		0			
ELMORE CO.*		0			
TWIN FALLS CO.*		0			
(all Duck Valley SA)					
TOTAL:		1,244	1,552	1,631	1,262

DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT (CONT.)

- /1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.
 - /2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).
 - /3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.
 - /4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.
 - * These counties are outside the Service Unit Area, thus do not have census population estimates. These counties did not receive headquarter approval for inclusion in the final user population count.
 - /5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).
 - /6 Percent of county in the Service Area is estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.
 - /7 Percent user population growth same as census growth $(2013 \text{ Census Pop}/2003 \text{ Census Pop}) \times (2003 \text{ Active Users})$.
- N.B. Owyhee Service Unit has been separated into Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Unit and Elko Service Unit.

4/1/2004

**ELKO SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 63	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	Duckwater	Nye, NV (partial)				126		100%	158	167
		Currant	32	12	636		1			
		Duckwater	32	12	627		125			
	Service Area Sub-Total					126	126		158	167
		Elko, NV(part)				1,577		56%	1,458	1,488
		Carlin	32	04	556		45			
		Elko	32	04	557		1,058			
		Elburz	32	04	202		1			
		Halleck	32	04	203		0			
		Jackpot	32	04	204		1			
		Jarbidge	32	04	558		0			
		Lamoille	32	04	206		13			
		Montello	32	04	559		1			
		Oasis	32	04	208		1			
		Osino	32	04	209		27			
		Ruby Valley	32	04	561		14			
		Ryndon	32	04	210		8			
		South Fork	32	04	564		124			
		Spring Creek	32	04	001		134			
		Wells	32	04	562		150			
		Eureka, NV				7		39%	21	29
		Beowawe	32	06	576		3			
		Crescent Valley	32	06	213		4			
		Lander, NV				234		100%	339	348
		Battle Mountain	32	08	597		234			
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,818	1,818		1,818	1,865
		Eureka, NV				11		61%	34	45
		Eureka East	32	06	578		7			
		Eureka South	32	06	579		4			
		White Pine				287		100%	379	407
		Baker	32	17	661		1			
		Ely	32	17	662		257			
		Lund	32	17	663		5			
		McGill	32	17	664		17			
		Ruth	32	17	665		7			
	Service Area Sub-Total					298	298		413	452
		Elko, NV(part)				28		1%	15	15
		Wendover, NV	32	04	212		28			
		Juab, UT				116		100%	101	99
		Goshute (Ibapah)	49	12	628		116			
		Tooele, UT				56		100%	109	110
		Wendover, UT	49	23	697		56			
	Service Area Sub-Total					200	200		225	209
ELKO SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						2,442	2,442		2,614	2,693

TABLE II**ELKO SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Elko, NV(part)	1,605	1,470	1,488
Eureka, NV	18	55	74
Juab, UT	116	101	99
Lander, NV	234	339	348
Nye, NV	126	158	167
Tooele, UT	56	109	110
White Pine, NV	287	379	407
Service Unit Total:	2,442	2,611	2,693

TABLE III**ELKO SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2003	2003	2013	2013
		Active Users	Estimated Census (user based)	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF NEVADA					
ELKO CO(pt) ELKO SA	56%	1,577	1,458	1,488	1,609
EUREKA CO.					
ELKO SA	39%	7	21	29	9
ELY SA	61%	11	34	45	15
LANDER, CO.					
ELKO SA	100%	234	339	348	240
NYE CO.					
DUCKWATER SA	100%	126	158	167	133
WHITE PINE CO.					
ELY SA	100%	287	379	407	308
ELKO CO(pt)					
GOSHUTE SA	1%	28	15	15	28
STATE OF UTAH					
JUAB CO.					
GOSHUTE SA	100%	116	101	99	114
TOOELE CO.					
ELKO SA	100%	56	109	110	57
TOTAL:		2,442	2,614	2,708	2,514
DUCKWATER SA		126	158	167	133
ELKO SA		1,818	1,818	1,865	1,916
ELY SA		298	413	452	323
GOSHUTE SA		200	225	209	142
TOTAL:		2,442	2,614	2,693	2,514

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area is estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).

N.B. Owyhee Service Unit has been separated into Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Unit and Elko Service Unit.

4/1/2004

FT YUMA SERVICE UNIT

TABLE I

Service Unit Code: 72	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		Imperial Co., CA (part)				1,859		100%	2,236	2,505
		Bard	06	13	361		8			
		Brawley	06	13	634		13			
		El Centro	06	13	635		13			
		Imperial	06	13	362		4			
		Winterhaven	06	13	363		1,821			
		Riverside Co., CA (part)				6		100%		
		Riverside School	06	33	443		6			
		Yuma Co., AZ (part)				1,785		100%	2,219	2,523
		Dateland	04	14	281		4			
		Dome	04	14	282		0			
		Gadsden	04	14	293		2			
		Ligurta	04	14	283		0			
		Mohawk	04	14	284		0			
		Roll	04	14	287		5			
		San Luis	04	14	288		10			
		Somerton	04	14	289		585			
		Tacna	04	14	290		2			
		Wellton	04	14	291		17			
		Yuma	04	14	292		1,160			
		Service Area Sub-Total				3,650	3,650		4,455	5,028
FT. YUMA SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						3,650	3,650		4,455	5,028

TABLE II

FT. YUMA SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Imperial Co., CA	1,859	2,236	2,505
Riverside Co., CA	6		
Yuma Co., AZ	1,785	2,219	2,523
Service Unit Total:	3,650	4,455	5,028

TABLE III

FT. YUMA SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2003	2003	2013	2013
		Active Users	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
YUMA CO.					
FT. YUMA SA	100%	1,785	2,219	2,523	2,030
STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
IMPERIAL CO.					
FT. YUMA SA	100%	1,859	2,236	2,505	2,083
RIVERSIDE CO.					
FT. YUMA SA	100%	6			
TOTAL:		3,650	4,455	5,028	4,112

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).

4/1/2004

GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION

TABLE I

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
Code: 67					/1						
	West End*	Maricopa Co.				3,261		55%	3,319	4,201	
		Co-Op Colony	04	07	116		165				
		Gila Crossing	04	07	119		344				
		Komatke	04	07	124		1,065				
		Komatke Hts.	04	07	799		507				
		Laveen	04	07	125		471				
		Lone Butte	04	07	127		120				
		Maricopa Colony	04	07	128		589				
	Service Area Sub-Total					3,261	3,261		3,319	4,201	
	Hu-Hu-Kam Blackwater C. Blanca San Tan	Maricopa Co.				2,706		45%	2,755	3,486	
		Broad Acres	04	07	112		0				
		Chandler	04	07	114		1,921				
		Chandler Heights	04	07	204		61				
		Gilbert	04	07	120		490				
		Higley	04	07	123		41				
		Ocotillo	04	07	243		2				
		Queen Creek	04	07	205		181				
		Sun Lakes	04	07	100		10				
		Pinal Co.					13,118		95%	12,694	13,089
		11-Mile Corn	04	11	207		14				
		Arizona City	04	11	206		151				
		Bapchule	04	11	212		496				
		Blackwater	04	11	213		921				
		Cactus Forest	04	11	001		0				
		Casa Blanca	04	11	214		1,257				
		Casa Grande	04	11	217		1,693				
		Casablanca S.	04	11	215		65				
		Casablanca W.	04	11	216		255				
		Chandler HSD	04	11	004		15				
		Coolidge	04	11	218		731				
		Cottonwood	04	11	219		9				
		Eloy	04	11	220		378				
		Florence	04	11	221		188				
		Florence Village	04	11	241		52				
		Gold Canyon	04	11	247		6				
		Hayden	04	11	222		0				
		Hidden Valley	04	11	208		5				
		Kearney	04	11	002		12				
		Kelvin	04	11	244		0				
		La Palma	04	11	245		2				
		Mammoth	04	11	223		2				
		Maricopa	04	11	209		343				
		Mobile	04	11	101		0				
		Olberg	04	11	224		12				
		Oracle	04	11	225		12				
		Picacho	04	11	226		10				
		Progressive	04	11	227		4				
		Randolph	04	11	210		8				
		Sacate	04	11	228		163				
		Sacaton	04	11	229		3,455				
		Sacaton Flat	04	11	230		385				
	San Manuel	04	11	003		7					
	San Tan, Lower	04	11	232		464					
	San Tan, Upper	04	11	233		442					
	Santa Cruz	04	11	231		78					
	Snake Town	04	11	234		5					
	So. Goodyear	04	11	235		330					
	Stanfield	04	11	236		125					
	Stotonic	04	11	237		775					
	Superior	04	11	238		38					
	Sweetwater	04	11	240		112					
	Toltec	04	11	248		26					
	Valley Farms	04	11	242		9					
	Wet Camp	04	11	239		50					
	Winkleman	04	11	246		13					

**GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION
TABLE I (continued)**

Service Unit Code: 67	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	Service Area Sub-Total					15,824	15,824		15,449	16,575
		Pinal Co.				683		5%	661	682
	Ak-Chin	Ak Chin	04	11	211		683			
	Service Area Sub-Total					683	683		661	682
GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION TOTAL:						19,768	19,768		19,429	21,458

TABLE II

GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Maricopa Co. (part)	5,967	6,074	7,687
Pinal Co. (part)	13,801	13,355	13,771
Service Unit Total:	19,768	19,429	21,458

TABLE III

GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2003 Active Users	2003 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
MARICOPA CO. (part)					
HU-HU-KAM SA	45%	2,706	2,755	3,486	3,425
WEST END SA*	55%	3,261	3,319	4,201	4,127
PINAL CO. (part)					
HU-HU-KAM SA	95%	13,118	12,694	13,089	13,527
AK-CHIN SA	5%	683	661	682	704
TOTAL:		19,768	19,429	21,458	21,782
HU-HU-KAM SA		15,824	15,449	16,575	16,951
WEST END SA		3,261	3,319	4,201	4,127
AK CHIN SA		683	661	682	704
TOTAL:		19,768	19,429	21,458	21,782

*West End Communities moved to Gila River Health Care Corporation, effective October 1, 1996.

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County. For example, in Pinal County, 13,060 Users were from the Hu-Hu-Kam SA and 675 were from the Ak-Chin SA, for a total of 19,680 Users. Ak-Chin's share is 5% (675/19,680) and Hu-Hu-Kam's share is 95% (13,680/19,680).

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).

4/1/2004

KEAMS CANYON SERVICE UNIT

TABLE I

Service Unit Code: 62	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	Kaibab	Mohave Co. (part)				77		100%	140	172
		Kaibab	04	08	155		77			
		Moccasin	04	08	152		0			
		Kane Co., UT (part)*				0		100%	55	43
		Kanab	49	13	686		0			
	Coconino Co.					48		100%	159	170
		Fredonia	04	03	142		48			
	Service Area Sub-Total					125	125		354	385
	Keams Canyon Hospital	Navajo Co. (part)				5,948		100%	7,518	7,800
		Bacabi	04	09	961		243			
		Blue Bird Cn	04	09	962		0			
		Hotevilla	04	09	970		599			
		Keams Canyon	04	09	971		604			
		Kykotsmovi	04	09	976		709			
		Leupp	04	09	973		0			
		Mishongnovi	04	09	974		483			
		Oraibi, Old	04	09	977		136			
		Polacca	04	09	980		1,645			
		Second Mesa	04	09	960		118			
		Shipaulovi	04	09	981		287			
		Shungopovi	04	09	983		879			
		Sichomovi	04	09	984		72			
		Skunk Springs	04	09	985		27			
		Snowbird	04	09	986		22			
		Spider Mound	04	09	034		64			
		Telehogan	04	09	035		0			
		Tewa	04	09	968		49			
	Toreva	04	09	988		0				
	Waipi	04	09	989		11				
	Service Area Sub-Total					5,948	5,948		7,518	7,800
KEAMS SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						6,073	6,073		7,872	8,185

TABLE II

KEAMS CANYON SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Mohave Co. (part)	77	140	172
Navajo Co. (part)	5,948	7,518	7,800
Coconino Co. (part)	48	159	170
Kane Co., UT (part)	0	55	43
Service Unit Total:	6,073	7,872	8,185

TABLE III
KEAMS CANYON SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2003 Active Users	2003 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
MOHAVE CO. (part)					
KAIBAB SA	100%	77	140	172	95
COCONINO CO. (part)					
KAIBAB SA	100%	48	159	170	51
NAVAJO CO. (KEAMS CANYON SA)	100%	5,948	7,372	7,804	6,000
STATE OF UTAH					
KANE CO. (part)					
KAIBAB SA	100%	0	55	43	0
TOTAL:		6,073	7,726	8,189	6,146

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).

4/1/2004

**PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 66	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
Ft. McDowell		Maricopa Co.				969		2%	908	1,150
		Fountain Hills	04	07	139		86			
		Ft. McDowell	04	07	117		883			
Salt River		Maricopa Co.				4,424		8%	4,148	5,249
		Lehi	04	07	126		379			
		Salt River	04	07	132		4,045			
San Lucy		Maricopa Co.				583		1%	547	692
		Gila Bend	04	07	118		294			
		San Lucy Village	04	07	137		289			
Prescott		Yavapai Co.				365		31%	673	737
		Bagdad	04	13	261		2			
		Chino Valley	04	13	115		29			
		Cordes Junction	04	13	303		7			
		Prescott	04	13	268		320			
		Yarnell	04	13	028		7			
Middle Verde		Yavapai Co.				799		68%	1,472	1,614
		Camp Verde	04	13	262		344			
		Clarkdale	04	13	263		183			
		Cornville	04	13	302		3			
		Cottonwood	04	13	264		74			
		Jerome	04	13	265		2			
		Middle Verde	04	13	266		167			
		Rim Rock	04	13	269		26			
Payson		Gila Co.				118		100%	249	270
		Payson	04	04	054		117			
		Star Valley	04	04	071		1			
PIMC		Maricopa Co.				50,064		89%	46,936	59,398
		Aguila	04	07	300		1			
		Ahwatukee	04	07	010		16			
		Arlington	04	07	138		31			
		Avondale	04	07	111		552			
		Buckeye	04	07	113		277			
		Carefree	04	07	012		2			
		Cashion	04	07	013		43			
		Cave Creek	04	07	014		31			
		Deer Valley	04	07	015		3			
		El Mirage	04	07	016		215			
		Glendale	04	07	121		3,360			
		Goodyear	04	07	122		251			
		Guadalupe	04	07	040		1,998			
		Litchfield P	04	07	017		44			
		Mesa	04	07	129		7,234			
		Moon Valley	04	07	018		0			
		New River	04	13	019		17			
		Paradise Valley	04	07	020		20			
		Peoria	04	07	130		695			
		Phoenix	04	07	131		29,701			
		Scottsdale	04	07	133		1,897			
		Sun City	04	07	022		35			
		Sun City WT	04	07	023		7			
		Sunny Slope	04	07	024		9			
		Surprise	04	07	025		167			
		Tempe	04	07	134		3,194			
Tolleson	04	07	135		127					
Tonopah	04	07	301		66					
Wickenburg	04	07	136		52					
Youngtown	04	07	026		19					
		Yavapai Co.				11		1%	20	22
		Black Canyon City	04	13	027		11			
		Pinal Co. (part)				290		100%	202	208
		Apache Junction	04	11	011		290			
Service Area Total						50,365	50,365		47,159	59,628
PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						57,623	57,623		55,155	69,340

TABLE II
PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Maricopa Co. (part)	56,040	52,539	66,488
Pinal Co. (part)	290	202	208
Gila Co. (part)	118	249	270
Yavapai Co. (part)	1,175	2,165	2,374
Service Unit Total:	57,623	55,155	69,340

TABLE III
PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of	2003	2003	2013	2013
	County	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	in SA	Users	Census	Census Pop	User Pop
	/6		(user based)	(user based)	/7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
GILA CO. (part)					
PAYSON SA	100%	118	249	270	128
MARICOPA CO. (part)					
FT. McDOWELL SA	2%	969	908	1,150	1,226
SALT RIVER SA	8%	4,424	4,148	5,249	5,599
SAN LUCY SA	1%	583	547	692	738
PIMC SA	89%	50,064	46,936	59,398	63,356
PINAL CO. (part)					
PIMC SA	100%	290	202	208	299
YAVAPAI CO. (part)					
PIMC SA	1%	11	20	22	12
PRESCOTT SA	31%	365	673	737	400
MIDDLE VERDE SA	68%	799	1,472	1,614	876
TOTAL:		57,623	55,155	69,340	72,634

TABLE IV
PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	2003	2003	2013	2013
	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	Users	Census	Census Pop	User Pop
		(user based)	(user based)	
FT. McDOWELL SA	969	908	1,150	1,226
MIDDLE VERDE SA	799	1,472	1,614	876
PAYSON SA	118	249	270	128
PIMC SA	50,365	47,159	59,628	63,667
PRESCOTT SA	365	673	737	400
SALT RIVER SA	4,424	4,148	5,249	5,599
SAN LUCY SA	583	547	692	738
TOTAL:	57,623	55,155	69,340	72,634

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County. For example, in Yavapai County, 11 Users were from the PIMC SA, 365 from the Prescott SA, and 799 from the Middle Verde SA for a total of 1,175 Users. Middle Verde's share is 68% (799/1,175), Prescott's 31% (365/1,175), and PIMC's 1% (11/1,175).

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).

4/1/2004

SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT

TABLE 1

Service Unit Code: 68	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	Bylas	Cochise				12		100%	1,167	1,588
		Tombstone	04	02	068		1			
		Wilcox	04	02	021		11			
		Graham				2,205	2,205	48%	2,124	2,166
		Bylas Gap	04	05	072		0			
	Service Area Sub-Total					2,217	2,217		3,291	3,754
	San Carlos	Gila				5,876		100%	5,228	5,662
		Black River	04	04	042		0			
		Chrysotile	04	04	045		0			
		Claypool	04	04	046		16			
		Cutter	04	04	047		424			
		Gilson Wash	04	04	048		188			
		Gilson Well	04	04	049		0			
		Globe	04	04	050		304			
		Hill Top	04	04	051		0			
		Hollywood	04	04	052		0			
		Lower Peridot	04	04	062		380			
		Miami	04	04	053		46			
		North Gilson	04	04	063		743			
		Peridot	04	04	055		347			
		Phillips Mine	04	04	056		0			
		Regal Mine	04	04	057		0			
		Salt Creek	04	04	058		0			
		San Carlos	04	04	059		244			
		Seneca	04	04	060		0			
		South Gilson	04	04	066		744			
		Upper Peridot	04	04	067		283			
		Young	04	04	061		3			
		7-Mile Wash	04	04	065		2,154			
		Graham				3,155		52%	2,300	2,346
		Arsenic Tub	04	05	071		0			
		Ash Creek	04	05	041		0			
		Calva	04	05	074		2			
		Central	04	05	075		0			
		Coolidge Dam	04	05	076		3			
		Cork	04	05	077		0			
		Eden	04	05	078		2			
	Fort Thomas	04	05	079		9				
	Geronimo	04	05	080		1				
	Glenbar	04	05	081		0				
	Peridot Heights	04	05	064		2,970				
	Pima	04	05	082		52				
	Pt. of Pines	04	05	083		0				
	Safford	04	05	084		72				
	Solomon	04	05	085		1				
	Thatcher	04	05	086		43				
	Greenlee				034		100%	245	265	
	Clifton	04	06	101		4				
	Duncan	04	06	104		15				
	Eagle Creek	04	06	102		0				
	Morenci	04	06	103		15				
	Service Area Sub-Total					9,065	9,065		7,773	8,273
SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						11,282	11,282		11,064	12,027

**TABLE II
SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT**

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Cochise, AZ	12	1,167	1,588
Gila, AZ	5,876	5,228	5,662
Graham, AZ	5,360	4,424	4,512
Greenlee, AZ	34	245	265
Service Unit Total:	11,282	11,064	12,027

**TABLE III
SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT
COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of	2003	2003	2013	2013
	County	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	in SA	Users	Census Pop	Census Pop	User Pop
	/6		(user based)	(user based)	/7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
COCHISE CO.					
BYLAS SA	100%	12	1,167	1,588	16
GRAHAM CO.					
BYLAS SA	48%	2,205	2,124	2,166	2,249
SAN CARLOS SA	52%	3,155	2,300	2,346	3,218
GILA CO.					
SAN CARLOS SA	100%	5,876	5,228	5,662	6,364
GREENLEE CO.					
SAN CARLOS SA	100%	34	245	265	37
TOTAL:		11,282	11,064	12,027	11,884
BYLAS SA		2,217	3,291	3,754	2,265
SAN CARLOS SA		9,065	7,773	8,273	9,618
TOTAL:		11,282	11,064	12,027	11,884

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).

4/1/2004

SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT

TABLE I

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
Code: 69					/1					
	McDermitt	Humboldt				455		69%	633	705
		Denio	32	07	586		2			
		McDermitt	32	07	587		450			
		Orovada	32	07	588		3			
		Paradise Isl.	32	07	589		0			
		Malheur, Oregon				9		100%	476	494
		Ontario	41	23	358		8			
	Jordan Valle	41	23	410		1				
	Nyssa	41	23	411		0				
	Service Area Sub-Total					464	464		1,109	1,199
	Fallon	Lander				30		100%	30	31
		Austin	32	08	596		30			
		Lyon				49		5%	44	55
		Silver Springs	32	10	613		49			
		Nye				82		62%	262	277
		Ione	32	12	634		0			
		Yomba	32	12	632		62			
		Gabbs	32	12	633		20			
		Pershing				210		100%	284	349
		Lovelock	32	14	642		202			
		Imlay	32	14	406		8			
	Churchill				1,295		100%	1,160	1514	
	Fallon	32	01	526		1,293				
	Stillwater	32	01	528		2				
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,666	1,666		1,780	2,226
	Winnemucca	Humboldt				203		31%	282	315
		Golconda	32	07	360		0			
	Winnemucca	32	07	590		203				
	Service Area Sub-Total					203	203		282	315
	Las Vegas Moapa	Lincoln				2		100%	83	131
		Caliente	32	09	577		2			
		Hiko	32	09	601		0			
		Panaca	32	09	602		0			
		Pioche	32	09	603		0			
		Nye				24		18%	77	81
		Beatty	32	12	626		0			
		Pahrump	32	12	630		24			
		Clark				1,393		100%	9,651	13,579
		Boulder	32	02	531		1			
		Boulder City	32	02	532		9			
		Henderson	32	02	533		56			
		Indian Springs	32	02	534		0			
		Las Vegas	32	02	535		1,072			
		Laughlin	32	02	530		7			
		Logandale	32	02	536		0			
		Mesquite	32	02	537		9			
	Moapa	32	02	538		224				
	Nellis AFB	32	02	539		0				
	N. Las Vegas	32	02	540		11				
	Overton	32	02	541		2				
	Searchlight	32	02	542		2				
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,419	1,419		9,811	13,791

**SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I (cont)**

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
Code: 69					/1						
	Washoe	Lyon				138		14%	123	156	
			Dayton	32	10	308					
			Stewart	32	10	609					
			Carson City				1,047		100%	1,487	1,802
			Carson City	32	13	606					
			Storey				10		100%	56	50
			Virginia City	32	15	307					
			Alpine, California				322		100%	350	420
			Markleeville	06	02	303					
			Topaz	06	02	400					
			Woodfords	06	02	304					
			Douglas				731		100%	724	834
			Dresslerville	32	03	551					
			Gardnerville	32	03	552					
		Genoa	32	03	401						
		Minden	32	03	553						
		State Line	32	03	402						
		Zephyr Cove	32	03	403						
	Service Area Sub-Total						2,248	2,248		2,740	3,262
	Reno Sparks	Washoe				3,568		70%	4,734	5,601	
			Crystal Bay	32	16	647					
			Empire	32	16	655					
			Lemmon Valley	32	16	306					
			Incline Village	32	16	408					
			Reno	32	16	650					
			Sparks	32	16	651					
			Stead AFB	32	16	652					
			Sun Valley	32	16	305					
		Service Area Sub-Total						3,568	3,568		4,734
	Yerington	Lyon				631		65%	562	714	
			Mark Twain	32	10	615					
			Smith	32	10	608					
			Smith Valley	32	10	617					
			Stagecoach	32	10	618					
			Wellington	32	10	611					
			Yerington	32	10	612					
	Service Area Sub-Total						631	631		562	714
	Walker River	Nye				27		20%	86	91	
			Round Mountain	32	12	635					
			Tonopah	32	12	631					
			Esmeralda				3		100%	94	114
			Dyer	32	05	571					
			Goldfield	32	05	572					
			Silver Peak	32	05	573					
			Mineral				842		100%	919	1,007
			Babbit	32	11	621					
			Hawthorne	32	11	622					
		Mina	32	11	624						
		Schurz	32	11	623						
	Service Area Sub-Total						872	872		1,099	1,212
	Pyramid Lake	Washoe				1,514		30%	2,009	2,377	
			Gerlach	32	16	656					
			Nixon	32	16	649					
			Sutcliffe	32	16	654					
			Wadsworth	32	16	653					
		Lyon				157		16%	140	178	
		Fernley	32	10	607						
	Service Area Sub-Total						1,671	1,671		2,149	2,554
		Unknown				0					
		Unknown	32	10	606						
		Unknown				0					
SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						12,742	12,742		24,266	30,875	

TABLE II
SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT - COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Alpine, CA	322	350	420
Carson City, NV	1,047	1,487	1,802
Churchill, NV	1,295	1,160	1,514
Clark, NV	1,393	9,651	13,579
Douglas, NV	731	724	834
Esmeralda, NV	3	94	114
Humboldt, NV	658	915	1,020
Lander, NV (partial)	30	30	31
Lincoln, NV	2	83	131
Lyon, NV	975	869	1,103
Malheur, OR	9	476	494
Mineral, NV	842	919	1,007
Nye, NV	133	425	449
Pershing, NV	210	284	349
Storey, NV	10	56	50
Washoe, NV	5,082	6,743	7,978
Service Unit Total:	12,742	24,266	30,875

TABLE III
SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT COUNTY & SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2003	2003	2013	2013
		Active Users	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF NEVADA					
CARSON CITY					
WASHOE SA	100%	1,047	1,487	1,802	1,269
CHURCHILL CO.					
FALLON SA	100%	1,295	1,160	1,514	1,690
CLARK CO.					
LAS VEGAS SA	100%	1,393	9,651	13,579	1,960
DOUGLAS SA					
WASHOE SA	100%	731	724	834	842
ESMERALDA CO.					
WALKER RIVER SA	100%	3	94	114	4
HUMBOLDT CO.					
WINNEMUCCA SA	31%	203	282	315	226
McDERMITT SA	69%	455	633	705	507
LANDER CO.					
FALLON SA	100%	30	30	31	31
LINCOLN CO.					
LAS VEGAS SA	100%	2	83	131	3
LYON CO.					
FALLON SA	5%	49	44	55	62
PYRAMID L. SA	16%	157	140	178	199
WASHOE SA	14%	138	123	156	175
YERINGTON SA	65%	631	562	714	801
	100%				
MINERAL CO.					
WALKER R. SA	100%	842	919	1,007	923
NYE CO.					
FALLON SA	62%	82	262	277	87
LAS VEGAS SA	18%	24	77	81	25
WALKER R. SA	20%	27	86	91	29
PERSHING CO.					
FALLON SA	100%	210	284	349	258
STOREY CO.					
WASHOE SA	100%	10	56	50	9
WASHOE CO.					
RENO/SPARKS SA	70%	3,568	4,734	5,601	4,221
PYRAMID L. SA	30%	1,514	2,009	2,377	1,791
STATE OF OREGON					
MALHEUR CO.					
McDERMITT SA	100%	9	476	494	9
STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
ALPINE CO. CALIFORNIA					
WASHOE SA	100%	322	350	420	386
TOTAL:		12,742	24,266	30,875	15,509

TABLE IV
SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	2003 Active Users	2003 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated User Pop /7
FALLON SA	1,666	1,780	2,226	2,128
LAS VEGAS SA	1,419	9,811	13,791	1,988
McDERMITT SA	464	1,109	1,199	517
PYRAMID LAKE SA	1,671	2,149	2,554	1,991
RENO/SPARKS SA	3,568	4,734	5,601	4,221
WALKER R. SA	872	1,099	1,212	955
WASHOE SA	2,248	2,740	3,262	2,681
WINNEMUCCA SA	203	282	315	226
YERINGTON SA	631	562	714	801
TOTAL:	12,742	24,266	30,875	15,509

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).

4/1/2004

**U&O SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I**

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
Code: 61					/1					
		Carbon Co.				26		100%	300	459
		Helper	49	04	033		7			
		Price	49	04	025		16			
		Wellington	49	04	032		3			
		Daggett Co.				3		100%	9	9
		Dutch John	49	05	023		0			
		Manila	49	05	024		3			
		Davis Co.*				0		0%		
		Bountiful	49	06	004		0			
		Clearfield	49	06	005		0			
		Layton	49	06	007		0			
		Duchesne Co.				1,084		100%	969	1224
		Altamont	49	07	671		16			
		Altona	49	07	026		4			
		Arcadia	49	07	672		22			
		Blue Bell	49	07	027		9			
		Boneta	49	07	681		0			
		Bridgeland	49	07	678		14			
		Cedar View	49	07	682		1			
		Duchesne	49	07	673		11			
		Emmons	49	07	683		0			
		Fruitland	49	07	684		0			
		Hanna	49	07	685		1			
		Ioka	49	07	686		0			
		Monarch	49	07	687		0			
		Mountain Home	49	07	680		7			
		Myton	49	07	675		166			
		Neola	49	07	676		177			
		Roosevelt	49	07	677		655			
		Stockmore	49	07	688		0			
		Tabiona	49	07	689		1			
		Talmage	49	07	690		0			
		Upalco	49	07	028		0			
	Ft. Duchesne	Emery Co.				5		100%	61	47
		Castle Dale	49	08	650		2			
		Clawson	49	08	651		0			
		Cleveland	49	08	652		0			
		Elmo	49	08	653		0			
		Emery	49	08	654		0			
		Ferron	49	08	655		1			
		Green River	49	08	656		0			
		Huntington	49	08	657		0			
		Lawrence	49	08	658		0			
		Mohrland	49	08	659		0			
		Molen	49	08	660		0			
		Moore	49	08	661		0			
		Orangeville	49	08	662		2			
		Grand Co.				2		100%	284	386
		Castle Valley	49	10	714		0			
		Cisco	49	10	715		0			
		Crescent Junction	49	10	716		0			
		Moab	49	10	717		2			
		Thompson Spring	49	10	718		0			
		Salt Lake Co.*				262		100%		
		Salt Lake City	49	18	691		190			
		West Jordan	49	18	570		26			
		West Valley	49	18	008		46			

**U&O SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I (continued)**

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
Code: 61					/1					
		Summit Co.				2		100%	79	93
		Castle Rock	49	22	719		0			
		Coalville	49	22	720		0			
		Echo	49	22	721		0			
		Emory	49	22	722		0			
		Francis	49	22	723		0			
		Henefer	49	22	724		0			
		Hoytsville	49	22	725		0			
		Kamas	49	22	726		1			
		Marion	49	22	727		0			
		Oakley	49	22	728		0			
		Park City	49	22	729		1			
		Peoa	49	22	730		0			
		Pineview	49	22	731		0			
		Synderville	49	22	732		0			
		Upton	49	22	733		0			
		Wanship	49	22	734		0			
		Woodland	49	22	735		0			
		Uintah Co.				2,905		100%	2,929	3,164
		Ballard	49	24	740		28			
		Ft. Duchesne	49	24	674		1,375			
		Gusher	49	24	701		32			
		Indian Bench	49	24	708		157			
		Jensen	49	24	741		4			
		Lapoint	49	24	702		108			
		Naples	49	24	742		0			
		Ouray	49	24	703		26			
		Randlett	49	24	704		331			
		Tridell	49	24	705		17			
		Vernal	49	24	706		283			
		White Rocks	49	24	707		544			
		Utah Co.*				120		100%		
		Orem	49	25	009		52			
		Provo	49	25	006		61			
		Springville	49	25	010		7			
		Wasatch Co.				3		100%	97	123
		Heber City	49	26	530		3			
		Midway	49	26	743		0			
		Wallsburg	49	26	744		0			
		Rio Blanco, Co. Colorado				5		100%	44	44
		Rangely	08	52	748		5			
		Service Area Sub-Total				4,035	4,035		4,772	5,549
		Tooele Co.				40		100%	370	373
	Skull Valley	Grantsville	49	23	030		17			
		Skull Valley	49	23	031		14			
		Stockton	49	23	029		1			
		Tooele	49	23	696		8			
		Service Area Sub-Total				40	40		370	373
	PITU	Iron Co.				411		100%	931	1,218
		Cedar City	49	11	710		379			
		Enoch	49	11	001		23			
		New Castle	49	11	037		7			
		Paragonah	49	11	745		0			
		Parowan	49	11	746		2			
		Millard Co.				53		100%	227	206
		Fillmore	49	14	711		6			
		Kanosh	49	14	002		47			

**U&O SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I (continued)**

Service Unit Code: 61	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
		Sevier Co.				81		100%	426	451	
		Joseph	49	21	747		27				
		Richfield	49	21	900		53				
		Salina	49	21	125		1				
	PITU	Washington Co.				283		100%	1,138	1,679	
		Ivins	49	27	100		1				
		Santa Clara	49	27	003		36				
		Shivwits	49	27	036		58				
		St. George	49	27	713		188				
	Service Area Sub-Total						828	828		2,722	3,554
		Unknown**				120					
		Utah Unknown	49	99	949		120				
	Service Area Sub-Total						120	120		0	0
U&O SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:							4,903	4,903		7,864	9,476

TABLE II

U&O SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Carbon Co.	26	300	459
Daggett Co.	3	9	9
Davis Co.*	0		
Duchesne Co.	1,084	969	1,224
Emery Co.	5	61	47
Grand Co.	2	284	386
Iron Co.	411	931	1,218
Millard Co.	53	227	206
Rio Blanco Co.	5	44	44
Salt Lake Co.*	262		
Sevier Co.	81	426	451
Summit Co.	2	79	93
Tooele Co.	40	370	373
Uintah Co.	2,905	2,929	3,164
Utah Co.*	120		
Wasatch Co.	3	97	123
Washington Co.	283	1,138	1,679
Service Unit Total	4,903	7,864	9,476

TABLE III

U&O SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARIES

	% of County in SA /6	2003 Active Users	2003 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF UTAH					
CARBON CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	26	300	459	40
DAGGETT CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	3	9	9	3
DAVIS CO.*					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	0%	0			
DUCHESNE CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	1,084	969	1,224	1,369
EMERY CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	5	61	47	4
GRAND CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	2	284	386	3
IRON CO.					
PITU SA	100%	411	931	1,218	538
MILLARD CO.					
PITU SA	100%	53	227	206	48
RIO BLANCO CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	5	44	44	5
SALT LAKE CO.*					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	262			
SEVIER CO.					
PITU SA	100%	81	426	451	86
SUMMIT CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	2	79	93	2
TOOELE CO.					
SKULL VALLEY SA	100%	40	370	373	40
UINTAH CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	2,905	2,929	3,164	3,138
UTAH CO.*					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	120			
WASATCH CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	3	97	123	4
WASHINGTON CO.					
PITU SA	100%	283	1,138	1,679	418
TOTAL:		4,903	7,864	9,476	5,697

TABLE IV

U&O SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	2003 Active Users	2003 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2013 Estimated User Pop /7
PITU SA	828	2,722	3,554	1,089
FT. DUCHESNE SA	4,035	4,772	5,549	4,568
SKULL VALLEY SA	40	370	373	40
TOTAL:	4,903	7,864	9,476	5,697

* These counties are outside the Service Unit, thus do not have census population estimates. These counties do have "active users", however, these active users are not included in totals.

**Utah unknown does not identify community of residence and therefore are not counted in totals.

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).

4/1/2004

**WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I**

Service Unit	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2003 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2013 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
Code: 71		Navajo			/1	1,733		14%	1,615	1,676	
	Cibecue	Cibecue	04	09	964		1,733				
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,733	1,733		1,615	1,676	
	Whiteriver	Apache				733		100%	740	756	
		Concho	04	01	998		26				
		Eager	04	01	901		74				
		Hawley Lake	04	01	903		1				
		Nutrioso	04	01	906		1				
		McNary	04	01	905		506				
		Springerville	04	01	908		81				
		St. Johns	04	01	907		41				
		Sunrise	04	01	093		3				
		Gila					1,527		100%	1,694	1,834
		Canyon Day	04	04	043			1,104			
		Carrizo	04	04	963			145			
		Cedar Creek	04	04	044			278			
		Salt River Canyon	04	04	094			0			
		Navajo					10,877		86%	10,140	10,519
		Diamond Creek	04	09	996			506			
		East Fork	04	09	965			877			
		Forestdale	04	09	966			5			
		Fort Apache	04	09	967			291			
		Heber	04	09	969			23			
		Hon-Dah/Indian Pi	04	09	095			284			
		Lakeside	04	09	972			196			
		North Fork	04	09	975			799			
		Overgaard	04	09	978			16			
		Pinetop	04	09	979			111			
		Rainbow City	04	09	995			1,169			
		Seven Mile	04	09	096			794			
		Show Low	04	09	982			416			
		Snowflake	04	09	182			365			
		Taylor	04	09	987			151			
	Turkey Creek	04	09	097			209				
	Vernon	04	09	925			6				
	White Mountain LI	04	09	926			1				
	Whiteriver	04	09	990			237				
	Whiteriver, NE	04	09	993			415				
	Whiteriver, NW	04	09	994			923				
	Whiteriver, SE	04	09	991			455				
	Whiteriver, SW	04	09	992			2,628				
	Service Area Sub-Total					13,137	13,137		12,574	13,109	
WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						14,870	14,870		14,189	14,785	

TABLE II
WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT
COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2003	2003	2013
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Apache, AZ.	733	740	756
Gila, AZ. (part)	1,527	1,694	1,834
Navajo, AZ. (part)	12,610	11,755	12,195
Service Unit Total:	14,870	14,189	14,785

TABLE III
WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT
COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2003	2003	2013	2013
		Active Users	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
APACHE CO					
WHITERIVER SA	100%	733	740	756	749
GILA CO.					
WHITERIVER SA	100%	1,527	1,694	1,834	1,653
NAVAJO CO.					
WHITERIVER SA	86%	10,877	10,140	10,519	11,284
CIBECUE	14%	1,733	1,615	1,676	1,798
TOTAL:		14,870	14,189	14,785	15,484
CIBECUE SA		1,733	1,615	1,676	1,798
WHITERIVER SA		13,137	12,574	13,109	13,686
TOTAL:		14,870	14,189	14,785	15,484

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/00. User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 1/26/2004).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2013 Census Pop/2003 Census Pop) x (2003 Active Users).