NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Freedom of Information Act Annual Report

Fiscal Year 1999

I. Basic Information

- A. For questions concerning this Report contact: John W. Hornbeck, FOIA Officer (jhombeck@nlrb.gov) National Labor Relations Board 1099 14th Street, NW, Suite 10600 Washington, DC 20570
- **B.** Report may be obtained through the Internet at: www.nlrb.gov/foia/reading.html
- C. Report may also be obtained by making a request to the address listed in A above

II. How to Make a FOIA Request

- A. Our FOIA Reference Guide, located on the NLRB's FOIA Home Page at <u>www.nlrb.gov/foia/reference.html</u>, details the different methods of making a FOIA Request to the NLRB
- **B.** The NLRB's median response time for responding to FOIA requests was 16 working days. For appeals, the median processing time was 15 working days.
- C. Like all federal agencies, the NLRB is required under FOIA to provide records upon receiving a written request for them, except for those records that are protected from disclosure by the nine exemptions and three exclusions of the FOIA. The most common reasons why some requests are not granted by the NLRB are because requesters seek information protected by the following FOIA Exemptions:

Exemptions (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C): protect information concerning other individuals that, if released, would constitute an invasion of their personal privacy.

• Exemption (b)(5):

an invasion of their personal privacy. protects certain inter – and intra-agency memoranda, including those setting forth internal recommendations from staff and those that contain attorney work product.

and (b)(7)(D):

Exemptions (b)(7)(A) protect certain records in law-enforcement investigatory files, including records whose release would interfere with an ongoing proceeding and records that would reveal confidential sources or enable someone to avoid complying with the law.

III. Definitions of Terms and Acronyms Used in this Report

- **A.** Agency- specific acronyms and other terms
 - NLRB -- National Labor Relations Board
 - 2. FOIA -- Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C.§ 552)
 - 3. FY -- Fiscal Year
 - 4. P.A. -- Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a)
- **B.** Basic terms, expressed in common terminology
 - FOIA/PA Request -- Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act request. A FOIA request is generally a request for access to records concerning a third party, an organization, or a particular topic of interest. A Privacy Act request is a request for records concerning oneself; such requests are also treated as FOIA requests. (All requests for access to records, regardless of which law is cited by by the requester, are included in this report.)
 - 2. Initial Request -- a request to the NLRB for access to records under the FOIA.
 - 3. Appeal -- a request to the NLRB asking that it review at a higher administrative level a full denial or partial denial of a FOIA request, or any other FOIA determination such as a matter pertaining to fees.
 - 4. Processed Request or Appeal -- a request or appeal for which the NLRB has taken a final action on the request or the appeal in all respects.
 - 5. Multi-track Processing -- a system in which simple requests requiring minimal action are placed in one processing track and more voluminous and complex requests are placed in one or more other tracks. Requests in each track are processed on a first-in/first-out basis. Note: The NLRB does not have multi-track processing as it generally responds to requests on a timely basis and does not have any significant FOIA backlog. A requester who has an urgent need for records may request expedited processing (see **III.B.**6. directly below).

- 6. Expedited Processing --The NLRB will process a FOIA request on an expedited basis when a requester has shown exceptional need or urgency for the records that warrants prioritization of his or her request over other requests that were made earlier.
- 7. Simple Request -- a FOIA request that an agency using multi-track processing places in its fastest (nonexpedited) track based on the volume and/or simplicity of records requested. As noted above, the NLRB does not have multi-track processing.
- 8. Complex Request -- a FOIA request that any agency using multi-track processing places in a slower track based on the volume and/or complexity of records requested. As noted above, the NLRB does not have multi-track processing.
- 9. Grant -- a NLRB decision to disclose all records in full in response to a FOIA request.
- 10. Partial Grant -- a NLRB decision to disclose a record in part in response to a FOIA request, deleting information determined to be exempt under one or more of the FOIA's exemptions; or a decision to disclose some records in their entities, but to withhold others in whole or in part.
- 11. Denial -- a NLRB decision not to release any part of a record or records in response to a FOIA request because all the information in the requested records is determined by the NLRB to be exempt under one or more of the FOIA's exemptions, or for some procedural reason (such as because no record is located in response to a FOIA request).
- 12. Time Limits -- the time period in the FOIA for an agency to respond to a FOIA request, ordinarily 20 "working days" from proper receipt of a "perfected" FOIA request (see III.B.13. & 17. below). Note: The NLRB response times in Part VII below are, in accordance with the Justice Department Guidelines, given in calendar days; the overall working day equivalent can be approximately calculated by multiplying the calendar day total by .7 (e.g. 10 calendar days equates to about 7 working days).
- 13. "Perfected" Request -- a FOIA request for records that adequately describes the records sought, that has been received by the NLRB component in possession of the records, and for which there is no remaining question about the payment of applicable fees.
- 14. Exemption 3 Statute -- a separate federal statute prohibiting the disclosure of certain type of information and authorizing its withholding under FOIA subsection (b)(3).
- 15. Median Number -- the middle, not average, number. For example, of 3, 7, and 14, the median number is 7.
- 16. Average Number -- the number obtained by dividing the sum of a group of numbers by the quanity of numbers in the group. For

- example, of 3, 7, and 14, the average number is 8.
- 17. Working Days -- days except Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays. As noted, the NLRB's response times in **Part VII** below are given in median calendar days (the number of "working days" being about a third less).

IV. Exemption 3 Statutes

٧.

| Α. | A. The NLRB did not rely on any Exemption 3 statutes during the fiscal year covered by this report (FY 99). | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| lni | tial FOIA Requests | | | | |
| A. | Total Number of Requests | | | | |
| | 1194 requests were pending at the | ne end of fiscal year 1998. | | | |
| | 26081 requests were received during fiscal year 1999. | | | | |
| | 3. 6013 requests were processed during fiscal year 1999. | | | | |
| | 4. 262 requests were pending as of | f the end of fiscal year 1999. | | | |
| В. | Disposition of Initial Requests in Fiscal Ye | ear 1999 | | | |
| 14833 requests were granted in full | | | | | |
| | 2616 requests were granted in part | | | | |
| | 3. <u>564</u> requests were denied | | | | |
| | (a) The number of times each FOIA exemption was used, counting each exemption once per request: | | | | |
| | (1) Exemption 2 | 24 | | | |
| | (2) Exemption 3 | none | | | |
| | (3) Exemption 4 | 6 | | | |
| | (4) Exemption 5 | 295 | | | |
| | (5) Exemption 6 | 60 | | | |
| | (6) Exemption 7(A)434 | | | | |

| (7) Exemption 7(C) | <u>346</u> |
|--------------------|------------|
| (8) Exemption 7(D) | 327 |
| (9) Exemption 7(E) | 15 |

The total number of exceptions relied upon exceeds the number of adverse determinations because a determination often relies upon more than one exemption.

| 4. | Total number of other reasons for non-disclosure | 860 |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| | (a) no records exist reflecting the requested information 412 | |
| | (b) request referred to another office within the Agency137 | |
| | (c) request referred to another agency6_ | - |
| | (d) request withdrawn85 | |
| | (e) fee-related reason94 | |
| | (f) records not reasonably described32 | _ |
| | (g) not an Agency record15 | _ |
| | (h) requested records destroyed43_ | _ |
| | (i) record not in possession of Agency27 | |
| | (i) other: • in ano instance the Region could not prove | ido tho |

- (j) other: in one instance, the Region could not provide the requested documents in the format specified by the requester
 - in four instances, the Regions had no system of records with which to access the requested information
 - in three instances, the Regions were unable to locate the file
 - in one instance, the requester asked for documents on a continuing basis

C. The names, titles, and instances of participation of those responsible for initial denials (in part and in full) of requested records are as follows:

| Rosemary Pye | 17 |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|
| Director, Region 1 | |
| Daniel Silverman Director, Region 2 | 19 |
| Sandra Dunbar Director, Region 3 | 15 |
| Dorothy L. Moore-Duncan Director, Region 4 | 0_ |
| Louis J. D'Amico Director, Region 5 | 47 |
| Gerald Kobell Director, Region 6 | 30 |
| William C. Schaub Director, Region 7 | 17 |
| Frederick Calatrello Director, Region 8 | 5 |
| Richard L. Ahearn Director, Region 9 | 14 |
| Martin M. Arlook Director, Region 10 | 12 |
| Willie L. Clark Director, Region 11 | 4 |
| Rochelle Kentov Director, Region 12 | 110 |
| Elizabeth Kinney Director, Region 13 | 38 |
| Ralph R. Tremain Director, Region 14 | 85 |

| Curtis A. Wells Acting Director, Region 15 | 23 |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|
| Michael M. Dunn Director, Region 16 | 14 |
| F. Rozier Sharp Director, Region 17 | 3 |
| Ronald M. Sharp Director, Region 18 | 7 |
| Paul Eggert Director, Region 19 | 32 |
| Robert H. Miller Director, Region 20 | 7 |
| Victoria E. Aguayo Director, Region 21 | 28 |
| Gary T. Kendellen Director, Region 22 | 1 |
| Mary Z. Asseo Director, Region 24 | 0 |
| Roberto G. Chavarry Director, Region 25 | 53 |
| Gerald P. Fleischut Director, Region 26 | 24 |
| B. Allen Benson Director, Region 27 | 13 |
| Cornele A. Overstreet Director, Region 28 | 12 |
| Alvin B. Blyer Director, Region 29 | 7 |
| Phillip Bloedorn Director, Region 30 | 6 |

| | | James J. McDermott Director, Region 31 | 31 | - |
|-------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | | James S. Scott Director, Region 32 | 26 | |
| | | Glenn A. Zipp Director, Region 33 | 22 | _ |
| | | Peter B. Hoffman Director, Region 34 | 6 | _ |
| | | John J. Toner Executive Secretary | 2 | |
| | | John W. Hornbeck Freedom of Information Off | 370 icer | |
| VI. A | ppeals | of Initial Denials of FOIA F | Requests | |
| A. | Numb | per of Appeals | | |
| | 1. Nu | umber of appeals pending at | end of fiscal year | 19982 |
| | 2. Nu | ımber of appeals received du | ıring fiscal year 1 | 99942 |
| | 3. Nu | umber of appeals processed | during fiscal year | 1999 42 |
| | 4. Nu | umber of appeals pending at | end of fiscal year | 19992 |
| В. | Dispo | osition of appeals | | |
| | 1. N | umber granted in full | _1_ | |
| | 2. N | umber granted in part | 5 | |
| | 3. N | umber denied in full | 31 | |
| | (a |) number of times each FOIA exemption once per appeal | | counting each |
| | | (1) Exemption 2 | | 1 |

| (2) Exemption 3 | <u>none</u> | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| (3) Exemption 4 | 0 | |
| (4) Exemption 5 | 19 | |
| (5) Exemption 6 | 4 | |
| (6) Exemption 7(A) | 14 | |
| (7) Exemption 7(C) | 15 | |
| (8) Exemption 7(D) | 12 | |
| (9) Exemption 7(E) | none_ | |
| The total number of exemptions relied upon determinations on appeal because a determination one exemption. | | |
| 4. Total number of other reasons for nond | lisclosure 6_ | |
| (a) no records exist reflecting the reque | ested information _1_ | |
| (b) referred to another office within the | Agency1 | |
| (c) request withdrawn1 | | |
| (d) fee-related reason3 | | |
| C. The name, title, and instances of participation of the Agency official responsible for determinations on appeal is: | | |
| Fred Feinstein Acting General Counsel | | |
| John Truesdale Chairman | | |
| Compliance with Time Limits/Status of P | ending Requests | |

VII. C

- A. Median processing time for requests processed during fiscal year 1999 _16_ calendar days (note: the NLRB does not have multi-track processing. No requesters sought expedited processing).
- **B.** Status of pending requests:

| | | 1 | . Number of requests pending as of end of fiscal year 1999262_ |
|-----|------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | 2. | Median number of days that such requests were pending as of the end of the fiscal year 199913 days |
| VII | I. C | os | ts/FOIA Staffing |
| | A. | Sta | affing levels: |
| | | 1. | Number of full-time FOIA personnelnone |
| | | 2. | Number of personnel with part-time or occasional FOIA duties (in total work-years)13.3 staff years |
| | | 3. | Total number of personnel (in work-years)13.3 staff years |
| | В. | To | tal costs (including staff and all resources): |
| | | 1. | FOIA processing (including appeals)\$120,540 |
| | | 2. | Litigation related activities\$28,488 |
| | | 3. | Costs associated with the classification and indexing of decisional material and the publication of indicies thereto, which are being performed under court mandate resulting from FOIA litigation\$344,080 |
| | | 4. | Total costs\$493,108 |
| IX. | Fe | | |
| | | | is includes charges for search, review, document duplication, and any er direct costs permitted under agency regulations. |
| | Α. | | tal amount of fees collected by agency for processing quests\$81,311 |
| | В. | Pe | rcentage of total costs16.5% |
| X. | FO | IAI | Regulations (Including Fee Schedule) |

Χ.

The NLRB's FOIA regulations, including the fee schedule, are codified at 29 C.F.R. 102.117. An update to these regulations is scheduled for the spring of 1999.