

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Bureau of Industry and Security Washington, D.C. 20230

May 27, 2004

This is in response to the letter dated submitted to the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) by you for for a company acquired by In that letter, asked, as suggested by BIS Office of Export Enforcement in February 2004, that BIS provide an advisory opinion to a Specifically, asked BIS to determine the appropriateness of past use of License Exception RPL section 740.10 of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) to export German-manufactured parts and components back to Germany for	Dear Ms.	
servicing then return them to the United States.	Security (BIS) by you for a company acquired by In that letter, suggested by BIS Office of Export Enforcement in February 2004, that BIS provide an opinion to Specifically, past use of License Exception RPL section 740.10 of the Export Administration	alf of asked, as a advisory ess of



BIS finds that although ______ reference to the definition of 'Servicing' seems to be consistent with the work said to be performed on the ______ litems by the German subsidiary, RPL is intended and would be available to cover the return of an item repaired in the United States to its foreign owner. RPL is not intended to be used for the export of an item to be repaired and the return of that item to the United States. BIS notes that License Exception TMP would have been appropriate for such a purpose. Now, section 740.2(a)(5) of the EAR restricts the export of MT items under License Exception TMP.

If you have additional questions regarding this matter, please contact Sheila Quarterman, Export Policy Analyst, at phone number 202/482-2440 or at e-mail address squarte@bis.doc.gov.

Sincerely,

Hillary Hess,

Director

Regulatory Policy Division