UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

)	
In the Matter of)	
)	
CERTAIN LAMINATED)	Inv. No. 337-TA-545
FLOOR PANELS)	
)	

NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW TWO INITIAL DETERMINATIONS FINDING SIXTEEN RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review two initial determinations ("IDs") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") in the above-captioned investigation finding sixteen (16) respondents in default, and to have waived their respective rights to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations at issue in the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., telephone 202-205-3041, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 3, 2005, based on a complaint filed by Unilin Beheer B.V. of the Netherlands, Flooring Industries Ltd. of Ireland, and Unilin Flooring N.C. LLC of Thomasville, North Carolina, 70 *Fed. Reg.* 44694 (2005).

The complaint (as amended) alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain laminated floor panels by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 14, 17, 19-21, 37, 52, 65, and 66 of U.S. Patent No. 6,006,486, claims 1, 2, 10, 13, 18, 19, 22-24, and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,490,836, claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,874,292, and claims 1, 5, 13, 17, 27, and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,928,779. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainants requested that the

Commission issue a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders. The Commission named as respondents 32 companies located in Canada, China, South Korea, Malaysia, and the United States. *Id.* The ALJ set October 3, 2006, as the target date for completion of the investigation.

On December 6, 2005, and January 24, 2006, complainants filed two separate motions pursuant to Commission rule 210.16 for orders to show cause and entry of default judgments against sixteen (16) respondents: Jiangsu Qianfeng Decoration Materials Co. Ltd.; 3E Business Enterprises Ltd.; AMZ (Ghangzhou) Wooden Industrial Co., Ltd.; Changzhou Dongjia Decorative Materials Co., Ltd.; Dalton Carpet Liquidators, Inc.; Huzhou Youngji Wooden Co., Ltd.; Lodgi North America, Inc.; Pacific Flooring Manufacturing, Inc.; P.J. Flooring Distributor; R.A.H. Carpet Supplies, Inc.; Salvage Building Material, Inc.; Shanghai Zhengrun Industry Development Co., Ltd.; Stalheim Industries Sdn Bhd, Stalheim (USA), Inc.; Tsailin Floorings, Inc.; Universal Floor Covering, Inc.; and Vegas Laminate Hardwood Floors LLC; (collectively, non-responding respondents). None of the 16 non-responding respondents filed responses to the motions. The Commission investigative attorney supported the two motions in responses dated December 14, 2005, and February 1, 2006. No party opposed either motion. The ALJ issued show cause orders (Orders Nos. 9 and 14) on December 16, 2005, and February 6, 2006. The orders required the non-responding respondents to show cause why they should not be held in default, having not responded to the complaint, notice of investigation, or motions for a show cause order.

The ALJ issued the two subject IDs on March 3, 2006. The IDs grant the two motions of complainants to find the 16 non-responding respondents in default. The ALJ states in the IDs that the 16 non-responding respondents did not respond to the amended complaint, notice of investigation, or the orders to show cause. Consequently, the ALJ found the non-responding respondents in default. Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16(b)(3), the ALJ found that these respondents have waived their right to appear, be served with documents, or contest the allegations in the complaint. No petitions for review of the IDs were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the IDs.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. § 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott Secretary to the Commission

Issued: April 6, 2006