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# Fractional Quantization of the Hail Effect* 

R.B. Laughlin<br>Lawrence Livermore National La'ooratory<br>P.0. Box 808, Livermore, California 94550

The Fractional Quantum Hall Effect is caused by the condensation of a twodimensional electron gas in a strong magnetic field into a new type of macroscopic ground state, the elementary excitations of which are fermions of charge $1 / m$, winere $m$ is an odd integer.

## 1 Preliminary Considerations

We consider a two-dimensional metal in the $x-y$ plane subject to a magnetic field $H_{0}$ in the z-direction. The many-body Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{j}\left(\frac{1}{2 m}\left|\frac{K}{i} \vec{\nabla}-\frac{e}{c} \vec{A}\right|^{2} \because V\left(z_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{j<k} \frac{e^{2}}{\left|z_{j}-z_{k}\right|}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{j}=x_{j}$ - iy ${ }_{j}$ is a complex number locating the $j$ th electron, $V\left(z_{j}\right)$ is the potentiad generated by a uniform neutralizing background of density o

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z)=-\sigma e^{2} \int \frac{d^{2} z^{\prime}}{\left|z-z^{1}\right|} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\vec{A}=\frac{H_{0}}{2}(\hat{x}-x \hat{y})$ is the symmetric gauge vector potential. We restrict our attention to the lowest Landau level, for which the single-body wavefunctions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1} \pi n!}} z^{n} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|z|^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the magnetic length $a_{0}=\left(\mathrm{Kc} / \mathrm{eH}_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}$ set to 1 . These states are degenerate at energy $K \omega_{c}$, with ${ }_{\omega_{c}}=\mathrm{eH}_{0} / \mathrm{mc}$ the cyclotron frequency. We assume ${ }^{h} \omega_{c}>\mathrm{e}^{2} / a_{0}$.
2 Ground State
By analogy with liquid Helium, we propose a variational wavefunction for this system of the Jastrow form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\left(\prod_{j<k} f\left(z_{j}-z_{k}\right)\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell}\left|z_{\ell}\right|^{2}} \tag{!}
\end{equation*}
$$

as such wavefunctions are efficient as keeping the particle apart. Restriction to the lowest Landau level requires $f$ to be a polynomial, the Pauli principle requires $f$ to be odd, and conservation of angular momentum by $H$ requires $f$
to be homogeneous. Thus the only allowed wavefunctions of the Jastrow form are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lvert\, m>\equiv \psi_{m}=\prod_{j<k}\left(z_{j}-z_{k}\right)^{m} e^{-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell}\left|z_{\ell}\right|^{2}}\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m$ an odd integer. The nature of this state is understood by interpreting its square as the probability distribution function of a classical plasma, in the manner

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{m}\right|^{2}=e^{-\beta \Phi}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\beta=1 / \mathrm{m}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=-2 m^{2} \sum_{j<k} \ell n\left|z_{j}-z_{k}\right|+\frac{m}{2} \sum_{\ell}\left|z_{\ell}\right|^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Phi$ describes particles of "charge" $m$ repelling one another logarithmically and being attracted logarithmically to a uniform background of "charge" density $\sigma_{1}=1 / 2 \pi$. Local neutrality of this "charge" requires that the electrons be spread out to a density $\sigma_{m}=\sigma_{1} / m$. The Fractional Quantum Hall effect occurs when $\sigma=\sigma_{m}$.

We calculate $<m \mid m>$ and $<m|H| m>$ using the hypernetted chain approximation for the radial distribution function $g(r)$ of the plasma. If we let $x=r / \sqrt{2 m}$ and define fourier transforms in the manner

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}(k)=f^{\infty} h(x) J_{0}(k x) x d x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{0}$ is an ordinary Bessel function of the first kind, then the equations we solve are $[1,2]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\exp \left\{h(x)-c_{s}(x)-2 m K_{0}(Q x)\right\}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{0}$ is a modified Bessel function of the second kind, $Q$ is an arbitrary cutoff Parameter, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}(k)=\hat{c}(k)+2 \hat{c}(k) \hat{h}(k), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{c}_{s}(k)=\hat{c}(k)+\frac{2 m Q^{2}}{k^{2}\left(k^{2}+Q^{2}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h(x)=g(x)-1$. The numerical solution to these equations for $m=3$ is displayed in Figs. 1 and 2. The absence of structure in $g(x)$ beyond $x=4$ reflects the liquid nature of the state. In terms of $g(x)$, the total einergy per electron is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\text {tota }} \equiv \frac{\langle m| H|m\rangle}{\langle m \mid m\rangle} / N-\frac{1}{2} K \omega_{c}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 m}} f^{\infty} h(x) d x \text {, } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$




Figure 1: $c_{s}(x)$ versus $x$ for $m=3$ and $Q=2$

Figure 2: $g(x)$ versus $x$ for $m=3$

Figure 3: Cohesive energy per electron in units of $e^{2} / a$ versus filling factor $v=1 / \mathrm{m}$. Top curve is charge density wave value from [3]. Bottom curve is (13).
in urits of $e^{2} / a . N$ is the number of electroins. We have fit a sequence of such calculations to the semiemperical formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\text {total }}(m)=\frac{0.814}{\sqrt{m}}\left(\frac{0.23}{m^{0.64}}-1\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cohesive energy per electron, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\text {coh }}=U_{\text {total }}-\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} \frac{1}{m}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compared with that calculated by YOSHIOKA and FUKUYAMA [3] for a charge density wave in Fig. 3. The normalization integral $<\mathrm{m} \mid \mathrm{m}>$ is the plasma particion function, and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} \ln (<m \mid m>)=m N & \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln (2 m i N)-\frac{3}{4}\right)+\operatorname{lin}(2 m N)-\frac{m}{2} \ln (2 m) \\
& -2 m f(2 m)+0\left[\frac{\ln (N)}{N}\right], \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is a slowly varying function of order 1 fit from monte carlo experiments [4] to the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\Gamma)=A+\frac{B}{\Gamma^{\alpha}}+\frac{C}{\Gamma^{\gamma}}+\frac{D}{\Gamma}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Gamma=2 m$, valid in the range of interest. The parameters are listed in Table 1. The function $f$ is the excess free energy of the plasma, while the remaining terms are "eiectrostatic" in nature, except for $\ln (2 \mathrm{mN})$, which is just the log of the volume.

Table 1

| $A=-0.3755$ | $D=-1.2862$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $B=1.6922$ | $\alpha=0.74$ |
| $C=0.1494$ | $\gamma=1.70$ |

## 3 Quasiparticles

The elementary excitations of $\psi_{m}$ are made with a thought experiment in which the exact ground state is pierced at location $z_{0}$ with an infinitely thin magnetic solenoid through which is passed adiabatically a flux quantum hc/e. The solenoid may then be removed by a gauge transformation, leaving behind an exact excited state of the many-body Hamiltonian. Operators which approximate the effect of this procedure are

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{z_{0}}=\frac{\pi}{i}\left(a_{i}^{\dagger}-z_{0}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its hermitean adjoint $S_{Z_{0}}^{\dagger}$, where $a_{j}$ is the ladder operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=\frac{x_{j}+i y_{j}}{2}+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

That they do so may be seen from the fact that the thought experiment maps the single-body states (3) in the manner $|n\rangle \rightarrow|n \pm 1\rangle$, whereas

$$
\begin{equation*}
a|n\rangle=\sqrt{2 n}|n-1\rangle \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a^{\dagger}|n>=\sqrt{2(n+1)}| n+1\right\rangle \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator a annihilates $|0\rangle$, consistent with the thought experiment's mapping it to the next Landau level. Note that $S_{z_{0}}$ and $S_{z}{ }_{z}$ are exact for noninteracting electrons when they are described by $z_{0} \ldots \ldots z_{0}$ a single Slater determinant of the single-body functions |n>.

We calculate quasiparticle properties with the hypernetted chain. For the quasihole wavefunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{z_{0}} \left\lvert\, m \gg \psi_{m}^{+z_{0}}=e^{-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell}\left|z_{\ell}\right|^{2}}{\underset{i}{i}\left(z_{i}-z_{0}\right)}_{\prod_{j<k}\left(z_{j}-z_{k}\right)^{m}}\right. \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

we write $\left|\psi_{m}^{+Z} 0\right|^{2}=e^{-\beta \Phi^{\prime}}$, with $\beta=1 / \mathrm{m}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}=\Phi-2 m \sum_{i} \ln \left|z_{i}-z_{0}\right| \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a plasma with two components, $N$ particles of "charge" $m$ and one particle of "charge" 1. The two-component hypernetted chain equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j}(x)=\exp \left\{-\beta v_{i j}(x)+h_{i j}(x)-c_{i j}(x)\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}_{i j}(k)=\hat{c}_{i j}(k)+2 \sum_{\ell} \hat{h}_{i \ell}(k) \rho_{\ell} \hat{c}_{\ell j}(k) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where tine indices run over the two kinds of particle. With $x$ defined as before, the densities are $\dot{\rho}_{1}=1$ and $\rho_{2}=1 / \mathrm{N}$. To solve the problem, we do perturbation theory in $\rho_{2}$ : The zero-order solution to $g_{11}$ is given by (9) tirough (11). For $g_{12}(x)^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}_{12}(k)=\left\{1+2 \hat{h}_{11}(k)\right\} \hat{c}_{12}(k) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{c}_{12}(k)=\hat{c}_{12}(k)+\frac{2 Q^{2}}{k^{2}\left(k^{2}+Q^{2}\right)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{12}(x)=\exp \left\{h_{12}(x)-c_{12}(x)-2 K_{0}(Q x)\right\} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerical solution of these equations for $m=3$ is shown in Figs. 4 and 5. Note that the divergence of (26) as $k \rightarrow 0$ requires the total excess charge accumulated around $z_{0}$ to be exactly $-1 / \mathrm{m}$ of an electron. Using $g_{1}(x)$, we construct the change to $g_{11}(x)$ resulting from the presence of the quasihole.



Figure 5: $g_{12}(x)$ versus $x$ for $m=3$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \hat{h}_{11}(k) \simeq\left\{1+2 \hat{h}_{11}(k)\right\}^{2} \delta \hat{c}_{11}(k)+\frac{2}{N} \hat{h}_{12}(k), \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta c_{11}(x)=\left(\frac{h_{11}(x)}{1+h_{11}^{(x)}}\right) \delta h_{11}(x) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution $N \delta h_{1}(x)$ to these equations for $m=3$ is plotted in Fig. 6. The energy to make a quasihole can be calculated from it in the manner

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\text {Quasihole }}=\frac{N}{\sqrt{2 m}} f^{\infty} \sin _{11}(x) d x, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

in units of $e^{2} / a_{0}$. We obtain 0.026 , which is considerably lower than the "Debye" estimate of 0.062 .

A similar procedure may be used for the quasielectron. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{z_{0}}^{\dagger} \left\lvert\, m>\equiv \psi_{m}^{-z_{0}}=e^{-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell}\left|z_{\ell}\right|^{2}} \underset{i}{\pi\left(2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}-z_{0}^{\star}\right)} \underset{j<k}{\pi\left(z_{j}-z_{k}\right)^{m}}\right. \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Normalizing this wavefunction and calculating its charge density involve integrating over spatial variables, which allows us to integrate by parts and then consider a situation similar to (21) and (22) but with [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Phi}^{\prime}=\Phi-2 m \sum_{i} \ln \left\{\left|z_{i}-z_{0}\right|^{2}-2\right\} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$




For this problem, we obtain an "integrated by parts" $\tilde{g}_{12}(x)$ and $\tilde{c}_{12}(x)$
satisfying (25) and (26), but with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}_{12}(x)=\left(\frac{x^{2}-2}{x^{2}}\right) \exp \left\{\tilde{h}_{12}(x)-\tilde{c}_{12}(x)-2 K_{0}(Q x)\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerical solution of these equations with $\mathrm{m}=3$ is shown in Figs. 7 and 8. As with the quasinole, the Ornstein-Zernicke relation (25) forces the total charge accumulated around $z_{0}$ to be $-1 / m$ electrons. However, the dictual $g_{12}(x)$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{12}(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)+2 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 m x^{2}+2\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{g}_{12}(x)}{2 m x^{2}-2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$




Figure 7: $\quad \tilde{c}_{12}(x)$ versus $x$ for

Figure 8: $\quad \tilde{g}_{12}(x)$ varsus $x$ for $m=3$
correctly accumulates $+1 / m$ of an electron. $g_{12}(x)$ is shown in Fig. 9. To calculate the quasielectron creation energy, we employ the somewhat uncontrolled approximation of assuming the existence of a "pseudopotential" which when used as $v_{12}(x)$ in (23) and (24) reproduces $g_{12}(x)$. To the extent such a potential is physical, we can calculate $\delta h_{1}(x)$ using (28) and (29), and then calculate the quasielectron creation energy using (30). In Fig. 10, we show the $\delta h_{1}(x)$ obtained using this procedure. Note the similarity to Fig. 6 . The quastelectron creation energy we obtain using this $\delta h_{11}(x)$ is 0.030 in units of $e^{2} / a_{0}$.



Figure 9: $g_{12}(x)$ versus $x$ for quasielectron at $m=3$

Figure 10: $\delta h_{11}(x)$ versus $x$ for quasielectron at $m=3$

Operators $S_{k}$ and $S_{1}^{\dagger}$ creating a quasiparticle in an angular momentum state analogous to the sing ${ }^{\text {ine-body state } \mid n>~ i n ~(3) ~ a r e ~ t h e ~ e l e m e n t a r y ~ s y m m e t r i c ~}$ polynomials [5], defined by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{z_{0}}=\sum_{k} s_{k} z_{0}^{k} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have explicitly

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{0}=z_{1} z_{2} z_{3} \cdots z_{N}  \tag{36}\\
S_{1}=-\sum_{j} z_{1} z_{2} \ldots \hat{z}_{j} \ldots z_{N}  \tag{37}\\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
S_{N-1}=(-1)^{N-1}\left(z_{1}+\ldots+z_{N}\right) \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\hat{z}_{\text {j }}$ means omit this factor from the product. When $m=7$, the state $S_{k} \mid m$. is a full Landau level, but for a hole in $\mid k>$, that is, a hole with orbit radius $\sqrt{2 k+2}$. We now show that the quasiparticle behaves kinematically as though it has charge em: the orbit radius of $S_{k} \mid m>$ or $S_{k}^{\dagger} \mid m>$ is exactly $\sqrt{2 m k+2}$.

We first observe that since there are no thermodynamic forces on plasma particles, provided they feel the neutralizing background potential, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<m\left|S_{z_{0}}^{\dagger} S_{z_{0}}\right| m>=e^{\frac{1}{2 m}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}<m\left|S_{0}^{\dagger} S_{0}\right| m> \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle m| S_{z_{0}}^{\dagger} S_{0}\left|>=\sum_{k, k^{\prime}}\left(z_{0}^{*}\right)^{k^{\prime}}\left(z_{0}\right)^{k}<m\right| S_{k^{\prime}}^{\dagger} S_{k} \mid m> \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
<m\left|S_{k^{\prime}}^{\dagger} S_{k}\right| m>=\frac{\delta^{\delta} k k^{\prime}}{(2 m)^{k} k!}<m\left|S_{0}^{\dagger} S_{0}\right| m> \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for the adjoint. We next observe that from translational invariance of the plasma, matrix elements of the charge density operator $\rho(z)$ may be computed from the relation

$$
\langle m| S_{z_{0}}^{\dagger} \rho(z) S_{z_{0}}\left|m>=\sum_{k, k^{\prime}}\left(z_{0}^{*}\right)^{k^{\prime}}\left(z_{0}\right)^{k}<m\right| S_{k^{\prime} \rho(z) S_{k} \mid m>} \mid m
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\langle m| S_{0}^{\dagger} S_{0} \mid m>}{2 \pi m} e^{\frac{1}{2 m}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{ }_{g_{12}}\left(\left|z-z_{0}\right|\right) . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{\langle m| S_{k}^{\dagger} \rho(z) S_{k} \mid m>}{\langle m| S_{k}^{\dagger} S_{k} \mid m>}=\frac{1}{2 \pi m}\left(1+\frac{(2 m)^{k}}{k!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0}^{*}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0}}\right)^{k}\left\{e^{\frac{1}{2 m}| |^{2}}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.x h_{12}\left(\left|z-z_{0}\right|\right)\right\}\left.\right|_{z_{0}=0}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{12}(x)$ is short-ranged, the charge density is $(2 \pi m)^{-1}$ almost everywhere. Also, 12 since from the charge-neutrality sum rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi m} \int h_{12}(|z|) d^{2} z=-\frac{1}{m} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(\frac{<m\left|S_{k}^{\dagger} \rho(z) S_{k}\right| m>}{<m\left|S_{k}^{\dagger} S_{k}\right| m>}-\frac{1}{2 \pi m}\right) d^{2} z=-\frac{1}{m} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the constant-screening sum rule [2]

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi m} \int h_{12}(|z|)|z|^{2} d^{2} z=-\frac{2}{m}
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left(\frac{\langle m| S_{k^{\rho}}^{\dagger}(z) S_{k} \mid m>}{\langle m| S_{k}^{\dagger} S_{k} \mid m>}-\frac{1}{2 \pi m}\right)|z|^{2} d^{2} z \\
& =-\frac{2}{m}+\frac{1}{2 \pi m}\left(\left.\frac{(2 m)^{k}}{k!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0}^{*}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0}}\right)^{k}\left\{e^{\frac{1}{2 m}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right\}\right|_{z_{0}=0}\right. \\
& =-\frac{1}{m}(2(k m+1)) .
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly for quasielectrons.
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