# The Radiolysis of Methanol: Product Yields, Rate Constants, and Spectroscopic Parameters of Intermediates

#### J. H. Baxendale

Chemistry Department University of Manchester, Manchester, U.K.

and

Peter Wardman

Gray Laboratory, Mount Vernon Hospital, Northwood, Middlesex, U.K.



#### Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Baxendale, J. H., 1917 — The radiolysis of methanol.

(NSRDS-NBS 54)
Supt. of Docs. no.: C13.48.54
1. Radiation chemistry. 2. Methanol.
I. Wardman, Peter, joint author. II. Title. III. Series:
United States. National Bureau of Standards. National standard reference data series, NSRDS-NBS 54.
QC100.U573 no. 54 (QD651.M4) 389'.6'08s (547'.031)
74-19001

#### NSRDS-NBS 54

Nat. Stand. Ref. Data Ser., Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), 54, 26 pages (Apr. 1975) CODEN: NSRDAP

(C) 1975 by the Secretary of Commerce on Behalf of the United States Government

### U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON: 1975

#### Foreword

The National Standard Reference Data System provides access to the quantitative data of physical science, critically evaluated and compiled for convenience and readily accessible through a variety of distribution channels. The System was established in 1963 by action of the President's Office of Science and Technology and the Federal Council for Science and Technology, and responsibility to administer it was assigned to the National Bureau of Standards.

NSRDS receives advice and planning assistance from a Review Committee of the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences-National Academy of Engineering. A number of Advisory Panels, each concerned with a single technical area, meet regularly to examine major portions of the program, assign relative priorities, and identify specific key problems in need of further attention. For selected specific topics, the Advisory Panels sponsor subpanels which make detailed studies of users' needs, the present state of knowledge, and existing data resources as a basis for recommending one or more data compilation activities. This assembly of advisory services contributes greatly to the guidance of NSRDS activities.

The System now includes a complex of data centers and other activities in academic institutions and other laboratories. Components of the NSRDS produce compilations of critically evaluated data, reviews of the state of quantitative knowledge in specialized areas, and computations of useful functions derived from standard reference data. The centers and projects also establish criteria for evaluation and compilation of data and recommend improvements in experimental techniques. They are normally associated with research in the relevant field.

The technical scope of NSRDS is indicated by the categories of projects active or being planned: nuclear properties, atomic and molecular properties, solid state properties, thermodynamic and transport properties, chemical kinetics, and colloid and surface properties.

Reliable data on the properties of matter and materials is a major foundation of scientific and technical progress. Such important activities as basic scientific research, industrial quality control, development of new materials for building and other technologies, measuring and correcting environmental pollution depend on quality reference data. In NSRDS, the Bureau's responsibility to support American science, industry, and commerce is vitally fulfilled.

RICHARD W. ROBERTS, Director

#### Preface

This report is one of a series of data publications on radiation chemistry; the aim of the series is to compile, evaluate, and present the numerical results on processes occurring in systems which have been subjected to ionizing radiation. Various kinds of data are important in radiation chemistry. The quantities which were measured first were the observed radiation yields or G values (molecules formed or destroyed per 100 eV). Various indirect methods based on G values have been used to determine yields of transient species and relative rates of reactions. The spectral properties (optical, electron spin resonance) of transients have provided a direct method for their identification, and rates of the very fast reactions of transients which occur in irradiated systems have been measured directly by spectroscopic methods. Conductivity and luminescence methods have also provided a means of measuring properties of transients and their kinetics. Some reactions which occur in irradiated systems have also been studied by other methods, such as photochemistry, electric discharge, ultrasonics, chemical initiation, electron impact, etc. The emphasis in these publications is on the data of radiation chemistry, but where other pertinent data exist, they are included.

The data of radiation chemistry are voluminous; thousands of systems have been investigated. As a result there are certain collections, e.g. rate constants of particular types of reactions or certain properties of transients, for which tabulations of the data are considered essential, but for which critical assessment of each value is impossible. On the other hand, certain systems and properties have been studied so extensively that critical examination of these data is desirable and timely. Authors of this series of data publications have been asked to evaluate the extent to which the data can be critically assessed, to describe their criteria for evaluation, and to designate preferred values whenever possible.

#### **Contents**

		Page
	luction	1
1. Ga	s phase radiolysis of methanol	1
1.1	. Final products	1
	Primary products	1
1.3	B. Dosimetry	2
	quid phase radiolysis of methanol	2
2.1	. Final products	2
2.2	Primary products	2
2.3	Reaction of the solvated electron with methanol	3
	Determination of the yields of free and geminate ions	3
	diolysis of solid methanol	12
	action rate parameters	15
	ectroscopic parameters	20
	. Optical absorption of trapped electrons in methanol glass	20
	2. Optical absorption of solvated electrons in methanol liquid	2
5.3	3. Optical absorption of CH <sub>2</sub> OH and CH <sub>3</sub> O in methanol	2
	Electron spin resonance parameters for $e_7$ and $\dot{\text{CH}}_2\text{OH}$ in irradiated solid methanol	2
	ences.	. 2
	List of Tables	
1.	Methanol vapor: Product yields	Pa
	Liquid methanol: Product yields	
2.1.2.	Isotopic composition of hydrogen from methanol deuterated in the methyl or hydroxyl	
0.0	positions.	1
2.2.	Liquid methanol: Primary yields	1 1
3.	Solid methanol: Product yields	1
4.1.	Hydrogen atom reaction rates in methanol vapor	1
4.2.	Hydrogen atom reaction rates in methanol liquid	_
4.3.	Solvated electron reaction rates in methanol: Relative rates	1
4.4.	Solvated electron reaction rates in methanol by pulse radiolysis	. 1
4.5.	Solvated electron reaction rates in methanol: Recommended values	]
4.6.	Rate of disappearance of solvated and trapped electrons in methanol	]
4.7.	Methyl radical reactions in methanol vapor or solid	]
4.8.	Rates of reactions of other transients in methanol liquid	]
5.1.	Spectroscopic parameters of $e_t^-$ in CH <sub>3</sub> OH glass	2
5.2.	Spectroscopic parameters of e- in liquid methanol	2

## The Radiolysis of Methanol: Product Yields, Rate Constants, and Spectroscopic Parameters of Intermediates\*

#### J. H. Baxendale

Chemistry Department, University of Manchester, Manchester, U.K.

#### Peter Wardman

Gray Laboratory, Mount Vernon Hospital, Northwood, Middlesex, U.K.

Product yields and primary yields in the radiolysis of methanol in the solid, liquid and vapor phase have been compiled and reviewed. Preferred values for G of the major products in the vapor and liquid states are listed. Rates of reactions of solvated and trapped electrons and other transient ions and radicals, and optical absorption and esr parameters for  $e_s^-$ ,  $e_r^-$ ,  $CH_2OH$  and  $CH_3O$  are also included.

Key words: Chemical kinetics; data compilation; methanol; radiation chemistry; rates; review.

#### Introduction

The presentation and evaluation of the numerical data summarized in this review attempts to bring together much of the published quantitative information concerning the radiation chemistry of methanol. Discussion of the mechanism of radiolysis is restricted only to explanation of the data. For further discussion of mechanistic detail see [690736, 690391 and 700239].

Reference to all the early work on the stable product yields from irradiated methanol has been included (even though more recent and more reliable data are often available), because the early references may contain qualitative information concerning the effects of additives which is not tabulated here but which may be of interest to the reader. However, some attempt to be critical in the selection of numerical values has been made. For example, since the reaction of the solvated electron with methanol is clearly no faster than observed in [710101], reference to earlier investiga-

The uncertainties listed in the tables of product yields and most of the rate parameters are those given in the original work. In many cases they are simply the reproducibility of the experiments and the true uncertainty may be much larger.

#### 1. Gas Phase Radiolysis of Methanol

#### 1.1. Final Products

At room temperature and low doses of  $^{60}$ Co  $\gamma$ -irradiation, the final products are mainly hydrogen, formaldehyde, ethylene glycol, carbon monoxide and methane.  $G(H_2)^2$  seems to increase at higher temperatures but this effect is offset at higher pressures, an observation attributed to ion-molecule clusters [670071].

#### 1.2. Primary Products

At the time of reporting, few values for the unscavengeable hydrogen and formaldehyde, and for hydrogen atoms and electrons are available. The latter give reasonable values for  $W^3$  in methanol (see

tions (especially indirect estimates from the effects of nitrous oxide) do nothing but confuse the reader and are omitted.

<sup>\*</sup>This is a data review prepared for, and in cooperation with the Radiation Chemistry Data Center of the Radiation Laboratory, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556. The Laboratory is operated under contract with the Atomic Energy Commission. The work of the Center is supported in part by the National Bureau of Standards, Office of Standard Reference Data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The coding of the Radiation Chemistry Data Center is used for references through out this survey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The symbol G denotes yield of product per 100 eV (1.602 × 10<sup>-17</sup> J) energy absorbed. <sup>3</sup>The symbol W denotes the number of ion pairs produced per 100 eV energy absorbed.

[720441]), but confirmation and extension of such observations are required before reliable conclusions concerning the nature and yields of the primary products can be made.

#### 1.3. Dosimetry

In comparing product yields from different investigations, note should be taken of the assumptions used in dosimetry, e.g.  $G(N_2)$  from irradiated nitrous oxide.

Table 1. Methanol vapor: Product yields (molec. (100 eV) $^{-1}$ )

Ref.	Preferred				T			
Product	values	610021	630063	640154	650432	670071	670205	701047 k
H <sub>2</sub> a	11.0±0.5	10.4	11.8±0.3	10±2	$11.2 \pm 0.6$	10 to 22	$10.84 \pm 0.07$	11.1
$(CH_2OH)_2$	3.4	3.1			·			
CH <sub>2</sub> O		5.6						
CH <sub>4</sub>	$0.38 \pm 0.05$		]	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.35 \pm 0.05$	0.7 to 1.5	$0.42 \pm 0.01^{\text{ j}}$	0.42
CO	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	0.84		$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$1.18 \pm 0.06$		1.41 ± 0.07 <sup>j</sup>	1.25
$G(-CH_3OH)^b$		12.9	1				-	
e-	$4.5 \pm 0.7$	1		$4.1 \pm 0.7^{h}$				4.0
		8.65 f						(with SF <sub>6</sub> )
H	$5.4 \pm 0.2$	J		$4.9 \pm 0.2^{h}$			8.48±	5.1
							0.15 g. j	(with C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> )
Unscavengeable	$2.3 \pm 0.2$	1.75 <sup>f</sup>		1.9 g	$2.1 \pm 0.1^{i}$		2.36 ±	2.0
$H_2$							0.05 g.i	$(SF_6, C_3H_6)$
Unscavengeable		2.25 f						· ·
CH₂O				. 1	,i			• •
Radiation <sup>c</sup>	γ	γ	γ	electrons	γ .	γ	γ	γ
<b>~</b> 4				(260 keV)				
Dose d	5 to 50	5 to 50	5	0.4 to 5	3 to 90	350	< 800	30 to 600
(1018 eV g <sup>-1</sup> )	000 04					_		
Dose rate	0.02 to 0.4	0.017 and	0.08	5×108	0.4	7	9	2.3 to 3.5
(10 <sup>15</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		0.17						
T(K)	295	295	389	314	293	323 to 523	room	$290 \pm 2$
Pressure (Torr) e	~ 100	80	300	200	87	1900		80
Dosimetry	N <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> O		N <sub>2</sub> O
** *	$G(N_2) = 10.6$	$G(N_2) = 9.68$	$G(N_2) = 11.0$	$\underline{G(N_2)} = 9.68$	$G(N_2) = 9.68$	$G(N_2) = 10.6$		$G(N_2) = 9.7$
Vessel	]	5 dm <sup>3</sup>	5 dm <sup>3</sup>	3 dm³	0.5 dm <sup>3</sup>	0.13 dm <sup>3</sup>		0.25 dm <sup>3</sup>
	]	soft glass	soft glass	Pyrex	Pyrex	Pyrex		Pyrex

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See table 2.1.2 for isotopic composition of hydrogen from deuterated methanols.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Calculated as  $2G(glycol) + G(CH_4) + G(CH_2O) + G(CO)$ .

c All y-irradiations use 60 Co sources.

 $<sup>^</sup>d$  Doses are approximate; 1 eV g  $^{-1}=1.602\times 10^{-16}$  J kg  $^{-1};$  where the yields are dose dependent, extrapolation to zero dose is normally made.

 $<sup>^{</sup>e}$  1 Torr = 133.3 Pa (N m<sup>-2</sup>).

With benzene.

g With propylene.

h With CH<sub>3</sub>Br or CCl<sub>4</sub>.

<sup>1</sup> With C2H4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Measured yields were per ion pair, and were converted to G values by the authors using W = 27.7 ion pairs (100 eV)<sup>-1</sup>; for a recent value of W see [720441].

k [701014] is a preliminary report.

## 2. Liquid Phase Radiolysis of Methanol

#### 2.1. Final Products

As in the gas phase, the final products of radiolysis are almost entirely made up of hydrogen, ethylene gylcol, carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, and methane for irradiation at room temperature with low doses of  $^{60}$ Co  $\gamma$  radiation. The yields in general decrease at lower temperatures [610015, 650507, 680189, 680397, 680407, 680610]. Small amounts of other products have also been reported [540005, 610020, 640121, 650507].

Low values of  $G(H_2)$  are generally attributable to the presence of electron scavengers as impurities, scavenger concentrations as low as a few micromolar 4 being sufficient to reduce  $G(H_2)$  by several tenths of a G-unit. This effect was originally used [610015] to demonstrate the reactivity of the electron as a precursor of hydrogen. The removal of carbon dioxide by the degassing procedure is of prime importance [700947] because of the high solubility in methanol; the inadequate removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from the sample may cause difficulties even in the solid phase [690456]. In general, under similar conditions of dose, temperature and additive, the higher G values are to be preferred. Variations in other yields probably arise from errors in the methods of analysis.

There is little effect of dose or dose rate on the yields from  $^{60}$ Co  $\gamma$  irradiations. Recoil nuclei from the  $^{10}$ B(n,  $\alpha$ )<sup>7</sup>Li reaction give similar values of  $G(H_2)$  to  $\gamma$ -radiolysis, but give increased  $CH_2O$  and CO yields with a much reduced glycol yield. Interpretation of data from the recoil nuclei irradiations is complicated by the need to include ca. 0.1  $M^4$  trimethyl borate in all the samples, but the gross effects of the different linear energy transfer of the radiation are apparent from the tables.

The addition of acid increases  $G(H_2)$  and  $G(CH_2O)$  except at the lower concentrations but leaves the CO,  $CH_4$  and glycol yields unchanged. An increase in hydrogen yield in the presence of small amounts of acid is often reported, but this effect arises from competition between the acid and impurities for the solvated electrons. Hydrogen yields as a

function of pressure (including the effects of acid) have been reported [730292].

#### 2.2. Primary Products

The term "primary product" is applied to those species (ions, radicals, or atoms) which are precursors of the final products. The presence of precursors is usually established by the use of scavengers which affect the yields of the final products. However, conclusions as to their nature frequently require the assumption of a reaction mechanism which cannot be proven and only rarely can it be established that the precursor is truly a "primary product".

A demonstration of this uncertainty is provided by the data in [610015], which were analyzed to show the presence of two precursors of hydrogen. The more reactive precursor was correctly identified as the electron, and later direct observations of the solvated electron permit us to assign the readily scavengeable hydrogen to those electrons which escape the Coulombic field of the positive ions and are "free". The less reactive precursor of hydrogen was thought to be the hydrogen atom, but the analysis of the data cannot indicate the source of these atoms. It is impossible to say what fraction of these hydrogen atoms arise from the neutralization of electrons which do not diffuse a sufficient distance to become "free" but rather return to positive ions in the spur, and hydrogen atoms arising from the decomposition of excited molecules.

It is apparent that many atoms or radicals often described as primary products are in fact secondary products arising from the true primary ions or electronically excited molecules. Thus, "primary product" is rather an indefinite term in the present state of knowledge. The same uncertainty attaches to the numerical values of "primary" product yields, which again require the assumption of a reaction mechanism or model. It is important to realize in using tables 1 to 3 that a "primary" product may itself have a precursor.

A further complication arises because in general, scavenging kinetics depend on the concentration of scavenger used. At low concentrations, usually less than about  $10^{-3} M$ , the kinetics are usually in accord with the assumption that the species scavenged are homogeneously distributed throughout the medium. At higher concentrations, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Concentrations of additives are generally expressed in molar units throughout. 1 M=1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>; 1 m $M=10^{-3}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>. Second order rate constants are also expressed in units of  $M^{-1}s^{-1}=$ dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>.

scavenger may react with species in high local concentrations ("spurs"), i.e. the reacting species are not homogeneously distributed. Simple kinetic treatment of the scavenging efficiency is no longer possible, and models accounting for the non-homogeneous distribution of radicals must contain an element of empiricism to a greater or lesser extent. It is seldom possible to establish experimentally that the total amount of primary product has been scavenged and recourse must be made to a model to calculate such yields. Values for the yields of primary products which are scavenged in the spurs are therefore somewhat empirical.

Although CH<sub>2</sub>OH has been reported as a scavengable primary product, it is important to realize that much of the so-called "primary" yield arises from secondary abstraction by H atoms or by the CH<sub>3</sub>O· radical, the latter being expected to be produced in significant yield in irradiated methanol [690456] and identified from its oxidizing properties [690045, 720115, 720122].<sup>5</sup>

These difficulties in assigning the true yields of the primary species in irradiated methanol unfortunately result in some confusion in interpreting the tables of primary yields. Because of the lack of a detailed understanding of many of the processes involved, a more rigorous nomenclature is not yet possible.

## 2.3. Reaction of the Solvated Electron with Methanol

Electrons which escape the Coulombic field of positive ions in the spur are observed to decay by first-order kinetics in either liquid [710101] or solid [675117] methanol. Although the decay is assumed to arise from reaction of  $e_s^-$  or  $e_t^-$  with methanol, it is not known whether the reaction is a simple bimolecular dissociative electron capture such as:

$$CH_3OH + e_s^- \rightarrow CH_3O^- + H.$$

Accordingly in table 4.6 it is listed as a first-order decomposition. In the literature, several estimates have been made of the rate constant for this reaction by applying simple competition kinetics to the effects of scavengers on the hydrogen yield or to the nitrogen yield from solutions of nitrous oxide.

This indirect method has been superceded by direct observation of  $e_s^-$  by pulse radiolysis, and the earlier approaches are now only of interest as a guide to the purity of the alcohol and reference to indirect estimates is omitted.

Experience with the analogous reaction in water showed that the early estimates of the rate of the decomposition of the solvated electron in water proved to be orders of magnitude too high. Further, it was only because considerable information was available concerning reactions of  $e_{\overline{aq}}$  with other radicals that confident estimates of the rate of decomposition of  $e_{\overline{aq}}$  could be made. It is clear that reliable measurements of the decomposition of  $e_{\overline{s}}$  in alcohols can only be made by direct observation by pulse radiolysis, and that the lowest rate measured supercedes all higher values. In the present state of knowledge, this must only be an upper limit.

Only one study of the decomposition of  $e_{\rm s}^-$  is listed in table 4.6. Longer lifetimes may be possible at high concentrations of alkali, but the structure of the solvent may be sufficiently changed that the rate constant may not be applicable to the pure solvent. There seems little doubt that further work will supercede the values listed in table 4.6, although the similar activation energies observed in the liquid and solid phases (the latter for the case with  $K^+$  as the counter-ion) is noteworthy.

#### 2.4. Determination of $G(e_{-})_{fi}$ and $G(e_{-})_{gi}$

We have already distinguished two kinds of ions: the "free ions",  $(e_s^-)_{\rm fi}$  which have diffused sufficiently from their geminate cations to be regarded as homogeneous and decaying by first-order kinetics at low dose rates, and the "geminate ions",  $(e_s^-)_{\rm gi}$  which, if left, would recombine with their geminate cations. The yields of these species have been the subject of several investigations, but it seems premature to recommend values of these important parameters.

The difficulty of the non-homogeneous distribution of the geminate ions has already been noted, but even the application of simple competition kinetics to the scavenging of the free ions is not without objection if only stable products are measured. Further information concerning the lifetime both of the free and geminate ions under the conditions used is necessary.

<sup>5</sup> Confirmation that the oxidizing radical is indeed CH<sub>3</sub>O has been provided by ESR using the spin trapping technique [725141].

Thus if, under the conditions being studied, the half-life of the free ions is (typically) 2  $\mu$ s, then for 98% scavenging of the free ions a scavenger with concentration [S] is required such that  $(k(e_s^-+S)\cdot[S])=2\times10^7 s^{-1}$  and the first-order half-life of  $e_{s,\mathrm{fi}}^-$  at this [S] is then  $\simeq 3\times10^{-8}$  s. Direct observation of that fraction of the geminate ions which survive longer than ca.  $1\times10^{-8}$  s has established that a yield of  $G\simeq0.4$  of  $e_{s,\mathrm{gi}}^-$  survive longer than  $10^{-8}$  s but have all decayed by  $2\times10^{-7}$  s.<sup>6</sup> Thus the concentration region in which a scavenger will react with essentially all the free ions but remove a negligible fraction of the geminate ions is quite narrow.

Application of empirical models to scavenging of the geminate ions must consider the often neglected possibility that at high scavenger concentrations the electrons may be scavenged prior to the formation of the ionic atmosphere, when the electron mobility may be orders of magnitude greater than  $e_s^-$ . Such scavenging of "dry" electrons has been conclusively demonstrated [730087].

Direct observation of aromatic anions produced by electron attachment [650499, 700360] in principle provides a satisfactory method of determining  $G(e_s^-)_{\rm fi}$ . The absence of an increase in  $G({\rm diphenyl}^-)$  at high concentrations of diphenyl is attributed to spur neutralization of diphenyl at times less than  $10^{-6}$  s. Measurement of the yield of a scavenger anion of uncertain extinction coefficient in polar solvents, with unknown rate constant for electron capture and at a single concentration of scavenger [700360] is quite insufficient to estimate  $G(e_s^-)_{\rm fi}$ , and much further work is required.

The products from electron capture by  $N_2O$ ,  $CH_3Br$ , or  $SF_6$  appear a simple route to estimating scavengeable electron yields, but the rate constants of  $e_s^-$  with these solutes have not been measured directly and are known to no better than  $\pm 30\%$  at best. The  $N_2O$  system generally appears to predict  $G(e_s^-)_{\rm fi} \simeq 1.9^{\,7}$ , e.g. [720197], whereas the  $CH_3Br$  or  $SF_6$  systems suggest a value of about 1.05 [700064]. The halide systems suffer from the prob-

lem of buildup of acid at high doses, but this objection can readily be tested either by calculation or experiment. Unfortunately the doses used were not reported. The marked increase in G(CH<sub>2</sub>O) at high concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>O [700947] probably results from a secondary reaction (possibly the slow reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O by CH<sub>2</sub>OH radicals). The rate constant ratio for electron capture by N<sub>2</sub>O and a second solute is independent of N<sub>2</sub>O concentration even at high concentrations [670065, 670313] so this complication does not appear to interfere. The nitrous oxide technique seems to be useful as a reasonable guide to electron rate constants even though in principle the use of homogeneous kinetics is often not valid at the concentrations of scavenger used.

 $G(e_s^-)_{fi}$  may in principle be estimated from the application of simple competition kinetics to the decrease in G(H2) on addition of electron scavengers. This approach is no less direct than the nitrous oxide or alkyl halide methods. The values obtained depend upon the extrapolation of  $\Delta G(H_2)$ to infinite concentrations of scavenger. The reliability of the method depends upon the weight given to the values of  $\Delta G(H_2)$  corresponding to high scavenger concentrations, values which for this purpose may be erroneously high due to spur scavenging and/or reaction with hydrogen atoms, both of which may lead to high values of  $G(e_s^-)_{fi}$ . On the other hand, the low concentration values, which are free from this objection, are subject to the greatest experimental uncertainty. Thus workers reporting the same  $G(H_2)$  from pure methanol have estimated  $G(e_s^-)_{fi}$  to be 1.3 [610015] or 1.8 (see [730292]) using this technique, and we can see no reason for preferring either value.

The importance of a reliable estimate of  $G(e_s^-)_{\rm fi}$  is that the ratio of free to geminate ions can be measured directly by pulse radiolysis. It has been shown [710101] that, at least at low temperature, for those electrons which survive long enough to establish the equilibrium ion atmosphere,  $G(e_s^-)_{\rm fi} = G(e_s^-)_{\rm gi}$ . It is now possible to make similar measurements at room temperature [730147].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> J. H. Baxendale and P. Wardman, unpublished work, see [710101].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A value in accord with conductivity measurements [710064, 730042].

Table 2.1.1. Liquid methanol: Product yields (molec. (100 eV)-1)\*

Ref.	Preferred values	530004	540005	550003	580003	580005	590017	590017
$\mathrm{H_2}^{\mathrm{a}}$	$5.4 \pm 0.1$	$5.0\pm0.3$	$3.46 \pm 0.05$	$4.0 \pm 0.3$	4.1	5.39	$4.57 \pm 0.08$	
$(CH_2OH)_2$	$ 3.2 \pm 0.2 $	-	$1.74 \pm 0.04$	$3.0 \pm 0.2$	3.1	3.63	$2.91 \pm 0.11$	$2.96 \pm 0.09^{g}$
CH <sub>2</sub> O	$ 2.0 \pm 0.1 $		$1.67 \pm 0.05$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	2.05	1.84	$4.91 \pm 0.06$	$1.84 \pm 0.12^{g}$
CH₄	$0.43 \pm 0.03$	0.4	0.36	$0.24 \pm 0.06$	1.23	0.54	0.36	}0.36 <sup>g</sup>
CO	$0.13 \pm 0.03$		0.23	$0.16 \pm 0.02$	0.15	0.11	}0.30	}0.30°
Others			See below <sup>e</sup>					
$G(-CH_3OH)^b$	9.0		5.5	7.7	9.6	9.8	8.1	8.1 <sup>g</sup>
Radiation <sup>c</sup>	γ	β (¹4C)	He+ (~28 MeV)	γ	γ	γ	γ	electrons (2 MeV)
Dose <sup>d</sup> (10 <sup>18</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> )		٠.	~ 4000	See below <sup>f</sup>	0.8 to 20	4 to 400	2 to 300	2 to 200
Dose rate (10 <sup>15</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )				. 5	0.05 to 0.1	2	0.4	40 to 80
T(K)	293 to 303	room	289	room	room	room	293 to 298	room
Notes			Very high doses used					See below <sup>g</sup>

TABLE 2.1.1. Liquid methanol: Product yields (molec. (100 eV)<sup>-1</sup>)\*—Continued

Product Ref.	604001	610014	610015	610015	610020	610020	610027
H <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>	5.14±0.02	4.1	5.40	4.15	5.66		~ 5.3
$(CH_2OH)_2$	$1.40 \pm 0.10$	2.43	3.7	4.0		2.10	~3.4
CH <sub>2</sub> O	$3.45 \pm 0.10$	1.41	2.15	0.65		1.0	~1.8
CH₄	$0.67 \pm 0.04$	0.39	0.80	0.45	0.57		~0.4
CO	$0.92 \pm 0.06$	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.45		
Others					C2H6 0.14		
G(-CH <sub>3</sub> OH) <sup>b</sup>	7.8	6.8	10.5	9.2	6.5	,,	
Radiation <sup>c</sup>	recoils <sup>10</sup> B(n, α) <sup>7</sup> Li	γ	γ	γ	γ	X-rays (3 MeV pk.)	γ
Dose <sup>d</sup> (10 <sup>18</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> )	30		0.1 to 0.5	0.1 to 0.5	10	~ 200	600 to 6000
Dose rate (10 <sup>15</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		0.03	0.04 or 0.6	0.04 or 0.6	3.0	40	9
T(K)		293	292	195	299	room	
Notes	See below <sup>h</sup>			•	· ·		Very high doses used

<sup>\*</sup> Footnotes follow the table.

Table 2.1.1. Liquid methanol: Product yields (molec.  $(100 \text{ eV})^{-1})^*$  — Continued

Product Ref.	610029	610029	630056	630056	630056	630060	640121	640121
H <sub>2</sub> a	4.79	9.68	$4.60 \pm 0.17$	$4.99 \pm 0.36$	$4.81 \pm 0.14$	4.99	$4.98 \pm 0.08$	$5.53 \pm 0.16$
(CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub>	2.54	0.77	$2.92 \pm 0.4$	$0.60 \pm 0.09$	$1.95 \pm 0.26$	2.99	$3.23 \pm 0.10$	$0.87 \pm 0.07$
CH <sub>2</sub> O	2.28	$3.6 \pm 0.4$	$2.41 \pm 0.28$	$3.15 \pm 0.38$	$2.00 \pm 0.17$	1.96	2.2	$3.04 \pm 0.02$
CH <sub>4</sub>	0.52	0.88	$0.31 \pm 0.05$	$0.57 \pm 0.14$	$0.32 \pm 0.04$	0.41	$0.43 \pm 0.09$	0.66
CO	0.30	2.98	$0.15 \pm 0.05$	$1.00 \pm 0.13$	$0.15 \pm 0.02$	0.10	0.06	1.0
Others	See below	See belowi					See below <sup>1</sup>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> 0.035
$G(-CH_3OH)^b$	8.2	9.0	8.7	5.9	6.4	8.5	9.2	6.4
Radiation <sup>c</sup>	n, γ (pile)	fission <sup>j</sup> fragments	γ	recoils <sup>10</sup> B(n, α) <sup>7</sup> Li	γ	γ	γ	recoils <sup>10</sup> B(n, α) <sup>7</sup> Li
Dose <sup>d</sup> (10 <sup>18</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> )	600 to 800	500 to 1000	8 to 30	6 to 140	8 to 30	40	2 to 16	
Dose rate	~ 50		4	0.01 to 0.5	4	2.5 to 13	4	0.1 to 0.5
(1015 eV g-1 s-1					1			1
T (K)	373	373	~ 293	ambient	~ 293	room	293	ambient
Notes	1			See below <sup>k</sup>	See below <sup>k</sup>	1		See below <sup>k</sup>

Table 2.1.1. Liquid methanol: Product yields (molec.  $(100 \ eV)^{-1}$ )\* — Continued

Ref.	640166	650230	650501	650507	650507	650773	660013	660259
H <sub>2</sub> a	5.1 to 5.6	$4.24 \pm 0.30$	$5.26 \pm 0.1$	$4.6 \pm 0.2$	3.4 to 6.5	$4.92 \pm 0.03$	5.3	4.32
(CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub>	0.6 to 0.8	$2.72 \pm 0.19$		$5.7 \pm 0.3$	3.2 to 8.4	2.95	3.24	
CH <sub>2</sub> O	3.0 to 3.6	$1.34 \pm 0.07$		$0.57 \pm 0.06$	1.0 to 0.33 <sup>n</sup>	2.2		
CH4	0.58 to 0.64	$0.24 \pm 0.03$		$1.5 \pm 0.1$	0.9 to 2.2		~0.6	
CO	1.0 to 1.1	$0.20 \pm 0.06$		$0.09 \pm 0.01$	0.08 to 0.11		1	
Others				CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>	CH₃OCH₃	1	}	
				0.074	0.10 to 0.055	ļ		
G(-CH <sub>3</sub> OH) <sub>p</sub>	~6.4	7.2		13.6				
Radiation <sup>c</sup>	recoils	γ	γ	γ	γ	. γ	γ	γ
	$^{10}\mathrm{B}(n,\alpha)^7\mathrm{Li}$	•						
Dosed	10 to 20	< 60	0.7	15 to 200	15 to 200		600	10 to 300
(1018eV g-1)				-	1	}	1	
Dose rate	0.01 to 0.5	1.5	0.4	3	3	3	0.35	0.8
$(10^{15}  \mathrm{eV}  \mathrm{g}^{-1}  \mathrm{s}^{-1})$			ŀ					
T(K)		293	room	295	213 to 398°		room	293±5
Notes	See below <sup>k</sup>			See below <sup>m</sup>	See below <sup>m</sup>		1.	1

<sup>\*</sup>Footnotes follow the table

Table 2.1.1. Liquid methanol: Product yields (molec.  $(100 \text{ eV})^{-1})^*$ —Continued

Product Ref.	660260	670018	670030	670044	670313	674005	680140
$H_2^a$	4.5	4.92	4.48	4.4	4.98	5.3 to 6.6	4.89
(CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub>	2.8	3.0		3.1		0.5 to 0.6	2.82
CH <sub>2</sub> O	1.8	2.0		1.5		2.9 to 3.4	
CH <sub>4</sub>		0.35			0.3 to 0.4	0.8 to 1.0	
CO		0.12				0.9 to 1.2	
$G(-CH_3OH)^b$		8.5				6.2	
Radiation <sup>c</sup>	γ	.γ	γ	γ	γ	recoils <sup>10</sup> B(n, α) <sup>7</sup> Li	γ
$Dose^d$		30	0.4 to 60	2 to 30	12	20 to 40	1 to 3
(1018 eV g-1)							
Dose rate (10 15 eVg <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	0.4	3	1.5	1.3	7		
T(K)	298	room		296	room	ambient	
Notes		See below <sup>p</sup>		Values read from graph		See below <sup>q</sup>	

TABLE 2.1.1. Liquid methanol: Product yields (molec. (100 eV)<sup>-1</sup>)\*—Continued

Ref.	680397	680397	680397	680397	680407	680407	680594
H <sub>2</sub> a	4.88	3.17	6.28	5.84	5.30	4.44 to 6.00	4.92
$(CH_2OH)_2$	3.25	0.36	0.49	0.54	3.24	3.82 to 4.65	2.95
CH <sub>2</sub> O	1.44	1.59	2.17	2.01	1.88	1.40 to 2.00	2.15
CH <sub>4</sub>			0.70	0.61	0.46	0.35 to 0.50	
CO CH <sup>3</sup> OH) <sub>p</sub>			1.74 5.6	1.50	~ 8.9	~ 9.5 to 11.9	
Radiation <sup>c</sup>	γ	<sup>4</sup> He <sup>+</sup> (3 MeV)	<sup>20</sup> Ne <sup>+</sup> (22 MeV)	<sup>20</sup> Ne <sup>+</sup> (22 MeV)	γ	γ	γ
Dose <sup>d</sup> (10 <sup>18</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> )	10	10	160 to 180	160 to 180	600	600	
Dose rate (10 <sup>15</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )					5	5	3
T (K) Notes	295 to 298	295 to 298	295 to 298	195	303	195 to 383°	room

<sup>\*</sup>Footnotes follow the table.

TABLE 2.1.1. Liquid methanol: Product yields (molec. (100 eV)<sup>-1</sup>) - Continued

Product Ref.	680610	680610	690089	690146	700947	730292
H₂ <sup>a</sup>	5.4	4.5 to 8.0	4.5	4.92	5.25 ± 0.2	5.45r
$(CH_2OH)_2$			2.8	$2.66 \pm 0.02$	3.2	
CH <sub>2</sub> O			1.8	$2.12 \pm 0.03$	2.0	
CH₄	0.45			0.5	0.43	
CO	0.2				0.13	
G(-CH <sub>3</sub> OH) <sup>b</sup>				8.0	9.0	
Radiation <sup>c</sup>	γ	γ	γ	γ	γ	γ
Dosed	0.6	0.6		0.8 to 1.0	0.4 to 6	2
(10 <sup>18</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> )	İ					
Dose rate	5	5	4	2	1.5	1.7
(1015 eV g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		1				
<b>r</b> (K)	298	176 to 513°	room	296	room	296
Notes		***				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See table 2.1.2 for isotopic composition of hydrogen from deuterated methanols.

TABLE 2.1.2. Isotopic composition of hydrogen from methanola deuterated in the methyl or hydroxyl positions

Reference	Phase	Alcohol	T (K)	% H <sub>2</sub>	% HD	% D <sub>2</sub>	Notes
610021	vapor	CH <sub>3</sub> OD	295	56	44	0.2	
701047	vapor	CD <sub>3</sub> OH	290	31	38	30	Also data with added SF <sub>6</sub> and C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> .
570027	liquid	CH₃OD	room	36	60	4	
630060	liquid	CD <sub>3</sub> OH	room	11	78	11	See below <sup>b</sup>
650507	liquid	CH <sub>3</sub> OD	195	29	68	3	
650507	liquid	CH₃OD	295	34	63	3	
650507	liquid	CH <sub>3</sub> OD	398	33	66	2	
650507	liquid	CD <sub>3</sub> OH	295	15	73	12	·
650507	liguid	CD <sub>3</sub> OH	398	13	75	12	·
680167	liquid	CH₃OD	room	38	59	3	See below <sup>c</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> All samples irradiated with <sup>60</sup>Co-γ-rays.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Calculated as  $2G(glycol) + G(CH_4) + G(CH_2O) + G(CO)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> All γ irradiations use <sup>60</sup>Co source.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Doses are approximate;  $1 \text{ eVg}^{-1} = 1.602 \times 10^{-16} \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ ; where the yields are dose dependent, extrapolation to zero dose is normally made.

 $<sup>^{</sup>e}G(H_{2}O) = 0.93 \pm 0.03$ ;  $G(C_{2}H_{6}) = 0.014 \pm 0.001$ . Note 2 to 3% decomposition of methanol.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Dose ~ (4.5 to 18)×10<sup>18</sup> eV g<sup>-1</sup> to measure gaseous products. Dose ~ (0.4 to 2)×10<sup>21</sup> eV g<sup>-1</sup> to measure liquid products (very high).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> All product yields are normalized assuming  $G(H_2) = 4.57$  under these conditions.

h All solutions contained 0.2 M B(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.

i Data for higher hydrocarbons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>84 MeV recoils. Data for 4 different types of <sup>235</sup>U source.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> All radiolysis samples for recoil nuclei irradiations contain  $\sim 0.1 M$  B(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. The data in column 2 [630056] are for  $\gamma$ -radiolysis of a similar sample.

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup>C_{2}H_{4},\,0.004;\,C_{2}H_{6},\,0.006.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> Glycol and CH<sub>2</sub>O yields clearly in error.

 $<sup>^{</sup>n}$  CH2O found as CH2(OCH3)2 at 398 K.

O Data for several temperatures over this range.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>p</sup> [650187] is a preliminary report of these data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>q</sup> Samples contained 0.24 to 0.27 M B(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Independent of pressure in the range 1 to 5400 bar (10<sup>5</sup> to 5.4×10<sup>6</sup> Pa). Independent of HClO<sub>4</sub> up to 0.5 mM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Also data with added LaCl<sub>3</sub>, KI; also CD<sub>4</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>H = 2.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Also data with added FeCl<sub>3</sub>,  $N_2O$ . Also data for samples after recoil nuclei irradiations ( $^{10}B(n,\alpha)^{7}Li$ ).

Table 2.2. Liquid methanol: Primary yields  $((100 \text{ eV})^{-1})^*$ 

Produc	Ref.	580003	580005	580026	590029	600011	610015
Scavengeable radicals	Total Scavengeable Total e <sup>-</sup> (e <sub>s</sub> -) <sub>ri</sub> (e <sub>s</sub> -) <sub>ri</sub> H· CH <sub>2</sub> OH CH <sub>3</sub> O· CH <sub>3</sub>	6.55 a 3.8 b 2.7 1.0		6.3	6.6	6.8	6.3 ° 1.3 } 2.5
Unscavengeable ('molecular') products	H <sub>2</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O CH <sub>4</sub>	1.7 0.2 2.05 * 0.2 0.15	2.0 0.1 0.36 0.2 ~0.1				1.6 to 1.7 d  0.65 e 0.22 f 0.12
Radiati T(K) Notes	on <sup>h</sup>	γ room	γ room With I <sub>2</sub> (0.04 M)	y room With FeCl <sub>3</sub>	y room With 0.01 M C(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	$\gamma$ room $2 \times G(I_2)$ with ICN	y room

Table 2.2. Liquid methanol: Primary yields ((100 eV)-1)\*-Continued

Produ	Ref.	630060	640121	640121	640155	650499	650501
Scavengeable radicals	Total Scavengeable Total e <sup>-</sup> (e <sub>s</sub> <sup>-</sup> ) <sub>fi</sub> (e <sub>s</sub> <sup>-</sup> ) <sub>si</sub> H · CH <sub>2</sub> OH CH <sub>3</sub> O ·		6.2	3.1	6.26	1.1"	$1.85 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ $1.05 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$
	ĊH <sub>3</sub>		0.25	0.1			
Unscavengeable (molecular) products	H <sub>2</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O	$\sim 0.4^{i,j}$ $\sim 0.1^{i,k}$	1.9	2.4 0.4			1.82ª
Unscar (molecula	CH <sub>4</sub>		0.18 0.09	0.6 0.8			
Radi T (K Note		γ room	γ 293 With O <sub>2</sub> (2 to 3mM)	recoils <sup>1</sup> <sup>10</sup> B(n,\alpha) <sup>7</sup> Li  ambient  With O <sub>2</sub> (2 to 3mM)	γ room With DPPH <sup>m</sup>	electrons ~ 15 MeV room Pulse radiolysis	γ room

\_ \*Footnotes follow the table.

TABLE 2.2. Liquid methanol: Primary yields ((100 eV)-1)\*-Continued

Produ	Ref.	660259	670018	670030	670313	680594	680610
Scavengeable radicals	Total Scavengeable Total e <sup>-</sup> (e <sub>s</sub> ) <sub>n</sub> (e <sub>s</sub> ) <sub>si</sub> H CH <sub>2</sub> OH CH <sub>3</sub> O CH <sub>3</sub>		} 2.0 <sup>r</sup>	1.05	1.1 <sup>t</sup>		$4.6 \\ 2.0 \pm 0.2$
Unscavengeable ('molecular') products	H <sub>z</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O CH <sub>4</sub> CO	1.7		1.4 to 1.9		0.3	
Radia T(K) Notes		y room With pyridine	room See also 650187	room See below <sup>s</sup>	γ̈ room	room With FeCl <sub>3</sub>	176 to 423 See below <sup>u</sup>

TABLE 2.2. Liquid methanol: Primary yields ((100 eV)-1)\*-Continued

Produ	Ref.	690146	700064	700360	700947	710064	720115 <sup>aa</sup>	730042	730292
ven	Total Scavengeable Total $e^ (e_s^-)_{fi}$ $(e_s^-)_{gi}$ H.	$ \begin{vmatrix} 2.02 \\ \pm 0.18 \end{vmatrix} $ $ \pm 0.27 $	4.15 1.05	1.10×	4.9 ~1.3	$2.0 \pm 0.2^{\text{ z}}$		} 1.8±0.2 °c	1.7±0.1 dd
	CH <sub>2</sub> OH CH <sub>3</sub> O CH <sub>3</sub>						2.5 bb		
Unscavengeable ('molecular') products	H <sub>2</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O CH <sub>4</sub> CO	1.81±0.27							
Radia T(K) Notes		γ 296 See below <sup>v</sup>	γ room See below w	electrons room Pulse radiolysis	γ room See below <sup>y</sup>	electrons 298 Pulse radiolysis	electrons room Pulse radiolysis	electrons room Pulse radiolysis	γ 296

<sup>\*</sup>Footnotes follow the table.

- <sup>a</sup> With 1 mM FeCl<sub>3</sub> in neutral CH<sub>3</sub>OH. G(Fe(II)) increased to 7.6 at 0.1 M FeCl<sub>3</sub>.
- <sup>b</sup> In presence of acid to convert all  $e_s^-$  to H.
- <sup>c</sup> With FeCl<sub>3</sub>, benzoquinone, duroquinone (see also 590020).
- <sup>d</sup> With FeCl<sub>3</sub>, benzoquinone, duroquinone, benzene, aromatic polycyclic hydrocarbons.
- e With anthracene, benzene, and naphthalene at 195 K.
- f With FeCl3.
- g With benzene.
- h All y-irradiations use 60 Co source.
- i Read from graph.
- <sup>1</sup> With 0.1 M KI.
- k With 0.5 M LaCl<sub>3</sub>.
- $^{1}$  All radiolysis samples for recoil nuclei irradiations contain  $\sim 0.1~M~B(OCH_3)_3$ .
- <sup>m</sup> 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl.
- <sup>n</sup> G(diphenyl<sup>-</sup>) from solutions of diphenyl. Assumed G(diphenyl<sup>-</sup>)=1.0 in ethanol( $\epsilon_{\text{diphenyl}}$ )=5.8×10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> at 405 nm). Uncertainty ±30%. See discussion.
  - o This conclusion not apparent from results.
  - $^{p}\Delta G(H_{2})$  with 0.1 to 0.5 mM NiCl<sub>2</sub>.
  - q With 1.0 M LiNO3.
  - <sup>r</sup> Total electrons scavenged with  $\sim 5$  mM N<sub>2</sub>O (see discussion).
  - From theoretical treatment of  $G(H_2)$  in presence of  $N_2O$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $I_2$  or  $FeCl_3$ . Low  $G(H_2)$  in absence of solute.
  - <sup>t</sup> From ΔG(H<sub>2</sub>) with 1 to 10 mM N<sub>2</sub>O. Low G(H<sub>2</sub>) in absence of solute.
  - <sup>u</sup> From theoretical treatment of G(H<sub>2</sub>) and G(N<sub>2</sub>) in presence of N<sub>2</sub>O.
  - v From theoretical treatment of G(H2) in presence of O2 and H2SO4.
  - w From theoretical treatment of G(product) in presence of CH3Br or SF6.
  - <sup>x</sup> G(pyrene<sup>-</sup>) in presence of 10 mM pyrene using  $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 4.95 \times 10^4 \, \text{M}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-1}$ . See discussion.
  - <sup>y</sup> From theoretical treatment of  $G(N_2)$  in presence of  $N_2O$ .
  - <sup>2</sup> Total negative free ion yield as measured by conductivity.
  - <sup>aa</sup> [690045] is a preliminary report of these data.
  - <sup>bb</sup> Of which G = 2.0 escapes spurs.
  - <sup>cc</sup> Negative ion yield with 1 to 10 mM benzyl chloride, measured by conductivity.  $G(CH_3O^-) = 0.35 \pm 0.15$ .
  - <sup>dd</sup> From effect of nitrobenzene or acetone on  $G(H_2)$ .  $G(e_s^-)_{fi} = 1.9 \pm 0.1$  at 5.4 kbar (5.4×108 Pa).

#### 3. Radiolysis of solid methanol

Methanol frozen to 77 K may be either polycrystalline (when anhydrous) or glassy (e.g. with 2 to 3% v/v water or propanol as an additive which can be considered inert for most purposes). Depending on the degree of crystallinity, major differences are found in the nature and yields of the species trapped at 77 K or measured after melting. Electrons are trapped only in glassy methanol and the red-purple color of  $e_{\rm t}^-$  can be removed by illumination with visible light (photobleaching), changing the yield of the radicals trapped in the glass or measured after melting.

Differences in yields in the solid (anhydrous) methanol probably reflect differences in macro-

scopic structure, since regions where the alcohol is glassy may be produced by rapid freezing even of anhydrous methanol. This will be especially true of small diameter sample tubes where more rapid cooling is obtained.

In glassy methanol  $G(e_t^-) \approx 2.5$  and an increased yield of  $H_2$  and glycol is observed if the trapped electrons are photobleached prior to melting, when the net decomposition  $G(-CH_3OH)$  is similar to that observed in the liquid. Much lower yields of formaldehyde are observed after irradiation of solid methanol than in the liquid or vapor phase radiolysis.

Table 3. Solid methanol: Product yields (molec. (100 eV) $^{-1}$ )\*

Reference	610014	610020	610020	625003	630220	645001
Polycrystalline or	cryst.	cryst.	cryst.	cryst.	cryst.	glass
glassy?						***
Additive	none	none	none	none	none	H₂O
('inert')		·				5% (v/v ?)
$H_2$	3.84	3.60			1	
(CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub>	3.31		1.77	1	1	
CH₂O	.] 0		0.8			
CH <sub>4</sub>	0.51	1.56				
CO	0.25	0.33				
Others		$C_2H_6$	H <sub>2</sub> O			
		0.10	2.5			
G(- CH <sub>3</sub> OH) a	7.4	6.4	•			
$e_{\mathfrak{t}}^-$						2.2 e
CHOH		· ·				4.5 e
CH₂OH					50.000	6.7 e
Total radicals	<u>:</u>			5.5 °	5.0 ± 0.8 e	0.7
Radiation b	X rays	γ	X rays	γ or X rays	electrons	γ
	200 keV		3 MeV pk.		1.6 MeV	
Dose c		10	~ 200	0.1 to 10	~ 50 to 300	20 to 150
(10 <sup>18</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> )						
Dose rate	0.24	3.0	40	0.3 to 0.5		19
$(10^{15} \text{eV g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$	1					
T(K) <sup>q</sup>	77	77	77	77	87 to 93	77
Notes		See below d	See below <sup>d</sup>			

TABLE 3. Solid methanol: Product yields (molec. (100 eV)-1)\*-Continued

Reference	650204	650218	650230	660609	670110	670110
Polycrystalline or glassy?	glass	cryst.	cryst.	glass	glass	cryst.
Additive	H <sub>2</sub> O	none	none	$H_2O$	H <sub>2</sub> O	ņone
('inert')	5% (v/v?)			2.4% v/v	5 mol %	
H <sub>2</sub>		3.2 <sup>f</sup>	$2.41 \pm 0.05$	$3.2 \pm 0.1$ $(4.4 \pm 0.1)^{\text{g}}$	3.4	2.8
(CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub>			$2.12 \pm 0.17$	$2.9 \pm 0.2$		
077.0				$(4.1 \pm 0.2)^{\text{g}}$		
CH <sub>2</sub> O			$0.47 \pm 0.05$	0.1 (0.1) <sup>g</sup>	0.70	
CH₄		0.15 f		0.34 (0.41) <sup>g</sup>	0.50	1.60
СО		0.22 f		0.08 (0.15) <sup>g</sup>	0.06	0.08
Others	HĊO 0.15 °					
C(-CH <sub>3</sub> OH) a				6.3 (8.9) <sup>g</sup>		
$e_{\bar{i}}$	* 3 e			$2.9 \pm 0.3^{h}$		
ĊH₂OH	2 e					
Total radicals		5 e, f	3.8 a			
Radiation <sup>b</sup>	γ	γ	γ	γ	X rays 3 MeV pk.	X rays 3 MeV pk.
Dose c (1018 eV g <sup>-1</sup> )	~ 60 to 120	~ 300	≤ 50	0.07 to 5	10 to 100	10 to 100
Dose rate (10 <sup>15</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		30	0.7 or 1.2	~ 8		
T (K) q Notes	77	77	77	77 See below <sup>i</sup>	77	77
	i		1		l	1

<sup>\*</sup>Footnotes follow the table.

Table 3. Solid methanol: Product yields (molec. (100 eV) $^{-1}$ )—Continued\*

Reference	680407	685054	685054	685146	690089	690089	690456 n
Polycrystalline or glassy?	cryst.	glass	glass		glass	cryst.	glass
Additive	none	H <sub>2</sub> O	H <sub>2</sub> O		H <sub>2</sub> O		H <sub>2</sub> O
('inert')		5.8 mol %	5.8 mol %		5.8 mol %		5.3 mol %
$H_2$	2.30				2.9 k	2.9	3.2 ± 0.1 °
*					(4.2) <sup>e</sup>		(4.4±0.1) <sup>a</sup>
$(CH_2OH)_2$	2.84				2.8	2.7	$2.9 \pm 0.2$
				·	(3.9) g	4	$(4.1 \pm 0.2)^{R}$
CH <sub>2</sub> O	1.48				0.2	0.7	0.1
					(0.3) g		(0.1) g
CH <sub>4</sub>	0.60						0.4
					1.		(0.4) g
CO -							0.1
							(0.1) <sup>g</sup>
Others							See below p
G(- CH <sub>3</sub> OH) <sup>a</sup>							6.4
							(8.8) и
$e_{\mathfrak{t}}^-$		2.61 e	2.22 e		2.3 1		$2.7 \pm 0.3$ r
		±0.12	$\pm 0.05$				
CII OII		(6.10 . 0.03 . 0.4	(5.14 + 0.16) 0.11		.		
CH₂OH		$(6.12 \pm 0.31)^{e,\kappa}$	(5.14±0.16) e. s	7.5 <sup>e</sup>			1
l'otal radicals				7.5			
Radiation <sup>b</sup>	γ	γ	β	γ	γ	γ	γ
,	·		(3H/HTO) <sup>j</sup>	·		,	1
Dose c	600	0.8 to 5	0.8 to 5				0.007 to 5
(10 <sup>18</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> )	-	0.0043	0.0049	60	3		10
Dose rate (10 <sup>15</sup> eV g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	5	0.0045	0.0042	00	3	3	10
T(K) <sup>q</sup>	77	77	77	77	77	77	77
Notes	"	''	"		See below m	"	See below o
NOICS		1			See nerow		See below

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Calculated as 2  $G(glycol) + G(CH_4) + G(CH_2O) + G(CO)$ .

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm b}$  All  $\gamma$  irradiations use  $^{\rm 60}{\rm Co}$  source.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Doses are approximate;  $1 \text{ eV } \text{g}^{-1} = 1.602 \times 10^{-16} \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ ; where the yields are dose dependent, extrapolation to zero dose is normally made

d UV illumination at 77 K after irradiation increased yields of all products.

e By electron spin resonance (ESR).

f Read from figure.

g Yields in parentheses are after photobleaching et with visible light at 77 K prior to melting.

h Estimated from G(C(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) with 0.1 M C(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> measured at 80 K.

i Stable products after rapidly melting the sample.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> [680599] also gives the total radical yields (ESR) after <sup>3</sup>H- $\beta$  irradiation (also  $\gamma$  and <sup>210</sup>Po- $\alpha$ ) but all the samples contained 4 vol % 1 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. See also [700418] for <sup>210</sup>Po- $\alpha$ 

 $<sup>{}^</sup>kG({
m H}_2)$  increased by  ${\sim}0.8$  if  $e_t^-$  thermally bleached at 102 to 104 K prior to melting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>From G(H<sub>2</sub>) with I<sub>2</sub> or C(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup>  $G(H)_{\text{scavengeable}} = 0.5$  (allyl alcohol);  $G(H)_{\text{unscavengeable}} = 1.1$ ;  $G(H_2)_{\text{unscavengeable}} = 0.3$ .

n [680370] is a preliminary report of these data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>o</sup> Yields from rapidly melted samples. G(H<sub>2</sub>) increased by 0.2 to 0.6 if slowly warmed without photobleaching.

 $<sup>^</sup>p$  Very low G values (  $\sim 0.001$  to 0.01) of  $C_2,\,C_3$  and  $C_4$  hydrocarbons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>q</sup> Temperatures given are those of irradiation; irradiated solids are melted to room temperature to measure the stable products.

r From G(C(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) measured at ~ 80 K in samples containing C(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>; see also [660609].

#### 4. Reaction Rate Parameters

See tables 4.1 to 4.8.

Table 4.1. Hydrogen atom reaction rates in methanol vapor

$$\begin{array}{lll} Reaction(s) & & H+CH_3OH \rightarrow H_2+CH_2OH \dots & (1a) \\ & \rightarrow H_2+CH_3O & \dots & (1b) \\ & & H+S \rightarrow products & \dots & \dots & (2) \end{array}$$

Method: Application of simple competition kinetics to the decrease in hydrogen yield caused by various concentrations of additive S. Assumes reaction (2) does not produce hydrogen.

Reference	S	<i>T</i> (K)	$k_2/k_1$	Notes
610021	benzene	295	100 ± 20	
610021	benzene	295	$100\pm20$	With CH <sub>3</sub> OD (HD yield)
			$(k_2/k_{1a})$	
610021	benzene	295	$65 \pm 20$	With CH <sub>3</sub> OD (HD yield)
			$(k_2/k_{1b})$	
650432	ethylene	293	$1300 \pm 200$	
650432	propylene	293	$3000 \pm 700$	
670205	propylene	room	$2700 \pm 100$	
701047	propylene	$290 \pm 2$	4300	

Table 4.2. Hydrogen atom reaction rates in methanol liquid

#### Method: As for table 4.1.

Reference	s	<i>T</i> (K)	$k_2/k_1$	Notes
30003	benzoquinone	292	1730 a	
.0015	benzoquinone	292	1700 a	1
30003	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	292	3170 a	
.0015	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	292	3600 a	
.0015	diphenyl	292	600	1
0015	naphthalene	292	940	J
0015	anthracene	292	4000	
0015	benzene	292	140	
0015	benzene	195	330	See below b
0067	n-hexane	296	$5.6 \pm 1.0$	In n-hexane solvent
0030	pyridine	room	1900	Data from 660259 °
0030	I <sub>2</sub>	room	21000	
0146	$O_2$	296	$5800 \pm 700$	İ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Estimated from graph.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Estimated that  $E_2 - E_1 \approx 4$  kJ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Also values of  $k_2/k_1$  for other H atom scavengers, recalculations of data from [610015] using same method as [610015], not original data.

TABLE 4.3. Solvated electron reaction rates in methanol: relative rates

Reaction(s)  $e_s^- + N_2O \rightarrow N_2 + O^-$  . . . (3)  $e_s^- + S \rightarrow \text{products}$  . . . (4)

Method. Application of simple competition kinetics to yield of products from (3) or (4) (generally  $N_2$  from (3)) caused by presence of second scavenger S in addition to  $N_2O$ . If  $N_2$  measured, the product of (4) must not react with  $N_2O$  to produce  $N_2$ .

Reference	s ·	T (K)	$k_4/k_3$	Ionic strength	[N <sub>2</sub> O] (m <i>M</i> )	Notes
670018	H+	room	3.0 a	(0.4 to 3) ×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4 to 6	
670018	H <sup>+</sup>	room	~ 4.5 a, b	0	4 to 6	
670065	H+	273	7.9	0 c	~9 or 90	
670313	H+	room	~11.7 a	0	1 and 50	Read from graph
700947	H+	room	4.5	0.013	7 to 70	
700947	H+	room	~ 10.1 b	0	7 to 70	
Recommended value	H+	room	9±2	0		
670065	Ag <sup>+</sup>	273	2.4	0 °	$\sim 9 \text{ or } 90$	
670313	toluene	room	$5.9 \times 10^{-4}$	0	0.5	
670313	benzene	room	$2.3 \times 10^{-3}$	0	0.5 to 5	
670313	fluorobenzene	room	5.8 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0	1.0	
670313	chlorobenzene	room	0.093 a	0	10	
670313	acetophenone	room	1.9 a	0	1.0	
670313	benzonitrile	room	2.1 a	0	1.0	
670313	benzyl chloride	room	0.75 a	0	1.0	
670313	acetone	room	0.83 a	0	1.0	
670313	CCl <sub>4</sub>	room	6.3 °	0	1.0	
690456	benzyl chloride	298	$0.36 \pm 0.04$	0	10	,
700064	CH <sub>3</sub> Br	room	1.5 a	0	0.1 to 2.5	CH4 yield measured d
700064	SF <sub>6</sub>	room	3.2 a	0	2.7 to 181	F- yield measured d
700064	acetone	room	0.57 ª	0		See below d, e
670313	nitrobenzene	room	4.0 a	0	1.0	

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}$   $N_{2}O$  concentration may have been  $\sim$  18% higher than authors assumed (see [690456] and discussion). This possibility taken into account in recommending values, but not in tabulating authors' original data or for simple ionic strength corrections to the original data, as on this sheet. This would increase  $k_{4}/k_{3}$  by  $\sim$  18%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Estimated by Reviewer assuming effect of ionic strength on reaction (4) given by the Brønsted-Bjerrum/extended Debye-Hückel treatments (see [670065]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Data over full range of ionic strengths.

d Data fitted to Schuler-Asmus-Warman scavenging curve to account for geminate ion scavenging as well as free ions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Indirectly compared via SF<sub>6</sub> (F<sup>-</sup> yield).

Table 4.4. Solvated electron reaction rates in methanol by pulse radiolysis

Reaction(s)  $e_s^- + S \rightarrow \text{products} \dots (5)$ 

Method: Direct observation of  $e_s^-$  or product of (5) by pulse radiolysis, usually using optical detection. Kinetic analysis of increased rate of decay of  $e_s^-$  in presence of S and/or rate of appearance of product. Effects of ionic strength very small.

Reference	s	T(K)	$10^{-10} \cdot k_5 (M^{-1} s^{-1})$	
630059	H+	296	$3.9 \pm 0.9$	
630059	$O_2$	296	$1.9 \pm 0.4$	
630059	benzyl chloride	296	$0.50 \pm 0.12$	
640080	naphthalene	293	0.2	
710064	H+	298	$6.81 \pm 0.63^{\ b}$	
710644	NO <sub>3</sub> a	room	0.13	
710644	NO <sub>2</sub> a	room	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	
710644	ClCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> a	room	$0.035 \pm 0.004$	
710644	diphenyl	room	0.13	
-710644	naphthalene	room	0.27	
710644	acetone	room	0.25	
717394	$N_2O$	room	1.3 °	
720062	H+	293 ± 2	$5.2\pm0.2$	
720194	H+	293	6.5	
730292	nitrobenzene	295	2.0	
730292	acetone	295	0.42	
730292	hexene-1	295	$1 \times 10^{-5}$	
730292	benzene	295	$1 \times 10^{-4}$	
730292	phenol	295	$7 \times 10^{-4}$	
730292	c-hexene	295	$< 10^{-6}$	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Ionic strength effects may have been substantial because of the relatively high concentration of scavenger required. True rate constant may be at least 30% smaller than reported, when extrapolated to zero ionic strength.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Conductivity method.

<sup>°</sup> See note a, table 4.3. This value not consistent with  $k(e_s^- + H^+)$ , now known to 20% or better, and the measured ratio  $k(e_s^- + H^+)/k(e_s^- + N_2O)$  (see table 4.5).

Table 4.5. Solvated electron reaction rates in methanol: Recommended values

3	$(M^{-1} s^{-1})^{b}$	References	S	$\begin{array}{c} 10^{10} \cdot k \\ (M^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}) \end{array}$	References
H+ a	5.6±0.8	710064, 720062, 720194	C <sub>6</sub> H₅COCH₃	1.4±0.4	670313
$N_zO$	$0.62 \pm 0.16$	See table 4.3 (cf. to H+)	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	670313, 730292
Ag+ a	$1.5 \pm 0.5$	670065	$(C_6H_5)_2$	~0.13	710644
$O_2$	$1.9 \pm 0.4$	630059, 690146	naphthalene	0.2 to 0.3	640080, 710644
SF <sub>6</sub>	$2.3\pm0.8$	700064	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> F	~0.004	670313
NO <sub>3</sub> - a	~0.10	710644	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	10-4	730292
NO <sub>2</sub> - a	~ 0.03	710644 (690456)	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	~0.0004	670313
ClCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> - a	~ 0.02	710644 (690456)	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH	7×10 <sup>-4</sup>	730292
CCl <sub>4</sub>	$4.6 \pm 1.5$	670313			
C <b>H₃Br</b>	$0.9 \pm 0.3$	700064	·		
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CO	$\sim 0.42$	670313, 700064,			
		710644, 730292			
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> Cl	~ 0.5	630059, 670313°			
$C_6H_5CN$	1.5 ± 0.5	670313		·	
C <sub>6</sub> H₅Cl	$0.07 \pm 0.02$	670313			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> At zero ionic strength. Corrections for ionic strength effects made by reviewer (see [670065]) to data reported in [710644].

TABLE 4.6. Rate of disappearance of solvated and trapped electrons in methanol

Reaction(s)	$(e_{s}^{-})_{fi} \rightarrow \text{products (liquid)}$				(6)
	$e_{i}^{-} \rightarrow \text{products (inducts)}$	•	•	•	(0)

Method: In the liquid, direct observation of  $e_s^-$  by pulse radiolysis.

In the solid, by observation of the decay of  $e_t^-$  by ESR or optical spectroscopy.

Reference	Phase	T (K)	$k_6 (s^{-1})$	$\log_{10} A$	<i>E</i> (kJ)	Notes
710101	liquid	298	≤ 1.0 × 10 <sup>5</sup>			
710101	liquid	195	$\leq 1.9 \times 10^3$			
710101	liquid	179 to 333		$8.70 \pm 0.11$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$20.2 \pm 0.5$	Max. value of $k_6$
650460	glass (5.3 mol % water)	101 to 105		$13.9 \pm 1.5$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	32 ± 3	
675117	solida	88 to 98		$7.0 (s^{-1})$	$20 \pm 2$	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Preparation of  $e_t^-$  by reaction of K with CH<sub>3</sub>OH on rotating cryostat. The counter ion is therefore K<sup>+</sup> rather than the proton as in radiolysis experiments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> The uncertainties are provided by the reviewer; experience will prove some of them to be optimistic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Data in [690456] suggest a lower value.

Table 4.7. Methyl radical reactions in methanol vapor or solid

Method: Vapor phase: photolysis of acetone or acetone- $d_6$ .

Solid phase: ESR measurement of rate of decay of CH<sub>3</sub> and/or rate of growth of CH<sub>2</sub>OH.

Reference	Reaction and phase	T(K)	$k(M^{-1}s^{-1})$	$\log_{10}A$	E(kJ)	Notes  See below <sup>a</sup>	
687279	(7) vapor	413 to 523		8.26 (M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	41		
687279	(7a) vapor (with CH <sub>3</sub> OD)	413 to 523		8.29 (M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	42		
687279	(7a) vapor (CD <sub>3</sub> OH)	408 to 523		$(M^{-1} s^{-1})$	50		
687279	(7b) vapor (CD <sub>3</sub> OH)	408 to 523		$(M^{-1} s^{-1})$	40		
637003	(7) solid	77	$\sim 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$				
670110	(7) solid	77	$\sim 3.7 \times 10^{-5}$				
697036	(7) solid	77	$\sim 8 \times 10^{-6}$			Complex kinetics	
725012	(7) solid	77	$\sim 7 \times 10^{-8}$		1		
	(CH <sub>3</sub> OH/H <sub>2</sub> O, 1:1)	{			1		
725140	(7) solid	77	$\sim 9 \times 10^{-5}$				
725140	(7) solid (CH <sub>3</sub> OD)	77	$\sim 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	3.46	~ 3.6		
725140	(7) solid (CH <sub>3</sub> OD)	67	$\sim 4.5 \times 10^{-5}$	) 0.20	3.0		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>See references in this paper for further work on vapor phase reactions.

TABLE 4.8. Rates of reactions of other transients in methanol liquid

Reaction(s) Other reactions in methanol

Method: Pulse radiolysis. Usually, direct observation of optical absorption of reactant or product.

Reaction	Kinetic parameters	Reference
Ar = anthracene  Ar = $p$ -terphenyl  Ar = anthracene  Ar = diphenyl  CH <sub>3</sub> O <sup>-</sup> + CH <sub>3</sub> OH <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow$ 2 CH <sub>3</sub> OH	$k_8 = (6.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^4 M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K.}$ $k_8 = (8.1 \pm 2.0) \times 10^4 M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K.}$ $k_9 = (4 \pm 1) \times 10^2 M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K.}$ $k_8 = 3.7 \times 10^6 \cdot \exp(-8.8 \text{kJ}/RT) M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ $k_8 = 6.2 \times 10^6 \cdot \exp(-11.3 \text{kJ}/RT) M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ $k_9 = (7.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{10} M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K.}$ $E_9 = 12.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ kJ}$ $k_{10} = (3.3 \pm 2) \times 10^9 M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ in } \text{ CH}_3 \text{ OD at } 298 \text{ K}$ $k_{11}/k_{12} = (1.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^4 \text{ at } \sim 293 \text{ K}$ $S = \text{I} - \text{or } \text{TMPD}^c$ $k_{13} = (6.10 \pm 0.05) \times 10^9 M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ at } \sim 293 \text{ K}$ $k_{11} = 3.7 \times 10^9 M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} (\text{S} = 1^-) \text{ at } \sim 293 \text{ K}$ $k_{12} = (2.63 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5 M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	640084 640084 640084 670188 670188 710064 <sup>a</sup> 719165 <sup>b</sup> 720115 720122 720122

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>By conductivity measurements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Data for full range of methanol-water mixtures. Considerable effect of matrix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Theoretical treatment of evolution of hydrogen from the dissolution of sodium in methanol.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm c}$  N, N, N, ' -tetramethyl-p-phenylene diamine.

#### 5. Spectroscopic Parameters

#### 5.1. Optical Absorption of Trapped Electrons in Methanol Glass

See table 5.1

Table 5.1. Spectroscopic parameters of e<sub>t</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>OH glass

Reference	T (K)	additive	λ <sub>max</sub> (nm) <sup>a</sup>	$W_{1/2}(+)^b W_{1/2}(-)^c$ (eV) (eV)	10 <sup>-4</sup> G€ d	Notes
620028	77	2 M CH <sub>3</sub> ONa	540			
650298	77		496	0.68 0.35	·	
650460	80	H <sub>2</sub> O 5.3 mol %	533		3.46	
660188	77	n-propanol 4 vol. %	520			
660609	80-85	H <sub>2</sub> O 5.3 mol %	526	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.64 & 0.36 \\ \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	3.0 ± 0.3	See also [690456]
670230	77	H <sub>2</sub> O 10 mol %	514	0.83		Also data for 30 to 70 mol % water
670651	77		513 (518)	1.28 to 1.44 (0.99)		Data for CH <sub>3</sub> OD in parentheses
685130	77	isopropanol l mol %	521			
700096	80	H <sub>2</sub> O 5.8 mol %	528	0.74 0.36 ± 0.01 ± 0.01	3.17 ± 0.07	
700096	80	NaOCH <sub>3</sub> (1 M)	541	0.74 0.38	3.65	
710091e	4.2	H <sub>2</sub> O 5 mol %	605	1.5		Also data for 4-80 K.
720145	77	H <sub>2</sub> O 5 mol %	520			Also data for 25 and 60% water.
720428	77	none	519	1.02		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Wavelength of maximum absorption.

 $<sup>^</sup>b$  Energy separation between  $\lambda_{max}$  and half-height on high energy side of  $\lambda_{max}.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Energy separation between  $\lambda_{\max}$  and half-height on low energy side of  $\lambda_{\max}$ .

<sup>d</sup> Units are  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> (100 eV)<sup>-1</sup>. Product of G and  $\epsilon$  at absorption maximum.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm e}$  For data for methanol/ethanol mixtures at 4 K see [710526].

#### 5.2. Optical Absorption of Solvated Electrons in Methanol Liquid

See table 5.2.

Table 5.2. Spectroscopic parameters of e<sub>s</sub> in liquid methanol

Reference	T (K)	additive	λ <sub>max</sub> (nm) <sup>a</sup>	$W_{1/2}$ (+) <sup>a</sup> $W_{1/2}$ (-) <sup>a</sup> (eV) (eV)	10-4Gε (fi)	10−4 <i>G</i> ϵ (gi)	Notes a,b
640113	~ 295	none			1.1 ± 0.1 (546 nm)		
640080	~ 295	none	650	0.93 d 0.33 d	1.39		
650499	~ 295	none	630	0.78 d 0.48 d	1.9		•
650397	~ 295	none	630 d	0.88 d 0.41 d			See below e
660082	195	none	580 d	1.25 d			
700246	- 295	- 0.1 M NaI	730 d	0.2 d 0.2 d			
710101	293	none			1.79 ±	0.43	
					0.11		
710101	187	none			1.83 ±	$1.94 \pm$	
	1				0.11	0.2	
710727	~ 295	none	640				
720197	183	none	557	0.69 d 0.43 d	1.9		
					(176 K)		
720197	294	none	635	0.87 d 0.43 d	1.9		
720197	358	none	710				
720197	423	none			1.9		
720293	303	none	640			-	
720437	~ 295	none	640	$1.23 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\pm} 0.04$			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See footnotes on table 5.1 for definition of symbols.

## 5.3. Optical Absorption of CH<sub>2</sub>OH and CH<sub>3</sub>O in Methanol

Few quantitative studies have been reported; there are some difficulties in interpretation and recommended data cannot be given.

In irradiated glassy methanol, an absorption in the ultra-violet remains after photobleaching the trapped electrons, but the absorption at ca. 200 nm does not change significantly during photobleaching ([690456]; an earlier report to the contrary [640080] has not been substantiated [700096]). Since the ESR signal of CH<sub>2</sub>OH increases considerably during photobleaching, positive assignment of this UV absorption wholly to CH<sub>2</sub>OH cannot be made [690456].

A similar UV absorption has been reported in liquid methanol after pulse radiolysis [690456, 690419], and at times longer than ca. 1  $\mu$ s (when

CH<sub>3</sub>O has completely decayed [720122]) this can confidently be ascribed to CH<sub>2</sub>OH. The early spectrum reported with  $\lambda_{\rm max}=290$  nm appears to be erroneous [640080]. Data for the  $G\epsilon$  product and decay constant  $k/\epsilon$  have been reported [690456, 690418] for CH<sub>2</sub>OH in liquid methanol and aqueous methanol solutions. The latter studies permit estimation of the extinction coefficient. With 0.5 M methanol in water saturated with N<sub>2</sub>O,  $G\epsilon$ (CH<sub>2</sub>OH) =  $(1.8\pm0.2)\times10^3$   $M^{-1}$ cm<sup>-1</sup> (100 eV)<sup>-1</sup> at 300 nm [690456], giving  $\epsilon=250\pm40$   $M^{-1}$ cm<sup>-1</sup> at 300 nm if G(OH) = 7.3 in this solution. The data read from the graph in [690418] appear not inconsistent with this estimate, but the assumed G(OH) was not reported.

The possibility of an absorption ascribable to  $CH_3\dot{O}$  at times shorter than 1  $\mu$ s in pulse-irradiated liquid methanol has been explored [720122] but it was concluded that the absorption spectra of  $\dot{C}H_2OH$  and  $CH_3\dot{O}$  may be similar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> fi=free ions; gi=geminate ions (see discussion). Ge product at  $\lambda_{max}$  unless stated.

c Also data for solutions containing CH<sub>3</sub>O- or OH-

d Estimated from figure.

e Also data for mixtures of methanol with tetrahydrofuran or cyclohexane.

# 5.4. Electron Spin Resonance Parameters for $e_{\text{T}}$ and $\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ in Irradiated Solid Methanol

In irradiated solid (glassy) methanol the ESR absorption of  $e_{\bar{t}}$  is difficult to establish precisely because of the underlying signal from CH<sub>2</sub>OH. The line shape may be characterized by the parameter  $\Delta H_{\rm ms}$ , the line width between points of maximum slope on the absorption curve. Values of  $\Delta H_{\rm ms}$  for  $e_{\bar{t}}$  range from 1.1 mT (11 gauss)<sup>8</sup> to 2.0 mT [625001, 645001, 685088, 685130, 695078]. Electrons trapped in solid methanol on the rotating cryostat by reaction of K atoms with methanol (there being no CH<sub>2</sub>OH to obscure the spectra) have  $\Delta H_{\rm ms} = 1.12 \pm 0.1$  mT and g = 2.0018 at 77 K [675117].

The  $\dot{\text{CH}}_2\text{OH}$  radical is observed in irradiated polycrystalline or glassy methanol, with line shape characteristic of a  $\dot{\text{CH}}_2\text{X}$  species with restricted rotation and anisotropic proton hyperfine coupling. In solids the average splitting is often reported, presumably  $(A_{||}+2A_{\perp})/3$ . An average coupling  $A_{\text{CH}_2}^{\text{H}}=1.8$  mT is observed [585000, 625001, 640080, 650230] with g=2.001 [585000]. In solution the  $\dot{\text{CH}}_2\text{OH}$  radical is well characterized, e.g. from the photolysis of hydrogen peroxide in liquid methanol,  $A_{\text{CH}_2}^{\text{H}}=1.784$  to 1.726 mT,  $A_{\text{OH}}^{\text{H}}=0.175$  to 0.100 mT, and g=2.00333 in methanol at 223 to 314 K [660840].

The radical  $CH_2O^-$  has been reported in solid alkoxides [645013] with  $A_{CH_2}^H = 1.6$  mT.

In sulfuric acid glass the  $\dot{\rm CH_2OH_2}$  radical has been identified, with  $A_{\rm CH_2}^{\rm H}=2.22$  mT and  $A_{\rm OH_2}^{\rm H}=0.5\pm0.1$  mT [695130].

#### Acknowledgement

Dr. Alberta B. Ross of the Radiation Chemistry Data Center made the compiling of this review much less of a chore than such things usually are by maintaining a continuous supply of relevant data and references. For this and the other ways in which she has been helpful, we are extremely grateful.

#### References

[530004] The decomposition of methanol-C<sup>14</sup> under the influence of its own radiation. Skraba, W. J.; Burr, J. G. Jr.; Hess, D. N. J. Chem. Phys. 21: 1296 (1953).

- [540005] The radiation chemistry of the aliphatic alcohols. McDonell, W. R.; Newton, A. S. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 76: 4651-8 (1954).
- [550003] Decomposition of methyl alcohol by Co<sup>60</sup>gamma radiation. McDonell, W. R.; Gordon, S. J. Chem. Phys. 23: 208 (1955).
- [570027] Decomposition of methyl alcohol by Co<sup>60</sup> gamma rays. Yizuka, Y.; Ouchi, Y.; Hirota, K.; Kusumoto, G. Nippon Kagaku Zasshi 78: 129–31 (1957).
- [580003] Radical and molecular yields in the γ-irradiation of liquid methanol. Adams, G. E.; Baxendale, J. H. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 80: 4215-19 (1958).
- [580005] Radiolysis of liquid methanol by Co<sup>60</sup>gamma-radiation. Meshitsuka, G.; Burton, M. Radiat. Res. 8(4): 285-97 (1958).
- [580026] Ferric chloride as a radical scavenger in the radiolysis of organic compounds. Cherniak, E. A.; Collinson, E.; Dainton, F. S.; Meaburn, G. M. Proc. Chem. Soc.: 54 (1958).
- [585000] Electron resonance studies of the change in free radical spectra of solid alcohols with variation of temperature and time of U.-V. irradiation. Fujimoto, M.; Ingram, D. J. E. Trans. Faraday Soc. 54: 1304-15 (1958).
- [590017] Radiolysis of methanol and methanolic solutions by Co<sup>60</sup> γ-rays and 1.95×10<sup>6</sup> volt Van de Graaff electrons. Lichtin, N. N. J. Phys. Chem. **63**: 1449–54 (1959).
- [590020] Some radical and molecular yields in the γ-irradiation of some organic liquids. Adams, G. E.; Baxendale, J. H.; Sedgwick, R. D. J. Phys. Chem. 63: 854-58 (1959).
- [590029] Tetranitromethane as a radical scavenger in radiation chemical studies. Henglein, A.; Langhoff, J.; Schmidt, G. J. Phys. Chem. 63: 980 (1959).
- [600011] Radiolysis of organic liquids containing dissolved ICN. Hughes, G.; Garrison, W. M. J. Phys. Chem. 64: 695-6 (1960).
- [604001] Radiolysis of methanol by recoils from the B<sup>10</sup>(n, α) Li<sup>7</sup> reaction. Choi, S. U.; Lichtin, N. N.; Rush, J. L. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82: 3225 (1960).
- [610014] The chemical action of ionising radiations on simple aliphatic alcohols. Part II. Irradiation of methanol in the absence and in the presence of oxygen in the solid and in the liquid state. Hayon, E.; Weiss, J. J. J. Chem. Soc.: 3970-3 (1961).
- [610015] The γ-radiolysis of methanol and methanol solutions. Baxendale, J. H.; Mellows, F. W. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 83: 4720-26 (1961).
- [610020] The photolysis of trapped free radicals produced by ionizing radiation. Johnsen, R. H. J. Phys. Chem. 65: 2144-7 (1961).
- [610021] Radiolysis of methanol vapour. Baxendale, J. H.; Sedgwick, R. D. Trans. Faraday Soc. 57(12): 2157-66 (1961).
- [610027] Radiolysis of liquid methanol—Inhibitory effects of additives. Brown, W. G.; Eberhardt, M. K. ARL 90, Nov., 1961, 17p. (Aeronautical Res. Lab., Univ. of Chicago).
- [610029] The production of chemicals from reactors. Part. V. Ethylene glycol from the pile (n+γ) and fission fragment irradiation of liquid methanol. Landsman, D. A.; Butterfield, J. E. AERE-R 3625, Apr. 1961, 25p. (Atomic Energy Res. Establ., Harwell).

<sup>8 1</sup> gauss corresponds to 10-4 tesla.

- [620028] Solvated electrons in frozen solutions. Schulte-Frohlinde, D.; Eiben, K. Z. Naturforsch. Pt. A 17: 445-6 (1962).
- [620067] The reactivity of hydrogen atoms in the liquid phase. II. The reaction with some organic solutes. Hardwick, T. J. J. Phys. Chem. 66: 117-25 (1962).
- [625001] On the radiation stability of solid organic compounds. Voevodskii, V. V.; Molin, Yu. N. Radiat. Res. 17: 366-78 (1962).
- [625003] Electron paramagnetic resonance study of radiation-induced-oxidation-reduction mechanisms. Larin, V. A.; Grishina, A. D.; Bakh, N. A. Proc. Acad. Sci. USSR, Phys. Chem. Sect. 42(4): 113-6 (1962); translated from Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 142(4): 847-50 (1962).
- [630056] The radiolysis of methanol and methanolic solutions. II. Comparison of radiolysis by Co<sup>60</sup> γ-rays and by B<sup>10</sup>(n,α) Li<sup>7</sup> recoils. Imamura, M.; Choi, S. U.; Lichtin, N. N. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 85: 3565-70 (1963).
- [630059] Pulse radiolysis studies of the reactivity of the solvated electron in ethanol and methanol. Taub, I. A.; Sauer, M. C. Jr.; Dorfman, L. M. Discuss. Faraday Soc. 36: 206-13 (1963).
- [630060] Radiolysis of liquid methanol and some methanolic salt solutions. Theard, L. M.; Burton, M. J. Phys. Chem. 67: 59-64 (1963).
- [630063] The γ-radiolysis of water vapour. Baxendale, J. H.; Gilbert, G. P. Discuss. Faraday Soc. 36: 186-92 (1963).
- [630220] Sil, K. K.; Zimin, A. V.; Sharpatyi, V. I.; Khimicheskaya Promyshlennost 7: 492-5 (1963)
- [637003] The photochemical decomposition of alcohols at low temperatures: The kinetics of the decomposition of methyl alcohol. Shelimov, B. N.; Fok, N. V.; Voevodskii, V. V. Kinetics Catalysis (USSR) 4(4): 473-80 (1963); translated from Kinet. Katal. 4(4): 539-48 (1963).
- [640080] Pulse radiolysis and matrix isolation data for methanol and 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (MTHF). Dainton, F.S.; Keene, J. P.; Kemp, T. J.; Salmon, G. A.; Teply, J. Proc. Chem. Soc.: 265-6 (1964).
- [640084] Pulse radiolysis studies. VI. The lifetimes of aromatic anions in the aliphatic alcohols. Arai, S.; Dorfman, L. M. J. Chem. Phys. 41(7): 2190-4 (1964).
- [640113] Pulse radiolysis studies. IV. The solvated electron in the aliphatic alcohols. Taub, I. A.; Harter, D. A.; Sauer, M. C. Jr.; Dorfman, L. M. J. Chem. Phys. 41(7): 2190-4 (1964).
- [640121] The radiolysis of methanol and methanolic solutions. III. The effect of oxygen on the radiolysis of liquid methanol by 60 Co γ-rays and by 10 B(n,α)<sup>7</sup>Li recoils. Choi, S. U.; Lichtin, N. N. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 86: 3948-53 (1964).
- [640154] Production of hydrogen in the radiolysis of methanol vapour. Meaburn, G. M.; Mellows, F. W.; Reiffsteck, A. Nature (London) 204: 1301-2 (1964).
- [640155] Free-radical yields in n-alcohols resulting from γ-irradiation. Zwiebel, I.; Bretton, R. H. A.I.CH.E. (Am. Inst. Chem. Eng.) J. 10(3): 339–44 (1964).
- [640166] Radiolysis of methanol by <sup>10</sup>B(n,α)<sup>7</sup>Li recoils. Effect of linear energy transfer on the radiolysis of methanol. Imamura, M. NP-tr-1577, Nov. 17, 1967, 28p.; translated from Rika Gaku Kenkyusho Hokohu 40: 176-84 (1964).

- [645001] Etude par resonance parmagnetique electronique des electrons et des radicaux pieges dans les alcools irradies par les rayons γ a 77 °K. Chachaty, C.; Hayon, E. J. Chim. Phys. 61: 1115-28 (1964).
- [645013] Electron spin resonance spectra of γ-irradiated alkoxides. Bennett, J. E. Nature 203: 514-5 (1964).
- [650187] The effect of nitrous oxide on the γ-radiolysis of liquid methanol. Seki, H.; Imamura, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 38: 1229 (1965).
- [650204] The mechanism of the nonadditive formation of radicals in the radiolysis of frozen solutions of CCl<sub>4</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>OH. Roginskii, V. A.; Kotov, A. G.; Pshezhetskii, S. Ya. Dokl. Phys. Chem., Proc. Acad. Sci. USSR **163**: 625-8 (1965); translated from Dokl. Adad. Nauk SSSR **163**: 1433-6 (1965).
- [650218] Formation of free radicals under the action of γ-radiation in frozen methanol-carbon tetrachloride mixtures. Roginskii, V. A.; Kotov, A. G.; Pshezhetskii, S. Ya. Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 39: 1544-7 (1965); translated from Zh. Fiz. Khim. 39: 2892-5 (1965).
- [650230] Radiolysis of pure methanol. Teply, J.; Habersbergerova, A.; Vacek, K. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 30: 793-801 (1965).
- [650298] Unstable intermediates. Part XXX. Solvated electrons: Line shapes of electronic absorption bands. Blandamer, M. J.; Shields, L.; Symons, M. C. R. J. Chem. Soc.: 3759-62 (1965).
- [650397] Some aspects of the quenching of excited states formed in pulse radiolysis. Kemp, T. J.; Salmon, G. A.; Wardman, P. Pulse Radiolysis, Ebert, M.; Keene, J. P.; Swallow, A. J.; Baxendale, J. H. (eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1965, p.247-57.
- [650432] Effect of hydrogen atom and electron scavengers on the radiolysis of methanol vapour. Meaburn, M.; Mellows, F. W. Trans, Faraday Soc. 61: 1701-8 (1965).
- [650460] The radiation chemistry of low temperature methanolic glasses. Dainton, F. S.; Salmon, G. A.; Teply, J. Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) Ser. A 286: 27-44 (1965).
- [650499] Pulse radiolysis studies. VII. The absorption spectra and radiation chemical yields of the solvated electron in the aliphatic alcohols. Sauer, M. C. Jr.; Arai, S.; Dorfman, L. M. J. Chem. Phys. 42(2): 708-12 (1965).
- [650501] Electron capture by solutes in the radiolysis of methanol and ethanol. Hayon, E.; Moreau, M. J. Phys. Chem. 69: 4053-7 (1965).
- [650507] Gamma radiolysis of methanol. Ladygin, B. Ya.; Saraeva, V. V. Kinetics Catalysis (USSR) 6: 189-95 (1965); translated from Kinet. Katal. 6(2): 221-8 (1965).
- [650773] γ-ray-induced oxidation of liquid methanol. Imamura, M.; Seki, H. Sci. Papers Inst. Phys. Chem. Res. (Tokyo) 59(3): 146-51 (1965).
- [660013] Radiolysis of binary mixtures. I. Liquid phase studies with benzene-methanol. Ekstrom, A.; Garnett, J. L. J. Phys. Chem. 70(2): 324-30 (1966).
- [660082] Absorption spectra of the solvated electron in polar liquids: Dependence on temperature and composition of mixtures. Arai, S.; Sauer, M. C. Jr. J. Chem. Phys. 44(6): 2297-305 (1966).
- [660188] Molecular ions in radiation chemistry. V. Intermediates in γ-irradiated glassy solutions of methanol. Shida, T.; Hamill, W. H. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 88(16): 3689-94 (1966).

- [660259] Localization of energy in radiolysis of solutions. IV. Hydrogen yields in binary mixtures of methanol, water, and pyridine. Schweiner, Z.; Janovsky, I.; Bednar, J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 31: 43-50 (1966).
- [660260] Mechanism of the hydrogen formation in the radiolysis of low temperature methanolic glasses. Teply, J.; Janovsky, I. UJV-1739, 1967, 31p. (Czechoslovakia Acad. Sci.)
- [660609] Radiolysis of glassy methanol and n-propanol at 77 °K. Dainton, F. S.; Salmon, G. A.; Wardman, P.; Zucker, U. Proc. of the Second Tihany Symposium on Radiation Chemistry, Dobo, J.; Hedvig, P. (eds.), Publishing House of the Hungarian Acad. of Sci., Budapest, 1967, p.247– 56.
- [660840] Paramagnetic resonance study of liquids during photolysis: Hydrogen peroxide and alcohols. Livingston, R.; Zeldes, H. J. Chem. Phys. 44(3): 1245-59 (1966).
- [670018] Radiolysis yields from γ-irradiated liquid methanol containing nitrous oxide and the effect of acid. Seki, H.; Imamura, M. J. Phys. Chem. 71(4): 870-75 (1967).
- [670030] Hydrogen formation in the radiolysis of liquid methanol and ethanol. Teply, J.; Habersbergerova, A. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 32: 1350-8 (1967).
- [670044] Radiolysis of methanolic solutions of nitrous oxide. Teply, J.; Habersbergerova, A. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 32: 1608-10 (1967).
- [670065] Kinetic salt effects on reactions of the solvated electron in methanol. Buxton, G. V.; Dainton, F. S.; Hammerli, M. Trans. Faraday Soc. 63(533): 1191-1197 (1967).
- [670071] Effect of temperature on the γ-radiolysis of methanol vapour. Anderson, A. R.; Lea, C. W. PR/CHEM 12, 1967, p.2-3. (Progress report, AERE, Harwell)
- [670110] Ultraviolet photolysis of X-irradiated methanol at 77 °K. Milliken, S. B.; Johnsen, R. H. J. Phys. Chem. 71(7): 2116-23 (1967).
- [670188] Pulse radiolysis studies XI. Protonation reactions of aromatic anions in some aliphatic alcohols. Arai, S.; Tremba, E. L.; Brandon, J. R.; Dorfman, L. M. Can. J. Chem. 45: 1119-23 (1967).
- [670205] Effect of electric fields on gamma radiolysis of methanol vapor. Ohmae, T.; Sakurai, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 40(6): 1368-72 (1967).
- [670230] Nature of electron capture in the radiolysis of polar systems. Ershov, B. G.; Makarov, I. E.; Pikaev, A. K. High Energy Chem. 1(5): 414-20 (1967); translated from Khim. Vys. Energ. 1(5): 472-9 (1967).
- [670313] Light-induced and radiation-induced reactions in methanol. I. γ-Radiolysis of solutions containing nitrous oxide. Sherman, W. V. J. Phys. Chem. 71(13): 4245-55 (1967).
- [670651] Radiolysis of methanol in the glassy state. Kosa-Somogyi, I.; Balog, J.; Vertessy, Z. Magy. Kem. Foly. 73(12): 554-61 (1967).
- [674005] Effect of nitrous oxide on the radiolysis of liquid methanol with the recoils from the <sup>10</sup>B(n,α)<sup>7</sup>Li reaction. Imamura, M.; Seki, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 40: 1116-8 (1967).
- [675117] Trapped electrons produced by the deposition of alkalimetal atoms on ice and solid alcohols at 77 °K. Part II. Chemical reactions during thermal and photolytic bleaching. Bennett, J. E.; Mile, B.; Thomas, A. J. Chem. Soc. Pt. A (9): 1399-403 (1967).

- [680140] Irradiation of methanol with 60 Co γ-rays and 4He ions. Burns, W. G.; Reed, C. R. V. PR/CHEM 14., p. 3-4., 1 Nov. 1967-30 Apr. 1968. (Progress report, AERE, Harwell)
- [680167] Isotopic compositions of the hydrogen produced from liquid methanol-d<sub>1</sub> by irradiation of <sup>60</sup>Co γ rays and of the <sup>10</sup>B(n,α)<sup>7</sup>Li recoil particles. Seki, H.; Imamura, M. J. Chem. Phys. 48(4): 1866-7 (1968).
- [680370] Processes in γ-irradiated methanol glass. Dainton, F. S.; Salmon, G. A.; Wardman, P. Chem. Commun. (19): 1174-6 (1968).
- [680397] Irradiation of liquid methanol in the presence of anthracene and with radiation of varying L.E.T. Burns, W. G.; Reed, C. R. V. PR/CHEM 15, p.2-3, 1968. (Progress report, AERE, Harwell).
- [680407] Radiolysis of binary mixtures. Part II. The effect of irradiation temperature on the radiolysis yields of methanol in benzene. Ekstrom, A; Garnett, J. L. J. Chem. Soc. Pt. A: 2408-12 (1968).
- [680594] γ-Radiolysis of a binary mixture of methanol and water. The formation of formaldehyde in the radiolysis of liquid methanol. Seki, H.; Nagai, R.; Imamura, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 41(12): 2877-82 (1968).
- [680599] The influence of linear energy transfer (LET) on the radical yields in frozen organic systems. Czerwik, Z.; Kroh, J.; Piekarska, A.; Wypych, M. Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Chim. 16(10): 527-30 (1968).
- [680610] I. Kinetics of reactions of electrons during radiolysis of liquid methanol. II. Reaction of electrons with liquid alcohols and with water. Jha, K. N.; Freeman, G. R. J. Chem. Phys. 48(12): 5480-90 (1968).
- [685054] Comparison of the yields of paramagnetic species in radiolysis of glassy methanol by  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  radiation. Habersbergerqva, A. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 33: 1925-29 (1968).
- [685088] Spatial distribution of trapped electrons in gammairradiated organic glasses. Kevan, L.; Chen, D. H. J. Chem. Phys. 49: 1970-1 (1968).
- [685130] Effects of matrix polarity on the optical and electron spin resonance spectra of trapped electrons in organic glasses. Ekstrom, A.; Willard, J. E. J. Phys. Chem. 72(13): 4599-4603 (1968).
- [685146] EPR spin-echo investigation of free radical distribution in irradiated organic matrices. Tsvetkov, Yu. D.; Raitsimring, A. M.; Zhidomirov, G. M.; Salikhov, K. M.; Voevodsky, V. V. Khim. Vys. Energ. 2(6): 529-35 (1968); translation in High Energ. Chem
- [687279] Methyl radical reactions with isopropanol and methanor, their ethers and their deurated derivatives. Gary, P.; Herod, A. A. Trans. Faraday Soc. 64(10): 2723-34 (1968).
- [690045] Evidence for the production of an oxidising radical on pulse-radiolysis of methanol. Dainton, F. S.; Janovsky, I. V.; Salmon, G. A. Chem. Commun. (7): 335-6 (1969).
- [690089] The radiolysis of alcohols at low temperatures—I. The precursors of hydrogen in glassy methanol. Teply, J.; Janovsky, I. Int. J. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 1: 119-31 (1969).
- [690146] The radiolysis of methanol and methanolic solutions. V. The acid effect. Suryanarayanan, K.; Lichtin, N. N. J. Phys. Chem. 73(5): 1384-91 (1969).

- [690391] Radiation chemistry of alcohols, ethers and ketones in condensed states. Teply, J. Radiat. Res. Rev. 1(4): 361-410 (1969).
- [690419] Pulse radiolysis of alcohols in aqueous solution. Simic, M.; Neta, P.; Hayon, E. J. Phys. Chem. 73(11): 3794– 800 (1969).
- [690456] The radiation chemistry of liquid and glassy methanol. Dainton, F. S.; Salmon, G. A.; Wardman, P. Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A 313: 1-30 (1969).
- [690736] Radiation chemistry of frozen polar systems. Kevan, L. Actions Chim. Biol. Radiat. 13: 57-117 (1969).
- [695078] Paramagnetic relaxation of trapped electrons in gammairradiated organic glasses. Chen, D. H.; Kevan, L. Mol. Cryst. 6: 183-96 (1969).
- [695130] Unstable intermediates. Part LXV. Electron spin resonance studies of radicals derived from protonated alcohols by γ-irradiation: The radicals R<sub>2</sub>COH<sub>2</sub>. Brimage, D. R. G.; Cassell, J. D. P.; Sharp, J. H.; Symons, M. C. R. J. Chem. Soc. Pt. A (17): 2619–21 (1969).
- [697036] Decay kinetics of the methyl radical produced by ultraviolet irradiation of methanol-water matrices at 77 °K. Morgan, C. U. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 91(7): 1599–1602 (1969).
- [700064] Electron scavenging in γ-irradiated liquid methanol and ethanol. Rzad, S. J.; Fendler, J. H. J. Chem. Phys. 52(10): 5395-403 (1970).
- [700096] Radiolysis of alcohols at low temperatures. Part 3. Spectrophotometric investigation of trapped electrons and other species formed by gamma-irradiation in glassy methanol. Habersbergerova, A.; Josimovic, Lj.; Teply, J. Trans. Faraday Soc. 66: 656-68 (1970).
- [700239] The radiolysis of alcohols. Freeman, G. R. Actions Chim. Biol. Radiat. 14: 73-134 (1970).
- [700246] Pulse radiolysis studies of deaerated alcoholic solutions of alkali halides and potassium hydroxide. Arai, S.; Kira, A.; Imamura, M. J. Phys. Chem. 74(10): 2102-7 (1970).
- [700360] Yield of ions and excited states produced in the radiolysis of polar organic liquids. Hayon, E. J. Chem. Phys. 53(6): 2353-8 (1970).
- [700418] Study of the steric distribution of radicals in the α- and γ-radiolysis of methanol and an aqueous solution of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 77 °K by the electronic spin echo method. Raitsimring, A. M.; Moralev, V. M.; Tsvetkov, Yu. D. High Energy Chem. 4(2): 157-8 (1970); translated from Khim. Vys. Energ. 4(2): 180-2 (1970).
- [700947] The gamma-radiolysis of methanol. Pinkerton, D. M. AERE-R 6541, 1970, 36p. (Atomic Energy Res. Establ., Harwell).
- [701014] The comparison of the radiolysis mechanism in gaseous and liquid methanol. Teply, J. Progress and Problems in Contemporary Radiation Chemistry, Teply, J., Fojtik, A., Habersbergerova, A., Janovsky, I., Prasil, Z., Santar, I., Spurny, F. (eds.), Vol. III, Prague, 1971, p.505-8. (Proc. of the 10th Czechoslovak Ann. Meeting on Radiation Chemistry, June 22-25, 1970).
- [701047] Mechanisms of hydrogen formation in the radiolysis of gaseous CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CD<sub>3</sub>OH. Klosova, E.; Teply, J.; Prasil, Z. Int. J. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 2(4): 177-86 (1970).

- [710064] Pulse radiolytic induced transient electrical conductance in liquid solutions. Part 4. The radiolysis of methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol. Fowles, P. Trans. Faraday Soc. 67(2): 428-39 (1971).
- [710091] Electronic spectra of trapped electrons in organic glasses at 4 °K. Hase, H.; Noda, M.; Higashimura, T. J. Chem. Phys. 54(7): 2975-8 (1971).
- [710101] Yields and decay processes of the solvated electron in liquid alcohols at low temperature observed by nanosecond pulse radiolysis. Baxendale, J. H.; Wardman, P. Chem. Commun. (9): 429-30 (1971).
- [710458] Pressure shifts in properties of solvated electrons in alcohols and water. Robinson, M. G.; Jha, K. N.; Freeman, G. R. J. Chem. Phys. 55(10): 4933-5 (1971).
- [710526] Electronic spectra of trapped electrons in organic glasses at 4 °K. II. Ethanol-methanol mixtures. Hase, H.; Noda, M.; Higashimura, T. J. Chem. Phys. 55(11): 5411-2 (1971).
- [710644] Reactive capacity of the solvated electron in irradiated methyl alcohol. Pikaev, A. K.; Sibirskaya, G. K.; Kabakchi, S. A., Dokl. Phys. Chem., Proc. Acad. Sci. USSR 198(4-6): 554-7 (1971); translated from Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 198(6): 1374-7 (1971).
- [710727] Effect of KOH on the kinetics of the disappearance of solvated electrons in irradiated methyl alcohol. Pikaev, A. K.; Sibirskaya, G. K.; Kabakchi, S. A. High Energy Chem. 5(5): 422-3 (1971); translated from Khim. Vys. Energ. 5(5): 470-2 (1971)
- [717394] The reactions of photogenerated solvated electrons in methanolic solutions of potassium iodide. Seki, H.; Imamura, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 44(6): 1538-43 (1971).
- [719165] Production of electrons by reaction of sodium with methanol and ethanol: Kinetics of their formation and subsequent reactions. Fletcher, J. W.; Richards, P. J. Can. J. Chem. 49(13): 2275-82 (1971).
- [720062] Rate of reaction of solvated electrons with hydrogen ions in methanol. Baxendale, J. H. Int. J. Radiat. Phys. Chem 4(1): 113-5 (1972).
- [720115] The radiation chemistry of liquid methanol. I. The oxidizing radical. Dainton, F.; Janovsky, I.; Salmon, G. A. Proc. Roy Soc. (London) Ser. A 327: 305-16 (1972).
- [720122] Nanosecond pulse radiolysis of methanolic and aqueous solutions of readily oxidizable solutes. Ellison, D. H.; Salmon, G. A.; Wilkinson, F. Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) Ser. A 328: 23-36 (1972).
- [720145] The trapping efficiency for electrons in polar glasses at 77 °K. Sawai, T.; Shinozaki, Y.; Meshitsuka, G. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 45(4): 984-7 (1972).
- [720194] Solvent structure effects and diffusion control in the reaction between solvated electrons and solvated protons in alcohols and water. Jha, K. N.; Bolton, G. L.; Freeman, G. R. Can. J. Chem. 50(18): 3073-5 (1972).
- [720197] Temperature shifts in the optical spectra of solvated electrons in methanol and ethanol. Jha, K. N.; Bolton, G. L.; Freeman, G. R. J. Phys. Chem. 76(25): 3876-83 (1972).
- [720293] Optical absorption of solvated electrons in alcohols and their mixtures with alkanes. Hentz, R. R.; Kenney-Wallace, G. J. Phys. Chem. 76(20): 2931-3 (1972).

- [720428] Electron absorption spectra of excess electrons in molecular aggregates. I. Trapped electrons in γ-irradiated amorphous solids at 77 °K. Shida, T.; Iwata, S.; Watanabe, T. J. Phys. Chem. 76(25): 3683-91 (1972).
- [720437] Solvated electrons in irradiated concentrated alkaline methanol and water-methanol mixtures. Pikaev, A. K.; Zhestkova, T. P.; Sibirskaya, G. K. J. Phys. Chem. 76(25): 3765-71 (1972).
- [720441] Precise measurements of W, the average energy required for ion pair formation. II. Alcohols and water. Meisels, G. G.; Ethridge, D. R. J. Phys. Chem. 76(25): 3842-6 (1972).
- [725012] The thermal growth of the formyl radical at 87 °K in a 50% methanol-water matrix. Morgan, C. U. J. Phys. Chem. 76(4): 494-6 (1972).
- [725140] Hydrogen atom abstraction by methyl radicals in methanol glasses at 67-77 °K. Campion, A.; Williams, F. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 94(22): 7633-7 (1972).

- [725141] Electron spin resonance studies of radical trapping in the radiolysis of organic liquids. I. Evidence for the primary formation of the methoxy radical in methanol. Wargon, J. A.; Williams, F. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 94(22): 7917-8 (1972).
- [730042] Ionic yields in methanol measured by conductometric pulse radiolysis. Lilie, J.; Chaudhri, S. A.; Mamou, A.; Graetzel, M.; Rabani, J. J. Phys. Chem. 77(5): 597-600 (1973).
- [730087] Electrons in liquid alcohols at low temperatures. Baxendale, J. H.; Wardman, P. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I 69(3): 584-94 (1973).
- [730147] Picosecond pulse radiolysis. IV. Yield of the solvated electrons at 30 picoseconds. Wolff, R. K.; Bronskill, M. J.; Aldrich, J. E.; Hunt, J. W. J. Phys. Chem. 77(11): 1350-5 (1973).
- [730292] Effect of pressure on the rates of reaction of solvated electrons and hydrogen atoms in liquid methanol. Jha, K. N.; Freeman, G. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95(18): 5891-6 (1973).