Total

| Surveys: | 50 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Reform & Flexibility | |
| The 2013-2014 Goals of all students meeting or exceeding high state academic standards are: | |
| A. Achievable and we should stop questioning the NCLB Act and press ahead. | 4% |
| B. Not achievable and we need to modify Hawaii's plan by: | 81% |
| 1. Changing the subgroup requirement to students to allow for realistic goals. | 39% |
| Changing the attendance requirement to%. | 24% |
| Allowing for more assessment/testing time. | 10% |
| Decreasing the amount of assessment/testing time. | 12% |
| 5. Other: | 15% |
| C. Other | 15% |

| Many educators have expressed their support for NCLB's goals of student achievement. | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| However, meeting NCLB's standards remains a challenge. Hawaii should: | |
| A. Allow for the use of alternative assessments in addition to standardized tests | 55% |
| B. Allow schools to track the progress of the same cohorts of students over time. | 28% |
| C. Identify schools only after the AYP targets in the same subjects and subgroup | 2% |
| are missed for two consecutive years. | |
| D. Other | 15% |

| AYP requires high schools to meet a state-defined minimum graduation rate. Hawaii's | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| graduation rate should: | |
| A. Include students who obtain a GED in their AYP graduation rates. | 32% |
| B. Include students who are successfully pursuing alternative paths that prepare them | 18% |
| for the workforce in their AYP rates. | |
| C. Accommodate students with disabilities whose IEP's recognize that additional time | 23% |
| is needed for these students to complete their education. | |
| D. Other | 27% |

| NCLB require schools to provide school choice and supplemental services. In response | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| to this, the State should: | |
| A. Allow schools to decide the order of interventions provided when a school is | 40% |
| identified as being "in need of improvement". | |
| B. Grant schools more flexibility when counting transfer students in the receiving | 21% |
| schools AYP calculations. | |
| C. Allow schools to use NCLB money to provide supplemental education services only | 28% |
| in the academic subject area that causes schools to miss AYP. | |
| D. Other | 11% |

| In response to Secretary of Education Spellings' indication that more flexibility will | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| be allowed to states, Hawaii should: | |
| A. Stick with our plan. No changes are needed. | 0% |
| B. Should aggressively seek to amend our plan. | 63% |
| C. Should look for a few ways to modify our plan. | 27% |
| D. Other | 10% |

No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Survey to Hawaii School Principals-

Administered by the Senate Committee on Education and Military Affairs

Testing

| The Federal government has allowed states to determine the different proficiency and | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| levels of standards, which largely depend on where they set their cut scores on tests | |
| and the rigor of the test questions. Concerns have been raised regarding the degree | |
| of difficulty of the Hawaii State Assessment. Hawaii's performance targets set for all students: | |
| A. Are set at the appropriate level. | 10% |
| B. Are set too high. | 75% |
| C. Are set too low. | 0% |
| D. Other | 15% |

Funding

| The Federal government has provided more flexibility in the use of federal funds, but has not | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| provided the additional funds to pay for the added costs and to provide additional staff and | |
| services to fulfill our plan. What should the State do? | |
| A. The state needs to increase its funding to match the amount of Federal funds provided. | 20% |
| B. The state needs to increase the funding by | 50% |
| Reallocating expenses within the DOE. | 18% |
| Reallocating expenses within the State government. | 42% |
| 3. Raising taxes. | 39% |
| C. Other | 30% |

Based on the current operation of my school, more funding would increase the performance of our students. We would use:

Principals indicated the amount of money they would spend on a specific expense.

Students With Disabilities

| Currently, schools can only exempt up to 1 percent of their most severely disabled students. What % of all students should be exempted? | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| A. 2% | 4% |
| B. 4% | 9% |
| C. All students with Individualized Education Plans (IEP). | 41% |
| D. Other | 46% |

Students With Limited English Proficiency

| Including students with limited English proficiency is the testing requirements presents schools | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| with considerable challenges. The State should: | |
| A. Allow for the use of native-language tests. | 8% |
| B. Allow newly arrived immigrants to be exempt from taking the reading and math assessments. | 50% |
| They should be exempt for years. | |
| C. Determined the appropriate time to transition the student from native-language tests to | 31% |
| English-only tests. | |
| D. Other | 10% |

No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Survey to Hawaii School Principals-Administered by the Senate Committee on Education and Military Affairs

| Rank the importance of each issue is to you in addressi important and 5 being the least important. | ng the problem areas; 1 being the most | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------|
| important and 5 being the least important. | Weight | Rank |
| | | |
| AYP Reform | 212 | |
| and Flexibility | 216 | 1 |
| | | |
| Testing | 144 | 4 |
| | | |
| Funding | 156 | 2 |
| Students With | | |
| Disabilities | 145 | 3 |
| Students with | | |
| Limited | | |
| English | | |
| Proficiency | 90 | 5 |

| | # of | | |
|--------------|-------------|-----|--------------|
| School Level | respondents | % | Туре |
| K-12 | 6 | 12% | Elem-High |
| K-5 | 10 | 20% | Elem |
| K-6 | 19 | 38% | Elem |
| K-8 | 2 | 4% | Elem-Inter |
| K-10 | 1 | 2% | Elem-High |
| 6-8 | 1 | 2% | Middle |
| 6-12 | 1 | 2% | Middle-High |
| 7-8 | 2 | 4% | Inter/Middle |
| 7-12 | 1 | 2% | Inter-High |
| 9-12 | 7 | 14% | High |
| | | | |

2003-04 NCLB Status

| In Good Standing, Unconditional | 21 |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| In Good Standing, Pending | 1 |
| Planning for | 7 |
| Restructuring | |
| Restructuring | 4 |
| School | |
| Improvement | 16 |
| Year 1 | |
| Corrective Action Year 1 | 1 |

2004-05 NCLB Status

| In Good Standing, Unconditional | 12 |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| In Good Standing, Pending | 10 |
| Planning for Restructuring | 4 |
| Restructuring Year 1 | 5 |
| Restructuring Year 2 | 2 |
| School Improvement Year 1 | 2 |
| School Improvement Year 2 | 15 |