Issues in Material Composition Reporting

Frank Rossman
Jabil Circuit

Content

- Full Discloser of Material Composition
- Standardization of Data Gathering Toolset
- Manufacturer Part Number Transition
- Component Composition Testing



Full Discloser of Material Composition

Background:

- •Jabil has been involved in material composition collection for 4+ years.
- •Standard at start was ELV reporting to IMDS for Auto industry
- •Auto electronics share 50%+ of electronics supply base

Strategy:

•100% reporting of material composition

Benefits:

- Prevents redundant data reporting
- •Ability to support multiple customer requirements and substance lists
- •Drives supply base to do composition analysis



Full Discloser of Material Composition (cont.)

- •Manufacturers can be reluctant to provide full disclosure
- •Red phosphorus found due to full discloser



Standardization of Data Gathering Toolset

Strategy:

- •Establish an industry accepted data gathering software tool
- •Jabil sits on 2-18 committee

Benefits:

- •One time data reporting
- •Simplifies data exchange

- •Obtaining industry wide agreement is a lengthy process
- •Compromises may dilute the value of the format



Manufacturer Part Number Transition

Strategy:

•Material composition changes should drive manufacturer part number change

Benefits:

•Ensure compliant product is designed and manufactured

- •Manufacturers are reluctant to change part numbers
- •OEMs are reluctant to change part numbers
- Inventory Control



Component Composition Testing

Strategy:

- •Component composition to be verified against material composition reports
- •Standardized testing methods

Benefits:

- •Initial verification of high risk component compliancy
- •UK DTI process

- •Large volume of new compliant components makes it prohibitive to test all components
- Lack of testing standardization
- •Lack of correlation to regulatory agency testing

