Author/Year	Outcome Category	Name of Measure	Referent Group OR	2nd Level of PA	3rd Level of PA	4th Level of PA	5th Level of PA
Ward et al., 1995 (1)	Global	Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ)	1.0	0.61; 95% CI (0.40-0.93)	-	-	-
Strawbridge et al.,1996 (2)	Global disability	ADL, IADL, Rosow-Breslau	1.0	0.59; 95% CI (0.34-1.02) ^a	-	-	-
Rantanen et al., 1997 (3)	Strength change	-	Expressed as % strength change in multiple muscle groups, inconsistent results ^b	Expressed as % strength change in multiple muscle groups, inconsistent results ^b	Expressed as % strength change in multiple muscle groups, inconsistent results ^b	Expressed as % strength change in multiple muscle groups, inconsistent results ^b	Expressed as % strength change in multiple muscle groups, inconsistent results ^b
Schroll et al., 1997 (4)	Mobility	Mobility dependency Men	1.0	0.24 (Inverse of 4.14) ^{a,b}	95% CI not provided	-	-
Schroll et al., 1997 (4)	Mobility	Mobility dependency Women	1.0	0.23 (Inverse of 4.32) ^{a,b}	95% CI not provided	-	-
Sarna et al., 1997 (5)	Global	Occupational role limitations	Average working life expectancy was higher in habitually active adults than in the reference group	Average working life expectancy(LE) was higher in habitually active adults than in the reference group	Average working life expectancy was higher in habitually active adults than in the reference group	Average working life expectancy was higher in habitually active adults than in the reference group	Average working life expectancy was higher in habitually active adults than in the reference group
Unger et al., 1997 (6)	Change in ADL/IADL	-	1.0	Decreased slope of decline in people doing several forms of PA	Decreased slope of decline in people doing several forms of PA	Decreased slope of decline in people doing several forms of PA	Decreased slope of decline in people doing several forms of PA
Huang et al., 1998 (7)	Functional limitation, Men	-	1.0	0.7; 95% CI (0.5-0.9) ^b	0.5; 95% CI (0.3-0.8) ^b	-	-
Huang et al., 1998 (7)	Functional limitation, Women	-	1.0	0.7; 95% CI (0.5-1.10)	0.7; 95% CI (0.4-1.20)	-	-
Ferrucci et al., 1999 (8)	Disabled LE	ADL	More years of disabled LE in sedentary	More years of disabled LE in sedentary	More years of disabled LE in sedentary	More years of disabled LE in sedentary	More years of disabled LE in sedentary
Kujala et al., 1999 (9)	Hip disability	-	1.0	0.46; 95% CI (0.22-0.93)	_	_	-

Author/Year	Outcome Category	Name of Measure	Referent Group OR	2nd Level of PA	3rd Level of PA	4th Level of PA	5th Level of PA
Kujala et al., 1999 (9)	Knee disability	-	1.0	0.69; 95% CI (0.39-1.21)	-	-	-
Leveille et al., 1999 (10)	ADL before death	-	1.0	0.67; 95% CI (0.47-0.98) ^a [Inverse of 1.25 (0.87-1.82)]	0.53 95% CI (0.36-0.80) ^a [Inverse of 1.86 (1.24-2.79)]	-	-
Wu et al., 1999 (11)	ADL	ADL	1.0	0.52 (0.39-0.68)	-	_	-
Brill et al., 2000 (12)	Strength, Men	-	1.0	0.56 (0.34-0.93)°	-	_	-
Brill et al., 2000 (12)	Strength, Women	-	1.0	0.54 (0.21-1.39)°	-	_	-
Hirvensalo et al., 2000 (13)	ADL	Dependence, Men	1.0	1.10 (0.27-4.55) [Inverse of 0.91 (0.22-3.70)] ^a	In adults with no or mild limitation at baseline	-	-
Hirvensalo et al., 2000 (13)	ADL	Dependence, Women	1.0	0.85 (0.45-1.59) [Inverse of 1.17 (0.63-2.22)] ^a	In adults with no or mild limitation at baseline	-	-
Miller et al., 2000 (14)	Mobility	Ability to walk, climb stairs, stand, and stoop	1.0	0.68 (0.58-0.80)	-	-	-
Miller et al., 2000 (14)	IADL/ADL	Selected IADL and ADL items	1.0	0.74 (0.62-0.89)	-	-	-
Ostbye et al., 2002 (15)	Mobility	Difficulty walking	1.0	0.53 (0.44-0.64)	0.35 (0.30-0.41)	0.21 (0.17-0.25)	-
Ostbye et al., 2002 (15)	ADL	ADL	1.0	0.53 (0.43-0.66)	0.44 (0.36-0.52)	0.28 (0.22-0.36)	-
Ostbye et al., 2002 (15)	Mobility	Difficulty climbing stairs	1.0	0.72 (0.61-0.85)	0.50 (0.43-0.58)	0.26 (0.22-0.31)	-
Ostbye et al., 2002 (15)	Global	Role limitation = inability to do paid work	1.0	0.51 (0.40-0.64)	0.46 (0.37-0.55)	0.25 (0.19-0.33)	-

Author/Year	Outcome Category	Name of Measure	Referent Group OR	2nd Level of PA	3rd Level of PA	4th Level of PA	5th Level of PA
Ostbye et al., 2002 (15)	Other	Hospitalization	1.0	0.83 (0.70-0.97)	0.70 (0.61-0.81)	0.60 (0.51-0.71)	-
Stessman et al., 2002 (16)	ADL	ADL	1.0	0.23 (0.09-0.56) [Inverse of 4.30 (1.80-10.6)]ª	Subgroup analysis found significant effects in both men and women	-	_
Stessman et al., 2002 (16)	IADL	IADL	1.0	0.43 (0.20-0.91) [Inverse of 2.30 (1.10-5.10)]ª	Subgroup analysis found significant effect in men but non- significant trend in women	_	_
Wang et al., 2002 (17)	Global	Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ)	Non-runners had more worsening of HAQ scores over 13 years than did runners	Non-runners had more worsening of HAQ scores over 13 years than did runners	Non-runners had more worsening of HAQ scores over 13 years than did runners	Non-runners had more worsening of HAQ scores over 13 years than did runners	Non-runners had more worsening of HAQ scores over 13 years than did runners
Brach et al., 2003 (18)	ADL, global, and mobility	Any ADL difficulty, Physical Performance Test (PPT), and gait speed	The higher the level of PA over time (assessed in both 1985 and 1995), the better the functional performance and role performance as judged by gait speed, PPT, and ADL difficulties (i.e., tests for trends across PA levels were significant) ^b	The higher the level of PA over time (assessed in both 1985 and 1995), the better the functional performance and role performance as judged by gait speed, PPT, and ADL difficulties (i.e., tests for trends across PA levels were significant) ^b	The higher the level of PA over time (assessed in both 1985 and 1995), the better the functional performance and role performance as judged by gait speed, PPT, and ADL difficulties (i.e., tests for trends across PA levels were significant) ^b	The higher the level of PA over time (assessed in both 1985 and 1995), the better the functional performance and role performance as judged by gait speed, PPT, and ADL difficulties (i.e., tests for trends across PA levels were significant) ^b	The higher the level of PA over time (assessed in both 1985 and 1995), the better the functional performance and role performance as judged by gait speed, PPT, and ADL difficulties (i.e., tests for trends across PA levels were significant) ^b
He et al., 2004 (19) ("Light PA")	Mobility	Difficulty walking/ climbing stairs	1.0	0.89 (0.65-1.16)	0.78 (0.56-1.03)	0.69 (0.49-0.92)	0.75 (0.53-1.01)
He et al., 2004 (19) ("Vigorous PA/ household chores")	Mobility	Difficulty walking/ climbing stairs	1.0	0.83 (0.69-0.97)	0.73 (0.59-0.89)	0.58 (0.48-0.70)	0.57 (0.43-0.76)

Author/Year	Outcome Category	Name of Measure	Referent Group OR	2nd Level of PA	3rd Level of PA	4th Level of PA	5th Level of PA
Haight et al., 2005 (20)	Functional limitations at any of 4 surveys, Men	-	1.0	0.63; 95% CI (0.00-0.92)	-	-	-
Haight et al., 2005 (20)	Functional limitations at any of 4 surveys, Women	-	1.0	0.47; 95% CI (0.14-0.92)	-	_	-
Hillsdon et al., 2005 (21)	Not having highest SF-36	SF-36	1.0	0.91; 95% CI (0.74-1.14)	0.63; 95% CI (0.5-0.77)	-	-
Simonsick et al., 2005 (22)	LE performance	-	1.0	Greater decline in walkers <u>></u> 8 blocks vs. non-walkers	Greater decline in walkers ≥ 8 blocks vs. non-walkers	Greater decline in walkers <u>></u> 8 blocks vs. non-walkers	Greater decline in walkers <u>></u> 8 blocks vs. non-walkers
Visser et al., 2005 (23)	Mobility, Men	-	1.0	0.70; 95% CI (0.54-0.85) [Inverse of 1.47 (0.56-0.89)] ^a	0.48; 95% CI (0.30-0.63) [Inverse of 2.08 (1.60-2.70)] ^a	-	-
Visser et al., 2005 (23)	Mobility, Women	-	1.0	0.73; 95% CI (0.57-0.93) [Inverse of 1.44 (1.12-1.84)] ^a	0.51; 95% CI (0.38-0.66) [Inverse of 1.98 (1.51-2.60)] ^a	-	-
Wannamethee et al., 2005 (24)	Mobility	Any of 3 mobility problems	1.0	0.90 (0.68-1.19)	0.88 (0.64-1.21)	0.77 (0.58-1.03)	_
Backmand et al., 2006 (25)	Daily activities	-	1.0	0.89; 95% CI (0.83- 0.95) Risk per MET	-	-	_
Berk et al., 2006 (26)	Global	Health Assessment Questionnaire	"Sedentary" and "Exercise Decreasers" had more worsening of HAQ scores over 16 years compared to "Exercisers" and "Exercise Increasers"	"Sedentary" and "Exercise Decreasers" had more worsening of HAQ scores over 16 years compared to "Exercisers" and "Exercise Increasers"	"Sedentary" and "Exercise Decreasers" had more worsening of HAQ scores over 16 years compared to "Exercisers" and "Exercise Increasers"	"Sedentary" and "Exercise Decreasers" had more worsening of HAQ scores over 16 years compared to "Exercisers" and "Exercise Increasers"	"Sedentary" and "Exercise Decreasers" had more worsening of HAQ scores over 16 years compared to "Exercisers" and "Exercise Increasers"

Author/Year	Outcome Category	Name of Measure	Referent Group OR	2nd Level of PA	3rd Level of PA	4th Level of PA	5th Level of PA
Christensen et al., 2006 (27)	Mobility	Mobility-Tiredness Scale	1.0	0.18; 95% CI (0.05- 0.60) [Inverse of 5.65 (1.66-19.28)]ª	-	-	-
Patel et al., 2006 (28)	Mobility, Men	400-meter walk	1.0	0.37; 95% CI (0.15-0.93)	0.23; 95% CI (0.09-0.63)	-	-
Patel et al., 2006 (28)	Mobility, Women	400-meter walk	1.0	0.69; 95% CI (0.37-1.28)	0.70; 95% CI (0.31-1.59)	-	-
Tessier et al., 2007 (29)	Physical Function	SF-36	Modest but significant association between change in PA and change in physical function domain	Modest but significant association between change in PA and change in physical function domain	Modest but significant association between change in PA and change in physical function domain	Modest but significant association between change in PA and change in physical function domain	Modest but significant association between change in PA and change in physical function domain

ADL, activities of daily living; CI, confidence interval; HAQ, health assessment questionnaire; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; LE, life expectancy; MET, metabolic equivalent; PA, physical activity; PPT, physical performance test; SF-36, short form health survey with 36 questions

^a Odd ratios (ORs) were recalculated to use the least active group as the reference category.

^b Both physical activity and fitness were measured.

^c The study by Brill et al., 2000 (12) was not included in the review for the overall conclusions because it did not include any measure of physical activity. It was considered in the limitations section because it provided information about muscle strength and function.

Reference List

- 1. Ward MM, Hubert HB, Shi H, Bloch DA. Physical disability in older runners: prevalence, risk factors, and progression with age. J.Gerontol.A Biol.Sci.Med.Sci. 1995 Mar;50(2):M70-M77.
- 2. Strawbridge WJ, Cohen RD, Shema SJ, Kaplan GA. Successful aging: predictors and associated activities. Am.J.Epidemiol. 1996 Jul 15;144(2):135-41.
- 3. Rantanen T, Era P, Heikkinen E. Physical activity and the changes in maximal isometric strength in men and women from the age of 75 to 80 years. J.Am.Geriatr.Soc. 1997 Dec;45(12):1439-45.

- 4. Schroll M, Avlund K, Davidsen M. Predictors of five-year functional ability in a longitudinal survey of men and women aged 75 to 80. The 1914-population in Glostrup, Denmark. Aging (Milano.) 1997 Feb;9(1-2):143-52.
- 5. Sarna S, Kaprio J, Kujala UM, Koskenvuo M. Health status of former elite athletes. The Finnish experience. Aging (Milano.) 1997 Feb;9(1-2):35-41.
- 6. Unger JB, Johnson CA, Marks G. Functional decline in the elderly: evidence for direct and stress-buffering protective effects of social interactions and physical activity. Ann.Behav.Med. 1997;19(2):152-60.
- 7. Huang Y, Macera CA, Blair SN, Brill PA, Kohl HW, III, Kronenfeld JJ. Physical fitness, physical activity, and functional limitation in adults aged 40 and older. Med.Sci.Sports Exerc. 1998 Sep;30(9):1430-5.
- 8. Ferrucci L, Izmirlian G, Leveille S, Phillips CL, Corti MC, Brock DB, Guralnik JM. Smoking, physical activity, and active life expectancy. Am.J.Epidemiol. 1999 Apr 1;149(7):645-53.
- 9. Kujala UM, Sarna S, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Karjalainen J. Heart attacks and lower-limb function in master endurance athletes. Med.Sci.Sports Exerc. 1999 Jul;31(7):1041-6.
- 10. Leveille SG, Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Langlois JA. Aging successfully until death in old age: opportunities for increasing active life expectancy. Am.J.Epidemiol. 1999 Apr 1;149(7):654-64.
- 11. Wu SC, Leu SY, Li CY. Incidence of and predictors for chronic disability in activities of daily living among older people in Taiwan. J.Am.Geriatr.Soc. 1999 Sep;47(9):1082-6.
- 12. Brill PA, Macera CA, Davis DR, Blair SN, Gordon N. Muscular strength and physical function. Med.Sci.Sports Exerc. 2000 Feb;32(2):412-6.
- 13. Hirvensalo M, Rantanen T, Heikkinen E. Mobility difficulties and physical activity as predictors of mortality and loss of independence in the community-living older population. J.Am.Geriatr.Soc. 2000 May;48(5):493-8.
- 14. Miller ME, Rejeski WJ, Reboussin BA, Ten Have TR, Ettinger WH. Physical activity, functional limitations, and disability in older adults. J.Am.Geriatr.Soc. 2000 Oct;48(10):1264-72.

- 15. Ostbye T, Taylor DH, Jung SH. A longitudinal study of the effects of tobacco smoking and other modifiable risk factors on ill health in middle-aged and old Americans: results from the Health and Retirement Study and Asset and Health Dynamics among the Oldest Old survey. Prev.Med. 2002 Mar;34(3):334-45.
- Stessman J, Hammerman-Rozenberg R, Maaravi Y, Cohen A. Effect of exercise on ease in performing activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living from age 70 to 77: the Jerusalem longitudinal study. J.Am.Geriatr.Soc. 2002 Dec;50(12):1934-8.
- 17. Wang BW, Ramey DR, Schettler JD, Hubert HB, Fries JF. Postponed development of disability in elderly runners: a 13-year longitudinal study. Arch.Intern.Med. 2002 Nov 11;162(20):2285-94.
- 18. Brach JS, FitzGerald S, Newman AB, Kelsey S, Kuller L, VanSwearingen JM, Kriska AM. Physical activity and functional status in community-dwelling older women: a 14-year prospective study. Arch.Intern.Med. 2003 Nov 24;163(21):2565-71.
- 19. He XZ, Baker DW. Body mass index, physical activity, and the risk of decline in overall health and physical functioning in late middle age. Am.J.Public Health 2004 Sep;94(9):1567-73.
- 20. Haight T, Tager I, Sternfeld B, Satariano W, van der LM. Effects of body composition and leisure-time physical activity on transitions in physical functioning in the elderly. Am.J.Epidemiol. 2005 Oct 1;162(7):607-17.
- 21. Hillsdon MM, Brunner EJ, Guralnik JM, Marmot MG. Prospective study of physical activity and physical function in early old age. Am.J.Prev.Med. 2005 Apr;28(3):245-50.
- 22. Simonsick EM, Guralnik JM, Volpato S, Balfour J, Fried LP. Just get out the door! Importance of walking outside the home for maintaining mobility: findings from the women's health and aging study. J.Am.Geriatr.Soc. 2005 Feb;53(2):198-203.
- 23. Visser M, Simonsick EM, Colbert LH, Brach J, Rubin SM, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, Harris TB. Type and intensity of activity and risk of mobility limitation: the mediating role of muscle parameters. J.Am.Geriatr.Soc. 2005 May;53(5):762-70.
- 24. Wannamethee SG, Ebrahim S, Papacosta O, Shaper AG. From a postal questionnaire of older men, healthy lifestyle factors reduced the onset of and may have increased recovery from mobility limitation. J.Clin.Epidemiol. 2005 Aug;58(8):831-40.
- 25. Backmand H, Kaprio J, Kujala UM, Sarna S, Fogelholm M. Physical and psychological functioning of daily living in relation to physical activity. A longitudinal study among former elite male athletes and controls. Aging Clin.Exp.Res. 2006 Feb;18(1):40-9.

- 26. Berk DR, Hubert HB, Fries JF. Associations of changes in exercise level with subsequent disability among seniors: a 16-year longitudinal study. J.Gerontol.A Biol.Sci.Med.Sci. 2006 Jan;61(1):97-102.
- 27. Christensen U, Stovring N, Schultz-Larsen K, Schroll M, Avlund K. Functional ability at age 75: is there an impact of physical inactivity from middle age to early old age? Scand.J.Med.Sci.Sports 2006 Aug;16(4):245-51.
- 28. Patel KV, Coppin AK, Manini TM, Lauretani F, Bandinelli S, Ferrucci L, Guralnik JM. Midlife physical activity and mobility in older age: The InCHIANTI study. Am.J.Prev.Med. 2006 Sep;31(3):217-24.
- 29. Tessier S, Vuillemin A, Bertrais S, Boini S, Le BE, Oppert JM, Hercberg S, Guillemin F, Briancon S. Association between leisure-time physical activity and health-related quality of life changes over time. Prev.Med. 2007 Mar;44(3):202-8.