

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the analytical methods that are available for detecting, measuring, and/or monitoring perchlorates, its metabolites, and other biomarkers of exposure and effect to perchlorates. The intent is not to provide an exhaustive list of analytical methods. Rather, the intention is to identify well-established methods that are used as the standard methods of analysis. Many of the analytical methods used for environmental samples are the methods approved by federal agencies and organizations such as EPA and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). Other methods presented in this chapter are those that are approved by groups such as the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) and the American Public Health Association (APHA). Additionally, analytical methods are included that modify previously used methods to obtain lower detection limits and/or to improve accuracy and precision.

In January 1997, the California Department of Health Services (DHS) began to test for perchlorate in drinking water wells near the Aerojet production facility outside of Sacramento (EPA 1999a). At that time, the best analytical method available had sensitivities of 400 µg/L. Subsequently, it was improved to 100 µg/L by Aerojet Corporation. Existing data indicated that a 4 µg/L detection limit was required for a comprehensive assessment of the Aerojet site. By March of the same year, the California DHS, in collaboration with an analytical equipment manufacturer, refined the methodology to achieve a method detection limit of approximately 1 µg/L and a reporting limit of 4 µg/L.

With this analytical methodology in place, monitoring studies soon indicated that perchlorate contamination existed far beyond the boundaries of the Aerojet site. Because of concern for potential widespread perchlorate contamination and the importance of ammonium perchlorate in military and aerospace operations there has been a dramatic increase in the research on determining trace quantities of the perchlorate anion, especially in raw and finished drinking water supplies (Urbansky 2000). Extensive effort has also been expended to modify the quantitative techniques developed for water to measure perchlorate in other environmental matrices, such as soil, plants, blood, or sludge.

To date, only one standardized method for quantifying perchlorate is available, EPA method 314.0, Determination of Perchlorate in Drinking Water Using Ion Chromatography (EPA 1999a). The ion chromatography (IC) method is expected to dominate the quantitation of perchlorate in environmental samples because this method is widely available in analytical laboratories (Urbansky 2000). As research

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

in this area continues, modifications to this method are expected to make it applicable to a wide variety of different environmental matrices.

7.1 BIOLOGICAL MATERIALS

No standardized methods for the detection of perchlorates in biological samples have been reported. Ion chromatography has been used to detect perchlorate in human breast milk and cow's milk (Kirk et al. 2005). Ells et al. (2000) describe a method for determining perchlorate in urine samples using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. A method using ion chromatography and electrospray tandem mass spectrometry for determining perchlorate in urine (limit of detection 0.025 ng/mL) showed an association between urinary levels and drinking water concentrations of perchlorate (Valentín-Blasini et al. 2005). A similar method was used for detecting perchlorate in food (Krynitsky et al. 2004). Perchlorate has also been measured in plants (Nzengung et al. 1999; Smith et al. 2001; Urbansky et al. 2000c) and mammals, amphibians, fish, and insects (Dodds et al. 2004; Smith et al. 2001) using IC. Narayanan et al. (2003) described a method for measuring perchlorate in biological samples that uses high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled with conductivity detection. The lower detection limits determined for perchlorate in the fluids and tissues of rats were reported to be 3–6 ng/mL and 0.007–0.7 mg/kg, respectively. Studies have been identified where the concentration of perchlorate in urine samples was determined (Lamm et al. 1999; Lawrence et al. 2000); however, the analytical methods were not provided.

7.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

EPA method 314.0 (EPA 1999a) was developed for the analysis of perchlorate in drinking water samples by IC. This method reports a minimum detection limit of 0.53 µg/L and a minimum reporting limit of 4 µg/L. Separation of anions is accomplished on a Dionex IonPac AS5 ion chromatography column (or equivalent) using a 50 mM sodium hydroxide eluent. Sample detection is accomplished using a suppressed conductivity detector (Dionex CD20). Large concentrations of other anions, such as chloride, sulfate, or carbonate may interfere with the analysis. Perchlorate identification is based on retention time. Other variations of the IC method for determining perchlorate in water samples have also been described (Ellington and Evans 2000; Jackson et al. 1999, 2000; Liu et al. 2002, 2003; Okamoto et al. 1999; Polesello et al. 2001; Tian et al. 2003).

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Another technique that is used to determine perchlorate in water samples is electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, which takes advantage of the relatively small size of the perchlorate anion (Urbansky 2000). This technique has been used to determine perchlorate in a variety of water samples (Ells et al. 2000; Koester et al. 2000; Magnuson et al. 2000). The detection limit of this technique is approximately 0.030 µg/L if microextraction using an organic solvent was employed before analysis (Urbansky 2000). Winkler et al. (2004) describe a method for detecting perchlorate in water and soil by electrospray liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry. The method detection limits were 0.05 µg/L for water and 0.5 µg/kg for soil. U.S. Army Corp of Engineers (2004) has described a calorimetric method for the field screening of water and soil samples. Detection limits were 1 µg/L for water and 0.3 µg/g for soil.

IC has also been used to analyze fertilizer samples for perchlorate (Collette 2001; Collette et al. 2003; De Borba and Urbansky 2002). In addition to IC, Collette et al. (2003) analyzed fertilizer for perchlorate using complexation electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, and high field asymmetric waveform mass spectrometry in addition to IC. These authors reported that using these techniques in concert offers a more powerful approach since each method depends on a different property of perchlorate for detection.

7.3 ADEQUACY OF THE DATABASE

Section 104(i)(5) of CERCLA, as amended, directs the Administrator of ATSDR (in consultation with the Administrator of EPA and agencies and programs of the Public Health Service) to assess whether adequate information on the health effects of perchlorates is available. Where adequate information is not available, ATSDR, in conjunction with NTP, is required to assure the initiation of a program of research designed to determine the health effects (and techniques for developing methods to determine such health effects) of perchlorates.

The following categories of possible data needs have been identified by a joint team of scientists from ATSDR, NTP, and EPA. They are defined as substance-specific informational needs that if met would reduce the uncertainties of human health assessment. This definition should not be interpreted to mean that all data needs discussed in this section must be filled. In the future, the identified data needs will be evaluated and prioritized, and a substance-specific research agenda will be proposed.

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

7.3.1 Identification of Data Needs

Methods for Determining Biomarkers of Exposure and Effect. A method to detect perchlorate in urine using ion chromatography and electrospray tandem mass spectrometry found an association between perchlorate urinary levels and drinking water levels of perchlorate (Valentín-Blasini et al. 2005). Kirk et al (2005) used ion chromatography to detect perchlorate in breast milk, but could not associate the levels with drinking water levels. Urinary iodine levels and serum levels of iodine, T4, T3, and TSH hold potential for biomarkers of exposure and effect if they can be correlated with environmental exposures. This methodology would better allow the degree of human exposure to perchlorate to be determined. The detection of perchlorate in urine may also represent a potential biomarker of exposure. Modification of existing techniques used to detect perchlorate in water may prove useful for its analysis in urine.

Methods for Determining Parent Compounds and Degradation Products in Environmental Media. Surface water, groundwater, and drinking water have been monitored using EPA standard method 314.0. Derivations of this ion chromatography method have been used to determine perchlorate in a variety of different environmental media. Although further work to develop methods that can quantify perchlorate in a wider variety of matrices is required, this is currently a highly active area of research. These methods involve electrospray ionization mass spectrometry.

7.3.2 Ongoing Studies

No ongoing analytical methodology studies were located as a result of a search of Federal Research in Progress (FEDRIP 2005). It should be noted that new techniques are continually being applied to the IC method to allow a variety of different sample matrices to be analyzed. It should also be noted that additional information on the accuracy of other quantitative techniques that can be used to measure perchlorate is continually appearing in the scientific literature. Much of this work is being performed by both private and Governmental laboratories and, therefore, would not be cited in FEDRIP. Interested readers that require the latest information on analytical techniques that can be used to quantify perchlorate are urged to consult the scientific literature.