



QA:N/A



TRANSIT NOISE AND VIBRATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT

FTA-VA-90-1003-06

May 2006



Office of Planning and Environment
Federal Transit Administration

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE May 2006		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment				5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Carl E. Hanson, David A. Towers, and Lance D. Meister					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Harris Miller Miller & Hanson Inc. 77 South Bedford Street Burlington, MA 01803				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 299600	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration Office of Planning and Environment 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E. Washington, DC 20590				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER FTA-VA-90-1003-06	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract management and final production performed by: Frank Spielberg and Kristine Wickham Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. 8300 Boone Blvd., Suite 700 Vienna, VA 22182					
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT This document is available from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield VA 22161. Phone: 1-888-584-8332 or 703-605-6050; Web site: www.ntis.gov.				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This report is the second edition of a guidance manual originally issued in 1995 which presents procedures for predicting and assessing noise and vibration impacts of proposed mass transit projects. All types of bus and rail projects are covered. Procedures for assessing noise and vibration impacts are provided for different stages of project development, from early planning before mode and alignment have been selected through preliminary engineering and final design. Both for noise and vibration, there are three levels of analysis described. The framework acts as a screening process, reserving detailed analysis for projects with the greatest potential for impacts while allowing a simpler process for projects with little or no effects. This updated guidance contains noise and vibration impact criteria that are used to assess the magnitude of predicted impacts. A range of mitigation measures are described for dealing with adverse noise and vibration impacts. There is a discussion of noise and vibration during the construction stage and also discussion of how the technical information should be presented in the Federal Transit Administration's environmental documents. This guidance will be of interest not only to technical specialists who conduct the analyses but also to transit agency staff, federal agency reviewers, and members of the general public who may be affected by the projects.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS Transit noise and vibration analysis, noise and vibration impact criteria, noise and vibration mitigation measures, environmental impact assessment, National Environmental Policy Act compliance.				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 274	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified				16. PRICE CODE	
				18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	
19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified		20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT			

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear in the document only because they are considered essential to the content of the report.



TRANSIT NOISE AND VIBRATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT

FTA-VA-90-1003-06

May 2006

Prepared for: Federal Transit Administration
Office of Planning and Environment
Washington DC 20590

Prepared by: Harris Miller Miller & Hanson Inc.
77 South Bedford Street
Burlington, MA 01803

Produced by: Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.
8300 Boone Boulevard, Suite 700
Vienna, VA 22182

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	xvii
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Purpose.....	1-1
1.2 The Environmental Review Process	1-1
1.3 Noise and Vibration Analysis in Planning and Project Development	1-3
1.4 Organization of the Manual.....	1-5
References.....	1-6
2. BASIC NOISE CONCEPTS	2-1
2.1 Fundamentals of Noise	2-2
2.2 Sources of Transit Vehicle Noise.....	2-6
2.3 Paths of Transit Noise, From Source to Receiver.....	2-10
2.4 Receiver Response to Transit Noise	2-13
2.5 Descriptors for Transit Noise	2-15
2.5.1 A-weighted Sound Level: The Basic Noise Unit	2-15
2.5.2 Maximum Sound Level (L_{max}) During a Single Noise Event	2-16
2.5.3 Sound Exposure Level (SEL): Exposure from a Single Noise Event.....	2-17
2.5.4 Hourly Equivalent Sound Level ($L_{eq}(h)$)	2-19
2.5.5 Day-Night Sound Level (L_{dn}): 24-Hour Exposure from All Events	2-22
2.5.6 A Noise-Exposure Analogy for L_{eq} and L_{dn}	2-24
2.5.7 Summary of Noise Descriptors.....	2-25
References.....	2-26
3. NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA	3-1
3.1 Noise Impact Criteria for Transit Projects	3-2
3.1.1 Basis of Noise Impact Criteria	3-3
3.1.2 Defining the Levels of Impact	3-5
3.2 Application of Noise Impact Criteria.....	3-7
3.2.1 Noise-Sensitive Land Uses	3-7
3.2.2 Noise Metrics.....	3-8
3.2.3 Considerations in Applying the Noise Impact Criteria.....	3-9
3.2.4 Mitigation Policy Considerations.....	3-10
3.2.5 Determining the Need for Noise Mitigation.....	3-11
3.3 Noise Impact Criteria for Highway/Transit Projects	3-13
3.3.1 FHWA Impact Criteria.....	3-14
3.3.2 Use of Impact Criteria	3-15
References.....	3-17

4. NOISE SCREENING PROCEDURE	4-1
4.1 Screening Distances	4-1
4.2 Steps in Screening Procedure	4-2
References.....	4-5
5. GENERAL NOISE ASSESSMENT	5-1
5.1 Overview	5-2
5.2 Noise Source Levels for General Assessment	5-4
5.2.1 Fixed-Guideway Transit Sources	5-4
5.2.2 Highway/Transit Sources.....	5-7
5.2.3 Stationary Sources	5-9
5.3 Computation of Noise Exposure-vs.-Distance Curves.....	5-12
5.4 Estimating Existing Noise Exposure	5-13
5.5 Determining Noise Impact Contours	5-15
5.6 Inventory Noise Impact	5-17
References.....	5-30
6. DETAILED NOISE ANALYSIS	6-1
6.1 Receivers of Interest.....	6-4
6.1.1 Identifying Noise-Sensitive Land Uses	6-4
6.1.2 Selecting Individual Receivers of Interest.....	6-5
6.1.3 Clustering Residential Neighborhoods and Noise-Sensitive Areas.....	6-6
6.2 Project Noise.....	6-7
6.2.1 Fixed-Guideway Sources.....	6-7
6.2.2 Highway/Transit Sources.....	6-14
6.2.3 Stationary Sources	6-18
6.3 Propagation Characteristics.....	6-21
6.3.1 Noise Exposure vs. Distance.....	6-21
6.3.2 Shielding at each Receiver	6-25
6.3.3 Combined Propagation Characteristics	6-28
6.4 Combined Noise Exposure from All Sources.....	6-28
6.5 Maximum Noise Level for Fixed-Guideway Sources	6-29
6.6 Study Area Characteristics	6-30
6.6.1 Deciding Whether to Measure, Compute or Estimate	6-30
6.6.2 Noise Exposure Measurements	6-31
6.6.3 Noise Exposure Computations from Partial Measurements.....	6-31
6.6.4 Estimating Existing Noise Exposure	6-33
6.7 Noise Impact Assessment	6-33
6.7.1 Assessment for Rail and Bus Facilities	6-34
6.7.2 Assessment for Highway/Transit Projects	6-35
6.8 Mitigation of Noise Impact.....	6-36
6.8.1 Noise Mitigation Measures	6-36
6.8.2 Source Treatments	6-37
6.8.3 Path Treatments	6-41
6.8.4 Receiver Treatments	6-43
References.....	6-45

7. BASIC GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION CONCEPTS	7-1
7.1 Descriptors of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise.....	7-3
7.1.1 Vibratory Motion.....	7-3
7.1.2 Amplitude Descriptors	7-3
7.1.3 Ground-Borne Noise.....	7-4
7.2 Human Perception of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise	7-5
7.2.1 Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise.....	7-5
7.2.2 Quantifying Human Response to Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise	7-6
7.3 Ground-Borne Vibration for Different Transit Modes	7-8
7.4 Factors that Influence Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise	7-10
References.....	7-12
8. VIBRATION IMPACT CRITERIA	8-1
8.1 Vibration Impact Criteria for General Assessment.....	8-2
8.1.1 Sensitive-Use Categories	8-2
8.1.2 Existing Vibration Conditions.....	8-4
8.1.3 Application to Freight Trains.....	8-5
8.2 Vibration Impact Criteria for Detailed Analysis.....	8-6
8.2.1 Ground-Borne Vibration.....	8-6
8.2.2 Ground-Borne Noise.....	8-8
References.....	8-9
9. VIBRATION SCREENING PROCEDURE	9-1
9.1 Steps in Screening Procedure	9-1
9.2 Screening Distances	9-3
9.2.1 Project Categories	9-3
9.2.2 Distances.....	9-3
10. GENERAL VIBRATION ASSESSMENT	10-1
10.1 Selection of Base Curve for Ground Surface Vibration Level.....	10-2
10.2 Adjustments	10-6
10.3 Inventory of Vibration-Impacted Locations.....	10-12
References.....	10-13
11. DETAILED VIBRATION ANALYSIS	11-1
11.1 Characterizing Existing Vibration Conditions	11-2
11.2 Vibration Prediction Procedure	11-5
11.2.1 Overview of Prediction Procedure	11-5
11.2.2 Major Steps in Detailed Analysis	11-8
11.3 Measuring Transfer Mobility and Force Density	11-12
11.3.1 Instrumentation.....	11-13
11.3.2 Analysis of Transfer Mobility Data	11-15
11.3.3 Deriving Force Density	11-17
11.4 Assessment of Vibration Impact	11-17
11.5 Vibration Mitigation.....	11-18
References.....	11-24

12. NOISE AND VIBRATION DURING CONSTRUCTION	12-1
12.1 Construction Noise Assessment.....	12-1
12.1.1 Quantitative Noise Assessment Methods.....	12-3
12.1.2 Noise from Typical Construction Equipment and Operations.....	12-5
12.1.3 Construction Noise Criteria.....	12-7
12.1.4 Mitigation of Construction Noise.....	12-8
12.2 Construction Vibration Assessment.....	12-10
12.2.1 Quantitative Construction Vibration Assessment Methods.....	12-11
12.2.2 Vibration Source Levels from Construction Equipment.....	12-12
12.2.3 Construction Vibration Mitigation.....	12-13
References.....	12-15
13. DOCUMENTATION OF NOISE AND VIBRATION ASSESSMENT	13-1
13.1 The Technical Report on Noise and Vibration.....	13-1
13.1.1 Organization of Technical Report.....	13-2
13.2 The Environmental Document.....	13-3
13.2.1 Organization of Sections of Environmental Documents.....	13-5
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A – GLOSSARY OF TERMS.....	A-1
APPENDIX B – BACKGROUND FOR TRANSIT NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA.....	B-1
APPENDIX C – SELECTING RECEIVERS OF INTEREST.....	C-1
APPENDIX D – DETERMINING EXISTING NOISE.....	D-1
APPENDIX E – COMPUTING SOURCE LEVELS FROM MEASUREMENTS.....	E-1
APPENDIX F – COMPUTING NOISE LEVEL FOR A SINGLE TRAIN PASSBY.....	F-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: The Source-Path-Receiver Framework	2-1
Figure 2-2: Graph for Approximate Decibel Addition	2-3
Figure 2-3: Noise Spectrum of Transit Train on Curve on Elevated Structure.....	2-4
Figure 2-4: A-weighting Curve	2-5
Figure 2-5: Example Sound Level Dependence on Speed.....	2-6
Figure 2-6: Attenuation Due to Distance (divergence).....	2-11
Figure 2-7: Attenuation Due to Soft Ground	2-11
Figure 2-8: Noise Barrier Geometry.....	2-12
Figure 2-9: Community Reaction to New Noise in a Residential Urban Environment	2-14
Figure 2-10: Community Annoyance Due to Noise.....	2-14
Figure 2-11: Typical A-weighted Sound Levels	2-16
Figure 2-12: Typical Transit-Vehicle Passby	2-17
Figure 2-13: Typical Fixed-Facility Noise Event.....	2-18
Figure 2-14: An "Energy" View of Noise Events	2-19
Figure 2-15: Example A-weighted Sound Level Time Histories.....	2-21
Figure 2-16: Typical Hourly L_{eq} 's.....	2-22
Figure 2-17: Typical L_{dn} 's	2-23
Figure 3-1: Noise Impact Criteria for Transit Projects.....	3-3
Figure 3-2: Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels Allowed by Criteria	3-6
Figure 5-1: Procedure for General Noise Assessment	5-3
Figure 5-2: Curves for Estimating Exposure vs. Distance in General Noise Assessment	5-13
Figure 5-3: Noise Impacts of Commuter Rail.....	5-19
Figure 5-4: Example: Siting of Transit Center in Mixed Commercial/Residential Area	5-27
Figure 6-1: Procedure for Detailed Analysis	6-3
Figure 6-2: Guide to Selecting Receivers of Interest	6-4
Figure 6-3: Flow Diagram for Determining Project Noise at 50 feet	6-8
Figure 6-4: Flow Diagram for Determining Project Noise at Receiver Location.....	6-21
Figure 6-5: Computation of Ground Factor G for Ground Attenuation.....	6-23
Figure 6-6: Example Exposure-vs.-Distance Curves	6-24

Figure 6-7: Sketch Showing Noise Barrier Parameter "P"	6-26
Figure 6-8: Flow Diagram for Determining Existing Noise	6-30
Figure 6-9: Recommended Microphone Locations for Existing Noise Measurements	6-32
Figure 7-1: Propagation of Ground-Borne Vibration into Buildings.....	7-2
Figure 7-2: Different Methods of Describing a Vibration Signal	7-3
Figure 7-3: Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration.....	7-5
Figure 7-4: Response to Transit-Induced Residential Vibration	7-7
Figure 8-1: Criteria for Detailed Vibration Analysis	8-7
Figure 9-1: Flow Chart of Vibration Screening Process.....	9-2
Figure 10-1: Generalized Ground Surface Vibration Curves	10-3
Figure 11-1: Block Diagram of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Model	11-6
Figure 11-2: Typical Force Densities for Rail Transit Vehicles	11-9
Figure 11-3: Example of Point-Source Transfer Mobility	11-10
Figure 11-4: Example of Line-Source Transfer Mobility.....	11-10
Figure 11-5: Foundation Response for Various Types of Buildings.....	11-12
Figure 11-6: Test Configuration for Measuring Transfer Mobility.....	11-13
Figure 11-7: Equipment Required for Field Testing and Laboratory Analysis	11-14
Figure 11-8: Analysis of Transfer Mobility.....	11-15
Figure 11-9: Schematic of Transfer Mobility Measurements Using a Line of Impacts.....	11-16
Figure C-1: Example of Receiver Map Showing Cluster Boundaries.....	C-5

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Sources of Transit Noise	2-8
Table 3-1: Noise Levels Defining Impact for Transit Projects	3-4
Table 3-2: Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact Criteria.....	3-5
Table 3-3: Noise Impact Criteria: Effect on Cumulative Noise Exposure.....	3-7
Table 3-4: FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria	3-15
Table 4-1: Screening Distances for Noise Assessments	4-3
Table 4-2: Assumptions for Screening Distances for Noise Assessments	4-4
Table 5-1: Reference SEL's at 50 feet from Track and 50 mph.....	5-5
Table 5-2: Noise Exposure at 50 feet for Fixed-Guideway General Assessment.....	5-6
Table 5-3: Source Reference Levels at 50 feet from Roadway, 50 mph	5-8
Table 5-4: L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet for Highway/Transit General Assessment	5-8
Table 5-5: Source Reference Levels at 50 feet from Center of Site, Stationary Sources	5-10
Table 5-6: L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet for Stationary Source General Assessment.....	5-11
Table 5-7: Estimating Existing Noise Exposure for General Assessment	5-15
Table 6-1: Land Uses of Interest.....	6-6
Table 6-2: Sources of Transit Noise	6-9
Table 6-3: Source Reference SELs at 50 feet: Fixed-Guideway Sources	6-10
Table 6-4: Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet: Fixed-Guideway Sources	6-12
Table 6-5: Source Reference SELs at 50 feet: Highway/Transit Sources	6-14
Table 6-6: Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet: Highway/Transit Sources	6-16
Table 6-7: Source Reference SELs at 50 feet: Stationary Sources	6-18
Table 6-8: Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet: Stationary Sources	6-20
Table 6-9: Computation of Shielding: Barriers and Terrain	6-25
Table 6-10: Computation of Shielding: Rows of Building and Dense Tree Zones	6-26
Table 6-11: Computing Total Noise Exposure	6-28
Table 6-12: Transit Noise Mitigation Measures	6-37
Table 7-1: Human Response to Levels of Ground-Borne Noise and Vibration	7-8
Table 7-2: Factors that Influence Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise.....	7-11
Table 8-1: Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for General Assessment.....	8-3

Table 8-2: Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings.....	8-4
Table 8-3: Interpretation of Vibration Criteria for Detailed Analysis	8-8
Table 9-1: Project Types for Vibration Screening Procedure.....	9-3
Table 9-2: Screening Distances for Vibration Assessment	9-4
Table 10-1: Adjustment Factors for Predictions of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise.....	10-7
Table 12-1: Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels	12-6
Table 12-2: Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment :.....	12-12
Table 12-3: Construction Vibration Damage Criteria	12-13
Table E-1: Conversion to Source Reference Levels at 50 feet for Transit Noise Sources	E-6
Table F-1: Conversion to L_{max} at the Receiver, for a Single Train Passby.....	F-2

LIST OF EXAMPLES

Example 5-1: General Noise Assessment for a Commuter Rail System 5-17

Example 5-2: Example of Highway/Transit Corridor Projects 5-23

Example 5-3: General Noise Assessment for a BRT System 5-25

Example 5-4: General Noise Assessment for a Transit Center 5-26

Example 6-1: Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet for Fixed-Guideway Source 6-13

Example 6-2: Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet for Highway/Transit Source 6-17

Example 6-3: Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet for Stationary Source 6-20

Example 6-4: Computing Exposure-vs.-Distance Curve for Fixed-Guideway Source 6-24

Example 6-5: Computation of Shielding 6-27

Example 6-6: Computation of Total Exposure from Combined Sources 6-29

Example C-1: Receivers of Interest and Cluster Boundaries C-4

Example E-1: Computation of SEL_{ref} from SEL Measurement of Fixed-Guideway Source ... E-3

Example E-2: Computation of SEL_{ref} from SEL Measurement of Stationary Source E-4

Example E-3: Computation of SEL_{ref} from L_{max} Measurement of Fixed-Guideway Source E-5

Example E-4: Computation of SEL_{ref} from L_{max} Measurement of Highway Vehicle Source ... E-5

Example F-1: Computation of L_{max} for Train Passby F-2

PREFACE

This guidance manual on transit noise and vibration impact assessment is an updated edition of a document originally published in 1995. The manual details the procedures for producing accurate impact assessments for proposed federally-funded mass transit projects and discusses ways of reducing excessive noise and vibration caused by projects. While the manual is intended primarily for acoustics professionals who conduct the analyses as part of the environmental review process, it is written for a broader audience. Sections on noise and vibration fundamentals and a glossary of terms allow lay readers to gain a better understanding of one of the more technical subjects covered in the Federal Transit Administration's environmental documents.

The revisions in this manual are based on practitioners' experience in using the procedures and on developments that have occurred in this field over the past decade. The basic procedures for prediction and impact assessment remain the same; however, changes have been made throughout the document to clarify the procedures and to add new content. Some of the more significant changes involve: inclusion of noise reference levels for several new transit modes; fuller explanation of how to handle multimodal highway/transit projects; methods for assessing locomotive horn noise at grade crossings; expanded discussion of noise and vibration mitigation measures including costs; refined vibration impact criteria expressed in one-third octave bands for Detailed Analysis; and more examples on how to use the General Noise Assessment procedures for different types of transit projects.

This updated guidance manual supersedes the original document and should be used for addressing noise and vibration impacts for all construction projects seeking funding from FTA. For the great majority of projects, the results obtained from application of the methods described in this manual will not depart significantly from results obtained from the old manual. This document is also available in the Planning and Environment section of FTA's Web site (www.fta.dot.gov).

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE

Noise and vibration assessments are key elements of the environmental impact assessment process for mass transit projects. Experience has shown that noise and vibration are among the major concerns with regard to the effects of a transit project on the surrounding community. A transit system is of necessity placed near population centers and often causes significant noise and vibration at nearby residences and other sensitive types of land use.

This manual provides guidance for preparing and reviewing the noise and vibration sections of environmental documents. In the interest of promoting quality and uniformity in assessments, the manual will be used by project sponsors and consultants in performing noise and vibration analyses for inclusion in environmental documents. The manual sets forth the methods and procedures for determining the level of noise and vibration impact resulting from most federally-funded transit projects and for determining what can be done to mitigate such impact. Since the methods have been developed to assess typical transit projects, there will be some situations not explicitly covered in this manual. The exercise of professional judgment may be required to extend the basic methods in these cases.

1.2 THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) provides capital assistance for a wide range of mass transit projects – from completely new rail rapid transit systems to bus maintenance facilities and vehicle purchases. The extent of environmental analysis and review will depend on the scope and complexity of the proposed project and the associated environmental impacts. FTA's environmental impact regulation classifies the most common projects according to the different levels of environmental analysis required, ranging from an environmental impact statement (EIS) to little or no environmental documentation (categorical exclusion). FTA's environmental impact regulation is codified in Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 771.^{(1)*}

*References are located at the end of each chapter.

Environmental Impact Statements. Large fixed-guideway projects, such as heavy rail, light rail, commuter rail and automated guideway transit systems, normally require environmental impact statements, including an in-depth noise and vibration assessment. While there may be exceptions to the EIS requirement, in the great majority of cases new rail starts or extensions to existing systems involve significant environmental effects in the context of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Because they are located in dense urban areas, noise and vibration impacts are a frequent concern; thus it is likely that for the major infrastructure projects requiring an EIS, the most detailed treatment of noise and/or vibration impacts will also be required.

There are other projects as well which may require a detailed analysis of noise and vibration impacts even if an EIS is not required to comply with NEPA. These could be bus/high-occupancy-vehicle (HOV) lanes built on existing highways or construction of certain bus or rail terminals and storage and maintenance facilities. If the project is proposed to be located in or very close to a sensitive area or site, it is prudent to use the most detailed procedures contained in the manual to predict noise and/or vibration levels since this will provide the most reliable basis for considering measures to mitigate excessive noise/vibration at a specific site.

Categorical Exclusions. At the other extreme is a host of smaller transit projects which normally do not cause significant environmental impacts and do not require noise and vibration assessment. These projects are listed as "categorical exclusions" in FTA's environmental regulation, meaning that FTA has determined that there are no significant environmental impacts for those types of projects and no environmental document is required. Examples are: vehicle purchases; track and railbed maintenance; installation of maintenance equipment within the facility, etc. Section 771.117(c) contains a list of transit projects predetermined to be categorical exclusions.

Other types of projects may also qualify as categorical exclusions, for example, certain transit terminals, transfer facilities, bus and rail storage and maintenance facilities (see 23 CFR 771.117(d)). These projects usually involve more construction and a greater potential for off-site impacts. They are presented in the regulation with conditions or criteria which must be met in order to qualify for categorical exclusion. The projects are reviewed individually by FTA to assure that any off-site impacts are properly mitigated. Depending on the proposed project site and the surrounding land use, a noise and vibration assessment may be needed even though the project may ultimately qualify as a categorical exclusion. The screening process in Chapters 4 and 9 will be helpful in pointing out potential noise and vibration concerns and the general assessment procedures may then be used to define the level of impact.

Environmental Assessments. When a proposed project is presented to FTA, if it is uncertain whether the project requires an EIS or qualifies as a categorical exclusion, FTA will direct the project sponsor to prepare an environmental assessment (EA). Generally, an EA is selected (rather than trying to process the project as a categorical exclusion) if the FTA reviewer feels that several types of impacts need further investigation, for example, air quality, noise, wetlands, historic sites, traffic, etc. An EA is a relatively brief environmental study which helps determine the magnitude of the impacts that will likely be caused by the project. If, during the analysis, it appears that any impacts are significant, an EIS will be prepared. If the analysis shows that none of the impacts is significant or if mitigation measures are incorporated in the project to adequately deal

with adverse impact, the EA will fully document this and serve as the basis for a Finding of No Significant Impact issued by FTA. It is important to note that when mitigation measures are relied on, they must be described in detail in the EA since FTA's finding is based on the inclusion of these measures in the project.

FTA's environmental regulation does not list typical projects that require EA's. An EA may be prepared for any type of project if uncertainty exists about the magnitude or extent of the impacts. Experience has shown that most of the EA's prepared for transit projects require an assessment of noise impacts.

1.3 NOISE AND VIBRATION ANALYSIS IN PLANNING AND PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

Major capital investment projects are developed initially from a comprehensive transportation planning process conducted in metropolitan areas (see 23 CFR 450.300). The metropolitan planning process includes the consideration of social, economic, and environmental effects of proposed major infrastructure improvements. However, at this stage, environmental effects are usually considered on a broad scale, for example, overall development patterns, impact on greenspace, and regional air quality. Noise and vibration assessments are not typically done at the systems planning stage since the proposed infrastructure improvements lack the necessary detail.

Once the need for a major capital investment in a corridor is established in the metropolitan transportation plan, the task then becomes identifying the transit mode and alignment best suited for the corridor. If FTA capital investment funds will be pursued, the project sponsor must perform an "alternatives analysis."⁽²⁾ Often combined with a Draft EIS, the alternatives analysis presents information on benefits, costs, and impacts of alternative strategies for meeting the need for new capacity. Usually, several alternatives ranging in cost will be evaluated. If environmental impacts of the alternatives will be assessed, noise and, to a lesser extent, vibration are primary issues. The screening and general assessment procedures described in this manual are well-suited to compare and contrast noise/vibration effects among different modes and alignments. In fact, the general assessment procedures were developed partly to respond to this need. In addition, they can be used for any specific project where the screening procedure indicates potential for impact and the project sponsor wants a relatively quick assessment of the level of impact.

If the results of the alternatives analysis justify further development of a major capital investment, FTA will approve entry of the proposed project into preliminary engineering. During preliminary engineering, the environmental review process is completed. With the mode and alignment determined, the impact assessment at this stage focuses on the locally preferred alternative for a major capital investment. The detailed analysis procedures for noise can be used to produce the most accurate estimates of noise impact for the proposed project. The detailed procedures should be used as the basis for reaching any decisions on the need for noise reduction measures and the types of measures that are appropriate for the project.

After the NEPA process is completed for a major project, federal funding for final design may be granted. If vibration impacts were identified during preliminary engineering, a detailed analysis of vibration impact may be conducted during final design. Final design activities will produce the geotechnical information needed to

refine the impact assessment and allow the most detailed consideration of vibration control measures, if needed. Even for smaller transit projects, if vibration impact is predicted in a general assessment, vibration mitigation measures should only be specified after a detailed analysis has been done. Detailed vibration analysis is best accomplished during final design of the project.

Once the project enters construction, there may still be a need for noise or vibration analysis in some circumstances. Large construction projects in densely populated residential areas may require noise monitoring to make sure that agreed-upon noise limits are not exceeded. Vibration testing may be needed in the final stages of construction to determine whether vibration control measures are having the predicted effect.

Considering that transit projects must be located amid or very close to concentrations of people, noise and vibration impacts can be a concern throughout the planning and project development phases. This manual offers the flexibility to address noise and vibration at different stages in the development of a project and in different levels of detail depending on the types of decisions that need to be made.

There are three levels of analysis which may be employed, depending on the type and scale of the project, the stage of project development, and the environmental setting. The technical content of each of the three levels is specified in the body of this document, but a summary of each level is given in the following paragraphs:

- **Screening Procedure:** Identifies noise- and vibration-sensitive land uses in the vicinity of a project and whether there is likely to be impact. It also serves to determine the noise and vibration study areas for further analysis when sensitive locations are present. The screening process may be all that is required for many of the smaller transit projects which qualify as categorical exclusions. When noise/vibration-sensitive receivers are found to be present, there are two levels of quantitative analysis available to predict impact and assess the need for mitigation measures.
- **General Assessment:** Identifies location and estimated severity of noise and vibration impacts in the noise and vibration study areas identified in the screening procedure. For major capital investments, the General Assessment provides the appropriate level of detail to compare alternative modes and alignments in alternatives analysis. It can be used in conjunction with established highway noise prediction procedures to compare and contrast highway, transit and multimodal alternatives. Before basic decisions have been reached on mode and alignment in a corridor, it is not prudent to conduct the most detailed level of noise and vibration analysis. For smaller transit projects, this level is used for a closer examination of projects which show possible impacts as a result of screening. For many smaller projects, this level may be sufficient to define impacts and determine whether mitigation is necessary.
- **Detailed Analysis:** Quantifies impacts through an in-depth analysis usually only performed for a single alternative. Delineates site-specific impacts and mitigation measures for the preferred alternative in major investment projects during preliminary engineering. For other smaller projects, Detailed Analysis may be warranted as part of the initial environmental assessment if there are potentially severe impacts due to close proximity of sensitive land uses.

The three levels of noise and vibration assessment are described in the chapters which follow.

1.4 ORGANIZATION OF THE MANUAL

The guidance manual is divided into two parts, noise and vibration. Each part has parallel organization according to the following subjects:

Noise/Vibration

- Basic Concepts
- Criteria
- Screening Procedure
- General Assessment
- Detailed Analysis

Construction Noise/Vibration

Documentation

Appendices

- Glossary
- Background for Transit Noise Impact Criteria
- Receiver Selection
- Existing Noise Determination
- Noise Source Level Determination
- Maximum Noise Level Computation

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration and Federal Highway Administration, "Environmental Impact and Related Procedures," Final Rule, 52 Federal Register 32646 -32669; August 28, 1987 (23 Code of Federal Regulations 771).
2. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration, "Major Capital Investment Projects," Final Rule, 65 Federal Register 76863-76884; December 7, 2000 (49 CFR Part 611).

2. BASIC NOISE CONCEPTS

This chapter discusses the basic concepts of transit noise which provide background for Chapters 3 through 6, where transit noise is computed and assessed. The Source-Path-Receiver framework sketched in Figure 2-1 is central to all environmental noise studies. Each transit **source** generates close-by noise levels which depend upon the type of source and its operating characteristics. Then, along the propagation **path** between all sources and receivers, noise levels are reduced (attenuated) by distance, intervening obstacles and other factors. And finally at each **receiver**, noise combines from all sources to interfere, perhaps, with receiver activities. This chapter contains an overview of this Source-Path-Receiver framework. Following this overview is a primer on the fundamentals of noise characteristics.




Figure 2-1. The Source-Path-Receiver Framework

In brief, this chapter contains:

- A primer on the fundamentals of noise characteristics (Section 2.1)
- An overview of transit **sources**: a listing of major sources, plus some discussion of noise-generation mechanisms (Section 2.2)
- An overview of noise **paths**: a discussion of the various attenuating mechanisms on the path between source and receiver (Section 2.3)
- An overview of **receiver** response to transit noise: a discussion of the technical background for transit-noise criteria and the distinction between absolute and relative noise impact (Section 2.4)
- A discussion of the **noise descriptors** used in this manual for transit noise (Section 2.5)

2.1 FUNDAMENTALS OF NOISE

Noise is generally considered to be unwanted sound. Sound is what we hear when our ears are exposed to small pressure fluctuations in the air. There are many ways in which pressure fluctuations are generated, but typically they are caused by vibrating movement of a solid object. This manual uses the terms 'noise' and 'sound' interchangeably since there is no physical difference between them. Noise can be described in terms of three variables: amplitude (loud or soft); frequency (pitch); and time pattern (variability).

Amplitude. Loudness of a sound depends on the amplitude of the fluctuations above and below atmospheric pressure associated with a particular sound wave. The mean value of the alternating positive and negative pressure fluctuations is the static atmospheric pressure, not a useful descriptor of sound. However, the effective magnitude of the sound pressure in a sound wave can be expressed by the "root-mean-square" (rms) of the oscillating pressure measured in Pascals, a unit named after Blaise Pascal a 17th century French mathematician. In calculation of the 'rms', the values of sound pressure are squared to make them all positive and time-averaged to smooth out variations. The 'rms' pressure is the square root of this time-averaged value.

The quietest sound that can be heard by most humans, the "threshold of hearing," is a sound pressure of about 20 microPascals, and the loudest sounds typically found in our environment range up to 20 million microPascals. Because of the difficulty in dealing with such an extreme range of numbers, acousticians use a compressed scale based on logarithms of the ratios of the sound energy contained in the wave related to the square of sound pressures instead of the sound pressures themselves, resulting in the "sound pressure level" in decibels (dB). The 'B' in dB is always capitalized because the unit is named after Alexander Graham Bell, a leading 19th century innovator in communication. Sound pressure level (L_p) is defined as:

$$L_p = 10 \log_{10} (p_{rms}^2 / p_{ref}^2) = 20 \log_{10} (p_{rms} / p_{ref}) \text{ dB, where } p_{ref} = 20 \text{ microPascals.}$$

Inserting the range of sound pressure values mentioned above results in the threshold of hearing at 20 microPascals at 0 dB and a typical loudest sound of 20 million microPascals is 120 dB.

Decibel Addition. The combination of two or more sound pressure levels at a single location involves 'decibel addition' or the addition of logarithmic quantities. The quantities that are added are the sound energies (p_{rms}^2). For example, a doubling of identical sound sources results in a 3 dB increase, since:

$$10 \log_{10} (2 p_{rms}^2 / p_{ref}^2) = 10 \log_{10} (p_{rms}^2 / p_{ref}^2) + 10 \log_{10} (2) = 10 \log_{10} (p_{rms}^2 / p_{ref}^2) + 3.$$




Figure 2-2. Graph for Approximate Decibel Addition

For example, if the noise from one bus resulted in a sound pressure level of 70 dB, the noise from two buses would be 73 dB. Figure 2.2 provides a handy graph that can be used to add sound levels in decibels. For example, if two sound levels of 64 dB and 60 dB are to be added, the difference in decibels between the two levels to be added is 4 dB. The curve intersects the "4" where the increment to be added to the higher level is "1.5." Therefore the sum of the two levels is 65.5 dB.

Frequency. Sound is a fluctuation of air pressure. The number of times the fluctuation occurs in one second is called its frequency. In acoustics, frequency is quantified in cycles per second, or Hertz (abbreviated Hz), named after Heinrich Hertz, a famous 19th century German physicist. Some sounds, like whistles, are associated with a single frequency; this type of sound is called a "pure tone." Most often, however, noise is made up of many frequencies, all blended together in a spectrum. Human hearing covers the frequency range of 20 Hz to 20,000 Hz. If the spectrum is dominated by many low frequency components, the noise will have a characteristic like the rumble of thunder. The spectrum in Figure 2-3 illustrates the full range of acoustical

frequencies that can occur near a transit system. In this example, the noise spectrum was measured near a train on a steel elevated structure with a sharp curve. This spectrum has a major low frequency peak centered around 80 Hz. Although not dominant in this example, frequencies in the range of 500 Hz to 2000 Hz are associated with the roar of wheel /rail noise. However a strong peak above 2000 Hz is associated with the wheel squeal of the train on the curve.




Figure 2-3. Noise Spectrum of Transit Train on Curve on Elevated Structure

Our human hearing system does not respond equally to all frequencies of sound. For sounds normally heard in our environment, low frequencies below 250 Hz and very high frequencies above 10,000 Hz are less audible than the frequencies in between. Acoustical scientists measured and developed frequency response functions that characterize the way people respond to different frequencies. These are the so-called A-, B- and C-weighted curves, representing the way people respond to sounds of normal, very loud and extremely loud sounds, respectively. Environmental noise generally falls into the "normal" category so that the A-weighted sound level is considered best to represent the human response. The A-weighted curve is shown in

Figure 2-4. This curve shows that sounds at 50 Hz would have to be amplified by 30 dB to be perceived equally as loud as a sound at 1000 Hz at normal sound levels.




Figure 2-4. A-weighting Curve

Low frequencies are associated with long wavelengths of sound. Conversely, high frequencies are the result of short wavelengths. The way in which frequency and wavelength of sound waves are related is the speed of sound. The relationship is:

$$f\lambda = c, \text{ where}$$

f = frequency in cycles per second (Hz)

λ = wavelength in feet, and

c = speed of sound in feet per second.

The speed of sound in air varies with temperature, but at standard conditions is approximately 1000 feet per second. Therefore, according to the equation, a frequency of 1000 Hz has a wavelength of 1 foot and a frequency of 50 Hz has a wavelength of 20 feet.

The scale of these waves explains in part the reason humans perceive sounds of 1000 Hz better than those of 50 Hz – the wavelengths are similar to the size of the receiver's head. Waves of 20 feet in length at 50 Hz are house-sized, which is why low-frequency sounds, such as those from idling locomotives, are not deterred by walls and windows of a home. These sounds transmit indoors with relatively little reduction in strength.

Time pattern. The third important characteristic of noise is its variation in time. Environmental noise generally derives, in part, from a conglomeration of distant noise sources. Such sources may include distant traffic, wind in trees, and distant industrial or farming activities, all part of our daily lives. These distant sources create a low-level "background noise" in which no particular individual source is identifiable. Background noise is often relatively constant from moment to moment, but varies slowly from hour to hour as natural forces change or as human activity follows its daily cycle. Superimposed on this low-level, slowly varying background noise is a succession of identifiable noisy events of relatively brief duration. These events may include single-vehicle passbys, aircraft flyovers, screeching of brakes, and other short-term events, all causing the noise level to fluctuate significantly from moment to moment.

It is possible to describe these fluctuating noises in the environment using single-number descriptors. To do this allows manageable measurements, computations, and impact assessment. The search for adequate single-number noise descriptors has encompassed hundreds of attitudinal surveys and laboratory experiments, plus decades of practical experience with many alternative descriptors.

2.2 SOURCES OF TRANSIT VEHICLE NOISE

This section discusses major characteristics of the sources of transit noise. Transit noise is generated by transit vehicles in motion. Vehicle propulsion units generate: (1) whine from electric control systems and traction motors that propel rapid transit cars, (2) diesel-engine exhaust noise, from both diesel-electric locomotives and transit buses, (3) air-turbulence noise generated by cooling fans, and (4) gear noise. Additional noise of motion is generated by the interaction of wheels/tires with their running surfaces. Tire noise from rubber-tired vehicles is significant at normal operating speeds. The interaction of steel wheels and rails generates three types of noise: (1) rolling noise due to continuous rolling contact, (2) impact noise when a wheel encounters a discontinuity in the running surface, such as a rail joint, turnout or crossover, and (3) squeal generated by friction on tight curves.

Figure 2-5 illustrates typical dependence of source strength on vehicle speed for two types of transit vehicles. Plotted vertically in this figure is a qualitative indication of the maximum sound level during a passby. In the figure, speed dependence is strong for electric-powered transit trains because wheel/rail noise dominates, and noise from this source increases strongly with increasing speed. On the other hand, speed dependence is less for diesel-powered commuter rail trains, particularly at low speeds where the locomotive exhaust noise dominates. As




Figure 2-5. Example Sound Level Dependence on Speed

speed increases, wheel-rail noise becomes the dominant noise source and diesel- and electric-powered trains will generate similar noise levels. Similarly, but not shown, speed dependence is also strong for automobiles, city buses (two-axle) and non-accelerating highway buses (three-axle), because tire/pavement noise dominates for these vehicles; but it is not significant for accelerating highway buses where exhaust noise is dominant. For transit vehicles in motion, close-by sound levels also depend upon other parameters, such as vehicle acceleration and vehicle length, plus the type/condition of the running surfaces. For very high-speed rail vehicles, air turbulence can also be a significant source of noise. In addition, the guideway structure can also radiate noise as it vibrates in response to the dynamic loading of the moving vehicle.

Transit vehicles are equipped with horns and bells for use in emergency situations and as a general audible warning to track workers and trespassers within the right-of-way as well as to pedestrians and motor vehicles at highway grade crossings. Horns and bells on the moving transit vehicle, combined with stationary bells at grade crossings can generate noise levels considered to be extremely annoying to nearby residents.

Noise is generated by transit vehicles even when they are stationary. For example, auxiliary equipment often continues to run even when vehicles are stationary – equipment such as cooling fans on motors, radiator fans, plus hydraulic, pneumatic and air-conditioning pumps. Also, transit buses are often left idling in stations or storage yards. Noise is also generated by sources at fixed-transit facilities. Such sources include ventilation fans in transit stations, in subway tunnels, and in power substations, equipment in chiller plants, and many activities within maintenance facilities and shops.

Table 2-1 summarizes sources of transit noise separately by vehicle type and/or type of facility. Procedures for computing close-by noise levels for major sources as a function of operating parameters such as vehicle speed are given in Chapters 5 and 6.

Table 2-1. Sources of Transit Noise		
Vehicle or Facility	Dominant Components	Comments
Rail Rapid Transit (RRT), or Light Rail Transit (LRT) on exclusive right-of-way	Wheel/rail interaction and guideway amplification	Depends on condition of wheels and rails.
	Propulsion system	When accelerating and at higher speeds.
	Brakes	When stopping.
	Auxiliary equipment	When stopped.
	Wheel squeal	On tight curves.
	<i>In general</i>	Noise increases with speed and train length.
Light Rail Transit (LRT) in mixed traffic	Wheel squeal	On tight curves.
	Auxiliary equipment	When stopped.
	Horns and crossing bells	At grade crossings.
	<i>In general</i>	Lower speeds mean less noise than for RRT and LRT on exclusive right-of-way.
Commuter Rail	Diesel exhaust	On diesel-hauled trains.
	Cooling fans	On both diesel and electric-powered trains.
	Wheel/rail interaction	Depends on condition of wheels and rails.
	Horns and crossing gate bells	At grade crossings.
	<i>In general</i>	Noise is usually dominated by locomotives and horns at grade crossings.
Low and Intermediate Capacity Transit	Propulsion systems, including speed controllers	At low speeds.
	Ventilation systems	At low speeds.
	Tire/guideway interaction	For rubber-tired vehicles, including monorails.
	Wheel/rail interaction	Depends on condition of wheels and rails.
	<i>In general</i>	Wide range of vehicles: monorail, rubber-tired, steel wheeled, linear induction. Noise characteristics depend upon type.
Diesel Buses	Cooling fans	While idling.
	Engine casing	While idling.
	Diesel exhaust	At low speeds and while accelerating.
	Tire/roadway interaction	At moderate and high speeds.
	<i>In general</i>	Includes city buses (generally two axle) and commuter buses (generally three axle).
Electric Buses and Trackless Trolleys	Tire/roadway interaction	At moderate speeds.
	Electric traction motors	At moderate speeds.
	<i>In general</i>	Much quieter than diesel buses.

Table 2-1. Sources of Transit Noise (continued)		
Vehicle or Facility	Dominant Components	Comments
Bus Storage Yards	Buses starting up	Usually in early morning.
	Buses accelerating	Usually near entrances/exits.
	Buses idling	Warm-up areas
	<i>In general</i>	Site specific. Often peak periods with significant noise.
Rail Transit Storage Yards	Wheel squeal	On tight curves.
	Wheel impacts	On joints and switches.
	Wheel rolling noise	On tangent track
	Auxiliary equipment	Throughout day and night. Includes air-release noise.
	Coupling/uncoupling	On storage tracks
	Signal horns	Throughout yard site
	<i>In general</i>	Site specific. Often early morning and peak periods with significant noise.
Maintenance Facilities	Signal horns	Throughout facility
	PA systems	Throughout facility
	Impact tools	Shop buildings
	Car/bus washers/driers	Wash facility
	Vehicle activity	Throughout facility
	<i>In general</i>	Site specific. Considerable activity throughout day and night, some outside.
Stations	Automobiles	Patron arrival/departure, especially in early morning.
	Buses idling	Bus loading zone
	P.A. systems	Platform area
	Locomotive idling	At commuter rail terminal stations.
	Auxiliary systems	At terminal stations and layover facilities.
	<i>In general</i>	Site specific, with peak activity periods.
Subways	Fans	Noise through vent shafts.
	Buses/trains in tunnels	Noise through vent shafts.
	<i>In general</i>	Noise is not a problem.

2.3 PATHS OF TRANSIT NOISE, FROM SOURCE TO RECEIVER

This section contains a qualitative overview of noise-path characteristics from source to receiver, including attenuation along these paths. Equations for specific noise-level attenuations along source-receiver paths appear in Chapters 5 and 6.

Sound paths from source to receiver are predominantly through the air. Along these paths, sound reduces with distance due to (1) divergence, (2) absorption/diffusion and (3) shielding. These mechanisms of sound attenuation are discussed below.

Divergence. Sound levels naturally attenuate due to distance, as shown in Figure 2-6. Plotted vertically is the attenuation at the receiver, relative to the sound level 50 feet from the source. As shown, the sound level attenuates with increasing distance. Such attenuation, technically called "divergence," depends upon source configuration and source-emission characteristics. For sources grouped closely together (called point sources), attenuation with distance is large: 6 decibels per doubling of distance. Point sources include crossing signals along rail corridors, PA systems in maintenance yards and other closely grouped sources of noise. For vehicles passing along a track or roadway (called line sources), divergence with distance is less: 3 decibels per doubling of distance for L_{eq} and L_{dn} , and 3 to 6 decibels per doubling of distance for L_{max} . In Figure 2-6, the line source curve separates into three separate lines for L_{max} , with the point of departure depending on the length of the line source. These three noise descriptors – L_{eq} , L_{dn} and L_{max} – are discussed in Section 2.5. Equations for the curves in Figure 2-6 appear in Chapter 6.

Absorption/Diffusion. In addition to distance alone, sound levels are further attenuated when sound paths lie close to freshly-plowed or vegetation-covered ground. Plotted vertically in Figure 2-7 is this additional attenuation, which can be as large as 5 decibels as close in as several hundred feet. At very large distances, wind and temperature gradients sometimes modify the ground attenuation shown here; such variable atmospheric effects are not included in this manual because they generally occur beyond the range of typical transit-noise impact. Equations for the curves in this figure appear in Chapter 6.




Figure 2-6. Attenuation due to Distance (divergence)




Figure 2-7. Attenuation due to Soft Ground

Shielding. Sound paths are sometimes interrupted by man-made noise barriers, by terrain, by rows of buildings, or by vegetation. Most important of these path interruptions are noise barriers, one of the best means of mitigating noise in sensitive areas. A noise barrier reduces sound levels at a receiver by breaking the direct line-of-sight between source and receiver with a solid wall (in contrast to vegetation, which hides the source but does not reduce sound levels significantly). Sound energy reaches the receiver only by

bending (diffracting) over the top of the barrier, as shown in Figure 2-8, and this diffraction reduces the sound level at the receiver.




Figure 2-8. Noise Barrier Geometry

Sound barriers for transportation systems are typically used to attenuate noise at the receiver by 5 to 15 decibels, depending upon barrier height, length, and distance from both source and receiver. Barriers on structure, very close-in to the source, sometimes provide less attenuation than do barriers slightly more distant from the source, due to reverberation (multiple reflections) between the barrier and the body of the vehicle. However, this reverberation is often offset by increased barrier height, which is easy to obtain for such close-in barriers, and/or acoustical absorption on the source side of the barrier. Acoustical absorption is included as a mitigation option in Chapter 6. Equations for barrier attenuation, plus equations for other sound-path interruptions, also appear in Chapter 6.

Sometimes a portion of the source-to-receiver path is not through the air, but rather through the ground or through structural components of the receiver's building. Discussion of such ground-borne and structure-borne propagation is included in Chapter 7.

2.4 RECEIVER RESPONSE TO TRANSIT NOISE

This section contains an overview of receiver response to noise. It serves as background information for the noise impact criteria in Chapter 3.

Noise can interrupt ongoing activities and can result in community annoyance, especially in residential areas. In general, most residents become highly annoyed when noise interferes significantly with activities such as sleeping, talking, noise-sensitive work, and listening to radio or TV or music. In addition, some land uses, such as outdoor concert pavilions, are inherently incompatible with high noise levels.

Annoyance to noise has been investigated and approximate dose-response relationships have been quantified by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).⁽¹⁾ The selection of noise descriptors in this manual is largely based upon this EPA work. Beginning in the 1970s, the EPA undertook a number of research and synthesis studies relating to community noise of all types. Results of these studies have been widely published, and discussed and refereed by many professionals in acoustics. Basic conclusions of these studies have been adopted by the Federal Interagency Committee on Noise, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the American National Standards Institute, and even internationally.⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ Conclusions from this seminal EPA work remain scientifically valid to this day.

Figure 2-9 contains a synthesis of actual case studies of community reaction to newly introduced sources of noise in a residential urban neighborhood.⁽⁶⁾ Plotted horizontally in the figure is the new noise's excess above existing noise levels. Both the new and existing noise levels are expressed as Day-Night Sound Levels, L_{dn} , discussed in Section 2.5. Plotted vertically is the community reaction to this newly introduced noise. As shown in the figure, community reaction varies from "No Reaction" to "Vigorous Action," for newly introduced noises averaging from "10 decibels below existing" to "25 decibels above existing." Note that these data points apply only when the stated assumptions are true. For other conditions, the points shift to the right or left somewhat.

In a large number of community attitudinal surveys, transportation noise has been ranked among the most significant causes of community dissatisfaction. A synthesis of many such surveys on annoyance appears in Figure 2-10.⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾ Plotted horizontally are different neighborhood noise exposures. Plotted vertically is the percentage of people who are *highly annoyed* by their particular level of neighborhood noise. As shown in the figure, the percentage of high annoyance is approximately 0 percent at 45 decibels, 10 percent around 60 decibels and increases quite rapidly to approximately 70 percent around 85 decibels. The scatter about the synthesis line is due to variation from community to community and to some wording differences in the various surveys. A recent update of the original research, containing several additional railroad, transit and street traffic noise surveys, has not significantly changed the shape of the original Schultz curve.⁽⁸⁾⁽⁹⁾

Community Reaction

Vigorous Action

Several threats of legal action or strong appeals to local officials to stop noise

Widespread complaints or single threat of legal action

Sporadic complaints

No reaction although noise is generally noticeable




Figure 2-9. Community Reaction to New Noise, Relative to Existing Noise In a Residential Urban Environment




Figure 2-10. Community Annoyance Due to Noise

As indicated by these two figures, introduction of transit noise into a community may have two undesirable effects. First, it may significantly increase existing noise levels in the community, levels to which residents have mostly become accustomed. This effect is called "relative" noise impact. Evaluation of this effect is "relative" to existing noise levels; relative criteria are based upon noise increases above existing levels. Second, newly introduced transit noise may interfere with community activities, independent of existing noise levels; it may be simply too loud to converse or to sleep. This effect is called "absolute" noise impact, because it is expressed as a fixed level not to be exceeded and is independent of existing noise levels. Both these effects, relative and absolute, enter the assessment of transit noise impact in Chapters 4, 5 and 6. These two types of impact, relative and absolute, are merged into the transit noise criteria of Chapter 3.

2.5 DESCRIPTORS FOR TRANSIT NOISE

This manual uses the following single-number descriptors for transit-noise measurements, computations, and assessment. The terminology is consistent with common usage in the United States. For comparison with national standard terminology, see Appendix A.

The *A-weighted Sound Level*, which describes a receiver's noise at any moment in time.

The *Maximum Sound Level* (L_{max}) during a single noise event.

The *Sound Exposure Level* (*SEL*), which describes a receiver's cumulative noise exposure from a single noise event.

The *Hourly Equivalent Sound Level* ($L_{eq}(h)$), which describes a receiver's cumulative noise exposure from all events over a one-hour period.

The *Day-Night Average Sound Level* (L_{dn}), which describes a receiver's cumulative noise exposure from all events over a full 24 hours, with events between 10pm and 7am increased by 10 decibels to account for greater nighttime sensitivity to noise.

This section illustrates all of these noise descriptors, in turn, and describes their particular application in this manual. Emphasized here are graphic illustrations rather than mathematical definitions to help the reader gain understanding and to see the interrelationships among descriptors.

2.5.1 A-weighted Sound Level: The Basic Noise Unit

The basic noise unit for transit noise is the A-weighted Sound Level. It describes a receiver's noise at any moment in time and is read directly from noise-monitoring equipment, with the "weighting switch" set on "A." Figure 2-11 shows some typical A-weighted Sound Levels for both transit and non-transit sources.

As is apparent from Figure 2-11, typical A-weighted Sound Levels range from the 30s to the 90s, where 30 is very quiet and 90 is very loud. The scale in the figure is labeled "dBA" to denote the way A-weighted Sound Levels are typically written, for example, 80 dBA. The letter "A" indicates that the sound has been filtered to

reduce the strength of very low and very high-frequency sounds, as described in Section 2.1. Without this A-weighting, noise-monitoring equipment would respond to events people cannot hear, events such as high-frequency dog whistles and low-frequency seismic disturbances. On the average, each A-weighted sound level increase of 10 decibels corresponds to an approximate doubling of subjective loudness. Other frequency weighting such as B, C, and linear weights have been used to filter sound for specific applications.




Figure 2-11. Typical A-weighted Sound Levels

A-weighted sound levels are adopted here as the basic noise unit because: (1) they can be easily measured, (2) they approximate our ear's sensitivity to sounds of different frequencies, (3) they match attitudinal-survey tests of annoyance better than do other basic units, (4) they have been in use since the early 1930s, and (5) they are endorsed as the proper basic unit for environmental noise by nearly every agency concerned with community noise throughout the world.

2.5.2 Maximum Sound Level (L_{max}) During a Single Noise Event

As a transit vehicle approaches, passes by, and then recedes into the distance, the A-weighted sound level rises, reaches a maximum, and then fades into the background noise. The maximum A-weighted sound level reached during this passby is called the Maximum Sound Level, abbreviated here as " L_{max} ." For noise compliance tests of transient sources, such as moving transit vehicles under controlled conditions with smooth wheel and rail conditions, L_{max} is typically measured with the sound level meter's switch set on "fast." However, for tests of continuous or stationary transit sources, and for the general assessment of transit noise impact, it is usually more appropriate to use the "slow" setting. When set on "slow," sound level meters

ignore some of the very transient fluctuations, which are unimportant to people's overall assessment of the noise. L_{max} is illustrated in Figure 2-12, where time is plotted horizontally and A-weighted sound level is plotted vertically.

Because L_{max} is commonly used in vehicle-noise specifications and because it is commonly measured for individual vehicles, equations are included in Appendices E and F to convert between L_{max} and the cumulative descriptors discussed below. However, L_{max} is not used as the descriptor for transit environmental noise impact assessment for several reasons. L_{max} ignores the number and duration of transit events, which are important to people's reaction to noise, and cannot be totalled into a one-hour or a 24-hour cumulative measure of impact. Moreover, the L_{max} is not conducive to comparison among different transportation modes.

For example, noise descriptors used in highway noise assessments are L_{eq} and L_{10} , the noise level exceeded for 10 percent of the peak hour.




Figure 2-12. Typical Transit-Vehicle Passby

2.5.3 Sound Exposure Level (SEL): The Cumulative Exposure from a Single Noise Event

Shaded in Figure 2-12 is the noise "exposure" during a transit-vehicle passby. This exposure represents the total amount of sound energy that enters the receiver's ears (or the measurement microphone) during the vehicle passby. Figure 2-13 shows another noise event – this one within a fixed-transit facility as a transit bus is started, warmed up, and then driven away. For this event, the noise exposure is large due to *duration*.

The quantitative measure of the noise exposure for single noise events is the Sound Exposure Level, abbreviated here as "SEL" and shaded in both these figures. The fact that SEL is a cumulative measure means that (1) louder events have greater SELs than do quieter ones, and (2) events that last longer in time have greater SELs than do shorter ones. People react to the duration of noise events, judging longer events to be more annoying than shorter ones, assuming equal maximum A-Levels. Mathematically, the Sound Exposure Level is computed as:

$$SEL = 10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{\text{Total sound energy}}{\text{during the event}} \right]$$




Figure 2-13. Typical Fixed-Facility Noise Event

Figure 2-14 repeats the previous time histories, but with a stretched vertical scale. The stretched scale corresponds to sound "energy" at any moment in time. Mathematically, sound energy is proportional to 10 raised to the $(L/10)$ power, that is, $10^{(L/10)}$. The vertical scale has been stretched in this way because noise is "energy" exposure. Only in this way do the shaded zones properly correspond to the noise exposures that underlie the SEL. Note that the shaded zones in the two frames have equal numerical areas, corresponding to equal SELs for these two very different noise events.

Each frame of the figure also contains a tall, thin shaded zone of one-second duration. This tall zone is another way to envision SELs. Think of the original shaded zone being squeezed shorter and shorter in time, while retaining the same numerical area. As its duration is squeezed, its height must increase to keep the area constant. If an SEL shading is squeezed to a duration of one second, its height will then equal its SEL value; mathematically, its area is now $10^{(L/10)}$ times one second. Note that the resulting height of the squeezed zone depends both upon the L_{\max} and the duration of the event -- that is, upon the total area under the original, time-varying A-Level. Often this type of "squeezing" helps communicate the meaning of SELs and noise doses to the reader.

SEL is used in this manual as the cumulative measure of each single transit-noise event because unlike L_{\max} : (1) SEL increases with the duration of a noise event, which is important to people's reaction, (2) SEL, therefore, allows a uniform assessment method for both transit-vehicle passbys and fixed-facility noise events, and (3) SEL can be used to calculate the one-hour and 24-hour cumulative descriptors discussed below.




Figure 2-14. An "Energy" View of Noise Events

2.5.4 Hourly Equivalent Sound Level ($L_{eq}(h)$)

The descriptor for cumulative one-hour exposure is the Hourly Equivalent Sound Level, abbreviated here as " $L_{eq}(h)$." It is an hourly measure that accounts for the moment-to-moment fluctuations in A-weighted sound levels due to all sound sources during that hour, combined. Sound fluctuation is illustrated in the upper frame of Figure 2-15 for a single noise event such as a train passing on nearby tracks. As the train approaches, passes by, and then recedes into the distance, the A-weighted Sound Level rises, reaches a maximum, and then fades into the background noise. The area under the curve in this upper frame is the receiver's noise dose over this five-minute period.

The center frame of the figure shows sound level fluctuations over the one-hour period that includes the five-minute period from the upper frame. Now the area under the curve represents the noise exposure for one hour. Mathematically, the Hourly Equivalent Sound Level is computed as:

$$L_{eq}(hour) = 10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{\text{Total sound energy}}{\text{during one hour}} \right] - 35.6$$

Sound energy is totaled here over a full hour; it accumulates from all noise events during that hour. Subtraction of 35.6 from this one-hour sound exposure converts it into a time average, as explained in Section 2.5.6. In brief, if the actual fluctuating noise were replaced by a constant noise equal to this average value, the same total sound energy would enter the receiver's ears. This type of average value is "equivalent" in that sense to the actual fluctuating noise.

A useful, alternative way of computing L_{eq} due to a series of transit-noise events is:


$$L_{eq} (hour) = 10 \log_{10} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Energy Sum of} \\ \text{all SELs} \end{array} \right] - 35.6$$

This equation concentrates on the cumulative contribution of individual noise events, and is the fundamental equation incorporated into Chapters 5 and 6.

The bottom frame of Figure 2-15 shows the sound level fluctuations over a full 24-hour period. It is discussed in Section 2.5.5.


Figure 2-16 shows some typical hourly L_{eq} 's, both for transit and non-transit sources. As is apparent from the figure, typical hourly L_{eq} 's range from the 40s to the 80s. Note that these L_{eq} 's depend upon the number of events during the hour and also upon each event's duration, which is affected by vehicle speed. Doubling the number of events during the hour will increase the L_{eq} by 3 decibels, as will doubling the duration of each individual event.

Hourly L_{eq} is adopted here as the measure of cumulative noise impact for non-residential land uses (those not involving sleep) because: (1) L_{eq} 's correlate well with speech interference in conversation and on the telephone – as well as interruption of TV, radio and music enjoyment, (2) L_{eq} 's increase with the duration of transit events, which is important to people's reaction, (3) L_{eq} 's take into account the number of transit events over the hour, which is also important to people's reaction, and (4) L_{eq} 's are used by the Federal Highway Administration in assessing highway-traffic noise impact. Thus, this noise descriptor can be used for comparing and contrasting highway, transit and multi-modal alternatives. L_{eq} is computed for the loudest facility hour during noise-sensitive activity at each particular non-residential land use. Section 2.5.6 contains more detail in support of L_{eq} as the adopted descriptor for cumulative noise impact for non-residential land uses.



Typical A-weighted Sound Level Variation over a 24-Hour Period

Figure 2-15. Example A-weighted Sound Level Time Histories

Figure 2-16. Typical Hourly L_{eq} 's

2.5.5 Day-Night Sound Level (L_{dn}): The Cumulative 24-Hour Exposure from All Events

The descriptor for cumulative 24-hour exposure is the Day-Night Sound Level, abbreviated here as " L_{dn} ." It is a 24-hour measure that accounts for the moment-to-moment fluctuations in A-Levels due to all sound sources during 24 hours, combined. Such fluctuations are illustrated in the bottom frame of Figure 2-15. Here the area under the curve represents the receiver's noise dose over a full 24 hours. Note that some vehicle passbys occur at night in the figure, when the background noise is less. Mathematically, the Day-Night Level is computed as:

$$L_{dn} = 10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{\text{Total sound energy}}{\text{during 24 hours}} \right] - 49.4$$

where nighttime noise (10pm to 7am) is increased by 10 decibels before totaling.

Sound energy is totaled over a full 24 hours; it accumulates from all noise events during that 24 hours. Subtraction of 49.4 from this 24-hour dose converts it into a type of "average," as explained in Section 2.5.6. In brief, if the actual fluctuating noise were replaced by a constant noise equal to this average value, the same total sound energy would enter the receiver's ears.

An alternative way of computing L_{dn} from twenty-four hourly L_{eq} 's is:

$$L_{dn} = 10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{\text{Energy sum of}}{\text{24 hourly } L_{eq}\text{'s}} \right] - 13.8$$

where nighttime L_{eq} 's are increased by 10 decibels before totaling, as in the previous equation. L_{dn} due to a series of transit-noise events can also be computed as:

$$L_{dn} = 10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{\text{Energy sum of}}{\text{all SELs}} \right] - 49.4$$

assuming that transit noise dominates the 24-hour noise environment. Here again, nighttime SELs are increased by 10 decibels before totaling. This last equation concentrates upon individual noise events, and is the equation incorporated into Chapters 5 and 6.

Figure 2-17 shows some typical L_{dn} 's, both for transit and non-transit sources. As is apparent from the figure, typical L_{dn} 's range from the 50s to the 70s – where 50 is a quiet 24-hour period and 70 is an extremely loud one. Note that these L_{dn} 's depend upon the number of events during day and night separately – and also upon each event's duration, which is affected by vehicle speed.

L_{dn} is adopted here as the measure of cumulative noise impact for residential land uses (those involving sleep), because: (1) L_{dn} correlates well with the results of attitudinal surveys of residential noise impact, (2) L_{dn} 's increase with the duration of transit events, which is important to people's reaction, (3) L_{dn} 's take into account the number of transit events over the full twenty-four hours, which is also important to people's reaction, (4) L_{dn} 's take into account the increased sensitivity to noise at night, when most people are asleep, (5) L_{dn} 's allow composite measurements to capture all sources of community noise combined, (6) L_{dn} 's allow quantitative comparison of transit noise with all other community noises, (7) L_{dn} is the designated metric of choice of other Federal agencies (Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)) and also has wide acceptance internationally. Section 2.4.6 contains more detail in support of L_{dn} as the adopted descriptor for cumulative noise impact for residential land uses.




Figure 2-17. Typical L_{dn} 's

2.5.6 A Noise-Exposure Analogy for L_{eq} and L_{dn}

In Figure 2-15, the area under the curves represents noise exposure. An analogy between rainfall and noise is sometimes helpful to further explain these noise exposures.

The one-hour noise time history in the middle frame of the figure is analogous to one hour of rainfall, that is, the total accumulation of rain over this one-hour period. Note that every rain shower increases the one-hour accumulation. Also, note that heavier showers increase the amount more than do lighter ones, and longer showers increase the amount more than shorter ones. The same is true for noise: (1) every transit event increases the one-hour noise exposure; (2) loud events increase the noise exposure more than do quieter ones; and (3) events that stretch out longer in time increase the noise exposure more than shorter ones.

Unfortunately, the word "average" leaves many people with the impression that the maximum levels which attract their attention are being devalued or ignored. They are not. Just as all the rain that falls in the rain gauge in one hour counts toward the total, all sounds are included in the one-hour noise exposure that underlies L_{eq} and in the 24-hour noise exposure that underlies L_{dn} . None of the noise is being ignored, even though the L_{eq} and L_{dn} are often numerically lower than many maximum A-weighted Sound Levels. Noise exposure includes all transit events, all noise levels that occur during their time periods -- without exception. Every added event, even the quiet ones, will increase the noise exposure, and therefore increase L_{eq} and L_{dn} .

Neither the L_{eq} nor the L_{dn} is an "average" in the normal sense of the word, where introduction of a quiet event would pull down the average. Furthermore, similar to the effect of rainfall in watering a field or garden, scientific evidence strongly indicates that total noise exposure is the truest measure of noise impact. Neither the moment-to-moment rain rate nor the moment-to-moment A-level is a good measure of long-term effects.

Why not just compute transit noise impact on the basis of the highest L_{max} of the day, for example, as "loudest L_{max} equals 90 dBA?" If that were done, then there would be no difference in noise impact between a main trunk line and a suburban branch line; one passby per day would be no better than 100 per day, if the loudest level remained unchanged. Clearly such a reduction in number-of-passbys is a true benefit, so it should reduce the numerical measure of impact. It does with L_{eq} and L_{dn} , but not with L_{max} . In addition, if assessments were made just on the loudest passby, then one passby at 90 dBA would be worse than 100 passbys at 89 dBA. Clearly this is not true. Both L_{eq} and L_{dn} increase with the number of passbys, while L_{max} does not. Both the L_{eq} and the L_{dn} combine the number of passbys with each passby's L_{max} and duration, all into a cumulative noise exposure, with mathematics that make sense from an annoyance point of view. L_{eq} and L_{dn} mathematics produce results that correlate well with independent tests of noise annoyance from all types of noise sources.

In terms of individual passbys, here are some characteristics of both the L_{eq} and the L_{dn} :

When passby L_{max} 's increase:	→ Both L_{eq} and L_{dn} increase
When passby durations increase:	→ Both L_{eq} and L_{dn} increase
When the number of passbys increases:	→ Both L_{eq} and L_{dn} increase
When some operations shift to louder vehicles:	→ Both L_{eq} and L_{dn} increase
When passbys shift from day to night:	→ L_{dn} increases

All of these increases in L_{eq} and L_{dn} correlate to increases in community annoyance.

2.5.7 Summary of Noise Descriptors

In summary, the following noise descriptors are adopted in this manual for the computation and assessment of transit noise:

The **A-weighted Sound Level**, which describes a receiver's noise at any moment in time. It is adopted here as the basic noise unit, and underlies all the noise descriptors below.

The **Maximum Level (L_{max})** during a single noise event. The L_{max} descriptor is not recommended for transit noise impact assessment, but because it is commonly used in vehicle noise specifications and because it is commonly measured for individual vehicles, equations are included in Appendices E and F to convert between L_{max} and the cumulative descriptors adopted here.

The **Sound Exposure Level (SEL)**, which describes a receiver's cumulative noise exposure from a single noise event. It is adopted here as the primary descriptor for the measurement of transit vehicle noise emissions, and as an intermediate descriptor in the measurement and calculation of both L_{eq} and L_{dn} .

The **Hourly Equivalent Sound Level ($L_{eq}(h)$)**, which describes a receiver's cumulative noise exposure from all events over a one-hour period. It is adopted here to assess transit noise for non-residential land uses. For assessment, L_{eq} is computed for the loudest transit facility hour during the hours of noise-sensitive activity.

The **Day-Night Sound Level (L_{dn})**, which describes a receiver's cumulative noise exposure from all events over a full 24 hours. It may be thought of as a noise dose, totaled after increasing all nighttime A-Levels (between 10pm and 7am) by 10 decibels. Every noise event during the 24-hour period increases this dose, louder ones more than quieter ones, and ones that stretch out in time more than shorter ones. L_{dn} is adopted here to assess transit noise for residential land uses.

REFERENCES

1. Environmental Protection Agency, "Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety," Report No. 550/9-74-004, Washington DC, March 1974.
2. Federal Interagency Committee on Urban Noise, "Guidelines for Considering Noise in Land Use Planning and Control," a joint publication of the Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of Transportation, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Department of Defense, and the Veterans Administration, Washington DC, June 1980.
3. Department of Housing and Urban Development, "Environmental Criteria and Standards of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, 24 Code of Federal Regulations Part 51; 44 Federal Register 40861, Washington DC, 12 July 1979.
4. American National Standards Institute, "American National Standard: Compatible Land Use With Respect to Noise," Standard S3.23-1980, New York NY, May 1980.
5. American National Standards Institute, "American National Standard: Quantities and Procedures for Description and Measurement of Environmental Sound – Part 5. Sound Level Descriptors for Determination of Compatible Land Use," Standard S12.9-1998/Part 5, New York NY, January 1998.
6. Theodore J. Schultz, "Noise Rating Criteria for Elevated Rapid Transit Structures," U.S. Department of Transportation Report No. UMTA-MA-06-0099-79-3, Washington DC, May 1979.
7. Theodore J. Schultz, "Synthesis of Social Surveys on Noise Annoyance," Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 63, No. 8, August 1978.
8. S. Fidell, D.S. Barber, and T.J. Schultz, "Updating a Dosage-Effect Relationship for the Prevalence of Annoyance Due to General Transportation Noise," Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 89, No. 1, January 1991.
9. S. Fidell, "The Schultz Curve 25-years Later: A Research Perspective," Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 114, No. 6, Pt. 1, December 2003.

3. NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA

This chapter presents the criteria to be used in evaluating noise impact from mass transit projects. Different approaches are taken depending on the type of project and the agencies involved. In general terms, these criteria describe the noise environment considered acceptable for a given situation. Because some projects are strictly transit projects while other projects are basically highway projects that include a transit component, two different sets of criteria are required as follows:

- **Rail and Bus Facilities:** This category includes all rail projects (e.g., rail rapid transit, light rail transit, commuter rail, and automated guideway transit), as well as fixed facilities such as storage and maintenance yards, passenger stations and terminals, parking facilities, substations, etc. Also included are rail transit projects built within a highway or railroad corridor. Certain bus facilities are included in this category, such as bus rapid transit (BRT) on separate roadways and bus operations on local streets and highways where the project does not include roadway construction or modification that significantly changes roadway capacity. The distinguishing feature in all these cases is that the existing noise levels generated by roadway traffic and other sources will not change as a result of the project; therefore the project noise is exclusively due to the new transit sources. For projects like these, FTA is generally the lead agency and the methodology from this manual is the appropriate approach.
- **Highway/Transit Projects:** Projects in this category involve transit as part of new highway construction or modifications to existing highways to increase carrying capacity. For these multi-modal projects, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) may be a joint lead agency with FTA, and the state department of transportation (DOT) would probably also be participating in the environmental impact assessment. Projects would involve traffic lanes with preferential treatment for buses or high-occupancy vehicles (HOVs). The distinguishing feature here is that the *project* noise includes a combination of highway and transit sources. Examples are: new highway construction providing general-purpose lanes as well as dedicated bus/HOV lanes and lane additions or reconfigurations on existing highways or arterials to accommodate buses/HOVs. These multi-modal projects fall into two sub-categories and the appropriate method to use for noise prediction and impact assessment depends on whether the highway noise dominates throughout day and night or the transit noise dominates during off-peak and late night hours.

If sufficient evidence shows that highway noise dominates, the methods of FHWA, including the latest authorized version of the Traffic Noise Model (TNM), should be used. Otherwise both FHWA and FTA prediction and impact assessment procedures should be used to determine whether neither, one or each mode causes impact and where mitigation is best applied.

Factors to consider when deciding which sub-category is appropriate for a given project are as follows:

- **Volume of traffic:** Major freeways and interstate highways often carry significant volumes of traffic throughout the day and night, such that the highway noise dominates at all times. Transit noise in this case may be insignificant in comparison, and the FHWA prediction method and noise abatement criteria would be used.
- **Traffic patterns:** Some highways and arterials serve primarily as commuter routes such that nighttime traffic diminishes considerably, while transit systems continue to operate well into the late hours. Here the dominant noise source at times of maximum sensitivity may be transit. Consequently, both FHWA and FTA prediction methods would be used.
- **Type of traffic:** Some highways and arterials may serve commuters during the daytime hours, but provide access to business centers by trucks at night. In this case, the roadway noise would likely continue to dominate and the FHWA methods would be appropriate.
- **Alignment configuration:** Elevation of the transit mode in the median or beside a busy highway may result in transit noise contributing more noise to nearby neighborhoods than a highway that may be partially shielded by rows of buildings adjacent to the right-of-way. In this case, both the FHWA and FTA methods should be used.

The noise impact criteria for rail and bus facilities are presented in Section 3.1. These criteria were developed specifically for transit noise sources operating on fixed guideways or at fixed facilities in urban areas. The criterion for the onset of Moderate Impact varies according to the existing noise level and the predicted project noise level, and is determined by the threshold at which the percentage of people highly annoyed by the project noise starts to become measurable. The corresponding criterion for Severe Impact similarly varies according to the existing noise level as well as the project noise level, but is determined by a higher, more significant percentage of people highly annoyed by project noise. Guidelines for the application of the criteria are included in Section 3.2, and background materials on the development of the criteria are included in Appendix B.

3.1 NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA FOR TRANSIT PROJECTS

The noise impact criteria for mass transit projects involving rail or bus facilities are shown graphically in Figure 3-1 and are tabulated in Table 3-1. The equations used to define these criteria are included in Appendix B. The criteria apply to all rail projects (e.g., rail rapid transit, light rail transit, commuter rail, and automated guideway transit) as well as fixed facilities such as storage and maintenance yards, passenger stations and terminals, parking facilities, and substations. They may also be used for bus projects operating

on local streets and separate roadways built exclusively for buses. In contrast, for busways and HOV lanes which are to be integrated in existing highways (e.g., the addition of new lanes or the redesignation of existing lanes on a highway), FHWA's noise abatement criteria are the appropriate noise criteria to use. Likewise, if the project is a new highway involving both general-purpose and dedicated bus/HOV lanes, the FHWA approach is followed. The FHWA criteria are briefly summarized in Section 3.3.

3.1.1 Basis of Noise Impact Criteria

The noise impact criteria in Figure 3-1 and Table 3-1 are based on comparison of the existing outdoor noise levels and the future outdoor noise levels from the proposed project. They incorporate both absolute criteria, which consider activity interference caused by the transit project alone, and relative criteria, which consider annoyance due to the change in the noise environment caused by the transit project.




Figure 3-1. Noise Impact Criteria for Transit Projects

The noise criteria and descriptors depend on land use, as defined in Table 3-2. Further guidance on the definition of land use, the selection of the appropriate noise metric and the application of the criteria is given in Section 3.2 of this chapter, with more detailed guidelines given in Chapters 5 and 6.

Table 3-1. Noise Levels Defining Impact for Transit Projects

Existing Noise Exposure $L_{eq}(h)$ or L_{dn} (dBA)	Project Noise Impact Exposure, $L_{eq}(h)$ or L_{dn} (dBA)					
	Category 1 or 2 Sites			Category 3 Sites		
	No Impact	Moderate Impact	Severe Impact	No Impact	Moderate Impact	Severe Impact
<43	< Ambient+10	Ambient + 10 to 15	>Ambient+15	<Ambient+15	Ambient + 15 to 20	>Ambient+20
43	<52	52-58	>58	<57	57-63	>63
44	<52	52-58	>58	<57	57-63	>63
45	<52	52-58	>58	<57	57-63	>63
46	<53	53-59	>59	<58	58-64	>64
47	<53	53-59	>59	<58	58-64	>64
48	<53	53-59	>59	<58	58-64	>64
49	<54	54-59	>59	<59	59-64	>64
50	<54	54-59	>59	<59	59-64	>64
51	<54	54-60	>60	<59	59-65	>65
52	<55	55-60	>60	<60	60-65	>65
53	<55	55-60	>60	<60	60-65	>65
54	<55	55-61	>61	<60	60-66	>66
55	<56	56-61	>61	<61	61-66	>66
56	<56	56-62	>62	<61	61-67	>67
57	<57	57-62	>62	<62	62-67	>67
58	<57	57-62	>62	<62	62-67	>67
59	<58	58-63	>63	<63	63-68	>68
60	<58	58-63	>63	<63	63-68	>68
61	<59	59-64	>64	<64	64-69	>69
62	<59	59-64	>64	<64	64-69	>69
63	<60	60-65	>65	<65	65-70	>70
64	<61	61-65	>65	<66	66-70	>70
65	<61	61-66	>66	<66	66-71	>71
66	<62	62-67	>67	<67	67-72	>72
67	<63	63-67	>67	<68	68-72	>72
68	<63	63-68	>68	<68	68-73	>73
69	<64	64-69	>69	<69	69-74	>74
70	<65	65-69	>69	<70	70-74	>74
71	<66	66-70	>70	<71	71-75	>75
72	<66	66-71	>71	<71	71-76	>76
73	<66	66-71	>71	<71	71-76	>76
74	<66	66-72	>72	<71	71-77	>77
75	<66	66-73	>73	<71	71-78	>78
76	<66	66-74	>74	<71	71-79	>79
77	<66	66-74	>74	<71	71-79	>79
>77	<66	66-75	>75	<71	71-80	>80

* L_{dn} is used for land use where nighttime sensitivity is a factor; L_{eq} during the hour of maximum transit noise exposure is used for land use involving only daytime activities.

Land Use Category	Noise Metric (dBA)	Description of Land Use Category
1	Outdoor $L_{eq}(h)^*$	Tracts of land where quiet is an essential element in their intended purpose. This category includes lands set aside for serenity and quiet, and such land uses as outdoor amphitheaters and concert pavilions, as well as National Historic Landmarks with significant outdoor use. Also included are recording studios and concert halls.
2	Outdoor L_{dn}	Residences and buildings where people normally sleep. This category includes homes, hospitals and hotels where a nighttime sensitivity to noise is assumed to be of utmost importance.
3	Outdoor $L_{eq}(h)^*$	Institutional land uses with primarily daytime and evening use. This category includes schools, libraries, theaters, and churches where it is important to avoid interference with such activities as speech, meditation and concentration on reading material. Places for meditation or study associated with cemeteries, monuments, museums, campgrounds and recreational facilities can also be considered to be in this category. Certain historical sites and parks are also included.
* L_{eq} for the noisiest hour of transit-related activity during hours of noise sensitivity.		

3.1.2 Defining the Levels of Impact

The noise impact criteria are defined by two curves which allow increasing project noise levels as existing noise increases up to a point, beyond which impact is determined based on project noise alone. Below the lower curve in Figure 3-1, a proposed project is considered to have no noise impact since, on the average, the introduction of the project will result in an insignificant increase in the number of people highly annoyed by the new noise. The curve defining the onset of noise impact stops increasing at 65 dB for Category 1 and 2 land use, a standard limit for an acceptable living environment defined by a number of Federal agencies. Project noise above the upper curve is considered to cause Severe Impact since a significant percentage of people would be highly annoyed by the new noise. This curve flattens out at 75 dB for Category 1 and 2 land use, a level associated with an unacceptable living environment. As indicated by the right-hand scale on Figure 3-1, the project noise criteria are 5 decibels higher for Category 3 land uses since these types of land use are considered to be slightly less sensitive to noise than the types of land use in categories 1 and 2.

Between the two curves the proposed project is judged to have Moderate Impact. The change in the cumulative noise level is noticeable to most people, but may not be sufficient to cause strong, adverse reactions from the community. In this transitional area, other project-specific factors must be considered to determine the magnitude of the impact and the need for mitigation, such as the existing level, predicted level of increase over existing noise levels and the types and numbers of noise-sensitive land uses affected.

Although the curves in Figure 3-1 are defined in terms of the project noise exposure and the existing noise exposure, it is important to emphasize that it is the increase in the cumulative noise – when project is added to existing – that is the basis for the criteria. The complex shapes of the curves are based on the considerations

of cumulative noise increase described in Appendix B. To illustrate this point, Figure 3-2 shows the noise impact criteria for Category 1 and 2 land use in terms of the allowable increase in the cumulative noise exposure. The horizontal axis is the existing noise exposure and the vertical axis is the increase in cumulative noise level due to the transit project. The measure of noise exposure is L_{dn} for residential areas and L_{eq} for land uses that do not have nighttime noise sensitivity. Since L_{dn} and L_{eq} are measures of total acoustic energy, any new noise source in a community will cause an increase, even if the new source level is less than the existing level. Referring to Figure 3-2, it can be seen that the criterion for Moderate Impact allows a noise exposure increase of 10 dBA if the existing noise exposure is 42 dBA or less but only a 1 dBA increase when the existing noise exposure is 70 dBA




Figure 3-2. Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels Allowed by Criteria (Land Use Cat. 1 & 2)

As the existing level of ambient noise increases, the allowable level of transit noise increases, but the total amount that community noise exposure is allowed to increase is reduced. This accounts for the unexpected result that a project noise exposure which is less than the existing noise exposure can still cause impact. This is clearer from the examples given in Table 3-3 which indicate the level of transit noise allowed for different existing levels of exposure.

L_{dn} or L_{eq} in dBA (rounded to nearest whole decibel)			
Existing Noise Exposure	Allowable Project Noise Exposure	Allowable Combined Total Noise Exposure	Allowable Noise Exposure Increase
45	51	52	7
50	53	55	5
55	55	58	3
60	57	62	2
65	60	66	1
70	64	71	1
75	65	75	0

Any increase greater than shown above in Table 3-3 will cause Moderate Impact. This table shows that as the existing noise exposure increases from 45 dBA to 75 dBA, the allowed transit noise exposure increases from 51 dBA to 65 dBA. However, the allowed increase in the cumulative noise level decreases from 7 dBA to 0 dBA (rounded to the nearest whole decibel). The justification for this is that people already exposed to high levels of noise should be expected to tolerate only a small increase in the amount of noise in their community. In contrast, if the existing noise levels are quite low, it is reasonable to allow a greater change in the community noise for the equivalent difference in annoyance. It should be noted that these criteria are based on general community reactions to noise at varying levels which have been documented in scientific literature and do not account for specific community attitudinal factors which may exist.

3.2 APPLICATION OF NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA

3.2.1 Noise-Sensitive Land Uses

As indicated in Section 3.1.1, the noise impact criteria and descriptors depend on land use, designated either Category 1, Category 2 or Category 3. Category 1 includes uses where quiet is an essential element in their intended purpose, such as indoor concert halls or outdoor concert pavilions or National Historic Landmarks where outdoor interpretation routinely takes place. Category 2 includes residences and buildings where people sleep, while Category 3 includes institutional land uses with primarily daytime and evening use such as schools, places of worship and libraries.

The criteria do not apply to most commercial or industrial uses because, in general, the activities within these buildings are compatible with higher noise levels. They do apply to business uses which depend on quiet as an important part of operations, such as sound and motion picture recording studios.

Historically significant sites are treated as noise-sensitive depending on the land use activities. Sites of national significance with considerable outdoor use required for site interpretation would be in Category 1. Historical sites that are currently used as residences will be in Category 2. Historic buildings with indoor use of an interpretive nature involving meditation and study fall into Category 3. These include museums, significant birthplaces and buildings in which significant historical events occurred.

Most busy downtown areas have buildings which are historically significant because they represent a particular architectural style or are prime examples of the work of an historically significant designer. If the buildings or structures are used for commercial or industrial purposes and are located in busy commercial areas, they are not considered noise-sensitive and the noise impact criteria do not apply. Similarly, historical transportation structures, such as terminals and railroad stations, are not considered noise-sensitive land uses themselves. These buildings or structures are, of course, afforded special protection under Section 4(f) of the DOT Act and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. However, based strictly on how they are used and the settings in which they are located, these types of historical buildings are not considered noise-sensitive sites.

Parks are a special case. Whether a park is noise-sensitive depends on how it is used. Most parks used primarily for active recreation would not be considered noise-sensitive. However, some parks---even some in dense urban areas---are used for passive recreation like reading, conversation, meditation, etc. These places are valued as havens from the noise and rapid pace of everyday city life and they should be treated as noise-sensitive. The noise sensitivity of parks should be determined on a case-by-case basis after carefully considering how each facility is used. The state or local agency with jurisdiction over the park should be consulted on questions about how the park is used and how much use it gets.

3.2.2 Noise Metrics

The basis for the development of the noise impact criteria (see Appendix B) has been the relationship between the percentage of highly annoyed people and the noise levels of their residential environment. Consequently, the criteria are centered around residential land use with the use of L_{dn} as the noise descriptor sensitive to noise intrusion at night. The noise criteria use L_{dn} for other land uses where nighttime sensitivity is a factor. The criteria are also to be applied to non-residential land uses that are sensitive to noise during daytime hours.

Because the L_{dn} and the maximum daytime hourly L_{eq} have similar values for a typical noise environment, the daytime or early evening L_{eq} can be used for evaluating noise impact at locations where nighttime sensitivity is not a factor. For land use involving only daytime activities (e.g. churches, schools, libraries, parks) the impact is evaluated in terms of $L_{eq}(h)$, defined as the L_{eq} for the noisiest hour of transit-related activity during which human activities occur at the noise-sensitive location.

However, due to the types of land use included in Category 3, the criteria allow the project noise for Category 3 sites to be 5 decibels greater than for Category 1 and Category 2 sites. With the exception of recreational facilities, which are clearly less sensitive to noise than Category 1 and 2 sites, Category 3 sites include primarily indoor activities and thus the criteria account for the noise reduction provided by the building structure.

Although the maximum noise level (L_{max}) is not used in this manual as the basis for the noise impact criteria for transit projects, it is a useful metric for providing a fuller understanding of the noise impact from some transit operations. Specifically, rail transit characteristically produces high intermittent noise levels which may be objectionable depending on the distance from the alignment. Thus, it is recommended that L_{max} information be provided in environmental documents to supplement the noise impact assessment and to help satisfy the "full disclosure" requirements of NEPA. Procedures for computing the L_{max} for a single train passby are provided in Appendix F.

3.2.3 Considerations in Applying the Noise Impact Criteria

The procedure for assessing impact is to determine the existing noise exposure and the predicted project noise exposure at a given site, in terms of either L_{dn} or $L_{eq}(h)$ as appropriate, and to plot these levels on Figure 3-1. The location of the plotted point in the three impact ranges is an indication of the magnitude of the impact. For simplicity, noise impact can also be determined by using Table 3-1, rounding all noise level values to the nearest whole decibel before using the table. This level of precision is sufficient for determining the degree of noise impact at specific locations and should be adequate for most applications. However, a more precise determination of noise impact may be appropriate in some situations, such as when estimating the distance from the project to which noise impact extends. In such cases, more precise noise limits can be determined using the criteria equations provided in Appendix B.

In certain cases, the cumulative form of the noise criteria shown in Figure 3-2 must be used. These cases involve projects where changes are proposed to an existing transit system, as opposed to a new project in an area previously without transit. Such changes might include operations of a new type of vehicle, modifications of track alignments within existing transit corridors, or changes in facilities that dominate existing noise levels. In these cases, the existing noise sources change as a result of the project, and so it is not possible to define project noise separately from existing noise. An example would be a commuter rail corridor where the existing noise along the alignment is dominated by diesel locomotive-hauled trains, and where the project involves electrification with the resulting replacement of some of the diesel-powered locomotives with electric trains operating at increased frequency of service and higher speeds on the same tracks. In this case, the existing noise can be determined and a new future noise can be calculated, but it is not possible to describe what constitutes the "project noise." For example, if the existing noise dominated by trains was measured to be an L_{dn} of 63 dBA at a particular location, and the new combination of diesel and electric trains is projected to be an L_{dn} of 65 dBA, the change in the noise exposure due to the project would be 2 dB. Referring to Figure 3-2, a 2 dB increase with an existing noise exposure of 63 dBA would be rated as a Moderate Impact. Normally the project noise is added to the existing noise to come up with a new cumulative noise, but in this case, the existing noise was dominated by a source that changed due to the project so it would be incorrect to add the project noise to the existing noise. Consequently, the existing noise determined by measurement is compared with a new calculated future noise, but a description of what constitutes the actual project is complex.

Another example would be a rail corridor where a track is added and grade crossings are closed, potentially

resulting in a change in train location and horn operation. Here the "project noise" results from moving some trains closer to some receivers, away from others, and elimination of horns. In this case, the change in noise level is more readily determined than the noise from the actual project elements. In all cases, Figure 3-2 for changes in a transit system results in the same assessment of impact as Figure 3-1 for development of transit facilities in a new area.

For residential land use, the noise criteria are to be applied outside the *building locations* at noise-sensitive areas with frequent human use including outdoor patios, decks, pools, and play areas. If none, the criteria should be applied near building doors and windows. For parks and other significant outdoor use, apply criteria at the *property line*. However, for locations where land use activity is solely indoors, noise impact may be less significant if the outdoor-to-indoor reduction is greater than for typical buildings (about 25 dB with windows closed). Thus, if the project sponsor can demonstrate indoor activity only, mitigation may not be needed.

It is important to note that the criteria specify a comparison of future project noise with existing noise and *not* with projections of future "no-build" noise exposure (i.e. without the project). Furthermore, it should be emphasized that it is not necessary nor recommended that existing noise exposure be determined by measuring at every noise-sensitive location in the project area. Rather, the recommended approach is to characterize the noise environment for "clusters" of sites based on measurements or estimates at representative locations in the community. In view of the sensitivity of the noise criteria to the existing noise exposure, careful characterization of pre-project ambient noise is important. Guidelines for selecting representative receiver locations and determining ambient noise are provided in Appendix C and Appendix D, respectively.

3.2.4 Mitigation Policy Considerations

The following statutes and implementing regulations concerning environmental protection guide the Federal Transit Administration's decisions on the need for noise mitigation. While the environmental impact statement requirement in the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) is widely known, the statute also establishes a broad mandate for Federal agencies to incorporate environmental protection and enhancement measures into the programs and projects they help finance.⁽¹⁾ In conjunction with FHWA, FTA has issued a regulation implementing NEPA which sets out the agencies' general policy on environmental mitigation. It states that measures necessary to mitigate adverse impacts are to be incorporated into the project and, further, that such measures are eligible for Federal funding when FTA determines that "... the proposed mitigation represents a reasonable public expenditure after considering the impacts of the action and the benefits of the proposed mitigation measures."⁽²⁾

While NEPA establishes broad policy, a more explicit statutory mandate for mitigating adverse noise impacts is set forth in the Federal Transit Laws.⁽³⁾ Before approving a construction grant, FTA must make a finding that "... (ii) the preservation and enhancement of the environment, and the interest of the community in which a project is located, were considered; and (iii) no adverse environmental effect is likely to result from the project, or no feasible and prudent alternative to the effect exists and all reasonable steps have been taken to minimize the effect." (49 U.S.C. 5324(b)(3)(A)).

3.2.5 Determining the Need for Noise Mitigation

Because intrusive noise is frequently among the most significant environmental concerns of planned mass transit projects, FTA, working with the project sponsor, makes every reasonable effort to reduce predicted noise to levels deemed acceptable for affected noise-sensitive land uses. The noise impact criteria in Chapter 3 provide the framework for identifying the magnitude of the impact. Then, the need for noise mitigation is determined based on the magnitude and consideration of factors specifically related to the proposed project and affected land uses.

Project-generated noise in the "No Impact" range is not likely to be found annoying. Noise projections in this range are considered acceptable by FTA and mitigation is not required. At the other extreme, noise projections in the "Severe" range represent the most compelling need for mitigation. However, before mitigation measures are considered, the project sponsor should first evaluate alternative locations/alignments to determine whether it is feasible to avoid Severe impacts altogether. In densely populated urban areas, this evaluation of alternative locations may reveal a trade-off of one group of impacted noise-sensitive sites for another – especially for surface rail alignments passing through built-up areas. However, this is not always the case; projects which are characterized more as point sources of noise than line sources often present a greater opportunity for selecting alternative sites. Note that this guidance manual and FTA's environmental impact regulation both attempt to encourage project sites which are compatible with surrounding development. The regulation designates certain projects as categorical exclusions when located in areas with compatible land use (e.g., bus terminals and maintenance facilities located in areas with mostly commercial or industrial use). In this manual, the list of noise-sensitive land uses in Chapter 3 does not include most commercial and industrial land uses, thus obviating the need to consider noise mitigation in areas with predominantly commercial or industrial use.

If it is not practical to avoid Severe impacts by changing the location of the project, mitigation measures must be considered. Impacts in this range have the greatest adverse impact on the community; thus there is a presumption by FTA that mitigation will be incorporated in the project unless there are truly extenuating circumstances which prevent it. The goal is to gain substantial noise reduction through the use of mitigation measures, not simply to reduce the predicted levels to just below the Severe Impact threshold. Since FTA has to determine whether the mitigation is feasible and prudent, the evaluation of specific measures should include the noise reduction potential, the cost, the effect on transit operations and maintenance, and any other relevant factors, for example, any new environmental impacts which may be caused by the measure. A thorough evaluation enables FTA to make the findings required by section 5324(b) of the Federal Transit Laws and possibly other statutes, such as Section 4(f) of the DOT Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Projected noise levels in the Moderate Impact range will also require consideration and adoption of mitigation measures when it is considered reasonable. The range of Moderate Impact delineates an area where project planners are alerted to the potential for adverse impacts and complaints from the community and must then carefully consider project specifics as well as details concerning the affected properties in determining the need for mitigation. While impacts in this range are not of the same magnitude as Severe impacts, there can be circumstances regarding the factors outlined below which make a compelling argument for mitigation.

The following considerations will help project planners and FTA staff in reaching these determinations:

- The number of noise-sensitive sites affected at this level. A row or cluster of residences adjacent to a rail transit line establishes a greater need for mitigation than one or several isolated residences in a mixed-use area.
- The increase over existing noise levels. Since the noise impact criteria are delineated as bands or ranges, project noise can vary 5-7 decibels within the band of Moderate Impact at any specific ambient noise level. If the project and ambient noise plot falls just below the Severe range (in Figure 3-1), the need for mitigation is strongest. Similarly, if the plot falls just above the No Impact threshold, there is less need.
- The noise sensitivity of the property. Table 3-2 gives a comprehensive list of noise-sensitive land uses; yet there can be differences in noise sensitivity depending on individual circumstances. For example, parks and recreational areas vary in their sensitivity depending on the type of use they experience (active vs. passive recreation) and the settings in which they are located.
- Effectiveness of the mitigation measure(s). What is the magnitude of the noise reduction that can be achieved? Are there conditions which limit effectiveness, for example, noise barrier effectiveness for a multi-story apartment building?
- Neighborhoods with ambient noise levels already heavily influenced by transportation noise, especially the same type of noise source as the project. Ambient levels above 65 dB (Ldn) are considered "normally unsatisfactory" for residential land use by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Thus there is a stronger need for mitigation if a project is proposed in an area currently experiencing high noise levels from surface transportation. An example would be a project where additional commuter tracks are added to a very busy rail corridor. If this project were placed in a less noisy environment, the impact assessment might show a Severe Impact, but when the project is overlaid on an existing noisy environment, the result could be Moderate Impact or, possibly, No Impact. However, in this situation the new cumulative noise environment may be very objectionable because people will not be compartmentalizing the existing noise versus the new noise and reacting only to the new noise. In this circumstance impacts predicted in the Moderate range should be treated as if they were Severe.
- Community views. This manual provides the methodology to make an objective assessment of the need for noise mitigation. However, the views of the community cannot be overlooked. The NEPA compliance process provides the framework for hearing the community's concerns about a proposed project and then making a good-faith effort to address those concerns. Many projects can be expected to have projected noise levels within the Moderate Impact range and decisions regarding mitigation should be made only after considering input from the affected public, relevant government agencies and community organizations. There have been cases where the solution to the noise problem – a sound barrier – was rejected by community members because of perceived adverse visual effects.
- Special protection provided by law. Section 4(f) of the DOT Act and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act come into play frequently during the environmental review of transit projects. Section 4(f) protects historic sites and publicly-owned parks, recreation areas and wildlife refuges. Section 106

protects historic and archeological resources. In general, noise in the Moderate Impact range would not substantially impair the use of a property afforded protection under Section 4(f). Thus it would not constitute a "constructive use" as this term is defined in Section 4(f) regulations. In the Section 106 process protecting historic and cultural properties, Moderate Impact may or may not be considered an "adverse effect" depending on the individual circumstances. Historic properties are only noise-sensitive based on how they are used. As previously noted, some historic properties are not noise-sensitive at all. It is possible, though, that a historic building housing sensitive uses like a library or museum could be adversely affected by noise in the Moderate range. The regulatory processes stemming from these statutes require coordination and consultation with agencies and organizations having jurisdiction over these resources. Their views on the project's impact on protected resources are given careful consideration by FTA and the project sponsor, and their recommendations may influence the decision to adopt noise reduction measures.

Cost is an important consideration in reaching decisions about noise mitigation measures. One guideline for gauging the reasonableness of the cost of mitigation is the state DOT's procedures on the subject. Each state has established its own cost threshold for determining whether installation of sound barriers for noise reduction is a reasonable expenditure. The states' cost thresholds range from \$15,000 to \$50,000 per benefited residence, with a cost-weighted average of \$24,000 per residence. Several airport authorities have placed limits on the costs they will incur for sound insulation per residence for homes that are impacted according to Federal Aviation Administration criteria. These costs range from \$20,000 to \$35,000 per residence (2002 dollars). As a starting point, FTA considers the midpoints of these ranges--\$25,000 to \$30,000 per benefited residence--to be reasonable from the standpoint of cost. It should be noted, though, that higher costs may be justified depending on the specific set of circumstances applying to a project.

The decision to include noise mitigation in a project is made by FTA after public review of the environmental document. This decision is reached in consultation with the project sponsor. If mitigation measures are deemed necessary to satisfy the statutory requirements, they will be incorporated as an integral part of the project, and subsequent grant documents will reference these measures as contractual obligations on the part of the project sponsor. FTA is required by law to ensure that the project sponsor complies with all design and mitigation commitments contained in the environmental document (23 U.S.C. 139 (c) (4)). There are some differences as to how noise mitigation and vibration mitigation are handled in EISs. The different approaches are discussed in Chapter 13.

3.3 NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA FOR HIGHWAY/TRANSIT PROJECTS

Under specific circumstances, noise impact from a mass transit project should be determined using FHWA's assessment procedures and noise abatement criteria, instead of the FTA procedures and guidelines. General guidance is given at the beginning of this chapter. FHWA methods are required for highway/transit projects (or portions of projects) that meet the following conditions:

- The project is jointly funded with FHWA and the state DOT is assisting with the impact assessment.

- The mass transit portions of the project are directly adjacent to (or within) FHWA-funded portions of the project.
- The project is located where highway noise predominates throughout the day and night.

In contrast, FTA methods should be used for other portions of the project that do not meet these requirements—for example, portions where the transit right-of-way diverges from the highway, or associated bus terminals and other transit facilities off the highway right-of-way.

In some cases, both FHWA and FTA methods should be used, such as when both highway and transit cause significant noise, but at different times of day. An example would be a transit alignment that shares the right-of-way with an arterial road with heavy traffic. Traffic noise may dominate during the peak commuting hours but not during off-peak periods when transit continues to operate. In this case, both sets of criteria would be used to determine whether impact occurs from neither, one or each mode.

In following the FHWA procedures, only loudest-hour noise levels are computed and assessed. These noise levels may be computed either with (1) the hourly calculation method in Chapter 6 of this manual or (2) the FHWA Traffic Noise Model (TNM). Often this choice of computation methods will depend upon the assistance provided by the FHWA-funded staff on the project. Even if methods in Chapter 6 are used for computation, however, the resulting noise levels must be assessed with FHWA methods under these circumstances.

FHWA criteria appear in the Code of Federal Regulations,^(4, 5) which is supplemented by a separate FHWA policy and guidance document.⁽⁶⁾ All three documents are available at: www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise. The following sections summarize these FHWA criteria and their use.

3.3.1 FHWA Impact Criteria

FHWA requires assessment at affected existing activities, developed lands, and undeveloped lands for which development is planned, designed and programmed. At these locations, traffic noise is computed for the project's design year, which is often 20 years from the onset of environmental studies. This computation uses the traffic for the hour with the worst impact "on a regular basis." In practice, traffic engineers often predict traffic volumes and speeds at several times during an average design-year day, and then noise computations decide the "worst" hour. Because assessment is for a single hour rather than for a 24-hour period, the noise metric is an hourly one, $L_{eq}(h)$.

FHWA requires two assessments of noise impact: one related to land-use type and the other to existing noise level.

First, noise impact occurs when predicted traffic noise levels “approach or exceed” the applicable Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) in Table 3-4. FHWA allows individual state highway agencies to define “approach or exceed.” As a result, the actual impact criteria are all 1 to 3 decibels lower than the values in this table. Contact specific state highway agencies to learn their definition of “approach or exceed.” In addition, FHWA requires that primary consideration be given to exterior areas (Activity Categories A, B and C). The table’s interior NAC (Category E) is used only where either (1) there are no affected exterior activities or (2) exterior activities are not impacted because they are far from or are physically shielded from the roadway.

Table 3-4. FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria			
Activity Category	Hourly A-weighted Sound Level (dBA)		Description of Activity Category
	L_{eq}(h)	L₁₀(h)	
A	57 Exterior	60 Exterior	Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.
B	67 Exterior	70 Exterior	Picnic areas, recreation areas, playgrounds, active sports areas, parks, residences, motels, hotels, schools, churches, libraries, and hospitals.
C	72 Exterior	75 Exterior	Developed lands, properties, or activities not included in Categories A or B above.
D	--	--	Undeveloped lands.
E	52 Interior	55 Interior	Residences, motels, hotels, public meeting rooms, schools, churches, libraries, hospitals, and auditoriums.
<p>Note: Noise mitigation must be studied where predicted traffic noise levels approach or exceed the values in this table. Individual state highway agencies define “approach or exceed” within their states. As a result, the actual criteria that trigger mitigation studies are all 1 to 3 decibels lower than the values in this table. Contact specific state highway agencies to learn their definition of “approach or exceed.”</p>			

Second, noise impact occurs when predicted traffic noise levels substantially exceed existing noise levels (future no-build noise levels are not used here). FHWA allows individual state highway agencies to define “substantially exceed.” Contact specific state highway agencies to learn their definition of “substantially exceed” (a criterion of 10 decibels above existing levels is the most common).

3.3.2 Use of Impact Criteria

When impact occurs by either method of assessment, NAC or substantial increase, FHWA requires study of the following noise abatement measures: traffic management, alteration of horizontal and vertical alignments, noise barriers whether within or outside the right-of-way, acquisition of buffer zones, noise insulation of public-use or nonprofit institutional structures. Measures that are both feasible and reasonable must be incorporated into the project.

Feasibility. Feasibility deals with engineering considerations. To be feasible, an abatement measure must first meet all safety, maintenance and other accepted design requirements. After safety/maintenance issues are resolved, FHWA considers a noise-abatement measure to be feasible if that measure can technically achieve a noise reduction of 5 decibels or more, given its physical aspects and those of its surroundings. Such acoustical feasibility is objective, not subjective. It is a matter of acoustical computation, depending upon such factors as topography, location of other nearby sound sources, and location of driveways, ramps, and cross streets.

Reasonableness. In the context of FHWA regulations, reasonableness is a more subjective matter. Reasonableness implies that common sense and good judgment were applied in arriving at a decision concerning the abatement measure. FHWA requires that: (1) the views of the impacted residents be a major consideration, and (2) the overall noise abatement benefits outweigh the overall adverse social, economic, and environmental effects, as well as the abatement cost.

Reasonableness also depends upon community wishes, aesthetics, community desires for their surrounding view, projected noise-level increase above existing levels, projected noise-level increase above future no-build levels, amount of development that occurred before and after the initial construction of the highway, type of protected development, effectiveness of land-use controls by the local jurisdiction, construction effects of the abatement measure on the natural environment, and the potential ability of the abatement measure to reduce noise during project construction, as well. Many state highway agencies restrict or expand this list of factors.

Reasonableness also depends upon cost effectiveness. FHWA requires state highway agencies to develop quantitative cost-effectiveness guidelines, which generally consider abatement cost and the number of people protected by the abatement measure—and sometimes also the amount of noise reduction provided by the abatement measure.

REFERENCES

1. United States Congress, National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; P.L. 91-190, January 1, 1970.
2. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration and Federal Highway Administration, "Environmental Impact and Related Procedures." Final Rule, 52 Federal Register 32646-32669; August 28, 1987 (23 Code of Federal Regulations 771.105(d)).
3. The Federal Transit Laws, 49 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.
4. Federal Highway Administration. *23 CFR Part 772: Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise -- Final rule.* Federal Register, Vol. 62, No. 154, 11 August 1997.
5. Federal Highway Administration. *23 CFR Part 772: Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise.* Federal Register, Vol. 67, No. 58, 26 March 2002 (provides further background).
6. Federal Highway Administration. *Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy and Guidance.* Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch, Washington DC, June 1995 (71 pages).

4. NOISE SCREENING PROCEDURE

The noise screening procedure is designed to identify locations where a project may cause noise impact. If no noise-sensitive land uses are present within a defined area of project noise influence, then no further noise assessment is necessary. This approach allows the focusing of further noise analysis on locations where impacts are likely. The screening procedure takes account of the noise impact criteria, the type of project and noise-sensitive land uses. For screening purposes, all noise-sensitive land uses are considered to be in a single category.

4.1 SCREENING DISTANCES

The distances given in Table 4-1 delineate a project's noise study area. The areas defined by the screening distances are meant to be sufficiently large to encompass all potentially impacted locations. They were determined using relatively high-capacity scenarios for a given project type. Data used in the calculations are listed in Table 4-2 as assumptions based on operations of a given project type and using the lowest threshold of impact, 50 dB, from the criteria curves in Figure 3-1. These distances can be scaled up or down for different sized projects by use of the methodology in Chapter 5, General Noise Assessment. FTA provides an Excel spreadsheet program to assist in these adjustments. The Federal Railroad Administration horn noise model is used to develop the screening distance at commuter rail grade crossings where horns and warning bells are used.⁽¹⁾

The noise screening procedure is applicable to all types of transit projects. The types of projects listed in Table 4-1 cover nearly all of the kinds of projects expected to undergo environmental assessment. Clarification can be obtained from FTA on any special cases that are not represented in the table.

4.2 STEPS IN SCREENING PROCEDURE

The screening method works as follows:

- Determine the type of project and locate on Table 4-1.
- Review assumptions in Table 4-2. Make adjustments in screening distances to suit the project through the use of the methodology in Chapter 5, or the FTA spreadsheet model. The appropriate screening distance is where the project noise reaches 50 dBA for the descriptor shown.
- Determine the appropriate column under Screening Distance in Table 4-1. If buildings occur in the sound paths, then use the distances under Intervening Buildings. Otherwise use the distances under "Unobstructed".
- Note the distance in feet for that project in Table 4-1, or in the adjusted values obtained from Step 2. Apply this distance from the guideway centerline or nearest right-of-way line on both sides of a highway or access road. For small fixed facilities apply the distance from the center of the noise-generating activity. In the case of a fixed facility spread out over a large area, apply the distance from the outer boundary of the proposed project site.
- Within the distance noted above, locate any of the noise-sensitive land uses listed in Table 3-2.
- If it is determined that none of the listed land uses are within the distances noted in Table 4-1, then no further noise analysis is needed. On the other hand, if one or more of the noise-sensitive land uses are within the screening distances noted in Table 4-1, as adjusted, then further analysis is needed and the procedure described in Chapter 5 is followed.

Table 4-1. Screening Distances for Noise Assessments			
Type of Project	Screening Distance* (ft)		
	Unobstructed	Intervening Buildings	
Fixed Guideway Systems:			
Commuter Rail Mainline	750	375	
Commuter Rail Station	With Horn Blowing	1,600	1,200
	Without Horn Blowing	250	200
Commuter Rail-Highway Crossing with Horns and Bells	1,600	1,200	
Rail Rapid Transit	700	350	
Rail Rapid Transit Station	200	100	
Light Rail Transit	350	175	
Access Roads	100	50	
Low- and Intermediate-Capacity Transit	Steel Wheel	125	50
	Rubber Tire	90	40
	Monorail	175	70
Yards and Shops	1000	650	
Parking Facilities	125	75	
Access Roads	100	50	
Ancillary Facilities			
Ventilation Shafts	200	100	
Power Substations	250	125	
Bus Systems:			
Busway	500	250	
BRT on exclusive roadway	200	100	
Bus Facilities	Access Roads	100	50
	Transit Mall	225	150
	Transit Center	225	150
	Storage & Maintenance	350	225
	Park & Ride Lots w/Buses	225	150
Ferry Boat Terminals:	300	150	

* Measured from centerline of guideway/roadway for mobile sources; from center of noise-generating activity for stationary sources.

Table 4-2. Assumptions for Screening Distances for Noise Assessments				
Type of Project		Operations	Speeds	Descriptor
Fixed Guideway Systems:				
Commuter Rail Mainline		66 day / 12 night; 1 loco, 6 cars	55 mph	Ldn
Commuter Rail Station	With Horn Blowing	22 day / 4 night	N/A	Ldn
	W/O Horn Blowing	22 day / 4 night	N/A	Ldn
Commuter Rail-Highway Crossing with Horns and Bells		22 day / 4 night	55 mph	Ldn
Rail Rapid Transit		220 day / 24 night; 6-car trains	50 mph	Ldn
Rail Rapid Transit Station		220 day / 24 night	20 mph	Ldn
Light Rail Transit		150 day / 18 night; 2 artic veh.	35 mph	Ldn
Access Roads to Stations		1000 cars, 12 buses	35 mph	PH Leq*
Low- and Intermediate-Capacity Transit	Steel Wheel	220 day / 24 night	30 mph	Ldn
	Rubber Tire	220 day / 24 night	30 mph	Ldn
	Monorail	220 day / 24 night	30 mph	Ldn
Yards and Shops		20 train movements	N/A	PH Leq
Parking Facilities		1000 cars	N/A	PH Leq
Access Roads to Parking		1000 cars	35 mph	PH Leq
Ancillary Facilities				
Ventilation Shafts		Rapid Transit in Subway	50 mph	Ldn
Power Substations		Sealed shed, air conditioned	N / A	Ldn
Bus Systems:				
Busway		30 buses, 120 automobiles	50 mph	PH Leq
BRT on exclusive roadway		30 buses	35 mph	PH Leq
Bus Facilities	Access Roads	1000 cars	35 mph	PH Leq
	Transit Mall	20 buses	N/A	PH Leq
	Transit Center	20 buses	N/A	PH Leq
	Storage & Maintenance	30 buses	N/A	PH Leq
	Park & Ride Lots w/Buses	1000 cars, 12 buses	N/A	PH Leq
Ferry Boat Terminals:		8 boats with horns used in normal docking cycle	N/A	PH Leq

* PH Leq = hour of maximum transit activity

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration. "Final Environmental Impact Statement: Interim Final Rule for the Use of Locomotive Horns at Highway-Rail Grade Crossings; Technical Supplement to DEIS and Chapter 3.4," Office of Railroad Development, Washington, D.C., December 5, 2003. Also see: <http://www.fra.dot.gov/downloads/RRDev/hornmodel.xls>.

5. GENERAL NOISE ASSESSMENT

This chapter contains procedures for the computation of both project and existing ambient noise levels for use in noise assessments required beyond the stage of the screening procedure of Chapter 4.

The **Screening Procedure** described in Chapter 4 is used to determine whether any noise-sensitive receivers are within a distance where impact is likely to occur. The distance given in the table defines the study area of any subsequent noise impact assessment. Where there is potential for noise impact, the procedures of Chapters 5 and 6 will be used to determine the extent and severity of impact. In some cases, a General Assessment may be all that is needed. On the other hand, if the proposed project is in close proximity to noise-sensitive land uses and it appears at the outset that the impact would be substantial, it is prudent to conduct a Detailed Analysis.

The **General Assessment** is used for a wide range of projects which show potential noise impact from the screening procedure. For a variety of smaller transit projects, a General Assessment may be all that is needed to evaluate noise impact and propose mitigation measures where necessary. It is also used to compare alternatives, such as locations of facilities or alignments, or even candidate transportation modes in a corridor. A General Assessment can provide the appropriate level of detail about noise impacts when an Alternatives Analysis/Draft EIS is being prepared to evaluate alternatives for a major capital investment. The procedure involves noise predictions commensurate with the level of design of the alternatives in the early stages of major investment planning. Estimates are made of project noise levels and of existing noise conditions to estimate the location of a noise impact contour which defines the outer limit of an impact corridor or area. An inventory of noise impacts within the area identifies locations where noise mitigation is likely and is used in comparing noise impact among alternatives. Noise mitigation policy considerations are discussed in Section 3.2.4 and the application of noise mitigation measures is described in Section 6.8.

Detailed Analysis is undertaken when the greatest accuracy is needed to assess impacts and the effectiveness of mitigation measures on a site-specific basis. In order to do this, the project must be defined to the extent that location, alignment, mode and operating characteristics are determined.

Detailed Analysis is often accomplished during the preliminary engineering phase. The results of the Detailed Analysis would be used in predicting the effectiveness of noise mitigation measures on particular noise-sensitive receivers. The procedures for performing a Detailed Analysis are described in Chapter 6.

This chapter describes the procedure for performing a General Noise Assessment. The General Assessment is based on noise source and land use information likely to be available at an early stage in the project development process. Sections of this chapter cover the key elements of the prediction procedure:

- Section 5.2 describes how to predict noise source levels with preliminary estimations of the effect of mitigation.
- Section 5.3 covers a simplified procedure for estimating noise propagation characteristics assuming flat terrain, with approximate shielding by rows of buildings or other barriers.
- Section 5.4 includes a simplified procedure for estimating existing noise.
- Section 5.5 shows how to estimate the noise impact contour that defines the approximate outer limit of noise impact.
- Section 5.6 describes how to conduct the noise impact inventory and how to present the information in an environmental document or a technical noise report.
- Four examples of General Assessments are given at the end of this chapter.

5.1 OVERVIEW

The steps in the General Noise Assessment are shown in Figure 5-1 and are described below. When several alternatives are evaluated in an environmental document, this approach can be applied to each alternative and the results compared.

Project Alternatives. Place the alternative under study into one of three categories: fixed-guideway transit, highway/transit, or stationary facility. Determine the Source Reference Level from the tables in Section 5.2. Each Source Reference Level pertains to a typical operation for one hour for a stationary source or one vehicle passby under reference operating conditions. Each utilizes the SEL noise descriptor, as discussed in Chapter 2.

Operational Characteristics. Convert the Source Reference Level to noise exposure in terms of $L_{eq}(h)$ or L_{dn} under approximate project operating conditions, using the appropriate equations depending upon the type of source. The noise exposure is determined at the reference distance of 50 feet.

Propagation Characteristics. Draw noise exposure-vs.-distance curve for this source, using the graphic in Section 5.3. This curve will show the source's noise exposure as a function of distance, ignoring

shielding. To account for shielding attenuation from rows of buildings, use a general rule for estimating the reduction in noise level and draw an adjusted exposure-vs-distance curve.

Study Area Characteristics. Estimate the existing noise exposure for areas surrounding the project from Table 5-7 in Section 5.4.




Figure 5-1. Procedure for General Noise Assessment

Noise Impact Contour Estimation. On a point-by-point basis, locate the project noise exposure and existing noise exposure combination that results in Moderate Impact according to the impact criteria from Chapter 3. Connect the points to obtain a contour line around the project which signifies the outer limits of Moderate Impact.

Alternatively, in the case where it is desired to make a comparison among different modal alternatives, specific decibel-level noise contours can be determined from the exposure-vs-distance curves (for example, 60dB, 65dB, 70dB contours).

Noise Impact Inventory. Tabulate noise-sensitive land uses within the specific contours using general assumptions for shielding attenuation from rows of buildings.

Noise Mitigation. Apply estimates of the noise reduction from mitigation in the community areas where potential impact has been identified and repeat the tabulation of noise impacts.

5.2 NOISE SOURCE LEVELS FOR GENERAL ASSESSMENT

The General Noise Assessment procedure begins by determining the project noise exposure at a reference distance for the various project alternatives. The reference noise exposure estimation procedures differ depending on the type of project (fixed-guideway, highway/transit, or stationary facility) as described in the following sections.

5.2.1 Fixed-Guideway Transit Sources

Fixed-guideway transit sources include commuter rail, rail rapid transit, light rail transit, automated guideway transit (AGT), monorail, and magnetically levitated vehicles (maglev). The noise characteristics of each depend on the system characteristics described in Chapter 2. For commuter railroads and light rail transit systems, the crossing of streets and highways at grade is likely, in which case the noise assessment of warning devices will have to be taken into account. At an early project stage, the information available includes:

- Candidate transit mode
- Guideway options
- Time of operation
- Operational headways
- Design speed
- Alternative alignments

This information is not sufficient to predict noise levels at all locations along the right-of-way, but by using conservative estimates (for example, maximum design speeds and operations at design capacities) it is sufficient to estimate worst-case noise impact contours.

Reference Levels in SEL. The procedure starts with predicting the source noise levels, expressed in terms of SEL at a reference distance and a reference speed. These are given in Table 5-1.

The reference SEL's are used in the equations of Table 5-2 to predict the noise exposure at 50 feet. Also shown in Table 5-2 are rough estimates of the noise reduction available from wayside noise barriers, the most common noise mitigation measure. See Chapter 6 for a complete description of the benefits resulting from noise mitigation. The approximate noise barrier lengths and locations developed in a General Assessment provide a preliminary basis for evaluating the costs and benefits of impact mitigation.

Source / Type		Reference Conditions	Reference SEL (SEL _{ref}), dBA
Commuter Rail, At-Grade	Locomotives	Diesel-electric, 3000 hp, throttle 5	92
		Electric	90
	Diesel Multiple Unit (DMU)	Diesel-powered, 1200 hp	85
	Horns	Within ¼ mile of grade crossing	110
	Cars	Ballast, welded rail	82
Rail Transit		At-grade, ballast, welded rail	82
Transit whistles / warning devices		Within 1/8 mile of grade crossing	93
AGT	Steel wheel	Aerial, concrete, welded rail	80
	Rubber Tire	Aerial, concrete guideway	78
Monorail		Aerial straddle beam	82
Maglev		Aerial, open guideway	72

Noise Exposure at 50 feet. After determining the reference levels for each of the noise sources, the next step is to determine the noise exposure at 50 feet expressed in terms of $L_{eq}(h)$ and L_{dn} . The additional data needed include:

- Number of train passbys during the day (defined as 7am to 10 pm) and night (defined as 10 pm to 7 am).
- Maximum number of train passbys during hours that Category 1 or Category 3 land uses are normally in use. This is usually the peak hour train volume.
- Number of vehicles per train (if this number varies during the day, take the average).
- Speed (maximum expected).
- Guideway configuration.
- Noise barrier location (if noise mitigation is determined necessary at the end of the first pass on the General Assessment).
- Location of highway and street grade crossings, if any.

These data are used in the equations in Table 5-2 to obtain adjustment factors to calculate L_{dn} and $L_{eq}(h)$ at 50 feet.

Table 5-2. Computation of Noise Exposure at 50 feet for Fixed-Guideway General Assessment	
LOCOMOTIVES[†] Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eqL}(h) = SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(N_{locos}) + K \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) + 10 \log(V) - 35.6$ <p style="text-align: center;">Where $K = -10$ for passenger diesel; $= 0$ for DMU; $= +10$ for electric</p>
LOCOMOTIVE WARNING HORNS^{†††} Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eqH}(h) = SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(V) - 35.6$
RAIL VEHICLES^{††} Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eqC}(h) = SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(N_{cars}) + 20 \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) + 10 \log(V) - 35.6$ <p>use the following adjustments as applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + 5 → JOINTED TRACK + 3 → EMBEDDED TRACK ON GRADE + 4 → AERIAL STRUCTURE WITH SLAB TRACK (except AGT & monorail) - 5 → if a NOISE BARRIER blocks the line of sight
TRANSIT WARNING HORNS^{†††} Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eqH}(h) = SEL_{ref} - 10 \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) + 10 \log(V) - 35.6$
COMBINED Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(h) = 10 \log \left[10^{\left(\frac{L_{req}}{10}\right)} + 10^{\left(\frac{L_{reqC}}{10}\right)} \right]$
Daytime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(\text{day}) = L_{eq}(h) \quad v = v_d$
Nighttime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(\text{night}) = L_{eq}(h) \quad v = v_n$
L_{dn} at 50 ft:	$L_{dn} = 10 \log \left[(15) \times 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(\text{day})}{10}\right)} + (9) \times 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(\text{night})+10}{10}\right)} \right] - 13.8$
<p>N_{locos} = average number of locomotives per train</p> <p>N_{cars} = average number of cars per train</p> <p>S = train speed, in miles per hour</p> <p>V = average hourly volume of train traffic, in trains per hour</p> <p>V_d = average hourly daytime volume of train traffic, in trains per hour = $\frac{\text{number of trains, 7 am to 10 pm}}{15}$</p> <p>$V_n$ = average hourly nighttime volumes of train traffic, in trains per hour = $\frac{\text{number of trains, 10 pm to 7 am}}{9}$</p>	
<p>[†] Assumes a passenger diesel locomotive power rating at approximately 3000 hp</p> <p>^{††} Includes all commuter rail cars, transit cars, AGT and monorail</p> <p>^{†††} Based on FRA's horn noise model (www.fra.dot.gov/downloads/RRDev/hornmodel.xls)</p>	

5.2.2 Highway/Transit Sources

The highway/transit type sources include most transit modes that do not require a fixed-guideway. Examples are high-occupancy vehicles, such as buses, commuter vanpools and carpools. As noted in Chapter 3, some highway/transit projects are best analyzed with FHWA's noise prediction and impact assessment procedures. However, the procedures in this manual can be used for all types of projects involving highway vehicles. The noise characteristics of the vehicles depend on the system characteristics described in Chapter 2. Recent research has shown there is no statistically significant difference in the reference noise levels from various types of buses, so all buses are placed in a single category. At an early project development stage, the information available is as follows:

- Vehicle type
- Transitway design options
- Time of operation
- Typical headways
- Design speed
- Alternative alignments

This information is not sufficient to predict noise levels at all locations along the right-of-way, but is sufficient to estimate worst-case noise impact contours. The procedure is consistent with FHWA's highway noise prediction method (see Section 6.7.2 for an overview of the computation methods), with buses and vans corresponding to user-defined source emission levels and speed coefficients for buses and automobiles, respectively⁽¹⁾.

Reference Levels in SEL. Projections of noise from highway/transit sources begin by defining the source SEL at a reference distance of 50 feet and a reference speed. These are given in Table 5-3. The reference distance SEL's are used in the equations of Table 5-4 to predict the noise exposure at 50 feet. Also shown in Table 5-4 is a rough estimate of the minimum noise reduction available with wayside sound barriers. See Chapter 6 for descriptions of other mitigation measures and procedures for developing more accurate estimates of noise reduction from mitigation measures. The approximate noise barrier lengths and locations developed in a General Assessment allow preliminary estimates of the costs and benefits of impact mitigation.

Noise Exposure at 50 feet. After determining the reference levels for each of the noise sources, the next step is to determine the noise exposure at 50 feet. The additional data needed include:

- Number of vehicle passbys during the day (7am to 10 pm) and night (10 pm to 7 am).
- Number of vehicle passbys during hours that Category 1 or Category 3 land uses are normally in use.
- Speed (maximum expected).
- Transitway configuration (with or without noise barrier).

These data are used in the equations in Table 5-4 with the reference SEL's to calculate $L_{eq}(h)$ and L_{dn} at 50 feet.

Source [†]	Reference SEL (dBA)
Automobiles and Vans	74
Buses (diesel-powered)	82
Buses (electric)	80
Buses (hybrid)	83**

† Assumes normal roadway surface conditions
 ** For hybrid buses, Reference SEL should be determined on a case-by-case basis.

Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(h) = SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(V) + C_s \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) - 35.6$
Daytime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(day) = L_{eq}(h) v = v_d$
Nighttime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(night) = L_{eq}(h) v = v_n$
L_{dn} at 50 ft:	$L_{dn} = 10 \log \left[(15) \times 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(day)}{10}\right)} + (9) \times 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(night)+10}{10}\right)} \right] - 13.8$
Speed Constant:	$C_s = 15$ Diesel Buses $= 28$ Electric Buses $= 30$ Automobile and van pools
Adjustment:	- 5 Noise Barrier
V	= hourly volume of vehicles of this type, in vehicles per hour.
V_d	= average hourly daytime volume of vehicles of this type, in vehicles per hour
	$= \frac{\text{total vehicle volume, 7 am to 10 pm}}{15}$
V_n	= average hourly nighttime volume of vehicles of this type, in vehicles per hour
	$= \frac{\text{total vehicle volume, 10 pm to 7 am}}{9}$
S	= average vehicle speed, in miles per hour

5.2.3 Stationary Sources

This section covers the general approach to assessment of noise from fixed transit system facilities. New transit facilities undergo a site review for best location which includes consideration of the noise sensitivity of surrounding land uses. Although many facilities, such as bus maintenance garages, are usually located in industrial and commercial areas, some facilities such as bus terminals, ferry terminals, train stations and park-and-ride lots may be placed near residential neighborhoods where noise impact may occur. Access roads to some of these facilities may also pass through noise-sensitive areas. In a General Assessment, only the salient features of each fixed facility are considered in the noise analysis.

Reference Levels in SEL. The source reference levels given in Table 5-5 are determined based on measurements for the peak hour of operation of a typical stationary source of the type and size noted. A large facility, such as a rail yard, is spread out over considerable area with various noise levels depending on the layout of the facility. Specifying the reference SEL at a distance of 50 feet from the property line would be misleading in this case. Consequently, the reference distance is described as "the equivalent distance of 50 feet," which is determined by estimating the noise levels at a greater distance and projecting back to 50 feet, assuming the noise sources are concentrated at the center of the site. If the location of noise sources is known, then the distance should be taken from the point of the noisiest activity on the site (e.g. the dock in the case of ferry boat operations). The reference SEL's are used in the equations of Table 5-6 to predict noise exposure at an equivalent distance of 50 feet from the center of the site. Noise from access roads is treated according to the procedures described in Section 5.2.2.

Table 5-6 also includes an estimate of the minimum noise reduction available with wayside noise barriers. Only approximate locations and lengths for barrier or other noise mitigation measures are developed during a General Assessment to provide a preliminary indication of the costs and benefits of mitigation.

Noise Exposure at Equivalent Distance of 50 feet. After determining the reference SEL's for each of the noise sources, the next step is to determine the noise exposure expressed in terms of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at an equivalent distance of 50 feet. The additional data needed include:

- Number of layover tracks and hours of use.
- Number of buses, if different from assumed reference conditions (if this number varies during the day, take the average).
- Number of ferry boat landings, if different from assumed reference conditions (if this number varies during the day, take the average).
- Actual capacity of parking garage or lot.

These data are used in the equations in Table 5-6 with the reference SEL's to calculate $L_{eq}(h)$ and L_{dn} at an equivalent distance of 50 feet.

Table 5-5. Source Reference Levels at 50 feet from Center of Site, Stationary Sources		
Source	Reference SEL (dBA)	Reference Conditions
Rail System:		
Yards and Shops	118	20 train movements in peak activity hour
Layover Tracks (commuter rail)	109	One train with diesel locomotive idling for one hour
Crossovers	100	One train
Crossing signals	109	3600 seconds duration
Bus System:		
Storage Yard	111	100 buses accessing facility in peak activity hour
Operating Facility	114	100 buses accessing facility, 30 buses serviced and cleaned in peak activity hour
Transit Center	101	20 buses in peak activity hour
Ferry Terminal:		
Ferry Boat (no fog horn sounded)	97	4 ferry boats landings in one hour
Ferry Boat (fog horn sounded)	100	
Parking Garage	92	1000 cars in peak activity hour
Park & Ride Lot	101	12 buses, 1000 cars in peak activity hour

Table 5-6. Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet for Stationary Source General Assessment	
Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(h) = SEL_{ref} + C_N - 35.6$
Daytime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(day) = 10 \log \left[\left(\frac{1}{15} \right) \sum_{7 \text{ am}-10 \text{ pm}} 10^{L_{eq}(h)/10} \right]$
Nighttime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(night) = 10 \log \left[\left(\frac{1}{9} \right) \sum_{10 \text{ pm}-7 \text{ am}} 10^{L_{eq}(h)/10} \right]$
L_{dn} at 50 ft:	$L_{dn} = 10 \log \left[(15) \times 10^{(L_{eq}(day)/10)} + (9) \times 10^{(L_{eq}(night)+10/10)} \right] - 13.8$
Volume Adjustment:	$C_N = 10 \log \left(\frac{N_T}{20} \right),$ Rail Yards and Shops $= 10 \log(N_T),$ Layover Tracks $= 10 \log(N_T),$ Crossovers $= 10 \log \left(\frac{N_B}{100} \right),$ Bus Storage Yard $= 10 \log \left(\frac{N_B}{200} + \frac{N_S}{60} \right),$ Bus Operating Facility $= 10 \log \left(\frac{N_B}{20} \right),$ Bus Transit Center $= 10 \log \left(\frac{N_F}{4} \right),$ Ferry Terminal $= 10 \log \left(\frac{N_A}{1000} \right),$ Parking Garage $= 10 \log \left(\frac{N_A}{2000} + \frac{N_B}{24} \right),$ Park & Ride Lot $= 10 \log(E/3600),$ Crossing Signals
Duration Adjustment:	
Other Adjustment:	-5 Noise Barrier at Property Line
N_T = Number of trains per hour N_B = Number of buses per hour N_F = Number of ferry boat landings per hour N_S = Number of buses serviced and cleaned per hour N_A = Number of automobiles per hour E = average hourly duration of one event in seconds	
Note: If any of these numbers is zero, then omit that term	

5.3 COMPUTATION OF NOISE EXPOSURE-VS.-DISTANCE CURVES

The previous section results in estimates of noise exposure at 50 feet for each type of project. The following procedure is used to estimate the project noise exposure at other distances, resulting in a noise exposure-vs.-distance curve sufficient for use in a General Assessment. The procedure is as follows:

1. Determine the L_{dn} or L_{eq} at 50 feet for one of the three project types in Section 5.2.
2. Select the appropriate distance correction curve from Figure 5-2.
3. Apply the Distance Corrections ($C_{distance}$) to the noise exposure at 50 feet using:

$$L_{dn} (or L_{eq}) \Big|_{at\ new\ distance} = L_{dn} (or L_{eq}) \Big|_{at\ 50\ feet} - C_{distance}$$

4. Plot the noise exposure curve as a function of distance. This curve will be used to determine the noise impact contour for the first row of unobstructed buildings. This plot can be used to display noise from both unmitigated and mitigated conditions in order to assess the benefits from mitigation measures.
5. For second row receivers and beyond, it is necessary to account for shielding attenuation from rows of intervening buildings. Without accounting for shielding, impact may be substantially over-estimated. Use the following general rules of thumb to determine the effect of shielding from intervening rows of buildings:
 - Assign -4.5 dB of shielding attenuation for the *first* row of intervening buildings only.
 - Assign -1.5 dB of shielding attenuation for each subsequent row, up to a maximum total attenuation of 10 dB.

Figure 5-2 can then be used to develop a curve of noise exposure vs. distance when there is shielding. The curve of noise exposure as a function of this distance will be used to determine the location of the noise impact contours.




Figure 5-2. Curves for Estimating Exposure vs. Distance in General Noise Assessment

5.4 ESTIMATING EXISTING NOISE EXPOSURE

The existing noise in the vicinity of the project is required to determine the noise impact according to the criteria described in Chapter 3. Recall that impact is assessed based on a combination of the existing ambient noise exposure and the additional noise exposure that will be caused by the project. In the Detailed Analysis, the existing noise exposure is based on noise measurements at representative locations in the community. It is generally a good idea to base all estimates of existing noise on measurements, especially at locations known to be noise-sensitive. However, measurements are not always available at the General Assessment stage. This section describes how to estimate the existing noise in the project study area from general data available early in project planning. The procedure uses Table 5-7, where a neighborhood's existing noise exposure is based on proximity to nearby major roadways or railroads or on population density. For areas near major airports, published aircraft noise contours can also be used to estimate the existing noise exposure. The process is as follows:

1. **Mapping:** Obtain scaled mapping and aerial photographs showing the project location and alternatives. A scale of 1" = 200' or 400' is convenient for the accuracy needed in the noise assessment. The size of the base map should be sufficient to show distances of at least 1000' from the center of the alignment or property center, depending on whether the project is a guideway/roadway or a stationary facility.
2. **Identify Sensitive Receivers:** Review the maps, together with the most current land use information, to determine the proximity of noise-sensitive land uses to the project and to the nearest major roadways and railroad lines. When necessary, windshield surveys or more detailed land use maps may be used to confirm the location of sensitive receivers. For land uses more than

1000 feet from major roadways or railroad mainlines (see definitions in Table 5-7), obtain an estimate of the population density in the immediate area, expressed in people per square mile.

3. Use Table 5-7 to Estimate Existing Noise Exposure: Existing noise exposure is estimated by first looking at a site's proximity to major roads and railroad lines. If these noise sources are far enough away that ambient noise is dominated by local streets and community activities, then the estimate is made based on population density. The decision of which to use is made by comparing the noise levels from each of the three categories, roadways, railroads and population density, and selecting the highest level. In case of a lightly used railroad, one train per day or less, select the population density category.

Major roadways are separated into two categories: "Interstates," or roadways with four or more lanes that allow trucks; and "Others," parkways without trucks and city streets with the equivalent of 75 or more heavy trucks per hour or 300 or more medium trucks per hour. The estimated roadway noise levels are based on data for light to moderate traffic on typical highways and parkways using FHWA highway noise prediction procedures. Where a range of distances is given, the predictions are made at the outer limit, thereby underestimating the traffic noise at the inner distance. For highway noise, distances are measured from the centerline of the near lane for roadways with two lanes, while for roadways with more than two lanes the distance is measured from the geometric mean of the roadway. This distance is computed as follows:

$$D_{GM} = \sqrt{(D_{NL})(D_{FL})}$$

where D_{GM} is the distance to the geometric mean, D_{NL} and D_{FL} are distances to the nearest lane and farthest lane centerlines, respectively.

For railroads, the estimated noise levels are based on an average train traffic volume of 5-10 trains per day at 30-40 mph for main line railroad corridors, and the noise levels are provided in terms of L_{dn} only. Distances are referenced to the track centerline, or in the case of multiple tracks, to the centerline of the rail corridor. Because of the intermittent nature of train operations, train noise will affect the L_{eq} only during certain hours of the day, and these hours may vary from day to day. Therefore, to avoid underestimating noise impact when using the one-hour L_{eq} descriptor, it is recommended that the L_{eq} at sites near rail lines be estimated based on nearby roadways or population density unless very specific train information is available.

In areas away from major roadways, noise from local streets or in neighborhoods is estimated using a relationship determined during a research program by the U.S. EPA.⁽²⁾ EPA determined that ambient noise can be related to population density in locations away from transportation corridors, such as airports, major roads and railroad tracks, according to the following relation:

$$L_{dn} = 22 + 10 \log(p) \quad (\text{in dBA})$$

where p = population density in people per square mile.

Table 5-7. Estimating Existing Noise Exposure for General Assessment							
Distance from Major Noise Source ¹ (feet)			Population Density (people per sq mile)	Noise Exposure Estimates			
Interstate Highways ²	Other Roadways ³	Railroad Lines ⁴		L _{eq} Day	L _{eq} Evening	L _{eq} Night	L _{dn}
10 - 50				75	70	65	75
50 - 100				70	65	60	70
100 - 200				65	60	55	65
200 - 400				60	55	50	60
400 - 800				55	50	45	55
800 and up				50	45	40	50
	10 - 50			70	65	60	70
	50 - 100			65	60	55	65
	100 - 200			60	55	50	60
	200 - 400			55	50	45	55
	400 and up			50	45	40	50
		10 - 30		--	--	--	75
		30 - 60		--	--	--	70
		60 - 120		--	--	--	65
		120 - 240		--	--	--	60
		240 - 500		--	--	--	55
		500 - 800		--	--	--	50
		800 and up		--	--	--	45
			1 - 100	35	30	25	35
			100 - 300	40	35	30	40
			300 - 1000	45	40	35	45
			1000 - 3000	50	45	40	50
			3000 - 10000	55	50	45	55
			10000 - 30000	60	55	50	60
			30000 and up	65	60	55	65

NOTES:

¹ Distances do not include shielding from intervening rows of buildings. General rule for estimating shielding attenuation in populated areas: Assume 1 row of buildings every 100 ft; -4.5 dB for the first row, -1.5 dB for every subsequent row up to a maximum of -10 dB attenuation.

² Roadways with 4 or more lanes that permit trucks, with traffic at 60 mph.

³ Parkways with traffic at 55 mph, but without trucks, and city streets with the equivalent of 75 or more heavy trucks per hour and 300 or more medium trucks per hour at 30 mph.

⁴ Main line railroad corridors typically carrying 5-10 trains per day at speeds of 30-40 mph.

In areas near major airports, published noise contours can be used to estimate the existing noise exposure. The L_{dn} from such contours should be applied if greater than the estimates of existing noise from other sources at a given location.

5.5 DETERMINING NOISE IMPACT CONTOURS

It is often desirable to draw noise impact contours on the land use map mentioned in the previous section to aid the impact inventory. Once the contours are on the map, the potential noise impacts can be estimated by counting the buildings inside the contours.

The first step is to identify the noise-sensitive neighborhoods and buildings and estimate existing noise exposure following the procedures described in Section 5.4. The estimate of existing noise exposure is used along with the noise impact criteria in Figure 3-1 to determine how much additional noise exposure would need to be created by the project before there would be Moderate Impact or Severe Impact.

The next step is to determine the distances from the project boundary to the two impact levels using the noise exposure-vs.-distance curves from Section 5.3. Plot points on the map corresponding to those distances in the neighborhood under study. Continue this process for all areas surrounding the project. The plotted points are connected by lines to represent the noise impact contours.

Alternatively, if it is desired to plot specific decibel-level noise contours, for example, 65 dBA, the distances can also be determined directly from the approach described in Section 5.3. Again, the points associated with a given decibel level are plotted on the map and connected by lines to represent that contour.

Locations of points will change with respect to the project boundary as the existing ambient exposure changes, as project source levels change, and as shielding effects change. In general, the points should be placed close enough to allow a smooth curve to be drawn. For a General Assessment, the contours may be drawn through buildings and salient terrain features as if they were not present. This practice is acceptable considering the level of detail associated with a project in its early stages of development. Examples 5-1 and 5-4 describe the development of noise contours, with illustrations in Figures 5-3 and 5-4.

5.6 INVENTORY NOISE IMPACT

The final step in the General Assessment is to develop an inventory of noise-impacted land uses. Using the land-use information and noise impact contours from Sections 5.4 and 5.5, it should be possible to locate which buildings are within the impact contours. In some cases it may be necessary to supplement the land-use information or determine the number of dwelling units within a multi-family building with a visual survey. If the objective is to compare and contrast major alignment or modal alternatives on the basis of noise impact, as in an Alternatives Analysis/Draft EIS, it may not be necessary to identify every different type of noise-sensitive land use. The inventory might be limited to only a few types, for example, residential and public institutional uses.

The steps for developing the inventory are:

1. Construct tables for all the noise-sensitive land uses identified in the three land-use categories from Section 5.4.
2. Tabulate buildings and sites that lie between the impact contours and the project boundary. For residential buildings, an estimate of the number of dwelling units is satisfactory. This is done for each alternative being considered.
3. Prepare summary tables showing the number of buildings (and estimated dwelling units, if available) within each impact zone for each alternative. Various alternatives can be compared in this way, including those with and without noise mitigation measures.
4. Determine the need for mitigation based on the policy considerations discussed in Section 3.2.4 and the application guidelines provided in Section 6.8.

Example 5-1. General Noise Assessment for a Commuter Rail System in an Existing Abandoned Railroad Right of Way
--

The following example illustrates the General Noise Assessment procedure for a new fixed-guideway project. The hypothetical project is a commuter rail system to be built within the abandoned right-of-way of a railroad. The example covers a segment of the corridor that passes through a densely developed area with population density of 25,000 people per square mile in mixed single-family and multi-family residential land use as shown in Figure 5-3. The example is presented in two parts: first, a segment where the rail line is grade-separated and a horn is not sounded; and second, an at-grade street-rail crossing where the horn is sounded.

Assumptions for Example

The assumptions for the project are as follows:

- **Project Corridor:** Existing population density is 25,000 people per square mile.

- **Commuter Rail System:** Commuter train with one locomotive and a three car consist on a double-track at-grade system with welded rail. Trains operate with 20-minute headways during peak hours, and 1-hour headways during off-peak. Speeds are approximately 40 mph along the corridor.
- **Operating Schedule:**

	<u>Period</u>	<u>Headway (minutes)</u>		<u>Trains per hour</u>		
		<u>Inbound</u>	<u>Outbound</u>	<u>Inbound</u>	<u>Outbound</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Daytime</u>	7am - 8am	20	20	3	3	6
	8am - 4pm	60	60	1	1	2
	4pm - 6pm	20	20	3	3	6
	6pm - 10pm	60	60	1	1	2
<u>Nighttime</u>	10pm - 11pm	60	60	1	1	2
	11pm - 5am	--	--	--	--	--
	5am - 6am	60	60	1	1	2
	6am - 7am	20	20	1	1	2

Procedure

The Screening Procedure calls for additional analysis for noise-sensitive land use within 375 feet of a commuter rail mainline. Figure 5-3 shows that the closest residences are about 100 ft from the Commuter Rail corridor centerline, thereby requiring further noise analysis. The procedure is summarized as follows:

Part 1. Grade-Separated Street Crossing

Determination of Noise Exposure at 50 feet

1. Determine average hourly daytime and nighttime volumes of train traffic.

Daytime (7am - 10pm):

$$V_d = 42 \text{ trains}/15 \text{ hours} = 2.8 \text{ trains/hour}$$

Nighttime (10pm - 7am):

$$V_n = 6 \text{ trains}/9 \text{ hours} = 0.7 \text{ trains/hour}$$

2. Calculate $L_{eq}(\text{day})$, and $L_{eq}(\text{night})$ 50 ft.

From Table 5-1 and 5-2 these levels are determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eqL}(\text{day}) &= SEL_{ref} + 10\log(N_{locos}) - 10\log(S/50) + 10\log(V_d) - 35.6 \\ &= 92 + 10\log(1) - 10\log(40/50) + 10\log(2.8) - 35.6 \\ &= 61.8 \text{ dB} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eqC}(\text{day}) &= SEL_{ref} + 10\log(N_{cars}) + 20\log(S/50) + 10\log(V_n) - 35.6 \\ &= 82 + 10\log(3) + 20\log(40/50) + 10\log(2.8) - 35.6 \\ &= 53.7 \text{ dB} \end{aligned}$$




Figure 5-3. Noise Impacts of Commuter Rail

Calculate the total daytime L_{eq} for the locomotive and rail cars.

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{eqT}(day) &= 10 * \log(10^{(L_{eqL}/10)} + 10^{(L_{eqC}/10)}) \\
 &= 10 * \log(10^{(62.2/10)} + 10^{(54.1/10)}) \\
 &= 62.4 \text{ dB}
 \end{aligned}$$

Calculate the nighttime L_{eq} for the locomotive and rail cars.

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{eqL}(night) &= SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(N_{locos}) - 10 \log(S/50) + 10 \log(V_n) - 35.6 \\
 &= 92 + 10 \log(1) - 10 \log(40/50) + 10 \log(0.7) - 35.6 \\
 &= 55.8 \text{ dB}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{eqC}(night) &= SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(N_{cars}) + 20 \log(S/50) + 10 \log(V_n) - 35.6 \\
 &= 82 + 10 \log(3) + 20 \log(40/50) + 10 \log(0.7) - 35.6 \\
 &= 47.7 \text{ dB}
 \end{aligned}$$

Calculate the total nighttime L_{eq} for the locomotive and rail cars.

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{eqT}(night) &= 10 * \log(10^{(L_{eqL}/10)} + 10^{(L_{eqC}/10)}) \\
 &= 10 * \log(10^{(55.8/10)} + 10^{(47.5/10)}) \\
 &= 56.4 \text{ dB}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Calculate project L_{dn} at 50 ft.

From Table 5-2 this level is determined as follows:

$$L_{dn} = 10 \log \left[(15) 10^{L_{eq}(\text{day})/10} + (9) 10^{(L_{eq}(\text{night})+10)/10} \right] - 13.8$$

which gives:

$$L_{dn} = 78.2 - 13.8$$

or

$$L_{dn} = 64.4 \text{ dB}$$

Estimate Existing Noise Exposure

4. Estimate existing noise at noise-sensitive sites. Since the existing alignment is on an abandoned railroad, the dominant existing noise source can be described by a "generalized" noise level to characterize a large area. An estimate of the existing noise environment is obtained from Table 5-7 with population density of 25,000 people per square mile, giving an $L_{dn} = 60$ dBA.

From Figure 5-3, unobstructed residences range from 100 to 200 ft from the rail line. Based on Table 5-7 the L_{dn} is 60 dB for the area.

Noise Impact Contours

5. The following table is constructed using the impact criteria curves.
 Note: The project criteria for L_{eq} is not shown since L_{eq} only applies to the non-residential receptors.

Existing Noise, L_{dn} or L_{eq} (day)	Onset of Moderate Impact	Onset of Severe Impact
	L_{dn}	L_{dn}
60 dB	58 dB	64 dB

6. Distance to impact contours are determined using the curve in Figure 5-2 for "Fixed-Guideway" and the project impact thresholds obtained above. The results are summarized as follows for the residences:

Existing Noise, L_{dn} or L_{eq} (h)	Distance to Noise Impact Threshold, feet	
	Moderate Impact	Severe Impact
60 dB	140	52

7. Draw contours for each affected land use, based on the above table and its distance from the rail line. Note that the impact distances listed are in terms of distance to the *centerline of the Commuter Rail corridor*.

8. Within the contours defining "Moderate Impact" are six residential buildings (shaded in Figure 5-3).

Noise Mitigation

9. The procedure is repeated assuming a noise barrier to be placed at the railroad right-of-way line. The barrier serves to reduce project noise from the Commuter Rail by at least 5 dB. This, however, does not affect the project criteria to be used in determining impact. That is, the same existing noise levels (as the case without a barrier) are used to determine these thresholds.

The net effect of the noise barrier is to decrease the Moderate Impact distance from 140 to 60 ft. Hence, the noise barrier eliminates all residential noise impact for this segment of the project area.

Part 2. Crossing At-Grade with Horn Blowing

Now consider the case of an active street crossing of the commuter railroad tracks. The General Assessment method includes source reference levels for horns on moving trains and warning bells (crossing signals) at the street crossing. According to Table 5-1, the horn noise applies to track segments within ¼ mile of the grade crossing. Using the train volumes from Part 1 and the information in Tables 5-1 and 5-2, the day- and nighttime Leqs from sounding the horns are determined at 50 feet as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eqL}(day)_{horns} &= SEL_{ref} + 10\log(V_d) - 35.6 \\ &= 113 + 10\log(3.1) - 35.6 \\ &= 82.3 \text{ dB} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eqC}(night)_{horns} &= SEL_{ref} + 10\log(V_n) - 35.6 \\ &= 113 + 10\log(0.7) - 35.6 \\ &= 75.9 \text{ dB} \end{aligned}$$

The L_{dn} at 50 ft. from train horns is the next calculation:

From Table 5-2 this level is determined as follows:

$$L_{dn} = 10\log\left[(15)10^{Leq(day)/10} + (9)10^{(Leq(night)+10)/10}\right] - 13.8$$

which gives:

$$L_{dn} = 84 \text{ dB}$$

At-grade street crossings will have warning bells, typically sounding for 20 seconds for every train pass-by. The total day- and nighttime durations are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_d &= \text{average daytime hourly duration} \\ &= 20 \text{ seconds} \times 3.1 \text{ trains/hour} = 62 \text{ seconds/hour} \end{aligned}$$

E_n = average nighttime hourly duration
 = 20 seconds x 0.7 trains/hour = 14 seconds/hour.

From Table 5-6 for stationary sources:

$L_{eqL}(day)_{cs}$ = $SEL_{ref} + 10\log(E_d/3600) - 35.6$
 = $109 + 10\log(62/3600) - 35.6$
 = 55.8 dB

$L_{eqC}(night)_{cs}$ = $SEL_{ref} + 10\log(E_n/3600) - 35.6$
 = $109 + 10\log(14/3600) - 35.6$
 = 49.3 dB

Applying the L_{dn} equation from Table 5-6, $L_{dn, cs} = 57.5$ dB.

Compared to horn blowing, the crossing signal noise is negligible.

Noise impact distances are found in the same way as in Part 1, with a new noise level, $L_{dn} = 84$ dB.

Again, the existing noise level is used to determine the onset of Moderate and Severe Impacts:

Existing Noise, L_{dn} or $L_{eq}(\text{day})$	Onset of Moderate Impact	Onset of Severe Impact
	L_{dn}	L_{dn}
60 dB	58 dB	64 dB

Distance to impact contours is determined using the curve in Figure 5-2 for "Fixed-Guideway" and the project impact thresholds obtained above. The results are summarized as follows for the residences:

Existing Noise, L_{dn} or $L_{eq}(h)$	Distance to Noise Impact Threshold, feet	
	Moderate Impact	Severe Impact
60 dB	1000	500

Contours are drawn as in Part 1, extending to the distances above for ¼ mile on either side of the grade crossing.

End of Example 5-1

Example 5-2. Example of Highway/Transit Corridor Projects

This example illustrates two cases of highway/transit projects, one where the highway noise dominates and the FHWA procedures should be used and another where the FTA methodology is appropriate.

Case 1: Highway dominates

A new LRT system is planned for the median of a major freeway that carries heavy traffic both day and night. The noise levels at the first row of houses along the freeway were measured during peak hour, mid-day and late evening with hourly Leq readings of 65 dBA, 63 dBA and 60 dBA, respectively. The LRT tracks will be 125 feet from the first row of houses. The LRT operations during peak hour will be 4-car trains at 45 mph, with 5-minute headways in both directions. Late evening service decreases to 2-car trains and 20 minute headways. Referring to Table 5-2, "Rail Vehicles," the applicable terms for determining the peak hour Leq in this case are: $SEL_{REF} = 82$ dBA; $N = 4$ cars per train; $S = 45$ mph; and $V = 24$ trains per hour. Inserting these parameters into the equation in Table 5-2, the LRT peak-hour noise level is determined to be 65 dBA at 50 feet, and from Figure 5-2, the level at 125 feet is 60 dBA. The corresponding calculation for late evening hourly Leq results in 51 dBA.

FTA is providing a share of the funding for the LRT project, but the State DOT and the FHWA are co-lead agencies because the median requires considerable preparation for the tracks, including replacing bridge piers of street crossings and moving some highway lanes. In this case, the freeway dominates the noise environment in the area both day and night, by 5 dB during peak hour and 9 dB at night. According to Chapter 3, the FHWA procedures are to be used when sufficient evidence shows that highway noise dominates. Consequently TNM is used to calculate the future noise levels at the first row of houses, with a result of peak-hour Leq of 66 dBA. The State has a policy of implementing noise abatement measures if the FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) are approached and the increase over existing noise levels is 5 dB or more at residential land use.

Combining the freeway noise and LRT noise during the peak traffic hour by decibel addition results in a combined noise level of 67 dBA.

In this case, no mitigation is proposed because although the combined level reaches the FHWA NAC of 67 dBA for residential land use, the increase in noise over existing conditions is only 2 dB, thereby failing this State's policy requirement of at least a 5 dB increase over existing levels to justify noise mitigation measures.

Case 2: LRT dominates at night

A new LRT is planned for the median of a major arterial highway used by commuters primarily during rush hours. Traffic volume on the arterial drops considerably during off-peak and nighttime hours. Currently the arterial has signalized intersections, but in the future the cross streets will be grade-separated, but commercial businesses and residential developments will

continue to be accessible with "right-turn-off / right-turn-on". The existing noise at the nearest homes adjacent to the arterial has been measured, resulting in a peak-hour Leq of 63 dBA and an Ldn of 60 dBA.

The future traffic noise after improvements to the arterial is projected to be 65 dBA for the two-hour morning peak period and the same for the two-hour evening peak period, falling to hourly Leq's of 60 dBA during the remaining daytime hours and 50 dBA after 10 p.m. Accordingly, Ldn is calculated to be 61 dBA from the arterial at the homes.

The LRT is proposed to be on elevated structure in the median of the arterial, located 125 feet from the nearest homes in the development. The proposed operations at this location are:

- Peak hours (7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.): 4-car trains, with 5 minute headways, at 50 mph.
- Off-peak hours (9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.): 3-car trains, with 10 minute headways, at 50 mph.
- Night hours (10:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.): 2-car trains, with 15 minute headways, at 40 mph.

This train schedule results in an average hourly volume of 15.2 trains per hour, with an average of 3.42 cars per train in both directions during the daytime, and 2-car trains with an average hourly volume of 2.67 trains per hour during the nighttime. According to the equations in Table 5-2 and the propagation curve in Figure 5-2, the Ldn = 63 dBA at these homes. The combined arterial and LRT noise is projected to be Ldn = 64.7 dBA by decibel addition.

FTA procedures are appropriate in this case, since the LRT continues to operate into the nighttime hours and actually dominates the noise environment because the arterial noise diminishes in those hours. Here is a case where the cumulative noise impact curve (Figure 3-2) is applicable because the project included changes to the arterial as well as addition of a new transportation source. With an existing Ldn of 60 dB and a future Ldn of 64.7 dBA, Figure 3-2 indicates the increase of 4.7 dB would cause Moderate Impact.

End of Example 5-2

Example 5-3. General Noise Assessment for a BRT System in an Existing Railroad Right of Way

This example for an uncomplicated Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project is meant to illustrate the approach for a highway/transit type project using the FTA procedures.

A new BRT corridor is planned in an existing abandoned railroad right-of-way. For this project source,

$$\begin{aligned} SEL_{ref} &= 82 \text{ for buses} \\ S &= 25 \text{ mph} \\ V_d &= (344 \text{ buses}) / (15 \text{ hours}) = 22.9 \text{ buses per hour} \\ V_n &= (116 \text{ buses}) / (9 \text{ hours}) = 12.9 \text{ buses per hour} \end{aligned}$$

In addition, from Table 5-4,

$$C_s = 15 \text{ for buses}$$

Using the equations in Table 5-4 the resulting L_{eq} 's at 50 feet are:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(\text{day}) &= 55.5 \\ L_{eq}(\text{night}) &= 53 \end{aligned}$$

This total day and night traffic results in:

$$L_{dn} = 60 \text{ at 50 ft}$$

The surrounding area is residential with 2,500 people per square mile starting approximately 100 feet away from the proposed alignment. Using Table 5-7 the existing noise in the area is 50 dBA.

From Figure 3-1 the impacts thresholds are:

Background Level	Moderate Impact	Severe Impact
50	54	59

Therefore, from Figure 5-2:

Project Level	Onset of Moderate Impact	Onset of Severe Impact
60	125 feet	60 feet

This results in impacts to the residences. A barrier is proposed for mitigation, resulting in a predicted new level of 55 and:

Mitigated Project Level	Onset of Moderate Impact	Onset of Severe Impact
55	60 feet	N/A

The onset of Severe Impact is listed as N/A because the Severe Impact criterion is not exceeded by the project. Mitigation is accomplished by a barrier because the Moderate Impact contour has been moved in to a distance of 60 feet, whereas the residential area lies beyond 100 feet.

End of Example 5-3

Example 5-4. General Noise Assessment for a Transit Center

The following example illustrates the procedure for performing a General Noise Assessment for a stationary source. The example represents a typical FTA-assisted project in an urban area, the siting of a busy transit center in a mixed commercial and residential area, as shown in Figure 5-4.

Assumptions for Example

The assumptions for the Transit Center and its environs are as follows:

- **Main Street Traffic:** Peak hour traffic of 1200 autos, 20 heavy trucks, 300 medium trucks.
- **Population Density:** 12 houses per block; single family homes; 3 people per family.

Block area = 78,750 square feet.

Population density = 9,750 people/square mile.

- **Bus Traffic:**

<u>Period</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Buses per Hour</u>
Peak, Morning	7am - 9am	30
Peak, Afternoon	4pm - 6pm	30
Mid-day	9am - 4pm	15
Evening	6pm - 10pm	12
Early Morning (Night)	6am - 7am	15
Late Night	10pm - 1am	4

Procedure

Before beginning the General Assessment, note that the Screening Procedure calls for additional analysis if any residential or other noise-sensitive land use is within 150 feet of a Transit Center when there are intervening buildings. According to Figure 5-4 the nearest residence is about 140 feet from the center of the proposed Transit Center, thereby calling for further analysis. The General Assessment proceeds as follows:

Determination of Noise Exposure at 50 feet

1. Determine the average number of buses per hour during day and night.

Day (7am - 10pm):

$$N_B (\text{avg day}) = 273 \text{ buses}/15 \text{ hours} = 18.2 \text{ buses/hour average}$$

Night (10pm - 7am):

$$N_B (\text{avg night}) = 27 \text{ buses}/9 \text{ hours} = 3 \text{ buses/hour average}$$




Figure 5-4. Example of Project for General Assessment: Siting of Transit Center in Mixed Commercial/Residential Area

2. Calculate $L_{eq}(day)$ and $L_{eq}(night)$ at 50 feet, assuming no noise barrier.

From Table 5-5 and Table 5-6 the levels are determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(day) &= SEL_{ref} + C_N - 35.6 \\ &= 101 + 10 \log (18.2/20) - 35.6 \\ &= 65 \text{ dB} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(night) &= SEL_{ref} + C_N - 35.6 \\ &= 101 + 10 \log (3/20) - 35.6 \\ &= 57 \text{ dB} \end{aligned}$$

3. Calculate L_{dn} at 50 ft for the project.

From Table 5-6 the level at 50 feet is determined as follows:

$$L_{dn} = 10 \log \left[(15) 10^{L_{eq}(day)/10} + (9) 10^{(L_{eq}(night)+10)/10} \right] - 13.8$$

which gives:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{dn} &= 79.7 - 13.8 \\ \text{or } L_{dn} &= 66 \text{ dB} \end{aligned}$$

Estimate Existing Noise Exposure

4. Estimate existing noise at noise-sensitive sites from the dominant noise source, either major roadways or local streets (population density).

Roadway Noise Estimate: The traffic on Main Street qualifies this street for the "Other Major Roadway" category in Table 5-7. According to the map, the nearest residence is 275 feet from the edge of Main Street. The table shows existing $L_{dn} = 55$ dB at this distance for representative busy city street traffic.

Population Density Noise Estimate: As a check on which ambient noise category to use, noise from local streets is estimated from the population density of 9,750 people/square mile. Table 5-7 indicates the L_{dn} should be approximately 55 dB.

The existing noise level associated with the residential neighborhood is therefore taken to be $L_{dn} = 55$ dB. In case the two estimates are different, use the lower L_{dn} value.

Noise Impact Contours

5. Distance to Impact Contours: For an existing noise exposure of 55 dB, the noise impact criteria indicate that the onset of Moderate Impact will occur at a project noise level of 56 dB, and onset of Severe Impact will occur at 62 dB. The next step is to determine the distances from the center of the property at which these levels are reached. This is accomplished by use of Figure 5-2, the exposure-vs-distance curve. With the project noise level at 50 feet given as 66 dB and the two

impact levels at 56 dB and 62 dB, the differences are 10 dB and 4 dB, respectively. Using the curve in Figure 5-2 labeled "Stationary" source, the distance to where the project level drops 10 dB is approximately 160 feet, and 4 dB attenuation occurs at about 80 feet. Consequently, the Moderate Impact contour occurs about 140 feet from the center of the property and the Severe Impact contour occurs at 80 feet.

6. Draw Contours: Lines are drawn at 80 feet and 140 feet from the center of the property of the proposed Transit Center. These lines represent the noise impact contours. (Note in Figure 5-4 the Severe Impact contour is left out for clarity: it is just within the dashed line representing the Moderate Impact contour after mitigation.)
7. Assessment: Within, or touching, the contour defining "Moderate Impact" are three residential buildings (shaded in Figure 5-4). No residences are within the "Severe Impact contour."

Noise Mitigation

8. Noise Barrier: The process is repeated with a hypothetical noise barrier at the property line on the residential side of the Transit Center. This would consist of a wall approximately 15 feet high partially enclosing the transit center, sufficient to screen the residences but not the commercial block facing Main Street. According to Table 5-6, the approximate noise barrier effect is -5 dB. Repeating the procedure above, the effect of the noise barrier is to shrink the Moderate Impact contour to 90 feet and the Severe Impact contour to 45 feet, which in this example eliminates all adverse effect on the residences.

End of Example 5-4

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, *FHWA Traffic Noise Model User's Guide*, Report FHWA-PD-96-009, Washington, DC, January 1998. In addition, *FHWA Traffic Noise Model User's Guide (Version 2.5 Addendum)*, April 2004.
2. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Population Distribution of the United States as a Function of Outdoor Noise Level," Report 550/9-74-009, June 1974.

6. DETAILED NOISE ANALYSIS

This chapter describes the detailed computation of both project and existing noise levels for a comprehensive assessment of project noise impact. The main purpose of this chapter is to provide a procedure that allows prediction of impact and assessment of the effectiveness of mitigation with greater precision than can be achieved with the General Assessment. In some cases, decisions on appropriate mitigation measures can be made based on the results of the General Assessment. When a more detailed evaluation of mitigation measures is needed, the procedures in this chapter should be followed.

It is important to recognize that use of the Detailed Analysis methods will not provide more accurate results than the General Assessment unless more detailed and specific input data are used. In the case of a transit center, for example, the General Assessment provides a source level at a reference distance from the center of the site based on the number of buses at the facility during each hour. Thus, the only information needed for a General Assessment of the transit center is the site location and hourly bus volumes. However, a Detailed Analysis would require specific information on the locations, reference levels, traffic volumes and duration of operations for individual sources that contribute to the total noise output of the transit center. Such information would include a detailed design plan for the facility, the locations of idling buses and the idling durations, as well as the bus and automobile traffic patterns and volumes. A Detailed Analysis cannot be done until such information is available.

Detailed Noise Analysis is appropriate in two main circumstances: first, for a major fixed-guideway project after the preferred mode and alignment have been selected; and second, for any other transit project where potentially severe impacts are identified at an early stage. For fixed-guideway projects, once the preferred mode and alignment are established, the project sponsor begins preliminary engineering and works to complete the environmental impact assessment, usually with a Final EIS. Information required for the Detailed Noise Analysis is generally available at the preliminary engineering stage; such information includes hourly operational schedules during day and night, speed profiles, plan and profiles of guideways, locations of access roads, and landform topography including terrain and building features.

Even for relatively minor transit projects, noise impacts are likely to occur whenever the project is in close proximity to noise-sensitive sites, particularly residences. Some examples are: (1) a terminal or station sited adjacent to a residential neighborhood; (2) a maintenance facility located near a school; (3) a storage yard adjacent to residences; and (4) an electric substation located adjacent to a hospital. As with the larger fixed-guideway projects mentioned above, a Detailed Noise Analysis for these projects will require information normally developed at the preliminary design stage.

The procedures of this chapter include everything needed for a fully detailed transit noise analysis. They are aimed at major transit projects that have enough lead time for thorough environmental analysis. They need not be followed to the letter; they can be tempered by competent engineering judgment and adapted somewhat to specific project constraints.

This chapter employs equations as the primary mode of computation, rather than graphs or tables of numbers, in order to facilitate the use of spreadsheets and/or programmable calculators. Moreover, these equations and their supporting text have been streamlined to provide as concise a view of the Detailed Noise Analysis as possible. As a result, basic noise concepts are not repeated in this chapter.

The steps in the procedure appear in Figure 6-1 and are described below. They parallel the steps for the General Noise Assessment, though they are more refined in the prediction of project noise and subsequent evaluation of mitigation measures.

1. Receivers of Interest. Select receivers of interest, guided by Section 6.1. The number of receivers will depend upon the land use in the vicinity of the proposed project and the extent of the study area defined by the Screening Procedure. If a General Assessment has been done, this will give a good indication of the extent of potential impacts.
2. Project Noise. Determine whether the project is primarily a fixed-guideway transit, highway/transit, or stationary facility. Note that a major fixed-guideway system will have stationary facilities associated with it, and that a stationary facility may have highway/transit elements associated with it. Identify the project noise sources that are in the vicinity of receivers of interest. For these sources, determine the source reference noise in terms of SEL from the tables in Section 6.2. Each reference SEL pertains to reference operating conditions for stationary sources or to one vehicle passby under reference operating conditions for fixed-guideway and highway/transit sources. These reference levels should incorporate source-noise mitigation only if such mitigation will be incorporated into the system specifications. For example, if the specifications include vehicle noise limits which may not be exceeded, these limits should be used to determine the reference level, and this level should be used in the analysis rather than the standard, tabulated reference level. Convert each source SEL to noise exposure (L_{dn} or $L_{eq}(h)$) at 50 feet, for the appropriate project operating parameters, using additional equations in Section 6.2.
3. Propagation and Summation of Project Noise at Receivers of Interest. Draw a noise exposure-vs.-distance curve for each relevant source, using the equations in Section 6.3. This curve will show source noise as a function of distance, accounting for shielding along the path, as well as any

propagation-path mitigation that will be included in the project. From these curves, determine the total project noise exposure at all receivers of interest by combining the levels from all relevant sources (Section 6.4).




Figure 6-1. Procedure for Detailed Analysis

4. Existing Noise in the Study Area. Estimate the existing noise exposure at each receiver of interest, using the methods in Section 6.6.
5. Noise Impact Assessment. Assess noise impact at each receiver of interest using the procedures in Section 6.7 which incorporate the noise impact criteria of Chapter 3.
6. Mitigation of Noise Impact. Where the assessment shows either Severe Impact or Moderate Impact, evaluate alternative mitigation measures referring to Section 6.8. Then loop back to modify

the project-noise computations, thereby accounting for the adopted mitigation, and reassess the remaining noise impact.

6.1 RECEIVERS OF INTEREST

The steps in identifying the receivers of interest, both the number of receivers needed and their locations, are shown in Figure 6-2. Later sections discuss the measurement/computation of ambient noise, the computation of project noise, and the resulting assessment of noise impact that is done for each receiver. The basic steps, which are discussed in the following subsections, are:

1. Identify all noise-sensitive land uses.
2. Find individual receivers of interest. Examples are isolated residences and institutional resources such as schools.
3. Cluster residential neighborhoods and other relatively large noise-sensitive areas.




Figure 6-2. Guide to Selecting Receivers of Interest

6.1.1 Identifying Noise-Sensitive Land Uses

A Detailed Noise Analysis should usually be performed on all noise-sensitive land uses where impact is identified by the General Noise Assessment. If a General Noise Assessment has not been done, but there appears to be potential for noise impacts, all noise-sensitive sites within the area defined by the noise screening procedure should be included. In areas where ambient noise is low, the assessment will include land uses that are farther from the proposed project than for areas with higher ambient levels.

Some of the land-use materials and methods that can be helpful in locating noise-sensitive land uses in the vicinity of the proposed project include:

- **Land-use maps**, prepared by regional or local planning agencies or by the project staff. Area-wide maps often do not have sufficient detail to be of much use. However, they can provide broad guidance and may suggest residential pockets hidden within otherwise commercial zones. Of more use are project-specific maps which provide building-by-building detail on the land nearest the proposed project.
- **USGS maps**, prepared by the United States Geological Survey generally at 2000-foot scale. These maps contain details of house placement, except in highly urbanized areas, and generally show the location of all schools and places of worship, plus many other public-use buildings. In addition, the topographic contours on these maps may be useful later during noise computation.
- **Road and town maps**. These can supplement the USGS maps, are generally more up-to-date, and may be of larger scale.
- **Aerial photographs**, especially those of 400-foot scale or better. When current, aerial photos are valuable in locating all potential noise-sensitive land uses close to the proposed project. In addition, they can be useful in determining the distances between receivers and the project.
- **Windshield survey** of the corridor. Definitive identification of noise-sensitive sites is accomplished by a windshield survey in which the corridor is driven and land uses are annotated on base maps. The windshield survey, supplemented by footwork where needed, is especially useful in identifying newly-constructed sites and in confirming land uses very close to the proposed project.
- **Geographic Information Systems (GIS)**. Mapping needed for identifying noise-sensitive land uses is often available in electronic GIS format. GIS data may include land parcels, building structures, aerial photography and project-specific information. These data may be obtained during the project study or from local or regional agencies that store and maintain GIS data. Using electronic GIS data has advantages over paper mapping in being able to automate the process of identifying noise-sensitive land use and accurately being able to determine their distances to the project alignment.

Table 6-1 contains the types of land use of most interest in the impact assessment, separated into three types of land use. If noise impact was identified at other types of buildings/areas with noise-sensitive use by the General Noise Assessment, these should be selected also.

6.1.2 Selecting Individual Receivers of Interest

Select as an individual receiver of interest: (1) every major noise-sensitive building used by the public; (2) every isolated residence; and (3) every relatively small outdoor noise-sensitive area. Use judgment here to avoid analyzing noise where such analysis is obviously not needed. For example, many roadside motels are not particularly sensitive to noise from outdoors. On the other hand, be careful to include buildings used by the public or outdoor areas which are considered to be particularly noise-sensitive by the community. Isolated residences that are particularly close to the project should certainly be included, while those at some distance may often be omitted or "clustered" together with other land uses, as described in the next section. Use judgment also concerning relatively small outdoor noise-sensitive areas. For example, playgrounds can often be omitted unless they directly abut the proposed project, since noise sensitivity in playgrounds is generally low.

Table 6-1. Land Uses of Interest

Land Uses	Specific Use	Selecting Receivers
Outdoor noise-sensitive areas	Certain parks Historic sites used for interpretation Amphitheaters Passive recreation areas Cemeteries Other outdoor noise-sensitive areas	For relatively small noise-sensitive areas: same as indoor noise-sensitive sites. For relatively large areas: same as for residential areas.
Residences	Single family residences Multi-family residences (apartment buildings, duplexes, etc.)	Select each isolated residence as a receiver of interest. For residential areas, cluster by proximity to project sources, proximity to ambient-noise sources, and location along project line. Choose one receiver of interest in each cluster.
Indoor noise-sensitive sites	Places of worship Schools Hospitals/nursing homes Libraries Public meeting halls Concert halls/auditoriums/theaters Recording/broadcast studios Museums and certain historic buildings Hotels and motels Other public buildings with noise-sensitive indoor use	Select noise-sensitive buildings as separate receivers of interest.

6.1.3 Clustering Residential Neighborhoods and Outdoor Noise-Sensitive Areas

Residential neighborhoods and relatively large outdoor noise-sensitive areas can often be clustered, simplifying the analysis that is required without compromising the accuracy of the analysis. The goal is to subdivide all such neighborhoods/areas into clusters of approximately uniform noise, each containing a collection of noise-sensitive sites. Attempt to obtain uniformity of both project noise and ambient noise, guided by these considerations:

1. In general, project noise drops off with distance from the project. For this reason, project noise uniformity requires nearly equal distances between the project noise source and all points within the cluster. Such clusters will usually be shaped as long narrow strips parallel to the transit corridor and/or circling project point sources such as a maintenance facility. Suggested are clusters within which the project noise will vary over a range of 5 decibels or less. Be guided here by the fact that project noise will drop off approximately 3 decibels per doubling of distance for line sources and 6 decibels per doubling of distance for point sources over open terrain. Drop-off with distance will be faster in areas containing obstacles to sound propagation, such as rows of buildings.
2. Ambient noise usually drops off from non-project sources in the same manner as does noise from project sources. For this reason, clustering for uniform ambient noise will usually result in long narrow strips parallel to major roadways or circling major point sources of ambient noise, such as a manufacturing facility. Suggested are clusters within which the ambient noise will vary over a range

of 5 decibels or less, though this may be hard to judge without measurements. In areas without predominant sources of noise, like highways, ambient noise varies with population density, which is generally uniform along the corridor. In situations where ambient noise tends to be uniform, the clusters can encompass relatively large areas.

After defining the cluster, select one receiver as representative in each cluster. Generally choose the receiver closest to the project and at an intermediate distance from the predominant sources of existing noise. Detailed procedures for clustering appear in Appendix C along with an example of clustering for a segment of rail line. This method will generally result in an adequate selection of receivers along the corridor or surrounding the site.

6.2 PROJECT NOISE

Once receivers have been selected, projections of noise from the project must be developed for each receiver. This section describes the first step, calculating the noise exposure at an equivalent distance of 50 feet from each project noise source. As shown in Figure 6-3, the basic procedures for the computation are: (1) Separate nearby sources into these source-type categories: fixed-guideway sources, highway/transit sources, and stationary sources; (2) Determine the reference SEL for each source; and (3) Use the projected source operating parameters to convert each reference SEL to noise exposure (either L_{dn} or $L_{eq}(h)$) at 50 feet.

Table 6-2 lists many of the noise sources that are involved in transit projects. The right-hand column of the table indicates whether or not each source is a major contributor to overall noise impact. Note that some noise sources, such as track maintenance equipment, create high noise levels but are not indicated as "major." Although such sources are loud, they rarely stay in a neighborhood for more than a day or two; therefore, the overall noise exposure is relatively minor. Computations are required for all major noise sources in this table. The computations for the three basic groups – fixed-guideway sources, highway/transit sources, and stationary sources – appear in separate sections below.

6.2.1 Fixed-Guideway Sources

This section describes the computation of project noise at 50 feet from fixed-guideway sources of transit noise, identified in the second column of Table 6-2.

Step 1: Source SELs at 50 feet

For each major fixed-guideway noise source, first determine the reference SEL at 50 feet, either by measurement or by table look-up. Table 6-3 provides guidance on which method is preferred for each source type. A "NO" implies that the source levels are based on a solid and consistent data base; a "YES" means that a solid data base is not available. In general, measurements are preferred for source types that vary significantly from project to project, including any emerging technology sources. Table look-up is adequate for source types that do not vary significantly from project to project. In general, table look-up is adequate for fewer source types during Detailed Noise Analysis than during General Noise Assessment where less precision is acceptable.




Figure 6-3. Flow Diagram for Determining Project Noise at 50 ft

For sources where measurements are indicated in Table 6-3, Appendix E discusses measurement procedures and conversion of these measurements to the reference conditions of Table 6-3. These procedures have been placed in an appendix because of their relative complexity. For projects where source-noise specifications have been defined (e.g., noise limits are usually included in the specifications for purchase of new transit vehicles), these specifications may be used instead of measurements, after conversion to reference conditions with the equations of Appendix E. This would only be appropriate where there is a firm commitment to adopt the noise specifications in the vehicle procurement documents during final design and adhere to the specifications throughout the procurement, delivery and testing of the vehicles.

For sources where table look-up is indicated in Table 6-3, the table provides appropriate Source Reference SELs. Approximate L_{max} values also appear in the table for general user information and for comparison with factors such as the noise limits that are included in transit vehicle specifications. As discussed in Chapter 2, L_{max} is not used directly in the evaluation of noise impact.

Table 6-2. Sources of Transit Noise			
Project Type	Source Type	Actual Source	Major?
Commuter Rail Light Rail Rail Rapid Transit	Fixed-Guideway	Locomotive and rail car passbys	YES
		Horns and whistles	YES
		Crossing signals	YES
		Crossovers/switches	YES
		Squeal on tight curves	YES
		Track-maintenance equipment	NO
	Stationary	Substations	YES
		Chiller plants	NO
Busways Bus Transit Malls	Highway/Transit	Bus passbys	YES
		Buses parking	NO
	Stationary	Buses idling	YES
Automated Guideway Transit Monorail	Fixed-Guideway	Vehicle passbys	YES
	Miscellaneous	Line equipment	NO
Terminals Stations Transit Centers	Fixed-Guideway	Locomotive and rail car passbys	YES
		Crossovers/switches	YES
		Squeal on tight curves	YES
	Highway/Transit	Bus passbys	YES
		Buses parking	NO
		Automobile passbys	NO
	Stationary	Locomotives idling	YES
		Buses idling	YES
		Ferry boats landing, idling and departing at dock	YES
		HVAC equipment	NO
		Cooling towers	NO
		P/A systems	NO
Park-and-Ride Lots	Highway/Transit	Bus passbys	YES
		Buses idling	YES
		Automobile passbys	NO
	Stationary	P/A systems	NO
Traffic Diversion Projects	Highway/Transit	Highway vehicle passbys	YES
Storage Facilities Maintenance Facilities	Fixed-Guideway	Locomotive and rail car passbys	YES
		Locomotives idling	YES
		Squeal on tight curves	YES
		Horns, warning signals, coupling/ uncoupling, auxiliary equipment, crossovers/ switches, brake squeal and air release	YES
	Highway/Transit	Bus passbys	YES
	Stationary	Buses idling	YES
		Yard/shop activities	NO
		Car washes	NO
		HVAC Equipment	NO
		P/A Systems	NO

Source	Reference SEL (dBA)	Approximate L_{max} (dBA)	Prefer Measurements?
Rail Cars	82	80	NO
Locomotives - Diesel	92	88	NO
Locomotives - Electric	90	86	NO
Diesel Multiple Unit (DMU)	85	81	YES
AGT - Steel Wheel	80	78	YES
AGT - Rubber Tire	78	75	YES
Monorail	82	80	YES
Maglev	72	70	YES
Transit Car Horns (Emergency)	93	90	NO
Transit Car Whistles	81	78	NO
Locomotive Horns			
At Grade Crossing	113	110	NO
From Crossing to 1/8 mile	$113-3*(D_p/660)$	110	
From 1/8 mile to 1/4 mile	110	110	
D_p = distance from grade crossing parallel to tracks			

Step 2: Conversion to Noise Exposure at 50 feet

Step 1 results in reference SELs at 50 feet. Step 2 is to convert from these reference SELs to noise exposure based on operating conditions and parameters such as train consists, speed, and number of trains per hour. The steps are:

1. Identify operating conditions. Trains with different consists require separate conversion since they will produce different noise exposure. The same is true for trains at different speeds, or under different operating conditions. As guidance here, the following percentage changes in operating conditions will produce an approximate 2-decibel change in noise exposure:
 - 40 percent change in number of locomotives or cars per train
 - 40 percent change in number of trains per hour
 - 40 percent change in number of trains per day, or per night (for computation of L_{dn})
 - 15 percent change in train speed
 - Change of one notch in diesel locomotive throttle setting (e.g. from notch 5 to notch 6)

In general, where operating conditions change by these amounts, separate calculations should be made. Without separate conversions, the risk is that the results may not be accurate enough.

2. Establish relevant time periods. For each of these source types/conditions, decide what are the relevant time periods for all receivers that may be affected by this source. For residential receivers, the two time periods of interest for computation of L_{dn} are: daytime (7 am to 10 pm) and nighttime

(10 pm to 7 am). If the source will affect non-residential receivers, choose the loudest project hour during noise-sensitive activity. Several different hours may be of interest for non-residential receivers depending on the hours the facility is used.

3. Collect input data.

- Source reference SELs for locomotives, rail cars, and warning horns.
- N_{cars} , the number of rail cars in the train.
- N_{locos} , the number of locomotives in the train, if any.
- S , the train speed, in miles per hour.
- T , the average throttle setting of the train's locomotive(s), if it is diesel-electric.¹ If this input is not available, assume a throttle setting of 8.
- For residential receivers of interest:
 - V_d , the average hourly train volume during daytime hours (equals the total number of train passbys between 7 am and 10 pm, divided by 15), and
 - V_n , the average hourly train volume during nighttime hours (equals the total number of train passbys between 10 pm and 7 am, divided by 9).
- For non-residential receivers: V , the hourly train volume for each hour of interest.
- Track type (continuously welded or jointed) and profile (at-grade or elevated).

4. Calculate L_{eq} at 50 ft for each hour of interest.

- Compute $L_{eqL}(h)$ for the locomotive(s) using the first equation in Table 6-4.
- Compute $L_{eqC}(h)$ for the rail car(s) using the second equation in Table 6-4. Use the adjustments indicated in the table, as needed.
- Compute $L_{eqH}(h)$ for the train horn using the third equation in Table 6-4.
- Compute the total $L_{eq}(h)$ using the fourth equation in Table 6-4. Two totals may be necessary: one with the warning horn and one without it. These will pertain to different neighborhoods along the corridor, depending upon whether the horn is sounded in that neighborhood or not.

5. Compute L_{dn} at 50 ft. If the project noise will affect any residential receivers, compute the total train L_{dn} from the fifth equation in Table 6-4. Again two totals may be necessary: one with the warning horn and one without it, as explained above.

¹ Otherwise, this term is not applicable and should be omitted from the equation in Table 6-4.

Table 6-4. Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet: Fixed-Guideway Sources	
LOCOMOTIVES[†] Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eqL}(h) = SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(N_{locos}) + C_T + K \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) + 10 \log(V) - 35.6$ <p>where $C_T = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } T < 6 \\ 2(T - 5) & \text{for } T \geq 6 \end{cases}$</p> <p>and $K = -10$ for passenger diesel; $= 0$ for DMU; $= +10$ for electric</p>
AIL VEHICLES^{††} Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eqC}(h) = SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(N_{cars}) + 20 \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) + 10 \log(V) - 35.6$ <p>use the following adjustments as applicable:</p> <p>+ 5 → JOINTED TRACK + 3 → EMBEDDED TRACK ON GRADE + 4 → AERIAL STRUCTURE WITH SLAB TRACK</p>
LOCOMOTIVE WARNING HORNS^{†††} Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eqH}(h) = SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(V) - 35.6$
TRANSIT WARNING HORNS^{†††} Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eqH}(h) = SEL_{ref} - 10 \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) + 10 \log(V) - 35.6$
COMBINED Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(h) = 10 \log \left[10^{\left(\frac{L_{eqL}(h)}{10}\right)} + 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eqC}(h)}{10}\right)} + 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eqH}(h)}{10}\right)} \right]$
Daytime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(day) = L_{eq}(h) \Big _{v=v_d}$
Nighttime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(night) = L_{eq}(h) \Big _{v=v_n}$
L_{dn} at 50 ft:	$L_{dn} = 10 \log \left[(15) \cdot 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(day)}{10}\right)} + (9) \cdot 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(night)+10}{10}\right)} \right] - 13.8$
N_{locos} N_{cars} T S V V_d V_n	<p>= average number of locomotives per train</p> <p>= average number of cars per train</p> <p>= average throttle setting of diesel-powered locomotives and DMU's</p> <p>= train speed, in miles per hour</p> <p>= average hourly volume of train traffic, in trains per hour</p> <p>= average hourly daytime volume of traffic, in trains per hour $= \frac{\text{number of trains, 7 am to 10 pm}}{15}$</p> <p>= average hourly nighttime volume of train traffic, in trains per hour $= \frac{\text{number of trains, 10 pm to 7 am}}{9}$</p>
[†] Assumes a passenger diesel locomotive power rating of approximately 3000 hp ^{††} Includes all commuter rail cars, transit cars, AGT and monorail ^{†††} Based on FRA's horn noise model (www.fra.dot.gov/downloads/RRDev/hornmodel.xls)	

Example 6-1. Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet for Fixed-Guideway Source

A commuter train with 1 diesel locomotive and 6 cars will pass close to a residential area at a grade crossing. For this project source,

$$\begin{aligned} SEL_{ref} &= 92 \text{ for locomotives,} \\ &= 82 \text{ for rail cars,} \\ &= 113 \text{ for locomotive warning horns at grade crossing} \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{cars} &= 6 \\ N_{locos} &= 1 \\ S &= 43 \text{ mph} \\ T &= 8 \\ V_d &= (40 \text{ trains})/(15 \text{ hours}) = 2.667 \text{ trains per hour, and} \\ V_n &= (2 \text{ trains})/(9 \text{ hours}) = 0.222 \text{ trains per hour.} \end{aligned}$$

The track is also jointed in this vicinity. Using Table 6-4, the resulting daytime L_{eq} 's at 50 feet are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eqL}(\text{day}) &= 67.3 \text{ for locomotives,} \\ L_{eqC}(\text{day}) &= 62.1 \text{ for cars, and} \\ L_{eqH}(\text{day}) &= 81.7 \text{ for horns.} \\ \text{Total } L_{eq}(\text{day}) &= 81.9 \text{ in neighborhoods where the horn is sounded, and} \\ &= 69.3 \text{ in neighborhoods where it is not.} \end{aligned}$$

Using Table 6-4, the resulting nighttime L_{eq} 's at 50 feet are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eqL}(\text{night}) &= 56.5 \text{ for locomotives,} \\ L_{eqC}(\text{night}) &= 51.3 \text{ for cars, and} \\ L_{eqH}(\text{night}) &= 70.9 \text{ for horns,} \\ \text{Total } L_{eq}(\text{night}) &= 71.1 \text{ with horns, and} \\ &= 57.6 \text{ without horns.} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, this total day and night traffic results in:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{dn} &= 81.6 \text{ at 50 ft in neighborhoods where horns are sounded, and} \\ &= 68.7 \text{ at 50 ft in neighborhoods where they are not.} \end{aligned}$$

(Note: Computation results should always be rounded to the nearest decibel at the end of the computation. In all examples of this chapter, however, the first decimal place is retained in case readers wish to precisely match their own computations against the example computations.)

End of Example 6-1

6.2.2 Highway/Transit Sources

This section describes the computation of project noise at 50 feet for highway/transit sources, identified in the second column of Table 6-2. This method is based on the original FHWA highway noise prediction model, with updated noise emission levels.⁽¹⁾ This model can be used because the vehicle equations are applicable to speeds typical of freely-flowing traffic on city streets and access roads. In Chapter 3 there is a discussion of specific types of projects and conditions for which the FHWA procedures should be used, including TNM, the currently approved highway noise prediction model.

Step 1: Source SELs at 50 feet

Determine the source reference SEL at 50 feet for each "major" highway/transit source near a receiver of interest. As indicated in the fourth column of Table 6-5, it is usually adequate to use the standard Reference SELs of Table 6-5 for highway/transit sources. If measurements are chosen, however, Appendix E discusses the measurement procedures, plus procedures for the conversion of these measurements to reference conditions of Table 6-5. These measurement/conversion procedures have been placed in an appendix because of their relative complexity.

Source	Reference SEL (dBA)	Approximate L_{max} (dBA)	Prefer Measurements?
Automobiles	74	70	No
Buses (diesel)	82	79	No
Buses (electric trolleybus)	80	77	No
Buses (hybrid) ¹	83	80	Yes

¹Hybrid bus with full-time diesel engine and electric drive motors.

Step 2: Conversion to Noise Exposure

Convert the source reference SELs at 50 feet to actual operating conditions such as actual vehicle speed and number of vehicles per hour. Next convert to noise exposure using the following steps:

1. Identify actual source operating conditions. Noise emission from most transit buses does not depend significantly upon whether the buses are accelerating or cruising. On the other hand, accelerating suburban buses are significantly louder than are cruising suburban buses. For this reason, suburban buses require separate conversion along roadway stretches where they are accelerating. Separate conversion is also needed for all highway/transit vehicles at different speeds, since speed affects noise emissions. As guidance here, the following percentage changes in operating conditions will produce an approximate 2-decibel change in noise exposure:
 - 40 percent change in number of vehicles per hour
 - 40 percent change in number of vehicles per day, or per night (for computation of L_{dn})
 - 15 percent change in vehicle speed.

In general, where operating conditions change by these amounts, separate conversions should be made.

2. Establish relevant time periods. For each of these source types/conditions, decide what are the relevant time periods for all receivers that may be affected by this source. If the source will affect residential receivers, two time periods are of interest to compute L_{dn} : daytime (7 am to 10 pm) and nighttime (10 pm to 7 am). In addition, if the source will affect non-residential receivers, choose the loudest facility hour during noise-sensitive activity. Several different hours may be of interest for non-residential receivers, depending on the hours the facility is used.
3. Collect input data. Gather the following information:
 - Source reference SELs for the vehicle types of concern.
 - S , the average running speed in miles per hour.
 - For residential receivers of interest:
 - V_d , the average hourly vehicle volume during daytime hours (equals the total number of vehicle passbys between 7 am and 10 pm, divided by 15), and
 - V_n , the average hourly vehicle volume during nighttime hours (equals the total number of vehicle passbys between 10 pm and 7 am the next day, divided by 9).
 - For non-residential receivers of interest: V , the hourly vehicle volume for each hour of interest, in vehicles per hour.
4. Calculate L_{eq} at 50 ft for each hour of interest. Compute $L_{eq}(h)$ for the vehicle type using the first equation in Table 6-6.
5. Compute L_{dn} at 50 ft. If this vehicle type will affect any residential receivers, compute the total L_{dn} for the vehicle type using the fourth equation in Table 6-6.

Table 6-6. Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet: Highway/Transit Sources	
Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(h) = SEL_{ref} + 10 \log(V) + C_{emissions} - 10 \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) - 35.6$
Daytime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(day) = L_{eq}(h) \Big _{v=v_d}$
Nighttime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(night) = L_{eq}(h) \Big _{v=v_n}$
L_{dn} at 50 ft:	$L_{dn} = 10 \log \left[(15) \times 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(day)}{10}\right)} + (9) \times 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(night)+10}{10}\right)} \right] - 13.8$
Noise Emissions	$= 25 \times \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right)$ → buses $C_{emissions} = 1.6$ → accelerating 3-axle commuter buses $= 40 \times \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right)$ → automobiles
Other adjustments	-3 → automobiles, open-graded asphalt +3 → automobiles, grooved pavement
V	= hourly volume of vehicles of this type, in vehicles per hour
V_d	= average hourly daytime volume of vehicles of this type, in vehicles per hour $= \frac{\text{total vehicle volume, 7 am to 10 pm}}{15}$
V_n	= average hourly nighttime volume of vehicles of this type, in vehicles per hour $= \frac{\text{total vehicle volume, 10 pm to 7 am}}{9}$
S	= average vehicle speed in miles per hour (distance divided by time, excluding stop time at red lights)
Note: Idling buses appear under Stationary Sources.	

Example 6-2. Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet for Highway/Transit Source
--

A bus route with city buses will pass close to a school that is in session from 8 am to 4 pm on weekdays. Within this time period, the hour of greatest activity for this bus route is 8 am to 9 am. For this project source,

$$\begin{aligned} SEL_{ref} &= 82 \text{ dB} \\ S &= 40 \text{ mph, and} \\ V &= 30 \text{ buses per hour} \end{aligned}$$

Using Table 6-6, the resulting hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft = 59.7 dB.

(Note: Computation results should always be rounded to the nearest decibel at the end of the computation.)

Continuing the example, this same bus also passes close to a residential area. For this project source, SEL_{ref} is the same as above, as is S . In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} V_d &= (200 \text{ buses})/(15 \text{ hours}) = 13.33 \text{ buses per hour, and} \\ V_n &= (20 \text{ buses})/(9 \text{ hours}) = 2.22 \text{ buses per hour.} \end{aligned}$$

Using Table 6-6, the resulting L_{eq} 's at 50 ft are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(\text{day}) &= 56.2 \text{ dB and} \\ L_{eq}(\text{night}) &= 48.4 \text{ dB.} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the total day and night traffic results in L_{dn} at 50 ft = 57.2 dB.

End of Example 6-2

6.2.3 Stationary Sources

This section describes the computation of project noise at 50 feet for stationary sources of transit noise, identified in the second column of Table 6-2.

Step 1: Source SELs at 50 feet

Determine the reference SEL at 50 feet for each major source, either by measurement or by table look-up. Table 6-7 provides guidance on which method is preferred for each source type. In general, measurements are preferred for source types that vary significantly from project to project. For example, curve squeal is highly variable depending on weather conditions, curve radius, and train speed. In general, a standard steel wheel on steel rail system will tend to initiate curve squeal at curves with radii less than 100 times the truck wheelbase. Table look-up is adequate for source types that do not vary significantly from project to project (crossing signals, for example). Ferry boat landings are included in the stationary source category because the noise from the landing remains in one area even though the boats move in and out.

Source	Reference SEL (dBA)	Approximate L_{max} (dBA)	Prefer Measurements?
Auxiliary Equipment	101	65	YES
Locomotive Idling	109	73	NO
Rail Transit Idling	106	70	NO
Buses Idling	111	75	NO
Ferry Boat Landing, Idling and Departing	91	78	NO
Ferry Boat Fog Horn	90	84	NO
Track Crossover	100	90	NO
Track Curve Squeal	136	100	YES
Car Washes	111	75	YES
Crossing Signals	109	73	NO
Substations	99	63	NO

For sources where measurements are indicated in Table 6-7, Appendix E discusses the measurement procedures, plus procedures for the conversion of these measurements to the reference conditions of Table 6-7.

For most sources where table look-up is indicated in Table 6-7, the table provides appropriate reference SELs for one typical noise event at 50 feet and of 1-hour duration (3600 seconds). For ferry boats and fog horns, the reference SELs are for one typical noise event at 50 feet. Approximate L_{max} values are also given in the table for general user information.

Layover facilities and transit centers can be the sources of low-frequency noise from idling diesel engines. Sounds with considerable low-frequency components can cause greater annoyance than would be expected based on their A-weighted levels. Low-frequency sounds often cause windows and walls to vibrate resulting in secondary effects in buildings such as rattling of dishes in cupboards and wall-

mounted pictures. The SEL's in Table 6-7 are adjusted to include a factor to take increased annoyance into account. However, for a detailed analysis at locations where such idling takes place for an extended period, the method described in ANSI Standard S12.9-Part 4, Annex D, should be used.⁽²⁾

Step 2: Conversion to Noise Exposure at 50 feet

Step 1 results in reference SELs at 50 feet. Step 2 is to convert from these reference SELs to actual operating conditions, such as actual event durations and numbers of events, and calculate noise exposure at 50 ft. The steps are:

1. Identify actual source durations and numbers of events. The following percentage changes in durations/numbers will produce an approximate 2-decibel change in noise exposure:

- 40 percent change in event duration (e.g. from 30 to 42 minutes)
- 40 percent change in number of events per hour (e.g. from 10 to 14 events per hour).

In general, where durations/numbers change by these amounts, separate conversions should be made.

2. Establish relevant time periods. For each source, determine the relevant time periods for all receivers that may be affected by the source. For residential receivers, the two time periods of interest to compute L_{dn} are: daytime (7 am to 10 pm) and nighttime (10 pm to 7 am). If the source will affect non-residential receivers, choose the loudest facility hour during noise-sensitive activity.

3. Collect input data. Gather the following input information:

- Source reference SELs for each relevant source.
- E, the average duration of one event, in seconds.
- For residential receivers of interest:
 - N_d , the average number of events per hour that occur during the daytime (equals the total number of events between 7 am and 10 pm, divided by 15), and
 - N_n , the average number of events per hour that occur during the nighttime (equals the total number of events between 10 pm and 7 am, divided by 9).
- For non-residential receivers of interest: N, the number of events that occur during each hour of interest, in events per hour.

4. Compute L_{eq} at 50 ft. For each hour of interest, compute the L_{eq} for the source using the first equation in Table 6-8.

5. Compute L_{dn} at 50 ft. If this source will affect any residential receivers of interest, compute the total L_{dn} for the source using the fourth equation in Table 6-8.

Table 6-8. Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet: Stationary Sources

Hourly L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(h) = SEL_{ref} + 10\log(N) + 10\log\left(\frac{E}{3600}\right) - 35.6$
Daytime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(day) = L_{eq}(h) \Big _{N=N_d}$
Nighttime L_{eq} at 50 ft:	$L_{eq}(night) = L_{eq}(h) \Big _{N=N_n}$
L_{dn} at 50 ft:	$L_{dn} = 10\log \left[(15) \times 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(day)}{10}\right)} + (9) \times 10^{\left(\frac{L_{eq}(night)+10}{10}\right)} \right] - 13.8$
E^\dagger	= duration of one event, in seconds
N	= number of events of this type that occur during one hour
N_d	= hourly average number of events of this type that occur during daytime (7am to 10pm) = $\frac{\text{number that occur between 7 am and 10 pm}}{15}$
N_n	= hourly average number of events of this type that occur during nighttime (10pm to 7am) = $\frac{\text{number that occur between 10 pm and 7 am}}{9}$
\dagger	Omit the term containing E for ferry boat and fog horn and crossover noise sources

Example 6-3. Computation of L_{eq} and L_{dn} at 50 feet for Stationary Source

A signal crossing lies close to a school that is in session from 8 am to 4 pm on weekdays. Within this time period, the hour of greatest activity for the signal crossing is 8am to 9am. For this project source,

$$\begin{aligned} SEL_{ref} &= 109 \text{ dB} \\ E &= 25 \text{ seconds (counting both cycles of the signal), and} \\ N &= 22 \end{aligned}$$

Using Table 6-8 the resulting $L_{eq}(h) = 65.2$ from 8 to 9 am. (Computation results should always be rounded to the nearest decibel at the end of the computation.)

This same signal crossing lies close to a residential area. For this project source, SEL_{ref} is the same as above, as is E. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} N_d &= (200)/(15 \text{ hours}) = 13.3 \text{ events per hour, and} \\ N_n &= (12)/(9 \text{ hours}) = 1.33 \text{ events per hour.} \end{aligned}$$

Using Table 6-8, the resulting daytime and nighttime L_{eq} 's are:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(day) &= 63.0 \text{ and} \\ L_{eq}(night) &= 53.0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the fourth equation in Table 6-8, the resulting L_{dn} at 50 feet = 63.0 dB.

End of Example 6-3

6.3 PROPAGATION CHARACTERISTICS

Once estimates of noise exposure at 50 feet from each source are available, then propagation characteristics must be taken into account to compute the noise exposure at receivers of interest. The steps, shown in Figure 6-4, for this are: 1) determine the propagation characteristics between each source and the receiver of interest; then, 2) draw a noise exposure-vs.-distance curve outward from each relevant source as a function of distance; and 3) add a final adjustment using the appropriate shielding term based on intervening barriers between source and receiver.




Figure 6-4. Flow Diagram for Determining Project Noise at Receiver Location

6.3.1 Noise Exposure vs. Distance

The following steps result in a noise exposure-vs.-distance curve for each project source:

1. Draw several approximate topographic sections, each perpendicular to the path of moving sources or outward from point sources, similar to those shown in Figure 6-5. Draw separate sections, if necessary, to account for significant changes in topography. Use judgment here to prevent an extreme number of different topographic sections. Often, several typical sections will suffice throughout the transit corridor.
2. For each topographic section, use the relationship illustrated in Figure 6-5 to determine the effective path height, H_{eff} , and from it the Ground Factor, G . Larger Ground Factors mean larger amounts of ground attenuation with increasing distance from the source. As shown in the figure, the effective path height depends upon source heights, which are standardized at the bottom of the figure, and upon receiver heights, which can often be taken as 5 feet for both outdoor receivers and first-floor receivers. With these standard heights, only one H_{eff} (and therefore one Ground Factor)

results from each cross section. For acoustically "hard" (i.e. non-absorptive) ground conditions, G should be taken to be zero.

- Then for each L_{dn} and each L_{eq} at 50 feet developed earlier in the analysis, plot a noise exposure-vs.-distance curve with L_{dn} or L_{eq} represented on the vertical axis and distance on the horizontal axis using one of the following equations:

$$L_{dn} \text{ or } L_{eq} = (L_{dn} \text{ or } L_{eq}) \Big|_{at 50 \text{ ft}} - 20 \log \left(\frac{D}{50} \right) - 10G \log \left(\frac{D}{50} \right) \quad \text{for stationary sources}$$

$$= (L_{dn} \text{ or } L_{eq}) \Big|_{at 50 \text{ ft}} - 10 \log \left(\frac{D}{50} \right) - 10G \log \left(\frac{D}{42} \right) \quad \text{for fixed-guideway rail car passbys}$$

$$= (L_{dn} \text{ or } L_{eq}) \Big|_{at 50 \text{ ft}} - 10 \log \left(\frac{D}{50} \right) - 10G \log \left(\frac{D}{29} \right) \quad \text{For fixed-guideway locomotive and rubber-tired vehicle passbys, highway vehicle passbys and horns}$$

<p>IN GENERAL: H_{eff} = sum of average path heights on either side of barrier</p>	
	$H_{eff} = \frac{H_s + 2H_b + H_r}{2} \quad (1)$
<p>Example 1: Source in shallow cut</p>	<p>For $B \leq A/2$,</p> $H_{eff} = \frac{H_s + 2H_b + H_c + H_r}{2}$ <p>* Otherwise use Equation (1)</p>
<p>Example 2: Receiver elevated</p>	<p>For $H_b \geq H_c$,</p> $H_{eff} = \frac{H_s + 2H_b - H_c + H_r}{2}$ <p>For $H_b \leq H_c$,</p> $H_{eff} = \frac{H_s + H_c + H_r}{2}$
<p>Example 3: Source in sloped cut</p>	<p>For $A \leq B/2$, use equation (1)</p> <p>For $A \geq B/2$,</p> $H_{eff} = \frac{H_s + 2H_b + H_c + H_r}{2}$
<p>Example 4: Source and receiver separated by trench</p>	<p>For $A \geq B/2$,</p> $H_{eff} = \frac{H_s + 2H_c + H_r}{2}$ <p>For $A \leq B/2$,</p> $H_{eff} = \frac{H_s + H_r}{2}$
<p>Source Heights:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> $H_s = 8$ ft, trains with diesel-electric locomotives 2 ft, trains without diesel-electric locomotives 0 ft, automobiles 3 ft, 2-axle city buses 8 ft, 3-axle commuter buses <p>Note: Equations for H_{eff} remain valid even when $H_b = 0$.</p>	<p>Ground Factor</p> <p>For soft ground:</p> $G = \begin{cases} 0.66 & H_{eff} \leq 5 \\ 0.75 \left(1 - \frac{H_{eff}}{42} \right) & 5 \leq H_{eff} \leq 42 \\ 0 & H_{eff} \geq 42 \end{cases}$ <p>For hard ground: $G = 0$</p>

Figure 6-5. Computation of Ground Factor G for Ground Attenuation

Example 6-4. Computing Exposure-vs.-Distance Curve for Fixed-Guideway Source

A commuter train will produce the following levels without horn blowing at 50 feet:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(8-9am) &= 72 \text{ decibels} \\ L_{dn} &= 68 \text{ decibels.} \end{aligned}$$

For sound propagation over grassland with a flat cross-sectional geometry without a noise barrier, and $H_R = 5$ feet:

$$H_{eff} = 6.5 \text{ feet}$$

and from Figure 6-5 the resulting Ground Factor is:

$$G = 0.63$$

Hence the relevant equations from above become:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(8-9am) &= 72 - 10 \log(D/50) - 6.3 \log(D/42) \\ L_{dn} &= 68 - 10 \log(D/50) - 6.3 \log(D/42) \end{aligned}$$

Plots of these two equations appear in Figure 6-6. From these curves, the noise levels due to this train operation can be determined for a receiver of interest at any distance. The only factor not accounted for is the effect of shielding between source and receiver, which is the subject of the next section.




Figure 6-6. Example Exposure-vs.-Distance Curves

End of Example 6-4

6.3.2 Shielding at each Receiver

The resulting L_{eq} 's and L_{dn} 's from the previous section do not include shielding between source and receiver. Such shielding can be due to intervening noise barriers, terrain features, rows of buildings, and dense tree zones. The individual attenuations are computed using the equations from Table 6-9 for barriers and terrain, or from Table 6-10 for rows of buildings and dense tree zones.

The results are attenuation values which are applied to the previously determined project noise at receiver locations (Figure 6-4).

Table 6-9. Computation of Shielding: Barriers and Terrain	
Condition	Equation [†]
For <i>non-absorptive</i> transit barriers within 5 feet of the track:	$A_{barrier} = \min\{12 \text{ or } [5.3 \times \log(P) + 6.7]\}$
For <i>absorptive</i> transit barriers within 5 feet of the track:	$A_{barrier} = \min\{15 \text{ or } [5.3 \times \log(P) + 9.7]\}$
For all other barriers, and for protrusion of terrain above the line of sight:	$A_{barrier} = \min\left\{15 \text{ or } \left[20 \times \log\left(\frac{2.51\sqrt{P}}{\tanh[4.46\sqrt{P}]}\right) + 5\right]\right\}$
Barrier Insertion Loss	$IL_{barrier} = \max\left\{0 \text{ or } \left[A_{barrier} - 10(G_{NB} - G_B) \log\left(\frac{D}{50}\right)\right]\right\}$
<p>D = <u>closest</u> distance between the receiver and the source, in feet P = path length difference, in feet (see figure below) G_{NB} = Ground factor G computed <i>without barrier</i> (see Figure 6-5) G_B = Ground factor G computed <i>with barrier</i> (see Figure 6-5)</p> <p>[†] The term "tanh(variable)" stands for hyperbolic tangent, available on many scientific calculators. If "tanh" is not available, then compute $E = \exp(\text{variable})$, and set $\tanh(\text{variable}) = (E - 1/E) / (E + 1/E)$, where $\exp(\text{variable})$ is the "exponential" function, also written as e^x on calculator keypads.</p>	

Barrier Parameter P

$$P = A + B - C$$




Figure 6-7. Sketch Showing Noise Barrier Parameter "P"

Table 6-10. Computation of Shielding: Rows of Buildings and Dense Tree Zones	
Condition	Equation
If gaps in the row of buildings constitute less than 35 percent of the length of the row:	$A_{buildings} = \min\{10 \text{ or } [1.5(R-1) + 5]\}$
If gaps in the row of buildings constitute between 35 and 65 percent of the length of the row:	$= \min\{10 \text{ or } [1.5(R-1) + 3]\}$
If gaps in the row of buildings constitute more than 65 percent of the length of the row:	$= 0$
Where at least 100 feet of trees intervene between source and receiver, <i>and</i> if no clear line-of-sight exists between source and receiver, <i>and</i> if the trees extend 15 feet or more above the line-of-sight:	$A_{trees} = \min\left\{10 \text{ or } \frac{W}{20}\right\}$
If above conditions do not occur:	$= 0$
R = number of rows of houses that intervene between source and receiver	
W = width of the tree zone along the line-of-site between source and receiver, in feet	
NET ATTENUATION	$A_{shielding} = \max\{L_{barrier} \text{ or } A_{buildings} \text{ or } A_{trees}\}$

Example 6-5. Computation of Shielding
--

Intervening between the rail corridor and a receiver of interest is the following shielding:

- (1) a 15-foot high noise barrier, 40 feet from the closest track and 130 feet from the 5-foot-high receiver, and
- (2) a dense tree zone 100 feet thick. The source height $H_S = 8$ feet, per Figure 6-5.

For the barrier: $A = 40.61$ feet, $B = 130.38$ feet, $C = 170.03$ feet, and therefore $P = 0.96$ feet, according to Table 6-9.

From Figure 6-5,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{eff}} \text{ (no barrier)} &= 6.5 \text{ feet and} \\ H_{\text{eff}} \text{ (with barrier)} &= 21.5 \text{ feet,} \end{aligned}$$

which results in

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\text{NB}} &= 0.63, \text{ and} \\ G_{\text{B}} &= 0.37. \end{aligned}$$

From Table 6-9, the resulting barrier attenuation is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{barrier}} &= \min\{15 \text{ or } 20 \times \log[2.45/\tanh(4.37)] + 5\} \\ &= \min\{15 \text{ or } 12.8\} \\ &= 12.8 \text{ dB} \end{aligned}$$

and the resulting barrier Insertion Loss is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IL}_{\text{barrier}} &= 12.8 - 10(0.63 - 0.37) \times \log(170/50) \\ &= 12.8 - 1.4 \\ &= 11.4 \text{ decibels.} \end{aligned}$$

For the tree zone: The attenuation is estimated to be 5 decibels using Table 6-10. The total shielding is the maximum of the barrier and tree zone shielding, i.e. 11.4 decibels. (Computation results should always be rounded to the nearest decibel at the end of the calculation.)

End of Example 6-5

6.3.3 Combined Propagation Characteristics

The result of combining shielding with geometrical spreading and ground effects involves subtracting the attenuation values obtained from Tables 6-9 and 6-10 from the noise exposure values obtained in Section 6.3.1 at the receiver location.

	$= (L_{dn} \text{ or } L_{eq}) \Big _{at 50 \text{ ft}} - 20 \log \left(\frac{D}{50} \right) - 10G \log \left(\frac{D}{50} \right) - A_{shielding}$	→ for stationary sources
L_{dn} or L_{eq}	$= (L_{dn} \text{ or } L_{eq}) \Big _{at 50 \text{ ft}} - 10 \log \left(\frac{D}{50} \right) - 10G \log \left(\frac{D}{42} \right) - A_{shielding}$	→ for fixed-guideway rail car passbys
	$= (L_{dn} \text{ or } L_{eq}) \Big _{at 50 \text{ ft}} - 10 \log \left(\frac{D}{50} \right) - 10G \log \left(\frac{D}{29} \right) - A_{shielding}$	→ for fixed-guideway locomotive and rubber-tired vehicle passbys, highway vehicle passbys and horns

6.4 COMBINED NOISE EXPOSURE FROM ALL SOURCES

Once propagation adjustments have been made for the noise exposure from each source separately, then the sources must be combined to predict the total project noise at the receivers. Table 6-11 contains the equations for combining sources. Total noise exposure is used in Section 6.7 to assess the transit noise at each receiver of interest.

Table 6-11. Computing Total Noise Exposure	
Total L_{eq} from All Sources Combined, for the hour of interest:	$L_{eq} (total) = 10 \log \left(\sum_{all\ sources} 10^{L_{eq}/10} \right)$
Total L_{dn} from All sources Combined:	$L_{dn} (total) = 10 \log \left(\sum_{all\ sources} 10^{L_{dn}/10} \right)$

Example 6-6. Computation of Total Exposure from Combined Sources

A commuter train operation produces the following levels at a certain receiver of interest:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(8-9am) &= 72 \text{ decibels, and} \\ L_{dn} &= 68 \text{ decibels.} \end{aligned}$$

At this same receiver, a light rail system produces the following levels:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(8-9am) &= 69 \text{ decibels, and} \\ L_{dn} &= 70 \text{ decibels.} \end{aligned}$$

No other project sources affect this receiver. Using Table 6-11, the receiver's total noise exposures are therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{eq}(8-9am, \text{ total}) &= 73.8 \text{ decibels, and} \\ L_{dn}(\text{total}) &= 72.1 \text{ decibels.} \end{aligned}$$

(Computation results should always be rounded to the nearest decibel at the end of the calculation.)

End of Example 6-6

6.5 MAXIMUM NOISE LEVEL FOR FIXED-GUIDEWAY SOURCES

The assessment of noise impact in this manual utilizes either the L_{dn} or the L_{eq} descriptor. As such, in determining impact it is not necessary to determine and tabulate the maximum levels (L_{max}). However, it is often desirable to include computations of L_{max} in environmental documents, particularly for rail projects, because the noise from an individual train passby is quite distinguishable from the existing background noise. The L_{max} is also the descriptor used in vehicle specifications. Because L_{max} represents the sound level heard during a transportation vehicle passby, people can relate this metric with other noise experienced in the environment. Particularly with rail transit projects, it is representative of what people hear at any particular instant and can be measured with a sound level meter. A comparison of L_{max} with other sources can be made by referring to Figure 2-11. Thus, although L_{max} is not used in this manual as a basis for assessing noise impact, it can provide people with a more complete description of the noise effects of a proposed project and should be reported in environmental documents. Equations for computing L_{max} from SEL are given in Appendix F.

6.6 STUDY AREA CHARACTERISTICS

This section contains procedures to estimate existing noise exposure at each receiver of interest identified previously for use in assessing noise impact. Figure 6-8 shows the flow diagram for estimating ambient noise. First decide whether to measure noise exposure, to compute it from partial measurements, or to estimate it from the table provided in this chapter. Different methods may be used at different receivers along the project. Finally, make the measurements, computations or estimates of the ambient noise at each receiver of interest.

6.6.1 Deciding Whether to Measure, Compute, or Estimate

In general, it is better to measure existing noise than to compute or estimate it. Measurements are more precise than computations and estimates and therefore lead to more precise conclusions concerning noise impact. However, measurements are expensive, are often thwarted by weather, and take significant time in the field. So the choice between measurements and computations/estimates is a choice between the precision of measurements and the convenience of computations/estimates. A mixture of these is generally selected, relying on measurements where the greatest precision is needed.




Figure 6-8. Flow Diagram for Determining Existing Noise

A penalty comes along with the convenience of computations and especially of tabular estimates. Because computations/estimates are less precise than measurements, the procedures for them (in Appendix D) are purposely conservative and consequently are inappropriate for the accuracy needed in a Detailed Noise Analysis. When more precise impact projections are desired, measurements must be chosen instead.

The combination of measurements, computations, and estimates depends partly upon the type of land use. For non-residential land uses with daytime use only, it is usually adequate to measure only one hour's ambient L_{eq} , preferably during the hour when project activity is likely to cause the greatest impact. This is relatively easy to measure. On the other hand, in

residential areas that are not near major roadways, a full day's ambient L_{dn} is usually required. The following sections describe the approaches to be taken in each case and how to combine the results to characterize the existing ambient conditions.

6.6.2 Noise Exposure Measurements

Full one-hour measurements are the most precise way to determine ambient noise exposure for non-residential receivers. For residential receivers, full 24-hour measurements are most precise. Such full-duration measurements are preferred over other options, where time and study funds allow. The following procedures apply to full-duration measurements:

- For non-residential land uses, measure a full hour's L_{eq} at the receiver of interest, on at least two non-successive weekdays (generally between noon Monday and noon Friday). Select the hour of the day when the maximum project activity is expected to occur.
- For residential land uses, measure a full 24-hours' L_{dn} at the receiver of interest, for a single weekday (generally between noon Monday and noon Friday).
- Use judgment in positioning the measurement microphone. Location of the microphone at the receiver depends upon the proposed location of the transit noise source. If, for example, a new rail line will be in front of the house, do not locate the microphone in the back yard. Figure 6-9 illustrates recommended measurement positions for various locations of the project, with respect to the house and the existing source of ambient noise.
- Undertake all measurements in accordance with good engineering practice following guidelines given in ASTM and ANSI standards.^(3,4)

6.6.3 Noise Exposure Computations from Partial Measurements

Often measurements can be made at some of the receivers of interest and then these measurements can be used to estimate noise exposure at nearby receivers. In other situations, several hourly L_{eq} 's can be measured at a receiver and then the L_{dn} computed from these. Both of these options require experience and knowledge of acoustics to select representative measurement sites.

Measurements at one receiver can be used to represent the noise environment at other sites, but only when proximity to major noise sources is similar among the sites. For example, a residential neighborhood with otherwise similar homes may have greatly varying noise environments: one part of the neighborhood may be located where the ambient noise is clearly due to highway traffic; a second part, toward the interior of the neighborhood, may have highway noise as a factor but also a significant contribution from other community noise; and a third part located deep into the residential area will have local street traffic and other community activities dominate the ambient noise. In this example, three or more measurement sites would be required to represent the varying ambient noise conditions in a single neighborhood.

Typical situations where representative measurement sites can be used to estimate noise levels at other sites occur when both share the following characteristics:

- proximity to the same major transportation noise sources, such as highways, rail lines and aircraft flight patterns;

- proximity to the same major stationary noise sources, such as power plants, industrial facilities, rail yards and airports;
- similar type and density of housing, such as single-family homes on quarter-acre lots and multi-family housing in apartment complexes.




Figure 6-9. Recommended Microphone Locations for Existing Noise Measurements

Acoustical professionals are often adept at such computations from partial data and are encouraged here to use their experience and judgment in fully utilizing the measurements in their computations. Required

here is an attempt to somewhat underestimate ambient noise in the process, to account for reduced precision compared to full noise measurements.

On the other hand, people lacking the background in acoustics are encouraged to use the procedures in Appendix D to accomplish this same aim. These procedures are an attempt to systematize such computations from partial measurements. The methods in Appendix D are designed with a safety factor to underestimate ambient noise to account for reduced precision compared to full noise measurements.

6.6.4 Estimating Existing Noise Exposure

The least precise way to determine noise exposure is to estimate it from a table. This method can be used for the General Noise Assessment, but it is not recommended for a Detailed Noise Analysis. However, it can be used in the absence of better data for locations where roadways or railroads are the predominant ambient noise source. Table 5-7 presents these ambient levels. In general, the tabulated values of noise exposure are underestimates. As explained above, underestimates here are intended to compensate for the reduced precision of the estimated ambient levels compared to the options that incorporate full or partial measurements.

Notwithstanding the guidance above, there is one situation where it may be more accurate to estimate rather than measure the existing noise exposure, namely in areas near major airports where aircraft noise is dominant. Because airport noise is highly variable based on weather conditions and corresponding runway usage, it is preferable in such cases to base the existing noise exposure on published aircraft noise contours in terms of Annual Average L_{dn} .

6.7 NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This section contains procedures for the assessment of project noise impact, utilizing the ambient noise and project noise results from the previous analysis. Two assessment methods are included:

- **Rail and Bus Facilities:** This category includes all rail projects (e.g., rail rapid transit, light rail transit, commuter rail, and automated guideway transit), as well as fixed facilities such as storage and maintenance yards, passenger stations and terminals, parking facilities, substations, etc. Also included are rail transit projects built within a highway or railroad corridor. Certain bus facilities are included in this category, such as bus rapid transit (BRT) on separate roadways and bus operations on local streets and highways where the project does not include roadway construction or modification that significantly changes roadway capacity. The distinguishing feature in all these cases is that the existing noise levels generated by roadway traffic and other sources will not change as a result of the project; therefore the project noise is exclusively due to the new transit sources. For projects like these, FTA is generally the lead agency and the methodology from this manual is the appropriate approach.
- **Highway/Transit Projects:** Projects in this category involve transit as part of new highway construction or modifications to existing highways to increase carrying capacity. For these multi-

modal projects, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) may be a joint lead agency with FTA, and the State department of transportation (DOT) would probably also be participating in the environmental impact assessment. Projects would involve traffic lanes with preferential treatment for buses or high-occupancy vehicles (HOVs). The distinguishing feature here is that the *project* noise includes a combination of highway and transit sources. Examples are: new highway construction providing general-purpose lanes as well as dedicated bus/HOV lanes and lane additions or reconfigurations on existing highways or arterials to accommodate buses/HOVs. These multi-modal projects fall into two sub-categories and the appropriate method to use for noise prediction and impact assessment depends on whether the highway noise dominates throughout day and night or the transit noise dominates during off-peak and late night hours. If sufficient evidence shows that highway noise dominates, the methods of FHWA, including the latest authorized version of the Traffic Noise Model (TNM), should be used. Otherwise both FHWA and FTA prediction procedures should be used along with both sets of impact assessment criteria since the transit mode's greatest impact will likely not occur during the worst traffic hours.

The factors to consider when deciding which sub-category is appropriate for a given project are given in the beginning of Chapter 3.

6.7.1 Assessment for Rail and Bus Facilities

For these types of projects, noise impact is assessed at each receiver of interest using the criteria for transit projects described in Chapter 3. The assessment procedure is as follows: •

1. Tabulate existing ambient noise exposure (rounded to the nearest whole decibel) at all receivers of interest from earlier in the analysis. In cases where large residential buildings are exposed to noise on one side only, the receivers on that side are included in the analysis.
2. Tabulate project noise exposure at these receivers from the analytical procedures described in this chapter.
3. Determine the level of noise impact (No Impact, Moderate Impact or Severe Impact) following the procedures in Chapter 3.
4. Document the results in noise-assessment inventory tables. These tables should include the following types of information:
 - Receiver identification and location
 - Land-use description
 - Number of noise-sensitive sites represented (number of dwelling units in residences or acres of outdoor noise-sensitive land)
 - Closest distance to the project

- Existing noise exposure
- Project noise exposure
- Level of noise impact (No Impact, Moderate Impact, or Severe Impact)

These tables should provide a sum of the total number of receivers, especially numbers of dwelling units, predicted to experience Moderate Impact or Severe Impact.

5. Illustrate the areas of Moderate Impact and Severe Impact on maps or aerial photographs. Two methods of impact display are labeling and contouring. In a Detailed Analysis, the most accurate indication of impact is a label attached to each impacted building or cluster identified in the inventory table. A less precise illustration of impacted areas is a plot of project noise contours on the maps or aerial photographs, along with shaded impact areas. This is done by delineating two impact lines: one between the areas of No Impact and Moderate Impact and the second between Moderate Impact and Severe Impact. Such impact contours would be similar to those estimated in the General Assessment of Chapter 5, but with greater precision. As a cautionary note, it is difficult to position noise contours in urban areas due to shielding, terrain features and other propagation anomalies. If noise contours are used, they should be considered illustrative rather than definitive. If desired to conform with the practices of another agency, the contouring may perhaps include several contour lines of constant project noise, such as L_{dn} 65, L_{dn} 70 and L_{dn} 75.
6. Discussion of the magnitude of the impacts is an essential part of the assessment. The magnitude of noise impact is defined by the two threshold curves delineating onset of Moderate Impact and Severe Impact. Interpretation of the two impact regimes is discussed in Chapter 3.

6.7.2 Assessment for Highway/Transit Projects

For most highway/transit projects where highway noise dominates, the FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria should be used, with the exceptions noted in Chapter 3.⁽⁵⁾ In general the appropriate calculation method is the current version of FHWA's Traffic Noise Model (TNM). The TNM was first released by FHWA in April 1998 for use on Federal-aid highway projects.⁽⁶⁾ TNM is a state of the art computer program used for predicting noise impacts in the vicinity of highways. TNM Version 2.5 was released in April 2004, which includes updates to the User's Guide and Technical Manual.⁽⁷⁾

The program allows for a detailed assessment at each receiver of interest by separately calculating the noise contribution of each roadway segment. For each roadway segment, the noise from each vehicle type is computed from reference energy-mean emission levels, adjusted for:

- Vehicle volume,
- Vehicle speed,
- Grade,
- Roadway segment length, and

- Source-to-receiver distance.

Further adjustments needed to accurately model the sound propagation from source to receiver include:

- Shielding provided by rows of buildings,
- Effects of different ground types,
- Source and receiver elevations, and
- Effect of any intervening noise barriers.

The program sums the noise contributions of each vehicle type for a given roadway segment at the receiver. TNM then repeats this process for all roadway segments, summing their contributions to generate the predicted noise level at each receiver.

6.8 MITIGATION OF NOISE IMPACT

6.8.1 Noise Mitigation Measures

Where the noise impact assessment shows either Severe Impact or Moderate Impact, this section provides guidance on considering and implementing noise reduction measures. In general, mitigation options are chosen from those below, and then portions of the project noise are recomputed and reassessed to account for this mitigation. This allows an accurate prediction of the level of noise reduction. It is important to emphasize that the source levels used in this manual are typical of systems designed according to current engineering practice, but they do not include special noise control features that could be incorporated in the specifications at extra cost. This approach provides a reasonable analysis of conditions without mitigation measures. If special features that result in noise reductions are included in any of the predictions, then the Federal environmental document must include a commitment by the project sponsor to adopt such treatments before the project is approved for construction. Since cost considerations often play into decisions before committing to mitigation, this manual provides general cost information based on data presented in a Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP) report.⁽⁸⁾ A detailed discussion of mitigation costs is presented in Chapter 5 of the TCRP report, especially the tables included in Chapter 5.

Mitigation of noise impact from transit projects may involve treatments at the three fundamental components of the noise problem: (1) at the noise source, (2) along the source-to-receiver propagation path or (3) at the receiver. Generally, the transit property has authority to treat the source and some elements of the propagation path, but may have little or no authority to modify anything at the receiver.

A list of practical noise mitigation measures that should be considered by project sponsors is summarized in Table 6-12 and discussion of the measures follows. This table is organized according to whether the treatment applies to the source, path or receiver, and includes estimates of the acoustical effectiveness of each treatment.

6.8.2 Source Treatments

Vehicle Noise Specifications (Rail and Bus)

Among the most effective noise mitigation treatments is noise control at the outset, during the specification and design of the transit vehicle. Such source treatments apply to all transit modes. By developing and enforcing stringent but achievable noise specifications, the transit property takes a major step in controlling noise everywhere on the system. It is important to ensure that the noise levels quoted in the specifications are achievable with the application of best available technology during the development of the vehicle and reasonable in light of the noise reduction benefits and costs.

Effective enforcement includes significant penalties for non-compliance with the specifications. The noise mitigation achieved by source treatment depends on the quality of installation and maintenance. In the past, transit vehicles have been delivered that did not meet a noise specification, causing complaints from the public and requiring additional noise mitigation measures applied to the wayside.

Table 6-12. Transit Noise Mitigation Measures

Application	Mitigation Measure	Effectiveness	
SOURCE	Stringent Vehicle & Equipment Noise Specifications	Varied	
	Operational Restrictions	Varied	
	Resilient or Damped Wheels*	For Rolling Noise on Tangent Track:	2 dB
		For Wheel Squeal on Curved Track:	10-20 dB
	Vehicle Skirts	6-10 dB	
	Undercar Absorption	5 dB	
	Spin-slide control (prevents flats)*	**	
	Wheel Truing (eliminates wheel flats)*	**	
	Rail Grinding (eliminates corrugations)	**	
	Turn Radii greater than 1000 ft	(Avoids Squeal)	
	Rail Lubrication on Sharp Curves	(Reduces Squeal)	
	Movable-Point Frogs (reduce rail gaps at crossovers)*	(Reduces Impact Noise)	
	Engine Compartment Treatments (Buses)	6-10 dB	
PATH	Sound Barriers close to Vehicles	6-15 dB	
	Sound Barriers at ROW Line	3-10 dB	
	Alteration of Horiz. & Vert. Alignments	Varied	
	Acquisition of Buffer Zones	Varied	
	Ballast on At-Grade Guideway*	3 dB	
	Ballast on Aerial Guideway*	5 dB	
	Resilient Track Support on Aerial Guideway	Varied	
RECEIVER	Acquisition of Property Rights for Construction of Sound Barriers	5-10 dB	
	Building Noise Insulation	5-20 dB	
* Applies to rail projects only			
** These mitigation measures work to maintain a rail system in its as-new condition. Without incorporating them into the system, noise levels could increase up to 10 dB.			

Stationary Source Noise Specifications

Stringent but achievable noise specifications also represent an effective approach for mitigating noise impact from stationary sources associated with a transit system. Such equipment includes fixed plant equipment (for example, transformers and mechanical equipment) as well as grade-crossing signals. For example, noise impact from grade-crossing signals can be mitigated by specifying equipment that sets the level of the warning signal lower where ambient noise is lower, that minimizes the signal duration, and that minimizes signal noise in the direction of noise-sensitive receivers.

Wheel Treatments (Rail)

A major source of noise from steel-wheel/steel-rail systems is the wheel/rail interaction which has three components: roar, impact and squeal. Roar is the rolling noise caused by small-scale roughness on the wheel tread and rail running surface. Impacts are caused by discontinuities in the running surface of the rail or by a flat spot on the wheels. Squeal occurs when a steel-wheel tread or its flange rubs across the rail, setting up resonant vibrations in the wheel which cause it to radiate a screeching sound. Various wheel designs and other mitigation measures exist to reduce the noise from each of these three mechanisms.

- **Resilient wheels** serve to reduce rolling noise, but only slightly. A typical reduction is 2 decibels on tangent track. This treatment is more effective in eliminating wheel squeal on tight turns; reductions of 10 to 20 decibels for high-frequency squeal noise are typical. The costs for resilient wheels are approximately \$3000 per wheel, in comparison to about \$700 for standard steel wheels.
- **Damped wheels**, like resilient wheels, serve to reduce rolling noise, but only slightly. A typical reduction is 2 decibels on tangent track. This treatment involves attaching vibration absorbers to standard steel wheels. Damping is effective in eliminating wheel squeal on tight turns; reductions of 5 to 15 decibels for high-frequency squeal noise are typical. The costs for damped wheels add approximately \$500 to \$1000 to the normal \$700 for each steel wheel.
- **Spin-slide control systems**, similar to anti-locking brake systems (ABS) on automobiles, reduce the incidence of wheel flats, a major contributor of impact noise. Trains with smooth wheel treads can be up to 20 decibels quieter than those with wheel flats. To be effective, the anti-locking feature should be in operation during all braking phases, including emergency braking. Wheel flats are more likely to occur during emergency braking than during dynamic braking. The cost of slip-slide control may be incorporated in the new vehicle costs, but may be between \$5,000 and \$10,000 per vehicle.
- **Maintenance** of wheels by truing eliminates wheel flats from the treads and restores the wheel profile. As discussed above, wheel flats are a major source of impact noise. A good maintenance program includes the installation of equipment to detect and correct wheel flats on a continuing basis. Costs vary according to transit property practices, but the TCRP report identifies a cost for truing wheels at \$60 per wheelset.

Vehicle Treatments (Rail and Bus)

Vehicle noise mitigation measures are applied to the various mechanical systems associated with propulsion, ventilation and passenger comfort.

- **Propulsion systems** of transit vehicles include diesel engines, electric motors and diesel-electric combinations. Noise from the propulsion system depends on the type of unit and how much noise mitigation is built into the design. Mufflers on diesel engines are generally required to meet noise specifications; however, mufflers are generally practical only on buses, not on locomotives. Control of noise from engine casings may require shielding the engine by body panels without louvers, dictating other means of cooling and ventilation.
- **Ventilation** requirements for vehicle systems are related to the noise generated by a vehicle. Fan noise often remains a major noise source after other mitigation measures have been instituted because of the need to have direct access to cooling air. This applies to heat exchangers for electric traction motors, diesel engines and air-conditioning systems. Fan-quieting can be accomplished by installation of one of several new designs of quiet, efficient fans. Forced-air cooling on electric traction motors can be quieter than self-cooled motors at operating speeds. Placement of fans on the vehicle can make a significant difference in the noise radiated to the wayside or to patrons on the station platforms.
- The **vehicle body** design can provide shielding and absorption of the noise generated by the vehicle components. Acoustical absorption under the car has been demonstrated to provide up to 5 decibels of mitigation for wheel/rail noise and propulsion-system noise on rapid transit trains. Similarly, vehicle skirts over the wheels can provide more than 5 decibels of mitigation. By carrying their own noise barriers, vehicles with these features can provide cost-effective noise reduction.

Use of Locomotive Horns at Grade Crossings

In cases where commuter rail operations share tracks or rights-of-way with freight or intercity passenger trains that are part of the "general railroad system," the safety rules of the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) apply. In particular, the rule for the use of locomotive horns at highway-rail grade crossings is in effect.⁽⁹⁾ This rule requires generally that horns be sounded at public road crossings, although some exceptions are allowed in carefully defined circumstances. One exception enables the establishment of a "quiet zone" in which certain supplemental safety measures (SSM's) are used in place of the locomotive horn to provide an equivalent level of safety at grade crossings. By adopting an approved SSM at each public grade crossing, a quiet zone of at least a half-mile long can be established. These measures are in addition to the standard safety devices required at most public grade crossings (e.g., stop signs, reflectorized crossbucks, flashing lights with gates that do not completely block travel over the tracks). Below are four SSM's which have been predetermined by the FRA to fully compensate for the lack of a locomotive horn:

- Temporary closure of a public highway-rail grade crossing. This measure requires closure of the grade crossing one period for each 24 hours, and must be closed the same time each day.

- Four-quadrant gate system. This measure involves the installation of at least one gate for each direction of traffic to fully block vehicles from entering the crossing.
- Gates with medians or channelization devices. This measure keeps traffic in the proper travel lanes as it approaches the crossing. This denies the driver the option of circumventing the gates by traveling in the opposing lane.
- One-way street with gates. This measure consists of one-way streets with gates installed so that all approaching travel lanes are completely blocked.

In addition to the pre-approved SSM's, the FRA rule also identifies a range of other measures that may be used in establishing a quiet zone. These could be modified SSM's or non-engineering types of measures, such as increased monitoring by law enforcement for grade crossing violations or instituting public education and awareness programs that emphasize the risks associated with grade crossings and applicable requirements. These alternative safety measures (ASMs) require approval by FRA based on a demonstration that public safety would not be compromised by eliminating the horn.

Locomotive horns are quite loud, and horn noise is often the major contributor in projections of adverse noise impact in the community from proposed commuter rail projects. Since sound barriers are not feasible at highway-rail grade crossings, the establishment of quiet zones may be an attractive option. The lead agency in designating a quiet zone is the local public authority responsible for traffic control and law enforcement on the roads crossing the tracks. In order to satisfy the FRA regulatory requirements, the public transit agency must work closely with this agency while also coordinating with any freight or passenger railroad operator sharing the right-of-way. Depending on the circumstances, establishment of a quiet zone would probably not be completed in the time frame of the environmental review process. However, as with other types of mitigation, the final environmental document should discuss the main considerations in adopting the quiet zone, for example, engineering feasibility, receptiveness of the local public authority, consultation with the railroad, preliminary cost estimates, etc., and show evidence of the planning and interagency coordination that has occurred to date. If a quiet zone will be relied on as a mitigation measure, the final environmental document should provide reasonable assurance that any remaining issues can and will be resolved.

The cost of establishing a quiet zone varies considerably, depending on the number of intersections that must be treated and the specific SSM's, ASM's, or combination of measures that are used. The FRA gives a cost estimate of \$15,000 per crossing for installing two 100-foot-long non-traversable medians that prevent motorists from driving around closed gates. A typical installation of a four-quadrant gate system is in the range of \$175,000-\$300,000 per crossing. Who pays for the installation of modifications can become a major consideration in a decision to pursue a quiet zone designation, especially in cases where noise from preexisting railroad operations has been a sore point in the community. In cases where a quiet zone would mitigate a Severe Impact situation brought about by the proposed transit project, the costs would be borne by the local transit agency and FTA in the same proportion as the overall cost-sharing for the project.

Guideway Support (Bus and Rail)

The smoothness of the running surface is critical in the mitigation of noise from a moving vehicle. Smooth roadways for buses and smooth rail running surfaces for rail systems are required. In either case, roughness of the street, roadway and rail surfaces can be eliminated by resurfacing roads or grinding rails, thereby reducing noise levels by up to 10 decibels. Bridge expansion joints are also a source of noise for rubber-tire vehicles. This source of noise can be reduced by placing expansion joints on an angle or by specifying the serrated type rather than joints with right-angle edges.

In the case of steel-wheel/steel-rail systems with non-steerable trucks and sharp turns, squeal can be mitigated by installation of rail lubricators. Squeal in such systems can usually be eliminated altogether by designing all turn radii to be greater than 1000 feet, or 100 times the truck wheelbase, whichever is less.

Operational Restrictions (Rail and Bus)

Two changes in operations that can mitigate noise are the lowering of speed and the reduction of nighttime (10 pm to 7 am) operations. Because noise from most transit vehicles depends on speed, a reduction of speed results in lower noise levels. The effect can be considerable. For example, the speed dependency of steel-wheel/steel-rail systems for L_{eq} and L_{dn} (see Table 6-4) results in a 6 dB reduction for a halving of the speed. Complete elimination of nighttime operations has a strong effect on reducing the L_{dn} , because nighttime noise is increased by 10 decibels when calculating L_{dn} . Restrictions on operations are usually not feasible because of service demands, and FTA does not pursue restrictions on operations as a noise reduction measure. However, if early morning idling can be curtailed to the minimum necessary, this can have a measurable effect on L_{dn} .

Other operational restrictions that can reduce noise impact for light rail and commuter rail systems include minimizing or eliminating horn blowing and other types of warning signals at grade crossings. While these mitigation options are limited by safety considerations, they can be effective in the right circumstances and they are discussed elsewhere in this section (e.g., wayside horns).

6.8.3 Path Treatments

Sound Barriers

Sound barriers are effective in mitigating noise when they break the line-of-sight between source and receiver. The mechanism of sound shielding is described in Chapter 2. The necessary height of a barrier depends on such factors as the source height and the distance from the source to the barrier. For example, if a barrier is located very close to a rapid transit train, it need only be 3 to 4 feet above the top of rail to be effective. Barriers close to vehicles can provide noise reductions of 6 to 10 decibels. For barriers further away, such as on the right-of-way line or for trains on the far track, the height must be increased to provide equivalent effectiveness. Otherwise, the effectiveness can drop to 5 decibels or less, even if the barrier breaks the line-of-sight. Where the barrier is very close to the transit vehicle or where the vehicles travel between sets of parallel barriers, barrier effectiveness can be increased by as much as 5 decibels by applying sound-absorbing material to the inner surface of the barrier.

Similarly, the length of the barrier wall is important to its effectiveness. The barrier must be long enough to screen out a moving train along most of its visible path. This is necessary so that train noise from beyond the ends of the barrier will not severely compromise noise-barrier performance at sensitive locations.

Noise barriers can be made of any outdoor weather-resistant solid material that meets a minimum sound transmission loss requirement. The sound requirements are not particularly strict; they can be met by many commonly available materials, such as 16-gauge steel, 1-inch thick plywood, and any reasonable thickness of concrete. The normal minimum requirement is a surface density of 4 pounds per square foot. To hold up under wind loads, structural requirements are more stringent. Achieving the maximum possible noise reduction requires careful sealing of gaps between barrier panels and between the barrier and the ground or elevated guideway deck.

Costs for noise barriers, based on highway installations, range from \$25 to \$35 per square foot of installed noise barrier at-grade, not counting design and inspection costs⁽¹⁰⁾. Installation on aerial structure may be a factor of two greater, especially if the structure has to be strengthened to accommodate the added weight and wind load.

Location of a transit alignment in cut, as part of grade separation, can accomplish the same result as installation of a noise barrier at-grade or on aerial structure. The walls of the cut serve the same function as barrier walls in breaking the line-of-sight between source and receiver.

Wayside Horns

The sounding of a locomotive horn as the train approaches an at-grade intersection produces a very wide noise "footprint" in the community. Using wayside horns at the intersection instead of the locomotive horn has been shown to substantially reduce the noise footprint without compromising safety at the grade crossing. A wayside horn does not need to be as loud as a locomotive horn, but the real advantage is the focusing of the warning sound only on the area where it is needed. These are pole-mounted horns used in conjunction with flashing lights and gates at the intersection, with a separate horn oriented toward each direction of oncoming vehicle traffic. Field tests have shown that noise levels in nearby residential and business areas can be reduced significantly with wayside horns, depending on the location with respect to the grade crossing.

A plan to use wayside horns in place of the locomotive horn at public grade crossings must be coordinated with several public and private entities, notably the local agency having responsibility for traffic control and law enforcement on the road crossings, the state agency responsible for railroad safety, any railroads that share the right-of-way, and FRA. Public notification must also be given.

Preliminary cost information from testing programs indicates a wayside horn system at a railroad/highway grade crossing costs approximately \$50,000.

Noise Buffers

Because noise levels attenuate with distance, one noise mitigation measure is to increase the distance between noise sources and the closest sensitive receivers. This can be accomplished by locating alignments away from sensitive sites. Acquisition of land or purchasing easements for noise buffer zones is an option that may be considered if impacts due to the project are severe enough.

Ground Absorption

Propagation of noise over ground is affected by whether the ground surface is absorptive or reflective. Noise from vehicles on the surface is strongly affected by the character of the ground in the immediate vicinity of the vehicle. Roads and streets for buses are hard and reflective, but the ground at the side of a road has a significant effect on the propagation of noise to greater distance. This effect is described in Chapter 2 and taken into account in the computations of this chapter. Guideways for rail systems can be either reflective or absorptive, depending on whether they are concrete or ballast. Ballast on a guideway can reduce train noise 3 decibels at-grade and up to 5 decibels on aerial structure.

6.8.4 Receiver Treatments

Sound Barriers

In certain cases it may be possible to acquire limited property rights for the construction of sound barriers at the receiver. As discussed above, barriers need to break the line-of-sight between the noise source and the receiver to be effective and are most effective when they are closest to either the source or the receiver. Computational procedures for estimating barrier effectiveness are given earlier in this chapter.

Building Insulation

In cases where sound barriers are not feasible, such as multi-story buildings, buildings very close to the rights-of-way, or grade crossings, the only practical noise mitigation measure may be to provide sound insulation for the buildings. Effective treatments include caulking and sealing gaps in the building façade, and installation of new doors and windows that are specially designed to meet acoustical transmission-loss requirements. Exterior doors facing the noise source should be replaced with well-gasketed, solid-core wood doors and well-gasketed storm doors. Acoustical windows are usually made of multiple layers of glass with air spaces between to provide noise reduction. Acoustical performance ratings are published in terms of "Sound Transmission Class" (STC) for these special windows. A minimum STC rating of 39 should be used on any window exposed to the noise source. These treatments are beneficial for heat insulation as well as for sound insulation. As an added consideration for costs, however, acoustical windows are usually non-operable so that central ventilation or air conditioning is needed.

Additional building sound insulation, if needed, can be provided by sealing vents and ventilation openings and relocating them to a side of the building away from the noise source. In cases where low frequency noise from diesel locomotives is the problem, it may be necessary to increase the mass of the building façade of wood frame houses by adding a layer of sheathing to the exterior walls.

Criteria for Interior Noise Levels. Depending on the quality of the original building façade, especially windows and doors, sound insulation treatments can improve the noise reductions from transit noise by 5 to 20 dBA. In order to be considered cost-effective, a treatment should provide a minimum of 5 dBA reduction in the interior of the building and provide an interior noise level of 65 dBA or less from transit sources. In homes where noise impact from train horns is identified, the sound insulation should provide sufficient noise reduction such that horn noise inside the building is 70 dBA or less.

Examples of residential sound insulation for rail or highway projects are limited. However, much practical experience with sound insulation of buildings has been gained through grants for noise mitigation to local airport authorities by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). Based on FAA experience, a typical single-family home can be fitted for sound insulation for costs ranging from \$25,000 to \$50,000.

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, "FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model," FHWA-RD-77-108, December 1978.
2. American National Standards Institute, "American National Standard Quantities and Procedures for Description and Measurement of Environmental Sound, Part 4: Noise Assessment and Prediction of Long-term Community Response," ANSI S12.9 – 1996, New York, 1996.
3. American Society for Testing and Materials, "Standard Guide for Measurement of Outdoor A-Weighted Sound Levels," E 1014-84, Philadelphia, 1984.
4. American National Standards Institute, "Method for the Measurement of Sound Pressure Levels," ANSI S1.13-1971 (R1976), New York, 1971.
5. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, "Measurement of Highway-Related Noise," FHWA-PD-96-046, May 1996
6. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, *FHWA Traffic Noise Model User's Guide*, Report FHWA-PD-96-009, Washington, DC, January 1998. In addition, *FHWA Traffic Noise Model User's Guide (Version 2.5 Addendum)*, April 2004, (<http://www.trafficnoisemodel.org/main.html>).
7. TNM 2.5 available through McTrans Center at the University of Florida, Gainesville, FL (<http://mctrans.ce.ufl.edu>).
8. Nelson, James T., "Wheel/Rail Noise Control Manual," Transportation Cooperative Research Report 23, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., 1997.
9. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, "Use of Locomotive Horns at Highway-Rail Grade Crossings," Final rule, 70 *Federal Register* 21844; April 27, 2005.
10. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, "Highway Noise Barrier Design Package", August 2000.

7. BASIC GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION CONCEPTS

Ground-borne vibration can be a serious concern for nearby neighbors of a transit system route or maintenance facility, causing buildings to shake and rumbling sounds to be heard. In contrast to airborne noise, ground-borne vibration is not a common environmental problem. It is unusual for vibration from sources such as buses and trucks to be perceptible, even in locations close to major roads. Some common sources of ground-borne vibration are trains, buses on rough roads, and construction activities such as blasting, pile-driving and operating heavy earth-moving equipment.

The effects of ground-borne vibration include feelable movement of the building floors, rattling of windows, shaking of items on shelves or hanging on walls, and rumbling sounds. In extreme cases, the vibration can cause damage to buildings. Building damage is not a factor for normal transportation projects, with the occasional exception of blasting and pile-driving during construction. Annoyance from vibration often occurs when the vibration exceeds the threshold of perception by only a small margin. A vibration level that causes annoyance will be well below the damage threshold for normal buildings.

The basic concepts of ground-borne vibration are illustrated for a rail system in Figure 7-1. The train wheels rolling on the rails create vibration energy that is transmitted through the track support system into the transit structure. The amount of energy that is transmitted into the transit structure is strongly dependent on factors such as how smooth the wheels and rails are and the resonance frequencies of the vehicle suspension system and the track support system. These systems, like all mechanical systems, have resonances which result in increased vibration response at certain frequencies, called natural frequencies.

The vibration of the transit structure excites the adjacent ground, creating vibration waves that propagate through the various soil and rock strata to the foundations of nearby buildings. The vibration propagates from the foundation throughout the remainder of the building structure. The maximum vibration amplitudes of the floors and walls of a building often will be at the resonance frequencies of various components of the building.




Figure 7-1. Propagation of Ground-Borne Vibration into Buildings

The vibration of floors and walls may cause perceptible vibration, rattling of items such as windows or dishes on shelves, or a rumble noise. The rumble is the noise radiated from the motion of the room surfaces. In essence, the room surfaces act like a giant loudspeaker causing what is called ground-borne noise.

Ground-borne vibration is almost never annoying to people who are outdoors. Although the motion of the ground may be perceived, without the effects associated with the shaking of a building, the motion does not provoke the same adverse human reaction. In addition, the rumble noise that usually accompanies the building vibration is perceptible only inside buildings.

7.1 DESCRIPTORS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE

7.1.1 Vibratory Motion

Vibration is an oscillatory motion which can be described in terms of the displacement, velocity, or acceleration. Because the motion is oscillatory, there is no net movement of the vibration element and the average of any of the motion descriptors is zero. Displacement is the easiest descriptor to understand. For a vibrating floor, the displacement is simply the distance that a point on the floor moves away from its static position. The velocity represents the instantaneous speed of the floor movement and acceleration is the rate of change of the speed.

Although displacement is easier to understand than velocity or acceleration, it is rarely used for describing ground-borne vibration. Most transducers used for measuring ground-borne vibration use either velocity or acceleration. Furthermore, the response of humans, buildings, and equipment to vibration is more accurately described using velocity or acceleration.

7.1.2 Amplitude Descriptors

Vibration consists of rapidly fluctuating motions with an average motion of zero. Several descriptors can be used to quantify vibration amplitude, three of which are shown in Figure 7-2. The raw signal is the lighter-weight curve in the top graph. This curve shows the instantaneous vibration velocity which fluctuates positive and negative about the zero point. The peak particle velocity (PPV) is defined as the maximum instantaneous positive or negative peak of the vibration signal. PPV is often used in monitoring of blasting vibration since it is related to the stresses that are experienced by buildings.

Although peak particle velocity is appropriate for evaluating the potential of building damage, it is not suitable for evaluating human response. It takes some time for the human body to respond to vibration signals. In a sense, the human body responds to an average vibration amplitude. Because the net average of a vibration signal is zero, the root mean square (rms) amplitude is used to describe the "smoothed" vibration amplitude. The root mean square of a signal is the square root of the average of the squared amplitude of the signal. The average is typically calculated over a one-second period. The rms amplitude is shown superimposed




Figure 7-2. Different Methods of Describing a Vibration Signal

on the vibration signal in Figure 7-2. The rms amplitude is always less than the PPV* and is always positive.

The PPV and rms velocity are normally described in inches per second in the USA and meters per second in the rest of the world. Although it is not universally accepted, decibel notation is in common use for vibration.

Decibel notation acts to compress the range of numbers required to describe vibration. The bottom graph in Figure 7-2 shows the rms curve of the top graph expressed in decibels. Vibration velocity level in decibels is defined as:

$$L_v = 20 \times \log_{10} \left(\frac{v}{v_{ref}} \right)$$

where "L_v" is the velocity level in decibels, "v" is the rms velocity amplitude, and "v_{ref}" is the reference velocity amplitude. A reference must always be specified whenever a quantity is expressed in terms of decibels. The accepted reference quantities for vibration velocity are 1x10⁻⁶ inches/second in the USA and either 1x10⁻⁸ meters/second or 5x10⁻⁸ meters/second in the rest of the world. Because of the variations in the reference quantities, it is important to be clear about what reference quantity is being used whenever velocity levels are specified. *All vibration levels in this manual are referenced to 1x10⁻⁶ in./sec.* Although not a universally accepted notation, the abbreviation "VdB" is used in this document for vibration decibels to reduce the potential for confusion with sound decibels.

7.1.3 Ground-Borne Noise

As discussed above, the rumbling sound caused by the vibration of room surfaces is called ground-borne noise. The annoyance potential of ground-borne noise is usually characterized with the A-weighted sound level. Although the A-weighted level is almost the only metric used to characterize community noise, there are potential problems when characterizing low-frequency noise using A-weighting. This is because of the non-linearity of human hearing which causes sounds dominated by low-frequency components to seem louder than broadband sounds that have the same A-weighted level. The result is that ground-borne noise with a level of 40 dBA sounds louder than 40 dBA broadband noise. This is accounted for by setting the limits for ground-borne noise lower than would be the case for broadband noise.

*The ratio of PPV to maximum rms amplitude is defined as the **crest factor** for the signal. The crest factor is always greater than 1.71, although a crest factor of 8 or more is not unusual for impulsive signals. For ground-borne vibration from trains, the crest factor is usually 4 to 5.


7.2 HUMAN PERCEPTION OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE

This section gives some general background on human response to different levels of building vibration, laying the groundwork for the criteria for ground-borne vibration and noise that are presented in Chapter 8.

7.2.1 Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise

In contrast to airborne noise, ground-borne vibration is not a phenomenon that most people experience every day. The background vibration velocity level in residential areas is usually 50 VdB or lower, well below the threshold of perception for humans which is around 65 VdB. Most perceptible indoor vibration is caused by sources within buildings such as operation of mechanical equipment, movement of people or slamming of doors. Typical outdoor sources of perceptible ground-borne vibration are construction equipment, steel-wheeled trains, and traffic on rough roads. If the roadway is smooth, the vibration from traffic is rarely perceptible.

Figure 7-3 illustrates common vibration sources and the human and structural response to ground-borne vibration. The range of interest is from approximately 50 VdB to 100 VdB. Background vibration is usually well below the threshold of human perception and is of concern only when the vibration affects very sensitive manufacturing or research equipment. Electron microscopes and high-resolution lithography equipment are typical of equipment that is highly sensitive to vibration.



* RMS Vibration Velocity Level in VdB relative to 10^{-6} inches/second

Figure 7-3. Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration

Although the perceptibility threshold is about 65 VdB, human response to vibration is not usually significant unless the vibration exceeds 70 VdB. Rapid transit or light rail systems typically generate vibration levels of 70 VdB or more near their tracks. On the other hand, buses and trucks rarely create vibration that exceeds 70 VdB unless there are bumps in the road. Because of the heavy locomotives on diesel commuter rail systems, the vibration levels average about 5 to 10 decibels higher than rail transit vehicles. If there is unusually rough road or track, wheel flats, geologic conditions that promote efficient propagation of vibration, or vehicles with very stiff suspension systems, the vibration levels from any source can be 10 decibels higher than typical. Hence, at 50 feet, the upper range for rapid transit vibration is around 80 VdB and the high range for commuter rail vibration is 85 VdB. If the vibration level in a residence reaches 85 VdB, most people will be strongly annoyed by the vibration.

The relationship between ground-borne vibration and ground-borne noise depends on the frequency content of the vibration and the acoustical absorption of the receiving room. The more acoustical absorption in the room, the lower will be the noise level. For a room with average acoustical absorption, the unweighted sound pressure level is approximately equal to the average vibration velocity level of the room surfaces. Hence, the A-weighted level of ground-borne noise can be estimated by applying A-weighting to the vibration velocity spectrum. Since the A-weighting at 31.5 Hz is -39.4 dB, if the vibration spectrum peaks at 30 Hz, the A-weighted sound level will be approximately 40 decibels lower than the velocity level. Correspondingly, if the vibration spectrum peaks at 60 Hz, the A-weighted sound level will be about 25 decibels lower than the velocity level.

7.2.2 Quantifying Human Response to Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise

One of the major problems in developing suitable criteria for ground-borne vibration is that there has been relatively little research into human response to vibration, in particular, human annoyance with building vibration. The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) developed criteria for evaluation of human exposure to vibration in buildings in 1983⁽¹⁾ and the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) adopted similar criteria in 1989⁽²⁾ and revised them in 2003⁽³⁾. The 2003 version of ISO 2361-2 acknowledges that "human response to vibration in buildings is very complex." It further indicates that the degree of annoyance can not always be explained by the magnitude of the vibration alone. In some cases the complaints are associated with measured vibration that is lower than the perception threshold. Other phenomena such as ground-borne noise, rattling, visual effects such as movement of hanging objects, and time of day (e.g., late at night) all play some role in the response of individuals. To understand and evaluate human response, which is often measured by complaints, all of these related effects need to be considered. The available data documenting real world experience with these phenomena is still relatively sparse. Experience with U.S. rapid transit projects represents a good foundation for developing suitable limits for residential exposure to ground-borne vibration and noise from transit operations.

*The sound level approximately equals the average vibration velocity level *only* when the velocity level is referenced to 1 micro-inch/second. When velocity level is expressed using the international standard of 1×10^{-8} m/sec, the sound level is approximately 8 decibels lower than the average velocity level.

Figure 7-4 illustrates the relationship between the vibration velocity level measured in 22 homes and the general response of the occupants to the vibration. The data shown were assembled from measurements performed for several transit systems along with subjective ratings by the researchers and residents. These data were previously published in the "State-of-the-Art Review of Ground-borne Noise and Vibration."⁽⁴⁾ Both the occupants and the people who performed the measurements agreed that floor vibration in the "Distinctly Perceptible" category was unacceptable for a residence. The data in Figure 7-4 indicate that residential vibration exceeding 75 VdB is unacceptable for a repetitive vibration source such as rapid transit trains that pass every 5 to 15 minutes. Also shown in Figure 7-4 is a curve showing the percent of people annoyed by vibration from high-speed trains in Japan.⁽⁵⁾ The scale for the percent annoyed is on the right-hand axis of the graph. The results of the Japanese study confirm the conclusion that at a vibration velocity level of 75 to 80 VdB, many people will find the vibration annoying.




Figure 7-4. Response to Transit-induced Residential Vibration

Table 7-1 describes the human response to different levels of ground-borne noise and vibration. The first column is the vibration velocity level, and the next two columns are for the corresponding noise level assuming that the vibration spectrum peaks at 30 Hz or 60 Hz. As discussed above, the A-weighted noise level will be approximately 40 dB less than the vibration velocity level if the spectrum peak is around 30 Hz, and 25 dB lower if the spectrum peak is around 60 Hz. Table 7-1 illustrates that achieving either the acceptable vibration or acceptable noise levels does not guarantee that the other will be acceptable. For example, the noise caused by vibrating structural components may be very annoying even though the vibration cannot be felt. Alternatively, a low-frequency vibration could be annoying while the ground-borne noise level it generates is acceptable.

Vib. Velocity Level	Noise Level		Human Response
	Low Freq1	Mid Freq2	
65 VdB	25 dBA	40 dBA	Approximate threshold of perception for many humans. Low-frequency sound usually inaudible, mid-frequency sound excessive for quiet sleeping areas.
75 VdB	35 dBA	50 dBA	Approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible. Many people find transit vibration at this level annoying. Low-frequency noise acceptable for sleeping areas, mid-frequency noise annoying in most quiet occupied areas.
85 VdB	45 dBA	60 dBA	Vibration acceptable only if there are an infrequent number of events per day. Low-frequency noise annoying for sleeping areas, mid-frequency noise annoying even for infrequent events with institutional land uses such as schools and churches.
Notes:			
1. Approximate noise level when vibration spectrum peak is near 30 Hz.			
2. Approximate noise level when vibration spectrum peak is near 60 Hz.			

7.3 GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION FOR DIFFERENT TRANSIT MODES

This section provides a brief discussion of typical problems with ground-borne vibration and noise for different modes of transit.

- Steel-Wheel Urban Rail Transit:** This category includes both heavy rail transit and light rail transit. Heavy rail is generally defined as electrified rapid transit trains with dedicated guideway, and light rail as electrified transit trains that do not require dedicated guideway. The ground-borne vibration characteristics of heavy and light rail vehicles are very similar since they have similar suspension systems and axle loads. Most of the studies of ground-borne vibration in this country have focused on urban rail transit. Problems with ground-borne vibration and noise are common when there is less than 50 feet between a subway structure and building foundations. Whether the problem will be perceptible vibration or audible noise is strongly dependent on local geology and the structural details of the building. Complaints about ground-borne vibration from surface track are more common than complaints about ground-borne noise. A significant percentage of complaints about both ground-borne vibration and noise can be attributed to the proximity of special trackwork, rough or corrugated track, or wheel flats.

- **Commuter and Intercity Passenger Trains:** This category includes passenger trains powered by either diesel or electric locomotives. In terms of vibration effects at a single location, the major difference between commuter and intercity passenger trains is that the latter are on a less frequent schedule. Both often share track with freight trains, which have quite different vibration characteristics as discussed below. The locomotives usually create the highest vibration levels. There is the potential of vibration-related problems anytime that new commuter or intercity rail passenger service is introduced in an urban or suburban area.
- **High-Speed Passenger Trains:** High-speed passenger trains have the potential of creating high levels of ground-borne vibration. Ground-borne vibration should be anticipated as one of the major environmental impacts of any high-speed train located in an urban or suburban area. The Amtrak trains on the Northeast Corridor between Boston and Washington, D.C., which attain moderate to high speeds in some sections with improved track, fit into this category.
- **Freight Trains:** Local and long-distance freight trains are similar in that they both are diesel-powered and have the same types of cars. They differ in their overall length, number and size of locomotives, and number of heavily loaded cars. Locomotives and rail cars with wheel flats are the sources of the highest vibration levels. Because locomotive suspensions are similar, the maximum vibration levels of local and long-distance freights are similar. It is not uncommon for freight trains to be the source of intrusive ground-borne vibration. Most railroad tracks used for freight lines were in existence for many years before the affected residential areas were developed. Vibration from freight trains can be a consideration for FTA-assisted projects when a new transit line will share an existing freight train right-of-way. Relocating the freight tracks within the right-of-way to make room for the transit tracks must be considered a direct impact of the transit system which must be evaluated as part of the proposed project. However, vibration mitigation is very difficult to implement on tracks where trains with heavy axle loads will be operating.
- **Automated Guideway Transit Systems (AGT):** This transit mode encompasses a wide range of transportation vehicles providing local circulation in downtown areas, airports and theme parks. In general, ground-borne vibration can be expected to be generated by steel-wheel/steel-rail systems even when limited in size. Because AGT systems normally operate at low speeds, have lightweight vehicles, and rarely operate in vibration-sensitive areas, ground-borne vibration problems are very rare.
- **Bus Projects:** Because the rubber tires and suspension systems of buses provide vibration isolation, it is unusual for buses to cause ground-borne noise or vibration problems. When buses cause effects such as rattling of windows, the source is almost always airborne noise. Most problems with bus-related vibration can be directly related to a pothole, bump, expansion joint, or other discontinuity in the road surface. Smoothing the bump or filling the pothole will usually solve the problem. Problems are likely when buses will be operating inside buildings. Intrusive building vibration can be caused by sudden loading of a building slab by a heavy moving vehicle or by vehicles running over lane divider bumps. A bus transfer station with commercial office space in the same building may have annoying vibration within the office space caused by bus operations.

7.4 FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE

One of the major problems in developing accurate estimates of ground-borne vibration is the large number of factors that can influence the levels at the receiver position. This section gives a general appreciation of which factors have significant effects on the levels of ground-borne vibration. Table 7-2 is a summary of some of the many factors that are known to have, or are suspected of having, a significant influence on the levels of ground-borne vibration and noise. As indicated, the physical parameters of the transit facility, the geology, and the receiving building all influence the vibration levels. The important physical parameters can be divided into the following four categories:

- **Operational and Vehicle Factors:** This category includes all of the parameters that relate to the vehicle and operation of the trains. Factors such as high speed, stiff primary suspensions on the vehicle, and flat or worn wheels will increase the possibility of problems from ground-borne vibration.
- **Guideway:** The type and condition of the rails, the type of guideway, the rail support system, and the mass and stiffness of the guideway structure will all have an influence on the level of ground-borne vibration. Jointed rail, worn rail, and wheel impacts at special trackwork can all cause substantial increases in ground-borne vibration. A rail system guideway will be either subway, at-grade, or elevated. It is rare for ground-borne vibration to be a problem with elevated railways except when guideway supports are located within 50 feet of buildings. For guideways at-grade, directly radiated noise is usually the dominant problem, although vibration can be a problem. For subways, ground-borne vibration is often one of the most important environmental problems. For rubber-tired systems, the smoothness of the roadway/guideway is the critical factor; if the surface is smooth, vibration problems are unlikely.
- **Geology:** Soil and subsurface conditions are known to have a strong influence on the levels of ground-borne vibration. Among the most important factors are the stiffness and internal damping of the soil and the depth to bedrock. Experience with ground-borne vibration is that vibration propagation is more efficient in stiff clay soils, and shallow rock seems to concentrate the vibration energy close to the surface and can result in ground-borne vibration problems at large distances from the track. Factors such as layering of the soil and depth to water table can have significant effects on the propagation of ground-borne vibration.
- **Receiving Building:** The receiving building is a key component in the evaluation of ground-borne vibration since ground-borne vibration problems occur almost exclusively inside buildings. The train vibration may be perceptible to people who are outdoors, but it is very rare for outdoor vibration to cause complaints. The vibration levels inside a building are dependent on the vibration energy that reaches the building foundation, the coupling of the building foundation to the soil, and the propagation of the vibration through the building. The general guideline is that the heavier a building is, the lower the response will be to the incident vibration energy.

Table 7-2. Factors that Influence Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise	
<i>Factors Related to Vibration Source</i>	
Factors	Influence
Vehicle Suspension	If the suspension is stiff in the vertical direction, the effective vibration forces will be higher. On transit cars, only the primary suspension affects the vibration levels, the secondary suspension that supports the car body has no apparent effect.
Wheel Type and Condition	Use of pneumatic tires is one of the best methods of controlling ground-borne vibration. Normal resilient wheels on rail transit systems are usually too stiff to provide significant vibration reduction. Wheel flats and general wheel roughness are the major cause of vibration from steel wheel/steel rail systems.
Track/Roadway Surface	Rough track or rough roads are often the cause of vibration problems. Maintaining a smooth surface will reduce vibration levels.
Track Support System	On rail systems, the track support system is one of the major components in determining the levels of ground-borne vibration. The highest vibration levels are created by track that is rigidly attached to a concrete trackbed (e.g. track on wood half-ties embedded in the concrete). The vibration levels are much lower when special vibration control track systems such as resilient fasteners, ballast mats and floating slabs are used.
Speed	As intuitively expected, higher speeds result in higher vibration levels. Doubling speed usually results in a vibration level increase of 4 to 6 decibels.
Transit Structure	The general rule-of-thumb is that the heavier the transit structure, the lower the vibration levels. The vibration levels from a lightweight bored tunnel will usually be higher than from a poured concrete box subway.
Depth of Vibration Source	There are significant differences in the vibration characteristics when the source is underground compared to surface level.
<i>Factors Related to Vibration Path</i>	
Factor	Influence
Soil Type	Vibration levels are generally higher in stiff clay-type soils than in loose sandy soils.
Rock Layers	Vibration levels are usually high near at-grade track when the depth to bedrock is 30 feet or less. Subways founded in rock will result in lower vibration amplitudes close to the subway. Because of efficient propagation, the vibration level does not attenuate as rapidly in rock as it does in soil.
Soil Layering	Soil layering will have a substantial, but unpredictable, effect on the vibration levels since each stratum can have significantly different dynamic characteristics.
Depth to Water Table	The presence of the water table may have a significant effect on ground-borne vibration, but a definite relationship has not been established.
<i>Factors Related to Vibration Receiver</i>	
Factor	Influence
Foundation Type	The general rule-of-thumb is that the heavier the building foundation, the greater the coupling loss as the vibration propagates from the ground into the building.
Building Construction	Since ground-borne vibration and noise are almost always evaluated in terms of indoor receivers, the propagation of the vibration through the building must be considered. Each building has different characteristics relative to structureborne vibration, although the general rule-of-thumb is the more massive the building, the lower the levels of ground-borne vibration.
Acoustical Absorption	The amount of acoustical absorption in the receiver room affects the levels of ground-borne noise.

REFERENCES

1. American National Standards Institute, Guide to the Evaluation of Human Exposure to Vibration in Buildings. ANSI S3.29-1983
2. International Organization for Standardization, "Evaluation of Human exposure to whole body vibration: Part 2 – Continuous and shock-induced vibration in buildings (1 – 80 Hz), ISO 2361-2-1989
3. International Organization for Standardization, "Mechanical Vibration and Shock : Evaluation of human exposure to whole body vibration: Part 2 – Vibration in buildings (1 to 80 Hz), ISO 2631-2-2003.
4. J. T. Nelson, H. J. Saurenman, "State-of-the-Art Review: Prediction and Control of Groundborne Noise and Vibration from Rail Transit Trains," U.S. Department of Transportation, Urban Mass Transportation Administration, Report Number UMTA-MA-06-0049-83-4, DOT-TSC-UMTA-83-3, December 1983.
5. Y. Tokita, "Vibration Pollution Problems in Japan," In Inter-Noise 75, Sendai, Japan, pp. 465-472, 1975.

8. VIBRATION IMPACT CRITERIA

Because of the relatively rare occurrence of annoyance due to ground-borne vibration and noise, there has been only limited sponsored research of human response to building vibration and structure-borne noise. However, with the construction of new rail rapid transit systems in the past 30 years, considerable experience has been gained as to how people react to various levels of building vibration. This experience, combined with the available national and international standards,^(1,2,3) represents a good foundation for predicting annoyance from ground-borne noise and vibration in residential areas as well as interference with vibration-sensitive activities.

The criteria for environmental impact from ground-borne vibration and noise are based on the maximum root-mean-square (rms) vibration levels for repeated events of the same source. The criteria presented in Table 8-1 account for variation in project types as well as the frequency of events, which differ widely among transit projects. Most experience is with the community response to ground-borne vibration from rail rapid transit systems with typical headways in the range of 3 to 10 minutes and each vibration event lasting less than 10 seconds. It is intuitive that when there will be many fewer events each day, as is typical for commuter rail projects, it should take higher vibration levels to evoke the same community response. This is accounted for in the criteria by distinguishing between projects with varying numbers of events, where *Frequent Events* are defined as more than 70 events per day, *Occasional Events* range between 30 and 70 events per day, and *Infrequent Events* are fewer than 30 events per day. Most commuter rail branch lines will fall into the infrequent events category, although the trunk lines of some commuter rail lines serving major cities are in the occasional events category.

The criteria are primarily based on experience with passenger train operations with only limited experience from freight train operations. The difference is that passenger train operations, whether rapid transit, commuter rail, or intercity passenger railroad, create vibration events that last less than about 10 seconds. A typical line-haul freight train is about 5000 feet long. At a speed of 30 mph, it will take a 5000-foot freight train approximately two minutes to pass. Even though the criteria are primarily based on experience with shorter vibration events and this manual is oriented to transit projects, there will be

situations where potential impacts from freight train ground-borne vibration will need to be evaluated. The prime example is when freight train tracks must be relocated to provide space for a transit project within a railroad right-of-way. Some guidelines for applying these criteria to freight train operations are given later in this chapter.

8.1 VIBRATION IMPACT CRITERIA FOR GENERAL ASSESSMENT

8.1.1 Sensitive-Use Categories

The criteria for acceptable ground-borne vibration are expressed in terms of rms velocity levels in decibels and the criteria for acceptable ground-borne noise are expressed in terms of A-weighted sound levels. The limits are specified for the three land-use categories defined below:

- **Vibration Category 1 - High Sensitivity:** Included in Category 1 are buildings where vibration would interfere with operations within the building, including levels that may be well below those associated with human annoyance. Concert halls and other special-use facilities are covered separately in Table 8-2. Typical land uses covered by Category 1 are: vibration-sensitive research and manufacturing, hospitals with vibration-sensitive equipment, and university research operations. The degree of sensitivity to vibration will depend on the specific equipment that will be affected by the vibration. Equipment such as electron microscopes and high resolution lithographic equipment can be very sensitive to vibration, and even normal optical microscopes will sometimes be difficult to use when vibration is well below the human annoyance level. Manufacturing of computer chips is an example of a vibration-sensitive process.

The vibration limits for Vibration Category 1 are based on acceptable vibration for moderately vibration-sensitive equipment such as optical microscopes and electron microscopes with vibration isolation systems. Defining limits for equipment that is even more sensitive requires a detailed review of the specific equipment involved. This type of review is usually performed during the Detailed Analysis associated with the final design phase and not as part of the environmental impact assessment. Mitigation of transit vibration that affects sensitive equipment typically involves modification of the equipment mounting system or relocation of the equipment rather than applying vibration control measures to the transit project.

Note that this category does not include most computer installations or telephone switching equipment. Although the owners of this type of equipment often are very concerned about the potential of ground-borne vibration interrupting smooth operation of their equipment, it is rare for computer or other electronic equipment to be particularly sensitive to vibration. Most such equipment is designed to operate in typical building environments where the equipment may experience occasional shock from bumping and continuous background vibration caused by other equipment.

- **Vibration Category 2 - Residential:** This category covers all residential land uses and any buildings where people sleep, such as hotels and hospitals. No differentiation is made between different types of residential areas. This is primarily because ground-borne vibration and noise are experienced indoors and building occupants have practically no means to reduce their exposure. Even in a noisy

urban area, the bedrooms often will be quiet in buildings that have effective noise insulation and tightly closed windows. Moreover, street traffic often abates at night when transit continues to operate. Hence, an occupant of a bedroom in a noisy urban area is likely to be just as exposed to ground-borne noise and vibration as someone in a quiet suburban area. The criteria apply to the transit-generated ground-borne vibration and noise whether the source is subway or surface running trains.

- **Vibration Category 3 - Institutional:** Vibration Category 3 includes schools, churches, other institutions, and quiet offices that do not have vibration-sensitive equipment, but still have the potential for activity interference. Although it is generally appropriate to include office buildings in this category, it is not appropriate to include all buildings that have any office space. For example, most industrial buildings have office space, but it is not intended that buildings primarily for industrial use be included in this category.

Table 8-1. Ground-Borne Vibration (GBV) and Ground-Borne Noise (GBN) Impact Criteria for General Assessment

Land Use Category	GBV Impact Levels (VdB re 1 micro-inch /sec)			GBN Impact Levels (dB re 20 micro Pascals)		
	Frequent Events ¹	Occasional Events ²	Infrequent Events ³	Frequent Events ¹	Occasional Events ²	Infrequent Events ³
Category 1: Buildings where vibration would interfere with interior operations.	65 VdB ⁴	65 VdB ⁴	65 VdB ⁴	N/A ⁴	N/A ⁴	N/A ⁴
Category 2: Residences and buildings where people normally sleep.	72 VdB	75 VdB	80 VdB	35 dBA	38 dBA	43 dBA
Category 3: Institutional land uses with primarily daytime use.	75 VdB	78 VdB	83 VdB	40 dBA	43 dBA	48 dBA

Notes:

1. "Frequent Events" is defined as more than 70 vibration events of the same source per day. Most rapid transit projects fall into this category.
2. "Occasional Events" is defined as between 30 and 70 vibration events of the same source per day. Most commuter trunk lines have this many operations.
3. "Infrequent Events" is defined as fewer than 30 vibration events of the same kind per day. This category includes most commuter rail branch lines.
4. This criterion limit is based on levels that are acceptable for most moderately sensitive equipment such as optical microscopes. Vibration-sensitive manufacturing or research will require detailed evaluation to define the acceptable vibration levels. Ensuring lower vibration levels in a building often requires special design of the HVAC systems and stiffened floors.
5. Vibration-sensitive equipment is generally not sensitive to ground-borne noise.

There are some buildings, such as concert halls, TV and recording studios, and theaters, that can be very sensitive to vibration and noise but do not fit into any of the three categories. Because of the sensitivity of these buildings, they usually warrant special attention during the environmental assessment of a transit project. Table 8-2 gives criteria for acceptable levels of ground-borne vibration and noise for various types of special buildings.

Type of Building or Room	Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Levels (VdB re 1 micro-inch/sec)		Ground-Borne Noise Impact Levels (dB re 20 micro-Pascals)	
	Frequent ¹ Events	Occasional or Infrequent ² Events	Frequent ¹ Events	Occasional or Infrequent ² Events
Concert Halls	65 VdB	65 VdB	25 dBA	25 dBA
TV Studios	65 VdB	65 VdB	25 dBA	25 dBA
Recording Studios	65 VdB	65 VdB	25 dBA	25 dBA
Auditoriums	72 VdB	80 VdB	30 dBA	38 dBA
Theaters	72 VdB	80 VdB	35 dBA	43 dBA

Notes:
 1. "Frequent Events" is defined as more than 70 vibration events per day. Most rapid transit projects fall into this category.
 2. "Occasional or Infrequent Events" is defined as fewer than 70 vibration events per day. This category includes most commuter rail systems.
 3. If the building will rarely be occupied when the trains are operating, there is no need to consider impact. As an example, consider locating a commuter rail line next to a concert hall. If no commuter trains will operate after 7 pm, it should be rare that the trains interfere with the use of the hall.

The criteria in Tables 8-1 and 8-2 are related to ground-borne vibration causing human annoyance or interfering with use of vibration-sensitive equipment. It is extremely rare for vibration from train operations to cause any sort of building damage, even minor cosmetic damage. However, there is sometimes concern about damage to fragile historic buildings located near the right-of-way. Even in these cases, damage is unlikely except when the track will be very close to the structure. Damage thresholds that apply to these structures are discussed in Section 12.2.2.

8.1.2 Existing Vibration Conditions

One factor not incorporated in the criteria is how to account for existing vibration. In most cases, the existing environment does not include a significant number of perceptible ground-borne vibration or noise events. The most common example of needing to account for the pre-existing vibration is when the project will be located in an existing rail corridor. When the project will cause vibration more than 5 VdB greater than the existing source, the existing source can be ignored and the standard vibration criteria applied to the project. Following are methods of handling representative scenarios:

1. *Infrequently-used rail corridor (fewer than 5 trains per day):* Use the general vibration criteria, Tables 8-1 and 8-2.

2. *Moderately-used rail corridor (5 to 12 trains per day):* If the existing train vibration exceeds the impact criteria given in Tables 8-1 and 8-2, there will be no impact from the project vibration if the levels estimated using the procedures outlined in either Chapter 10 or 11 are at least 5VdB less than the existing train vibration. Otherwise, vibration criteria in Tables 8-1 and 8-2 apply to the project. The existing train vibration can be either measured or estimated using the General Assessment procedures in Chapter 10. It is usually preferable to measure vibration from existing train traffic.
3. *Heavily-used rail corridor (more than 12 trains per day):* If the existing train vibration exceeds the impact criteria given in Tables 8-1 and 8-2, the project will cause additional impact if the project significantly increases the number of vibration events. Approximately doubling the number of events is required for a significant increase.

If there is not a significant increase in vibration events, there will be additional impact only if the project vibration, estimated using the procedures of Chapters 10 or 11, will be 3 VdB or more higher than the existing vibration. An example of a case with no additional impact would be an automated people mover system planned for a corridor with an existing rapid transit service with 220 trains per day. On the other hand, there could be impact if it is a new commuter rail line planned to share a corridor with the rapid transit system. In this latter case, the project vibrations are likely to be higher than the existing vibrations by 3 VdB or more.

4. *Moving existing tracks:* Another scenario where existing vibration can be significant is when a new transit project will use an existing railroad right-of-way and result in shifting the location of existing railroad tracks. The track relocation and reconstruction can result in lower vibration levels, in which case this aspect of the project represents a benefit, not an adverse impact. If the track relocation will cause higher vibration levels at sensitive receptors, then the projected vibration levels must be compared to the appropriate impact criterion to determine if there will be new impacts. If impact is judged to have existed prior to moving the tracks, new impact will be assessed only if the relocation results in more than a 3 VdB increase in vibration level.

8.1.3 Application to Freight Trains

The impact thresholds given in Tables 8-1 and 8-2 are based on experience with vibration from rail transit systems. They have been used to assess vibration from freight trains since no specific impact criteria exist for freight railroads. However, the significantly greater length, weight and axle loads of freight trains make it problematic to use these impact criteria for freight rail. Nevertheless, in shared right-of-way situations where the proposed transit alignment causes the freight tracks to be moved closer to sensitive sites, these impact criteria will have to be used. In assessing the freight train vibration, a dual approach is recommended with separate consideration of the locomotive and rail car vibration. Because the locomotive vibration only lasts for a very short time, the few-event criterion is appropriate for fewer than 30 events per day. However, for a typical line-haul freight train where the rail car vibration lasts for several minutes, the many-event limits should be applied to the rail car vibration. Some judgment must be exercised to make sure that the approach is reasonable. For example, some spur rail lines carry very

little rail traffic (sometimes only one train per week) or have short trains, in which case the criteria may be disregarded altogether.

Finally, it should be pointed out that the vibration control measures developed for rail transit systems are not effective for freight trains. Consequently, any decision to relocate freight tracks closer to sensitive sites should be made with the understanding that the increased vibration impact due to freight rail will be very difficult, if not impossible, to mitigate.

8.2 VIBRATION IMPACT CRITERIA FOR DETAILED ANALYSIS

8.2.1 Ground-Borne Vibration

Specification of mitigation measures requires more detailed information and more refined impact criteria than what were used in the General Assessment. A frequency distribution, or spectrum, of the vibration energy determines whether the vibrations are likely to generate a significant response in a receiving building or structure. The Detailed Analysis method in this manual provides an estimate of building response in terms of a one-third octave band frequency spectrum. This section provides criteria for assessing the potential for interference or annoyance from building response and for determining the performance of vibration reduction methods.

International standards have been developed for the effects of vibration on people in buildings with ratings related to annoyance and interference with activities based on frequency distribution of acceptable vibrations.⁽²⁾ These criteria have been supplemented by industry standards for vibration-sensitive equipment.⁽³⁾ Both sets of criteria are expressed in terms of one-third octave band velocity spectra, with transient events like train passbys described in terms of the maximum rms vibration velocity level with a one-second averaging time. The measurement point is specified as the floor of the receiving building at the location of the prescribed activity.

The vibration impact criteria are shown in Figure 8-1 where the international standard curves and the industry standards are plotted on the same figure. Interpretations of the various levels are presented in Table 8-3. Detailed Analysis results in one-third octave band spectra levels that are plotted over the curves shown in Figure 8-1. Band levels that exceed a particular criterion curve indicate the need for mitigation and the frequency range within which the treatment needs to be effective.




Figure 8-1. Criteria for Detailed Vibration Analysis

Table 8-3. Interpretation of Vibration Criteria for Detailed Analysis

Criterion Curve ¹ (See Figure 8-1)	Max L _v (VdB) ²	Description of Use
Workshop	90	Distinctly feelable vibration. Appropriate to workshops and non-sensitive areas.
Office	84	Feelable vibration. Appropriate to offices and non-sensitive areas.
Residential Day	78	Barely feelable vibration. Adequate for computer equipment and low-power optical microscopes (up to 20X).
Residential Night, Operating Rooms	72	Vibration not feelable, but ground-borne noise may be audible inside quiet rooms. Suitable for medium-power optical microscopes (100X) and other equipment of low sensitivity.
VC-A	66	Adequate for medium- to high-power optical microscopes (400X), microbalances, optical balances, and similar specialized equipment.
VC-B	60	Adequate for high-power optical microscopes (1000X), inspection and lithography equipment to 3 micron line widths.
VC-C	54	Appropriate for most lithography and inspection equipment to 1 micron detail size.
VC-D	48	Suitable in most instances for the most demanding equipment, including electron microscopes operating to the limits of their capability.
VC-E	42	The most demanding criterion for extremely vibration-sensitive equipment.

¹Descriptors on curves are those provided by References 2 and 3.

²As measured in 1/3-octave bands of frequency over the frequency range 8 to 80 Hz.

These criteria use a frequency spectrum because vibration-related problems generally occur due to resonances of the structural components of a building or vibration-sensitive equipment. Resonant response is frequency-dependent. A Detailed Analysis can provide an assessment that identifies potential problems resulting from resonances.

The detailed vibration criteria are based on generic cases when people are standing or equipment is mounted on the floor in a conventional manner. Consequently, the criteria are less stringent at very low frequencies below 8 Hz. Where special vibration isolation has been provided in the form of pneumatic isolators, the resonant frequency of the isolation system is very low. Consequently, in this special case, the curves may be extended flat at lower frequencies.

8.2.2 Ground-Borne Noise

Ground-borne noise impacts are assessed based on criteria for human annoyance and activity interference. The results of the Detailed Analysis provide vibration spectra inside a building. These vibration spectra can be converted to sound pressure level spectra in the occupied spaces using the method described in Section 11.2.2. For residential buildings, the criteria for acceptability are given in terms of the A-weighted sound pressure level in Table 8-1. For special buildings listed in Table 8-2, a single-valued level may not be sufficient to assess activity interference at the Detailed Analysis stage. Each special building may have a unique specification for acceptable noise levels. For example, a recording studio may have stringent requirements for allowable noise in each frequency band. Therefore, the ground-borne noise criteria for each sensitive building in this category will have to be determined on a case-by-case basis.

REFERENCES

1. Acoustical Society of America, "American National Standard: Guide to Evaluation of Human Exposure to Vibration in Buildings," ANSI S3.29-1983 (ASA 48-1983).
2. International Organization for Standardization, "Evaluation of Human Exposure to Whole-Body Vibration, Part 2: Continuous and Shock-Induced Vibrations in Buildings (1-80Hz)," ISO-2361-2, 1989.
3. Institute of Environmental Sciences and Technology, "Considerations in Clean Room Design," RR-CC012.1, 1993.

9. VIBRATION SCREENING PROCEDURE

The vibration screening procedure is designed to identify projects that have little possibility of creating significant adverse impact. If the screening procedure does not identify any potential problem areas, it is usually safe to eliminate further consideration of vibration impact from the environmental analysis.

9.1 STEPS IN SCREENING PROCEDURE

The steps in the vibration screening procedure are summarized in Figure 9-1 in a flow chart format. Following is a summary of the steps:

Initial Decision: If the project includes any type of steel-wheeled/steel-rail vehicle, there is potential for vibration impact. Proceed directly to the evaluation of screening distances. Transit projects that do not involve vehicles, such as a station rehabilitation, do not have potential for vibration impact unless the track system will be modified (e.g., tracks moved or switches modified). Rail systems include urban rapid transit, light rail transit, commuter rail, and steel-wheel intermediate capacity transit systems. For projects that involve rubber-tire vehicles, vibration impact is unlikely except in unusual situations. Three specific factors shown in Figure 9-1 should be checked to determine if there is potential vibration impact from bus projects or any other projects that involve rubber-tire vehicles:

1. Will there be expansion joints, speed bumps, or other design features that result in unevenness in the road surface near vibration-sensitive buildings? Such irregularities can result in perceptible ground-borne vibration at distances up to 75 feet away.
2. Will buses, trucks or other heavy vehicles be operating close to a sensitive building? Research using electron microscopes and manufacturing of computer chips are examples of vibration-sensitive activities.

3. Does the project include operation of vehicles inside or directly underneath buildings that are vibration-sensitive? Special considerations are often required for shared-use facilities such as a bus station located inside an office building complex.




Figure 9-1. Flow Chart of Vibration Screening Process

No Impact (Box A): The decisions in step 1 lead to either box A, "No vibration impact likely," or box B. Reaching box A indicates that further analysis is not required. The majority of smaller FTA-assisted projects, such as bus terminals and park-and-ride lots, will be eliminated from further consideration of ground-borne vibration impact in the first step.

Screening Distances (Box B): If the result of the first step is that there is potential for vibration impact, determine if any vibration-sensitive land uses are within the screening zones. Vibration-sensitive land uses are identified in Chapter 8. Tables 9-1 and 9-2 are used to determine the applicable vibration screening distances for the project.

Impact: If there are any vibration-sensitive land uses within the screening distances, there is the potential for vibration impact. The result of the screening procedure is that a General Vibration Assessment should be done as part of the environmental analysis.

9.2 SCREENING DISTANCES

9.2.1 Project Categories

The vibration screening procedure is applicable to all types of FTA-assisted projects. The project categories for the vibration screening procedure are summarized in Table 9-1 for four types of rail transit. The fifth category includes all bus projects. Any project that does not include some type of vehicle is not likely to cause vibration impact.

With respect to Project Type 5, the rubber-tire vehicle category, most complaints about vibration caused by buses and trucks are related to rattling of windows or items hung on the walls. These vibrations are usually the result of airborne noise and not ground-borne vibration. In the case where ground-borne vibration is the source of the problem, the vibration can usually be related to potholes, some sort of bump in the road, or other irregularities.

Project Type	Description
1. Conventional Commuter Railroad	Both the locomotives and the passenger vehicles create significant vibration. The highest vibration levels are usually created by the locomotives. Electric commuter rail vehicles create levels of ground-borne vibration that are comparable to electric rapid transit vehicles.
2. Rail Rapid Transit	Ground-borne vibration impact from rapid transit trains is one of the major environmental issues for new systems. For operation in subway, the ground-borne vibration is usually a significant environmental impact. It is less common for at-grade and elevated rapid transit lines to create intrusive ground-borne vibration.
3. Light Rail Transit	The ground-borne vibration characteristics of light rail systems are very similar to those of rapid transit systems. Because the speeds of light rail systems are usually lower, the typical vibration levels usually are lower. Steel-wheel/steel-rail Automated Guideway Transit (AGT) will fall into either this category or the Intermediate Capacity Transit category depending on the level of service and train speeds.
4. Intermediate Capacity Transit	Because of the low operating speeds of most ICT systems, significant vibration problems are not common. However, steel-wheel ICT systems that operate close to vibration-sensitive buildings have the potential of causing intrusive vibration. With a stiff suspension system, an ICT system could create intrusive vibration.
5. Bus and Rubber-Tire Transit Projects	This category encompasses most projects that do not include steel-wheel trains of some type. Examples are diesel buses, electric trolley buses, and rubber-tired people movers. Most projects that do not include steel-wheel trains do not cause significant vibration impact.

9.2.2 Distances

The screening distances are given in Table 9-2. These distances are based on the criteria presented in Chapter 8, with a 5-decibel factor of safety included. The distances have been determined using vibration

prediction procedures that are summarized in Chapter 10 assuming "normal" vibration propagation. As discussed in Chapter 10, efficient vibration propagation can result in substantially higher vibration levels.

Because of the 5-decibel safety factor, even with efficient propagation, the screening distances will identify most of the potentially impacted areas. By not specifically accounting for the possibility of efficient vibration propagation, there is some possibility that some potential impact areas will not be identified in the screening process. When there is evidence of efficient propagation, such as previous complaints about existing transit facilities or a history of problems with construction vibration, the distances in Table 9-2 should be increased by a factor of 1.5.

Type of Project	Critical Distance for Land Use Categories Distance from Right-of-Way or Property Line		
	Cat. 1	Cat. 2	Cat. 3
Conventional Commuter Railroad	600	200	120
Rail Rapid Transit	600	200	120
Light Rail Transit	450	150	100
Intermediate Capacity Transit	200	100	50
Bus Projects (if not previously screened out)	100	50	--

* The land-use categories are defined in Chapter 8. Some vibration-sensitive land uses are not included in these categories. Examples are: concert halls and TV studios which, for the screening procedure, should be evaluated as Category 1; and theaters and auditoriums which should be evaluated as Category 2.

10. GENERAL VIBRATION ASSESSMENT

This chapter outlines procedures that can be used to develop generalized predictions of ground-borne vibration and noise. This manual includes three different levels of detail for projecting ground-borne vibration:

- **Screening:** The screening procedure is discussed in Chapter 9. A standard table of impact distances is used to determine if ground-borne vibration from the project may affect sensitive land uses. More detailed analysis is required if any sensitive land uses are within the screening distances. The screening procedure does not require any specific knowledge about the vibration characteristics of the system or the geology of the area. If different propagation conditions are known to be present, a simple adjustment is provided.
- **General Assessment:** The general level of assessment, as described in this chapter, is an extension of the screening procedure. It uses generalized data to develop a curve of vibration level as a function of distance from the track. The vibration levels at specific buildings are estimated by reading values from the curve and applying adjustments to account for factors such as track support system, vehicle speed, type of building, and track and wheel condition. The general level deals only with the overall vibration velocity level and the A-weighted sound level. It does not consider the frequency spectrum of the vibration or noise.
- **Detailed Analysis:** Discussed in Chapter 11, the Detailed Analysis involves applying all of the available tools for accurately projecting the vibration impact at specific sites. The procedure outlined in this manual includes a test of the vehicle (or similar vehicle) to define the forces generated by the vibration source and tests at the site in question to define how the local geology affects vibration propagation. It is considerably more complex to develop detailed projections of ground-borne vibration than it is to develop detailed projections of airborne noise. Accurate projections of ground-

borne vibration require professionals with experience in performing and interpreting vibration propagation tests. As such, detailed vibration predictions are usually performed during the final design phase of a project when there is sufficient reason to suspect adverse vibration impact from the project. The procedure for Detailed Vibration Analysis presented in Chapter 11 is based on measurements to characterize vibration propagation at specific sites.

There is not always a clear distinction between general and detailed predictions. For example, it is often appropriate to use several representative measurements of vibration propagation along the planned alignment in developing generalized propagation curves. Other times, generalized prediction curves may be sufficient for the majority of the alignment, but with Detailed Analysis applied to particularly sensitive buildings such as a concert hall. The methods for analyzing transit vibration in this manual are consistent with those described in recognized handbooks and international standards.^(1, 2)

The purpose of the General Assessment is to provide a relatively simple method of developing estimates of the overall levels of ground-borne vibration and noise that can be compared to the acceptability criteria given in Chapter 8. For many projects, particularly when comparing alternatives, this level of detail will be sufficient for the environmental impact assessment. Where there are potential problems, the Detailed Analysis is then undertaken during final design of the selected alternative to accurately define the level of impact and design mitigation measures. A Detailed Analysis usually will be required when designing special track-support systems such as floating slabs or ballast mats. Detailed Analysis is not usually required if, as is often the case, the mitigation measure consists of relocating a crossover or turnout. Usually, the General Assessment is adequate to determine whether a crossover needs to be relocated.

The basic approach for the General Assessment is to define a curve, or set of curves, that predicts the overall ground-surface vibration as a function of distance from the source, then apply adjustments to these curves to account for factors such as vehicle speed, building type, and receiver location within the building. Section 10.1 includes curves of vibration level as a function of distance from the source for the common types of vibration sources such as rapid transit trains and buses. When the vehicle type is not covered by the curves included in this section, it will be necessary to define an appropriate curve either by extrapolating from existing information or performing measurements at an existing facility.

10.1 SELECTION OF BASE CURVE FOR GROUND SURFACE VIBRATION LEVEL

The base curves for three standard transportation systems are defined in Figure 10-1. This figure shows typical ground-surface vibration levels assuming equipment in good condition and speeds of 50 mph for the rail systems and 30 mph for buses. The levels must be adjusted to account for factors such as different speeds and different geologic conditions than assumed. The adjustment factors are discussed in Section 10.2.

The curves in Figure 10-1 are based on measurements of ground-borne vibration at representative North American transit systems. The top curve applies to trains that are powered by diesel or electric locomotives. It includes intercity passenger trains and commuter rail trains. The curve for rapid transit rail cars covers both heavy and light-rail vehicles on at-grade and subway track. It is somewhat surprising that subway and at-grade track can be represented by the same curve since ground-borne vibration created by a train operating in a subway has very different characteristics than vibration from at-grade track. However, in spite of these differences, the overall vibration velocity levels are comparable. Subways tend to have more vibration problems than at-grade track. This is probably due to two factors: (1) subways are usually located in more densely developed areas, and (2) the airborne noise is usually a more serious problem for at-grade systems than the ground-borne vibration. Another difference between subway and at-grade track is that the ground-borne vibration from subways tends to be higher frequency than the vibration from at-grade track, which makes the ground-borne noise more noticeable.




Figure 10-1. Generalized Ground Surface Vibration Curves

The curves in Figure 10-1 were developed from many measurements of ground-borne vibration. Experience with ground-borne vibration data is that, for any specific type of transit mode, a significant variation in vibration levels under apparently similar conditions is not uncommon. The curves in Figure

10-1 represent the upper range of the measurement data from well-maintained systems. Although actual levels fluctuate widely, it is rare that ground-borne vibration will exceed the curves in Figure 10-1 by more than one or two decibels unless there are extenuating circumstances, such as wheel- or running-surface defects.

One approach to dealing with the normal fluctuation is to show projections as a range. For example, the projected level from Figure 10-1 for an LRT system with train speeds of 50 mph is about 72 VdB at a distance of 60 feet from the track centerline, just at the threshold for acceptable ground-borne vibration for residential land uses. To help illustrate the normal fluctuation, the projected level of ground-borne vibration might be given as 67 to 72 VdB. This approach is not recommended since it tends to confuse the interpretation of whether or not the projected vibration levels exceed the impact threshold. However, because actual levels of ground-borne vibration will sometimes differ substantially from the projections, some care must be taken when interpreting projections. Some guidelines are given below:

1. Projected vibration is below the impact threshold. Vibration impact is unlikely in this case.
2. Projected ground-borne vibration is 0 to 5 decibels greater than the impact threshold. In this range there is still a significant chance that actual ground-borne vibration levels will be below the impact threshold. In this case, the impact would be reported in the environmental document as exceeding the applicable threshold and a commitment would be made to conduct more detailed studies to refine the vibration impact analysis during final design and determine appropriate mitigation, if necessary. A site-specific Detailed Analysis may show that vibration control measures are not needed.
3. Projected ground-borne vibration is 5 decibels or more greater than the impact threshold. Vibration impact is probable and Detailed Analysis will be needed during final design to help determine appropriate vibration control measures.

The two most important factors that must be accounted for in a General Assessment are the type of vibration source (the mode of transit) and the vibration propagation characteristics. It is well known that there are situations where ground-borne vibration propagates much more efficiently than normal. The result is unacceptable vibration levels at distances two to three times the normal distance. Unfortunately, the geologic conditions that promote efficient propagation have not been well documented and are not fully understood. Shallow bedrock or stiff clay soil often are involved. One possibility is that shallow bedrock acts to keep the vibration energy near the surface. Much of the energy that would normally radiate down is directed back towards the surface by the rock layer with the result that the ground surface vibration is higher than normal.

The selection of a base curve depends on the mode of rail transit under consideration. Appropriate correction factors are then added to account for any unusual propagation characteristics. For less common modes such as magnetically-levitated vehicles (maglev), monorail, or automated guideway transit (AGT), it is necessary to either make a judgment about which curve and adjustment factors best fit the mode or to develop new estimates of vibration level as a function of distance from the track. For

example, the vibration from a rubber-tire monorail that will be operating on aerial guideway can be approximated using the bus/rubber tire systems with the appropriate adjustment for the aerial structure. Another example is a magnetic levitation system. Most of the data available on the noise and vibration characteristics of maglev vehicles comes from high-speed systems intended for inter-city service. Even though there is no direct contact between the vehicle and the guideway, the dynamic loads on the guideway can generate ground-borne vibration. Measurements on a German high-speed maglev resulted in ground-borne vibrations at 75 mph comparable to the base curve for rubber-tired vehicles at 30 mph.⁽³⁾ Considerations for selecting a base curve are discussed below:

- **Intercity Passenger Trains:** Although intercity passenger trains can be an important source of environmental vibration, it is rare that they are significant for FTA-funded projects unless a new transit mode will use an existing rail alignment. When a new transit line will use an existing rail alignment, the changes in the intercity passenger traffic can result in either positive or negative impacts. Unless there are specific data available on the ground-borne vibration created by the train operations, the upper curve in Figure 10-1 should be used for intercity passenger trains.
- **Locomotive-Powered Commuter Rail:** The locomotive curve from Figure 10-1 should be used for any commuter rail system powered by either diesel or electric locomotives. The locomotives often create vibration levels that are 3 to 8 decibels higher than those created by the passenger cars. Self-powered electric commuter rail trains can be considered to be similar to rapid transit vehicles. Although they are relatively rare in the U.S., self-powered diesel multiple units (DMU's) create vibration levels somewhere between rapid transit vehicles and locomotive-powered passenger trains. When the axle loads and suspension parameters of a particular DMU are comparable to typical rapid transit vehicles, the rapid transit curve in Figure 10-1 can be used for that mode.
- **Subway Heavy Rail:** Complaints about ground-borne vibration are more common near subways than near at-grade track. This is not because subways create higher vibration levels than at-grade systems - rather it is because subways are usually located in high-density areas in close proximity to building foundations. When applied to subways, the rapid transit curve in Figure 10-1 assumes a relatively lightweight bored concrete tunnel in soil. The vibration levels will be lower for heavier subway structures such as cut-and-cover box structures and stations.
- **At-Grade Heavy Rail or LRT:** The available data show that heavy rail and light rail transit vehicles create similar levels of ground-borne vibration. This is not surprising since the vehicles have similar suspension systems and axle loads. Light-rail systems tend to have fewer problems with ground-borne vibration because of the lower operating speeds. Similar to the subway case, an adjustment factor must be used if the transit vehicle has a primary suspension that is stiff in the vertical direction.
- **Intermediate Capacity Transit:** The vibration levels created by an intermediate capacity transit system or an AGT system will depend on whether the vehicles have steel wheels or rubber wheels. If they have steel wheels, the transit car curve in Figure 10-1 should be used with appropriate adjustments for operating speed. The bus/rubber tire curve should be used for rubber-tired ICT systems.

- **Bus/Rubber Tire:** Rubber-tire vehicles rarely create ground-borne vibration problems unless there is a discontinuity or bump in the road that causes the vibration. The curve in Figure 10-1 shows the vibration level for a typical bus operating on smooth roadway.

10.2 ADJUSTMENTS

Once the base curve has been selected, the adjustments in Table 10-1 can be used to develop vibration projections for specific receiver positions inside buildings. All of the adjustments are given as single numbers to be added to, or subtracted from, the base level. The adjustment parameters are speed, wheel and rail type and condition, type of track support system, type of building foundation, and number of floors above the basement level. It should be recognized that many of these adjustments are strongly dependent on the frequency spectrum of the vibration source and the frequency dependence of the vibration propagation. The single number values are suitable for generalized evaluation of the vibration impact and vibration mitigation measures since they are based on typical vibration spectra. However, the single number adjustments are not adequate for detailed evaluations of impact of sensitive buildings or for detailed specification of mitigation measures. Detailed Analysis requires consideration of the relative importance of different frequency components.

**Table 10-1. Adjustment Factors for Generalized Predictions of
Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise**

<i>Factors Affecting Vibration Source</i>				
Source Factor	Adjustment to Propagation Curve		Comment	
Speed	Vehicle Speed	Reference Speed		Vibration level is approximately proportional to $20 \cdot \log(\text{speed}/\text{speed}_{\text{ref}})$. Sometimes the variation with speed has been observed to be as low as 10 to 15 $\log(\text{speed}/\text{speed}_{\text{ref}})$.
		50 mph	30 mph	
	60 mph	+1.6 dB	+6.0 dB	
	50 mph	0.0 dB	+4.4 dB	
	40 mph	-1.9 dB	+2.5 dB	
	30 mph	-4.4 dB	0.0 dB	
20 mph	-8.0 dB	-3.5 dB		
Vehicle Parameters (not additive, apply greatest value only)				
Vehicle with stiff primary suspension	+8 dB		Transit vehicles with stiff primary suspensions have been shown to create high vibration levels. Include this adjustment when the primary suspension has a vertical resonance frequency greater than 15 Hz.	
Resilient Wheels	0 dB		Resilient wheels do not generally affect ground-borne vibration except at frequencies greater than about 80 Hz.	
Worn Wheels or Wheels with Flats	+10 dB		Wheel flats or wheels that are unevenly worn can cause high vibration levels. This can be prevented with wheel truing and slip-slide detectors to prevent the wheels from sliding on the track.	
Track Conditions (not additive, apply greatest value only)				
Worn or Corrugated Track	+10 dB		If both the wheels and the track are worn, only one adjustment should be used. Corrugated track is a common problem. Mill scale on new rail can cause higher vibration levels until the rail has been in use for some time.	
Special Trackwork	+10 dB		Wheel impacts at special trackwork will significantly increase vibration levels. The increase will be less at greater distances from the track.	
Jointed Track or Uneven Road Surfaces	+5 dB		Jointed track can cause higher vibration levels than welded track. Rough roads or expansion joints are sources of increased vibration for rubber-tire transit.	
Track Treatments (not additive, apply greatest value only)				
Floating Slab Trackbed	-15 dB		The reduction achieved with a floating slab trackbed is strongly dependent on the frequency characteristics of the vibration.	
Ballast Mats	-10 dB		Actual reduction is strongly dependent on frequency of vibration.	
High-Resilience Fasteners	-5 dB		Slab track with track fasteners that are very compliant in the vertical direction can reduce vibration at frequencies greater than 40 Hz.	

Table 10-1. Adjustment Factors for Generalized Predictions of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise (Continued)

Factors Affecting Vibration Path				
Path Factor	Adjustment to Propagation Curve		Comment	
Resiliently Supported Ties	-10 dB		Resiliently supported tie systems have been found to provide very effective control of low-frequency vibration.	
Track Configuration (not additive, apply greatest value only)				
Type of Transit Structure	Relative to at-grade tie & ballast:		The general rule is the heavier the structure, the lower the vibration levels. Putting the track in cut may reduce the vibration levels slightly. Rock-based subways generate higher-frequency vibration.	
	Elevated structure	-10 dB		
	Open cut	0 dB		
	Relative to bored subway tunnel in soil:			
	Station	-5 dB		
	Cut and cover	-3 dB		
	Rock-based	-15 dB		
Ground-borne Propagation Effects				
Geologic conditions that promote efficient vibration propagation	Efficient propagation in soil		+10 dB	Refer to the text for guidance on identifying areas where efficient propagation is possible.
	Propagation in rock layer	Dist.	Adjust.	
		50 ft	+2 dB	The positive adjustment accounts for the lower attenuation of vibration in rock compared to soil. It is generally more difficult to excite vibrations in rock than in soil at the source.
		100 ft	+4 dB	
		150 ft	+6 dB	
200 ft	+9 dB			
Coupling to building foundation	Wood Frame Houses		-5 dB	The general rule is the heavier the building construction, the greater the coupling loss.
	1-2 Story Masonry		-7 dB	
	3-4 Story Masonry		-10 dB	
	Large Masonry on Piles		-10 dB	
	Large Masonry on Spread Footings		-13 dB	
	Foundation in Rock		0 dB	
Factors Affecting Vibration Receiver				
Receiver Factor	Adjustment to Propagation Curve		Comment	
Floor-to-floor attenuation	1 to 5 floors above grade:		This factor accounts for dispersion and attenuation of the vibration energy as it propagates through a building.	
	5 to 10 floors above grade:			
		-2 dB/floor		
		-1 dB/floor		
Amplification due to resonances of floors, walls, and ceilings			+6 dB	The actual amplification will vary greatly depending on the type of construction. The amplification is lower near the wall/floor and wall/ceiling intersections.
Conversion to Ground-borne Noise				
Noise Level in dBA	Peak frequency of ground vibration:		Use these adjustments to estimate the A-weighted sound level given the average vibration velocity level of the room surfaces. See text for guidelines for selecting low, typical or high frequency characteristics. Use the high-frequency adjustment for subway tunnels in rock or if the dominant frequencies of the vibration spectrum are known to be 60 Hz or greater.	
	Low frequency (<30 Hz):			
	Typical (peak 30 to 60 Hz):			
	High frequency (>60 Hz):			
		-50 dB		
		-35 dB		
		-20 dB		

Without careful consideration of the shape of the actual vibration spectra, an inappropriate vibration control measure may be selected that could actually cause an increase in the vibration levels.

The following guidelines are used to select the appropriate adjustment factors. Note that the adjustments for wheel and rail condition are not cumulative. The general rule-of-thumb to use when more than one adjustment may apply is to apply only the largest adjustment. For example: the adjustment for jointed track is 5 decibels and the adjustment for wheel flats is 10 decibels. In an area where there is jointed track and many vehicles have wheel flats, the projected vibration levels should be increased by 10 decibels, not 15 decibels.

- **Train Speed:** The levels of ground-borne vibration and noise vary approximately as 20 times the logarithm of speed. This means that doubling train speed will increase the vibration levels approximately 6 decibels and halving train speed will reduce the levels by 6 decibels. Table 10-1 tabulates the adjustments for reference vehicle speeds of 30 mph for rubber-tired vehicles and 50 mph for steel-wheel vehicles. The following relationship should be used to calculate the adjustments for other speeds.

$$adjustment(dB) = 20 \times \log \left(\frac{speed}{speed_{ref}} \right)$$

- **Vehicle:** The most important factors for the vehicles are the suspension system, wheel condition, and wheel type. Most new heavy rail and light rail vehicles have relatively soft primary suspensions. However, experience in Atlanta, New York, and other cities has demonstrated that a stiff primary suspension (vertical resonance frequency greater than 15 Hz) can result in higher than normal levels of ground-borne vibration. Vehicles for which the primary suspension consists of a rubber or neoprene "donut" around the axle bearing usually have a very stiff primary suspension with a vertical resonance frequency greater than 40 Hz.

Deteriorated wheel condition is another factor that will increase vibration levels. It can be assumed that a new system will have vehicles with wheels in good condition. However, when older vehicles will be used on new track, it may be appropriate to include an adjustment for wheel condition. The reference curves account for wheels without defects, but wheels with flats or corrugations can cause vibration levels that are 10 VdB higher than normal. Resilient wheels will reduce vibration levels at frequencies greater than the effective resonance frequency of the wheel. Because this resonance frequency is relatively high, often greater than 80 Hz, resilient wheels usually have only a marginal effect on ground-borne vibration.

It is important to use only one of the adjustments in this category, the greatest one that applies.

- **Track System and Support:** This category includes the type of rail (welded, jointed or special trackwork), the track support system, and the condition of the rail. The base curves all assume good-condition welded rail. Jointed rail causes higher vibration levels than welded rail; the amount higher depends on the condition of the joints. The wheel impacts at special trackwork, such as frogs at crossovers, create much higher vibration forces than normal. Because of the higher vibration levels at special trackwork, crossovers often end up being the principal areas of vibration impact on new systems. Modifying the track support system is one method of mitigating the vibration impact. Special track support systems such as ballast mats, high-resilience track fasteners, resiliently supported ties, and floating slabs have all been shown to be effective in reducing vibration levels.

The condition of the running surface of the rails can strongly affect vibration levels. Factors such as corrugations, general wear, or mill scale on new track can cause vibration levels that are 5 to 15 decibels higher than normal. Mill scale will usually wear off after some time in service; however, the track must be ground to remove corrugations or to reduce the roughness from wear.

Again, apply only one of the adjustments.

Roadway surfaces in the case of rubber-tired systems are assumed to be smooth. Rough washboard surfaces, bumps or uneven expansion joints are the types of running surface defects that cause increased vibration levels over the smooth road condition.

- **Transit Structure:** The weight and size of a transit structure affects the vibration radiated by that structure. The general rule-of-thumb is that vibration levels will be lower for heavier transit structures. Hence, the vibration levels from a cut-and-cover concrete double-box subway can be assumed to be lower than the vibration from a lightweight concrete-lined bored tunnel. The vibration from elevated structures is lower than from at-grade track because of the mass and damping of the structure and the extra distance that the vibration must travel before it reaches the receiver. Elevated structures in automated guideway transit applications sometimes are designed to bear on building elements. These are a special case and may require detailed design considerations.
- **Propagation Characteristics:** In the General Assessment it is necessary to make a selection among the general propagation characteristics. For a subway, the selection is a fairly straightforward choice of whether or not the subway will be founded in bedrock. Bedrock is considered to be hard rock. It is usually appropriate to consider soft siltstone and sandstone to be more similar to soil than hard rock. As seen in Table 10-1, whether the subway is founded in soil or rock can be a 15 VdB difference in the vibration levels.

When considering at-grade vibration sources, the selection is between "normal" vibration propagation and "efficient" vibration propagation. Efficient vibration propagation results in approximately 10 decibels higher vibration levels. This more than doubles the potential impact zone for ground-borne vibration. One of the problems with identifying the cause of efficient propagation is the difficulty in determining whether higher than normal vibration levels are due to geologic conditions or due to special source conditions (e.g. rail corrugations or wheel flats).

Although it is known that geologic conditions have a significant effect on the vibration levels, it is rarely possible to develop more than a broad-brush understanding of the vibration propagation

characteristics for a General Assessment. The conservative approach would be to use the 10-decibel adjustment for efficient propagation to evaluate all potential vibration impact. The problem with this approach is that it tends to greatly overstate the potential for vibration impact. Hence, it is best to review available geological data and any complaint history from existing transit lines and major construction sites near the transit corridor to identify areas where efficient propagation is possible. If there is any reason to suspect efficient propagation conditions, then a Detailed Analysis during final design would include vibration propagation tests at the areas identified as potentially efficient propagation sites.

Some geologic conditions are repeatedly associated with efficient propagation. Shallow bedrock, less than 30 feet below the surface, is likely to have efficient propagation. Other factors that can be important are soil type and stiffness. In particular, stiff clayey soils have sometimes been associated with efficient vibration propagation. Investigation of soil boring records can be used to estimate depth to bedrock and the presence of problem soil conditions.

A factor that can be particularly complex to address is the effect of vibration propagation through rock. There are three factors from Table 10-1 that need to be included when a subway structure will be founded in rock. First is the -15 decibel adjustment in the "Type of Transit Structure" category. Second is the adjustment based on the propagation distance in the "Geologic Conditions" category. This positive adjustment is applied to the distances shown in Figure 10-1; the adjustment increases with distance because vibration attenuates more slowly in rock than in the soil used as a basis for the reference curve. The third factor is in the "Coupling to Building" category. When a building foundation is directly on the rock layer, there is no "coupling loss" due to the weight and stiffness of the building. Use the standard coupling factors if there is at least a 10-foot layer of soil between the building foundation and the rock layer.

- **Type of Building and Receiver Location in Building:** Since annoyance from ground-borne vibration and noise is an indoor phenomenon, the effects of the building structure on the vibration must be considered. Wood frame buildings, such as the typical residential structure, are more easily excited by ground vibration than heavier buildings. In contrast, large masonry buildings with spread footings have a low response to ground vibration.

Vibration generally reduces in level as it propagates through a building. As indicated in Table 10-1, a 1- to 2-decibel attenuation per floor is usually assumed. Counteracting this, resonances of the building structure, particularly the floors, will cause some amplification of the vibration. Consequently, for a wood-frame structure, the building-related adjustments nearly cancel out. The adjustments for the first floor assuming a basement are: -5 decibels for the coupling loss; -2 decibels for the propagation from the basement to the first floor; and +6 decibels for the floor amplification. The total adjustment in this case is -1 decibel.

- **Vibration to Ground-Borne Noise Adjustment:** It is possible to estimate the levels of radiated noise given the average vibration amplitude of the room surfaces (floors, walls and ceiling), and the total acoustical absorption in the room. The unweighted sound pressure level is approximately equal to the vibration velocity level when the velocity level is referenced to 1×10^{-6} inches/second.

However, to estimate the A-weighted sound level from the velocity level, it is necessary to have some information about the frequency spectrum. The A-weighting adjustment drops rapidly at low frequencies, reflecting the relative insensitivity of human hearing to low frequencies. For example, A-weighting is -16 dB at 125 Hz, -26 dB at 60 Hz and -40 dB at 30 Hz. Table 10-1 provides adjustments for vibration depending on whether it has low-frequency, typical or high-frequency characteristics. Some general guidelines for classifying the frequency characteristics are:

- Low Frequency: Low-frequency vibration characteristics can be assumed for subways surrounded by cohesiveless sandy soil or whenever a vibration isolation track support system will be used. Low-frequency characteristics can be assumed for most surface track.
- Typical: The typical vibration characteristic is the default assumption for subways. It should be assumed for subways until there is information indicating that one of the other assumptions is appropriate. It should be used for surface track when the soil is very stiff with a high clay content.
- High Frequency: High-frequency characteristics should be assumed for subways whenever the transit structure is founded in rock or when there is very stiff clayey soil.

10.3 INVENTORY OF VIBRATION-IMPACTED LOCATIONS

This chapter includes generalized curves for surface vibration for different transit modes along with adjustments to apply for specific operating conditions and buildings. The projected levels are then compared with the criteria in Chapter 8 to determine whether vibration impact is likely. The results of the General Assessment are expressed in terms of an inventory of all sensitive land uses where either ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise from the project may exceed the impact thresholds. The General Assessment may include a discussion of mitigation measures which would likely be needed to reduce vibration to acceptable levels.

The purpose of the procedure is to develop a reasonably complete inventory of the buildings that may experience ground-borne vibration or noise that exceed the impact criteria. At this point, it is preferable to make a conservative assessment of the impact. That is, it is better to include some buildings where ground-borne vibration may be below the impact threshold than to exclude buildings where it may exceed the impact threshold. The inventory should be organized according to the categories described in Chapter 8. For each building where the projected ground-borne vibration or noise exceeds the applicable impact threshold, one or more of the vibration control options from Section 11.5 should be considered for applicability. See Section 11.4 for a more complete description of how the General Vibration Assessment fits into the overall procedure.

REFERENCES

1. H.J.Saurenman, J.T. Nelson, G.P. Wilson, *Handbook of Urban Rail Noise and Vibration Control*, prepared under contract to U.S. Department of Transportation, Transportation Systems Center, Report UMTA-MA-06-0099-82-2, February 1982.
2. International Organization for Standardization, "Mechanical vibration – Ground-borne noise and vibration arising from rail systems," ISO/FDIS 14837-1:2005.
3. U.S. Department of Transportation, Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, "Vibration Characteristics of the Transrapid TR08 Maglev System," Report No. DOT-VNTSC-FRA-02-06, March 2002.

11. DETAILED VIBRATION ANALYSIS

The goal of the Detailed Analysis is to use all available tools to develop accurate projections of potential ground-borne vibration impact and, when necessary, to design mitigation measures. This is appropriate when the General Assessment has indicated impact and the project has entered the final design and engineering phase. It may also be appropriate to perform a Detailed Analysis at the outset when there are particularly sensitive land uses within the screening distances. Detailed Analysis will require developing estimates of the frequency components of the vibration signal, usually in terms of 1/3-octave-band spectra. Analytical techniques for solving vibration problems are complex and the technology continually advances. Consequently, the approach presented in this chapter focuses on the key steps usually taken by a professional in the field.

Three examples of cases where a Detailed Vibration Analysis might be required are:

Example 1: A particularly sensitive building such as a major concert hall is within the impact zone. A Detailed Analysis would ensure that effective vibration mitigation is feasible and economically reasonable.

Example 2: The General Assessment indicates that a proposed commuter rail project has the potential to create vibration impact for a large number of residential buildings adjacent to the alignment. The projections for many of the buildings exceed the impact threshold by less than 5 decibels, which means that more accurate projections may show that vibration levels will be below the impact criterion. Detailed Analysis will refine the impact assessment and help determine whether mitigation is needed.

Example 3: A transit alignment will be close to university research buildings where vibration-sensitive optical instrumentation is used. Vibration from the trains could make it impossible to continue using the building for this type of research. A Detailed Analysis would determine if it is possible to control the vibration from the trains such that sensitive instrumentation will not be affected.

A Detailed Vibration Analysis consists of three parts:

1. **Survey Existing Vibration.** Although knowledge of the existing levels of ground-borne vibration is not usually required for the assessment of vibration impact, there are times when a survey of the existing vibration is valuable. Examples include documenting existing background vibration at sensitive buildings, measuring the vibration levels created by sources such as existing rail lines, and, in some cases, characterizing the general background vibration in the project corridor. Characterizing the existing vibration is discussed in Section 11.1.
2. **Predict Future Vibration and Vibration Impact.** All of the available tools should be applied in a Detailed Analysis to develop the best possible estimates of the potential for vibration impact. Section 11.2 discusses an approach to projecting ground-borne vibration that involves performing tests to characterize vibration propagation at sites where significant impact is probable. Section 11.3 describes the vibration propagation test procedure and Section 11.4 discusses the assessment of vibration impact.
3. **Develop Mitigation Measures.** Controlling the impact from ground-borne vibration requires developing cost-effective measures to reduce the vibration levels. The Detailed Analysis helps to select practical vibration control measures that will be effective at the dominant vibration frequencies and compatible with the given transit structure and track support system. Vibration mitigation measures are discussed in Section 11.5.

The discussion in this chapter generally assumes that detailed vibration analysis applies to a steel-wheel/rail system. The procedures could be adapted to bus systems. However, this is rarely necessary because vibration problems are very infrequent with rubber-tired transit.

11.1 CHARACTERIZING EXISTING VIBRATION CONDITIONS

Environmental vibration is rarely of sufficient magnitude to be perceptible or cause audible ground-borne noise unless there is a specific vibration source close by, such as a rail line. In most cases, feelable vibration inside a building is caused by equipment or activities within the building itself, such as heating and ventilation systems, footsteps or doors closing. Because the existing environmental vibration is usually below human perception, a limited vibration survey is sufficient even for a Detailed Analysis. This contrasts with analysis of noise impact where documenting the existing ambient noise level is required to assess the impact.

Examples of situations where measurements of the ambient vibration are valuable include:

- **Determining existing vibration at sensitive buildings:** Serious vibration impact may occur when there are vibration-sensitive manufacturing, research, or laboratory activities within the screening distances. Careful documentation of the pre-existing vibration provides valuable information on the

real sensitivity of the activity to external vibration and gives a reference condition under which vibration is not a problem.

- **Using existing vibration sources to characterize propagation:** Existing vibration sources such as freight trains, industrial processes, quarrying operations, or normal traffic sometimes can be used to characterize vibration propagation. Carefully designed and performed measurements may eliminate the need for more complex propagation tests.
- **Documenting existing levels of general background:** Some measurements of the existing levels of background vibration can be useful simply to document that, as expected, the vibration is below the normal threshold of human perception. Existing vibration in urban and suburban areas is usually due to traffic. If a measurement site has existing vibration approaching the range of human perception (e.g., the maximum vibration velocity levels are greater than about 65 VdB), then this site should be carefully evaluated for the possibility of efficient vibration propagation. Areas with efficient vibration propagation could have vibration problems when the project is built.
- **Documenting vibration from existing rail lines:** Measurements to document the levels of vibration created by existing rail lines can be important in evaluating the impact of the new vibration source and determining vibration propagation characteristics in the area. As discussed in Chapter 8, if vibration from an existing rail line will be higher than that from the proposed transit trains, there may not be impact even though the normal impact criterion would be exceeded.

Although ground-borne vibration is almost exclusively a problem inside buildings, measurements of existing ambient vibration generally should be performed outdoors. Two important reasons for this are: (1) equipment inside the building may cause more vibration than exterior sources, and (2) the building structure and the resonances of the building can have strong, but difficult to predict, effects on the vibration. However, there are some cases where measurements of indoor vibration are important. Documenting the vibration levels inside a vibration-sensitive building can be particularly important since equipment and activities inside the building sometimes cause vibration greater than that due to external sources such as street traffic or aircraft overflights. Floor vibration measurements are taken near the center of a floor span where the vibration amplitudes are the highest.

The goal of most ambient vibration tests is to characterize the root mean square (rms) vertical vibration velocity level at the ground surface. In almost all cases it is sufficient to measure only vertical vibration and ignore the transverse components of the vibration. Although transverse components can transmit significant vibration energy into a building, the vertical component usually has greater amplitudes than transverse vibration. Moreover, vertical vibration is usually transmitted more efficiently into building foundations than transverse vibration.

The manner in which a transducer is mounted can affect the measured levels of ground-borne vibration. However, at the frequencies usually of concern for ground-borne vibration (less than about 200 Hz), straightforward methods of mounting transducers on the ground surface or on pavement are adequate for vertical vibration measurements. Quick-drying epoxy or beeswax is often used to mount transducers to smooth paved surfaces or to metal stakes driven into the ground. Rough concrete or rock surfaces require

special mountings. One approach is to use a liberal base of epoxy to attach small aluminum blocks to the surface and then mount the transducers on the aluminum blocks.

Selecting sites for an ambient vibration survey requires good common sense. Sites selected to characterize a transit corridor should be distributed along the entire project and should be representative of the types of vibration environments found in the corridor. This would commonly include:

- measurements in quiet residential areas removed from major traffic arterials to characterize low-ambient vibrations;
- measurements along major traffic arterials and highways or freeways to characterize high-vibration areas;
- measurements in any area with vibration-sensitive activities; and
- measurements at any significant existing source of vibration such as railroad lines.

The transducers should be located near the building setback line for background vibration measurements. Ambient measurements along railroad lines ideally will include: multiple sites; several distances from the rail line at each site; and 4 to 10 train passbys for each test. Because of the irregular schedule for freight trains and the low number of operations each day, it is often impractical to perform tests at more than two or three sites along the rail line or to measure more than two or three passbys at each site. Rail type and condition strongly affect the vibration levels. Consequently, it is important to inspect the track at each measurement site to locate any switches, bad rail joints, corrugations, or other factors that could be responsible for higher than normal vibration levels.

The appropriate methods of characterizing ambient vibration are dependent on the type of information required for the analysis. Following are some examples:

- **Ambient Vibration:** Ambient vibration is usually characterized with a continuous 10- to 30-minute measurement of vibration. The L_{eq} of the vibration velocity level over the measurement period gives an indication of the average vibration energy. L_{eq} is equivalent to a long averaging time rms level. Specific events can be characterized by the maximum rms level (L_{max}) of the event or by performing a statistical analysis of rms levels over the measurement period. An rms averaging time of 1 second should be used for statistical analysis of the vibration level.
- **Specific Events:** Specific events such as train passbys should be characterized by the rms level during the time that the train passes by. If the locomotives have vibration levels more than 5 dB higher than the passenger or freight cars, a separate rms level for the locomotives should be obtained. The locomotives can usually be characterized by the L_{max} during the train passby. The rms averaging time or time constant should be 1 second when determining L_{max} . Sometimes it is adequate to use L_{max} to characterize the train passby, which is simpler to obtain than the rms averaged over the entire train passby.
- **Spectral Analysis:** When the vibration data will be used to characterize vibration propagation or for other special analysis, a spectral analysis of the vibration is required. An example would be if

vibration transmission of the ground is suspected of having particular frequency characteristics. For many analyses, 1/3-octave band charts are best for describing vibration behavior. Narrowband spectra also can be valuable, particularly for identifying pure tones and designing specific mitigation measures.

Note that it is preferable that ambient vibration be characterized in terms of the root mean square (rms) velocity level, not the peak particle velocity (ppv) as is commonly used to monitor construction vibration. As discussed in Chapter 7, rms velocity is considered more appropriate than ppv for describing human response to building vibration.

11.2 VIBRATION PREDICTION PROCEDURE

Predicting ground-borne vibration associated with a transportation project continues to be a developing field. Because ground-borne vibration is a complex phenomenon that is difficult to model and predict accurately, most projection procedures that have been used for transit projects rely on empirical data. The procedure described in this section is based on site-specific tests of vibration propagation. Developed under an FTA-funded research contract,⁽¹⁾ this procedure is recommended for detailed evaluations of ground-borne vibration. There have been other approaches to a prediction procedure including some that use pure numerical methods. For example, approaches using finite elements are being used to estimate ground-borne vibration from subway tunnels, but most numerical approaches are still in the early stages of development.

11.2.1 Overview of Prediction Procedure

The prediction method described in this section was developed to allow the use of data collected in one location to accurately predict vibration levels in another site where the geologic conditions may be completely different. The procedure is based on using a special measured function, called *transfer mobility*. Transfer mobility measured at an existing transit system is used to normalize ground-borne vibration data and remove the effects of geology. The normalized vibration is referred to as the force density. The force density can be combined with transfer mobility measurements at sensitive sites along a new project to develop projections of future ground-borne vibration.

Transfer mobility represents the relationship between a vibration source that excites the ground and the resulting vibration of the ground surface. It is a function of both frequency and distance from the source. The transfer mobility between two points completely defines the composite vibration propagation characteristics between the two points. In most practical cases, receivers are close enough to the train tracks that the vibration cannot be considered to be originating from a single point. The vibration source must be modeled as a line-source. Consequently, the point transfer mobility must be modified to account for a line-source. In the following text, TM_{point} is used to indicate the measured point-source transfer mobility and TM_{line} is used for the line-source transfer mobility derived from TM_{point} .




Figure 11-1. Block Diagram of Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Model

The prediction procedure considers ground-borne vibration to be divided into several basic components as shown schematically in Figure 11-1. The components are:

1. **Excitation Force.** The vibration energy is created by oscillatory and impulsive forces. Steel wheels rolling on smooth steel rails create random oscillatory forces. When a wheel encounters a discontinuity such as a rail joint, an impulsive force is created. The force excites the transit structure, such as the subway tunnel, or the ballast for at-grade track. In the prediction method, the combination of the actual force generated at the wheel/rail interface and the vibration of the transit structure are usually combined into an equivalent force density level. The force density level describes the force that excites the soil/rock surrounding the transit structure.
2. **Vibration Propagation.** The vibration of the transit structure causes vibration waves in the soil that propagate away from the transit structure. The vibration energy can propagate through the soil or rock in a variety of wave forms. All ground vibration includes shear and compression waves. In addition, Rayleigh waves, which propagate along the ground surface, can be a major carrier of vibration energy. The mathematical modeling of vibration is complicated when, as is usually the case, there are soil strata with different elastic properties. As indicated in Figure 11-1, the

propagation through the soil/rock is modeled using the transfer mobility, which is usually determined experimentally.

The combination of the force density level and the transfer mobility is used to predict the ground-surface vibration. Here is the essential difference between the General and Detailed approaches: the projection process is simplified in a General Assessment by going directly to generalized estimates of the ground-surface vibration.

3. **Building Vibration.** When the ground vibration excites a building foundation, it sets the building into vibration motion and starts vibration waves propagating throughout the building structure. The interaction between the ground and the foundation causes some reduction in vibration levels. The amount of reduction is dependent on the mass and stiffness of the foundation. The more massive the foundation, the lower the response to ground vibration. As the vibration waves propagate through the building, they can create feelable vibration and can cause annoying rattling of windows and decorative items either hanging or on shelves.
4. **Audible Noise.** In addition to feelable vibration, the vibration of room surfaces radiates low-frequency sound that may be audible. As indicated in Figure 11-1, the sound level is affected by the amount of acoustical absorption in the receiver room.

A fundamental assumption of the prediction approach outlined here is that the force density, transfer mobility, and the building coupling to the ground are all independent factors. The following equations are the basis for the prediction procedure where all of the quantities are one-third octave band spectral levels in decibels with consistent reference values:

$$L_v = L_F + TM_{line} + C_{build}$$

$$L_A = L_v + K_{rad} + K_{A-wt}$$

where:

L_v = rms vibration velocity level,

L_A = A-weighted sound level,

L_F = force density for a line vibration source such as a train,

TM_{line} = line-source transfer mobility from the tracks to the sensitive site,

C_{build} = adjustments to account for ground–building foundation interaction and attenuation of vibration amplitudes as vibration propagates through buildings,

K_{rad} = adjustment to account for conversion from vibration to sound pressure level including accounting for the amount of acoustical absorption inside the room (A value of zero can be used for K_{rad} for typical residential rooms when the decibel reference value for L_v is 1 micro in./sec.⁽¹⁾),

K_{A-wt} = A-weighting adjustment at the 1/3-octave band center frequency.

All of the quantities given above are functions of frequency. The standard approach to dealing with the frequency dependence is to develop projections on a 1/3-octave band basis using the average values for each 1/3-octave band. The end results of the analysis are the 1/3-octave band spectra of the ground-borne vibration and the ground-borne noise. The spectra are then applied to the vibration criteria for Detailed Analysis. The A-weighted ground-borne noise level can be calculated from the vibration spectrum. This more detailed approach is in contrast to the General Assessment where the overall vibration velocity level and A-weighted sound level are predicted without any consideration of the particular frequency characteristics of the propagation path.

11.2.2 Major Steps in Detailed Analysis

The major steps in performing a Detailed Analysis are intended to obtain quantities for the equations given above. These are:

1. Develop estimates of the force density. The estimate of force density can be based on previous measurements or a special test program can be designed to measure the force density at an existing facility. If no suitable measurements are available, testing should be done at a transit facility with equipment similar to the planned vehicles. Adjustments for factors such as train speed, track support system, and vehicle suspension may be needed to match the force density to the conditions at a specific site. Some appropriate adjustments can be found in the report "State-of-the-Art Review: Prediction and Control of Ground-Borne Noise and Vibration from Rail Transit Trains."⁽²⁾
2. Measure the point-source transfer mobility at representative sites. The transfer mobility is a function of both frequency and distance from the source. Point-source transfer mobility is used for sources with short lengths, such as single vehicles or columns supporting elevated structures.
3. Use numerical integration to estimate a line-source transfer mobility from the point-source transfer mobilities. Line-source transfer mobility is applicable to long sources like trains.
4. Combine force density and line-source transfer mobility to project ground-surface vibration.
5. Add adjustment factors to estimate the building response to the ground-surface vibration and to estimate the A-weighted sound level inside buildings.

The two key elements of the transfer mobility procedure are a measured force function that represents the vibration energy put into the ground and a measured transfer mobility that characterizes the propagation of the vibration from the source to the receiver. The unit of force density is force divided by square root of train length, represented here in decibels relative to $1 \text{ lb}/(\text{ft})^{1/2}$. The force density represents an incoherent line of vibration force equal to the length of transit trains. The process of estimating force density from train vibration and transfer mobility tests is discussed in Section 11.3. Figure 11-2 shows some trackbed force densities that have been developed from measurements of vibration from heavy and light rail transit vehicles. This figure provides a comparison of the vibration forces from heavy commuter trains and light rail transit vehicles with different types of primary suspensions illustrating the range of vibration forces commonly experienced in a transit system. A force density of a vehicle includes the characteristics of its track support system at the measurement site. Adjustments must be made to the force density to account for differences between the facility where the force density was measured and the new system being analyzed.




Figure 11-2. Typical Force Densities for Rail Transit Vehicles, 40 mph

The key elements of the vibration prediction procedure are implementation of field tests to measure the transfer mobility and the subsequent use of transfer mobility to characterize vibration propagation. The process of measuring transfer mobility involves impacting the ground and measuring the resulting vibration pulse at various distances from the impact. Standard signal-processing techniques are used to determine the transfer function, or frequency response function, between the exciting force and the resultant ground-surface vibration. Numerical regression methods are used to combine a number of two-point transfer functions into a smooth point-source transfer mobility that represents the average vibration propagation characteristics of a site as a function of both distance from the source and frequency. The transfer mobility is usually expressed in terms of a group of 1/3-octave band transfer mobilities. This processing is performed after transferring the data to a computer. Figure 11-3 shows the point-source transfer mobilities from a series of tests at the Transportation Technology Center in Pueblo, Colorado.^(3,4,5,6)

Once the point-source transfer mobility has been defined, the line-source transfer mobility can be calculated using numerical integration techniques. This process has been described in a Transportation Research Board paper.⁽¹⁾ Figure 11-4 shows the line-source transfer mobilities that were derived from the point-source transfer mobilities shown in Figure 11-3. The line-source transfer mobilities are used to normalize measured vibration velocity levels from train passbys and to obtain force density.




Figure 11-3. Example of Point-Source Transfer Mobility




Figure 11-4. Example of Line-Source Transfer Mobility

The propagation of vibration from the building foundation to the receiver room is a very complex problem dependent on the specific design of the building. Detailed evaluation of the vibration propagation would require extensive use of numerical procedures such as the finite element method. Such a detailed evaluation is generally not practical for individual buildings considered in this manual. The propagation of vibration through a building and the radiation of sound by vibrating building surfaces is consequently estimated using simple empirical or theoretical models. The recommended procedures are outlined in the *Handbook of Urban Rail Noise and Vibration Control*.⁽⁷⁾ The approach consists of adding the following adjustments to the 1/3-octave band spectrum of the projected ground-surface vibration:

1. **Building response or coupling loss.** This represents the change in the incident ground-surface vibration due to the presence of the building foundation. The adjustments in the *Handbook*, are shown in Figure 11-5. Note that the correction is zero when estimating basement floor vibration or vibration of at-grade slabs. Measured values may be used in place of these generic adjustments.
2. **Transmission through the building.** The vibration amplitude typically decreases as the vibration energy propagates from the foundation through the remainder of the building. The normal assumption is that vibration attenuates by 1 to 2 dB for each floor.
3. **Floor resonances.** Vibration amplitudes will be amplified because of resonances of the floor/ceiling systems. For a typical wood-frame residential structure, the fundamental resonance is usually in the 15- to 20-Hz range. Reinforced-concrete slab floors in modern buildings will have fundamental resonance frequencies in the 20- to 30- Hz range. An amplification resulting in a gain of approximately 6 dB should be used in the frequency range of the fundamental resonance.

The projected floor vibration is used to estimate the levels of ground-borne noise. The primary factors affecting noise level are the average vibration level of the room surfaces and the amount of acoustical absorption within the room. As discussed above, the radiation adjustment is zero for typical rooms, which gives:

$$L_A \approx L_v + K_{A-wt}$$

where L_A is the A-weighted sound level in a 1/3-octave band, L_v is the vibration velocity level in that band, and K_{A-wt} is the A-weighting adjustment at the center frequency of the 1/3-octave band. The A-weighted levels in the 1/3-octave bands are then combined to give the overall A-weighted sound level.




Figure 11-5. Foundation Response for Various Types of Buildings

11.3 MEASURING TRANSFER MOBILITY AND FORCE DENSITY

The test procedure to measure transfer mobility basically consists of dropping a heavy weight on the ground and measuring the force into the ground and the response at several distances from the impact. The goal of the test is to create vibration pulses that travel from the source to the receiver using the same path that will be taken by the transit system vibration. The transfer mobility expresses the relationship between the input force and the ground-surface vibration.

Figure 11-6 illustrates the field procedure for at-grade and subway testing of transfer mobility. A weight is dropped from a distance of 3 to 4 feet onto a force transducer. The responses of the force and vibration transducers are recorded on a multichannel tape recorder for later analysis in the laboratory. An alternative approach is to set up the analysis equipment in the field and capture the signals directly. This complicates the field testing but eliminates the laboratory analysis of tape-recorded data.




Figure 11-6. Test Configuration for Measuring Transfer Mobility

When the procedure is applied to subways, the force must be located at the approximate depth of the subway. This is done by drilling a bore hole and locating the force transducer at the bottom of the hole. The tests are usually performed at the same time that the bore holes are drilled. This allows using the soil-sampling equipment on the drill rig for the transfer mobility testing. The force transducer is attached to the bottom of the drill string and lowered to the bottom of the hole. A standard soil sampling hammer, which is usually a 140-pound weight dropped 18 inches onto a collar attached to the drill string, is used to excite the ground. The force transducer must be capable of operating under water if the water table is near the surface or a slurry drilling process is used.

11.3.1 Instrumentation

Performing a transfer mobility test requires specialized equipment. Most of the equipment is readily available from commercial sources. A load cell can be used as the force transducer. The force transducer should be capable of impact loads of 5,000 to 10,000 pounds. For borehole testing, the load cell must be hermetically sealed and capable of being used at the bottom of a 30- to 100-foot-deep hole partially filled with water. Typical instrumentation for the field-testing and laboratory analysis of transfer mobility is shown in Figure 11-7. Either accelerometers or geophones can be used as the vibration transducers. The




Figure 11-7. Equipment Required for Field Testing and Laboratory Analysis

requirement is that the transducers with the associated amplifiers be capable of accurately measuring levels of 0.0001 in./sec at 40 Hz and have a flat frequency response from 6 Hz to 400 Hz. Data must be acquired (either with digital audio tape or an alternative digital acquisition system) with a flat frequency response over the range of 6 to 400 Hz.

A narrowband spectrum analyzer or signal-processing software can be used to calculate the transfer function and coherence between the force and vibration data. The analyzer must be capable of capturing impulses from at least two channels to calculate the frequency spectrum of the transfer function between the force and vibration channels. All transfer functions should include the average of at least 20 impulses. The averaging of the impulses will provide significant signal enhancement, which is usually required to accurately characterize the transfer function. Signal enhancement is particularly important when the vibration transducer is more than 100 feet from the impact.

Transfer mobility may also be measured using other methods. One such method involves producing maximum-length sequence (MLS) force impulses with a tactile transducer. Signal-processing software is then used to calculate the transfer function from the MLS forces and measured vibrations. The MLS measurement method uses a pseudo-random binary sequence as the signal and has the advantage of increasing the signal-to-noise ratio of the measurement.

The laboratory equipment in Figure 11-7 shows using either a spectrum analyzer or signal-processing software to calculate the transfer function. Specialized multi-channel spectrum analyzers have built-in capabilities for computing transfer functions. The use of a spectrum analyzer has the advantage of being computationally efficient. On the other hand, signal-processing software can offer more flexibility in analyzing data signals and allows the use of different digital signal processing methods such as the MLS. Typical measurement programs involve acquisition of data in the field and later processing of the information in a laboratory. However, recent advances in instrumentation and signal-processing software allow data to be collected and analyzed while in the field.




Figure 11-8. Analysis of Transfer Mobility

11.3.2 Analysis of Transfer Mobility Data

Two different approaches have been used to develop estimates of line-source transfer mobility. The first consists of using lines of transducers and the second consists of a line of impact positions. The steps to develop line-source transfer mobility curves from tests using one or more lines of transducers are shown in Figure 11-8. The procedure starts with the narrowband transfer function between source and receiver at each measurement position. There should be a minimum of four distances in any test line. Because of the possibility of local variations in propagation characteristics, if at all possible, two or more lines should be used to characterize a site. A total of 10 to 20 transducer positions are often used to characterize a site.

The first step in the analysis procedure is to calculate the equivalent 1/3-octave band transfer functions. This reduces each spectrum to 15 numbers. As shown in Figure 11-8, the 1/3-octave band spectrum is much smoother than the narrowband spectrum. The next step is to calculate a best-fit curve of transfer

mobility as a function of distance for each 1/3-octave band. When analyzing a specific site, the best-fit curve will be based on 10 to 20 points. Up to several hundred points could be used to determine average best-fit curves for a number of sites.

The 1/3-octave band best-fit curves can be directly applied to point vibration sources. Buses can usually be considered to be point-sources, as can columns supporting elevated structures. However, for a line vibration source such as a train, numerical integration must be used to calculate an equivalent line-source transfer mobility. The numerical integration procedures are detailed in Reference 1.

The second procedure for estimating line-source transfer mobility, shown schematically in Figure 11-9, is best for detailed assessment of specific vibration paths or specific buildings. The vibration transducers are located at specific points of interest and a line of impacts is used. For example, a 165-foot train might be represented by a line of 11 impact positions along the track centerline at 15-foot intervals. It is possible to sum the point-source results using Simpson's rule for numerical integration to directly calculate line-source transfer mobility. This is a considerably more direct approach than is possible with lines of vibration transducers.




Figure 11-9. Schematic of Transfer Mobility Measurements Using a Line of Impacts

11.3.3 Deriving Force Density

Force Density is not a quantity that can be measured directly; it must be inferred from measurements of transfer mobility and train vibration at the same site. For deriving force density, the best results are achieved by deriving line-source transfer mobility from a line of impacts. The force density for each 1/3-octave band is then simply:

$$L_F = L_v - TM_{line}$$

where L_F is the force density, L_v is measured train ground-borne vibration, and TM_{line} is the line-source transfer mobility. The standard approach is to use the average force density from measurements at three or more positions.

11.4 ASSESSMENT OF VIBRATION IMPACT

The goals of the vibration assessment are to inventory all sensitive land uses that may be adversely impacted by the ground-borne vibration and noise from the proposed project and to determine the mitigation measures that will be required to eliminate or minimize the impact. This requires projecting the levels of ground-borne vibration and noise, comparing the projections with the impact criteria, and developing a list of suitable mitigation measures. Note that the General Assessment is incorporated as an intermediate step in the impact assessment because of its relative simplicity and potential to narrow the areas where Detailed Analysis needs to be done.

The assessment of vibration impact should proceed according to the following steps:

1. Screen the entire proposed transit alignment to identify areas where there is the potential of impact from ground-borne vibration. The vibration screening procedure is described in Chapter 9. If no sensitive land uses are within the screening distances, it is not necessary to perform any further assessment of ground-borne vibration.
2. Define the curves of ground-surface vibration level as a function of distance that can be used with the General Assessment. Usually this will mean selecting the appropriate curve from Chapter 10 for the proposed transit mode. For less common transit modes, it may be necessary to make measurements at an existing facility.
3. Use the General Assessment procedure to estimate vibration levels for specific buildings or groups of buildings. The projected levels are compared with the impact criteria for General Vibration Assessment (Tables 8-1 and 8-2) to determine whether vibration impact is likely. The goal of this step is to develop a reasonably accurate catalog of the buildings that will experience ground-borne vibration or noise levels that exceed the criteria. Applying the impact criteria for the General Assessment will result in a conservative assessment of the impact. That is, it is possible that some buildings that are identified as impacted may not be impacted under a more detailed analysis. However, at this stage it is better to include some buildings that may not be impacted than to

exclude some buildings that are likely to be impacted. In locations where the General Assessment indicates impact, the more refined techniques of Detailed Analysis would be employed.

4. In some cases it will be necessary to perform a vibration survey to characterize existing ambient vibration. As discussed in Section 11.1, although knowledge of the existing ambient vibration is not generally required to evaluate vibration impact, there are times when a survey of existing conditions is valuable. One common example is when a rail transit project will be located in an existing railroad right-of-way shared by freight trains. Chapter 8 includes some guidelines on how to account for existing vibration that is higher than the impact limit for the project vibration.
5. For areas where the General Assessment impact criteria are exceeded, review potential mitigation measures and assemble a list of feasible approaches to vibration control. To be feasible, the measure, or combination of measures, must be capable of providing a significant reduction of the vibration levels, at least 5 dB, while being reasonable from the standpoint of the added cost. The impact assessment and review of mitigation measures are preliminary at this point because vibration control is frequency-dependent, and specific recommendations of vibration control measures can be made only after evaluating the frequency characteristics of the vibration.
6. Use the Detailed Vibration Analysis to refine the impact assessment and to develop detailed vibration mitigation measures where needed. It is usually necessary to project vibration spectra at buildings which will be affected at levels higher than the impact thresholds (refer to Section 8.2). This type of assessment is normally performed as part of final design rather than during the environmental impact assessment stage. Because a Detailed Analysis is more accurate than a General Assessment, there will be times that the Detailed Analysis will show that the ground-borne vibration and noise levels will be below the applicable criteria and that mitigation is not required. If the projected levels are still above the limits, the spectra provided by the Detailed Analysis will be needed to evaluate vibration control approaches.

11.5 VIBRATION MITIGATION

The purpose of vibration mitigation is to minimize the adverse effects that the project ground-borne vibration will have on sensitive land uses. Because ground-borne vibration is not as common a problem as environmental noise, the mitigation approaches have not been as well defined. In some cases it has been necessary to develop innovative approaches to control the impact. Among the successful examples are the floating-slab systems that were developed for the San Francisco and Toronto rapid transit systems. However, the vibration control measures developed for rail transit systems are not effective for freight trains. The heavy axle loads associated with freight rail are outside the range of applicable design parameters for vibration reduction on lighter rail transit systems. Consequently the discussion in this section pertains to rail transit systems, not freight railroads. Any plan to relocate existing railroad tracks closer to vibration-sensitive sites in order to accommodate a new rail transit line in the right-of-way must be carefully considered since the increased vibration impact from freight trains will have to be borne by the community.

Although the focus is on rail systems in this section, there are very infrequent problems caused by buses and in these instances, the solution is rather straightforward. When buses do cause annoying ground-borne vibration, it is usually clear that the source of the problem is roadway roughness or unevenness caused by bumps, pot holes, expansion joints, or driveway transitions. Smoothing the roadway surface will usually solve the problem. In cases where a rubber-tired system runs inside a building, such as an airport people mover, vibration control may involve additional measures besides ensuring a smooth guideway. Loading and unloading of guideway support beams may generate dynamic forces that transmit into the building structure. Special guideway support systems may be required, similar to the discussion below regarding floating slabs.

The importance of adequate wheel and rail maintenance in controlling levels of ground-borne vibration cannot be overemphasized. Problems with rough wheels or rails can increase vibration levels by as much as 20 dB in extreme cases, negating the effects of even the most effective vibration control measures. It is rare that practical vibration control measures will provide more than 15 to 20 dB attenuation. When there are ground-borne vibration problems with existing transit equipment, the best vibration control measure often is to implement new or improved maintenance procedures. Grinding rough or corrugated rail and wheel truing to eliminate wheel flats and restore the wheel contour may provide more vibration reduction than would be obtainable from completely replacing the existing track system with floating slabs.

Given that the track and vehicles are in good condition, the options for further reductions in the vibration levels fit into one of seven categories: (1) maintenance procedures, (2) location and design of special trackwork, (3) vehicle modifications, (4) changes in the track support system, (5) building modifications, (6) adjustments to the vibration transmission path, and (7) operational changes.

Vibration reduction measures incur additional costs to a system. Some of the same treatments for noise mitigation can be considered for vibration mitigation. Costs for noise control measures are documented in a report from the Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP).⁽⁸⁾ Where applicable to vibration reduction, costs for noise abatement methods from that report are given in the following discussion.

- **Maintenance:** As discussed above, effective maintenance programs are essential for controlling ground-borne vibration. When the wheel and rail surfaces are allowed to degrade the vibration levels can increase by as much as 20 dB compared to a new or well-maintained system. Some maintenance procedures that are particularly effective at avoiding increases in ground-borne vibration are:
 - Rail grinding on a regular basis. Rail grinding is particularly important for rail that develops corrugations. The TCRP report notes that periodic rail grinding actually results in a net savings per year on wheel and rail wear. Most transit systems contract out rail grinding, although some of the larger systems make the investment of approximately \$1 million for the equipment and do their own grinding. Contractors typically charge a fixed amount per day for the equipment on site, plus an amount per pass-mile (one pass of the grinding machine for one mile). Typical fixed amounts would be \$15,000 per day and \$1000 per pass-mile.

- Wheel truing to re-contour the wheel, provide a smooth running surface, and remove wheel flats. The most dramatic vibration reduction results from removing wheel flats. However, significant improvements also can be observed simply from smoothing the running surface. A wheel truing machine costs approximately \$1 million. The TCRP report figures a system with 700 vehicles would incur a yearly cost of \$300,000 to \$400,000 for a wheel truing program.
 - Implement vehicle reconditioning programs, particularly when components such as suspension system, brakes, wheels, and slip-slide detectors will be involved. A slip-slide control system costs approximately \$5,000 to \$10,000 per vehicle, with a maintenance cost of \$200 per year.
 - Install wheel-flat detector systems to identify vehicles which are most in need of wheel truing. These systems are becoming more common on railroads and intercity passenger systems, but are relatively rare on transit systems. Therefore the costs are yet to be determined.
- **Planning and Design of Special Trackwork:** A large percentage of vibration impact from a new transit facility is often caused by wheel impacts at the special trackwork for turnouts and crossovers. When feasible, the most effective vibration control measure is to relocate the special trackwork to a less vibration-sensitive area. Sometimes this requires adjusting the location by several hundred feet and will not have a significant adverse impact on the operation plan for the system. Careful review of crossover and turnout locations during the preliminary engineering stage is an important step to minimizing potential for vibration impact. Another approach is to use special devices at turnouts and crossovers, special "frogs," that incorporate mechanisms to close the gaps between running rails. Frogs with spring-loaded mechanisms and frogs with movable points can significantly reduce vibration levels near crossovers. According to the TCRP report, a spring frog costs about \$12,000, twice the cost of a standard frog. A movable point frog involves elaborate signal and control circuitry resulting in higher costs, approximately \$200,000.
 - **Vehicle Specifications:** The ideal rail vehicle, with respect to minimizing ground-borne vibration, should have a low unsprung weight, a soft primary suspension, a minimum of metal-to-metal contact between moving parts of the truck, and smooth wheels that are perfectly round. A limit for the vertical resonance frequency of the primary suspension should be included in the specifications for any new vehicle. A vertical resonance frequency of 12 Hz or less is sufficient to control the levels of ground-borne vibration. Some have recommended that transit vehicle specifications require that the vertical resonance frequency be less than 8 Hz.
 - **Special Track Support Systems:** When the vibration assessment indicates that vibration levels will be excessive, it is usually the track support system that is changed to reduce the vibration levels. Floating slabs, resiliently supported ties, high-resilience fasteners, and ballast mats have all been used in subways to reduce the levels of ground-borne vibration. To be effective, all of these measures must be optimized for the frequency spectrum of the vibration. Most of these relatively standard

procedures have been successfully used on several subway projects. Applications on at-grade and elevated track are less common. This is because vibration problems are less common for at-grade and elevated track; cost of the vibration control measures is a higher percentage of the construction costs of at-grade and elevated track; and exposure to the elements can require significant design modifications.

Each of the major vibration control measures for track support is discussed below. Costs for these treatments are not covered by the TCRP report, but are given as estimates based on transit agency experience.

- Resilient Fasteners: Resilient fasteners are used to fasten the rail to concrete track slabs. Standard resilient fasteners are very stiff in the vertical direction, usually in the range of 200,000 lb/in., although they do provide vibration reduction compared to some of the rigid fastening systems used on older systems (e.g., wood half-ties embedded in concrete). Special fasteners with vertical stiffness in the range of 30,000 lb/in. will reduce vibration by as much as 5 to 10 dB at frequencies above 30 to 40 Hz. Premium fasteners cost approximately \$300 per track-foot, about 6 times the cost of standard fasteners.
- Ballast Mats: A ballast mat consists of a rubber or other type of elastomer pad that is placed under the ballast. The mat generally must be placed on a concrete pad to be effective. They will not be as effective if placed directly on the soil or the sub-ballast. Consequently, most ballast mat applications are in subway or elevated structures. Ballast mats can provide 10 to 15 dB attenuation at frequencies above 25 to 30 Hz. Ballast mats are often a good retrofit measure for existing tie-and-ballast track where there are vibration problems. Installed ballast mats cost approximately \$180 per track-foot.
- Resiliently Supported Ties: The resiliently supported tie system consists of concrete ties supported by rubber pads. The rails are fastened directly to the concrete ties using standard rail clips. Existing measurement data indicate that resiliently supported ties may be very effective in reducing low-frequency vibration in the 15 to 40 Hz range. This makes them particularly appropriate for transit systems with vibration problems in the 20 to 30 Hz range. A resiliently supported tie system costs approximately \$400 per track-foot. Although most commonly used in slab track or subway tunnel applications, another version of a resiliently supported tie system involves attaching thick rubber pads directly to the underside of ties in ballast. This treatment costs approximately the same as a ballast mat, or \$180 per track foot.
- Floating Slabs: Floating slabs can be very effective at controlling ground-borne vibration and noise. They basically consist of a concrete slab supported on resilient elements, usually rubber or a similar elastomer. A variant that was first used in Toronto and is generally referred to as the double tie system, consists of 5-foot-long slabs with 4 or more rubber pads under each slab. Floating slabs are effective at frequencies greater than their single-degree-of-freedom vertical resonance frequency. The floating slabs used in

Washington DC, Atlanta, and Boston were all designed to have a vertical resonance in the 14 to 17 Hz range. A special floating slab in San Francisco's BART system uses a very heavy design with a resonance frequency in the 5 to 10 Hz frequency range. The primary disadvantage of floating slabs is that they tend to be the most expensive of the vibration control treatments. A typical double-tie floating slab system costs approximately \$600 per track foot.

- Other Marginal Treatments: Changing any feature of the track support system can change the levels of ground-borne vibration. Approaches such as using heavier rail, thicker ballast, or heavier ties can be expected to reduce the vibration levels. There also is some indication that vibration levels are lower with wood ties compared to concrete ties. However, there is little confirmation that any of these approaches will make a significant change in the vibration levels. This is unfortunate since modifications to the ballast, rails, or ties are virtually the only options for normal at-grade, tie-and-ballast track without resorting to a different type of track support system or widening the right-of-way to provide a buffer zone.
- **Building Modifications:** In some circumstances, it is practical to modify the impacted building to reduce the vibration levels. Vibration isolation of buildings basically consists of supporting the building foundation on elastomer pads similar to bridge bearing pads. Vibration isolation of buildings is seldom an option for existing buildings; normal applications are possible only for new construction. This approach is particularly important for shared-use facilities such as office space above a transit station or terminal. When vibration-sensitive equipment such as electron microscopes will be affected by transit vibration, specific modifications to the building structure may be the most cost-effective method of controlling the impact. For example, the floor upon which the vibration-sensitive equipment is located could be stiffened and isolated from the remainder of the building to reduce the vibration. Alternatively, the equipment could be isolated from the building at far less cost.
- **Trenches:** Use of trenches to control ground-borne vibration is analogous to controlling airborne noise with sound barriers. Although this approach has not received much attention in the U.S., there are cases where a trench can be a practical method for controlling transit vibration from at-grade track. A rule-of-thumb given by Richert and Hall⁽⁹⁾ is that if the trench is located close to the source, the trench bottom must be at least 0.6 times the Rayleigh wavelength below the vibration source. For most soils, Rayleigh waves travel at around 600 ft/sec which means that the wavelength at 30 Hz is 20 ft. This means that the trench must be approximately 15 ft deep to be effective at 30 Hz.

A trench can be effective as a vibration barrier if it is either open or solid. The Toronto Transit Commission tested a trench filled with styrofoam to keep it open and reported successful performance over a period of at least one year. Solid barriers can be constructed with sheet piling or concrete poured into a trench.

- **Operational Changes:** The most obvious operational change is to reduce the vehicle speed. Reducing the train speed by a factor of two will reduce vibration levels approximately 6 dB. Other operational changes that can be effective in special cases are:
 - Use the equipment that generates the lowest vibration levels during the nighttime hours when people are most sensitive to vibration and noise.
 - Adjust nighttime schedules to minimize movements in the most sensitive hours.

While there are tangible benefits from speed reductions and limits on operations during the most sensitive time periods, these types of measures are usually not practical from the standpoint of service requirements. Furthermore, vibration reduction achieved through operating restrictions requires continuous monitoring and will be negated if vehicle operators do not adhere to established policies. As with the options for noise control, FTA does not recommend limits on operations as a way to reduce vibration impacts.

- **Buffer Zones:** Expanding the rail right-of-way sometimes will be the most economical method of reducing the vibration impact. A similar approach is to negotiate a vibration easement from the affected property owners, for example, a row of single-family homes adjacent to a proposed commuter rail line. However, there may be legal limitations on the ability of funding agencies to acquire land strictly for the purpose of mitigating vibration (or noise) impact.

REFERENCES

1. J.T. Nelson, H.J. Saurenman, "A Prediction Procedure for Rail Transportation Ground-Borne Noise and Vibration," *Transportation Research Record* 1143, August 1988.
2. J.T. Nelson, H.J. Saurenman, "State-of-the-Art Review: Prediction and Control of Ground-Borne Noise and Vibration from Rail Transit Trains," Report Number UMTA-MA-06-0049-83-4, December 1983.
3. H.J. Saurenman, J.T. Nelson, "Ground-Borne Vibration Tests with MARTA C-Car," report prepared for Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, November 16, 1981.
4. H.J. Saurenman, "Preliminary Results of Ground-Borne Vibration Tests with BRRT/Miami Vehicle," technical memorandum prepared for US DOT/Transportation Systems Center, contract DOT-TSC-1796, February 8, 1983.
5. H.J. Saurenman, "Ground-borne Vibration Tests with NFTA Prototype Vehicle at the Transportation Test Center," technical memorandum prepared for the Transportation Test Center, project P-83-C-01078, August 3, 1983.
6. H.J. Saurenman, "Noise and Vibration Tests with Portland Tri-Met Prototype Vehicle at the Transportation Test Center," technical memorandum prepared for the Transportation Test Center, project P-83-C-02649, March 26, 1984.
7. H.J. Saurenman, J.T. Nelson, G.P. Wilson, *Handbook of Urban Rail Noise and Vibration Control*, prepared under contract to US DOT/Transportation Systems Center, Report UMTA-MA-06-0099-82-2, February 1982.
8. Nelson, James T., "Wheel/Rail Noise Control Manual," *Transportation Cooperative Research Report* 23, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., 1997.
9. F. E. Richert and J. R. Hall, *Vibrations of Soils and Foundations*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1970.

12. NOISE AND VIBRATION DURING CONSTRUCTION

Construction often generates community noise/vibration complaints, even when it takes place over a limited time frame. In recent years, public concerns about construction noise and vibration have increased significantly, due partly to lengthy periods of heavy construction on some "mega-projects" and also to the increasing prevalence of nighttime construction that is undertaken to avoid disrupting workday road and rail traffic. Noise and vibration complaints typically arise from interference with people's activities, especially when the adjacent community has no clear understanding of the extent or duration of the construction. Misunderstandings can arise when the contractor is considered to be insensitive by the community, even though the contractor believes the work is being performed in compliance with local ordinances. This situation underscores the need for early identification and assessment of potential problem areas.

An assessment of noise and vibration impact during construction can be made by following procedures outlined in this chapter. The type of assessment – qualitative or quantitative – and the level of analysis will be determined based on the scale of the project and surrounding land use. In cases where a full quantitative assessment is not warranted, a qualitative assessment of the construction noise and vibration environment can lead to greater understanding and tolerance in the community. For major projects with extended periods of construction at specific locations, a quantitative assessment can aid contractors in making bids by allowing changes in construction approach and including mitigation costs before the construction plans are finalized.

12.1 CONSTRUCTION NOISE ASSESSMENT

Noise impacts from construction may vary greatly depending on the duration and complexity of the project. The level of detail of a construction noise assessment depends on the scale and the type of project and the stage of environmental review. Many small projects need no construction noise assessment at all.

Examples include installation of safety features like grade-crossing signals, track improvements within the right-of-way, and erecting small buildings and facilities which are similar in scale to the surrounding development. For projects like these, it would suffice to describe the length of time of construction, the loudest equipment to be used, expected truck access routes, and avoidance of nighttime activity.

Other projects involving a limited period of construction time – less than a month in a noise-sensitive area – may warrant a qualitative treatment because of nearby noise-sensitive land uses. In these cases, the assessment may simply be a qualitative description of the equipment to be used, the duration of construction, and any mitigation requirements placed on particularly noisy operations. Where the length of construction in noise-sensitive areas is expected to last for more than several months or particularly noisy equipment will be involved, then construction noise impacts may be determined in considerable detail. In any case, a likely scenario of the planned construction methods should be described in the environmental document. At this early stage it may be possible to describe certain basic measures that would be taken to reduce the potential impact, for example, prohibiting the noisiest construction activities during nighttime. However, it may be prudent to defer final decisions on noise control measures until the project and construction plans are defined in greater detail during final design.

Qualitative Assessments. In cases where a qualitative construction noise assessment is appropriate, the following descriptions would be included:

- Duration of construction (overall and at specific locations)
- Equipment expected to be used, e.g., noisiest operations
- Schedule with limits on times of operation, e.g., daytime use only
- Monitoring of noise
- Forum for communicating with the public
- Commitments to limit noise levels to certain levels, including any local ordinances that apply
- Consideration of application of noise control treatments used successfully in other projects

Community relations will be important in these cases; early information disseminated to the public about the kinds of equipment, expected noise levels and durations will help to forewarn potentially affected neighbors about the temporary inconvenience. In these cases, a general description of the variation of noise levels during a typical construction day may be helpful. The criteria in Section 12.1.3 are not applied to qualitative assessments.

Quantitative Assessments. Factors that influence the decision to perform a quantitative construction noise assessment include the following:

- Scale of the project
- Proximity of noise-sensitive land uses to the construction zones

- Number of noise-sensitive receptors in the project area
- Duration of construction activities near noise-sensitive receptors
- Schedule (the construction days, hours and time periods)
- Method (e.g., cut-and-cover vs. bored tunneling)
- Concern about construction noise expressed in comments by the general public (scoping, public meetings)

A quantitative construction noise assessment requires information about source levels, operations, proximity of noise sensitive locations, and criteria against which the levels will be compared. These elements of assessment are described in the following sections.

12.1.1 Quantitative Noise Assessment Methods

A quantitative construction noise assessment is performed by comparing the predicted noise levels with impact criteria appropriate for the construction stage. The approach requires an appropriate descriptor, a standardized prediction method and a set of recognized criteria for assessing the impact.

The *descriptor* used for construction noise is the L_{eq} . This unit is appropriate for the following reasons:

- It can be used to describe the noise level from operation of each piece of equipment separately and is easy to combine to represent the noise level from all equipment operating during a given period.
- It can be used to describe the noise level during an entire phase.
- It can be used to describe the average noise over all phases of the construction.

The recommended *method* for predicting construction noise impact for major transit projects requires:

- An emission model to determine the noise generated by the equipment at a reference distance.
- A propagation model that shows how the noise level will vary with distance.
- A way of summing the noise of each piece of equipment at locations of noise sensitivity.

The first two components of the method are related by the following equation:

$$L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10 \log(U.F.) - 20 \log(D/50) - 10G \log(D/50)$$

where: $L_{eq}(equip)$ is the L_{eq} at a receiver resulting from the operation of a single piece of equipment over a specified time period

$E.L.$ is the noise emission level of the particular piece of equipment at the reference distance of 50 feet, taken from Table 12-1

G is a constant that accounts for topography and ground effects, taken from Figure 6-5 (Chapter 6)

D is the distance from the receiver to the piece of equipment, and

$U.F.$ is a usage factor that accounts for the fraction of time that the equipment is in use over the specified time period.

The combination of noise from several pieces of equipment operating during the same time period is obtained from decibel addition of the L_{eq} of each single piece of equipment found from the above equation.

General Assessment

The approach can be as detailed as necessary to characterize the construction noise by specifying the various quantities in the equation. For projects in an early assessment stage when the equipment roster and schedule are undefined, only a rough estimate of construction noise levels is practical.

The following assumptions are adequate for a general assessment of each phase of construction:

- Full power operation for a time period of one hour is assumed because most construction equipment operates continuously for periods of one hour or more at some point in the construction period. Therefore, $U.F. = 1$, and $10 \log(U.F.) = 0$.
- Free-field conditions are assumed and ground effects are ignored. Consequently, $G = 0$.
- Emission level at 50 feet, E.L., is taken from Table 12-1.
- All pieces of equipment are assumed to operate at the center of the project, or centerline, in the case of a guideway or highway construction project.
- The predictions include only the two noisiest pieces of equipment expected to be used in each construction phase.

Detailed Assessment

A more detailed approach can be used if warranted, such as when a large number of noise-sensitive sites are adjacent to a construction project or where contractors are faced with stringent local ordinances or heightened public concerns expressed in early outreach efforts. Additional details include:

- **Duration.** Long-term construction project noise impact is based on a 30-day average L_{dn} , the times of day of construction activity (nighttime noise is penalized by 10 dB in residential areas), and the percentage of time the equipment is to be used during a period of time which will affect $U.F.$ For example, an 8-hour L_{eq} is determined by making $U.F.$ the percentage of time each individual piece of equipment operates under full power in that period. Similarly, the 30-day average L_{dn} is determined

from the U.F. expressed by the percentage of time the equipment is used during the daytime hours (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) and nighttime (10 p.m. to 7 a.m.), separately over a 30-day period. However, to account for increased sensitivity to nighttime noise, the nighttime percentage is multiplied by 10 before performing the computation.

- Site Characteristics. Taking into account the site topography, natural and man-made barriers and ground effects will involve the factor G. Use Figure 6-5 (Chapter 6) to calculate G.
- Noise Sources. Measuring or certifying the emission level of each piece of equipment will refine E.L.
- Site Layout. Determining the location of each piece of equipment while it is working will specify the distance factor D more accurately.
- Combined Sources. Including all pieces of equipment in the computation of the 8-hour L_{eq} and the 30-day average L_{dn} will determine the total noise levels using Table 6-11 (Chapter 6).

12.1.2 Noise from Typical Construction Equipment and Operations

The noise levels generated by construction equipment will vary greatly depending on factors such as the type of equipment, the specific model, the operation being performed, and the condition of the equipment. The equivalent sound level (L_{eq}) of the construction activity also depends on the fraction of time that the equipment is operated over the time period of construction. The dominant source of noise from most construction equipment is the engine, usually a diesel, often without sufficient muffling. In a few cases, such as impact pile-driving or pavement-breaking, noise generated by the process dominates.

For considerations of noise assessment, construction equipment can be considered to operate in two modes, stationary and mobile. Stationary equipment operates in one location for one or more days at a time, with either a fixed power operation (pumps, generators, compressors) or a variable noise operation (pile drivers, pavement breakers). Mobile equipment moves around the construction site with power applied in cyclic fashion (bulldozers, loaders), or to and from the site (trucks). The movement around the site is handled in the construction noise prediction procedure discussed earlier in this chapter. Variation in power imposes additional complexity in characterizing the noise source level from a piece of equipment. This is handled by describing the noise at a reference distance from the equipment operating at full power and adjusting it based on the duty cycle of the activity to determine the L_{eq} of the operation. Standardized procedures for measuring the exterior noise levels for the certification of mobile and stationary construction equipment have been developed by the Society of Automotive Engineers.^(1,2) Typical noise levels from representative pieces of equipment are listed in Table 12-1. These source levels can be used in FHWA's Windows-based screening tool, "Roadway Construction Noise Model" (RCNM), for the prediction of construction noise.⁽³⁾

Construction activities are characterized by variations in the power expended by equipment, with resulting variation in noise levels with time. Variation in the power is expressed in terms of the

previously mentioned "usage factor" of the equipment, which is the percentage of time during the workday that the equipment is operating at full power. Time-varying noise levels are converted to a single number (L_{eq}) for each piece of equipment during the operation. Besides having daily variations in activities, major construction projects are accomplished in several different phases. Each phase has a specific equipment mix depending on the work to be accomplished during that phase.

As a result of the equipment mix, each phase has its own noise characteristics; some have higher continuous noise levels than others, some have high impact noise levels. The purpose of the quantitative assessment is to determine not only the levels, but also the duration of the noise. The L_{eq} of each phase is determined by combining the L_{eq} contributions from each piece of equipment used in that phase. The impact and the consequent noise mitigation approaches depend on the criteria to be used in assessing impact, as discussed in the next section.

Equipment	Typical Noise Level (dBA) 50 ft from Source
Air Compressor	81
Backhoe	80
Ballast Equalizer	82
Ballast Tamper	83
Compactor	82
Concrete Mixer	85
Concrete Pump	82
Concrete Vibrator	76
Crane, Derrick	88
Crane, Mobile	83
Dozer	85
Generator	81
Grader	85
Impact Wrench	85
Jack Hammer	88
Loader	85
Paver	89
Pile-driver (Impact)	101
Pile-driver (Sonic)	96
Pneumatic Tool	85
Pump	76
Rail Saw	90
Rock Drill	98
Roller	74

Equipment	Typical Noise Level (dBA) 50 ft from Source
Saw	76
Scarifier	83
Scraper	89
Shovel	82
Spike Driver	77
Tie Cutter	84
Tie Handler	80
Tie Inserter	85
Truck	88

Table based on an EPA Report,⁽⁴⁾ measured data from railroad construction equipment taken during the Northeast Corridor Improvement Project, and other measured data.

12.1.3 Construction Noise Criteria

No standardized *criteria* have been developed for assessing construction noise impact. Consequently, criteria must be developed on a project-specific basis unless local ordinances can be found to apply. Generally, local noise ordinances are not very useful in evaluating construction noise. They usually relate to nuisance and hours of allowed activity and sometimes specify limits in terms of maximum levels, but are generally not practical for assessing the impact of a construction project. Project construction noise criteria should take into account the existing noise environment, the absolute noise levels during construction activities, the duration of the construction, and the adjacent land use. While it is not the purpose of this manual to specify standardized criteria for construction noise impact, the following guidelines can be considered reasonable criteria for assessment. If these criteria are exceeded, there may be adverse community reaction.

General Assessment

Estimate the combined noise level in one hour from the two noisiest pieces of equipment, assuming they both operate at the same time. Then identify locations where the level exceeds the following:

<u>Land Use</u>	<u>One-hour L_{eq} (dBA)</u>	
	<u>Day</u>	<u>Night</u>
Residential	90	80
Commercial	100	100
Industrial	100	100

Detailed Assessment

Where a more refined analysis is needed, predict the noise level in terms of 8-hour L_{eq} and 30-day averaged L_{dn} and compare to criteria in the following table:

<u>Land Use</u>	<u>8-hour L_{eq} (dBA)</u>		<u>L_{dn} (dBA)</u>
	<u>Day</u>	<u>Night</u>	<u>30-day Average</u>
Residential	80	70	75 ^(a)
Commercial	85	85	80 ^(b)
Industrial	90	90	85 ^(b)

^(a) In urban areas with very high ambient noise levels ($L_{dn} > 65$ dB), L_{dn} from construction operations should not exceed existing ambient + 10 dB.

^(b) Twenty-four-hour L_{eq} , not L_{dn} .

12.1.4 Mitigation of Construction Noise

After using the above approaches to locate potential impacts from construction noise, the next step is to identify appropriate control measures. Three categories of noise control approaches, with examples, are given below:

1. *Design considerations and project layout:*

- Construct noise barriers, such as temporary walls or piles of excavated material, between noisy activities and noise-sensitive receivers.
- Re-route truck traffic away from residential streets, if possible. Select streets with fewest homes if no alternatives are available.
- Site equipment on the construction lot as far away from noise-sensitive sites as possible.
- Construct walled enclosures around especially noisy activities or clusters of noisy equipment. For example, shields can be used around pavement breakers and loaded vinyl curtains can be draped under elevated structures.

2. *Sequence of operations:*

- Combine noisy operations to occur in the same time period. The total noise level produced will not be significantly greater than the level produced if the operations were performed separately.
- Avoid nighttime activities. Sensitivity to noise increases during the nighttime hours in residential neighborhoods.

3. *Alternative construction methods:*

- Avoid use of an impact pile driver where possible in noise-sensitive areas. Drilled piles or the use of a sonic or vibratory pile driver are quieter alternatives where the geological conditions permit their use.
- Use specially-quieted equipment, such as quieted and enclosed air compressors and properly-working mufflers on all engines.
- Select quieter demolition methods, where possible. For example, sawing bridge decks into sections that can be loaded onto trucks results in lower cumulative noise levels than impact demolition by pavement breakers.

If possible, the environmental impact assessment should include descriptions of how each impacted location will be treated with one or more mitigation measures. However, with a large, complex project, the information available during the preliminary engineering phase may not allow final decisions to be made on all specific mitigation measures. In such cases, it is appropriate to describe and commit to a mitigation plan that will be developed during final design. The objective of the plan should be to minimize construction noise using all reasonable (i.e., cost vs. benefit) and feasible (i.e., physically achievable) means available. Components of the plan may include some or all of the following provisions which would be specified in construction contracts:

- *Equipment noise emission limits.* These are absolute noise limits applied to generic classes of equipment at a reference distance (typically 50 feet). The limits should be set no higher than what is reasonably achievable for well-maintained equipment with effective mufflers. Lower limits that require source noise control may be appropriate for certain equipment when needed to minimize community noise impact, if reasonable and feasible. Provisions could also be included to require equipment noise certification testing prior to use on site.
- *Lot-line construction noise limits.* These are noise limits that apply at the lot line of specific noise-sensitive properties. The limits are typically specified in terms of both noise exposure (usually Leq over a 20-30 minute period) and maximum noise level. They should be based on local noise ordinances, if applicable, as well as pre-construction baseline noise levels; limits that are 3-5 decibels above the baseline are often used.
- *Operational and/or equipment restrictions.* It may be necessary to prohibit or restrict certain construction equipment and activities near residential areas during nighttime hours. This is particularly true for activities that generate tonal, impulsive or repetitive sounds, such as back-up alarms, hoe ram demolition and pile-driving.
- *Noise abatement requirements.* In some cases specifications may be provided for particular noise control treatments, based on the results of the design analysis and/or prior commitments made to the public by civic authorities. An example would be the requirement for a temporary noise barrier to shield a particular community area from noisy construction activities.

- *Noise monitoring plan requirements.* Plans can be developed for pre-project noise monitoring to establish baseline noise levels at sensitive locations, as well as for periodic equipment and lot-line noise monitoring during the construction period. The plan should outline the measurement and reporting methods that will be used to demonstrate compliance with the project noise limits.
- *Noise control plan requirements.* For major construction projects, specifications have required the preparation and submission of noise control plans on a periodic basis (e.g., every six months). These plans should predict the construction noise at noise-sensitive receptor locations based on the proposed construction equipment and methods. If the analysis predicts that the specified noise limits will be exceeded, the plan should specify the mitigation measures that will be applied and should demonstrate the expected noise reductions these measures will achieve. The objective of this proactive approach is to minimize the likelihood of community noise complaints by ensuring that any necessary mitigation measures are included in the construction plans.
- *Compliance enforcement program.* If construction noise is a significant issue in the community, it is important that a program be put in place to monitor contractor compliance with the noise control specifications and mitigation plan. It is best that this function be performed by a construction management team on behalf of the public agency.
- *Public information and complaint response procedures.* To maintain positive community relations, the public should be kept informed about the construction plans and efforts to minimize noise, and procedures should be established for prompt response and corrective action with regard to noise complaints during construction.

Most of these provisions are appropriate for very large projects where construction activity will continue for many months, if not years. References 4 and 5 contain details on dealing with construction noise on major transportation projects.^(5,6)

12.2 CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION ASSESSMENT

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground vibration, depending on the equipment and methods employed. Operation of construction equipment causes ground vibrations that spread through the ground and diminish in strength with distance. Buildings founded on the soil in the vicinity of the construction site respond to these vibrations, with varying results ranging from no perceptible effects at the lowest levels, low rumbling sounds and perceptible vibrations at moderate levels, and slight damage at the highest levels. As expressed previously in this chapter with respect to construction noise, the type of assessment – qualitative or quantitative – and the level of construction vibration analysis will be determined by factors related to the scale of the project and the sensitivity of the surrounding land use. A quantitative analysis should be conducted in cases where construction vibration may result in prolonged annoyance or building damage.

Ground vibrations from construction activities do not often reach the levels that can damage structures, but they can achieve the audible and feelable ranges in buildings very close to the site. A possible

exception is the case of fragile buildings, many of them old, where special care must be taken to avoid damage. The construction vibration criteria include special consideration for such buildings. The construction activities that typically generate the most severe vibrations are blasting and impact pile-driving.

In cases where prolonged annoyance or damage from construction vibrations are not expected, a qualitative assessment is appropriate. Such an assessment should include a description of the duration and the type of equipment to be used during the construction, with an explanation of how the ground-borne vibration will be maintained at an acceptable level. For example, if the equipment is of the type that generates little or no ground vibration – air compressors, light trucks, hydraulic loaders, etc. – a simple explanation is sufficient and no quantitative analysis is necessary.

12.2.1 Quantitative Construction Vibration Assessment Methods

Construction vibration should be assessed quantitatively in cases where there is significant potential for impact from construction activities. Such activities include blasting, pile-driving, vibratory compaction, demolition, and drilling or excavation in close proximity to sensitive structures. The recommended procedure for estimating vibration impact from construction activities is as follows:

Damage Assessment

- Select the equipment and associated vibration source levels at a reference distance of 25 feet from Table 12-2.
- Make the propagation adjustment according to the following formula (this formula is based on point sources with normal propagation conditions):

$$PPV_{equip} = PPV_{ref} \times (25/D)^{1.5}$$

where: PPV (equip) is the peak particle velocity in in/sec of the equipment adjusted for distance

PPV (ref) is the reference vibration level in in/sec at 25 feet from Table 12-2

D is the distance from the equipment to the receiver.

- Apply the vibration damage criteria from Table 12-3.

Annoyance Assessment

- If desired for consideration of annoyance or interference with vibration-sensitive activities, estimate the vibration level L_v at any distance D from the following equation and apply the vibration impact criteria for General Assessment in Chapter 8 for vibration-sensitive sites:

$$L_v(D) = L_v(25 \text{ ft}) - 30 \log(D/25)$$

12.2.2 Vibration Source Levels from Construction Equipment

Ground-borne vibration related to human annoyance is generally related to root mean square (rms) velocity levels expressed in VdB. However, a major concern with regard to construction vibration is building damage. Consequently, construction vibration is generally assessed in terms of peak particle velocity (PPV), as defined in Chapter 7.1.2. The relationship of PPV to rms velocity is expressed in terms of the "crest factor," defined as the ratio of the PPV amplitude to the rms amplitude. Peak particle velocity is typically a factor of 1.7 to 6 times greater than rms vibration velocity.

Various types of construction equipment have been measured under a wide variety of construction activities with an average of source levels reported in terms of velocity as shown in Table 12-2. In this table, a crest factor of 4 (representing a PPV-rms difference of 12 VdB) has been used to calculate the approximate rms vibration velocity levels from the PPV values. Although the table gives one level for each piece of equipment, it should be noted that there is a considerable variation in reported ground vibration levels from construction activities. The data provide a reasonable estimate for a wide range of soil conditions.

Equipment	PPV at 25 ft (in/sec)	Approximate L_v[†] at 25 ft
Pile Driver (impact)	upper range	1.518
	typical	0.644
Pile Driver (sonic)	upper range	0.734
	typical	0.170
Clam shovel drop (slurry wall)	0.202	94
Hydromill (slurry wall)	in soil	0.008
	in rock	0.017
Vibratory Roller	0.210	94
Hoe Ram	0.089	87
Large bulldozer	0.089	87
Caisson drilling	0.089	87
Loaded trucks	0.076	86
Jackhammer	0.035	79
Small bulldozer	0.003	58
† RMS velocity in decibels (VdB) re 1 micro-inch/second		

12.2.2 Construction Vibration Criteria

For evaluating potential annoyance or interference with vibration-sensitive activities due to construction vibration, the criteria for General Assessment in Chapter 8 can be applied. In most cases, however, the primary concern regarding construction vibration relates to potential damage effects. Guideline vibration damage criteria are given in Table 12-3 for various structural categories.⁽¹⁰⁾ In this table, a crest factor of 4 (representing a PPV-rms difference of 12 VdB) has been used to calculate the approximate rms vibration velocity limits from the PPV limits. These limits should be viewed as criteria that should be used during the environmental impact assessment phase to identify problem locations that must be addressed during final design.

Building Category	PPV (in/sec)	Approximate L_v [†]
I. Reinforced-concrete, steel or timber (no plaster)	0.5	102
II. Engineered concrete and masonry (no plaster)	0.3	98
III. Non-engineered timber and masonry buildings	0.2	94
IV. Buildings extremely susceptible to vibration damage	0.12	90

[†] RMS velocity in decibels (VdB) re 1 micro-inch/second

12.2.3 Construction Vibration Mitigation

After using the above methods to locate potential human impacts or building damage from construction vibrations, the next step is to identify control measures. Similar to the approach for construction noise, mitigation of construction vibration requires consideration of equipment location and processes, as follows:

1. *Design considerations and project layout:*
 - Route heavily-loaded trucks away from residential streets, if possible. Select streets with fewest homes if no alternatives are available.
 - Operate earth-moving equipment on the construction lot as far away from vibration-sensitive sites as possible.
2. *Sequence of operations:*
 - Phase demolition, earth-moving and ground-impacting operations so as not to occur in the same time period. Unlike noise, the total vibration level produced could be significantly less when each vibration source operates separately.

- Avoid nighttime activities. People are more aware of vibration in their homes during the nighttime hours.
3. *Alternative construction methods:*
- Avoid impact pile-driving where possible in vibration-sensitive areas. Drilled piles or the use of a sonic or vibratory pile driver causes lower vibration levels where the geological conditions permit their use (however, see cautionary note below).
 - Select demolition methods not involving impact, where possible. For example, sawing bridge decks into sections that can be loaded onto trucks results in lower vibration levels than impact demolition by pavement breakers, and milling generates lower vibration levels than excavation using clam shell or chisel drops.
 - Avoid vibratory rollers and packers near sensitive areas.

Pile-driving is one of the greatest sources of vibration associated with equipment used during construction of a project. The source levels in Table 12-2 indicate that sonic pile drivers may provide substantial reduction of vibration levels. However, there are some additional vibration effects of sonic pile drivers that may limit their use in sensitive locations. A sonic pile driver operates by continuously shaking the pile at a fixed frequency, literally vibrating it into the ground. Vibratory pile drivers operate on the same principle, but at a different frequency. However, continuous operation at a fixed frequency may be more noticeable to nearby residents, even at lower vibration levels. Furthermore, the steady-state excitation of the ground may induce a growth in the resonant response of building components. Resonant response may be unacceptable in cases of fragile buildings or vibration-sensitive manufacturing processes. Impact pile drivers, on the other hand, produce a high vibration level for a short time (0.2 seconds) with sufficient time between impacts to allow any resonant response to decay.

As with construction noise, in many cases the information available during the preliminary engineering phase will not be sufficient to define specific construction vibration mitigation measures. In such cases, it is appropriate to describe and commit to a mitigation plan that will be developed and implemented during the final design and construction phases of the project. The objective of the plan should be to minimize construction vibration damage using all reasonable and feasible means available. The plan should provide a procedure for establishing threshold and limiting vibration values for potentially affected structures based on an assessment of each structure's ability to withstand the loads and displacements due to construction vibrations. The plan should also include the development of a vibration monitoring plan during final design and the implementation of a compliance monitoring program during construction.

REFERENCES

1. Society of Automotive Engineers, "Exterior Sound Level Measurement Procedure for Powered Mobile Construction Equipment," SAE Recommended Practice J88a, 1976.
2. Society of Automotive Engineers, "Sound Levels for Engine Powered Equipment," SAE Standard J952b, 1976.
3. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. "FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model User's Guide," FHWA-HEP-05-054, January 2006. (Available on Web site: www.rcnm.us)
4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Noise from Construction Equipment and Operations, Building Equipment and Home Appliances," NTID300.1, December 31, 1971.
5. E. Thalheimer, "Construction noise control program and mitigation strategy at the Central Artery/Tunnel Project," *Noise Control Eng. J.* 48(5), September - October 2000, pp. 157 - 165.
6. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. "Highway Construction Noise Handbook," to be issued mid-year 2006.
7. D.J. Martin, "Ground Vibrations from Impact Pile Driving during Road Construction," Supplementary Report 544, United Kingdom Department of the Environment, Department of Transport, Transport and Road Research Laboratory, 1980.
8. J.F. Wiss, "Vibrations During Construction Operations," *Journal of Construction Division, Proc. American Society of Civil Engineers*, 100, No. CO3, pp. 239 - 246, September 1974.
9. J.F. Wiss, "Damage Effects of Pile Driving Vibrations," *Highway Research Record*, No. 155, Highway Research Board, 1967.
10. David A. Towers, "Ground-borne Vibration from Slurry Wall Trench Excavation for the Central Artery/Tunnel Project Using Hydromill Technology," *Proc. InterNoise 95*, Newport Beach, CA, July 1995.
11. Swiss Consultants for Road Construction Association, "Effects of Vibration on Construction," VSS-SN640-312a, Zurich, Switzerland, April 1992.

13. DOCUMENTATION OF NOISE AND VIBRATION ASSESSMENT

To be effective, the noise and vibration analysis must be presented to the public in a clear, yet comprehensive manner. The mass of technical data and information necessary to withstand scrutiny in the environmental review process must be documented in a way that remains intelligible to the public. Justification for all assumptions used in the analysis, such as selection of representative measurement sites and all baseline conditions, must be presented for review. For large-scale projects, the environmental document contains a condensation of essential information in order to maintain a reasonable size. For these projects, separate technical reports are usually prepared as supplements to the environmental impact statement (EIS) or environmental assessment (EA). For smaller projects, or ones with minimal noise or vibration impact, all the technical information may be presented in the environmental document itself. This chapter gives guidance on how the necessary noise and vibration information should be included in the project's environmental documentation.

13.1 THE TECHNICAL REPORT ON NOISE AND VIBRATION

A separate technical report is often prepared as a supplement to the environmental document (EIS or EA). A technical report is appropriate in cases when the wealth of data can not all be placed in the environmental document. The details of the analysis are important for establishing the basis for the assessment. Consequently, all the details in the technical report should be contained in a well-organized format for easy access to the information. While the technical report is not intended to be a primer on the subject, the technical data and descriptions should be presented in a manner that can be understood by the general public. All the necessary background information should be present in the technical report, including tables, maps, charts, drawings and references that may be too detailed for the environmental document, but which are important in helping to draw conclusions about the project's noise and vibration impacts and mitigation options.

13.1.1 Organization of Technical Report

The technical report on noise/vibration should contain the following major subject headings, along with the key information content described below. If both noise and vibration have been analyzed, it is generally preferable to separate the noise and vibration sections; as shown in this guidance manual, the approaches to the two topics are quite different.

- **Overview:** This section contains a brief description of the project and an overview of the noise/vibration concerns. It sets forth the initial considerations in framing the scope of the study.
- **Inventory of Noise/Vibration-Sensitive Sites:** The approach for selecting noise- and vibration-sensitive sites should be described in sufficient detail to demonstrate completeness. Sites and site descriptions are to be included.
- **Measurements of Existing Noise/Vibration Conditions:** The basis for selecting measurement sites should be documented, along with tables of sites coordinated with maps showing locations of sites. If the measurement data are used to estimate existing conditions at other locations, the rationale and the method should be included. Measurement procedures should be fully described. Tables of measurement instruments should include manufacturer, type, serial number and date of most recent calibration by authorized testing laboratory. Measurement periods, including time of day and length of time at each site should be shown to demonstrate adequate representation of the ambient conditions. The measurement data should be presented in well organized form in tables and figures. A summary and interpretation of measured data should be included.
- **Special Measurements Related to the Project:** Some projects require specialized measurements at sensitive sites, such as outdoor-to-indoor noise level reduction of homes, or transmission of vibrations into concert halls and recording studios. Other projects may need special source-level characterization. Full description of the measurements and the results should be included.
- **Predictions of Noise/Vibration from the Project:** The prediction model used for estimating future project conditions should be fully described and referenced. Any changes or extensions to the models recommended in this manual should be fully described so that the validity of the adjustments can be confirmed. Specific data used as input to the models should be listed. Computed levels should be tabulated and illustrated by contours, cross-sections or shaded mapping. It is important to illustrate noise/vibration impacts with base maps at a scale with enough detail to provide location reference for the reader.
- **Noise/Vibration Criteria:** Impact criteria for the project should be fully described and referenced (refer to Chapters 3 and 8). In addition, any applicable local ordinances should be described. Tables specifying the criteria levels should also be included. If the project involves considerable construction, and a separate construction noise and vibration analysis will be included, then construction criteria should appear in a separate section with its own assessment.
- **Noise/Vibration Impact Assessment:** The impact assessment should be described according to the procedures outlined in this manual. A resulting impact inventory should be presented for each alternative mode or alignment in a format that allows ready comparison among alternatives. The

inventory should be tabulated according to the different types of land uses affected. The results of the assessment may be presented both before and after mitigation.

- **Noise/Vibration Mitigation:** The mitigation section of the technical report should begin with a summary of all treatments considered, even if some are not carried to final consideration. Final candidate mitigation treatments should be considered separately with description of the features of the treatment, costs, expected benefit in reducing impacts, locations where the benefit would be realized and discussion of practicality of implementing alternative treatments. With respect to noise impacts, enough information is to be included to allow the project sponsor and FTA to reach decisions on mitigation prior to issuance of the final environmental document.
- **Construction Noise/Vibration Impacts:** Criteria adopted for construction noise or vibration should be described, if appropriate. According to Chapter 12, these may be adopted on a project-specific basis. The method used for predicting construction noise or vibration should be described along with inputs to the models, such as equipment roster by construction phase, equipment source levels, assumed usage factors and other assumed site characteristics. The predicted levels should be shown for sensitive sites and short-term impacts should be identified. In cases where construction impacts appear to be problematic, feasible abatement methods should be discussed in enough detail such that construction contract documents could include mitigation measures.
- **References:** References should be provided for all criteria, approaches and data used in the analyses, including other reports related to the project which may be relied on for information, e.g., geotechnical reports.

13.2 THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT

The environmental document typically includes noise and vibration information in three places: a section of the chapter on the affected environment (existing conditions) and two sections in the chapter on environmental consequences (the long-term impacts from operations and short-term impacts from construction activity). The noise and vibration information presented in the environmental document is a summary of the comprehensive information from the technical report with emphasis on presenting the salient points of the analysis in a format and style which affected property owners and other interested citizens can understand. Smaller projects may have all of the technical information contained within the environmental document, requiring special care in summarizing technical details to convey the information adequately.

The environmental document provides full disclosure of noise and vibration impacts, including identification of locations where impacts cannot be mitigated satisfactorily. An EIS describes significant impacts and tells what the Federal agency intends to do about them. For projects handled with EA's, completion of the environmental review with a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) may depend on mitigation being incorporated in the proposed project. The specific way mitigation is handled in the

environmental document depends on the type of impact (noise or vibration) and the stage of project development and environmental review.

In general, airborne noise impacts can be accurately predicted in the preliminary engineering stage. Since the environmental review for major investment projects is completed during preliminary engineering, it is possible to specify, and commit to implement, any needed noise mitigation measures in the final environmental document (Final EIS or FONSI). With major investments, as well as small projects like bus terminals and garages, it is expected that decisions on noise mitigation will be made before the final document is approved; thus timely development of design, feasibility and cost information needed to reach decisions on noise mitigation is essential. For major investments in the Alternatives Analysis/Draft EIS stage, the emphasis is not on mitigation but rather a broad comparison among the alternatives concerning the magnitude and extent of noise impacts. If it seems likely that mitigation would be required for at least some major investment alternatives, this can be discussed in a general way while touching on the remaining stages of project development and how decisions on mitigation fit in. Finally, there are other projects for which the preferred alternative is identified at the outset in the Draft EIS or EA. With the focus on a single alternative, noise impacts can be accurately identified in the draft document. If mitigation is needed, mitigation options should be explored in the draft; however firm decisions on mitigation can be deferred to the final document.

Predicting vibration impacts accurately is a more complex undertaking because ground-borne vibration may be strongly influenced by subsurface conditions. The geotechnical studies that reveal these conditions are normally undertaken during the final design stage after the NEPA process has been completed. Thus, for ground-borne vibration and noise, the final environmental document will usually not be able to state with certainty whether or not mitigation is needed. The final environmental document will rely on a General Assessment for ground-borne vibration and noise to identify potential problem areas. If there are such areas, there should be a commitment in the final document to conduct a Detailed Analysis during final design to complete the impact assessment and help determine the need for mitigation. The final environmental document should present a preliminary assessment using the vibration impact criteria for the General Assessment. If it appears the criteria cannot be met, the document would discuss various control measures that could be used and the likelihood that the criteria could be met through the use of one or more of the measures. It may be possible to state a commitment in the final environmental document to adhere to the impact criteria for the Detailed Analysis, while deferring the selection of specific vibration control measures until the completion of detailed studies in final design.

After a final environmental document is approved, the described mitigation measures are incorporated by reference in the actual grant agreements signed by FTA and the project sponsor. Thus, they become contractual conditions that must be adhered to by the project sponsor.

13.2.1 Organization of Noise and Vibration Sections of Environmental Documents

Chapter on Affected Environment (Existing Conditions)

This chapter describes the pre-project setting, including the existing noise and vibration conditions, that will likely be affected by one or more of the alternatives. The primary function of this chapter is to establish the focus and baseline conditions for later chapters discussing environmental impacts. Consequently, it is a good place to put basic information on noise and vibration descriptors and effects, as well as describing the characteristics in the vicinity of the project. Again, it is preferable to separate the noise and vibration sections.

- **Description of Noise/Vibration Descriptors, Effects and Typical Levels:** Information from Chapters 2 and 7 of this manual can be used to provide a background for the discussions of noise/vibration levels and characteristics to follow. Illustrative material to guide the reader in understanding typical levels is helpful.
- **Inventory of Noise/Vibration-Sensitive Sites:** The approach for selecting noise/vibration-sensitive sites should be described in sufficient detail to demonstrate completeness. Sites and site descriptions are to be included.
- **Noise/Vibration Measurements:** A summary of the site selection procedure should be included along with tables of sites coordinated with maps showing locations of sites. The measurement approach should be summarized with justification for the measurement procedures used. The measurement data should be presented in well organized form in tables and figures. To save space, the results are often included with the table of sites described above. In some cases, measurements may be supplemented or replaced by collected data relevant to the noise and vibration characteristics of the area. For example, soils information for estimating ground-borne vibration propagation characteristics may be available from other projects in the area. Fundamental to this section is a summary and interpretation of how the collected data define the project setting.

Chapter on Environmental Consequences.

The section on long-term impacts - the impacts due to operation of the project - should be organized according to the following order:

- **Overview of Approach:** A summary of the assessment procedure for determining noise/vibration impacts is provided as a framework for the following sections.
- **Estimated Noise/Vibration Levels:** A general description of prediction models used to estimate project noise/vibration levels should be provided. Any distinguishing features unique to the project, such as source levels associated with various technologies, should be described. The results of the predictions for various alternatives should be described in general terms first, followed by a detailed accounting of predicted noise levels. This information should be supplemented with tables and

illustrated by contours, cross-sections or shaded mapping. If contours are included in a technical report, then it is not necessary to repeat them here.

- **Criteria for Noise/Vibration Impact:** Impact criteria for the project should be fully described and referenced (refer to Chapters 3 and 8). In addition, any applicable local ordinances should be described. Tables listing the criterion levels should be included.
- **Impact Assessment:** The impact assessment can be a section by itself or can be combined with the section above. It is important to provide a description of locations where noise/vibration impact is expected to occur without implementation of mitigation measures, based on the predicted future levels, existing levels and application of the impact criteria. Inventory tables of impacted land uses should be used to quantify the impacts for comparisons among alternatives. The comprehensive list of noise/vibration-sensitive sites identified in the Affected Environment chapter should be included in this inventory table.
- **Noise/Vibration Mitigation Measures:** Perhaps the most significant difference between the technical report and the environmental document is in the area of mitigation. Whereas the technical report discusses options and may make recommendations, the environmental document provides the vehicle for reaching decisions on appropriate mitigation measures with consideration given to environmental benefits, feasibility and cost. This section should begin with a summary of the noise/vibration mitigation measures considered for the impacted locations. The specific measures selected for implementation should be fully described. Reasons for dismissing any abatement measures should also be clearly stated, especially if such non-implementation results in significant adverse effects. In cases where it is not possible to commit to a specific mitigation measure in the final environmental document, it may be possible to commit to a certain level of noise/vibration reduction, for example, adherence to the impact criteria specified in Chapters 3 and 8.
- **Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Effects:** If it is projected that adverse noise/vibration impacts will result after all reasonable abatement measures have been incorporated, these impacts are identified in this section.

Impacts During Construction

The environmental document may have a separate section on short-term impacts due to project construction, depending on the scale of the project. For a major project there may be a special section on construction noise/vibration impacts; this section should be organized according to the comprehensive outline described above. For projects with relatively minor effects, a briefer format should be utilized, with a section included in the chapter on Environmental Consequences.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. GLOSSARY OF TERMS^(1, 2)

A-weighting – A standardized filter used to alter the sensitivity of a sound level meter with respect to frequency so that the instrument is less sensitive at low and high frequencies where the human ear is less sensitive. Also written as dBA.

Accelerometer – A transducer that converts vibratory motion to an electrical signal proportional to the acceleration of that motion.

Ambient – The pre-project background noise or vibration level.

Amplitude – Difference between the extremes of an oscillating signal.

Alignment – The horizontal location of a railroad or transit system as described by curved and tangent track.

At-grade – Tracks on the ground surface.

Automated Guideway Transit (AGT) – Guided steel-wheel or rubber-tired transit passenger vehicles operating singly or in multi-car trains with a fully automated system on fixed guideways along an exclusive right-of-way. AGT includes personal rapid transit, group rapid transit and automated people mover systems.

Auxiliaries – The term applied to a number of separately driven machines, operated by power from the main engine or electric generation. They include the air compressor, radiator fan, traction motor blower, and air conditioning equipment.

Ballast mat – A 2- to 3-inch-thick elastomer mat placed under the normal track ballast on top of a rigid slab or packed sub-grade.

Ballast – Granular material placed on the trackbed for the purpose of holding the track in line and at surface.

Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) - A type of limited-stop bus operation that relies on technology to help speed up the service. Buses can operate on exclusive transitways, high-occupancy-vehicle lanes, expressways, or ordinary streets.

Catenary – On electric railroad and light rail transit systems, the term describing the overhead conductor that is contacted by the pantograph or trolley, and its support structure.

Commuter rail – Conventional passenger railroad serving areas surrounding an urban center. Most commuter railroads utilize locomotive-hauled coaches, often in push-pull configuration.

Consist – The total number and type of cars, locomotives, or transit vehicles in a trainset.

Continuous welded rail – A number of rails welded together to form unbroken lengths of track without gaps or joints.

Corrugated rail – A rough condition of alternating ridges and grooves which develops on the rail head in service.

Crest factor - The ratio of peak particle velocity to maximum RMS amplitude in an oscillating signal.

Criteria – Plural form of “criterion,” the relationship between a measure of exposure (e.g., sound or vibration level) and its corresponding effect.

Cross tie – The transverse member of the track structure to which the rails are spiked or otherwise fastened to provide proper gage and to cushion, distribute, and transmit the stresses of traffic through the ballast to the trackbed.

Crossover – Two turnouts with the track between the frogs arranged to form a continuous passage between two nearby and generally parallel tracks.

Cumulative – The summation of individual sounds into a single total value related to the effect over time.

Cut – A term used to describe a trackbed at a lower level than the surrounding ground.

dB – see Decibel.

dBA – see A-weighting.

Decibel – The standard unit of measurement for sound pressure level and vibration level. Technically, a decibel is the unit of level which denotes the ratio between two quantities that are proportional to power; the number of decibels is 10 times the logarithm of this ratio. Also written as dB.

Descriptor – A quantitative metric used to identify a specific measure of sound level.

DMU – Diesel-powered multiple unit. See Multiple Unit.

DNL – see L_{dn} .

Electrification – A term used to describe the installation of overhead wire or third rail power distribution facilities to enable operation of trains.

Embankment – A bank of earth, rock or other material constructed above the natural ground surface.

Equivalent Level – The level of a steady sound which, in a stated time period and at a stated location, has the same sound energy as the time-varying sound. Also written as L_{eq} .

Ferry boat – A transit mode comprised of vessels to carry passengers and/or vehicles over a body of water.

Fixed guideway – A mass transit facility with a separate right-of-way for the exclusive use of public transportation and other high-occupancy vehicles.

Flange – The vertical projection along the inner rim of a wheel that serves, together with the corresponding projection of the mating wheel of a wheel set, to keep the wheel set on the track.

Floating slab – A special track support system for vibration isolation, consisting of concrete slabs supported on resilient elements, usually rubber or similar elastomer.

Frequency – The number of times that a periodically occurring quantity repeats itself in a specified period. With reference to noise and vibration signals, the number of cycles per second.

Frequency spectrum – Distribution of frequency components of a noise or vibration signal.

Frog – A track structure used at the intersection of two running rails to provide support for wheels and passageways for their flanges, thus permitting wheels on either rail to cross the other.

Gage (of track) – The distance between the rails on a track.

Grade crossing – The point where a rail line and a motor vehicle road intersect.

Guideway – Supporting structure to form a track for rolling or magnetically-levitated vehicles.

Head-End Power (HEP) – A system of furnishing electric power for a complete railway train from a single generating plant in the locomotive.

Heavy rail – See Rail Rapid Transit.

Hertz (Hz) -- The unit of acoustic or vibration frequency representing cycles per second.

Hourly Average Sound Level – The time-averaged A-weighted sound level, over a 1-hour period, usually calculated between integral hours. Also written as L_{1h} .

Hybrid Bus – A rubber-tired vehicle that features a hybrid diesel-electric propulsion system. A diesel engine runs an electric generator that powers the entire vehicle including electric drive motors that deliver power to the wheels.

Idle – The speed at which an engine runs when it is not under load.

Intermediate Capacity Transit (ICT) – A transit system with less capacity than rail rapid transit, but more capacity than typical bus operations. Examples of ICT include bus rapid transit (BRT), automated guideway transit (AGT), monorails and trolleys.

Intermodal facility – Junction of two or more modes of transportation where transfers may occur.

Jointed rail – A system of joining rails with steel members designed to unite the abutting ends of contiguous rails.

L_{1h} – see Hourly Average Sound Level

L_{dn} – Day-Night Sound Level. The sound exposure level for a 24-hour day calculated by adding the sound exposure level obtained during the daytime (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) to 10 times the sound exposure level obtained during the nighttime (10 p.m. to 7 a.m.). This unit is used throughout the U.S. for environmental impact assessment. Also written as DNL.

L_{eq} – see Equivalent Level

Light Rail Transit (LRT) – A mode of public transit with tracked vehicles in multiple units operating in mixed traffic conditions on streets as well as sections of exclusive right-of-way. Vehicles are generally powered by electricity from overhead lines.

Locomotive – A self-propelled, non-revenue rail vehicle designed to convert electrical or mechanical energy into tractive effort to haul railway cars. (see also Power Unit)

Main line – The principal line or lines of a railway.

Maglev – Magnetically-levitated vehicle; a vehicle or train of vehicles with guidance and propulsion provided by magnetic forces. Support can be provided by either an electrodynamic system wherein a moving vehicle is lifted by magnetic forces induced in the guideway, or an electromagnetic system wherein the magnetic lifting forces are actively energized in the guideway.

Maximum Sound Level – The highest exponential-time-average sound level, in decibels, that occurs during a stated time period. Also written as L_{\max} . The standardized time periods are 1 second for $L_{\max, \text{slow}}$ and 0.125 second for $L_{\max, \text{fast}}$.

Metric – Measurement value, or descriptor.

Monorail – Guided transit vehicles operating on or suspended from a single rail, beam or tube.

Multiple Unit (MU) – A term referring to the practice of coupling two or more diesel-powered or electric-powered passenger cars together with provision for controlling the traction motors on all units from a single controller.

Noise – Any disagreeable or undesired sound or other audible disturbance.

Octave band – A standardized division of a frequency spectrum in which the interval between two divisions is a frequency ratio of 2.

One-third octave band – A standardized division of a frequency spectrum in which the octave bands are divided into thirds for more detailed information. The interval between center frequencies is a ratio of 1.25.

Pantograph – A device for collecting current from an overhead conductor (catenary), consisting of a jointed frame held up by springs or compressed air and having a current collector at the top.

Park-and-ride facility – A parking garage and/or lot used for parking passengers' automobiles while they use transit agency facilities and vehicles.

Peak factor – see Crest factor.

Plan-and-profile – Mapping used by transportation planners that shows two-dimensional plan views (x- and y- axes) on the same page as two-dimensional profiles (x- and z-axes) of a road or track.

Peak Particle Velocity (ppv) – The peak signal value of an oscillating vibration velocity waveform. Usually expressed in inches/second in the United States.

Peak-to-Peak (P-P) Value – Of an oscillating quantity, the algebraic difference between the extreme values of the quantity.

Power unit – A self-propelled vehicle, running on rails and having one or more electric motors that drive the wheels and thereby propel the locomotive and train. The motors obtain electrical energy either from a rail laid near to, but insulated from, the track rails, or from a wire suspended above the track. Contact with the wire is made by a pantograph mounted on top of the unit.

Pure tone – Sound of a single frequency.

Radius of curvature – A measure of the severity of a curve in a track structure based on the length of the radius of a circle that would be formed if the curve were continued.

Rail – A rolled steel shape, commonly a T-section, designed to be laid end to end in two parallel lines on cross ties or other suitable supports to form a track for railway rolling stock.

Rail Rapid Transit – (often called “Heavy Rail Transit”) A mode of public transit with tracked vehicles in multiple units operating in exclusive rights-of-way. Trains are generally powered by electricity from a third rail alongside the track.

Receiver/Receptor – A stationary far-field position at which noise or vibration levels are specified.

Resonance frequency – The phenomenon that occurs in a structure under conditions of forced vibration such that any change in frequency of excitation results in a decrease in response.

Right-of-Way – Lands or rights used or held for railroad or transit operation.

Root Mean Square (rms) – The square root of the mean-square value of an oscillating waveform, where the mean-square value is obtained by squaring the value of amplitudes at each instant of time and then averaging these values over the sample time.

RMS Velocity Level (L_v) – See “Vibration Velocity Level.”

SEL – see Sound Exposure Level.

Sound Exposure Level – The level of sound accumulated over a given time interval or event. Technically, the sound exposure level is the level of the time-integrated mean square A-weighted sound for a stated time interval or event, with a reference time of one second. Also written as SEL.

Sound – A physical disturbance in a medium that is capable of being detected by the human ear.

Spectrum – See Frequency Spectrum.

Sub-Ballast – Any material of a superior character, which is spread on the finished subgrade of the roadbed and below the top-ballast, to provide better drainage, prevent upheaval by frost, and better distribute the load over the roadbed.

Subgrade – The finished surface of the roadbed below the ballast and track.

Suburban bus – Bus similar to an intercity bus with high-backed seats but no luggage compartment, used in express mode to city centers from suburban locations.

Switch – A track structure used to divert rolling stock from one track to another.

Tangent Track – Track without curvature.

Track – An assembly of rail, ties and fastenings over which cars, locomotives, and trains are moved.

Traction Motor – A specially designed direct current series-wound motor mounted on the trucks of locomotives and self-propelled cars to drive the axles.

Trainset – A group of coupled cars including at least one power unit.

Transducer – Device designed to receive an input signal of a given kind (motion, pressure, heat, etc.) and to provide an output signal of a different kind (electrical voltage, amperage, etc.) in such a manner that desired characteristics of the input signal appear in the output signal for measurement purposes.

Transit center – A fixed location where passengers interchange from one route or vehicle to another.

Trolley bus – A rubber-tired, electrically-powered bus operating on city streets drawing power from overhead lines.

Truck – The complete assembly of parts including wheels, axles, bearings, side frames, bolster, brake rigging, springs and all associated connecting components, the function of which is to provide support, mobility and guidance to a railroad car or locomotive.

Trunk line – See Mainline. The mainline of a commuter railroad where the branch line traffic is combined.

Turnout – An arrangement of a switch and a frog with closure rails, by means of which rolling stock may be diverted from one track to another.

VdB – see Vibration Velocity Level.

Vibration Velocity Level (L_v) – Ten times the common logarithm of the ratio of the square of the amplitude of the RMS vibration velocity to the square of the amplitude of the reference RMS vibration velocity. The reference velocity in the United States is one micro-inch per second. Also written as VdB.

Vibration – An oscillation wherein the quantity is a parameter that defines the motion of a mechanical system.

Wheel Flat – A localized flat area on a steel wheel of a rail vehicle, usually caused by skidding on steel rails, causing a discontinuity in the wheel radius.

Wheel Squeal – The noise produced by wheel-rail interaction, particularly on a curve where the radius of curvature is smaller than allowed by the separation of the axles in a wheel set.

REFERENCES

1. American National Standards Institute, "Acoustical Terminology," ANSI S1.1-1994
2. American Public Transportation Association, "Public Transportation Factbook," 55th Edition, March 2004.

APPENDIX B. BACKGROUND FOR TRANSIT NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA

The noise criteria, presented in Chapter 3 of this manual, have been developed based on well-documented criteria and research into human response to community noise. The primary goals in developing the noise criteria were to ensure that the impact limits be firmly founded in scientific studies, be realistically based on noise levels associated with new transit projects, and represent a reasonable balance between community benefit and project costs. This appendix provides the background information.

B.1 RELEVANT LITERATURE

Following is an annotated list of the documents that are particularly relevant to the noise impact criteria:

1. US Environmental Protection Agency "Levels Document":⁽¹⁾ This report identifies noise levels consistent with the protection of public health and welfare against hearing loss, annoyance, and activity interference. It has been used as the basis of numerous community noise standards and ordinances.
2. CHABA Working Group 69, "Guidelines for Preparing Environmental Impact Statements on Noise":⁽²⁾ This report was the result of deliberations by a group of leading acoustical scientists with the goal of developing a uniform national method for noise impact assessment. Although the CHABA's proposed approach has not been adopted, the report serves as an excellent resource documenting research in noise effects. It provides a strong scientific basis for quantifying impacts in terms of L_{dn} .
3. American Public Transit Association Guidelines:⁽³⁾ The noise and vibration sections of the APTA Guidelines have been used successfully in the past for the design of rail transit facilities. The APTA Guidelines include criteria for acceptable community noise and vibration. Experience has shown that meeting the APTA Guidelines will usually result in acceptable noise levels. However, there are some problems in using the APTA Guidelines for environmental assessment purposes. The criteria are in terms of L_{max} for conventional rail rapid transit vehicles and they cannot be used to compare among

different modes of transit. Since the APTA Guidelines are expressed in terms of maximum passby noise, they are not sensitive to the frequency or duration of noise events for transit modes other than conventional rail rapid transit operations with 5- to 10-minute headways. Therefore, the APTA criteria are questionable for assessing the noise impact of other transit modes which differ from conventional rapid transit with respect to source emission levels and operating characteristics (e.g., commuter rail, AGT and a variety of bus projects).

4. "Synthesis of Social Surveys on Noise Annoyance".⁽⁴⁾ In 1978, Theodore J. Schultz, an internationally known acoustical scientist, synthesized the results of a large number of social surveys, each concerning annoyance due to transportation noise. Remarkable consistency was found in a group of these surveys, and the author proposed that their average results be taken as the best available prediction of transportation noise annoyance. This synthesis has received essentially unanimous acceptance by acoustical scientists and engineers. The "universal" transportation response curve developed by Schultz (Figure 2-7) shows that the percent of the population highly annoyed by transportation noise increases from zero at an L_{dn} of approximately 50 dBA to 100-percent when L_{dn} is about 90 dBA. Most significantly, this curve indicates that for the same increase in L_{dn} , there is a greater increase in the number of people highly annoyed at high noise levels than at low noise levels. In other words, a 5 dB increase at low ambient levels (40 - 50 dB) has less impact than at higher ambient levels (65 - 75 dB). A recent update of the original research, containing several railroad, transit and street traffic noise surveys, confirmed the shape of the original Schultz curve.⁽⁵⁾
5. HUD Standards.⁽⁶⁾ The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development has developed noise standards, criteria and guidelines to ensure that housing projects supported by HUD achieve the goal of a suitable living environment. The HUD site acceptability standards define 65 dB (L_{dn}) as the threshold for a normally unacceptable living environment and 75 dB (L_{dn}) as the threshold for an unacceptable living environment.

B.2 BASIS FOR NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA CURVES

The lower curve in Figure 3-1 representing the onset of Moderate Impact is based on the following considerations:

- The EPA finding that a community noise level of L_{dn} less than or equal to 55 dBA is "requisite to protect public health and welfare with an adequate margin of safety."⁽¹⁾
- The conclusion by EPA and others that a 5 dB increase in L_{dn} or L_{eq} is the minimum required for a change in community reaction.
- The research finding that there are very few people highly annoyed when the L_{dn} is 50 dBA, and that an increase in L_{dn} from 50 dBA to 55 dBA results in an average of 2% more people highly annoyed (see Figure 2-10 in Chapter 2).

Consequently, the change in noise level from an existing ambient level of 50 dBA to a cumulative level of 55 dBA caused by a project is assumed to be a minimal impact. Expressed another way, this is considered to be the lowest threshold where impact starts to occur. Moreover, the 2% increment represents the minimum measurable change in community reaction. Thus the curve's hinge point is placed at a project noise level of 53 dBA and an existing ambient noise level of 50 dBA, the combination of which yields a cumulative level of 55 dBA. The remainder of the lower curve in Figure 3-1 was determined from the annoyance curve (Figure 2-10) by allowing a fixed 2% increase in annoyance at other levels of existing ambient noise. As cumulative noise increases, it takes a smaller and smaller increment to attain the same 2% increase in highly annoyed people. While it takes a 5 dB noise increase to cause a 2% increase in highly annoyed people at an existing ambient noise level of 50 dB, an increase of only 1 dB causes the 2% increase of highly annoyed people at an existing ambient noise level of 70 dB.

The upper curve delineating the onset of Severe Impact was developed in a similar manner, except that it was based on a total noise level corresponding to a higher degree of impact. The Severe Noise Impact curve is based on the following considerations:

- The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in its environmental noise standards defines an L_{dn} of 65 as the onset of a normally unacceptable noise zone.⁽⁶⁾ Moreover, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) considers that residential land uses are not compatible with noise environments where L_{dn} is greater than 65 dBA⁽⁷⁾.
- The common use of a 5 dBA increase in L_{dn} or L_{eq} as the minimum required for a change in community reaction.
- The research finding that the foregoing step represents a 6.5% increase in the number of people highly annoyed (see Figure 2-10 in Chapter 2).

Consequently, the increase in noise level from an existing ambient level of 60 dBA to a cumulative level of 65 dBA caused by a project represents a change from an acceptable noise environment to the threshold of an unacceptable noise environment. This is considered to be the level at which severe impact starts to occur. Moreover, the 6.5% increment represents the change in community reaction associated with severe impact. Thus the upper curve's hinge point is placed at a project noise level of 63 dBA and existing ambient noise level of 60 dBA, the combination of which yields a cumulative level of 65 dBA. The remainder of the upper curve in Figure 3-1 was determined from the annoyance curve (Figure 2-10) by fixing the 6.5% increase in annoyance at all existing ambient noise levels.

Both curves incorporate a maximum limit for the transit project noise in noise-sensitive areas. Independent of existing noise levels, Moderate Impact for land use categories 1 and 2 is considered to occur whenever the transit L_{dn} equals or exceeds 65 dBA and Severe Impact occurs whenever the transit L_{dn} equals or exceeds 75 dBA. These absolute limits are intended to restrict activity interference caused by the transit project alone.

Both curves also incorporate a maximum limit for cumulative noise increase at low existing noise levels (below about 45 dBA). This is a conservative measure that reflects the lack of social survey data on people's reaction to noise at such low ambient levels. Similar to the FHWA approach in assessing the relative impact of a highway project, the transit noise criteria include caps on noise increase of 10 dB and 15 dB for Moderate Impact and Severe Impact, respectively, relative to the existing noise level.

Finally, it should be noted that due to the types of land use included in Category 3, the criteria allow the project noise for Category 3 sites to be 5 decibels greater than for Category 1 and Category 2 sites. This difference is reflected by the offset in the vertical scale on the right side of Figure 3-1. With the exception of active parks, which are clearly less sensitive to noise than Category 1 and 2 sites, Category 3 sites include primarily indoor activities and thus the criteria account for some noise reduction provided by the building structure.

B.3 EQUATIONS FOR NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA CURVES

The noise impact criteria can be quantified through the use of mathematical equations which approximate the curves shown in Figure 3-1. These equations may be useful when performing the noise assessment methodology through the use of spreadsheets, computer programs or other analysis tools. Otherwise, such mathematical detail is generally not necessary in order to properly implement the criteria, and direct use of Figure 3-1 is likely to be adequate and less time-consuming.

A total of four continuous curves are obtained from the criteria: two threshold curves ("Moderate Impact" and "Severe Impact") for Category 1 and 2; and two for Category 3. Note that for each level of impact, the overall curves for Categories 1 and 2 are offset by 5 dB from Category 3. While each curve is graphically continuous, it is defined by a set of three discrete equations which represent three "regimes" of existing noise exposure. These equations are approximately continuous at the transition points between regimes.

The first equation in each set is a linear relationship, representing the portion of the curve in which the existing noise exposure is low and the allowable increase is capped at 10 dB and 15 dB for Moderate Impact and Severe Impact, respectively. The second equation in each set represents the impact threshold over the range of existing noise exposure for which a fixed percentage of increase in annoyance is allowed, as described in the previous section. This curve, a third-order polynomial approximation derived from the Schultz curve,⁽⁴⁾ covers the range of noise exposure encountered in most populated areas and is used in determining noise impact in the majority of cases for transit projects. Finally, the third equation in each of the four sets represents the absolute limit of project noise imposed by the criteria, for areas with high existing noise exposure. For land use category 1 and 2, this limit is 65 dBA for Moderate Impact and 70 dBA for Severe Impact. For land use category 3, the limit is 75 dBA for Moderate Impact and 80 dBA for Severe Impact.

The four sets of equations corresponding to the curves are given below. Each curve represents a threshold of noise impact, with impact indicated for points on or above the curve.

Threshold of Moderate Impact :

$$L_P = \begin{cases} 11.450 + 0.953L_E & L_E < 42 \\ 71.662 - 1.164L_E + 0.018L_E^2 - 4.088 \times 10^{-5}L_E^3 & 42 \leq L_E \leq 71 \\ 65 & L_E > 71 \end{cases} \text{Category 1 and 2}$$

$$L_P = \begin{cases} 16.450 + 0.953L_E & L_E < 42 \\ 76.662 - 1.164L_E + 0.018L_E^2 - 4.088 \times 10^{-5}L_E^3 & 42 \leq L_E \leq 71 \\ 70 & L_E > 71 \end{cases} \text{Category 3}$$

Threshold of Severe Impact :

$$L_P = \begin{cases} 17.322 + 0.940L_E & L_E < 44 \\ 96.725 - 1.992L_E + 3.02 \times 10^{-2}L_E^2 - 1.043 \times 10^{-4}L_E^3 & 44 \leq L_E \leq 77 \\ 75 & L_E > 77 \end{cases} \text{Category 1 and 2}$$

$$L_P = \begin{cases} 22.322 + 0.940L_E & L_E < 44 \\ 101.725 - 1.992L_E + 3.02 \times 10^{-2}L_E^2 - 1.043 \times 10^{-4}L_E^3 & 44 \leq L_E \leq 77 \\ 80 & L_E > 77 \end{cases} \text{Category 3}$$

where L_E is the existing noise exposure in terms of L_{dn} or $L_{eq}(h)$ and L_P is the project noise exposure which determines impact, also in terms of L_{dn} or $L_{eq}(h)$.

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety," EPA report number 550/9-74-004, March 1974.
2. National Academy of Sciences, "Guidelines for Preparing Environmental Impact Statements on Noise," Report from Committee on Bioacoustics and Biomechanics (CHABA) Working Group 69, February 1977.
3. American Public Transit Association, *1981 Guidelines for Design of Rapid Transit Facilities*, Section 2.7, "Noise and Vibration," 1981.
4. T.J. Schultz, "Synthesis of Social Surveys on Noise Annoyance," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 64, No. 2, pp. 377-405, August 1978.
5. S. Fidell, D.S. Barber and T.J. Schultz, "Updating a Dosage-Effect Relationship for the Prevalence of Annoyance Due to General Transportation Noise," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 89, No. 1, January 1991.
6. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, "Environmental Criteria and Standards", 24 CFR Part 51, v 12 July 1979; amended by 49 FR 880, 6 January 1984.
7. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, "Federal Aviation Regulations Part 150: Airport Noise Compatibility Planning," January 1981.

APPENDIX C. SELECTING RECEIVERS OF INTEREST

This appendix provides additional detail in selecting receivers of interest for those users desiring such detail. The general approach given in Chapter 6 includes the following guidelines:

- Every major public building or site with noise-sensitive indoor use within the noise study area should be selected as a separate receiver of interest.
- Each isolated residence and small outdoor noise-sensitive area within the noise study area should be selected as a separate receiver of interest in the same manner as for public buildings.
- In contrast, groups of residences and larger outdoor noise-sensitive areas within the noise study area should be "clustered" and a receiver of interest selected from each cluster. Clustering reduces the number of computations later needed, especially for large-scale projects where a great number of noise-sensitive sites may be affected. For this approach to work, however, it is essential that the receiver selected provide an accurate representation of the noise environment of the cluster.

This appendix elaborates on the clustering procedure. In brief: (1) Cluster boundaries are first drawn relative to the proposed project, either running parallel to a linear project or circling major stationary sources. These boundaries approximate contours of equal project noise. (2) Then a separate set of cluster boundaries is drawn parallel to, or circling, major sources of ambient noise to approximate contours of ambient noise. (3) Finally, a third set of cluster boundaries may further subdivide the noise study area, if there are changes in project layout or operations along the corridor.

Following are suggested procedures for drawing cluster boundaries and for selecting a receiver of interest from each cluster:

Boundaries along the proposed project. First draw cluster boundaries along the proposed project, to separate clusters based upon distance from the project. Draw such cluster boundaries for all sources that are listed as "Major" in Table 6-2.

Within both residential and noise-sensitive outdoor areas:

- **Primary project source.** Draw cluster boundaries at the following distances from the near edge of the primary project source: 0 feet, 50 feet, 100 feet, 200 feet, 400 feet, and 800 feet. If the primary project source is a linear source, such as a rail line, draw these boundaries as lines parallel to the proposed right-of-way line. Around major stationary sources, draw these boundaries as approximate circles around the source, starting at the property line. Do not extend boundaries beyond the noise study area, identified in the Screening Procedure of Chapter 4 or the General Assessment of Chapter 5.
- **Remaining project sources.** Repeat this for all other project sources listed as Major in Table 6-2, such as substations and crossing signals. If several project sources are located approximately together, only one need be considered here, since the others would produce approximately the same boundaries. It is good practice to optimize the number of clusters for a project, to avoid needlessly complicating the procedure.

Where rows of buildings parallel the transit corridor:

- Check that cluster boundaries fall between the following rows of buildings, counting back away from the proposed project:

Between rows 1 and 2

Between rows 2 and 3

Between rows 4 and 5

If not, add cluster boundaries between these rows.

Boundaries along sources of ambient noise. Next, draw cluster boundaries along all major sources of ambient noise, based upon distance from these sources.

- Along all interstates and major roadway arterials, draw cluster boundaries at the following distances from the near edge of the roadway: 0 feet, 100 feet, 200 feet, and 500 feet.
- Along all other roadways that have state or county numbering, draw cluster boundaries at 0 feet and 100 feet from the near edge of the roadway.
- For all major industrial sources of noise, draw cluster boundaries that circle the source, at the following distances from the near property line of the source: 0 feet, 100 feet, 200 feet, 400 feet.

Further boundaries based upon changes in project layout or operations along the corridor. Where proposed project layout or operating conditions change significantly along the corridor, further subdivision is needed to account for changes in project noise. Draw a cluster boundary perpendicular to the corridor, extending straight outward to both sides, at the following locations:

- Where parallel tracks, previously separated by more than 100 feet or so, come closer together
- Approximately where speed and/or throttle is reduced approaching stations and where steady service speed is reached after departing stations.
- Approximately 200 feet up and down the line from grade-crossing bells
- At transitions from jointed to welded rail
- At transitions from one type of cross section to another -- from among these types: on structure, on fill, at grade, and in cut.
- At transitions from open terrain to heavily wooded terrain
- At transitions between areas free of locomotive-horn noise and areas subject to this noise source
- Any other positions along the line where project noise is expected to change significantly -- such as up and down the line from tight curves where wheels may squeal

Selection of a receiver of interest from each cluster. The cluster boundaries divide the land area into clusters of miscellaneous shape. Each of these pieces constitutes an area that will be represented by a single receiver of interest.

- For residential clusters, locate this receiver of interest within the cluster at the house closest to the proposed project. If in doubt, select the one furthest from significant sources of ambient noise.
- For outdoor noise-sensitive clusters, such as an urban park or amphitheater, locate this receiver of interest within the cluster at the closest point of active noise-sensitive use. If in doubt, select the one furthest from significant sources of ambient noise.

In following the foregoing procedures, some clusters may fall between areas with receivers of interest. This could occur, for example, when operational changes or track layouts change in an open undeveloped area. Retain such clusters -- that is, do not merge them with adjacent ones -- but do not select a receiver of interest from them.

Example C-1. Receivers of Interest and Cluster Boundaries

An example of receivers of interest and cluster boundaries is shown in Figure C-1. In this hypothetical situation, a new rail transit line, labeled "new rail line," is proposed along a major urban street with commercial land use. A residential area is located adjacent to the commercial strip, starting about one-half block from the proposed transit alignment. A major arterial, labeled "highway," crosses the alignment.

Following the procedure described in this appendix, the first step is to draw cluster boundaries along the **proposed primary project source** (in this case, the new rail line) at distances of 0 feet from the right-of-way line (edge of the street in this example), 50 feet, 100 feet, 200 feet, 400 feet, and 800 feet. These lines are shown with distances labeled at the top of the figure. This is proposed to be a constant speed section of track, so there are no changes in boundaries due to changes in operations along the corridor. Moreover, no **other project sources** are shown here, although if there had been a station with a parking lot, lines would have been drawn enveloping the station site at the specified distances from the property line. However, this example does show **rows of buildings parallel** to the transit corridor. The first set of lines satisfies the requirement that cluster boundaries fall between rows 1 and 2, and between rows 2 and 3, but there is no line between rows 4 and 5. Consequently, a cluster boundary (labeled "R" at the top of the figure) has been drawn between the 4th and 5th row of buildings.

Next, cluster boundaries are to be drawn along major sources of ambient noise. The roadway arterial (labeled "highway") is the only major source of ambient noise shown. Again following the procedure described in this appendix, cluster boundaries are drawn at 0 feet, 100 feet, 200 feet and 500 feet from the near edge of the roadway, both sides. These lines are shown with distances labeled at the side of the figure.

The foregoing describes the procedures for drawing all the lines defining the cluster boundaries shown in Figure C-1. The next step is to **select a receiver of interest within each cluster**. These are shown as filled circles in the figure. Some receivers of interest are labeled for use as examples in Appendix D. Taking the shaded cluster with "Rec 3" as an example: the cluster is located at the outer edge of influence from the major source ("highway"), where local street traffic takes over from the highway as the dominant source for ambient noise, which would be verified by a measurement. "Rec 3" is chosen to represent this cluster because it is among the houses closest to the proposed project source in this cluster and it is in the middle of the block affected by the dominant local street. Ambient noise levels at one end of the cluster may be influenced more by the highway and the other end may be affected more by the cross street, but the majority of the cluster would be represented by receiver site "Rec 3."

End of Example C-1




Figure C-1. Example of Receiver Map Showing Cluster Boundaries

APPENDIX D. DETERMINING EXISTING NOISE

This appendix provides additional detail in determining existing noise by: (1) full measurement, (2) computation from partial measurements, and (3) tabular look-up. Note that the words "existing noise" and "ambient noise" are often used interchangeably.

Continuing with the example from Figure C-1, the ambient noise at the selected receivers of interest, labeled "REC 1,2,3...", can be determined according to the following methods.

- Existing noise at REC 1 is due to the highway at the side of this church. L_{eq} during a typical church hour was measured in full. – OPTION 1 below
- Existing noise at REC 2, a residence, is due to a combination of the highway and local streets. L_{dn} was measured in full. – OPTION 2 below
- Existing noise at REC 3 is due to the street in front of this residence. L_{dn} was computed from three hourly L_{eq} measurements. – OPTION 3 below
- Existing noise at REC 4, a residence, is due to the highway. Since the highway has a predictable diurnal pattern, L_{dn} was computed from one hourly L_{eq} measurement. – OPTION 4 below
- Existing noise at REC 5, a residence, is due to Kee Street. L_{dn} was computed from L_{dn} at the comparable REC 3, which is also affected by local street traffic and is a comparable distance from the highway. – OPTION 5 below
- Existing noise at REC 6, a residence, is due to local traffic. L_{dn} was estimated by table look-up, based upon population density along this corridor. – OPTION 6 below

The full set of options for determining existing noise at receivers of interest is as follows:

- For non-residential land uses, measure a full hour's L_{eq} at the receiver of interest, during a typical hour of use on two non-successive days. The hour chosen should be the one in which maximum project activity will occur. The L_{eq} will be accurately represented.-- OPTION 1

- The three options for residential land uses are:
 - Measure a full day's L_{dn} . The L_{dn} will be accurately represented. – OPTION 2
 - Measure the hourly L_{eq} for three typical hours: peak traffic, midday and late night. Then compute the L_{dn} from these three hourly L_{eq} 's. The computed L_{dn} will be slightly underestimated. – OPTION 3
 - Measure the hourly L_{eq} for one hour of the day only, preferably during midday. Then compute the L_{dn} from this hourly L_{eq} . The computed L_{dn} will be moderately underestimated. – OPTION 4
- For all land uses, compute either the L_{eq} or the L_{dn} from a measured value at a nearby receiver – one where the ambient noise is dominated by the same noise source. The computed value will be represented with only moderate precision. – OPTION 5
- For all land uses, estimate either the L_{eq} or the L_{dn} from a table of typical values, depending upon distance from major roadways or upon population density. The resulting values will be significantly underestimated. – OPTION 6

Option 1: For non-residential land uses, measure the hourly L_{eq} for the hour of interest

Full one-hour measurements are the most precise way to determine existing noise for non-residential receivers of interest. Such full-duration measurements are preferred over all other options. The following procedures apply to full-duration measurements:

- Measure a full hour's L_{eq} at the receiver of interest on at least two non-successive days during a typical hour of use. This would generally be between noon Monday and noon Friday, but weekend days may be appropriate for places of worship. On both days, the measured hour must be the same as that for which project noise is computed: the loudest facility hour that overlaps hours of noise-sensitive activity at the receiver.
- At all sites, locate the measurement microphone as shown in Figure 6-9, depending upon the relative orientation of project and ambient sources. Desired is a microphone location that is shielded somewhat from the ambient source. At such locations, ambient noise will be measured at the quietest location on the property for purposes of noise impact assessment so that noise impact will be assessed most critically.
- Undertake all measurements in accordance with good engineering practice.

Option 2: For residential land uses, measure the L_{dn} for a full 24 hours

Full 24-hour measurements are the most precise way to determine ambient noise for residential receivers of interest. Such full-duration measurements are preferred over all other options. The following procedures apply to full-duration measurements:

- Measure a full 24-hour's L_{dn} at the receiver of interest, for a single weekday (generally between noon Monday and noon Friday).
- At all sites, locate the measurement microphone as shown in Figure 6-9, depending upon the relative orientation of project and ambient sources. Desired is a microphone location that is shielded somewhat from the ambient source. At such locations, ambient noise will be measured at the quietest location on the property for purposes of noise impact assessment so that noise impact will be assessed most critically.
- Undertake all measurements in accordance with good engineering practice.

Option 3: For residential land uses, measure the hourly L_{eq} for three hours and then compute L_{dn}

An alternative way to determine L_{dn} , less precise than its full-duration measurement, is to measure hourly L_{eq} 's for three typical hours of the day and then to compute the L_{dn} from these three hourly L_{eq} 's. The following procedures apply to this partial-duration measurement option for L_{dn} :

- Measure the one-hour L_{eq} during each of the following time periods: once during peak-hour roadway traffic, once midday between the morning and afternoon roadway-traffic peak hours, and once during late night between midnight and 5 am.
- Compute L_{dn} with the following equation:

$$L_{dn} \approx 10 \log \left[(3) \cdot 10^{\frac{L_{eq}(\text{peakhour})-2}{10}} + (12) \cdot 10^{\frac{L_{eq}(\text{midday})-2}{10}} + (9) \cdot 10^{\frac{L_{eq}(\text{latenight})+8}{10}} \right] - 13.8$$

This value of L_{dn} will be slightly underestimated due to the subtraction of 2 decibels from each of the measured levels before their combination. As explained previously, this underestimate is intended to compensate for the reduced precision of the computed L_{dn} here, compared to its full-duration measurement.

- At all sites, locate the measurement microphone as shown in Figure 6-9, depending upon the relative orientation of project and ambient sources. Desired is a microphone location that is shielded somewhat from the ambient source. At such locations, ambient noise will be measured at the quietest location on the property for purposes of noise impact assessment so that noise impact will be assessed most critically.
- Undertake all measurements in accordance with good engineering practice.

Option 4: For residential land uses, measure the hourly L_{eq} for one hour and then compute L_{dn}

The next level down in precision is to determine L_{dn} by measuring the hourly L_{eq} for one hour of the day and then to compute L_{dn} from this hourly L_{eq} . This method is useful when there are many sites in a General

Assessment, or when checking whether a particular receiver of interest represents a cluster in a Detailed Analysis. The following procedures apply to this partial-duration measurement option for L_{dn} :

- Measure the one-hour L_{eq} during any hour of the day. The loudest hour during the daytime period is preferable. If this hour is not selected, then other hours may be used with less precision.
- Convert the measured hourly L_{eq} to L_{dn} with the applicable equation:

For measurements between 7am and 7pm :	$L_{dn} \approx L_{eq} - 2$
For measurements between 7pm and 10pm :	$L_{dn} \approx L_{eq} + 3$
For measurements between 10pm and 7am :	$L_{dn} \approx L_{eq} + 8$

The resulting value of L_{dn} will be moderately underestimated due to the use of the adjustment constants in these equations. As explained previously, this underestimate is intended to compensate for the reduced precision of the computed L_{dn} here, compared to the more precise methods of determining L_{dn} .

- At all sites, locate the measurement microphone as shown in Figure 6-9, depending upon the relative orientation of project and existing sources. Desired is a microphone location that is shielded somewhat from the ambient source. At such locations, ambient noise will be measured at the quietest location on the property for purposes of noise impact assessment so that noise impact will be assessed most critically.
- Undertake all measurements in accordance with good engineering practice

Option 5: For all land uses, compute either L_{eq} or L_{dn} from a nearby measured value

A computation method comparable in precision to Option 4 is to determine the ambient noise, either $L_{eq}(h)$ or L_{dn} , from a measured value at a nearby receiver – one where the ambient noise is dominated by the same noise source. This method is used to characterize noise in several neighborhoods by using a single representative receiver. Care must be taken to ensure that the measurement site has a similar noise environment to all areas represented. If measurements made by others are available, and the sites are equivalent, they can be used to reduce the amount of project noise monitoring. The following procedures apply to this computation of ambient noise at the receiver of interest:

- Choose another receiver of interest, called the "comparable receiver," at which:
 - The same source of ambient noise dominates.
 - The ambient $L_{CompRec}$ was measured with either OPTION 1 or OPTION 2 above.
 - The ambient measurement at the comparable receiver was made in direct view of the major source of ambient noise, unshielded from it by noise barriers, terrain, rows of buildings, or dense tree zones.

- From a plan or aerial photograph, determine: (1) the distance D_{CompRec} from the comparable receiver to the near edge of the ambient source, and (2) the distance D_{ThisRec} from this receiver of interest to the near edge of the ambient source.
- Also determine N , the number of rows of buildings that intervene between the receiver of interest and the ambient source.
- Compute the ambient level at this receiver of interest with the applicable equation:

$$\text{If roadway sources dominate: } L_{\text{ThisRec}} \approx L_{\text{CompRec}} - 15 \log \left(\frac{D_{\text{ThisRec}}}{D_{\text{CompRec}}} \right) - 3N$$

$$\text{If other sources dominate: } L_{\text{ThisRec}} \approx L_{\text{CompRec}} - 25 \log \left(\frac{D_{\text{ThisRec}}}{D_{\text{CompRec}}} \right) - 3N$$

The resulting value of L_{ThisRec} will be moderately underestimated. As explained previously, this underestimate is intended to compensate for the reduced precision of the computed L_{dn} here, compared to the more precise methods of determining ambient noise levels.

Option 6: For all land uses, estimate either $L_{\text{eq}}(\text{h})$ or L_{dn} from a table of typical values

The least precise way to determine the ambient noise is to estimate it from a table. A tabular look-up can be used to establish baseline conditions for a General Noise Assessment if a noise measurement can not be made. It should not be used for a Detailed Noise Analysis. For this estimate of ambient noise:

- Read the ambient noise estimate from the relevant portion of Table 5-7. These tabulated estimates depend upon distance from major roadways, rail lines or upon population densities. In general, these tabulated values are significant underestimates. As explained previously, underestimates here are intended to compensate for the reduced precision of the estimated ambients, compared to the options that incorporate some degree of measurements.

APPENDIX E. COMPUTING SOURCE REFERENCE LEVELS FROM MEASUREMENTS

This appendix contains the procedures for computing source reference levels (SEL_{ref}) from source measurements in cases where the Source Reference Tables in Chapter 6 indicate measurements are preferred.

For vehicle passbys, the closeby source measurements may be either of the vehicle's sound exposure level (SEL) or of its maximum noise level (L_{max}). Both these descriptors can be measured directly by commonly available sound level meters. L_{max} 's are allowed here for several reasons. Often L_{max} measurements are available from transit-equipment manufacturers. For some transit systems, equipment specifications will limit closeby L_{max} 's to some particular value. And in some situations, closeby source measurements may be taken as part of the environmental study for more precision than is possible with the reference-level table.

For non-passby sources, the closeby source measurements must be of the source's SEL over one source "event." The source "event" duration may be chosen for measurement convenience; it will subtract out of the computation when the measured value is converted to reference operating conditions later in this section.

This manual does not specify elaborate methods for undertaking such closeby source measurements, nor that these measurements be at the reference conditions discussed in the main text. Required are measurements that conform to good engineering practice, guided by the standards of the American National Standards Institute and other such organizations (see References 2, 3 and 4 of Chapter 6).

For passbys of both highway and rail vehicles, the following conditions are required in addition to good engineering practice:

- Measured vehicles must be representative of project vehicles in all aspects, including representative acceleration and speed conditions for buses.

- Track must be relatively free of corrugations and train wheels relatively free of flats, unless these conditions are typical of the proposed project.
- Road surfaces must be smooth and dry, unless these conditions are typical of the proposed project.
- Perpendicular distance between the measurement position and the source's centerline must be 100 feet or less.
- Vehicle speed must be 30 miles per hour or greater, unless typical project speeds are less than that.
- No noise barriers, terrain, buildings, or dense tree zones may break the lines-of-sight between the source and the measurement position.

For sources other than vehicle passbys, the following conditions are required in addition to good engineering practice:

- Measured source operations must be representative of project operations in all aspects.
- The following ratio must be 2 or less:

$$\frac{\text{distance to the furthest source component}}{\text{distance to the closest source component}}$$

In addition, the distance to the closest source component must be 200 feet or less. If both these conditions cannot simultaneously be met, then separate closeby measurements must be made of individual components of this source, for which these distance conditions can be met.

- The following ratio must be 2 or less:

$$\frac{\text{lateral length of the source area, measured perpendicular to the general line-of-sight between source and measurement position}}{\text{distance to the closest source component}}$$

If this condition cannot be met, then separate closeby measurements must be made of individual components of this source, for which this condition can be met.

- No noise barriers, terrain, buildings, or dense tree zones may break the lines-of-sight between the source and the measurement position.

When closeby source measurements are made under non-reference conditions, the equations in Table E-1 are used to convert the measured values to Source Reference Levels. Detailed procedures follow. Note that each vehicle type must be measured and converted separately. Note that this computation requires that all measured vehicles be of the same type. For trains of mixed consists, see Appendix F. For rail vehicles, measure/convert a group of locomotives or a group of cars separately.

If SEL was measured for a highway-vehicle passby, or a passby of a group of identical rail vehicles:

- Collect the following input information:
 - SEL_{meas} , the measured SEL for the vehicle passby
 - N , the consist of the measured group of rail cars or group of locomotives
 - T , the average throttle setting of the measured diesel-powered locomotive(s)
 - S_{meas} , the measured passby speed, in miles per hour
 - D_{meas} , the closest distance between the measurement position and the source, in feet

- Compute the Source Reference Level -- SEL_{ref} -- from the **first** equation in Table E-1.

Example E-1. Computation of SEL_{ref} from SEL Measurement of Fixed-Guideway Source

A passby of two diesel-powered locomotives was measured at

$$SEL_{meas} = 90 \text{ dBA.}$$

For this measurement,

$$\begin{aligned} N &= 2 \\ T &= 6 \\ S_{meas} &= 55 \text{ miles per hour, and} \\ D_{meas} &= 65 \text{ feet.} \end{aligned}$$

The resulting $SEL_{ref} = 86.5 \text{ dBA}$.

End of Example E-1

If SEL was measured for a stationary noise source:

- Collect the following input information:
 - SEL_{meas} , the measured SEL for the noise source, for whatever source "event" is convenient to measure
 - E_{meas} , the event duration, in seconds
 - D_{meas} , the closest distance between the measurement position and the source, in feet

- Compute the Source Reference Level -- SEL_{ref} -- from the **second** equation in Table E-1.

Example E-2. Computation of SEL_{ref} from SEL Measurement of Stationary Source

A signal crossing was measured for a 10-second "event" at

$$SEL_{\text{meas}} = 70.$$

For this measurement,

$$E_{\text{meas}} = 10 \text{ seconds and}$$

$$D_{\text{meas}} = 25 \text{ feet.}$$

The resulting SEL_{ref} = 89.5 dBA.

End of Example E-2

If L_{max} was measured for a passby of a group of identical rail vehicles:

- Collect the following input information:
 - L_{max}, measured for the group passby
 - N, the consist of the measured group of rail cars or group of locomotives
 - T, the average throttle setting of the measured diesel-powered locomotive(s)
 - S_{meas}, the measured passby speed, in miles per hour
 - D_{meas}, the closest distance between the measurement position and the source, in feet
 - L_{meas}, the total length of the measured group of locomotives or group of rail cars, in feet

- Compute the Source Reference Level -- SEL_{ref} -- from the **third or fourth** equations in Table E-1, depending on whether the sources are locomotives or rail cars.

Example E-3. Computation of SEL_{ref} from L_{max} Measurement of Fixed-Guideway Source

A passby of a 4-car consist of 70-ft long rail cars was measured at

$$L_{max} = 90.$$

For this measurement,

$$\begin{aligned} N &= 4 \\ S_{meas} &= 70 \text{ miles per hour} \\ D_{meas} &= 65 \text{ feet, and} \\ L_{meas} &= 280 \text{ feet.} \end{aligned}$$

Using the fourth equation in Table E-1,

$$\alpha = 1.14$$

and the resulting $SEL_{ref} = 86.7$ dBA.

End of Example E-3

If L_{max} was measured for a highway-vehicle passby:

- Collect the following input information:
 - L_{max} , measured for the highway-vehicle passby
 - S_{meas} , the vehicle speed, in miles per hour
 - D_{meas} , the closest distance between the measurement position and the source, in feet
- Compute the Source Reference Level -- SEL_{ref} -- from the **fifth** equation in Table E-1.

Example E-4. Computation of SEL_{ref} from L_{max} Measurement of Highway Vehicle Source

A bus was measured at

$$L_{max} = 78 \text{ dBA.}$$

For this measurement,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{meas} &= 40 \text{ miles per hour and} \\ D_{meas} &= 80 \text{ feet.} \end{aligned}$$

Using the fifth equation in Table E-1, the resulting $SEL_{ref} = 87.8$ dBA.

End of Example E-4

Table E-1. Conversion to Source Reference Levels at 50 feet for Transit Noise Sources		
Measured Quantity	Noise Source	Equation
SEL	Vehicle passby	$SEL_{ref} = SEL_{meas} + 10 \log\left(\frac{S_{meas}}{50}\right) + 10 \log\left(\frac{D_{meas}}{50}\right) + C_{consist} + C_{emissions}$
	Stationary noise source	$SEL_{ref} = SEL_{meas} - 10 \log\left(\frac{E_{meas}}{3600}\right) + 20 \log\left(\frac{D_{meas}}{50}\right)$
L _{max}	Rail-vehicle passby, locomotives only	$SEL_{ref} = L_{max} + 10 \log\left(\frac{L_{meas}}{50}\right) + 10 \log\left(\frac{D_{meas}}{50}\right) - 10 \log(2\alpha) + C_{consist} + C_{emissions} + 3.3$
	Rail-vehicle passby, cars only	$SEL_{ref} = L_{max} + 10 \log\left(\frac{L_{meas}}{50}\right) + 10 \log\left(\frac{D_{meas}}{50}\right) - 10 \log[2\alpha + \sin(2\alpha)] + C_{consist} + C_{emissions} + 3.3$
	Highway-vehicle passby	$SEL_{ref} = L_{max} + 20 \log\left(\frac{D_{meas}}{50}\right) + C_{emissions} + 3.3$
Vehicle Type	Expression for C _{consist}	Expression for C _{emissions}
Locomotives	-10 log (N)	0 For T < 6 -2(T-5) For T ≥ 6
Rail Cars	-10 log (N)	$-30 \log\left(\frac{S_{meas}}{50}\right)$
Buses	0	$-25 \times \log\left(\frac{S_{meas}}{50}\right)$
Automobiles	0	$-38.1 \times \log\left(\frac{S_{meas}}{50}\right)$
<p>N = consist, (number of locomotives or rail cars in the measured group) T = average throttle setting of measured diesel - electric locomotive(s) D_{meas} = closest distance between measurement position and source, in feet E_{meas} = event duration of measurement, in seconds L_{meas} = total length of measured group of locomotives or rail cars, in feet S_{meas} = speed of measured vehicle(s), in miles per hour $\alpha = \arctan\left(\frac{L_{meas}}{2D_{meas}}\right)$, in radians</p>		

APPENDIX F. COMPUTING MAXIMUM NOISE LEVEL (L_{\max}) FOR A SINGLE TRAIN PASSBY

This appendix provides procedures for the computation of L_{\max} for a single train passby, for those readers desiring such procedures. Table F-1 contains the equations to compute L_{\max} . The procedure is summarized as follows.

- Collect the following input information:
 - SEL_{ref} 's from Chapter 6, specific to both the locomotive type and car type of the train
 - N_{locos} , the number of locomotives in the train
 - N_{cars} , the number of cars in the train
 - L_{locos} , the total length of the train's locomotive(s), in feet (or N_{locos} (unit length))
 - L_{cars} , the total length of the train's set of rail car(s), in feet (or N_{cars} (unit length))
 - S , the train speed, in miles per hour
 - D , the closest distance between the receiver of interest and the train, in feet
- Compute $L_{\max, \text{locos}}$ from the locomotive(s) using the first equation in Table F-1.
- Compute $L_{\max, \text{cars}}$ from the rail car(s) using the second equation in Table F-1.
- Choose the larger of the two L_{\max} 's as the L_{\max} for the total train passby.

Table F-1. Conversion to L_{max} at the Receiver, for a Single Train Passby

Source	Equation
Locomotives	$L_{max,locos} = SEL_{locos} + 10 \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) - 10 \log\left(\frac{L}{50}\right) + 10 \log(2 \alpha) - 3.3$
Rail Cars	$L_{max,cars} = SEL_{cars} + 10 \log\left(\frac{S}{50}\right) - 10 \log\left(\frac{L}{50}\right) + 10 \log[2 \alpha + \sin(2 \alpha)] - 3.3$
Total Train	$L_{max,total} = \max[L_{max,locos} \text{ or } L_{max,cars}]$
D = closest distance between receiver and source, in feet L = total length of measured group of locomotive(s) or rail car(s), in feet S = vehicle speed, in miles per hour $\alpha = \arctan\left(\frac{L}{2D}\right)$, in radians	

Example F-1. Computation of L_{max} for Train Passby

A commuter train will pass by a receiver of interest and its L_{max} is desired. For this train, the following conditions apply:

- $SEL_{ref} =$ 92 dB for locomotives and
- $=$ 82 dB for rail cars
- $N_{locos} =$ 1
- $N_{cars} =$ 6
- $S =$ 43 miles per hour
- $D =$ 125 feet.

The locomotive and rail cars each have a unit length of 70 feet. Therefore,

- $L_{locos} =$ 70 feet
- $L_{cars} =$ 420 feet

Using the equations in Table F-1,

- $\alpha_{locos} =$ 0.27
- $\alpha_{cars} =$ 1.03

and the resulting L_{max} 's are as follows:

- $L_{max,locos} =$ 84 dBA
- $L_{max,cars} =$ 74 dBA
- $L_{max,total} =$ 84 dBA.

End of Example F-1



Federal Transit Administration
U.S. Department of Transportation
<http://www.fta.dot.gov>