DOE-NETL's Mercury R&D Program



American Coal Council Mercury & Multi-Emissions Compliance: Strategies & Tactics

March 26-27, 2003 Charlotte, NC

Thomas J. Feeley, III National Energy Technology Laboratory





Presentation Outline

- Regulatory drivers
- Program objectives
- Current program
- Future plans





TJF_ACC_March2003

Power Plant Mercury Emissions *Coal Plants Emit ~ 48 tons/year*





NETL Boiler Database

TJF_ACC_March2003

Potential Mercury Regulations

MACT Standards

- Likely high levels of Hg reduction
- Compliance: Dec. 2007

Clean Power Act of 2003

- Re-introduced in Senate (S. 366) by Jeffords (I-VT) on February 12, 2003
- 4-contaminant control
- 90% Hg reduction by 2009

President Bush Announcing Clear Skies Initiative February 14, 2002

Clear Skies Act of 2003

- Re-introduced in House (HR. 999) and Senate (S. 485) on February 27, 2003
- 3-contaminant control
- 46% Hg reduction by 2010
- 70% Hg reduction by 2018
- Hg emission trading





DOE-NETL Environmental R&D Program

- Driven by current and, more importantly, <u>future</u> environmental regulations and policy decisions
- Develop advanced, costeffective, control technology
- Provide sound science and technical knowledge



Skating to where the puck is going...



DOE-NETL's Mercury R&D Program

Funding Profile



• Focus on:

- Control technology development
- Coal byproduct characterization
- Emissions characterization and methods development
- Deposition measurement
- Plume chemistry and transport
- Supporting systems analysis

• Strong partnership with industry and EPRI



R&D Goals DOE-NETL Mercury Control Program

Have technologies ready for commercial demonstration:

- By 2005, reduce emissions 50-70%
- By 2010, reduce emissions by 90%
- Cost 25-50% less than current estimates



Baseline Costs: \$50,000 - \$70,000 / Ib Hg Removed



Phase I Field Testing



TJF_ACC_March2003

Six Mercury Control Field Tests

Technology / Utility Plant	Test Completion
ADA-ES – Sorbent Injection Alabama Power – Gaston We Energies – Pleasant Prairie PG&E – Brayton Point PG&E – Salem Harbor	April 2001 November 2001 August 2002 November 2002
McDermott-B&W – Enhanced Scrubbing Michigan South Central Power – Endicott Cinergy – Zimmer	October 2001 November 2001



Capturing Mercury Is Difficult!





A Hypothetical Example

- Dome filled with 30 billion ping-pong balls
- 30 black mercury balls
- Find and remove 27 black balls for 90% Hg capture



TJF_ACC_March2003

ADA-ES Field Test Sites



Alabama Power – Gaston

- 135 MW
- Low-sulfur bituminous coal
- ESP
- COHPAC fabric filter

We Energies – Pleasant Prairie

- 150 MW
- Subbituminous coal
- ESP





ADA-ES Field Test Sites



PG&E – Brayton Point

- 122 MW
- Low-sulfur bituminous coal
- Low-NO_X burners
- Two ESPs in series

PG&E – Salem Harbor

- 85 MW
- Low-sulfur South American bituminous coal
- SNCR
- ESP





ADA-ES Field Test Results *Activated Carbon Injection*



TJF_ACC_March2003

ADA-ES

Long-Term Sorbent Injection Testing

- Initiate long-term (~12 months) testing of sorbent injection technology at Alabama Power's E. C. Gaston Power Station
- Evaluate performance of TOXECON[™] process
 -- pressure drop, bag strength/integrity, fly ash characteristics
- TVA, FirstEnergy, Allegheny Energy, Arch Coal, EPRI, Hamon Research-Cottrell, Ontario Power



McDermott Technology and B&W *Enhanced Mercury Control in Wet FGD*



Michigan South Central Power's Endicott Plant

- 60 MW
- High-sulfur bituminous coal
- ESP
- Limestone wet FGD

Cinergy's Zimmer Plant

- 1300 MW
- High-sulfur bituminous coal
- ESP
- Magnesium-enhanced wet FGD





McDermott Technology and B&W *Enhanced Mercury Control in Wet FGD*

Wet FGD Mercury Removal,%

MSCP's Endicott Plant		Cinergy's Zimmer Plant			
Mercury Species	Baseline	Reagent*	Mercury Species	Baseline	Reagent*
Total	~ 60%	76%	Total	~ 45%	51%
Oxidized	~ 90%	93%	Oxidized	~ 90%	87%
Elemental	~ (40%)	20%	Elemental	~ (20%)	(41%)

*Reagent feed results during two-week verification testing.



Advanced Mercury Control Concepts

- Apogee Scientific
 - Advanced Hg sorbents
- CONSOL
 - Multi-pollutant control for Hg, SO₂, acid gases
- UNDEERC
 - Hybrid particulate control system

- Powerspan
 - Multi-pollutant control for Hg, SO₂, NO_x, particulates, acid gases
- Southern Research Institute
 - Calcium-based additives to control Hg
 - URS Group
 - Catalyst to convert elemental to oxidized Hg

Designed to Achieve ≥ 90% Hg Removal



UNDEERC Advanced Hybrid Particulate Collector

- 9,000 acfm slipstream testing at Otter Tail Power
 - PRB coal
 - >90% Hg removal @ C:Hg of ~1.5 lb/mmacf
 - Unusually high levels of Hg_p (~55%) and Hg⁺⁺ (~38%) at AHPC inlet
- 200 acfm pilot-scale testing
 - Same PRB coal as Big Stone
 - <5% Hg_p; 20-25% Hg⁺⁺, 70-75% Hg^o
 - Negligible Hg removal w/out C injection
 - Currently evaluating C inject results



AHPC Slipstream Test Unit at Big Stone Power Plant



URS Group *Catalytic Mercury Oxidation for Low-Rank Coals*

- URS developing mercury oxidation catalysts
- Slip-stream testing at two utility sites
 - -Great River Energy
 - Coal Creek Station (unit 1 of 2)
 - ND lignite w/ESP & Wet Scrubber
 - -City Public Service of San Antonio
 - J.K. Spruce Plant
 - Subbituminous coal





Great River Energy's Coal Creek Station, North Dakota



Southern Research Institute Pilot-testing with Calcium-Based Sorbents and Oxidizing Agents

- Evaluate alternatives to commercially available activated carbons
 - Sorbents prepared with calcium-based products such as hydrated lime and silica-modified calcium could provide for the simultaneous removal of mercury and SO₂
 - Oxidizing agents could enhance mercury capture
- Three-year test program at SRI using 1 MW pilot plant began in September 2001



Powerspan Electro-Catalytic Oxidation Technology

- Barrier discharge reactor to oxidize Hg and other pollutants (SO₂/NOx) for subsequent removal in ammonia scrubber
- Fine PM/aerosols captured in wet ESP
- Ammonium sulfate/nitrate fertilizer
 byproduct



FirstEnergy's R.E. Burger Plant

 5000 acfm slipstream testing at FirstEnergy's R.E. Burger Plant



CONSOL *Mercury/Multi-Pollutant Control*

- Mercury capture with native fly ash at reduced flue gas temperatures (320° to 220°F)
- Alkaline sorbent (Mg(OH)₂) injection to remove corrosive SO₃ upstreamof air preheater
- 4- 6 month long-term test at optimum conditions at Mitchell Station
 - -288 MW PC-fired unit
 - -High Sulfur bituminous coal



Allegheny Energy's Mitchell Station



Sorbent-Based Technologies for Utilities Burning Lignite Coals

- Joint project with:
 - -UNDEERC
 - -SaskPower
 - -EPRI
 - -ND utilities
- Pilot- and full-scale slipstream testing of carbon-based sorbent injection



SaskPower's 562-MW Lignite-Fired Poplar River Power Plant



LSR Technologies Advanced ElectroCore Particulate Collector

- Combination of activated carbon injection with ElectroCore particulate collector
- Pilot-scale testing conducted at Southern's E.C. Gaston Plant
- Preliminary results indicate 90% mercury removal with ACI feed rate of 7 lb/MMacf



Schematic of ElectoCore cylindrical separator



NETL's Inhouse R&D Activities

- <u>THIEF Process</u> Capture Hg on semi-combusted coal extracted from furnace and re-injected downstream of air preheater
- <u>GP-254 Process</u> Uses 253.7-nanometer ultraviolet radiation to increase fraction of oxidized Hg



Dr. Evan Granite, co-inventor of GP-254 Process



UNDEERC Effect of NOx SCR on Mercury Speciation

- Co-funded with EPA and EPRI
- 2001 field testing at four plants with NOx SCR
 - Two plants showed significant oxidation
 - Two plants showed minimal oxidation
- Testing continued in 2002 and 2003



Site S2 OH Test Results per EPRI Report 1005400 dated December 2002



Mercury Reactions in Plumes

- Participating in EPRI study of mercury speciation at Southern's Plant Bowen stack plume
 - Instrumented aircraft measurements through Interagency Agreement with TVA
 - Stack speciation measurements through Cooperative Agreement with UNDEERC
- Initiating analogous project with EPRI at Wisconsin Energy's Pleasant Prairie Plant



Coal Combustion Byproduct Research

- Characterization of Hg (and other trace metals) leaching and volatilization from coal byproducts:
 - University of North Dakota Energy and Environmental Research Center
 - CONSOL
 - National Energy Technology Laboratory (Inhouse R&D)

s:

– EPRI

Fly Ash and Scrubber Solids

Hazardous Designation of All By-products Would Cost \$11 Billion/Year



New Mercury Control R&D Projects for 2003

<u>General Electric Energy and Environmental Research Corp</u> – Two-year field evaluation using OFA and coal reburn to optimize mercury removal with an ESP at Western Kentucky Energy's Green Power Station

<u>CONSOL</u> – Conduct mercury speciation field testing at several plants equipped with both SCR and wet FGD

<u>Reaction Engineering</u> – Conduct a six-month pilot-scale mercury speciation test for several NOx SCR catalysts at AEP's Rockport Power Plant which burns a PRB coal

<u>UNDEERC</u> – Conduct three-year laboratory and field testing evaluation of the potential release of mercury and other air toxics associated with the disposal and commercial use of coal utilization by-products



Observations From Field Tests

Activated carbon removes Hg

 Range of effectiveness depends on coal type and plant configuration

• Many uncertainties remain

- -Capture effectiveness with low-rank coals
- -Sorbent feed rate and costs
- -By-product use and disposal
 - At Pleasant Prairie, LOI increased from 0.6% to 2.5-3.5% at10 lb/MMacf
- Need for fabric filter for units equipped with ESP
- -Balance-of-plant impacts



Mercury Control Technology R&D Phase II Field Testing Program

- Targeted solicitation issued Feb. 5, 2003: Closes April 7, 2003 and Jan. 29, 2004
- Second phase of field testing at commercial coal-fired power plants
 - Activated carbon/sorbent injection
 - Enhance capture across FGD
 - Oxidation technology
 - Novel concepts
- One-month or longer duration testing at optimum conditions with focus on broader suite of boiler configurations and coal-types (e.g., lignite)



Policy and Regulatory Implications of R&D

• Results of research and subsequent cost and performance analyses critical to:



- Alternative multi-pollutant control proposals
- United Nation Environmental Programme (UNEP) Global Mercury
 Assessment

TJF_ACC_March2003

Program Success Built on Partnerships



Jim Kilgroe (EPA), Scott Renninger (NETL), and George Offen (EPRI) discussing strategy



 NETL works closely with industry, EPRI, EPA, and other stakeholders in planning and implementing its environmental control technology research program **Upcoming Hg Meeting**

 DOE-NETL Mercury Control Technology R&D Program Review Meeting

• August 12-13, 2003

- Pittsburgh, PA
- Pittsburgh Airport Hyatt



DOE-NETL Environmental and Water Resources Home Page



 To find out more about DOE-NETL's Hg R&D activities visit us at:

