

Exhibit 300 FY2008

FY2008 Exhibit 300

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I. A. 1. Date of Submission:

2006-09-11

I. A. 2. Agency:

005

I. A. 3. Bureau:

55

I. A. 4. Name of this Capital Asset:

(short text - 250 characters)

Guaranteed Loan System (GLS)

I. A. 5. Unique ID: (For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124

I. A. 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Operations and Maintenance

I. A. 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2001 or earlier

I. A. 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this, closes in part or in whole, an identified agency performance gap:

(long text - 2500 characters)

The Guaranteed Loan System (GLS) is a mission critical system for Rural Development and Farm Service Agency which serves rural America by providing financial assistance to farmers, homeowners, communities, and businesses. The credit provided is in the form of Grants, Direct Loans, and Guaranteed Loans made by commercial lending institutions. GLS allows the two agencies to meet their strategic, financial, and operational goals and objectives for managing the rapidly expanding guaranteed loan portfolio for both agencies and direct loans and grants for Rural Development Business Programs and Community Facilities. The GLS investment has now been expanded to not only replace GLAS but another legacy system which was used for tracking Community and Business Programs direct and guaranteed loans and grants. The integration of direct loans and grants into the guaranteed loans system will maximize the use of shared data and provide single entry of data. In support of the President's Management Initiatives for expanding electronic government, GLS development is focused more on making the system available to the citizens and trusted partners through internet services and, where appropriate, to the general public. This concentration has been accelerated by the GLS customers as they demand a more accessible, user-friendly system presentation using modern technology. Funding for this USDA investment is necessary for building the infrastructure that must be in place to support the achievement of the eLoans and eGrants President's Management Initiatives. Rural Development will continue to work with USDA's eGovernment Executives to ensure GLS does not duplicate Presidential initiative efforts.

I. A. 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

yes

I. A. 9. a. If "yes", what was the date of this approval?

I. A. 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

yes

I. A. 11. Contact information of Project Manager?

I. A. 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

no

I. A. 12. a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

no

I. A. 12. b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

no

I. A. 12. b. 1. If "yes", is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

I. A. 12. b. 2. If "yes", will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

I. A. 12. b. 3. If "yes", is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

I. A. 13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

yes

I. A. 13. a. If "yes", check all that apply:

Financial Performance
Expanded E-Government

I. A. 13. b. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s).

(medium text - 500 characters)

Advances Financial Performance by providing more accurate and timely data to support operating, budget, and policy decisions. Alignment with Expansion E-Government demonstrated with eforms integrated with Business Gateway; web interface complying with DR3430-001; users utilizing AgLearn for security awareness training; GLS fronted by eAuthentication; loan program information posted on GovLoans Gateway; and grant applications available on Grants.gov and electronically transported to USDA."

I. A. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

(For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

yes

I. A. 14. a. If "yes", does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

yes

I. A. 14. b. If "yes", what is the name of the PARTed Program?

(short text - 250 characters)

Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund-Guar. Loans,Community FacilitieAgricultural Credit Insurance Fund-Guar Lns,Community Facilities, RBS Business & Industry Guar Ln.,Intermediary Relending,RBS Business & Industry Guar Ln, Rural Business Enterprise

I. A. 14. c. If "yes", what PART rating did it receive?

Adequate

I. A. 15. Is this investment for information technology? (see section 53 for definition)

yes

I. A. 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).

Level 1

I. A. 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per OMB's PM Guidance):

(1) - The project manager assigned for this investment has been validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (2) - The project manager assigned for this investment is in the process of being validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (3) - The project manager assigned for this investment is not validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (4) - The qualifications for the project manager named have not been evaluated.; (5) - No project manager is currently assigned for this investment.; (6) - N/A -- This is not an IT investment.

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

I. A. 18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

no

I. A. 19. Is this a financial management system?

yes

I. A. 19. a. If "yes", does this investment address a FFIA compliance area?

yes

I. A. 19. a. 1. If "yes" which compliance area?

(short text - 250 characters)

Core Financial Management

I. A. 19. a. 2. If "no", what does it address?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. A. 19. b. If "yes", please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

(long text - 2500 characters)

Guaranteed Loan System (GLS)

I. A. 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

I. A. 20. a. Hardware

0

I. A. 20. b. Software

0

I. A. 20. c. Services

100

I. A. 20. d. Other

0

I. A. 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

n/a

I. A. 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

I. A. 22. a. Name

(short text - 250 characters)

Brenda Dinges

I. A. 22. b. Phone Number

I. A. 22. c. Title

(short text - 250 characters)

Rural Development Information Systems Security Staff Program Manager

I. A. 22. d. Email

(short text - 250 characters)

brenda.dinges@stl.usda.gov

I. A. 23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

yes

Section B: Summary of Funding

I. B. 1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table.

All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

	PY-1 Spending Prior to 2006	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008					
Planning	0	0	0	0					
Acquisition	31.946	0	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	31.946	0	0	0					
Operations & Maintenance	3.54	4.57	5.249	5.476					
TOTAL	35.486	4.57	5.249	5.476					
Government FTE Costs	13.604	2.924	2.511	2.582					
Number of FTE represented by cost	41	32	24.5	24.5					

I. B. 2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

I. B. 2. a. If "yes", How many and in what year?

(medium text - 500 characters)

No changes

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
-------------	-----------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

2002	Goal 5.2: Develop information systems which support cost-effective delivery of programs and maximize the availability of information to all employees	\$250,000 reduction in postage and mailing costs and a significant reduction in paper costs facilitated by the user of electronic commerce.	\$268,564	Number and cost of envelopes, cost of postage, and cost of paper	\$3,103 and \$5,852 savings beyond estimate
2002	Goal 1.4: Manage the loan portfolio in a manner that is efficient and effective	2-5% reduction in number of non-performing loans through more timely and accurate status information	884 losses and .87% of loan portfolio	Number of actual losses and factoring portfolio size	32% increase (It has since been determined there is a trend in the housing loan industry for borrowers to begin defaulting on their loan in the fifth year and the SFH loan portfolio had more than tripled in size since five years prior.)
2002	Goal 1.4: Manage the loan portfolio in a manner that is efficient and effective	Receive GRH delinquency data by 6 working days after the end of the month	GRH Delinquency reports are due within 30 days after the end of the month	Number of Loans reported	Average increase of 10,000 reported in 6 business days.
2002	Goal 1.4: Manage the loan portfolio in a manner that is efficient and effective	Receive GRH portfolio data by 6 working days after the end of the quarter	GRH status reports are due within 30 days after the end of the year	Number of Loans reported	90% of loans reported in 6 business days.
2002	Goal 1.4: Manage the loan portfolio in a manner that is efficient and effective	Improve Financial Management and Congressional reporting on GRH portfolio	GRH portfolio statistical and financial data is due annually	Require GRH status reports on the entire portfolio be submitted quarterly	Annual GRH status reports are now received quarterly
2003	Goal 1.4: Manage the loan portfolio in a manner that is efficient and effective	Increase the number of GRH loans reported by 20%	50% of the GRH loan portfolio is reported annually	Number of quarterly status reports divided by number of open loans	40% increase in number of GRH loans reported quarterly
2003	Goal 1.4: Manage the loan portfolio in a manner that is efficient and effective	Reduce labor costs associated with preparing and updating GRH status reports by 85%	Labor costs for preparing and updating a GRH status report is \$87,000 annually	Time required to research and update rejected GRH status reports	Labor costs reduced by 90.8%
2003	Goal 1.4: Manage the loan portfolio in a manner that is efficient and effective	Reduce time to retrieve management, program and financial reports by 25%	Average time to retrieve management, program, and financial reports is one hour	Time required for accessing requesting and retrieving reports	Time reduced by 75%
2004	Goal 1.4: Manage the loan portfolio in a manner that is efficient and effective	Reduce GRH Loss Claim payment delivery to 2 days.	GRH Loss Claim Payment delivery takes 5 to 10 business days	Actual number of days between Lender submitting GRH Loss Claim and electronic deposit of funds in Lender's bank account	Time reduced by 80%
2004	Goal 3.2: Develop and maintain automated system which promotes sound security	No GLS automated security objectives are reported to be in a "Red" status	Deliver effective, efficient service to the Public	September Progress: Yellow	Achieved Green Status
2004	Goal 1.4: Manage the loan portfolio in a manner that is efficient and effective	Decrease the average loss claim processing time to 10 days	30 days to process a SFH loss claim	Number of days from the time the GRH Loss Claim is received from the Lender until disbursement is made	Average loss claim processing time decreased to 14 days
2005	Mission and Business Results - Financial Management	Percent of first-year currency rate for SFH Customers	95% in 2004	97%	97%
2005	Customer Results - Service Coverage	Percent of first-year currency rate for SFH Customers	690,000 in 2004	650000	1325274
2005	Process and Activities - Productivity and Efficiency	Number of GRH loss claims reported to Treasury compared to actual number of GRH loss claims processed	0% in 2004	10%	4%
2005	Technology - Efficiency	Percent of disbursements made electronically	32% in 2004	90%	70%
2005	Customer Results--Customer Benefit	Number of homeownership opportunities created	31,751 in 2003	32,500	31,480

I. D. 2. Table 2

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2006	Customer Results	Homeownership Promotion	Customers served by new or improved water and waste disposal facilities	650,000 in 2005	570,000 for FY06	1,291,505 as of August '06
2006	Customer Results	Homeownership Promotion	Number of homeownership opportunities created	31,480 in 2005	39,940 for FY06	15,000 as of July 30, 2006
2006	Mission and Business Results	Homeownership Promotion	Number of GRH loss claims reported to Treasury compared to actual number of GRH loss claims processed	4% in FY05	10%	16% as of June 30, 2006
2006	Technology	Efficiency	Percent of disbursements made electronically	70% in 2005	99%	71% as of July '06
2006	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Decrease in FSA loan loss Percent	5.8% in 2005	Decrease to 10%	0% decrease to date in '06
2007	Customer Results	Homeownership Promotion	Customers served by new or improved water and waste disposal facilities	570,000 in 2006	Maintain at 570,000	To Be Determined in FY07
2007	Customer Results	Homeownership Promotion	Number of homeownership opportunities created	39,940 in 2006	Increase to 49,126	To Be Determined in FY07
2007	Technology	Efficiency	Number of GRH loss claims reported to Treasury compared to actual number of GRH loss claims processed	10% in 2006	Increase to 15%	To Be Determined in FY07
2007	Technology	Efficiency	Percent of disbursements made electronically	99% in 2006	99%	To Be Determined in FY07
2007	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Decrease in FSA loan loss Percent	10% in 2006	Decrease to 9%	To Be Determined in FY07
2008	Customer Results	Homeownership Promotion	Customers served by new or improved water and waste disposal facilities	570,000 in 2007	Increase 10%	To be Determined in FY08
2008	Customer Results	Homeownership Promotion	Number of homeownership opportunities created	49,126 in 2007	Increase 10%	To be Determined in FY08
2008	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Number of GRH loss claims reported to Treasury compared to actual number of GRH loss claims	15% in FY07	20% IN FY08	To be Determined in FY08
2008	Technology	Efficiency	Percent of disbursements made electronically	99% in 2007	99%	To be Determined in FY08
2008	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Decrease in FSA loan loss percent	9% in 2007	Decrease to 8%	To be Determined in FY08
2009	Customer Results	Homeownership Promotion	Customers served by new or improved water and waste disposal facilities	627,000 for FY08	Increase by 10%	To be determined in FY09
2009	Customer Results	Homeownership Promotion	Number of Homeownership opportunities created	54,038 for FY08	10% increase	To be determined in FY09
2009	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Number of GRH loss claims reported to Treasury compared to actual number of GRH loss claims	20% in FY08	Maintain at 20%	To be determined in FY09
2009	Technology	Efficiency	Percent of disbursements made electronically	99% in 2008	99%	To be determined in FY09
2009	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Decrease in FSA loan loss percent	8% in 2008	Decrease to 7%	To be determined in FY09

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I. F. 1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

I. F. 1. a. If "no", please explain why?
(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

no

I. F. 2. a. If "yes", provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.
(medium text - 500 characters)

Guaranteed Loan System

I. F. 2. b. If "no" please explain why?
(long text - 2500 characters)

USDA is developing a Transition Strategy for the calendar year 2007 annual OMB EA Assessment. This investment will be associated with USDA Loans and Financial Management initiatives.

I. F. 3. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

FEA SRM Component - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM. FEA Service Component Reused - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission. Internal or External Reuse? - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government. Funding Percentage - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service. (Character Limitations: Agency Component Name - 250 Characters; Agency Component Description - 500 Characters)

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused - Component Name	FEA Service Component Reused - UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
Customer Services	Within the automated underwriting application, a user maintains their profile with accessing credit agencies	Customer Preferences	Contact and Profile Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Customer Services	Online Help for GLS and USDALINC	Customer Initiated Assistance	Online Help	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Customer Services	Decision Engine in the automated underwriting system evaluates credit history of customers from third party credit agencies	Customer Relationship Management	Customer Analytics	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Customer Services	GLS allows for the creation and maintenance of loans	Customer Relationship Management	Product Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Customer Services	GLS retains customer information in order to fund loans	Customer Relationship Management	Customer / Account Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Process Automation Services	GLS captures all business transactions against the loans for monitoring and tracking purposes of loans and lenders	Tracking and Workflow	Process Tracking	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Process Automation Services	Automated underwriting application will send an email to the service center when an application is received from the lender	Routing and Scheduling	Inbound Correspondence Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Business Management Services	GLS allows the program areas to manage and monitor part of their loan portfolio and the funds reservations for the Single Family Housing. Also, the decision engine of the	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0

	automated underwriting application applies the business rules.						
Business Management Services	GLS is specifically designed for managing part of RD and FSA's loan portfolio	Investment Management	Portfolio Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	Automated Underwriting application uses historical data to predict if a loan will default and score accordingly	Analysis and Statistics	Modeling	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	Automated Underwriting component	Business Intelligence	Balanced Scorecard	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	Automated Underwriting component will have a decision engine for analyzing applicants against business rules and scorecard.	Business Intelligence	Decision Support and Planning	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	Property Eligibility Calculator provides maps in statis form today but will be enhanced to have Mapquest style functionality.	Visualization	Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	GLS currently allows ad oc reporting through the WebFOCUS MRE tool.	Reporting	Ad Hoc	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	GLS has over 500 canned reports.	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	GLS interfaces with PLAS, Data Warehouse, Department of Treasury, Hosuing and Urban Development, Ginnie Mae, Electronic Funds Transfer.	Data Management	Data Exchange	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	When GLS interfaces with the Data Warehouse, there is an extraction procedure.	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	Some Lender Status Reports are received electronically from Lenders in an x12 format. These files must be loaded into the GLS database.	Data Management	Loading and Archiving	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	GLS captures all business transactions against the loans for monitoring and tracking purposes of loans and lenders.	Financial Management	Auditing	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	Many of the GLS canned reports are considered financial reports by the user financial management user community.	Financial Management	Activity-Based Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	GLS was originally developed on the mainframe but was quickly diverted to the web applications. GLS now has business functions on both and both integrate into one common system. GLS also integrates with the legacy PLAS for the general ledger support.	Financial Management	Auditing	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	GLS integrates data from the USDALINC system, which is where lenders submit thier status reports. GLS also integrates data from PLAS. The automated underwritng application will integrate data from credit agencies.	Development and Integration	Legacy Integration	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	Software development is being executed in order for GLS to be developed and maintained.	Development and Integration	Data Integration	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	Software development is being executed in order for	Development and	Software Development	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-	No Reuse	0

	GLS to be developed and maintained.	Integration			00-402-124		
Support Services	The external GLS website, USDALINC, maintains security information about users and validates them upon entry.	Security Management	Identification and Authentication	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Data is encrypted when being sent to and received from the external GLS website, USDALINC.	Security Management	NEW	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Both the GLS internal and external websites reside within the St. Louis web farm, which perform intrusion detection.	Security Management	Intrusion Detection	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	GLS and USDALINC both support the confirmation of authority to enter their application.	Security Management	NEW	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	The external GLS website, USDALINC, maintains security information about users.	Security Management	NEW	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Both the GLS internal and external websites support the granting of abilities to users or groups of users for their respective application.	Security Management	NEW	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Both the GLS internal and external websites support the monitoring, administration and usage of their respective application from locations outside of the immediate system environment.	Systems Management	Remote Systems Control	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Both the GLS internal and external websites support the balance and allocation of memory, usage, disk space and performance on their respective computers and applications.	Systems Management	System Resource Monitoring	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	GLS supports the design and generation of electronic or physical forms for use within the business cycle.	Forms Management	Forms Creation	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	GLS supports the maintenance of electronic or physical forms and their respective elements and fields.	Forms Management	Forms Modification	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Numerous GLS components support retrieval of records that satisfy specific query selection criteria.	Search	Query	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1050-00-402-124	No Reuse	0
eAuthentication	Agency's reusable component for authentication	Security Management	Identification and Authentication	Identification and Authentication	005-03-02-01-01-8003-00-404-140	Internal	0

I. F. 4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component - Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications. Service Specification - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate. (Character Limitations: Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) - 250 characters)

FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Collaboration / Communications	

NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Wide Area Network (WAN)	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Wide Area Network (WAN)	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Platform and	Hardware /	Network Devices / Standards	

	Infrastructure	Infrastructure		
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
NEW	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Modeling	
NEW	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	
NEW	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	
NEW	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	
NEW	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	
NEW	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	
NEW	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
NEW	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
NEW	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
NEW	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
NEW	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	
NEW	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	
NEW	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	
NEW	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	
NEW	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
NEW	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
NEW	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
NEW	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
NEW	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
NEW	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
NEW	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Database	

NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Transformation	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Discovery	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	

I. F. 5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

yes

I. F. 5. a. If "yes", please describe.

(long text - 2500 characters)

GLS will migrate toward the collaboration with many other components of the Federal Enterprise Architecture in order to streamline business processes. These plans include an unfunded project that would provide a mechanism for lenders to submit their required guarantee and annual fees electronically to the Agency. We would partner with Treasury and the Pay.gov system to collect the guarantee and annual fees electronically and process into GLS. GLS leverages USDA's AgLearn for automated security Awareness Training. GLS is fronted by eAuthentication.

I. F. 6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

no

I. F. 6. a. If "yes", does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

I. F. 6. a. 1. If "yes", provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).

(medium text - 500 characters)

PART III: FOR "OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE" INVESTMENTS ONLY (STEADY-STATE)

Part III should be completed only for investments which will be in "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in FY 2008, i.e., selected the "Operations and Maintenance" choice in response to Question 6 in Part I, section A above.

Section A: Risk Management

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investments life-cycle. Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

III. A. 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

III. A. 1. a. If "yes", what is the date of the plan?

2006-08-22

III. A. 1. b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

yes

III. A. 1. c. If "yes", describe any significant changes:

(medium text - 500 characters)

The investment's risks have been reassessed in light of recent security events.

III. A. 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

III. A. 2. a. If "yes", what is the planned completion date?

III. A. 2. b. If "no", what is the strategy for managing the risks?

(long text - 2500 characters)