

Exhibit 300 FY2008

FY2008 Exhibit 300

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I. A. 1. Date of Submission:

2006-11-01

I. A. 2. Agency:

005

I. A. 3. Bureau:

47

I. A. 4. Name of this Capital Asset:

(short text - 250 characters)

Corporate Insurance Information System

I. A. 5. Unique ID: (For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

005-47-01-51-01-0002-00-206-086

I. A. 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Operations and Maintenance

I. A. 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2001 or earlier

I. A. 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this, closes in part or in whole, an identified agency performance gap:

(long text - 2500 characters)

This investment is the cornerstone of business processing for the delivery of the crop insurance program. It performs three mission critical functions. The AFS (Actuarial Filing System) establishes the risk management products sold and serviced via industry partners. The DAS (Data Acceptance System) acts as the interface between the Agency and its private industry partners transferring data, governs checks and balances between RMA and private technology systems to provide reasonable assurance of quality and reliability of data, acts as the entry point for all downstream investment/system processing for the Agency. The investment also includes a MIS (management information system) that includes reporting tools and interfaces for use by the public, partners, interested parties (agricultural groups, agri-business, schools and universities, etc.) and RMA.

I. A. 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

yes

I. A. 9. a. If "yes", what was the date of this approval?

2006-09-06

I. A. 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

yes

I. A. 11. Contact information of Project Manager?

I. A. 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

yes

I. A. 12. a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

no

I. A. 12. b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

no

I. A. 12. b. 1. If "yes", is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

I. A. 12. b. 2. If "yes", will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

I. A. 12. b. 3. If "yes", is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

I. A. 13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

yes

I. A. 13. a. If "yes", check all that apply:

Eliminating Improper Payments

I. A. 13. b. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s).

(medium text - 500 characters)

This investment confirms that insurance industry partners are delivering the program within the constraints required by the policies and provisions of the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation. This investment assures that private industry partners are delivering the crop insurance and risk management tools as determined by the policies and procedures of the Corporation. This investment acts as the first of two junctures that assure both the Agency and its partners bear the appropriate benefit/risk.

I. A. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

(For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

yes

I. A. 14. a. If "yes", does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

yes

I. A. 14. b. If "yes", what is the name of the PARTed Program?

(short text - 250 characters)

Crop Insurance

I. A. 14. c. If "yes", what PART rating did it receive?

Moderately Effective

I. A. 15. Is this investment for information technology? (see section 53 for definition)

yes

I. A. 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact

mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).

Level 2

I. A. 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per OMB's PM Guidance):

(1) - The project manager assigned for this investment has been validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (2) - The project manager assigned for this investment is in the process of being validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (3) - The project manager assigned for this investment is not validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (4) - The qualifications for the project manager named have not been evaluated.; (5) - No project manager is currently assigned for this investment.; (6) - N/A -- This is not an IT investment.

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

I. A. 18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

no

I. A. 19. Is this a financial management system?

no

I. A. 19. a. If "yes", does this investment address a FFIA compliance area?

no

I. A. 19. a. 1. If "yes" which compliance area?

(short text - 250 characters)

I. A. 19. a. 2. If "no", what does it address?

(medium text - 500 characters)

This investment provides the structure for the development and dissemination of insurance and risk management products to industry partners and producers. It also provides the mechanism to assure the program is delivered in compliance with RMA policies, procedures and the agreement with industry. It also provides reporting and analysis tools for use by the business and management community.

I. A. 19. b. If "yes", please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. A. 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

I. A. 20. a. Hardware

0

I. A. 20. b. Software

0

I. A. 20. c. Services

100

I. A. 20. d. Other

0

I. A. 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

yes

I. A. 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

I. A. 22. a. Name

(short text - 250 characters)

Eric Baer

I. A. 22. b. Phone Number

I. A. 22. c. Title

(short text - 250 characters)

Chief, Information Systems Security Branch

I. A. 22. d. Email

(short text - 250 characters)

eric.baer@rma.usda.gov

I. A. 23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

yes

Section B: Summary of Funding

I. B. 1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table.

All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

	PY-1 Spending Prior to 2006	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008					
Planning	0	0	0	0					
Acquisition	18.130	0	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	18.130	0	0	0					
Operations & Maintenance	17.849	3.93	3.93	3.94					
TOTAL	35.979	3.93	3.93	3.94					
Government FTE Costs	9.167	0.46	1.1	1.1					
Number of FTE represented by cost	53.2	5.8	11	11					

I. B. 2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

I. B. 2. a. If "yes", How many and in what year?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. B. 3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

(long text - 2500 characters)

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy

(Character Limitations: Contract or Task Order Number - 250 Characters; Type of Contract/Task Order - 250 Characters; Name of CO - 250 Characters; CO Contact Information - 250 Characters)

(long text - 2500 characters)

yes

(medium text - 500 characters)

Contract has clauses that HW, SW and other covered IT purchases are 508 compliant; it also has clauses that custom software will also be 508 compliant. This compliancy includes passing requirements as laid out in both USDA and RMA policy and procedure.

yes

2006-01-01

(medium text - 500 characters)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

(Character Limitations: Strategic Goal(s) Supported - 250 Characters; Performance Measure - 250 Characters; Actual/baseline from Previous Year) - 250 Characters; Planned Performance Metric (Target) - 250 Characters; Performance Metric Results (Actual) - 250 Characters; Measurement Indicator - 250 Characters; Baseline - 250 Characters; Planned Improvement to the Baseline - 250 Characters; Actual Results - 250 Characters)

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
2005	USDA Goal 1 and Agency Goal 1.1 Increase availability and utilization of Risk Mgmt Tools	Growth in acreage insured.	196,918,000 acres were insured for all crops (1999).	222,125,000 acres will be insured for all crops	245,811,000 acres insured.
2006	USDA Goal 1 and Agency Goal 1.1 Increase availability and utilization of risk management tools.	Growth in acreage insured.	203,000,000 acres were insured for all crops (2004).	222,125,000 + acres will be insured for all crops.	TBD
2007	USDA Goal 1 and Agency Goal 1.1 Increase availability and utilization of	Growth in acreage insured.	End of this crop cycle acreage TBD in FY 2007.	222,125,000 + acres will be insured for all crops.	TBD

2008	USDA Goal 1 and Agency Goal 1.1 Increase availability and utilization of risk management tools.	Growth in acreage insured.	End of this crop cycle acreage TBD in FY 2008.	222,125,000 + acres will be insured for all crops.	TBD
2009	USDA Goal 1 and Agency Goal 1.1 Increase availability and utilization of	Growth in acreage insured.	End of this crop cycle acreage TBD in FY 2009.	222,125,000 + acres will be insured for all crops.	TBD

I. D. 2. Table 2

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2005	Mission and Business Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Growth in acreage insured	196,359,000 acres were insured for all crops(1999).	222,163,000 acres will be insured for all crops	245,811,000 acres insured.
2005	Mission and Business Results	Customer Training	Increase in program participation.	73 percent of planted acreage were insured (1999).	84.3 percent participation.	78.5 percent of 10 Staple Crops insured.
2005	Mission and Business Results	Property Protection	Growth in normalized value of risk protection provided.	Total normalized value of risk protection was \$30.228 Billion (1999).	Total normalized value of risk protection will be \$41.603 Billion	Total normalized value of risk protection is \$44.276 Billion.
2005	Mission and Business Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Growth in county crop programs offered.	37,892 county crop programs were available (1999)	47,511 county crop programs will be available	47,511 county crop programs are available
2006	Mission and Business Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Growth in acreage insured	196,918,000 were insured for all crops (1999)	250,800,000 acres will be insured for all crops	Actual results will be reported at the end of the FY for 2006
2006	Mission and Business Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Increase in program participation.	73 percent of planted acreage were insured (1999).	79.0 percent of 10 Staple Crops will be insured	Actual results will be reported at the end of the FY for 2006
2006	Mission and Business Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Growth in normalized value of risk protection provided	Total normalized value of risk protection was \$30.228 billion (1999)	Total normalized risk protection will be \$44.633 billion	Actual results will be reported at the end of the FY for 2006
2007	Mission and Business Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Growth in acreage	196,918,000 were insured for all crops (1999)	286,900,00 acres will be insured for all crops	Actual results will be reported at the end of the FY for 2007
2007	Customer Results	Customer Training	Increase in Program Participation	73 percent of framers were insured (1999)	79.5 percent of 10 staple crops will be insured	Actual results will be reported at the end of the FY for 2007
2007	Processes and Activities	Property Protection	Growth in normalized value of risk protection provided	Total normalized value of risk protection was \$30.228 billion (1999)	Total normalized risk protection will be \$49.766 billion Total normalized risk pro	Actual results will be reported at teh end of the FY for 2007
2008	Mission and Business Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Growth in acreage	196,918,000 were insured for all crops (1999)	29,300,000 acres will be insured for all crops	Actual results will be reported at the end of FY 2008
2008	Customer Results	New Customers and Market Penetration	Increase in program participation	73 percent of farmers were insured (1999)	80 percent of 10 staple crops will be insured	Actual results will be reported at the end of the FY for 2008
2008	Processes and Activities	Property Protection	Growth in normalized value of risk protection provided	Total normalized value of risk protection was \$30.228 billion (1999)	Total normalized risk protection will be \$54.541 billion	Actual results will be reported at the end of the FY for 2008
2009	Processes and Activities	Property Protection	Growth in normalized value of risk protection provided.	Total normalized risk protection will be 30.228 billion. (1999)	Total normalized risk protection will be +\$54.541 billion.	Actual results will be reported at the end of 2009.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I. F. 1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

I. F. 1. a. If "no", please explain why?
(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

no

I. F. 2. a. If "yes", provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

(medium text - 500 characters)

RMA Investment 13: eWA-EITA which is slated for completion in FY 2010.

I. F. 2. b. If "no" please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

USDA is developing its Transition Strategy and that the investment may be listed under its own name and associated with USDA Program Oversight initiatives.

I. F. 3. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

FEA SRM Component - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM. FEA Service Component Reused - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission. Internal or External Reuse? - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government. Funding Percentage - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service. (Character Limitations: Agency Component Name - 250 Characters; Agency Component Description - 500 Characters)

I. F. 4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component - Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications. Service Specification - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate. (Character Limitations: Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) - 250 characters)

FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Database	
Process Tracking	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Process Tracking	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	
Process Tracking	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Process Tracking	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent	
Process Tracking	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent	
Process Tracking	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Process Tracking	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	

I. F. 5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

no

I. F. 5. a. If "yes", please describe.
(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

yes

I. F. 6. a. If "yes", does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

no

I. F. 6. a. 1. If "yes", provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).
(medium text - 500 characters)

PART III: FOR "OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE" INVESTMENTS ONLY (STEADY-STATE)

Part III should be completed only for investments which will be in "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in FY 2008, i.e., selected the "Operations and Maintenance" choice in response to Question 6 in Part I, section A above.

Section A: Risk Management

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investments life-cycle. Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

III. A. 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

III. A. 1. a. If "yes", what is the date of the plan?

2006-07-15

III. A. 1. b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

yes

III. A. 1. c. If "yes", describe any significant changes:

(medium text - 500 characters)

Risk Management Plan was expanded to include assessments of known weaknesses in USDA and other Government systems (loss of data in-transit; inappropriate storage of data by employees in telework, commuting or travel status; access to privacy data through public sites, etc.).

III. A. 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

III. A. 2. a. If "yes", what is the planned completion date?

III. A. 2. b. If "no", what is the strategy for managing the risks?

(long text - 2500 characters)