

Exhibit 300 FY2008

FY2008 Exhibit 300

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I. A. 1. Date of Submission:

2006-06-07

I. A. 2. Agency:

005

I. A. 3. Bureau:

96

I. A. 4. Name of this Capital Asset:

(short text - 250 characters)

Infra

I. A. 5. Unique ID: (For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

005-96-01-11-01-1030-00

I. A. 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Mixed Life Cycle

I. A. 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2001 or earlier

I. A. 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this, closes in part or in whole, an identified agency performance gap:

(long text - 2500 characters)

Infra is an integrated asset management system for gathering, maintaining, and reporting on all data pertaining to Forest Service constructed features and land management (roads, buildings, trails, developed recreation sites, heritage, wilderness, water systems, waste systems, general forest areas, caves, signs, culverts, dams, bridges, and several other miscellaneous feature types), range and special uses permit administration and billing, grants authorization administration, and agreement administration. The data are essential for managing the National Forest lands, features and permits as well as fiscal items necessary to assure accountability and financial health. It provides a uniform data structure to support business functions for field personnel and to facilitate upward reporting needs including deferred maintenance. Infra contains analytical tools and access to data and is poised to support government initiatives such as homeland security, Geospatial one stop, and electronic interface to the public for permits and recreation. The application also interfaces with the USDA CPAIS application for asset management, provides information to the FRPP report and interfaces with USDA accounting system FFIS. The investment has been in operation since 1998 and is in the steady state phase.. The Department of Agriculture E-Board, which manages the agency's capital planning and investment control (CPIC) has direct oversight and control over the investment. The USDA E-Board approved the continuation of this investment on August 6, 2004 and August 4, 2005.

I. A. 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

yes

I. A. 9. a. If "yes", what was the date of this approval?

2006-09-06

I. A. 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

yes

I. A. 11. Contact information of Project Manager?

I. A. 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

yes

I. A. 12. a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

yes

I. A. 12. b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

no

I. A. 12. b. 1. If "yes", is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

I. A. 12. b. 2. If "yes", will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

I. A. 12. b. 3. If "yes", is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

I. A. 13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

yes

I. A. 13. a. If "yes", check all that apply:

Budget Performance Integration
Financial Performance
Expanded E-Government
Real Property Asset Management

I. A. 13. b. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s).

(medium text - 500 characters)

Interface with Geospatial one stop. Interfaces with the USDA CPAIS and FFIS. Supports Recreation One-Stop and ePermits (USDA Smart Choices) initiatives. The project is in alignment with E-Gov/Lob/SmartBUY initiatives by 1) exclusively using ESRI software for GIS functionality off ESRI SmartBUY contract, 2) USDA E-Authentication, 3) eLearning by using AgLearn 4) providing data for Federal Asset Sales. Data base of record for the Forest Service Chief's performance

I. A. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

(For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

no

I. A. 14. a. If "yes", does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

I. A. 14. b. If "yes", what is the name of the PARTed Program?

(short text - 250 characters)

I. A. 14. c. If "yes", what PART rating did it receive?

I. A. 15. Is this investment for information technology? (see section 53 for definition)

yes

I. A. 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).

Level 2

I. A. 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per OMB's PM Guidance):

(1) - The project manager assigned for this investment has been validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (2) - The project manager assigned for this investment is in the process of being validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (3) - The project manager assigned for this investment is not validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (4) - The qualifications for the project manager named have not been evaluated.; (5) - No project manager is currently assigned for this investment.; (6) - N/A -- This is not an IT investment.

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

I. A. 18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

no

I. A. 19. Is this a financial management system?

yes

I. A. 19. a. If "yes", does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

yes

I. A. 19. a. 1. If "yes" which compliance area?

(short text - 250 characters)

Integrated Financial management Systems, Financial Reporting, SGL at the Transaction Level, Functional Requirements, Computer Security Act Requirements, Documentation, Internal Controls, Training and User Support, Maintenance..

I. A. 19. a. 2. If "no", what does it address?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. A. 19. b. If "yes", please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

(long text - 2500 characters)

USDA Corporate Property Automated Information System (CPAIS), Foundation Financial Information System (FFIS), Infra

I. A. 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

I. A. 20. a. Hardware

3

I. A. 20. b. Software

1

I. A. 20. c. Services

82

I. A. 20. d. Other

I. A. 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

n/a

I. A. 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

I. A. 22. a. Name

(short text - 250 characters)

Michael Potts

I. A. 22. b. Phone Number

I. A. 22. c. Title

(short text - 250 characters)

security manager

I. A. 22. d. Email

(short text - 250 characters)

mpotts@fs.fed.us

I. A. 23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

yes

Section B: Summary of Funding

I. B. 1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table.

All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

	PY-1 Spending Prior to 2006	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008					
Planning	0	0	0	0					
Acquisition	27.61	2.193	2.75	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	27.61	2.193	2.75	0					
Operations & Maintenance	15.80	8.58	9.29	11.55					
TOTAL	43.41	10.773	12.04	11.55					
Government FTE Costs	11.37	1.875	1.91	1.930					
Number of FTE represented by cost	6	7.0	8.0	8					

I. B. 2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

I. B. 2. a. If "yes", How many and in what year?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. B. 3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

na

(Character Limitations: Contract or Task Order Number - 250 Characters; Type of Contract/Task Order - 250 Characters; Name of CO - 250 Characters; CO Contact Information - 250 Characters)

(long text - 2500 characters)

yes

All agency contracts include section 508 compliance clauses

yes

2006-09-06

(medium text - 500 characters)

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

(Character Limitations: Strategic Goal(s) Supported - 250 Characters; Performance Measure - 250 Characters; Actual/baseline (from Previous Year) - 250 Characters; Planned Performance Metric (Target) - 250 Characters; Performance Metric Results (Actual) - 250 Characters; Measurement Indicator - 250 Characters; Baseline - 250 Characters; Planned Improvement to the Baseline - 250 Characters; Actual Results - 250 Characters)

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
-------------	-----------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

2001	Effective Public Service, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #4)	Improve productivity by eliminating redundant data entry	32 Legacy systems	32	32
2002	Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #1)	100% units report year end Range statistics	Manually compiled reports were inconsistent and delayed	100	100
2002	Multiple Benefits to Multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	100% inventory of Recreation opportunities	Manually compiled reports	75	50
2002	Multiple Benefits to Multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	100% Deferred Maintenance calculated	Manually compiled reports were inconsistent and delayed	100	100
2002	Multiple Benefits to Multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	number of special use permits	Manually processed permits	70000	73072
2002	Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 1)	number of Range permits	Manually processed permits	7000	6911
2002	Effective Public Service, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 4)	% inventory of Grants and Agreements including value	Paper records	50	75
2002	Effective Public Service, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 4)	1 center for real time posting, managing and recording of financial data related to real property	Manual updating financial records	1	1
2003	Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 1)	number of Range permits	6,911	7000	7750
2003	Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #1)	100% of units reported year end Range statistics	100	100	100
2003	Multiple Benefits to Multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	100% inventory of Recreation opportunities	75%	100	100
2003	Multiple Benefits to Multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	100% Deferred Maintenance calculated	100	100	100
2003	Multiple Benefits to Multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	number of special use permits	73,072	73000	76571
2003	Effective Public Service, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 4)	% inventory of Grants and Agreements including value	75%	100	100
2004	Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 1)	number of Range permits	7,750	7000	8253
2004	Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #1)	100% of units reported year end Range statistics	100	100	100
2004	Multiple Benefits to Multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	100% Deferred Maintenance calculated	100	100	100
2004	Multiple Benefits to Multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	number of special use permits	76,571	73000	77939
2004	Effective Public Service, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #4)	100% data migrated to CPAIS	legacy Infra central	100	100

I. D. 2. Table 2

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2005	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Range Permits	7000	7000	6239
2005	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Minerals Permits	250	300	254
2005	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Special Use authorizations	73000	75000	77,957
2005	Processes and Activities	Financial Management	Replications to CPAIS per year	12	12	12
2005	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	15	17
2005	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% of time application is up during established schedule	95%	95%	99%

2006	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Range Permits	7000	7000	to date = 6107
2006	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Special Use Permits	75,000	75,000	to date = 72,362
2006	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Minerals Permits	250	300	to date = 683
2006	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	15	to date = 16%
2006	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% of time application is up during established schedule	95%	95%	to date = 100%
2007	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Range Permits	7000	7000	
2007	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Special Use Permits	75,000	75,000	
2007	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Minerals Permits	300	300	
2007	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	15	
2007	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% of time application is up during established schedule	95%	95%	
2008	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Range Permits	7000	7000	
2008	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Special Use Permits	75,000	75,000	
2008	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Minerals Permits	300	300	
2008	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	15	
2008	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% of time application is up during established schedule	95%	95%	
2009	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Range Permits	7000	7000	
2009	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Special Use Permits	75,000	75,000	
2009	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	Minerals Permits	300	300	
2009	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	15	
2009	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% of time application is up during established schedule	95%	95%	

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I. F. 1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

I. F. 1. a. If "no", please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

no

I. F. 2. a. If "yes", provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. F. 2. b. If "no" please explain why?*(long text - 2500 characters)*

USDA is in the process of developing a Transition Strategy that should be in place for the calendar year 2007 annual OMB EA Assessment. This investment will likely be listed under its own name and be linked to USDA enterprise efforts as well as the associated Presidential initiative

I. F. 3. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table.

For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

FEA SRM Component - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM. FEA Service Component Reused - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission. Internal or External Reuse? - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government. Funding Percentage - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service. (Character Limitations: Agency Component Name - 250 Characters; Agency Component Description - 500 Characters)

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused - Component Name	FEA Service Component Reused - UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
Infra	help	Customer Initiated Assistance	Online Help	Online Help		No Reuse	1
Infra	documntation	Customer Relationship Management	Online Tutorials	Online Tutorials		No Reuse	1
Infra	change mgmt	Management of Processes	Configuration Management	Configuration Management		No Reuse	1
Infra	requirements	Management of Processes	Requirements Management	Requirements Management		No Reuse	1
Infra	PM	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management	Program / Project Management		No Reuse	1
Infra	QA	Management of Processes	Quality Management	Quality Management		No Reuse	1
Infra	Risk	Management of Processes	Risk Management	Risk Management		No Reuse	1
Infra	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	Information Retrieval		No Reuse	1
Infra	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	Information Sharing		No Reuse	1
Infra	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Categorization	Categorization		No Reuse	1
Infra	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Capture	Knowledge Capture		No Reuse	1
Infra	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Knowledge Distribution and Delivery		No Reuse	1
Infra	GIS	Visualization	Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS		No Reuse	1
Infra	report	Reporting	Ad Hoc	Ad Hoc		No Reuse	1
Infra	report	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	Standardized / Canned		No Reuse	1
Infra	Data	Data Management	Data Exchange	Data Exchange		No Reuse	1
Infra	Data	Data Management	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse		No Reuse	1
Infra	Financial	Financial Management	Billing and Accounting	Billing and Accounting		No Reuse	1
Infra	Asset mgmt	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management	Property / Asset Management		No Reuse	1
Infra	Asset mgmt	Asset / Materials Management	Asset Cataloging / Identification	Asset Cataloging / Identification		No Reuse	1
Infra	development	Development	Software	Software		No Reuse	1

Infra	Security	Security Management	Identification and Authentication	Identification and Authentication		Internal	1
Infra	Security	Security Management	Access Control	Access Control		No Reuse	1
Infra	Security	Security Management	Certification and Accreditation	Certification and Accreditation		No Reuse	1
Infra	Security	Security Management	FISMA Management and Reporting	FISMA Management and Reporting		Internal	1
Infra	query	Search	Query	Query		No Reuse	1
Infra	query	Search	Classification	Classification		No Reuse	1
Infra	system	Systems Management	Remote Systems Control	Remote Systems Control		No Reuse	1
Infra	system	Systems Management	System Resource Monitoring	System Resource Monitoring		No Reuse	1
Infra	system	Systems Management	Software Distribution	Software Distribution		No Reuse	1
Infra	system	Systems	Issue Tracking	Issue Tracking		No Reuse	1

I. F. 4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component - Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications. Service Specification - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate. (Character Limitations: Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) - 250 characters)

FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
Online Help	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Online Help	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Online Tutorials	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Online Tutorials	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Requirements Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Quality Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Risk Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Categorization	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Categorization	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Knowledge Capture	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Knowledge Capture	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Knowledge Capture	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	

Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Ad Hoc	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Ad Hoc	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Standardized / Canned	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Data Exchange	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Billing and Accounting	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Asset Cataloging / Identification	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Identification and Authentication	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	
Access Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Certification and Accreditation	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	
FISMA Management and Reporting	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Remote Systems Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
System Resource Monitoring	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	
Query	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Software Distribution	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	
Issue Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	

I. F. 5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

yes

I. F. 5. a. If "yes", please describe.

(long text - 2500 characters)

application is poised to interface with Geospatial one stop, e-grants, and e-permits to deliver services and benefits to a range of customers and to promote citizen participation in a manner that is convenient, secure and protects privacy. The application is a feeder system to and interfaces with the USDA's Corporate Property Automated Information System (CPAIS) and with the agency's accounting system (FFIS). Infra is planned to support electronic government transactions. Infra will directly support Recreation One-Stop and ePermits (USDA Smart Choices) initiatives. Recreation One-Stop will be defining recreation related data sharing standards among federal agencies. Recreation inventory and geospatial data in Infra will provide content to Recreation One-Stop for the Forest Service. The project is in alignment with E-Gov/Lob/SmartBUY initiatives by 1) exclusively using ESRI software for GIS functionality. All ESRI software is purchased off ESRI SmartBUY contract, 2) using USDA E-Authentication for user access, 3) .complying with USDA-wide policy on eLearning by using AgLearn for delivering the annual security training for employees and contractors 4) providing data for Federal Asset Sales once direction is finalized. The USDA Smart Choice ePermits initiative involves automating recreation related permits such as wilderness visitor use permits and recreation use authorizations. Infra serves as the application for storing these data, and is coordinating with departmental officials on relationships to e-permits.

I. F. 6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

no

I. F. 6. a. If "yes", does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

I. F. 6. a. 1. If "yes", provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).

(medium text - 500 characters)

PART II: PLANNING, ACQUISITION AND PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

Part II should be completed only for investments which in FY2008 will be in "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments, i.e., selected one of these three choices in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments, and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments, to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

II. A. 1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project?

yes

II. A. 1. a. If "yes", provide the date the analysis was completed?

2006-05-24

II. A. 1. b. If "no", what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?

II. A. 1. c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

(long text - 2500 characters)

II. A. 2. Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:

(Character Limitations: Alternative Analyzed - 500 characters; Description of Alternative - 500 Characters)

Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Cost Estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits Estimate
#3 Leverage existing systems.	Alternative 3 leveraged existing system. The Infra/SUDS module, for example, was sponsored as a result of a year long re-engineering effort. The study considered COTS, GOTS alternatives along with what Infra. It was found that the best scenario for a timely delivery, right features and lowest cost was Infra. Likewise, Infra was studied and selected by many business areas as the best alternative.	113.79	346.44

II. A. 3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?

(medium text - 500 characters)

Alternative #3 was chosen because it provides the data and functions essential for sound management of the National Forests, constructed features, permits and contracts, and the fiscal items necessary to assure accountability. It also provided savings which resulted largely from reduced overhead and re-use of existing Infra code. This alternative had the best benefit cost ratio, internal rate of return, and ROI. Payback Period occurs in FY2000.

II. A. 4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?

(long text - 2500 characters)

increased productivity due to a common inventory, quicker access to information, consistent data, link to spatial analysis, and machine produced bills; 2) cost avoidance replacing 32 legacy systems with one, 3) efficiencies in training on one application instead of 32, 4) lower maintenance costs, 5) elimination of redundant data entry

Section B: Risk Management

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

II. B. 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

II. B. 1. a. If "yes", what is the date of the plan?

2006-02-15

II. B. 1. b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

no

II. B. 1. c. If "yes", describe any significant changes:

(long text - 2500 characters)

II. B. 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

II. B. 2. a. If "yes", what is the planned completion date?

II. B. 2. b. If "no", what is the strategy for managing the risks?

(long text - 2500 characters)

II. B. 3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

(long text - 2500 characters)

Dollar estimates for costs or benefits were adjusted based on area of risk, probability of occurrence, and severity