REFERENCE COPY


Do Not Remove from the Library
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Biological Report 82(11.97) February 1989 National Wetlands Research Center 700 Cajun Dome Boulevard Lafayette, Louisiana 70506

TR EL-82-4

Species Profiles: Life Histories and Environmental Requirements of Coastal Fishes and Invertebrates (Mid-Atlantic)

BAY ANCHOVY



Fish and Wildlife Service

Coastal Ecology Group Waterways Experiment Station

U.S. Department of the Interior

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Biological Report 82(11.97) TR EL-82-4 February 1989

Species Profile: Life Histories and Environmental Requirements of Coastal Fishes and Invertebrates (Mid-Atlantic)

BAY ANCHOVY

bу

Timothy Morton
Consulting Fisheries Biologist
2511 Farris Breaux Road
Abbeville, LA 70510

Project Officer
David Moran
National Wetlands Research Center
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1010 Gause Boulevard
Slidell, LA 70458

Performed for Coastal Ecology Group Waterways Experiment Station U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Vicksburg, MS 39180

and

U. S. Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service Research and Development National Wetlands Research Center Washington, DC 20240

This series may be referenced as follows:

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1983-19 . Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Biol. Rep. 82(11). U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL-82-4.

This profile may be cited as follows:

Morton, T. 1989. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (Mid-Atlantic)--bay anchovy. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Biol. Rep. 82(11.97). 13 pp.

PREFACE

This species profile is one of a series on coastal aquatic organisms, principally fish, of sport, commercial, or ecological importance. The profiles are designed to provide coastal managers, engineers, and biologists with a brief comprehensive sketch of the biological characteristics and environmental requirements of the species and to describe how populations of the species may be expected to react to environmental changes caused by coastal development. Each profile has sections on taxonomy, life history, ecological role, environmental requirements, and economic importance, if applicable. A three-ring binder is used for this series so that new profiles can be added as they are prepared. This project is jointly planned and financed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Suggestions or questions regarding this report should be directed to one of the following addresses.

Information Transfer Specialist National Wetlands Research Center U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NASA-Slidell Computer Complex 1010 Gause Boulevard Slidell, LA 70458

or

U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station Attention: WESER-C Post Office Box 631 Vicksburg, MS 39180

CONVERSION TABLE

Metric to U.S. Customary

Multiply millimeters (mm) centimeters (cm) meters (m) meters (m) kilometers (km) kilometers (km)	By 0.03937 0.3937 3.281 0.5468 0.6214 0.5396	To Obtain inches inches feet fathoms statute miles nautical miles
square meters (m²) square kilometers (km²) hectares (ha)	10.76 0.3861 2.471	square feet square miles acres
liters (1) cubic meters (m ³) cubic meters (m ³)	0.2642 35.31 0.0008110	gallons cubic feet acre-feet
milligrams (mg) grams (g) kilograms (kg) metric tons (t) metric tons (t)	0.00003527 0.03527 2.205 2205.0 1.102	ounces ounces pounds pounds short tons
kilocalories (kcal) Celsius degrees (°C)	3.968 1.8(°C) + 32	British thermal units Fahrenheit degrees
<u>U.</u>	S. Customary to Metric	
<pre>inches inches feet (ft) fathoms statute miles (mi) nautical miles (nmi)</pre>	25.40 2.54 0.3048 1.829 1.609 1.852	millimeters centimeters meters meters kilometers kilometers
square feet (ft ²) square miles (mi ²) acres	0.0929 2.590 0.4047	square meters square kilometers hectares
gallons (gal) cubic feet (ft ³) acre-feet	3.785 0.02831 1233.0	liters cubic meters cubic meters
ounces (oz) ounces (oz) pounds (1b) pounds (1b) short tons (ton)	28350.0 28.35 0.4536 0.00045 0.9072	milligrams grams kilograms metric tons metric tons
British thermal units (Btu) Fahrenheit degrees (°F)	0.2520	kilocalories

CONTENTS

																																			<u>F</u>	age
PRE	FACE			•				•																												iii
CON	VERS	ION	l F	AC'	TOI	RS																														iv
ACK	NOWL	EDG	ME	NT:	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٧i
NOM	ENCL	ATU	IRE	/T/	AX	ON	OM'	Y /	RA	NG	Ε		_								_							_		_					_	1
MOR	PHOL	OGY	/ I	DEI	NT	ĪF	IC	ÀΤ	ΙO	N .	ΑI	nŠ				•	-											•					•	•	•	ī
RFA	SON	FOR	, <u>T</u>	NCI	119	S T	ΩN.	TI	N	SF	RT	FS	Ī	Ī	·	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	Ī	Ī	•	٠	•	•	٠	Ť	Ť	•	•	•	٠	Ť	3
	E HI																																			3
- 11	pawn	JIC.	ואי	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
	ggs	irng	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	A
	995	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
	arva																																			4
J	uven	nie	5	·	•	•	_:	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	4
GRU	MTH																																			4
F00	- •																																			5
ANC	HOVY	' PO	PU	LA	TIC	ON:	S	•		•		•			•	•	•	•		•	•		٠	٠	•	•	•			•		•	•		•	5
COM	MERC	IAL	F	ISI	1EI	RY	V	ALI	UΕ																											5
EC ₀	LOGI	CAL	. R	OLE	Ξ								٠																							6
ENV	IRON	MEN	TA	L	RE(UC	IRI	EMI	EN'	TS																										6
Т	empe	rat	บา	_		`.	_			_	_				-	•					_	•														6
S	alin	itv		•	Ī	Ī	·	Ĭ.	·	•	Ī	Ī	Ī	Ť	·	Ť	Ĭ.	•	Ť	•	Ī		Ĭ.	•	•	•	٠	Ť		Ī	Ī	Ť	Ĭ.	Ť	٠	6
ŭ	abit	-a+c	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
;; T	empo	u us I en	•		ċ.	•	• • •		'n	•	+.	i.	•	•	'n.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
,	-mh0	ı a ı	Ol I	IU	ગ	να	L 1 (Q I	U	13	LI.	וטו	u L	10	11	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0
I TT	FDAT	HDF	_	TT	-n																															11

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The reviews by H. Dickson Hoese and Mark A. Konikoff of the University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette, are gratefully acknowledged.




Figure 1. Bay anchovy.

BAY ANCHOYY

NOMENCLATURE/TAXONOMY/RANGE

Scientific name	
Preferred common name	. Bay anchovy
(Figure 1)	
Other common names Cor	mmon anchovy,
Mitchill's anchovy,	whitebait.
little anchovy	
Class	Osteichthyes
Order	
Family	

Geographic range: The bay anchovy occurs along the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico coasts, from Cape Cod, Massachusetts, to Yucatan, Mexico (Hildebrand 1963), except for the Florida Keys where it is apparently absent (Daly 1970). In the Mid-Atlantic Region (Figure 2), it is considered abundant off Massachusetts and Rhode Island, common off New York, and most

abundant at many localities off New Jersey, and in Chesapeake Bay (Hildebrand 1963). It has also been recorded from the waters of Connecticut (Pearcy and Richards 1962), New York (Richards 1976; Ferraro 1980), Delaware (Derickson and Price 1973), and North Carolina (Hildebrand and Cable 1930).

MORPHOLOGY/IDENTIFICATION AIDS

Anchovies are small, schooling fish that resemble herring but have proportionately larger mouths. Four species of anchovies have been recorded from the Mid-Atlantic Region, one of which is known only from its type specimen (Jones et al. 1978). The bay anchovy is by far the most abundant (Ralph Andrews, Coastal Specialist, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Newton Corner, MA; pers. comm.). An adult bay anchovy




Figure 2. Distribution of the bay anchovy in the Mid-Atlantic Region.

(Anchoa mitchilli) can be readily distinguished from the other anchovies of the Mid-Atlantic by the origin of its anal fin relative to its dorsal fin (Figure 1). In the bay anchovy, the anal fin originates under or slightly posterior to the dorsal fin origin. The bay anchovy has a rounded protuberant snout, large mouth, enlarged maxillary, and a translucent body with a silvery mid-lateral band (Hildebrand 1963; Hoese and Moore 1977; and Jones et al. 1978).

The following description of the morphological characteristics of the bay anchovy was extracted from Daly (1970), Hildebrand (1963), and Jones (1978). et al. Proportional measurements expressed as a percentage of the standard length follow: body depth 16 - 27, head length 22 - 28, eye diameter 5.8 - 9.0, and snout length 3.1 - 7.3. Meristic counts: dorsal fin rays 13 - 17, anal fin rays 23 - 30, pectoral fin rays 10 - 13, scales from upper angle of gill opening to base of caudal 38 - 44, gill rakers 15 - 20 + 20 - 26, and total vertebrae 38 - 44.

Body slender rather and moderately compressed. Snout short. no more than one-fourth of its length projecting beyond the tip of the mandible. Maxillary pointed, extended near margin of opercle. Cheek short and broad, about as long as eye. Dorsal fin rather low with a nearly straight margin. Anal fin origin under or slightly posterior to fifth Pelvic fin or sixth dorsal ray. small, not reaching halfway to origin of anal fin. Pectoral fin variable. fails to reach base of pelvic fin by distance equal to diameter of eye or greater.

Live bay anchovies are greenish with bluish reflections above the narrow silvery lateral band masked by scales, and are pale below with translucent abdominal walls (Hildebrand 1963; Jones et al. 1978). They seldom exceed a total length (TL)

of 100 mm (4 inches); the average size approaches 75 mm (Hildebrand 1963; Hildebrand and Cable 1930).

REASON FOR INCLUSION IN SERIES

The bay anchovy, because of its abundance and widespread distribution in the Mid-Atlantic Region, is a very important component of the food web of and commercial manv sport (Derickson and Price 1973; Richards 1976) as well as sea birds. Although it has no apparent commercial value, its indirect economic importance as food for recreationally commercially important species is significant. It is one of the most shallow-water, abundant euryhaline fishes.

LIFE HISTORY

Spawning

The spawning period of the bay anchovy is long. Hildebrand and Cable (1930) collected the eggs of Anchoa mitchilli from late April to late September at Beaufort, North Carolina, and they postulated from the presence of fry in December that spawning continued even later. Hildebrand and Schroeder (1928) and Pearson (1941) found evidence of spawning from May through September in Chesapeake Bay. Schauss (1977) collected larvae that were assumed to be Anchoa mitchilli from July through October in Lynnhaven Bay, Virginia.

In Long Island Sound, spawning typically occurs in water less than 20 m deep (Richards 1959). Jones et al. (1978) believed, however, that spawning may occur out to the edge of the continental shelf. Kuntz (1914) and Hildebrand and Cable (1930) concluded that bay anchovies spawn between 1800 and 2100 h (13 to 16 h after sunrise). This time of spawning was verified more recently by Ferraro (1980), who concluded that Anchoa

mitchili spawn primarily in the evening or at night (16 h after sunrise). In the Mid-Atlantic Region, spawning generally occurs in estuarine waters where water temperatures are at least 12 °C and salinities are usually over 10 ppt (Dovel 1981). Spawning may occasionally occur at 9 °C (see temperature section). Bay anchovies that hatch early in the season may become sexually mature during their first summer. Hildebrand (1963) took bay anchovies 45-60 mm long TL with well developed roe in late July and early August at Beaufort, North Carolina.

Eggs

The pelagic eggs of the bay anchovy are found throughout the water column but tend to be concentrated near the surface, in salinities of 8 to 15 ppt (Hildebrand 1963; Jones et Fertilized eggs are al. 1978). slightly elongate (Kuntz 1914), highly transparent, and have no oil globules (Hildebrand 1963). The size of bay anchovy eggs, measured along the major and minor axes, decreases with an increase in water salinity (Dovel 1971). Bay anchovy eggs hatch in about 24 h at temperatures of 27.2 to 27.8 °C (Kuntz 1914).

Larvae

Yolk-sac larvae are 1.8 to 2.0 mm TL and have a pear-shaped yolk tapering to a point posteriorly (Kuntz 1914; Hildebrand 1963). The yolk is almost completely absorbed within 25 h after hatching (Houde 1974). mm TL, the body is long and slender with a terminal mouth that is apparently functional (Jones et al. 1978). Dorsal and anal fins begin to develop when larvae reach 5 mm TL, and some larvae may possess full ray counts at 7 to 8 mm SL (Kuntz 1914). By 16 mm TL, body depth is one-twelfth total length (Hildebrand and Schroeder 1928). Larvae are highly transparent but have some pigmentation in the thoracic region and at the base

of the anal fin at lengths of 7.0 to 8.0 mm (Kuntz 1914).

<u>Juveniles</u>

In general, the body of the bay anchovy becomes deeper with age, as evidenced by the following body depth is about proportions: one-ninth of body length in specimens 20 mm in length and about one-fifth of body length in 25 mm fish (Hildebrand and Schroeder 1928). The terminal mouth, the short rounded maxillary that does not reach the margin of the opercle, and the absence of a definite juveniles silvery band differentiate from adults (Hildebrand and Schroeder 1928). The characteristic projecting snout is developed when the fish reach lengths of about 20 - 25 mm (Hildebrand 1963). Adult characteristics are acquired at a length of about 60 mm (Hildebrand and Schroeder 1928).

GROWTH CHARACTERISTICS

Houde (1978) fed copepod nauplii and copepodids to bay anchovy larvae at known concentrations to determine how survival, growth, and production were related to food supply. At a concentration o f 100 food anchovy, organisms/bay mean weight increased by 13.4 times from hatching to 16 days. In an earlier study, (1974)Houde concluded that mass starvation of bay anchovy larvae could occur at low food concentrations normally found in subtropical marine ecosystems if the larvae did not encounter a "patch" of suitable food. The "critical period" during which these larvae must feed was determined to be within 2.5 days after hatching Houde and Schekter (Houde 1974). (1978) found that bay anchovy larvae were most susceptible to starvation mortality during the first 6 days after hatching. Their results implied that larval bay anchovies, because they were not as successful as the larvae of other species in using food

conditions to improve their rate of survival, may require high and stable prey densities to survive and grow under natural conditions. They postulated that, at low prey concentrations, larval bay anchovies would be required to expend a relatively large amount of energy to obtain the minimum amount of food required for growth and maintenance, and would therefore be susceptible to starvation and predation.

F₀0D

Hildebrand and Schroeder (1928) examined the contents of 44 bay anchovy stomachs and found that mysids were the principal food of adults and that copepods were the principal food of young. Reid (1954), McLane (1955), and Springer and Woodburn (1960) conducted qualitative studies of the bay anchovy food habits that indicated that small crustaceans were the primary food, and that small mollusks and larval fish occasionally contributed to the diet. Stevenson (1958) found that copepods were the primary food of bay anchovies in Delaware Bay.

Darnell (1958, 1961) and Odum (1971) conducted quantitative studies of bay anchovy food. Darnell found that bay anchovies 35 to 40 mm long fed primarily on rotifers, copepods, detritus, and undetermined organic matter; those 60 to 65 mm long fed mostly on macrozooplankton (especially mysids), small shrimp, and larval fishes. Odum (1971) found planktonic copepods and copepod larvae to be the primary food of bay anchovies less than 25 mm long; larger specimens consumed an array of small benthic crustaceans, especially amphipods. mysids, harpacticoid copepods. ostracods, and small mollusks.

Odum (1971) believed that bay anchovies selectively captured organisms, rather than non-selectively straining water for food. His belief was supported by Detwyler and Houde

(1970), who found that bay anchovies discriminantly selected copepod nauplii, copepodites, and adult copepods over other potential food organisms.

ANCHOVY POPULATIONS

A search of the literature revealed no quantitative biomass data for the bay anchovy in the Mid-Atlantic Region. This species is, however, generally considered to be the most abundant fish in Chesapeake Bay (McHugh 1967; Musick 1972). Hildebrand (1963) considered the bay anchovy to be common in New York waters and exceedingly abundant from New Jersey to North Carolina. It has been recorded from all states in the Mid-Atlantic Region.

In ichthyoplankton samples collected in lower Chesapeake Bay from 1971 to 1976, bay anchovy eggs accounted for 96% and larvae for 88% of all eggs and larvae taken (Olney 1983). Olney's data revealed clearly defined peaks of bay anchovy egg abundance from May to August. The occurrence of larvae paralleled that of the eggs, but lagged temporarily, peaking in July and August (Olney 1983).

Bay anchovy larvae and eggs have also been found to be dominant in Magothy River (Dovel 1967), upper Chesapeake Bay (Dovel 1971), Lynnhaven Bay (Schauss 1977), Long Island Sound (Perlmutter 1939; Wheatland 1956), lower Hudson Estuary (Dovel 1981), and a Virginia estuary (Cowan and Birdsong 1985). Data collected by Pearson (1941), Dovel (1971), and Olney (1983) indicated that Chesapeake Bay is a major spawning site for the bay anchovy.

COMMERCIAL FISHERY VALUE

Although the bay anchovy is considered exceedingly abundant in

portions of the Mid-Atlantic Region, the species has little commercial attractiveness, probably because of its small size and fragile body tissues. It is used to a limited extent in the preparation of anchovy paste and as bait (Hildebrand 1963). Bay anchovies are apparently not adversely affected by the commercial harvest of other species. In a study of the fish taken incidentally in the commercial menhaden purse seine fishery in the Gulf of Mexico, Christmas et al. (1960) found that bay anchovies were not taken.

ECOLOGICAL ROLE

Ecologically, the bay anchovy is one of the most important species in the Mid-Atlantic Region. It is of enormous trophic **importance** as item for primary forage manv economically important predators and is an important link in the estuarine food web (Figure 3). It is an important source of nutrition for many species of piscivorous birds. In a study of the age, growth, and food of the bluefish (Pomatomus saltatrix) in Long Island Sound, Richards (1976) found that bay anchovies (5 - 20 mm long) were frequently taken. were probably the most abundant source of food for the bluefish in 1987 (Ralph Andrews, pers. comm.). Derickson and Price (1973) noted that the bay anchovy was among five species of fish that were ecologically important as food for many of the commercially important species Delaware coastal waters. It was also an important food of young weakfish, Cynoscion regalis (Chao and Musick 1977; Merriner 1975; Thomas 1971). Gardinier and Hoff (1982) found that striped bass (Morone saxatilis) in the Hudson River estuary were almost totally piscivorous by the time they exceeded 200 mm in total length and that the bay anchovy was one of six forage species consumed. It was also an important prey species of striped bass in Albemarle Sound.

Carolina (Manooch 1973), and in the surf waters of Long Island (Schaefer 1970).

ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Temperature

The bay anchovy tolerates a wide range of temperatures, as evidenced by its extensive geographic range; however, although it does not migrate to warmer latitudes in winter, sizes vacate shallow water for deeper bay waters in winter (Ralph Andrews, pers. comm.). In a study in the Lower Hudson Estuary, New York (Dovel 1981), bay anchovies were collected at water temperatures of 2.2 to 27.1 °C. In a study near the thermal discharge outfall of a power plant in Galveston Bay, Texas, Gallaway and Strawn (1974) found that bay anchovies were apparently unaffected by water temperatures exceeding 32 °C. Spawning of bay anchovies occurs at water temperatures of 9 to 31 °C, and peaks above 20 °C (Dovel 1971). Bay anchovy eggs incubate in about 24 h at water temperatures of 27.2 to 27.8 °C (Kuntz 1914).

Salinity

Gunter (1945), Reid (1954), Kilby (1955), and Springer and Woodburn (1960) all agreed that salinity has little influence on the distribution of the bay anchovy. Indeed, the bay anchovy has been taken from fresh water (64 km above brackish water) in Virginia rivers (Massmann 1954) and from hypersaline waters (80 ppt) in Upper Laguna Madre, Texas (Simmons 1957). In the Mid-Atlantic Region, salinities greater than 35 ppt are rare (Ralph Andrews, pers. comm.). In Chesapeake Bay, bay anchovies spawned at salinities of 9 ppt or greater; spawning peaked between 13 and 15 ppt (Dovel 1971).




Figure 3. Simplified food web, showing some pathways in a food pyramid involving the bay anchovy. Arrows point to the consumers (modified from Daiber 1959).

Habitats

anchovies are Bay ubiquitous inhabitants of the Mid-Atlantic Adults inhabit shallow and moderately deep offshore waters (Hildebrand 1963), nearshore waters off sand beaches (Reid 1954; Kilby 1955), open bays and muddy coves 1928), and Schroeder (Hildebrand grassy areas along beaches (Hildebrand 1963), waters around the mouths of rivers (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953), bayous and coastal waters (Springer Woodburn 1960), seagrass beds and (Weinstein and Brooks 1983). freshwater rivers (Massmann 1954). Derickson and Price (1973) found that substrate type and vegetation were of little significance in distribution of the bay anchovy.

Temporal and Spatial Distribution

Two studies by Dovel (1971, 1981) yielded similar data on patterns of relative abundance and spatial distribution of estuarine fish with respect to salinity gradients. The following discussion of the temporal and spatial distribution of bay anchovies was taken from Dovel (1981). Distribution of larval bay anchovy in several Chesapeake Bay areas was found to be identical to that in the Hudson Estuary. Densities were highest in

both systems at salinities of 4.2 to 6.0 ppt, at or shortly after the time of maximum water temperature.

A basic pattern of larval movement (Figure 4) was presented by Dovel (1981). Juvenile and possibly some adult bay anchovies move to fresh water to feed when estuarine waters begin to warm. Mature bay anchovies move downstream to spawn when water temperatures reach at least 12 °C and salinities are generally 10 ppt or greater. Newly hatched larvae then move upstream to waters of less than 10 ppt salinity to feed. Larval and juvenile bay anchovies begin to move into more saline waters in early fall. By late November, anchovies occur only in salt water. The movement of the larvae to lower salinity nursery areas and the subsequent migration of juveniles and adults toward the open sea are probably characteristic of other engraulids (Dovel 1981).

For impact assessment purposes, the temporal and spatial distribution of a targeted species within the area of potential impact would be useful to a project impact analyst. The information presented for the bay anchovy by Dovel (1981) may provide the resource planner with valuable insight into the population dynamics of the bay anchovy.




Figure 4. Basic seasonal movements of the bay anchovy in the Hudson River (modified from Dovel 1981).

LITERATURE CITED

- Bigelow, H.B., and W.C. Schroeder. 1953. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Fish. Bull. 53:1-577.
- Chao, L.N., and J.A. Musick. 1977. Life history, feeding habits, and functional morphology of juvenile sciaenid fishes in the York River estuary, Virginia. U.S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv. Fish. Bull. 75(4):657-702.
- Christmas, J.Y., G. Gunter, and E.C. Whatley. 1960. Fishes taken in the menhaden fishery of Alabama, Mississippi, and eastern Louisiana. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep. Fish. No. 339. 10 pp.
- Cowan, J.H., Jr., and R.S. Birdsong. 1985. Seasonal occurrence of larval and juvenile fishes in a Virginia Atlantic Coast estuary with emphasis on drums family Sciaenidae. Estuaries 8(1):48-59.
- Daiber, F.C. 1959. Those hackle backs! Skates and estuarine productivity. Estuarine Bull. 4(1):11-15.
- Daly, R.J. 1970. Systematics of southern Florida anchovies (Pisces: Engraulidae). Bull. Mar. Sci. 20(1):70-104.
- Darnell, R.M. 1958. Food habits of fishes and larger invertebrates of Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana, an estuarine community. Publ. Inst. Mar. Sci. Univ. Tex. 5:353-416.

- Darnell, R.M. 1961. Trophic spectrum of an estuarine community, based on studies of Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana. Ecology 42(3):553-568.
- Derickson, W.K., and K.S. Price, Jr. 1973. The fishes of the shore zone of Rehoboth and Indian River Bays, Delaware. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 102(3):552-562.
- Detwyler, R., and E.D. Houde. 1970. Food selection by laboratory-reared larvae of the scaled sardine, Harengula pensacolae (Pisces, Clupeidae) and the bay anchovy, Anchoa mitchilli (Pisces, Engraulidae). Mar. Biol. 7(3):214-222.
- Dovel, W.L. 1967. Fish eggs and larvae of the Magothy River, Maryland. Chesapeake Sci. 8:125-129.
- Dovel, W.L. 1971. Fish eggs and larvae of the upper Chesapeake Bay. Univ. Md. Nat. Resour. Inst. Spec. Rep. 4. 71 pp.
- Dovel, W.L. 1981. Ichthyoplankton of the lower Hudson Estuary, New York. N.Y. Fish Game J. 28(1):21-39.
- Ferraro, S.P. 1980. Daily time of spawning of 12 fishes in the Peconic Bays, New York. U. S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv. Fish. Bull. 78(2):455-464.
- Gallaway, B.J., and K. Strawn. 1974. Seasonal abundance and distribution

- of marine fishes at a hot-water discharge in Galveston Bay, Texas. Contrib. Mar. Sci. 18:71-137.
- Gardinier, M.N., and T.B. Hoff. 1982.
 Diet of striped bass <u>Morone</u>
 <u>saxatilis</u> in the Hudson River
 Estuary. N.Y. Fish Game J.
 29(2):152-165.
- Gunter, G. 1945. Studies on the marine fishes of Texas. Publ. Inst. Mar. Sci. Univ. Tex. 1(1).
- Hildebrand, S.F. 1963. Family Engraulidae. Pages 152-249 in Y. H. Olsen, ed. Fishes of the Western North Atlantic, Part 3. Memoir Sears Foundation for Marine Research.
- Hildebrand, S.F., and L.E. Cable. 1930. Development and life history of fourteen teleostean fishes at Beaufort, N.C. U. S. Bur. Fish. Bull. 46:383-488.
- Hildebrand, S.F., and W.C. Schroeder. 1928. Fishes of Chesapeake Bay. U. S. Bur. Fish. Bull. 43 Part 1. 388 pp.
- Hoese, H.D., and R.H. Moore. 1977. Fishes of the Gulf of Mexico: Texas, Louisiana, and adjacent waters. Texas A & M University Press, College Station. 327 pp.
- Houde, E.D. 1974. Effects of temperature and delayed feeding on growth and survival of larvae of three species of subtropical marine fishes. Mar. Biol. 26:271-285.
- Houde, E.D. 1978. Critical food concentrations for larvae of 3 species of subtropical marine fishes. Bull. Mar. Sci. 28(3):395-411.
- Houde, H.D., and R.C. Schekter. 1978.
 Simulated food patches and survival of larval bay anchovy Anchoa mitchilli and sea bream Archosargus rhomboidalis. U. S. Natl. Mar.

- Fish. Serv. Fish. Bull. 76(2):438-487.
- Jones, P.W., F.D. Martin, and J.D. Hardy, Jr. 1978. Development of fishes of the Mid-Atlantic Bight. An atlas of egg, larval, and juvenile stages. Pages 158-163 in Vol. 1: Acipenseridae through Ictaluridae. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Biol. Serv. Program. FWS/OBS-78/12.
- Kilby, J.D. 1955. The fishes of two gulf coastal marsh areas of Florida. Tulane Stud. Zool. 2(8):175-247.
- Kuntz, A. 1914. The embryology and larval development of <u>Bairdiella</u> chrysura and <u>Anchoa mitchilli</u>. U. S. Bur. Fish. Bull. 33:1-19.
- McHugh, J.L. 1967. Estuarine nekton. Pages 581-620 in G. Lauff, ed. Estuaries. Am. Assoc. Adv. Sci. Publ. No. 83.
- McLane, W.M. 1955. The fishes of the St. Johns River system. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Florida, Gainesville. 361 pp.
- Manooch, C.S., III. 1973. Food habits of yearling and adult striped bass <u>Morone saxatilis</u> from Albemarle Sound, North Carolina. Chesapeake Sci. 14(2):73-86.
- Massmann, W.H. 1954. Marine fishes in fresh and brackish waters of Virginia rivers. Ecology 35(1):75-78.
- Merriner, J.V. 1975. Food habits of the weakfish, <u>Cynoscion regalis</u>, in the North Carolina waters. Chesapeake Sci. 16:74-76.
- Musick, J.A. 1972. Fishes of Chesapeake Bay and the adjacent coastal plain. Pages 175-212 in M. L. Wass, ed. A checklist of the biota of lower Chesapeake Bay. Va. Inst. Mar. Sci. Spec. Sci. Rep. No. 65.

- Odum, W.E. 1971. Pathways of energy flow in a south Florida estuary. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Miami. 162 pp.
- Olney, J.E. 1983. Eggs and early larvae of the bay anchovy Anchoa mitchilli and the weakfish Cynoscion regalis in lower Chesapeake Bay U.S.A. with notes on associated ichthyoplankton. Estuaries 6(1):20-35.
- Pearcy, W., and S.W. Richards. 1962. Distribution and ecology of fishes of the Mystic River Estuary, Connecticut. Ecology 43:248-259.
- Pearson, J.C. 1941. The young of some marine fishes taken in lower Chesapeake Bay, Virginia, with special reference to the gray sea trout Cynoscion regalis (Bloch). U. S. Bur. Fish. Bull. 50:79-102.
- Perlmutter, A. 1939. An ecological survey of young fish and eggs identified from tow-net collections. Suppl. 28th Annu. Rep. N. Y. Conserv. Dep. (1938):11-71.
- Reid, G.K., Jr. 1954. An ecological study of the Gulf of Mexico fishes in the vicinity of Cedar Keys, Florida. Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf Caribb. 4(1):1-94.
- Richards, S.W. 1959. Pelagic fish eggs and larvae of Long Island Sound. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Collec. Yale Univ. 17(1):95-124.
- Richards, S.W. 1976. Age, growth, and food of bluefish (<u>Pomatomus saltatrix</u>) from east central Long Island Sound from July through November 1975. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 105(4):523-525.

- Schaefer, R.H. 1970. Feeding habits of striped bass <u>Morone saxatilis</u> from the surf waters of Long Island. N. Y. Fish Game J. 17(1):1-17.
- Schauss, R.P., Jr. 1977. Seasonal occurrence of some larval and juvenile fishes in Lynnhaven Bay, Virginia. Am. Midl. Nat. 98(2): 275-282.
- Simmons, E.G. 1957. An ecological survey of the Upper Laguna Madre of Texas. Publ. Inst. Mar. Sci. Univ. Tex. 4(2):156-200.
- Springer, V.G., and K.D. Woodburn. 1960. An ecological study of the fishes of the Tampa Bay area. Fla. State Board Conserv. Prof. Pap. Ser. 1.
- Stevenson, R.A., Jr. 1958. The biology of the anchovies Anchoa mitchilli mitchilli Cuvier and Valenciennes 1848 and Anchoa hepsetus hepsetus Linnaeus 1758 in Delaware Bay. M. A. Thesis. University of Delaware, Newark. 56 pp.
- Thomas, D.L. 1971. The early life history and ecology of six species of drum (Sciaenidae) in the lower Delaware River, a brackish tidal estuary. Ichthyol. Assoc., Del. Prog. Rep. 3 (Part 3). 247 pp.
- Weinstein, M.P., and H.A. Brooks. 1983. Comparative ecology of nekton residing in a tidal creek and adjacent seagrass meadow: community composition and structure. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 12:15-27.
- Wheatland, S.B. 1956. Oceanography of Long Island Sound, 1952-1954. VII. Pelagic fish eggs and larvae. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Collec. Yale Univ. 15:234-314.

50777 -101				
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	N 1. serost №0. Biological Re	eport 82(11.97)*		1. Recipient's Accession Ne.
4. Title and Subtitle				S. Report Date
Species Profiles:	life Histories an	nd Environmental (Requirements of	February 1989
Coastal Fishes and	Invertebrates (M	id Atlantic)Bay	Anchovy	4.
7. Author(s)			<u>-</u>	8. Performing Organization Rept. No.
Timothy Morton				
9. Performing Organization Nam	e and Address			10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
Timothy Morton	· - · -			
Consulting Fisheries	Biologist		ľ	11. Contract(C) or Grent(G) No.
2511 Farris Breaux R				(C)
Abbeville, LA 70510				
12. Sponsoring Organization Nam		<u> </u>		(G)
National Wetlands Re Fish and Wildlife Se	search Center rvice	U. S. Army Corps Waterways Exper		13. Type of Report & Period Covered
U. S. Department of		P. O. Box 631	L0100	
Washington, DC 2024	U	Vicksburg, MS 3	39180	14.
15. Supplementary Notes		TD 51 00 A		<u> </u>
*U.S. Army Corps of	Engineers Report	: No. IR EL-82-4		
environmental impact important species in economically importa inhabitants of the Mestuarine waters whe Zooplankton constitu collected at water tranging from 0.0 to	the Mid-Atlanti int commercial and lid-Atlantic Region in water temperati ites the major po demperatures rang	c Region because d sport fishes. I on. In this area ures are at least rtion of the diet	it is a primary for Bay anchovies are u spawning apparent 12°C and saliniti of bay anchovies.	age item for many biquitous ly occurs in es are over 10 ppt. They have been
19. Decument Analysis a. Desc Estuaries Fishes Populations Food habits	riptors			
b. Identifiers/Open-Ended To				
Bay anchovy <u>Anchoa</u> <u>mitchilli</u>	Life history Embryology Spawning			
e. COSATI Field/Group				
18. Availability Statement			19. Security Class (This Report	
			Unclassified	13
	Unlimited		20. Security Class (This Page)	22. Price
			Unclassified	

As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes fostering the wisest use of our land and water resources, protecting our fish and wildlife, preserving the environmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical places, and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The Department assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to assure that their development is in the best interests of all our people. The Department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and for people who live in island territories under U.S. administration.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE



TAKE PRIDE in America

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
National Wetlands Research Center
NASA-Slidell Computer Complex
1010 Gause Boulevard
Slidell, LA 70458

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR INT-423