

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

2300 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1300 Arlington, VA 22201

Agenda

Winter 2001 Board Meeting Scientific and Technical Issues

Longstreet Inn HCR 70 Box 559 Amargosa Valley, Nevada Tel: (775) 372-1777 Fax: (775) 372-1280

Tuesday, January 30, 2001

8:00 a.m. Call to order and introductory comments

Jared Cohon Chairman

Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB)

8:10 a.m. Welcoming remarks

Jeff Taguchi

Commissioner, Nye County

8:15 a.m. Program and Project update

Lake Barrett
Acting Director

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

U.S. Department of Energy

8:35 a.m. Questions, discussion

8:45 a.m. Contractor transition

Ken Hess

General Manager

Bechtel-SAIC Company LLC

8:55 a.m. Questions, discussion

9:00 a.m. Scientific and technical oversight in France

Jean-Claude Duplessy

Member

French National Scientific Evaluation Committee

9:20 a.m. Questions, discussion

9:30 a.m. **BREAK**

9:40 a.m. Introduction to technical inquiries

Don Runnells Member NWTRB

9:45 a.m. Regulatory and performance framework

Stephan Brocoum

Assistant Manager

Office of Licensing and Regulatory Compliance

Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office (YMSCO)

10:10 a.m. Questions, discussion

10:30 a.m. Question 1: Waste Package Corrosion

What is the current theoretical and empirical basis for extrapolating the behavior of Alloy-22 for extremely long periods (e.g., 10,000 years)? What are the current significant gaps in understanding? How might those gaps be closed (and how long would it take)? How much of a reduction in uncertainty is likely to take place if that additional work is performed? Is that additional work necessary for making a site-recommendation decision? Why or why not?

For example, TSPA predicts that localized corrosion of Alloy 22 will never occur in Yucca Mountain because the models used in TSPA rely on the open-circuit potential of Alloy 22 never approaching or exceeding a certain critical localized corrosion potential. What theory, data, analysis, etc., form the basis for believing that open-circuit potential will not change significantly over extremely long periods?

Gerald Gordon

Waste Package Materials Team Lead Framatome Cogema Fuels

11:10 a.m. Questions, discussion

11:30 a.m. Questions and comments from the public

Jared Cohon

12:00 p.m. LUNCH (1 hour)

1:00 p.m. Question 2: Unsaturated Zone

What is the mean and variance of the travel time for a conservative species from the repository horizon to the water table? How did you arrive at this answer? (Include here a discussion of the significance of percolation flux.) What independent lines of evidence corroborate your estimates of travel time in the unsaturated zone? What are the sources of uncertainty in these estimates? How much difference might the uncertainties make?

Gudmundur Bodvarsson

Laboratory Lead Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

1:40 p.m. Questions, discussion

2:00 p.m. Question 3: Saturated Zone

What is the mean and variance of the travel time for a conservative species from the water table to the accessible environment 20 km downgradient of the repository? How did you arrive at this answer? (Include here a discussion of the significance of specific discharge, including three-dimensional aspects of flow.) What independent lines of evidence corroborate your estimates of travel time in the saturated zone? What are the sources of uncertainty in these estimates? How much difference might the uncertainties make?

Al Eddebbarh Saturated Zone Lead Los Alamos National Laboratory

2:40 p.m. Questions, discussion

3:00 p.m. BREAK

3:15 p.m. Question 4: Total System Performance Assessment

Questions have been raised about over reliance on the waste package in the safety case and the lack of clarity about the roles played by the different natural and engineering components in the proposed repository. Please address these issues, comparing the nominal-case TSPA with the scenarios that result in some form of rapid waste package failure, including juvenile failures, degraded waste packages, and neutralized waste packages. Specifically address the significance of the mode and extent of assumed waste package failure in each scenario, the mechanism for release into the unsaturated zone, and the roles played by the

different engineered and natural barriers in limiting the dose due to failed waste packages. Why, for example, is the peak dose due to a degraded waste package almost an order of magnitude higher (at 100,000 years) than the dose due to neutralized waste packages? What would the potential dose be if the waste packages were completely neutralized? What would the potential dose be if the contents of one or more waste packages were released directly to the accessible environment? Demonstrate the individual contribution of each barrier in reducing this potential dose. Finally, how robust are conclusions on defense-in-depth that are based solely on TSPA?

Robert Andrews

Manager

Performance Assessment Operations

Duke Engineering

4:10 p.m. Questions, discussion

4:30 p.m. Question 5: Repository Design

In selecting a design for a repository, there are likely to be multiple objectives. Explain what those objectives might be and the relative weight given to each, at least provisionally. If the objectives conflict, describe as specifically as possible what the key trade-offs might be.

Paul Harrington

Project Engineer

YMSCO

4:55 p.m. Questions, discussion

5:15 p.m. Questions and comments from the public

Jared Cohon

5:45 p.m. Adjournment

Jared Cohon

Wednesday, January 31, 2001

7:00 a.m. Coffee and donuts for the public with Board members

8:00 a.m. Introduction

John Arendt Member NWTRB

8:05 a.m. Scientific and engineering update

Mark Peters

Testing and Engineering Support Office Manager

Los Alamos National Laboratory

9:15 a.m. Questions, discussion

9:45 a.m. Repository design evolution

Paul Harrington

10:05 a.m. Questions, discussion

10:15 a.m. BREAK

10:30 a.m. Update on uncertainties

William Boyle

Senior Advisor for Regulatory Policy

Office of Licensing and Regulatory Compliance

YMSCO

11:15 a.m. Questions, discussion

11:40 a.m. Questions and comments from the public

Jared Cohon NWTRB

12:10 p.m. LUNCH

1:25 p.m. Decision-making in a learning environment

Russ Dyer

Project Manager

YMSCO

1:50 p.m. Questions, discussion

2:10 p.m. Repository Safety Strategy

William Boyle YMSCO

Dennis Richardson

TRW M&O

2:50 p.m. Questions, discussion

3:10 p.m. BREAK

3:25 p.m. Nye County scientific program

Tom Buqo

Principal Investigator

Nye County

4:00 p.m. Questions, discussion

4:15 p.m. Performance Assessment of Yucca Mountain

John Kessler

Project Manager

Spent Fuel Storage and Disposal

Electric Power Research Institute

4:50 p.m. Questions, discussion

5:05 p.m. Questions and comments from the public

Jared Cohon

5:35 p.m. Adjournment

Jared Cohon