WHI

Hormone Therapy (HT) Trials:
 Estrogen + Progestin (Uterus)
 Estrogen-alone (No uterus)



Opening Remarks; Overview of Session; Introductions

Marcia L. Stefanick, PhD Principal Investigator Stanford Clinical Center

Professor of Medicine Stanford Prevention Research Center Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Stanford University Stanford, CA



Overview of Session; Introductions

 Background, Hypothesis, Design Jacques Rossouw, MD

 Baseline Characteristics of Hormone Program Participants David Barad, MD, MS

 Trial Monitoring and Early Stopping Garnet Anderson, PhD



Overview of Session; Introductions

The Estrogen and Progestin (E+P) and Estrogen-alone (E-alone) Trials Results

- Heart, Brain (Stroke), Blood Clots Judith Hsia, MD
- Breast and Colon Rowan Chlebowski, MD, PhD
- Bones Cora E. Lewis, MD, MSPH



Overview of Session; Introductions

The E+P and E-alone Trials Results (cont.)

- Brain (Cognitive Function, WHIMS) Sally Shumaker, PhD
- Summary Marcia Stefanick, PhD



Background, Hypothesis, Design

Jacques Rossouw, MD Project Officer WHI Program Office

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland



Role of Hormones * in Preventing Diseases of Aging

* approved to relieve menopausal symptoms and prevent bone loss

Sources of Evidence at Outset of WHI (1991)

- Epidemiological studies
- Animal models
- Biological effects (e.g, blood cholesterol)
- Trials with surrogate outcomes (e.g., angiography, bone mineral density)

But: no adequate clinical trials with disease endpoints

An increasing number of asymptomatic and older women were being prescribed "HRT" to prevent diseases of aging, e.g. coronary heart disease, osteoporosis



Recommendations in the 1990s

1992 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists *"Probable beneficial effect of estrogen on heart disease"*

1992 American College of Physicians

"Women who have coronary heart disease or who are at increased risk of coronary heart disease are likely to benefit from hormone therapy"

1996 American Heart Association

"ERT does look promising as a long-term protection against heart attack"



WHI Hormone Trials: Specific Aims

To test whether Estrogen-alone (E-alone) - or- Estrogen + Progestin (E+P)

- reduce the incidence of Coronary Heart Disease
- increase the risk of Breast Cancer
- reduce the incidence of Hip Fracture and other Osteoporosis-related fractures

To determine the balance of risks and benefits of menopausal hormones on the overall health of postmenopausal women, aged 50-79 (baseline).



WHI Hormone Trials: Baseline Hypotheses



Hormone

Women's Health Initiative Hormone Trials



WHI HT Trials: Sample Size, Outcomes, Follow-up



Hormone Treatment Trials Primary Outcome: Coronary Heart Disease

Secondary Outcomes:

Stroke, Pulmonary Emboli, Breast & Colon Cancers Hip Fracture; Other Deaths

WHI Memory Study (WHIMS)
- for women aged ≥ 65:
Dementia



Hormone

Numbers by Age at Randomization

	50-59 yrs	60-69 yrs	70-79 yrs
Estrogen- alone	3310	4852	2577
Estrogen+ Progestin	5522	7510	3576
Both Trials	8832	12362	6153



Baseline Characteristics of E+P and E-alone Participants

David Barad, MD, MS Co-Investigator New York City Clinical Center

Associate Clinical Professor Department of Epidemiology and Social Medicine Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Albert Einstein College of Medicine Bronx, New York



WHI HT:BaselineAge DistributionE-alone Trial = 63.6 ± 7.3 E+P Trial = 63.3 ± 7.1



Ann Epidemiol 2003; 13: S78-S86



WHI Minority Distribution: Total Numbers (% of Cohort) E-alone Trial: 2511 (23.3%) E +P Trial: 2531 (14.6%)



WHI HT: Ethnic Distribution by Baseline Age



Ann Epidemiol 2003; 13: S78-S86

Hormone

WHI E+P Trial: Baseline Age, BMI, Prior HT Use



Hormone

Never

JAMA 2002: 288: 321-33

WHI E-alone Trial: Baseline Age, BMI, Prior HT Use



Selected Differences in Baseline Characteristics between E+P and E-alone Trial Cohorts

	E+P	E-alone
Mean BMI (kg/m ²)	28.5	30.1
Prior HT Use	25.9%	48.4%
Caucasian African American	84.0% 6.7%	75.3% 15.0%
Fracture at age ≥ 55 y	13.6%	13.6%
Mean Gail 5-year Risk	1.5%	1.6%



Selected Differences in Baseline Characteristics between E+P and E-alone Trial Cohorts

History of Cardiovascular Disease or Hypertension

	E+P (%)	E-alone (%)
MI	1.8	3.1
Angina	2.8	5.8
CABG/PTCA	1.3	1.5
Stroke	1.9	1.6
VTE	0.9	1.5
Hypertension	36.1	47.9



Trial Monitoring and Early Stopping

Garnet Anderson, PhD Co-Principal Investigator Clinical Coordinating Center

Member, Public Health Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center

Affiliate Professor, Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington



Study hypotheses guided trial monitoring and early stopping

Primary OutcomeCoronary Heart DiseasePrimary Safety OutcomeBreast CancerSecondary OutcomesHip FracturesColorectal CancerEndometrial Cancer (E+P only)

Stroke Pulmonary Embolism



Prevention trial monitoring

- Objective: Ensure ethical conduct of the trial
- Conducted by independent Data and Safety Monitoring Board
- Specific issues in prevention trials:
 - Weighing risks versus benefits
 - Controlling potential errors associated with multiple comparisons
 - Consideration of different timeline for effects



A "Global Index" of risks and benefits

- Counted women in each group who had any of the monitored outcomes
 - Coronary heart disease
 - Stroke
 - Pulmonary embolism
 - Breast cancer
 - Colorectal cancer
 - Hip fractures
 - Endometrial cancer (E+P trial only)
 - + Deaths from other causes
- Analysis compared the global index event rates over time







Monitoring the E+P Trial: Stroke 4 -2 -Z 0 0 -2 -Stopping boundary for adverse effect -4 -**Favors Placebo Planned Analyses** -6 -2002 Spring 2002 Fall 2003 Spring 2003 Fall 2004 Spring 2004 Fall 1998 Spring 1998 Fall 1997 Fall 1999 Spring 1999 Fall 2001 Spring 2001 Fall 2000 Spring 2000 Fall Final Analysis



Monitoring the E+P Trial: Breast Cancer





Monitoring the E+P Trial: Global 6 -Index 4 2 -Z 0 Stopping boundary for supporting an -2 overall finding of risks exceeding benefits -4 -**Planned Analyses** -6 -2004 Spring 1997 Fall 1998 Spring 1998 Fall 1999 Spring 1999 Fall 2000 Spring 2000 Fall 2001 Spring 2001 Fall 2002 Spring 2002 Fall 2003 Fall 2004 Fall 2003 Spring Final Analysis



Estrogen + Progestin Trial stopped

In May 2002, the WHI Data and Safety Monitoring Board recommended the E+P trial be stopped based on:

- Breast cancer risk significantly increased
- Global index supported harms exceeding benefits



Risks and benefits of Estrogen+Progestin



Hormone

JAMA 2002; 288:321-33

Estrogen-alone

CHD









Estrogen-alone trial stopped

- In February 2004, NIH stopped the trial after 6.6 years of intervention, based on – Increased risk of stroke
 - Low probability of establishing heart disease benefit
 - Low probability of showing an increased risk of breast cancer



Effects of conjugated equine estrogens

Favo	ors E-	Odds Rati	io	(95%	CI)	Favors Placebo
alon	e 0	0.0 0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5
CH	łD			0.91		
Stro	ke		+		<u> </u>	
Pulmonary Embolis	sm					1.34
Total CV	D		-	1.12		
Invasive Breast Cano	er			0.77		
Colorectal Cano	er				1.08	
Total Cano	er			0.93		
Hip Fractu	ire		0 .	<u>6</u> 1		
Total Fractu	ire		0.70			
Total Dea	ith			1.04		
Global Ind	lex			_ 1.01		



JAMA 2004; 291:1701-12

Summary

- HT trials were stopped when the primary question was answered: Is hormone therapy an appropriate medicine for heart disease prevention?
- Risk benefit profile differed importantly between Estrogen plus Progestin and Estrogen-alone


The Estrogen + Progestin (E+P) and Estrogen-alone (E-alone) Trials

Results



Heart, Brain (Stroke), Blood Clots

Judith Hsia, MD Principal Investigator George Washington University Clinical Center

Professor of Medicine George Washington University Washington, DC



E+P Trial: Heart attack risk



WOMEN'S HEALTH HORMONE

JAMA 2002; 288:321-33 Updated: NEJM 2003; 349: 523-34

E-alone Trial: Heart attack risk





JAMA 2004; 291:1701-12; Updated Arch Intern Med 2006; 166:357-65

Estrogen-alone: Heart attack risk (by baseline age groups)





Arch Intern Med 2006; 166:357-65

E+P Trial: Stroke risk



WOMEN'S HEALTHE

JAMA 2002; 288:321-33 Updated: JAMA 2003; 289:2673-84

E-alone Trial: Stroke risk



JAMA 2004; 291:1701-12

WOMEN'S HEALTH NITIATIVE,

Coronary Heart Disease and Strokes (Rates per 10,000/Year) in E+P and E-alone



E+P trial: Risk of blood clots in the lung



Hormone

E-alone trial: Risk of blood clots in the lung



Pulmonary Emboli and Deep Vein Thrombosis (Rates per 10,000/Year) in E+P and E-alone



JAMA 2004: 292:1573-80

JAMA 2004: 291:1701-12

Hormone

Conclusion: Cardiovascular Outcomes

Estrogen with progestin (E+P) Trial

- Increased stroke
- Increased venous blood clots
- No protection against heart disease and suggestion of harm (especially in 1st year)

Estrogen alone (E-alone) Trial

- Increased stroke
- Appeared to increase venous blood clots
- No protection against heart disease but a suggestion of benefit in participants aged 50-59 yrs



Menopausal estrogen therapy (with or without a progestin) should not be started or continued for the purpose of preventing cardiovascular disease



Breast and Colon Cancers

Rowan Chlebowski, MD, PhD Principal Investigator Torrance Clinical Center

Chief, Division of Medical Oncology and Hematology
Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute
Harbor-UCLA Medical Center
Torrance, California



Conclusions from Preponderance of Observational Studies of HT and Breast and Colorectal Cancer

Breast cancer risk increased

- moderately by E alone (long duration)
- more on E+P for good prognosis cancers
- with receptor positive preponderance

 Colorectal cancer risk decreased
 moderately by hormone therapy (no difference for E-alone vs E+P)

> McMichael J Natl Cancer Inst 65:1201, 1980 Colditz Am J Epid 147:645, 1998 Goodstein Am J Med 106:574, 1999



E+P Trial: Invasive Breast Cancer By Group



Breast Cancer Characteristics by Group

	E+P	Placebo	P-Value
Tumor size, cm ¹	1.7 (1.1)	1.5 (0.9)	0.038 ²
Nodes Positive ²	25.9 %	15.8%	0.033
SEER Stage Regional / Mets	25.4%	16.0%	0.041

¹ mean (SD) for tumor with known tumor size

² P-values from weighted Cox proportional hazards models

More advanced stage on E+P



Mammogram Findings by Group and Time

	Baseline		Year 1		Cumulative	
	E+P	Placebo	E+P	Placebo	E+P	Placebo
Mammogram performed ¹	100%	100%	90.3%	90.5%	97.3%	97.8%
Mammogram abnormal (total) ²	5.2%	5.0%	9.4% ³	5.4%	31. <u>5</u> %	21.2%

¹% of women due for visit with mammogram in study period who had mammogram;

²% of women with any category of abnormal mammogram;

³ p < 0.0001 E+P versus placebo

More mammograms with abnormalities on E+P



E-alone Trial: Invasive Breast Cancer By Group





Invasive Breast Cancer (Rates per 10,000/Year) in E+P and E-alone



Invasive Colorectal Cancer in E+P





N Engl J Med 2004; 350:991-1004

Colorectal Cancer Characteristics by Group

	E+P	Placebo	P-Value
Tumor Size, cm ¹	4.9 (2.5)	4.3 (2.5)	0.34
Nodes Positive ² (%)	59.0%	29.4%	0.003
No. Positive Nodes (mean + SD)	3.2 (4.1)	0.8 (1.7)	0.002
SEER Stage Regional / Mets	76.2%	48.5%	0.004

¹Mean (SD) for tumor with known tumor size ²p-value from weighted Cox proportional hazards models

> More advanced stage Colorectal Cancer on E+P N Eng J Med 2004; 350: 10



E-alone Trial: Invasive Colorectal Cancer by Group



Colorectal Cancer (Rates per 10,000/Year) in E+P and E-alone



Conclusions and Additional Information: Breast and Colorectal Cancer

Estrogen with progestin (E+P) Trial Increased breast cancer diagnosed at more advanced stage increases abnormal mammograms Decreased colorectal cancer • diagnosed at more advanced stage **Estrogen alone (E-alone) Trial** did not increase breast cancer incidence did not decrease colorectal cancer incidence



Bones

Cora E. Lewis, MD, MSPH Principal Investigator Birmingham Clinical Center

Professor of Medicine Division of Preventive Medicine Department of Medicine University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, Alabama



E+P: Hip and Clinical Vertebral Fractures Estimates of Cumulative Hazards



JAMA 2003; 290:1729-38

Hormone

Effects of E+P on Total Fractures by Summary Fracture Risk Score



WHI E+P BMD Cohort: Prevalence of Osteoporosis by Femoral Neck DXA (n=1024)





E+P Trial: Mean Percent Change in Total Hip and Spine BMD over 3 Years of Follow-up



BMD indicates bone mineral density. Error bars indicate SEs.



JAMA 2003; 290:1729-38

Hip and Clinical Fractures (Rates per 10,000/Year) in E+P and E-alone

E+P Trial

E-alone Trial



Brain (Cognitive Function)

Sally Shumaker, PhD Principal Investigator WHIMS, WHISCA Ancillary Studies WHI Clinical Facilitating Center

Professor and Associate Dean of Research Department of Public Health Sciences Wake Forest University School of Medicine Winston-Salem, North Carolina



WHI Memory Study (WHIMS): Objectives

- To test the hypothesis that in women 65 years of age and older, E+P and/or E-alone will reduce incidence of:
 - Dementia (any cause)
 - Dementia caused by Alzheimer's Disease
 - Mild cognitive impairment
- To measure changes in cognitive functioning over time



Relationship of WHI, WHIMS, and WHI Study of Cognitive Aging (WHISCA)





WHI Memory Study (WHIMS) - ancillary study Women, aged 65-79 at baseline Total = 7479**Primary Outcome:** Probable Dementia (PD) **E-alone** Average (CEE) Secondary Outcomes: 5.2 years 2947 Combined PD and Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) Supporting Data: E + PAverage **Global Cognitive Function** (CEE+MPA) **Follow-up** (by annual Modified Mini-mental 4.1 years 4532 State Examination, 3MSE) *Shumaker, Wake Forest University

*design = 7 years



Probable Dementia and Mild Cognitive Impairment (Rates per 10,000/Year) in E+P and E-alone



Hormone
WHIMS Overall Results for Probable Dementia



Years Since Randomization JAMA 2004; 291:2947-58



WHIMS E-alone and E+P: Mean 3MSE

- Modified Mini-mental State Examination (3MSE): Domains
- -Orientation to time
- -Orientation to place
- -Registration
- -Attention
- -Recall
- -Drawing
- -Naming
- -Repetition
- -Comprehension
- -Reading
- -Writing

Global Cognitive Function



Years From Randomization

JAMA 2004; 291:2959-68



WHIMS E+P +/or E-Alone Trial Summary of Findings

- HT did not improve global cognitive function
- Compared to women taking placebo, women taking HT:
 - performed slightly poorer overall
 - were more likely to have a sharp drop in cognitive performance
- Risk of being diagnosed with probable dementia in the HT groups was higher than that of women in the placebo group
- Risk of MCI was higher in HT groups than that of women on placebo



Questions Being Answered Now

- What happens to cognition and risk of PD/MCI when women stop HT?
- WHIMS Extension Study

- Are there subgroups of women who are more vulnerable?
- Continued analysis of WHIMS and WHISCA data
- What biological effects of HT> WHIMS MRI Study with might explain the increased risk of PD?
 WHIMS MRI Study with assessment of micro (subclinical) infarcts and observes in correlation of the structure
 - (subclinical) infarcts and changes in cerebral structure and volume by treatment group (MRI)



Relationship of WHIMS and WHIMS-MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Study





Summary of Key Findings Introductions (continued)

Marcia Stefanick, PhD Principal Investigator Stanford Clinical Center

Professor of Medicine Stanford Prevention Research Center Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Stanford University Stanford, CA



WHI E+P Trial: Absolute (annualized) Risk (5.6 Yrs)

Effects of Estrogen-Plus-Progestin and Placebo on Disease Rates



JAMA 2002; 288:321-33



*Statistically significant based on 95% nominal CI on Hazard Ratios

WHI E-Alone Trial: Absolute (annualized) Risk (6.8 Yrs)

Effects of E-alone and Placebo on Disease Rates







Summary: Major Outcomes in E+P vs. E-Alone

Concordant results

- Heart Disease no benefit
- Strokes, Blood Clots harmful
- Fractures beneficial
- Dementia (if ≥ 65 yrs of age) harmful

Disparate Results

- Breast Cancer
 - Increased in E+P (CEE + MPA) Trial
 - Neutral in E-alone (CEE) Trial
- Global Index
 - Increased in E+P (CEE + MPA) Trial
 - Neutral in E-alone (CEE) Trial



Overview of Session; Introductions

The E+P and E-alone Trials Results (cont.)

- Quality of Life, Symptoms, Stopping Hormones
 Jennifer Hays, PhD
- Diabetes, Gallbladder, Incontinence Denise Bonds, MD, MPH



Overview of Session; Introductions

Special E+P and E-alone Trial Studies

• Coronary Artery Calcium Study JoAnn Manson, MD, DrPH

 Biomarkers and Genetic Studies Karen Johnson, MD, MPH

Audience Questions and Answers

• Break



Health-related Quality of Life, Symptoms, Stopping Hormones

Jennifer Hays, PhD Principal Investigator Houston Clinical Center

Associate Professor Department of Medicine Texas A&M College of Medicine Scott & White Hospital Temple, Texas



E+P: Symptoms at baseline by age





Obstet Gynecol 2005; 105:1063-73

E+P: Symptom changes at 1 year



Obstet Gynecol 2005; 105:1063-73



Purpose of WHI Quality of Life (QOL) Study

- To test the hypothesis that decreasing menopausal symptoms would increase women's perceived quality of (QOL) using valid measures of physical, mental and social functioning (including Rand-36, CES-D)
- To assess whether effects on QOL would differ by to baseline age, weight, symptoms, sleep problems, prior hormone use



E+P: Health-related QOL Primary Results

- Three of 13 measures statistically significant (between groups after 1 year)
 - Physical functioning (0.8 difference/100 point scale)
 - Bodily pain (1.9 difference/100 point scale)
 - Sleep (0.4 difference/20 point scale)
- None clinically significant
- 5% improvement in sleep among 50-54 yearold women with menopausal symptoms
- No differences after 3 years (n=1,511 women)

NEJM 2003; 348:1839-54.



E-alone: Health-related QOL Primary Results

- Two of 13 measures statistically significant (between groups after 1 year)
 - Sleep (+0.4 points/20 point scale)
 - Social functioning (-1.3 points/100 point scale)
- None clinically significant
- No differences among 50-54 year old symptomatic women
- No differences after 3 years (n=1,511 women)



Arch Intern Med 2005; 165:1976-86

Hot Flashes (HF) 8-12 months after stopping study pills by baseline symptom status

	E+P (%)	Placebo (%)
Women with HF at baseline	55.5	21.3
Women with HF prior to baseline	21.6	3.7
Never had HF	6.4	1.2

Women more likely to have hot flashes after stopping if:

- had symptoms at baseline: OR = 5.4 (95% CI = 4.5-6.4)
- were randomized to E+P: OR = 5.8 (95% CI = 4.9-6.9)

• were current smokers: OR = 1.5 (1.2-2.0)

JAMA 2005; 294:183-93



Summary of symptoms and QOL in HT trials

- HT improved menopausal symptoms and joint pain (particularly in younger, thinner women) but increased breast tenderness, bleeding, headaches
- All symptoms except joint pain decreased with age
- Improvement in symptoms did not translate into clinically significant improvements in QOL
- Symptoms recurred in many women after stopping study pills, particularly in women with prior symptoms
- Caveat: WHI hormone trials did not include women unwilling to be randomized to placebo



Diabetes, Gallbladder, Incontinence

Denise Bonds, MD, MPH Principal Investigator Winston-Salem Clinical Center

Assistant Professor Department of Public Health Sciences Wake Forest University School of Medicine Winston-Salem, North Carolina



E+P: Diabetes

- 3.5% (212/7352) of women receiving E+P reported treated diabetes compared to 4.2% (252/7352) of women receiving placebo
- After 1 year, both glucose and insulin significantly reduced





E-alone: Diabetes



 8.3% (397/4787) of women on E alone reported diabetes compared to 9.3% (455/4887) of women on placebo

 Both glucose and insulin reduced after one year on estrogen



Urinary Incontinence in Hormone Trials

Incontinence:

- "Have you ever leaked even a small amount of urine involuntarily and you couldn't control it"
- Stress: "When I cough, laugh, sneeze, lift, stand up or exercise"
- Urge: "When I feel the need to urinate and can't get to the toilet fast enough"
- Both effect of hormone therapy on incontinence present at baseline and the number of women with new onset incontinence examined



New onset incontinence



JAMA 2005; 293:935-48

Hormone

Change in Incontinence (if present at Baseline)

- Women who had incontinence symptoms at baseline showed an increase in severity after one year of therapy
 - Increase in amount of urine leaked, frequency of leakage, limitations in activities, and degree of bother
 - Seen in both E alone and E+P



JAMA 2005; 293:935-48

Gallbladder Disease and Hormones

 In both E +P and E alone, women taking active drug had more gallbladder disease and gallbladder surgery





JAMA 2005; 293:330-39

Coronary Artery Calcium Study

JoAnn Manson, MD, DrPH Principal Investigator Boston Clinical Center

Professor of Medicine and Elizabeth Brigham Professor of Women's Health -Harvard Medical School
Chief – Division of Preventive Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts



The WHI Coronary Artery Calcium Study (WHI-CACS)

Goals:

- Obtain noninvasive measures of the amount of calcium in the coronary arteries (marker of atherosclerosis) at end of E-alone trial in women aged 50-59 at baseline.
- Develop a resource of vascular imaging measurements for WHI: opportunities to assess multiple predictors.



Enrollment in the Coronary Artery Calcium Study

- 28 WHI clinical centers participated.
- ~1700 women (aged 50-59 at time of randomization for the E-alone trial) were eligible and invited to participate.
- ~1100 completed scanning between May 2005 and September 2005.
- Analyses of results are in progress.



Coronary Artery Calcium



No Calcium

Severe Calcification



CAC & Framingham Model: Risk Prediction in Asymptomatic Individuals





Other WHI-CACS Analyses Planned

To assess role of the following in predicting CAC score:

- Clinical characteristics (age, ethnicity, time since menopause, prior hormone therapy use, blood pressure, body mass index, etc.)
- Lifestyle factors (physical activity, smoking, diet, alcohol use, stress, etc.)
- Biomarkers from stored blood samples



Biomarkers and Genetic Studies

Karen Johnson, MD, MPH Principal Investigator Memphis Clinical Center

Professor with Tenure Joint Appointment in the Departments of Preventive Medicine and Medicine University of Tennessee Health Science Center Memphis, Tennessee



Laboratory Studies (Biospecimen Repository)

Blood samples (fasting ≥ 12 hrs) collected on:

- all CT @ baseline & Year 1; 6% subsample, Yrs 3, 6, 9
- all OS @ baseline and Year 3
- Serum, Citrate and EDTA plasma, RBC, DNA stored DNA extraction from buffy coat

DEXA Bone Mineral Density & body composition @ 3 sites

Urine on all CT & OS at 3 "bone sites" @ baseline & Yr 1 & 9



Core Analytes for 6% CT subsample

Micronutrients	Lipid Fraction	Clotting Factors	Hormones
Alpha-carotene	Triglycerides	Factor VII	Glucose
Beta-carotene	Total Cholesterol	Factor VII C	Insulin
Alpha-tocopherol	LDL-C	Fibrinogen	
Gamma-tocopherol	HDL-C		
Beta-cryptoxanthine	HDL-2		
Lycopene	HDL-3		
Lutein and zeaxanthin	Lp(a)		
Retinol			



Hormone Trial CVD Biomarker Case-control Study CHD, Stroke, VTE

Lipids	Inflammation	Thrombosis	Polymorphism S
HDL-C, HDL-2 &-3	C-reactive protein	Antithrombin III	MTHF; PAI-1
LDL-C; Lp(a)	E-selectin	D-dimer	Prothrombin 20210
LDL Particle size	Interlukin (IL)-6	Factor VIII	Prothrombin 19911
Subfractions (10)	MMP-9	Factor IX Conc	Factor XIII val34leu
Triglyceride Total Cholesterol	TFPI activity, free, total	Fibrinogen Protein C, S total, free	ERB-1730AG; GPIIIa-PIA
Other		Fragment 1+2 PAI-1; PAP	IVS1-154, -401, -1415, -1505
Homocysteine		TAFI, vWF, APC-ETP	F5LE; GPIM; HR2
Glucose		Prothrombin Ag	Intergrina2-807C/T
Insulin			EVS1 EXON 1+30

Hormone
Lipid Levels in E+P and E-alone Trials



NEJM 2003; 349:523-34

Arch Intern Med 2006; 166:1-9



Biomarker Interactions in E+P and E-alone Trials





Risk for Venous Thrombosis in E+P Trial by Factor V Leiden Gene Mutation Status





JAMA 2004; 292:1573-80

Future Directions for the WHI Study

- Biomarkers: HT Effects on Cardiovascular Outcomes
- Biomarkers: HT Effects on Risk of Fractures, Breast Cancer
- Proteomic Patterns in Relation to Colorectal Cancer in HT & OS
- Genome-wide Scan of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) in Relation to CHD, Stroke, Breast Cancer



Audience Questions and Answers

Marcia Stefanick, PhD Principal Investigator Stanford Clinical Center

Break



Overview of Session; Introductions

- Communicating Unexpected Findings to the Public Barbara Alving, MD
- Hormone Participant Panel

 Facilitator: James Shikany, DrPH, PA-C
 Participants:
 Gene Gary-Williams, PhD
 Natalie Gordon, DSW
 Gail LaMar
 Eiko Nomura



Overview of Session; Introductions

- Impact of HT Trials on Medical Practice Margery Gass, MD Robert Brzyski, MD, PhD
- Future Directions for Menopausal Hormone Research Jacques Rossouw, MD
- Audience Questions and Answers



Challenges in Communicating Results of Large Clinical Trials

Barbara Alving, MD, MACP Past Director, Women's Health Initiative

Acting Director, National Center for Research Resources National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland



Dissemination of Research Results

Academic Journals

Clinical Trial Result

- Pharmaceutical Industry
- Professional
 Organization
 - Public
 - Advocacy Groups
 - Press/Media
 - **Other Government Agencies (FDA)**
 - Payers (Medicare, Medicaid, Insurance Co)

Physicians

Public

Dissemination of Information

Assimilation into Practice

Organizations/Physicians Digest Information

Trial stops

Public Reaction Time



Role of NIH in Communicating Results of Clinical Trials

- Work with investigators to develop messages about the clinical trial results:
- Notify and discuss with other NIH offices, Institutes, and Department Health & Human Services
- Notify FDA (NIH often registers trials under an IND with the FDA for new drugs or new indications for old drugs)
- Notify Industry that has supplied the drug and that needs to work with FDA to revise labeling information

Communicating Results of Clinical Trials to Participants/Public

- WHI participants receive personal letters (hormone trials) or timely newsletters just as information is being released through the media
- Professional organizations alerted
- Public advocacy groups alerted
- News media receives information under embargo in order to interview investigators and other experts; news reports are coordinated with publication of reports in medical journals.

Special Letters to all WHI Hormone Trial Participants

1997: HERS: risks of deep vein thrombosis & pulmonary emboli

- **1998: HERS:** increased risk of heart disease in first year, no protection against heart disease overall
- April 2000: more heart attacks, strokes, and blood clots (DVT, PE) seen in active pill groups after most were past 2 years
- May 2001: higher rates of heart attacks, strokes, & blood clots persisted in active pill groups, after average of 4 years
- May 2002: NIH accepted DSMB recommendation to stop Estrogen plus Progestin Trial after average of 5.2 years, because risks (breast cancer + overall harm, "Global Index") exceeded benefits

February 2004: NIH stopped WHI E-alone Trial after average of 6.6 years because of increased stroke, no heart disease benefit



Actions Following Stopping the E+P Trial

- Menopausal hormone therapy meeting: NIH, Oct 2002
- New meeting in 2005 to focus on research issues in menopause
- Lower doses of *Prempro* and *Premarin* approved by FDA
- Women taking a new approach to the prevention of heart disease, their #1 cause of death
- Women participating more in decisions about their health care

Personal Accounts of Participants

James Shikany, DrPH, PA-C Co-Investigator and Lead Clinic Practitioner Birmingham Clinical Center

Assistant Professor of Medicine Division of Preventive Medicine University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, Alabama



Personal Accounts of Participants

Facilitator: James Shikany, DrPH, PA-C Participants: Gene Gary-Williams, PhD Natalie Gordon, DSW Gail LaMar Eiko Nomura



Impact of WHI Hormone Trials on Medical Practice

Robert Brzyski, MD, PhD Principal Investigator San Antonio Clinical Center

Associate Professor Obstetrics & Gynecology University of Texas Health Science Center – San Antonio San Antonio, Texas



Impact of WHI Hormone Trials on Medical Practice

Margery Gass, MD Principal Investigator Cincinnati Clinical Center

Professor Clinical Obstetrics & Gynecology University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio



INSIDE HARKEN AND HALLIBURTON THE OLDEST SKULL New York DOLESSING AND HALLIBURTON THE OLDEST SKULL NY 75, DOL

A New Study Raises Fears About the Risks For Millions Of Women. Here's What You Should Do

Beyond Hormone Therapy

ULY 22, 2002 WALL STREET: LOSING SAVINGS-AND TRUST NCESTOR? Hormone-replacement therapy is riskier than advertised. What's a woman to do?

www.time.com AOL Keyword

USS NORLD REPORT USS NORLD REPORT THE THE STATES

MARTHA'S HARD TIME • KERRY'S VP HUNT

WHAT WOMEN NEED TO KNOW NOW NEW RISKS AND REWARDS OF TREATMENT HOW MEN ARE AFFECTED



FDA Response 2003

"BLACK BOX" warning on estrogen products:

Estrogens and progestins should not be used for the prevention of cardiovascular disease.

.....estrogens with or without progestins should be prescribed at lowest effective doses and for the shortest duration consistent with treatment goals and risks for individual woman.



Current Labeling for most widely prescribed Hormone Therapy: Premarin[®] and Prempro[®] or Premphase[®]

 Treatment of moderate to severe vasomotor symptoms (hot flushes, night sweats) associated with the menopause.

2. Treatment of moderate to severe symptoms of vulvar and vaginal atrophy associated with the menopause.

When prescribing solely for the treatment of symptoms of vulvar and vaginal atrophy, topical vaginal products should be considered



Current Labeling: Indications and Usage (cont'd)

3. Prevention of postmenopausal osteoporosis (not treatment)

When prescribing solely for the prevention of postmenopausal osteoporosis, therapy should only be considered for women at significant risk of osteoporosis after non-estrogen medications have been carefully considered. Start at 0.3 mg [+1.5 mg MPA]

FDA-approved non-estrogen medications for prevention of osteoporosis

Raloxifene (Evista®); Alendronate (Fosomax®); Risedronate (Actonel®); Calcitonin, as a nasal spray (Miacalcin®)



Annual Number of US Prescriptions for HT 1995 - Aug 2003



WOMEN'S HEALTHE

HMO Analysis

- Examination of cohort of 160,000 women in 5 HMOs across the country
- Prevalence of combined hormone therapy declined 46% and prevalence estrogen therapy declined 28% in the 6 months after WHI report
- Significant increase in discontinuation rate and significant decline in new initiations noted



Obstet Gynecol 2005; 104:1042

Hormone Discontinuation after WHI

- Kaiser-Permanente Health Plan
- Telephone survey of 670 postmenopausal women 6-8 months after WHI published
- 1000 letters mailed to explain study
- 56% tried to stop
- 44% chose not to stop
- Reasons: hot flushes, osteoporosis, mood swings, vaginal dryness, urinary incontinence, depression
- 17.7% reduced their dosage



Obstet Gynecol 2003; 102:1225

Restarting Hormone Therapy

- Kaiser Foundation Health Plan
- Telephone survey of 377 postmenopausal women who tried to stop HT after WHI results were published 2002
- 74% successfully stopped
- 26% restarted
- Reasons: hot flushes, osteoporosis, mood swings, vaginal dryness, urinary incontinence, depression



Obstet Gynecol 2003; 102: 1233-9

What are Doctors and Patients Doing?

- Lower doses, shorter times
- Other routes of administration (skin, vagina)
- "Bioidentical" or "natural" hormones
- Other prescription drugs
- Various supplements and herbals
- Practical measures: paced respirations; dressing in layers; avoiding turtleneck sweaters, down comforters, alcohol/spicy foods, bright lights, etc.



Limitations of Strategies

- Evidence of efficacy sometimes lacking
- Evidence of safety lacking
- Evidence from small studies of short duration



Safety implied or stated
No outcome data to support claims
Strong marketing efforts evident



Estrogen Levels and Stroke

- 2447 postmenopausal women <80 years old</p>
- Women with estradiol levels below 10 pmol/L had only one-third the rate of strokes as those women with estradiol levels above 10 pmol/L



Lee et al. American Stroke Association 2006. Abstract

Estrogen therapy: The dangerous road to Shangri-La*

- Estrogen should be used only for vasomotor symptoms and vaginal atrophy. The lowest effective dose for the shortest amount of time.
- Estrogen may trigger high blood pressure and increase blood clotting.
- Women with high blood pressure or a family history of early heart attacks are advised not to use estrogen
- For the treatment of osteoporosis, there may be safer alternative therapies.
- Women are cautioned as to their own responsibility when taking estrogens.

*Consumer Reports 1976 Nov; 41(11):642-5



Future Directions for Menopausal Hormone Research

Jacques Rossouw, MD Program Officer WHI Program Office

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland



Research Questions

Do effects vary by:

- Age? or Years since Menopause?
- Drug? or Delivery Method?
- Dose? or Regimen? Duration?



Stages of Atherosclerosis





Hormone Therapy, Coronary Heart Disease, and Age

- For older women: identify markers of early harm

 Tailor therapy to risk
- For younger women: do hormones reduce risk of CHD?
 Examinations of results by age in existing studies
 - Surrogate outcomes (imaging studies)
 - Definitive trial starting at younger age??
 - Very large numbers of younger women needed
 - Very long duration—will any benefit persist into older age?
 - Hormones have other adverse effects (blood clots, stroke, etc.)
 - Better prevention strategies for CHD available



Drug, Route of Administration, and Regimen

Transdermal estradiol

- Possibly less pro-thrombotic
- Does not raise C-reactive protein
- Progesterone
- Cyclic rather than continuous administration
- Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs)




- Lower dose of estrogen is effective for osteoporosis prevention
- Effect on coronary heart disease, stroke, blood clots, and breast cancer unknown



Audience Questions and Answers Closing Hormone Session

Marcia Stefanick, PhD Principal Investigator Stanford Clinical Center



