# Iris Image Quality Metrics

Jim Cambier November 2007



#### **Overview for Iris Quality**

- Motivation
- Overview
- Quality Philosophy
- Iris Algorithms
- Quality Factors
- Quality Impact
- Further Work



#### **Market Motivation**

- Image Capture
  - Quality measurement in image capture loop minimizes time and resources spent on storing and processing substandard images
- Enrollment
  - Remote enrollment without matching
  - Offline and inaccessible
  - Quality assures usability of enrollment data
- Fusion
  - Quality predicts match performance
  - Higher quality => heavier weighting



#### **Technical Motivation**

- Image Capture
  - Quality measurement in image capture loop determines when capture is acceptable
  - Speed vs accuracy tradeoff
- Enrollment
  - Best image quality optimizes segmentation and recognition performance, especially FNMR
  - Emphasis on accuracy
- Fusion
  - Quality predicts match performance
  - Higher quality => heavier weighting

Cross Match Technologies / Company Confidential and Proprietary

CROSSMA

Description

• The Auto Capture process is composed of several sub processes...



# Sample Capture

- An imaging system takes a series of "photographs" at a given frame rate.
- Depends on many factors
  - Sensor Electronics
  - Capture Time
  - Sensor Dynamic Range
  - Image Resolution
  - Field of View
  - Imaging Size
  - Computer Interface



Sample Capture





Rapid Rapid Quality Segmentation







User
Interface



### **Rapid Segmentation**

- Pupil boundary and specular reflection localized to estimate gaze angle and motion blur
- Iris boundary localized for use (with pupil boundary) to assess image focus and contrast
- Desirable to localize eyelids to estimate iris exposure
- Spectral reflections in iris area may be localized



Sample Capture



d Rapid Quality

Rapid Segmentation



Decision Process



User Interface



# **Rapid Quality**

- Position of specular reflection relative to pupil boundary provides indication of gaze angle
- Pupil and iris edge contrast/sharpness indicate focus quality
- Size of specular reflection indicates focus quality and motion blur
- Distance between upper and lower lid can be compared to iris diameter to estimate iris exposure
- Presence of specular reflections outside pupil may indicate obscuration of iris area



Sample Capture



Rapid Segmentation



Decision Process



User Interface



Cross Match Technologies / Company Confidential and Proprietary

Rapid

Quality

#### **Decision Model**

- Find Iris
- Assess Motion Blur
- Assess Focus Quality
- Weighted Sum of Quality Elements



Sample Capture



Rapid Rapid Segmentation



Decision Process



User Interface



#### **User Interface**

- Frame Speed
- Fixation element mirror or fixation target
- Display live and captured image for each eye
- Center and crop iris image



Sample Capture



Rapid

Rapid Segmentation







User Interface



Cross Match Technologies / Company Confidential and Proprietary

Quality

#### Captured Image Quality

- Assumes rapid quality assessment in capture loop has returned acceptable score
- Additional and more precise image quality metrics are applied, since more processing time is available
- Quality metrics may include:
  - Precise segmentation and determination of iris area based on eyelids, eyelashes, specular reflections, etc.
  - Focus assessment based on spatial frequency content – may be limited to iris area
  - Measurement of pupil/iris diameter ratio



#### Image Quality Examples



#### Image Quality and Match Performance

- Quality attributes impact authentic and imposter distributions.
- Effects on authentic and imposter distributions predict effects on match performance
- Analysis assumes iris texture encoding (wavelet, DCT, etc.) that gives rise to binary template and that matching is based on binary correlation e.g. Hamming distance.



#### **Predicting Match Performance**

	Attribute	Authentic	Imposter	FNM R	FMR	Comment
	Contrast (+)	No effect	No effect			Matching is based on phase
	Focus Quality (+)	μ (-), σ (-)	σ (-)	(-)	(-)	More stat. independent samples
	Iris Area (+)	μ (-), σ (-)	σ (-)	(-)	(-)	More stat. independent samples
	Signal to Noise (+)	μ (-), σ (-)	σ (+)	(-)	(+)	Less stat. ind. samples
	Gaze Angle (-)	μ (-), σ (-)	No effect	(-)		Lower authentic
		Cross Match Techn	ologies / Company Co	nfidential and Pr		TECHNOLOGIES

#### Issues

- How to combine factors
- Weighted sum
- Weights proportional to effect on matching performance

$$d' = \Sigma w_i Q_i$$

 $= w_1C + w_2F + w_3IA + w_4SNR + w_5GA$ 



#### Future Work

- Sensitivity analysis to determine weights for quality factors
- Identification of additional factors
- Testing on large databases of varying quality



# Summary

- Auto Capture is standard practice and improves capture speed
- Auto Capture GUI can provide useful feedback to operators and subjects
- Enrollment quality improves usability and value of remote or offline enrollments
- Accurate quality constitutes a critical input for multibiometric fusion
- Standardization of quality algorithms would enhance interoperability across cameras and algorithms

