

#### BEYOND COMPARISON \*\*

# "Image Quality On Purpose"

Presented to: NIST Image Quality Workshop November 7-8, 2007

### Agenda



COGENT SYSTEMS

# 1 Image Quality Assessments For Different Purposes

- 1 Fingerprint Image Quality Case Study & Analysis
- 1 Photograph Image Quality Case Study & Analysis
- 1 Summary
- 1 Contact Information

## **Cogent Fingerprint Image Quality Scoring Scale**

COGENT SYSTEMS



Image Quality Score Has Direct Relationship to Matching Accuracy

3

#### **Image Quality Evaluation Layers**

#### COGENT SYSTEMS



4

### **Fingerprint Image Quality – Improved Matching Algorithms**

COGENT SYSTEMS

- 1 Key Premise: Matching Accuracy (2Print/10Print) Starts With Fingerprint Image Quality
- 1 Image Quality Measurement is a *Good Predictor* of Matching Accuracy
- Use Of Advanced Matching Algorithms (Galaxy+) as Replacement or In Conjunction With Feedback Improves Matching Accuracy of Poor Quality Prints

		Good			Average		Poor	
Cogent Quality Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8-Q127
Feedback Single Finger TAR	99%	99%	98%	98%	94%	88%	82%	54%
Cogent Quality Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8-Q127
<b>Galaxy</b> + Single Finger TAR	99%	99%	99%	99%	98%	98%	96%	82% Galaxy+

Advanced Matching Algorithms Increase Ability to Match Poor Quality Prints

#### **Four Finger Slap Capture For Segmentation**

- 1 Slap Orientation
- Ability to Rotate +/- 90 Degrees Critical for Slap Segmentation Algorithm.
- If Orientation +/- 10 Degrees No Rotation Necessary.



COGENT SYSTEMS

Ability of Segmentation Algorithm to Rotate Is a Key Attribute

#### **Segmented Right Slap**

COGENT SYSTEMS

X LiveScan Result of Right Flats COGENT SYSTEMS **Click Confirm to continue!** R. Thumb **R.** Forefinger **R. Middle Finger R. Ring Finger** R. Little Finger ID No. Finger Position: **Right Flats** Cancel Good Quality :11 Good Quality :11 Good Quality :9 Good Quality :10 Zoom In L. Thumb L. Forefinger L. Middle Finger L. Ring Finger L. Little Finger Retry Confirm Back L. Flats L. Thumb R. Thumb R. Flats

Segmentation Confidence Scoring Used In Addition to Image Quality

 Segmentation Confidence Scoring

1 Key Metric For Image Quality

7

### Segmented Slap – Poor Quality/Segmentation Identification



LiveScan COGENT 5 SYSTEMS	Evaluate Document Click Zoom in Buttor	n to display ima	ge!					
ID No	R. Thumb	R. Forefing	jer	R. Middl	e Finger	R. F	Ring Finger	R. Little Finger
Finger Position: Two Thumb								
1	Poor Quality :6	Good Quality	/:11	Good Qu	ality :11	Goo	d Quality :9	Good Quality :10
	L. Thumb	L. Forefing	ler	L. Middl	e Finger	L.F	Ring Finger	L. Little Finger
Recapture								
	Poor Quality :7	Good Quality	/:11	Good Qu	ality :11	Good	d Quality :11	Good Quality :9
Back	L. Flats		L. <sup>-</sup>	Thumb	R. Thur	nb		R. Flats

Segmentation Confidence Scoring Used In Addition to Image Quality

## **Typical Good Quality Digital Fingerprint**

- 1 Good Quality Fingerprint
  - 1 Quality = 1 (Very Good)
  - 1 Minutia Count = 104
  - 1 Even Grayscale Distribution
  - 1 Proper Capture Device Calibration
  - 1 Evenly Rolled Fingerprint



Description	Value	
Core help Level	1	
Number of Minutia Points	104	
Overall Fingerprint Quality	1	

#### **Fingerprint Quality – Smeared Print**

- **1** Smeared Fingerprint
  - 1 Quality = 7 (Average)
  - 1 Minutia Count = 44
- 1 Solution:
  - 1 Smear Detection Algorithm
  - SDA Used In Capture Application to Prompt For re-Capture of Print



## **Fingerprint Quality – Light Print**

- 1 Light Contrast Fingerprint
  - 1 Quality = 20 (Very Poor)
  - 1 Minutia Count = 5
  - 1 Poor Grayscale Distribution
- 1 Solution:
  - Ensure Capture Device Is
     Properly Calibrated
    - Normal Distribution is 128 (88-168) on 0-255 Scale.

1. C. S.	dia a
ST. SE	
1 - 18 - E	
	NA CARL THE TOTAL THE P
Feature Extraction Display Properties	Image Information   Histogram
Feature Extraction Display Properties	Image Information   Histogram   Value
Feature Extraction Display Properties           Description           Core help Level	Image Information   Histogram   Value
Feature Extraction Display Properties           Description           Core help Level           Number of Minutia Points	Image Information   Histogram   Value 3 5

### **Fingerprint Quality – Dark Print**

- 1 Dark Contrast Fingerprint
  - 1 Quality = 11 (Very Poor)
  - 1 Minutia Count = 27
  - 1 Poor Grayscale Distribution
- 1 Solution:
  - Ensure Capture Device Is
     Properly Calibrated
    - Normal Distribution is 128 (88-168) on 0-255 Scale.
  - Ensure Too Much Finger
     Pressure Not Applied to Platen



## **Client Application – Centering Algorithm**

- COGENTSSYSTEMS
- 1 Core Centering Versus Geometric Centering Algorithm
  - Two Primary Fingerprint Centering Algorithms
  - Core Centering
    - Identifies Core Center of The Print and Centers Image
    - Core NOT Used by Cogent For Matching. Only for Image Centering
  - Geometric Centering
    - Utilizes x,y Coordinates of the Print Image and Centers Image

#### Recommendation :

- Core Centering Algorithm Should Be Used to Obtain More Useful Image Area than Geometrics Centering Algorithm
- Core Centered Fingerprints Provide Higher Quality Scores

Geometric Centered Quality- 12





### Photograph Image Quality Assessment

#### 1 UK-Visas Application

Biometrics Capture & Matching System Used In >140 Countries

COGENT SYSTEMS

- Captures 10Print Slaps, Biographic Data & Digital Photo
- 1 UK-Visas Program Case Study For Photograph Quality
- 1 Photograph Quality is Pre-Cursor For Face Recognition
  - Uniform Lighting
  - Frontal View
  - Head Length/Width Ratio
  - Eyes Open

#### Several Attributes Contribute to a High Quality Photograph Image

#### **Photo Image Quality Assessment**

UKvisas

Photo Capture

#### COGENT SYSTEMS

- Failed Quality Check
  - Eyes Closed
  - Uneven Lighting





**UKvisas Biometric Enrolment - Facial Image** 

Show Guidelines

,	
Eyes Open:	<b>Q</b>
Exposure:	C,
Frontal View:	Ċ
Greyscale Density:	Ċ
Uniform Lighting:	0
Head Width:	Ċ
Head Length:	Ċ
Width / Height Ratio:	C
Resolution:	Ċ,
Veritical Position:	Ċ
Horizontally Centred:	C
Failed	

Failed the Quality Assessment Please instruct the applicant to perform the following: • Failed quality assessment. Please instruct the applicant to open his/her eyes and look at the camera. • Failed Uniform Lighting category - Please check the lighting for any shadows or glare. • Cancel

### Photo Image Quality Assessment – Case 2

#### COGENT SYSTEMS

Failed Quality
Check

Non Frontal
View
Head
Width/Height
Ratio



#### Photo Image Quality Assessment – Case 3

Photo Capture

#### COGENT SYSTEMS

# Passed Quality Check

- Full Frontal View
- Consistent Lighting
- "Eye Contact"
- Head Width/Height Ratio



Passed the Quality Assessment



**UKvisas Biometric Enrolment - Facial Image** 

✓ Show Guidelines

Eyes Open:	Ċ
Exposure:	¢
Frontal View:	C
Greyscale Density:	C
Uniform Lighting:	Ċ
Head Width:	¢
Head Length:	C
Width / Height Ratio:	Ċ
Resolution:	Ċ
Veritical Position:	¢
Horizontally Centred:	C

Passed

ОК

Cancel

17

### **Image Quality Assessment Summary**

### 1 Fingerprint Image Quality Considerations

- Calibration of Capture Device
- Grayscale Distribution
- Segmentation Confidence
- Centering Algorithm
- 1 Photograph Image Quality Considerations
  - Lighting
  - Pose
  - "Eye Contact"

Image Quality Has Direct Relationship to Matching Accuracy

### **Cogent Contact Information**

COGENT SYSTEMS

#### **Corporate Headquarters:**

Cogent, Inc 209 Fair Oaks Dr. South Pasadena, CA 626-799-8090

#### Washington, DC Metro

Cogent, Inc.

11480 Commerce Park Dr

Reston, VA 20191

(703) 476-9381

19

JJasinski@Cogentsystems.com

RBillups@Cogentsystems.com