COFFEEVILLE PLANT MATERIALS CENTER

NO. 5 COFFEEVILLE, MISSISSIPPI

1987

ADVANCED EVALUATIONS **OF** GIANT REED: III. SURVIVAL AND SPREAD STUDY (1983-1986)

Abstract

Four accessions of giant reed were evaluated for survival and spread for a period of four years at the Coffeeville Plant Materials Center. PI-432432 was selected as the best on overall performance although PI-432430 was the more cold-tolerant and PI-432429 had a greater basal spread. Because accessions never tested at Coffeeville have performed better than PI-432432 at the Plant Materials Center at Brooksville, FlorIda, PI-432432 and five other accessions are undergoing further tests at both Plant Materials Centers.

Introduction

Fourteen accessions of giant reed (Arundo donax L.) were evaluated for streamchannel and shoreline erosion control at the Coffeeville Plant Materials Center (PMC) from 1976 through 1981, and four accessions were considered superior to the others because of better vigor and stem and rhizome production (Coffeeville PMC, 1982a). The four accessions of giant reed were:

<u>PI-Number</u>	<u>Origin</u>
432420 432429	Collected in Yalobusha County, MS, by B. B. Billingsley, Jr. Obtained from the Knox City PMC.
432430	Obtained from the Knox City PMC.
432432	Collected in Cuthbert, GA, by James P. Bradley.

Plans for advanced evaluation of the four accessions were developed in 1982 (Coffeeville PMC, 1982h), and studies were Initiated to determine how different planting conditions would affect their establishment from rhizomes so planting guides could be prepared and to gain additional information. The first of these studies was initiated in 1982 to determine the effect of planting depth. The studies showed that a good stand could be obtained under more adverse conditions than previously believed (Coffeeville PMC, 1982a.)

In 1982, another study was initiated to determine how establishment might be affected when the rhizomes were planted at different periods throughout the year. The test showed respectable survival for rhizomes planted in any month. June appeared to be the best month for planting but establishment was almost as rapid when planted from Aprll to September. (Coffeeville PMC, 1987a).

In 1983, a study was made to determine how establishment might be affected when the rhizomes were planted in a somewhat haphazard position as might happen in actual situations. The study showed that an acceptable number of plants could be established from rhizomes in all positions. The normal growing position was best, but the advantage would probably not be worth the additional trouble of placing the rhizomes in the proper position (Coffeeville PMC, 1987b.)

Another study was initiated in 1983 to determine how well the four accessions would survive and spread over a longer period of time. This **is** a report for that study.

Materials and Methods

Twenty rhizome sections of normal planting condition were selected from each of the four accessions. Prior to planting, each section was weighed and the number of buds counted. The rhizomes were planted at a depth of five Jnches (12.5 cm.) on May 18, 1983, in the advanced evaluation area in Oaklimeter silt loam (0-2% slope) that had been plowed for weed control.

A randomized complete block design was used with four replications. Each plot consisted of a single row of five hills of one accession. Rhizomes were randomly selected and planted five feet apart within and between rows.

Evaluations consisted of recording the number of emerged stems for each hill at one, two, three, and six month intervals after planting. At the end of the growing season, the number of stems and average height and width of each hill was recorded.

At the end of each growing season, one hill from each plot was randomly selected for digging. Air dry weights of the underground (RHIZOME) and above ground (STEM) portions were recorded. Because several plots no longer had shoots for the fifth growing season all the remaining hill were dug at the end of the 1986 growing season and the study concluded.

Results and Discussion

Table I shows the number of buds per rhizome when planted and the number of stems counted on each evaluation date. Although some of the counts were made in the winter of the following year, they represent growth of the year indicated. Table II contains the average height and width of each hill at the end of the growing season, and the weights are shown in Table III.

Because of missing hills, the analysis of data consisted primarily of comparing averages. At planting, the rhizomes of PI-432432 were heavier and had more buds than the others, but because of the variance, the accessions were not signif \bar{i} -cantly different. Neither was the number of buds closely correlated with rhizome weight or the number of stems produced later.

Therefore for shipping purposes, the accession with the lightest weight would be favored. If weights of the 20 rhizomes are representative, shipping weight per

1000 would be:

PI-Number	K i 1ograms	Pounds		
432420	144.65	318		
432429	133.7 5	294		
432430	133.80	294		
432432	168.05	370		

After planting, however, the two accessions with the least weight appeared to be less promising. PI-432432 and PI-432420 showed the best establishment and stem productions although the differences were not significant at the end of the first season. The data agreed closely with that obtained in the depth and positions studies (Coffeeville PMC, 1987a; 1987b). Because the depth study was evaluated last in August, data for that month are used for the following comparison,

	%	<u>Establis</u>	hment	St	em/Rhizo	me Ratio		
STUDY	432420	432429	432430	432432	432420	432429	432430	432432
Spread Depth Position	100 68 75	95 79 87	90 74 72	100 80 82	8.0 4.4 7.0	7.6 3.5 6.1	7.4 3.4 6.0	9.2 3.8 7.9
Average	81	87	79	87	6.5	5.7	5.6	7.0

The winter of 1983-1984 was unusually cold (Coffeeville PMC, 1983) and several hills perished. The accessions hit most severely were PI-432420 and PI-432429. The accession showing the least damage was PI-432430. A comparison of winter survival with data in the position study showed a reverse in the position of PI-432429 and PI-432430, however, the ranking remains the same after averaging. Percent that died in the winter was:

STUDY	432420	432429	432430	<u>432432</u>	
Spread Position	45 47	42 20	5 35	25 24	
Average	46	31	20	25	

Although planting conditions in some of the above studies represented drastic differences from the normal, the data for the three studies showed tendencies that were similar to this study, For the remainder of this spread study, comparative data were not available from other studies.

The data **summarized** below show increased growth over the evaluation period. A comparison, especially for **PI-432420** and **PI-432429**, may be misleading because of missing hills. However, survival is a determinant in the selection of the best accession. The area covered was calculated using the width for each hill assuming the shape to be circular. The CORRECTED data was calculated to account for the hill that had died.

		UNCORREC	TED		-		COR	RECTED	
YEAR	432420	432429	432430	432432	2	432420	432429	432430	432432
				AREA (s	q. meters)			
1983	0.168	0.129	0.120	0.157	•	0.084	0.071	0.102	0.110
1984	0.536	0.526	0.515	0.289		0.268	0.289	0.438	0.202
1985	1.003	0.851	0.375	0.898		0.502	0 .4 68	0.319	0.629
1986		2.400	1.186	1.451			1.320	1.008	1.016
			STEM DE	NSITY (number/sq	. meter)			
1983	130	170	207	190 `	`	65	94	176	133
1984	109	74	101	135		55	41	86	95
1985	_79	69	138	77		40	38	117	54
<u> 1986</u>		<u>61</u> 94	<u>63</u>	<u>57</u> 115		==	<u>34</u> 52	54	40
Ave.	106	94	127	115		53	52	108	80
			- STEM	MASS (Kgm/sq. m	eter)			
1983	6.61	9.38	8.83	. 9.43	•	3.30	5 .1 6	7 . 51	6.60
1984	9.05	4.45	4.80	7.78		4.52	2 .4 5	4.08	5 .4 5
1985 1986	11.00	16.56	16.40 7.05	8. <u>11</u> 10.71		5.50	9 . 11	13.94	5.68
		10.49	<u>7.05</u>				<u>5.77</u>	5.99	7.50
Ave.	8.89	10.22	9.27	9.01		4.44	5.62	7.88	6.31
			RHIZOM	E MASS	(Kgm./sq.	meter)-			
1983	10.36	14.03	10.67	15.03		5.18	7.72	9.07	10.52
1984	39.94	26.25	19.15	46.61		19.97	14.44	16.28	32.62
1985	19.94	28.58	28.96	14.71		9.97	15.72	24.62	10.30
1986	23.41	22.14	13.00	17.28			12.18	11.05	12.10
Ave.	23.41	22.75	17.94	23.41		11.71	12.52	15.26	16.39

The preceding data showed that as the basal area of all accessions of giant reed was increasing, density of the stems was decreasing. For this reason, the mass per unit area was calculated and the result did not show any definite increase or decrease from year to year. The, same situation exlsted underground. Without the correction for survival, the superiority of any accession would have been even less clear. However, the data did show that PI-432430 had more stems per unit area although data for height and biomass were not considerably different from those of the other accessions. Stems within any hill vary in height and diameter, but calculations showed that the stems of PI-432430 to be more slender although closer together. Although stem density could be important in breaking waves, it was considered to be less important with this species where the stouter stems would not be as prone to break from the force of the water. The relative thickness of the stems of the four accessions is shown as follows:

PI-Number	Average Stem Weight (Grams/Meter in Length)			
432420	251			
432429	337			
432430	227			
432432	287			

Consideration should also be given to the underground portion of these plants for erosion control along lakes and streams. During the winter when much erosion occurs, the rhizomes are the parts that hold the soil. Since most of the mass of giant reed was underground, an average rhizome weight: stem weight ratio was calculated to determine the relative ability of each accession to assimilate underground mass. The results are as follows:

PI-Number	Rhizome Wt./Stem Wt. Ratio
432420	2.60
432429	2.8 1
432430	2.1 6
432432	2 .7 5

In all of the preceding examples, the outstanding production for PI-432420 and PI-432429 may be because the weaker hills died in the winter of 1983-1984. This was taken into consideration in many instances in the CORRECTED data. How they would have performed if the unusually cold winter had not come at that time is not known. Sub-zero temperatures are common, however, north of Coffeeville so their useful range would be to the south. For colder climates PI-432430 may be best.

Conclusion

When all of the factors were taken into consideration, PI-432432 ranked near the top in all categories. To select the best, the accessions were ranked from the best to worst with the best being number 1. Then the scores were averaged as follows:

FACTOR	432420	432429	432430	432432
Shipping	3	1	2	4
Survival	_	_		_
Establishment %	3	2	4	1
Stem/rhizome ratio	2	3	4	1
Cold Tolerance	4	3	1	2
Spread (Area-Corrected)	4	1	3	2
Stems				_
Density (Corrected)	3	4	1	2
Size (Diameter)	3	1	4	2
Rhizomes				
Density (Corrected)	4	3	2	1
Wt. ratio	3	_1	4_	2
Average Rank	3.22	2.11	3.78	1.89

PI-432432 had the best scores of the four candidates at Coffeeville. However, five other accessions, some never tried at Coffeeville, scored higher at the Plant Materials Center at Brooksville, Florida where an assembly of giant reed was also being considered for erosion control (Brooksville PMC, 1.986.) Since the demand for giant reed will probably not justify two releases, both the Coffeeville and Brooksville PMC are continuing advanced evaluations of the top

six which are:

<u>Accession</u>	<u>Origin</u>
432425 432427 432432 9035155 9035156	Start County, Texas Sumter County, Georgia Randolph County, Georgia Ware County, Georgia Walton County, Florida
9035262	Leon County, Florida

References

Brooksville PMC 1986. Annual Technical Report, pp. 12-27.

Coffeeville PMC 1982a. Annual Technical Report 1981-1982, pp. 155-156, 169-171, 173.

Coffeeville PMC 1982b. Project 28A282E: Plan for Selection and Release of a Superior Variety of Giant Reedgrass, Arundo donax.

Coffeeville PMC 1983. Report of Activities.

Coffeeville PMC 1987a. Technical Notes No. 3. Advanced Evaluation of Giant Reed: I. Results of the Monthly Planting Study
Coffeeville PMC 1987b. Technical Notes No. 4. Advanced Evaluation of Giant Reed:

II. Planting Position Study.

TABLE I. STEM PRODUCTION FOR FOUR SELECTED ACCESSIONS OF GIANT REED AT THE COFFEEVILLE PMC (1983 - 1986)

	Buds at				of St		tion Date _	
Hill	Planting	C /13	1983	Grow	th_	1984 Growth	1985 Growth	1986 Growth
No.	5/18/83	6/13	7/15	8/15	11/17	3/8/85	1/13/86	1/28/87
Harris Harris III					- PI-4	32420		
_					BLC	CK A ***		
1 2	3	1	3	18	39	**	* * *	* * *
3	3 3	1 1	3 1	12 14				***
4	1	1 2	4	8	$\begin{array}{c} 13 \\ 23 \end{array}$	€ 5	*	**
Ave.	$\frac{7}{3.4}$	_1_	3	8	29	63	<u>77</u>	***
	J. 1	1.2	2.8	10.0	24.2	74.0	77.0	
	_	_	_			CK B **	**	
1 2	1 3	1 1	3	7' 5	33 23	**	* *	* *
3	4	1	5 2	5 5	23 18	* \$ \$	* * *	***
4	4	1	_ 1	11	11	**	* * * *	* * * *
5	1	1	_3_	_ 7	3.5	* *	* *	* *
Ave.	2.6	1.0	2.8	7.0	24.0			
_						OCK C ***	***	* * *
1 2	2 3	2	4	6	24			***
3	3 1	1 2	3 8	8 3	21 36	5 5 2 9	52 ***	* * *
3 4	_ 1	4	6	8	25	11	1 0	****
5	2	$\frac{1}{2.0}$	3	5	22	<u> </u>		
Ave •	1.8	2.0	4.8	6.0	25.6	31.7	31.0	
					BLC	OCK D		
1	3	3	5	10	25	* 7 9	* * * * * *	失失失
2 3 4	3 1	3 2	5 4	8	26			***
3	3	1	3	8	3 4	3 4	109 **	
5	2	1	2	8 11_	26 25	* * 25		* * * *
Ave	2.6	$\frac{1.8}{1.8}$	3.6	9.0	27.2	38.0	109.0	
DT 7							62,0	
<u>PI Ave</u>	<u>.</u>	<u> 15</u>) F		25.2	43.3	0 Z ; U	

^{*} Rhizome never sprouted.

*** Died in winter of 1983-84.

Dug to obtain weights.

Table I continued.

	Buds at		!	Numbe	r of St	ems on Evalua	tion Date	
Hill	Planting	-	.	o Grav	wtn	1984 Growth	1985 Growth	1006 0
No.	5/18/83	6/13	7/15	8/15	11/17	3/8/85	1/13/86	1986 Growth 1/28/87
			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		***************************************			1/20/8/
					PI-4	32429		
1					BLO	CK A		
1 2	2	1	3	8 8	34	20	* <b>* *</b> * *	***
3	3 1	1	2		22	**	* *	* *
4	1	5 0	5	10	17	**	**	**
5	2	-	3	7	14	***	*	* * *
Ave	$\frac{2}{1.8}$	1 6	_6	<del>_9</del> .	<del>-19-</del>	**	• •	* *
Ave .	1.0	1.6	3.8	8.4	21.2	20.0		
1	2				BLO	CK B ***	***	
1 2	3 3	2	5	8	28	**	* * *	***
3	3 1	2	3	12	24		* *	* *
4	3	0	0	0'	0	*	*	••
5	3 1	2	5	7	20	<b>5</b> 3	***	***
Ave	$\frac{4}{2.8}$	$\frac{1}{1.4}$	3	<del>-9-</del> -	<del>- 19_</del>		* *	**
Ave .	2.8	1.4	3.2	7.2	18.2	53.0		
-	2	_			BI,()(	ik c		
1 2	3 4	1	3	10	31	75	92	137
3	7	1	3	8	28	***	***	***
4	4	2	6	8 6	36	81	136	15 <i>4</i>
5		1	3		23	39	***	**
Ave	$\frac{1}{3.8}$	1 2	3	5_	20	31	59_	<u>^</u> *
AVE	3.0	1.2	3.6	7.4	27.6	56.5	95.7	145.5
					BLOC	'K D		
1	2	1	3	6	22	ж D **	**	**
2	2	3	5	8	22	**		
2 3 4	2	1	2	7	18	***	* * *	* * *
	2	1	4	7	22	44	***	* * *
5	4	_3_	6	_8_	_29_	**	**	**
Ave .	2.4	1.8	4.0	7.2	22.6	44.0	•	* *
PI Ave.	2.7	1.5	3.6	7.6	22.4	4.0		
	*					49_0	95_7	145.5

^{*} Rhizome never sprouted.

*** Died in winter of 1983-84.
Dug to obtain weights.

Table I continued.

Hi 11	Buds at			Numbe	r of St	ems on Evalua	4.1	Tamanin kanada kanad
	Planting					1984 Growth	tion Date	
No.	5/18/83	6/13	7/15	8/15	11/17	3/8/85	1985 Growth	1986 Growth
						0,0,00	1/13/86	1/28/87
-				··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	PI-4	32430	the court of the c	
1					BLO	CK A		
1	. 1	1	3	4	27	59	71	
2 3	1	2	5	6	29	***		71
4	3	1	<b>:</b> :1	5	34	70	***	***
	2	2	5	11	28	43	78	89
5	_3_	2	. 7		31	64	***	***
Ave.	2.0	1.6	4.8	$\frac{7}{6.6}$	29.8		54	***
				4 23	/ a ()	59.0	67.7	80.0
1	2				BLOC	CK B		
-e5	2 4	1	5	8	23	106	***	
3		4	6	14	44	40	64	***
4	1 2	O	O	0	0	₩.		***
	2	1	3	5	21	***	**	*
5	$\frac{2}{2.2}$	2	_5	9	24	**	***	***
Ave.	2.2	1.6	3.8	7.2	22.4	73.0	<del>**</del> 64.0	**
4					BLOC	кс		
1	2	1	Э	13	18	10	10	
2	1	1	2	10	26	31	18	23
3 4	2	1	3	6	27	27	29	***
	4	4	7	11	26	45	***	***
_ 5	<u>1</u> .	1	3	8	26	***	65	95
Ave	2.0	1.6	4.8	9.6	24.6	$\frac{28.2}{28.2}$	***	***
						40.4	37.3	59.0
1	•				BLOC	K D		
,	1	1	4	7	23	X X X	***	
2	3	1	4	9	29	57	59	***
3 4	4	O	5	8	35	49	60	94
<del>"</del> 5	2	1	5	6	31	31	***	****
	1	<u> </u>	_0_	O	0	*		***
Ave.	2.2	0.6	3.6	6.0	23.6	45.7	* 59.5	*
D.T. A	<b>-</b> .					100 4 7	J3. D	94.0
PI Ave.	2.1	1.4	4.2	7.4	25.1	48.6	55.3	74.4

^{*} Rhizome never sprouted. ** Died in winter of 1983-84. *** Dug to obtain weights.

Table I continued.

	Buds at		1	lumber	of St	ems on Evalua	tion Date	
Hill	Planting		1983	3 Grow	th	1984 Growth	1985 Growth	1986 Growth
No.	5/18/83	6/13	7/15	8/15	11/17	3/8/85	1/13/86	1/28/87
	***************************************			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- PI-4:	32432		
		•						
	_					CK A		
1	2 2 5	4	11	11	33	18	30	- <b>X</b> - <b>X</b> - <b>X</b> -
2	2	4	4	15	16	13	***	<b>₩</b> ₩₩
3	5	1	£_	8	14	15	21	***
4	3	1	6	9	30	**	**	**
<u></u>	_7_	_2_	4	7	30	X-X-X	<del>* * *</del>	***
Ave.	3.8	2.4	5.4	10.0	24.6	15.3	25.5	
					BLO	CK B		
1	3	1	3	6	13	49	83	132
2	3	3	4	13	33	80	***	***
3	2	1	4	6	20	<b>4</b> 4	98	***
4	dia.	3	8	8	31	***	***	***
_5_	A	1_	_1_	5	<b>1</b> a	- <b>X</b> -X-	**	**
Ave.	2.4	1.8	4.4	7.6	21.8	48.7	90.5	132.0
					BLO	CK C		
1	3	2	6	フ	33	10	21	34
2	3	3	7	9	49	55	***	***
3	3	1	3	5	28	61	58	***
4	1	2	5	9	25	***	<b>**</b> *	* * *
_5	<u>'3</u>	_2_	6	11	18	**	<b>*</b> *	**
Ave.	2.6	2.0	5. 4	8.2	30.6	42.0	39.5	34.0
					BLO	ск р		
1	7	3	3	5	33	*·**	***	***
2 3	2	2	3	7	33	90	89	***
	2 3 3	6	<b>-7</b>	18	34	35	***	***
4		1	7	12	27	**	**	**
_5	_3_	1	11	14	20	H-X	<b>*</b>	**
Ave.	3.6	2.6	6-2	11.2	29.4	<u>62.5</u>	89.0	<del></del>
PI Ave	. 3.1	2.2	5.4	9.2	26.6	40.3	57.1	83.0

^{*} Rhizome never sprouted. ** Died in winter of 1983-04. *** Dug to obtain weights. **** Died from unknown cause.

TABLE II. HEIGHT AND WIDTH OF WILLS OF GIANT REED FROM SINGLE RHIZOMES PLANTED 5/18/83 AT THE COFFEEVILLE PMC

Hi 11		Heir	<del>uht</del> (in.	)				Width (	in.)	
No.	8783	11/83	1984	1985	1996	8/83	11/83	1984	1985	1986
	···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···				PI-4324	20		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		
					,,	***				
1					BLOCK					***
1	&\$ <u>C</u> )	103	***	***	***	7.5	19.5	***	***	**
2	48	97	**	**	**	6.0	17.0	**	**	***
3	35	77	171	***	***	5.0	15.0	41.0	***	* * * *
4	<i>36</i>	92	**	**	**	7.0	15.0	-X-X-	**	**
5	38	91	166	168	***	8.0	<u>14.5</u>	<u>34.0</u>	48.0	***
Ave.	38.8	92.0	168.5	168.0		6.7	16.2	37.5	48.0	
					BLOCK	В				
1	42	123	**	. W-X-	**	4.0	17.5	-XX-	**	M.M.
2	24	108	· <b>X</b> · • <b>X</b> ·	**	**	3.5	20.5	**	**	**
3	35	92	***	***	***	3.0	14.0	***	***	***
4	42	76	**	**	**	6.0	9.0	**	**	**
_5	60	95	**	**	**	6.5	15.5	<b>.</b> ₩-₩-	**	**
Ave.	40.6	98.8				4.6	15.3			
					BLOCK	n				
1	32	107	***	***	***	_ 6.0	15.5	* * *	***	AC. AC. AC.
2	49	97	170	177	***	7 <b>.</b> 5	14.0	30.0	38.5	***
3	21	100	112	***	***	3.5	20.0	17.5	***	***
4	27	128	46	68	****	6.5	16.0	9.0	7.0	****
5	37	102	**	**	**		20.0	**	**	* *
Ave.	33.2	106.8	109.3	122.5		$\frac{3}{6.3}$	17.1	18.8	22.8	
					BLOCK	n	•			
1	33	99	180	* * *	***	6.0	18.0	47.5	***	M.M.M.
2	42	113	***	***	***	7.0	24.0	***	***	<b>*</b> **
3	59	117	145	181	***	6.0	20.0	38.0	47.0	м.ж.м.
4	52	124	**	**	**	6.5	17.0	**	**	*
5	34	96	**	**	**	7.0	18.5	**	**	**
Ave.		109.8	162.5	181.0		6.5	19.5	42.8	$\frac{100}{47.0}$	
PI AV	/ <b>G</b> .									
	39.2	101.8	141.4	148.5		6.0	1 <b>7.</b> 0	31.0	35.2	
		4 '- 4 B L./				U • ''	1 / EV	31.0		

^{**} Died in winter of 1983-84.

^{***} Dug to obtain weights.

^{****} Died from unknown cause.

Table II. continued.

Hill		Hei	ght (in	. )			********************************			
No.	8/83	11/83	1984	1985	1986	8/83	11/83	Width (	(in.)	
						-, -,	11/03	1784	1985	198
					PI-432429	,			<b>-</b>	
1	30	111	138	***	BLOCK A				***	
2	38	93	**	* * *	* * *	8,0	21.0	21,*5	**	* *
3	44	98	* <b>* *</b>	* *	**	6.5	15.0	**	* *	*
4	50	74		***		5.5	10.0	***	* <b>* *</b>	* *
5	45	93	* *	* *	* * *	5.0	13.0		**	**
Āve.	41.4	93.8	138.0			7.0	<u> 15.5</u>	**		*
		2000	200.0			6.4	14.9	21.5		
				***	BLQCK B					
1	35	118	* * *	**	D###IZ D	7.0	01 0	* * *	***	**
2 3	27	100		^ ^	**	7.0 5.Q	21.0 18.0	**	* *	*
	*	*	*	*	* * *	2.4	*	**		
4	42	86	1,6₽	***	**	6.5	15.0		* * <b>*</b>	**
_5	56	106		* *	* *	7.5	15.0 15.0	37.5 **		*
Ave.	40.0	102.5	160.0			6.5	17.2	37.5	* *	
						•••	17.2	3/.5		
-	F 0	100			BI-OCK C					
1	52	109	180	180	165	6.0	24.5	40.0	50.0	
2 3	42	112	***		***	5.5	19.0	***	***	72
3 4	36 17	98	172	216	132	6.0	19.0	47.0	<b>56 ★</b> 5	67.
5	38	87	167			3.0	16.5	3'4.5	***	<b>**</b> **
Āve	$\frac{30}{37.0}$	$\frac{72}{95.6}$	153	180	***	<u>3.5</u>	14.0	31.0	41.0	* *
	37.0	95.6	168.0	192.0	148.5	4.8	18.6	38.9	$\frac{11.0}{49.2}$	69.
					111 (1/11/2 5					05.
1	48	102	**	* *	m ÖČK D				* *	* *
2	50	125	**	**	**	6.5	16.0	* *	**	*:
3	34	89	* * *	***	***	6.5	18.5	**		**:
4	40	104	150		***	5.5	14.0	***	* <b>* *</b>	
_5	51	100	- * *	* *	**	4.5	17.0	32.5	* *	***
Ave.	44.6	104.0	150.0			5.5 5.7	10.5	**		* *
			== - • •			J./	16.8	32.5		
I Ave										
	40.8	98.8					<u>1</u> 6.9			
	*	zome neve	160.0	192.0	148.5	5.8		35.3	49.2	69:

^{**} Rhizome never sprouted.

** Died in winter of 138.3 84.

Dug to obtain weights.

Table II. continued,

Hill.		Hei	ght (in.	. )	**************************************	-		Width (	· · ·	
No.	8/83	11/83	1984	1985	1986	8/83	11/83	1984	1985	1986
	***************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		F	°I-43243	)				
					BLOCK (	4				
1	40	111	164	-131	162	3.0	18.5	33.0	42.0	<i></i>
2 3	49	107	***	***	***	4.0	16.5	***	***	<b>55.</b> 0
-3 -4	51	103	182	154	150	2.5	19.0	41.0	48.5	***
5	40	109	160	***	***	5.5	20.5	32.5	***	64. _* 0 ‡+*
	40	121	160	191	***	4.0	20.0	30.0	38.5	
Ave.	44.0	110.2	166.5	158.7	156.0	3.8	18.9	34.1	43.0	** 59.5
	. پسو				BLOCK B	3				
1	54	123	204	***	***	8.0	19.5	42.0	***	M. W. U
2	70	147	188	166	***	10.5	41,5	29.0	39.0	<b>*</b> **
3	*	*	*	*	*	.₩.	*	*	*	
4	42	111	***	***	***	3.0	16 ₌0	***	***	***
_5_	46	102	*·*	<del>***</del> _	**	5.0	17.0	**	**	*
Ave.	53.0	120.8	196.0	166.0		6.6	18.5	35.5	39.0	'A'
					BLOCK O	:				
1	57	116	106	92	120	6.0	<b>14</b> •0	8.0	19.0	23.5
2 3	42	146	141	110	***	8.0	15.5	27.0	27.5	
3	3'3	132	153	***	***	5.5	15.5	22.0	***	***
4	46	119	161	144	150	6.0	20 •0	35.0	45.5	55.5
5	<u>60</u>	107	***	***	***	9.0	13.5	* * *	***	***
Ave.	48.8	124.0	140.2	115.3	135.0	6.9	15.7	23.0	30.7	39.5
					BLOCK D	)				
1	52	102	***	***	***	4.5	16.0	***	***	***
2	49	113	177	143	120	4.5	16∎0	30.0	31.0	44.0
3	50	95	182	185	* * *	5.0	16.0	16.5	42.5	**W
4	42	126	142	***	***	4.5	17.5	26.0	***	+* _W *
5	*	*	*	*	₩.	<del>X</del>	*	· <b>X</b> ·	*	*
Ave.	48.2	190.0	167.0	164.0	120.0	4.6	16.4	24.2	36.8	44.0
PI Av										
	48.3	116.1	163.1	164.5	140.4	5.5	17.4	28.6	37.1	48.4

^{*} Rhizome never sprouted. ** Died in winter of 1983-84. *** Dug to obtain weights.

Table IT. continued.

Hi 11		Heid	<del>3h</del> †964 <mark>n.</mark>	)				Width (	in.)	
No.	8/83	11/83	1984	. 1.982	1986	13/83	11/83	1984	1985	1986
	and the ton our o	***************************************			PI-432432	-				
1	.a.r	4.00	.44		BLOCK A					
2	46 33	103 85	98	88	***	7.5	22.0	9.0	29.0	***
3	47	103	68	***	***	5.5	14.5	7.0	***	***
4	32	85	82	80	***	6.5	15.5	13.5	21.0	****
5	32 45	103	- <del>       </del>	**	**	5.0	17.5	**	**	**
Ave.	40.6	95.8	82.7	84.0	***	7.5	18.0	***	***	***
117 6	-TW# W	20.0	04./	84.0		6.4	17.5	9.8	25.0	
					BLOCK B	•				
1	38	91	158	190.	168	2.5	15.0	35.0	47.0	71.0
2	51	114	170	***	***	7.5	22.5	31.0	***	***
3	39	109	162	188	***	3.5	16.0	23.0	45.0	***
4	40	85	***	***	***	3.5	18.0	***	***	***
5	43	92_	*·*	<b>₩·</b> ₩·	<b>*</b> *	5.0	: 14.0	**	**	**
Ave.	42.2	98.2	163.3	189.0	168.0	4.4	17.1	29.7	46.0	71.0
					BLOCK C					
1	54	111	117	136	126	5.5	19.5	11.5	23.5	36.0
2	38	92	141	***	***	6.5	16.5	32.0	***	***
3	46	99	159	174	***	5.0	16.0	32.5	46.0	***
4	37	98	***	***	***	5.0	19.0	***	***	***
_5_	41	<u>95</u>	**	**	<u>**</u>	6.0	22.0	***	* *	**
Ave.	43.2	99.0	139.0	155.0	126.0	5.6	18.6	25.3	34.8	36.0
					BLOCK D					
1	22	108	***	***	***	7.0	15.5	***	***	***
2	48	107	160	157	***	6.5	17.0	37.0	48.5	***
3	42	117	152	***	***	7.0	22.0	25.5	***	***
4	34	110	**	**	**	6.5	17.5	**	**	**
_5_	51	<u>98</u>	- <del>K-X</del>	**	**	7.5	15.0	**	**	**
Ave.	39.4	108.0	156.0	157.0		6.9	17.4	31.2	48.5	
PI Av	e.									
	41.4	100.2	133.4	114.7	147.0	5.8	17.6	23.4	37.1	53.5
								•		

^{**} Died in winter of 1983-84.

*** Dug to obtain weights.

**** Died from unknown cause.

Hill No. TABLE III. WEIGHT (kg.) FOR UNDERGROUND (RHIZOME) AND AERIAL PORTIONS (STEM) OF GIANT REEDS AT THE END OF GROWING SEASON (1983-1986)

Planted(gm.)

RHIZOME 1984 1

1 160 2 184 2 184 3 108 4 184 5 90 Ave. 145.2 1 165 4 118 5 90 Ave. 195.2 1 155 3 100 4 118 5 65 Ave. 195.2 1 15.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 115.2 2 1	4.85 11
160 1.81 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *	1.40 8.50
160 1.81 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *	ć
160 1.81 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *	
160 1.81 *** *** *** *** *** 108 1.81 *** *** *** ***  1.81  23.52 ***  ***  26.82  *  *** ***  ***  ***  ***  ***  ***	1.35 0.70
160 1.81 *** *** *** *** 108 108 1184 23.52 *** **  26.82 *  *** **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **	1 45 ***
160 1.81 *** 184 108 108 1.81 23.52 *** ** 190 145.2  1.81 23.52 26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  26.82 *  2	0.68
160 184 184 108 108 184 23.52 ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	0.68
160 1.81 *** BLOCK A 184 ** ** * 108 ** ** * 184 23.52 *** *	1.00
	1.00
	1983

^{*} * * * * Dug to obtain weights. Died from unknown cause.

Table III. continued.

Hill No.	<b>5</b> 3		RHIZO	ME					
140.	Planted(gm.)	1983	1984	1985	1986	1983		TEM	
					~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ·	1 783	1984	1985	198
				PI-4324	129				***************************************
1	226	•		BLOCK A	,				
2	94		8.41	***	***	!	1.41		
3	270		**	**	**		**	***	**
4	90		**	**	**		**	**	* :
5		0.51	* * *	***	***	0.34	***	**	₩.
Ave.	165		**	**	**			***	***
MVE.	169.0	0.51	8.41			0.34	1.41	**	<b>Ж</b> ∙ •)
						0.04	1.41		
•	and a prop. com.			BLOCK	В				
1	232	3.34	* * *	***	***	2.13	***		
2	202		**	**	**		***	* * *	***
3	105	*	-₩-	*	- <b>X</b> -	*	<i>'</i> 71'76' -¥-	**	**
			19.32	***	***		# □• ○7	* *******	*
	39_		- <del>*</del> *	**	**		**	**	***
Ave.	149, 2	3.34	19.32			2.13	3.07	^ 4	**
				BLOCK (	D ·				
1	<i>65</i>				49.44	i			
2	145	2.19	***	***	* * *	1.50	***	***	25.4
3 4	<i>75</i>				56.70		***	****	***
	125		15.91	***	***	:	3.07	***	24.9
5	54			24.32	***		O. 07		***
ve.	92.8	2.19	15.91	24.32	53.07	1.50	3.07	14.09	***
						1	J. 07	14.09	25.1
1	136			BLOCK I	)	i			
2	139		××	**	**		· <b>X</b> ·· <b>X</b> ·	. **	**
3	60		**	**	**		**	**	**
ت 4	90 60	1.19	***	***	***	9.86	***	* * *	***
-			11.59	***	***		1.82	***	***
5	<u> 195</u>	,	**	**	**		**	**	**
Ave.	124.0	1.19	11.59			0.86	1.82		7. X.
I Ave	<b>)</b> .								
	133.8	<del>-1.</del> 8±	12 01	24.32	-53 <u>-07</u>	<u> 1 - 21</u>	<u>2</u> . <b>34</b>	14. ⊖9	25.18

^{*} Rhizome never sprouted. ** Died in winter of 1983-84. *** Dug to obtain weights.

Table III. continued.

Hill			RHIZO	ME					
No.	Planted(gm.)	1983	1984	1985	1000			TEM	
			+ 307	1300	1986	1983	1984	1985	1986
				PI-4324	20				
				1 4 4324	.5()				
				BLOCK	•				
1	143			DEUCK,	•				
2	170	1.83	***	***	18.14				11.34
3	105		••	***	***	1.24	***	***	***
2 3 4 5	123		E 70		24.0				12.81
	118			<u>'3.5</u> 5	' ** <del>*</del>		1.25	***	***
Ave.	133.0	1.83	6.70	9.55	-1.5	1 04		8.41	***
			• • • •		.t. u	1.24	1.25	8.41	12.08
				BLOCK I	В				
1	135		1'3.32	***	* ***		3.07		***
2	270		1 3.32	0.41	***		3.07	***	+**
3	68	*	***	*	••	*	*	5.46	
4 -5	61	1.02	***	***	** <b>+</b>	0.81	***	***	***
Ave.	145 135.8	-	**	**	**		**	**	**
L1 A ## #	133.0	1.02	19.32	R. 41		0.81	3.07	5.46	, A.M.
1	40			BLOCK (	-				
	190				3.18				2.27
2	82			3 <b>.42</b>	***			1.82	***
4	298		43.64	<b>共共共</b>	***		0.57	***	***
5	54	1 00			19.97				13,72
Ave.	134.8	$\frac{1.00}{1.00}$	***	***	***	0.99	***	***	<u>**+</u>
		1.00	8.64	3.41	11.58	0.99	0.57	1.82	8.00
				DL October					
1	65	1.28	***	BLOCK D		1 01			***
	188	1.20	W.W.W.	יאייאייאי	***	1.21	***	* * *	
2 3	165			22.05	11.79				6.58
4	166		4.77	22.UD ***	***		<b>E</b> 00	8.91	<b>*</b> **
_5	64	*	, ''T • / / -¥-	ਲਾਲਾਲਾ - ≱-	***	<b>1</b> 4.	5.00	***	
Ave.	131.6	1 50	.4	<del>***</del>	<del></del>	1.21	5.00	8.91	6.58
						* * ** *			
PI Ave	e.								
						1.06			
	133.8	1.28	3.85	10.86	<del>15.42</del>		2.47	6.17	<del>3.34</del>

[#] Rhizome never sprouted.

** Died in winter of 1983-84.

*** Dug to obtain weights.

Table 111. continued.

Hill No.	Planted(gm.)	1983	RHIZO 1984	1985 1985	1986	1983	1984 ^{5]}	E <u>M985</u>	1986
	and the time had the time the time time time in		***************************************	PI-4324	32			***************************************	******************************
				BLOCK	A (6				
1	326			3.64	A * * *				
2	213		2.27	***	***		0.14	2.39	***
3	92				***			W.W.	***
4 5	273 241	0.07	**	**	**		**	**	**
Äve.	223.0	2.97 2.97	2.27	*** 3.64	***	1.66	***	***	***
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2. 37	4.21	3.64		1.66	0.14	2.39	
	:			BLOCK	В				
1	136				42.64				26.76
2 3	285 <b>6</b> 0		18.30	***	***		3.00	+++	20.70 ***
3 4	58	1.69	***	14.09	***			10.46	+++
3	108	1.03	***	+++ **	*** **	1.02	***	***	***
Ave.	129.4	1.69	18.30	14.09	42.64	1.02	3.00	** 10. 46	**
						1.02	<b></b>	10. 46	26.76
1	203			BLOCK					
2	122		16.14	***	7.71 ***				4.31
3	85		TO * 1.4	13.07	***		3.23	***	+
3 4 _5_	118	2.16	+++	+++	***	1.44	***	7 <b>.27</b> +++	+*+ ***
	158		<u>**</u>	<u>**</u>	**		**	<b>**</b>	*** *+
Ave.	137.2	2.16	16.14	13.07	7.71	1.44	3.23	7.27	4.31
				BLOCK I	n .				
1	399	2.62	* * *	***	***	1.79	***	+++	+*+
2	144			22.05	***	1.75		8.98	***
2 3 4	250 72		17.16	***	***		2.64	***	***
5	48		**	**	**		**	*+	**
Ave.	182.6	2.62	17.16	22.05	**	1.79	** 2.64	**	**
D.I. 4:	_					1.13	£ • 04	8.98	
PI Ave									
	165.0	2:36	13.47	13,21	25.18	1.48	2.25	7.28	15.54

^{**} Died in winter of 1983-84.

^{***} Dug to obtain weights.
**** Died from unknown cause