[Code of Federal Regulations]
[Title 40, Volume A, Parts 136 to 149]
[Revised as of July 1, 1996]
From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
[CITE: 40CFR141]

[Page 288-429]

TITLE 40--PROTECTION OF ENVIRONMENT

CHAPTER I--ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (CONTINUED)

PART 141--NATIONAL PRIMARY DRINKING WATER REGULATIONS **[EPA]**

Subpart A--General

Sec.
141.1 Applicability.
141.2 Definitions.
141.3 Coverage.
141.4 Variances and exemptions.
141.5 Siting requirements.
141.6 Effective dates.
Subpart B--Maximum Contaminant Levels
141.11 Maximum contaminant levels for inorganic chemicals.
141.12 Maximum contaminant levels for organic chemicals.
141.13 Maximum contaminant levels for turbidity.
141.15 Maximum contaminant levels for radium-226, radium-228, and gross alpha particle radioactivity in community water systems.
141.16 Maximum contaminant levels for beta particle and photon radioactivity from man-made radionuclides in community water systems.
Subpart C--Monitoring and Analytical Requirements
141.21 Coliform sampling.
141.22 Turbidity sampling and analytical requirements.
141.23 Inorganic chemical sampling and analytical requirements.
141.24 Organic chemicals other than total trihalomethanes, sampling and analytical requirements.
141.25 Analytical methods for radioactivity.
141.26 Monitoring frequency for radioactivity in community water systems.
141.27 Alternate analytical techniques.
141.28 Certified laboratories.
141.29 Monitoring of consecutive public water systems.
141.30 Total trihalomethanes sampling, analytical and other requirements.
Subpart D--Reporting, Public Notification and Recordkeeping
141.31 Reporting requirements.
141.32 Public notification.
141.33 Record maintenance.
141.34 [Reserved]
141.35 Reporting and public notification for certain unregulated contaminants.
Subpart E--Special Regulations, Including Monitoring Regulations and

Prohibition on Lead Use
141.40 Special monitoring for inorganic and organic contaminants.
141.41 Special monitoring for sodium.
141.42 Special monitoring for corrosivity characteristics.
141.43 Prohibition on use of lead pipes, solder, and flux.
Subpart F--Maximum Contaminant Level Goals
141.50 Maximum contaminant level goals for organic contaminants.
141.51 Maximum contaminant level goals for inorganic contaminants.
141.52 Maximum contaminant level goals for microbiological contaminants.
Subpart G--National Revised Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Maximum Contaminant Levels
141.60 Effective dates.
141.61 Maximum contaminant levels for organic contaminants.
141.62 Maximum contaminant levels for inorganic contaminants.
141.63 Maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) for microbiological contaminants.
Subpart H--Filtration and Disinfection
141.70 General requirements.
141.71 Criteria for avoiding filtration.
141.72 Disinfection.
141.73 Filtration.
141.74 Analytical and monitoring requirements.
141.75 Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.
Subpart I--Control of Lead and Copper
141.80 General requirements.

[[Page 289]]

141.81 Applicability of corrosion control treatment steps to small, medium-size and large water systems.
141.82 Description of corrosion control treatment requirements.
141.83 Source water treatment requirements.
141.84 Lead service line replacement requirements.
141.85 Public education and supplemental monitoring requirements.
141.86 Monitoring requirements for lead and copper in tap water.
141.87 Monitoring requirements for water quality parameters.
141.88 Monitoring requirements for lead and copper in source water.
141.89 Analytical methods.
141.90 Reporting requirements.
141.91 Recordkeeping requirements.
Subpart J--Use of Non-Centralized Treatment Devices
141.100 Criteria and procedures for public water systems using point-of-entry devices.
141.101 Use of other non-centralized treatment devices.
Subpart K--Treatment Techniques
141.110 General requirements.
141.111 Treatment techniques for acrylamide and epichlorohydrin.
Subpart M--Information Collection Requirements (ICR) for Public Water Systems
141.140 Definitions specific to subpart M.
141.141 General requirements, applicability, and schedule for information collection.
141.142 Disinfection byproduct and related monitoring.
141.143 Microbial monitoring.
141.144 Disinfection byproduct precursor removal studies.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 300f, 300g-1, 300g-2, 300g-3, 300g-4, 300g-5, 300g-6, 300j-4, and 300j-9.

Source: 40 FR 59570, Dec. 24, 1975, unless otherwise noted.

Note: For community water systems serving 75,000 or more persons, monitoring must begin 1 year following promulation and the effective date of the MCL is 2 years following promulgation. For community water systems serving 10,000 to 75,000 persons, monitoring must begin within 3 years from the date of promulgation and the effective date of the MCL is 4 years from the date of promulgation. Effective immediately, systems that plan to make significant modifications to their treatment processes for the purpose of complying with the TTHM MCL are required to seek and obtain State approval of their treatment modification plans. This note affects Secs. 141.2, 141.6, 141.12, 141.24 and 141.30. For additional information see 44 FR 68641, Nov. 29, 1979.

Subpart A--General

Sec. 141.1 Applicability.

This part establishes primary drinking water regulations pursuant to section 1412 of the Public Health Service Act, as amended by the Safe Drinking Water Act (Pub. L. 93-523); and related regulations applicable to public water systems.

Sec. 141.2 Definitions.

As used in this part, the term:

Act means the Public Health Service Act, as amended by the Safe Drinking Water Act, Public Law 93-523.

Action level, is the concentration of lead or copper in water specified in Sec. 141.80(c) which determines, in some cases, the treatment requirements contained in subpart I of this part that a water system is required to complete.

Best available technology or BAT means the best technology, treatment techniques, or other means which the Administrator finds, after examination for efficacy under field conditions and not solely under laboratory conditions, are available (taking cost into consideration). For the purposes of setting MCLs for synthetic organic chemicals, any BAT must be at least as effective as granular activated carbon.

Coagulation means a process using coagulant chemicals and mixing by which colloidal and suspended materials are destabilized and agglomerated into flocs.

Community water system means a public water system which serves at least 15 service connections used by year-round residents or regularly serves at least 25 year-round residents.

Compliance cycle means the nine-year calendar year cycle during which public water systems must monitor. Each compliance cycle consists of three three-year compliance periods. The first calendar year cycle begins January 1, 1993 and ends December 31, 2001; the second begins January 1, 2002 and ends December 31, 2010; the third begins

January 1, 2011 and ends December 31, 2019.

Compliance period means a three-year calendar year period within a compliance cycle. Each compliance cycle has three three-year compliance periods. Within the first compliance cycle, the first compliance period runs from January 1, 1993 to December 31, 1995; the second from January 1, 1996 to December 31, 1998; the third from January 1, 1999 to December 31, 2001.

Confluent growth means a continuous bacterial growth covering the entire filtration area of a membrane filter, or a portion thereof, in which bacterial colonies are not discrete.

Contaminant means any physical, chemical, biological, or radiological substance or matter in water.

Conventional filtration treatment means a series of processes including coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, and filtration resulting in substantial particulate removal.

Corrosion inhibitor means a substance capable of reducing the corrosivity of water toward metal plumbing materials, especially lead and copper, by forming a protective film on the interior surface of those materials.

CT or CTcalc is the product of ``residual disinfectant concentration'' (C) in mg/1 determined before or at the first customer, and the corresponding ``disinfectant contact time'' (T) in minutes, i.e., ``C'' x ``T''. If a public water system applies disinfectants at more than one point prior to the first customer, it must determine the CT of each disinfectant sequence before or at the first customer to determine the total percent inactivation or ``total inactivation ratio.'' In determining the total inactivation ratio, the public water system must determine the residual disinfectant concentration of each disinfection sequence and corresponding contact time before any subsequent disinfection application point(s). ``CT<INF>99.9'' is the CT value required for 99.9 percent (3-log) inactivation of Giardia lamblia cysts. CT<INF>99.9 for a variety of disinfectants and conditions appear in Tables 1.1-1.6, 2.1, and 3.1 of Sec. 141.74(b)(3).

$$\frac{CTcalc}{CT_{99.9}}$$

is the inactivation ratio. The sum of the inactivation ratios, or total inactivation ratio shown as

$$\Sigma \frac{(CTcalc)}{(CT_{99.9})}$$

is calculated by adding together the inactivation ratio for each disinfection sequence. A total inactivation ratio equal to or greater than 1.0 is assumed to provide a 3-log inactivation of Giardia lamblia cysts.

Diatomaceous earth filtration means a process resulting in substantial particulate removal in which (1) a precoat cake of

diatomaceous earth filter media is deposited on a support membrance (septum), and (2) while the water is filtered by passing through the cake on the septum, additional filter media known as body feed is continuously added to the feed water to maintain the permeability of the filter cake.

Direct filtration means a series of processes including coagulation and filtration but excluding sedimentation resulting in substantial particulate removal.

Disinfectant means any oxidant, including but not limited to chlorine, chlorine dioxide, chloramines, and ozone added to water in any part of the treatment or distribution process, that is intended to kill or inactivate pathogenic microorganisms.

Disinfectant contact time (``T'' in CT calculations) means the time in minutes that it takes for water to move from the point of disinfectant application or the previous point of disinfectant residual measurement to a point before or at the point where residual disinfectant concentration (``C'') is measured. Where only one ``C'' is measured, ``T'' is the time in minutes that it takes for water to move from the point of disinfectant application to a point before or at where residual disinfectant concentration (``C'') is measured. Where more than one ``C'' is measured, ``T'' is (a) for the first measurement of ``C'', the time in minutes that it takes for water to move from

[[Page 291]]

the first or only point of disinfectant application to a point before or at the point where the first ``C'' is measured and (b) for subsequent measurements of ``C'', the time in minutes that it takes for water to move from the previous ``C'' measurement point to the ``C'' measurement point for which the particular ``T'' is being calculated. Disinfectant contact time in pipelines must be calculated based on ``plug flow'' by dividing the internal volume of the pipe by the maximum hourly flow rate through that pipe. Disinfectant contact time within mixing basins and storage reservoirs must be determined by tracer studies or an equivalent demonstration.

Disinfection means a process which inactivates pathogenic organisms in water by chemical oxidants or equivalent agents.

Domestic or other non-distribution system plumbing problem means a coliform contamination problem in a public water system with more than one service connection that is limited to the specific service connection from which the coliform-positive sample was taken.

Dose equivalent means the product of the absorbed dose from ionizing radiation and such factors as account for differences in biological effectiveness due to the type of radiation and its distribution in the body as specified by the International Commission on Radiological Units and Measurements (ICRU).

Effective corrosion inhibitor residual, for the purpose of subpart I of this part only, means a concentration sufficient to form a passivating film on the interior walls of a pipe.

Filtration means a process for removing particulate matter from water by passage through porous media.

First draw sample means a one-liter sample of tap water, collected in accordance with Sec. 141.86(b)(2), that has been standing in plumbing pipes at least 6 hours and is collected without flushing the tap.

Flocculation means a process to enhance agglomeration or collection of smaller floc particles into larger, more easily settleable particles

through gentle stirring by hydraulic or mechanical means.

Ground water under the direct influence of surface water means any water beneath the surface of the ground with (1) significant occurrence of insects or other macroorganisms, algae, or large-diameter pathogens such as Giardia lamblia, or (2) significant and relatively rapid shifts in water characteristics such as turbidity, temperature, conductivity, or pH which closely correlate to climatological or surface water conditions. Direct influence must be determined for individual sources in accordance with criteria established by the State. The State determination of direct influence may be based on site-specific measurements of water quality and/or documentation of well construction characteristics and geology with field evaluation.

Gross alpha particle activity means the total radioactivity due to alpha particle emission as inferred from measurements on a dry sample.

Gross beta particle activity means the total radioactivity due to beta particle emission as inferred from measurements on a dry sample.

Halogen means one of the chemical elements chlorine, bromine or iodine.

Initial compliance period means the first full three-year compliance period which begins at least 18 months after promulgation, except for contaminants listed at Sec. 141.61(a) (19)-(21), (c)(19)-(33), and Sec. 141.62(b) (11)-(15), initial compliance period means the first full three-year compliance period after promulgation for systems with 150 or more service connections (January 1993-December 1995), and first full three-year compliance period after the effective date of the regulation (January 1996-December 1998) for systems having fewer than 150 service connections.

Large water system, for the purpose of subpart I of this part only, means a water system that serves more than 50,000 persons.

Lead service line means a service line made of lead which connects the water main to the building inlet and any lead pigtail, gooseneck or other fitting which is connected to such lead line.

Legionella means a genus of bacteria, some species of which have caused a type of pneumonia called Legionnaires Disease.

[[Page 292]]

Man-made beta particle and photon emitters means all radionuclides emitting beta particles and/or photons listed in Maximum Permissible Body Burdens and Maximum Permissible Concentration of Radionuclides in Air or Water for Occupational Exposure, NBS Handbook 69, except the daughter products of thorium-232, uranium-235 and uranium-238.

Maximum contaminant level means the maximum permissable level of a contaminant in water which is delivered to any user of a public water system.

Maximum contaminant level goal or MCLG means the maximum level of a contaminant in drinking water at which no known or anticipated adverse effect on the health of persons would occur, and which allows an adequate margin of safety. Maximum contaminant level goals are nonenforceable health goals.

Maximum Total Trihalomethane Potential (MTP) means the maximum concentration of total trihalomethanes produced in a given water containing a disinfectant residual after 7 days at a temperature of 25 deg. C or above.

Medium-size water system, for the purpose of subpart I of this part only, means a water system that serves greater than 3,300 and less than

or equal to 50,000 persons.

Near the first service connection means at one of the 20 percent of all service connections in the entire system that are nearest the water supply treatment facility, as measured by water transport time within the distribution system.

Non-community water system means a public water system that is not a community water system.

Non-transient non-community water system or NTNCWS means a public water system that is not a community water system and that regularly serves at least 25 of the same persons over 6 months per year.

Optimal corrosion control treatment, for the purpose of subpart I of this part only, means the corrosion control treatment that minimizes the lead and copper concentrations at users' taps while insuring that the treatment does not cause the water system to violate any national primary drinking water regulations.

Performance evaluation sample means a reference sample provided to a laboratory for the purpose of demonstrating that the laboratory can successfully analyze the sample within limits of performance specified by the Agency. The true value of the concentration of the reference material is unknown to the laboratory at the time of the analysis.

Person means an individual; corporation; company; association; partnership; municipality; or State, Federal, or tribal agency.

Picocurie (pCi) means the quantity of radioactive material producing 2.22 nuclear transformations per minute.

Point of disinfectant application is the point where the disinfectant is applied and water downstream of that point is not subject to recontamination by surface water runoff.

Point-of-entry treatment device is a treatment device applied to the drinking water entering a house or building for the purpose of reducing contaminants in the drinking water distributed throughout the house or building.

Point-of-use treatment device is a treatment device applied to a single tap used for the purpose of reducing contaminants in drinking water at that one tap.

Public water system or PWS means a system for the provision to the public of piped water for human consumption, if such system has at least fifteen service connections or regularly serves an average of at least twenty-five individuals daily at least 60 days out of the year. Such term includes (1) any collection, treatment, storage, and distribution facilities under control of the operator of such system and used primarily in connection with such system, and (2) any collection or pretreatment storage facilities not under such control which are used primarily in connection with such system. A public water system is either a ``community water system'' or a ``noncommunity water system.''

Rem means the unit of dose equivalent from ionizing radiation to the total body or any internal organ or organ system. A ``millirem (mrem)'' is 1/1000 of a rem.

[[Page 293]]

Repeat compliance period means any subsequent compliance period after the initial compliance period.

Residual disinfectant concentration (``C'' in CT calculations) means the concentration of disinfectant measured in mg/l in a representative sample of water.

Sanitary survey means an onsite review of the water source,

facilities, equipment, operation and maintenance of a public water system for the purpose of evaluating the adequacy of such source, facilities, equipment, operation and maintenance for producing and distributing safe drinking water.

Sedimentation means a process for removal of solids before filtration by gravity or separation.

Service line sample means a one-liter sample of water collected in accordance with Sec. 141.86(b)(3), that has been standing for at least 6 hours in a service line.

Single family structure, for the purpose of subpart I of this part only, means a building constructed as a single-family residence that is currently used as either a residence or a place of business.

Slow sand filtration means a process involving passage of raw water through a bed of sand at low velocity (generally less than 0.4 m/h) resulting in substantial particulate removal by physical and biological mechanisms.

Small water system, for the purpose of subpart I of this part only, means a water system that serves 3,300 persons or fewer.

Standard sample means the aliquot of finished drinking water that is examined for the presence of coliform bacteria.

State means the agency of the State or Tribal government which has jurisdiction over public water systems. During any period when a State or Tribal government does not have primary enforcement responsibility pursuant to section 1413 of the Act, the term ``State'' means the Regional Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Supplier of water means any person who owns or operates a public water system.

Surface water means all water which is open to the atmosphere and subject to surface runoff.

System with a single service connection means a system which supplies drinking water to consumers via a single service line.

Too numerous to count means that the total number of bacterial colonies exceeds 200 on a 47-mm diameter membrane filter used for coliform detection.

Total trihalomethanes (TTHM) means the sum of the concentration in milligrams per liter of the trihalomethane compounds (trichloromethane [chloroform], dibromochloromethane, bromodichloromethane and tribromomethane [bromoform]), rounded to two significant figures.

Transient non-community water system or TWS means a non-community water system that does not regularly serve at least 25 of the same persons over six months per year.

Trihalomethane (THM) means one of the family of organic compounds, named as derivatives of methane, wherein three of the four hydrogen atoms in methane are each substituted by a halogen atom in the molecular structure.

Virus means a virus of fecal origin which is infectious to humans by waterborne transmission.

Waterborne disease outbreak means the significant occurrence of acute infectious illness, epidemiologically associated with the ingestion of water from a public water system which is deficient in treatment, as determined by the appropriate local or State agency.

[40 FR 59570, Dec. 24, 1975, as amended at 41 FR 28403, July 9, 1976; 44 FR 68641, Nov. 29, 1979; 51 FR 11410, Apr. 2, 1986; 52 FR 20674, June 2, 1987; 52 FR 25712, July 8, 1987; 53 FR 37410, Sept. 26, 1988; 54 FR 27526, 27562, June 29, 1989; 56 FR 3578, Jan. 30, 1991; 56 FR 26547,

June 7, 1991; 57 FR 31838, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 34322, July 1, 1994; 61 FR 24368, May 14, 1996]

Effective Date Note: At 61 FR 24368, May 14, 1996, Sec. 141.2 was amended by adding ``or PWS'' to the definition for ``Public water system'', effective June 18, 1996 and will expire on Dec. 31, 2000.

Sec. 141.3 Coverage.

This part shall apply to each public water system, unless the public water system meets all of the following conditions:

(a) Consists only of distribution and storage facilities (and does not have

[[Page 294]]

any collection and treatment facilities);

(b) Obtains all of its water from, but is not owned or operated by, a public water system to which such regulations apply:

(c) Does not sell water to any person; and

(d) Is not a carrier which conveys passengers in interstate commerce.

Sec. 141.4 Variances and exemptions.

(a) Variances or exemptions from certain provisions of these regulations may be granted pursuant to sections 1415 and 1416 of the Act by the entity with primary enforcement responsibility, except that variances or exemptions from the MCL for total coliforms and variances from any of the treatment technique requirements of subpart H of this part may not be granted.

(b) EPA has stayed the effective date of this section relating to the total coliform MCL of Sec. 141.63(a) for systems that demonstrate to the State that the violation of the total coliform MCL is due to a persistent growth of total coliforms in the distribution system rather than fecal or pathogenic contamination, a treatment lapse or deficiency, or a problem in the operation or maintenance of the distribution system.

[54 FR 27562, June 29, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 1557, Jan. 15, 1991]

Sec. 141.5 Siting requirements.

Before a person may enter into a financial commitment for or initiate construction of a new public water system or increase the capacity of an existing public water system, he shall notify the State and, to the extent practicable, avoid locating part or all of the new or expanded facility at a site which:

(a) Is subject to a significant risk from earthquakes, floods, fires or other disasters which could cause a breakdown of the public water system or a portion thereof; or

(b) Except for intake structures, is within the floodplain of a 100-year flood or is lower than any recorded high tide where appropriate records exist. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency will not seek to override land use decisions affecting public water systems siting which are made at the State or local government levels.

Sec. 141.6 Effective dates.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (a) through (i) of this section, and in Sec. 141.80(a)(2), the regulations set forth in this part shall take effect on June 24, 1977.

(b) The regulations for total trihalomethanes set forth in Sec. 141.12(c) shall take effect 2 years after the date of promulgation of these regulations for community water systems serving 75,000 or more individuals, and 4 years after the date of promulgation for communities serving 10,000 to 74,999 individuals.

(c) The regulations set forth in Secs. 141.11 (a), (d) and (e); 141.14(a)(1); 141.14(b)(1)(i); 141.14(b)(2)(i); 141.14(d); 141.21 (a), (c) and (i); 141.22 (a) and (e); 141.23 (a)(3) and (a)(4); 141.23(f); 141.24(a)(3); 141.24 (e) and (f); 141.25(e); 141.27(a); 141.28 (a) and (b); 141.31 (a), (d) and (e); 141.32(b)(3); and 141.32(d) shall take effect immediately upon promulgation.

(d) The regulations set forth in Sec. 141.41 shall take effect 18 months from the date of promulgation. Suppliers must complete the first round of sampling and reporting within 12 months following the effective date.

(e) The regulations set forth in Sec. 141.42 shall take effect 18 months from the date of promulgation. All requirements in Sec. 141.42 must be completed within 12 months following the effective date.

(f) The regulations set forth in Sec. 141.11(c) and Sec. 141.23(g) are effective May 2, 1986. Section 141.23(g)(4) is effective October 2, 1987.

(g) The regulations contained in Sec. 141.6, paragraph (c) of the table in 141.12, and 141.62(b)(1) are effective July 1, 1991. The regulations contained in Secs. 141.11(b), 141.23, 141.24, 142.57(b), 143.4(b)(12) and (b)(13), are effective July 30, 1992. The regulations contained in the revisions to Secs. 141.32(e) (16), (25) through (27) and (46); 141.61(c)(16); and 141.62(b)(3) are effective January 1, 1993. The effective date of regulations contained in Sec. 141.61(c) (2), (3), and (4) is postponed.

(h) Regulations for the analytic methods listed at Sec. 141.23(k)(4) for

[[Page 295]]

measuring antimony, beryllium, cyanide, nickel, and thallium are effective August 17, 1992. Regulations for the analytic methods listed at Sec. 141.24(f)(16) for dichloromethane, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, and 1,1,2-trichloroethane are effective August 17, 1992. Regulations for the analytic methods listed at Sec. 141.24(h)(12) for measuring dalapon, dinoseb, diquat, endothall, endrin, glyphosate, oxamyl, picloram, simazine, benzo(a)pyrene, di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate, di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, hexachlorobenzene, hexachlorocyclopentadiene, and 2,3,7,8-TCDD are effective August 17, 1992. The revision to Sec. 141.12(a) promulgated on July 17, 1992 is effective on August 17, 1992.

(i) Regulations for information collection requirements listed in Subpart M are effective August 14, 1996, and shall remain effective until December 31, 2000.

[44 FR 68641, Nov. 29, 1979, as amended at 45 FR 57342, Aug. 27, 1980; 47 FR 10998, Mar. 12, 1982; 51 FR 11410, Apr. 2, 1986; 56 FR 30274, July

1, 1991; 57 FR 22178, May 27, 1992; 57 FR 31838, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 34322, July 1, 1994; 61 FR 24368, May 14, 1996]

Effective Date Note: At 61 FR 24368, May 14, 1996, Sec. 141.6 is amended in paragraph (a) by revising the reference ``(a) through (h)'' to read ``(a) through (i)'' and by adding paragraph (i), effective June 18, 1996 and will expire on Dec. 31, 2000.

Subpart B--Maximum Contaminant Levels

Sec. 141.11 Maximum contaminant levels for inorganic chemicals.

(a) The maximum contaminant level for arsenic applies only to community water systems. Compliance with the MCL for arsenic is calculated pursuant to Sec. 141.23.

(b) The maximum contaminant level for arsenic is 0.05 milligrams per liter.

(c) [Reserved]

(d) At the discretion of the State, nitrate levels not to exceed 20 mg/l may be allowed in a non-community water system if the supplier of water demonstrates to the satisfaction of the State that:

(1) Such water will not be available to children under 6 months of age; and

(2) There will be continuous posting of the fact that nitrate levels exceed 10 mg/l and the potential health effects of exposure; and

(3) Local and State public health authorities will be notified annually of nitrate levels that exceed 10 mg/l; and

(4) No adverse health effects shall result.

[40 FR 59570, Dec. 24, 1975, as amended at 45 FR 57342, Aug. 27, 1980; 47 FR 10998, Mar. 12, 1982; 51 FR 11410, Apr. 2, 1986; 56 FR 3578, Jan. 30, 1991; 56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 56 FR 30274, July 1, 1991; 56 FR 32113, July 15, 1991; 60 FR 33932, June 29, 1995]

Sec. 141.12 Maximum contaminant levels for organic chemicals.

The following are the maximum contaminant levels for organic chemicals. The maximum contaminant levels for organic chemicals in paragraph (a) of this section apply to all community water systems. Compliance with the maximum contaminant level in paragraph (a) of this section is calculated pursuant to Sec. 141.24. The maximum contaminant level for total trihalomethanes in paragraph (c) of this section applies only to community water systems which serve a population of 10,000 or more individuals and which add a disinfectant (oxidant) to the water in any part of the drinking water treatment process. Compliance with the maximum contaminant level for total trihalomethanes is calculated pursuant to Sec. 141.30.

	Level, milligrams per liter
(a) [Reserved].....	
(b) [Reserved].....	
(c) Total trihalomethanes (the sum of the concentrations	

of bromodichloromethane, dibromochloromethane, tribromomethane (bromoform) and trichloromethane (chloroform))..... 0.10

[56 FR 3578, Jan. 30, 1991, as amended at 57 FR 31838, July 17, 1992]

Sec. 141.13 Maximum contaminant levels for turbidity.

The maximum contaminant levels for turbidity are applicable to both community water systems and non-community water systems using surface water sources in whole or in part. The maximum contaminant levels for

[[Page 296]]

turbidity in drinking water, measured at a representative entry point(s) to the distribution system, are:

Editorial Note: At 54 FR 27527, June 29, 1988, Sec. 141.13 was amended by adding introductory text, effective December 31, 1990. This section already contains an introductory text.

The requirements in this section apply to unfiltered systems until December 30, 1991, unless the State has determined prior to that date, in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), that filtration is required. The requirements in this section apply to filtered systems until June 29, 1993. The requirements in this section apply to unfiltered systems that the State has determined, in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), must install filtration, until June 29, 1993, or until filtration is installed, whichever is later.

(a) One turbidity unit (TU), as determined by a monthly average pursuant to Sec. 141.22, except that five or fewer turbidity units may be allowed if the supplier of water can demonstrate to the State that the higher turbidity does not do any of the following:

(1) Interfere with disinfection;

(2) Prevent maintenance of an effective disinfectant agent throughout the distribution system; or

(3) Interfere with microbiological determinations.

(b) Five turbidity units based on an average for two consecutive days pursuant to Sec. 141.22.

[40 FR 59570, Dec. 24, 1975]

Sec. 141.15 Maximum contaminant levels for radium-226, radium-228, and gross alpha particle radioactivity in community water systems.

The following are the maximum contaminant levels for radium-226, radium-228, and gross alpha particle radioactivity:

(a) Combined radium-226 and radium-228--5 pCi/1.

(b) Gross alpha particle activity (including radium-226 but excluding radon and uranium)--15 pCi/1.

[41 FR 28404, July 9, 1976]

Sec. 141.16 Maximum contaminant levels for beta particle and photon radioactivity from man-made radionuclides in community water

systems.

(a) The average annual concentration of beta particle and photon radioactivity from man-made radionuclides in drinking water shall not produce an annual dose equivalent to the total body or any internal organ greater than 4 millirem/year.

(b) Except for the radionuclides listed in Table A, the concentration of man-made radionuclides causing 4 mrem total body or organ dose equivalents shall be calculated on the basis of a 2 liter per day drinking water intake using the 168 hour data listed in ``Maximum Permissible Body Burdens and Maximum Permissible Concentration of Radionuclides in Air or Water for Occupational Exposure,'' NBS Handbook 69 as amended August 1963, U.S. Department of Commerce. If two or more radionuclides are present, the sum of their annual dose equivalent to the total body or to any organ shall not exceed 4 millirem/year.

Table A--Average Annual Concentrations Assumed to Produce a Total Body or Organ Dose of 4 mrem/yr

Radionuclide	Critical organ	pCi per liter
Tritium	Total body	20,000
Strontium-90	Bone marrow	8

[41 FR 28404, July 9, 1976]

Subpart C--Monitoring and Analytical Requirements

Sec. 141.21 Coliform sampling.

(a) Routine monitoring. (1) Public water systems must collect total coliform samples at sites which are representative of water throughout the distribution system according to a written sample siting plan. These plans are subject to State review and revision.

(2) The monitoring frequency for total coliforms for community water systems is based on the population served by the system, as follows:

[[Page 297]]

Total Coliform Monitoring Frequency for Community Water Systems

Population served	Minimum number of samples per month
25 to 1,000 \1\	1
1,001 to 2,500	2
2,501 to 3,300	3
3,301 to 4,100	4
4,101 to 4,900	5
4,901 to 5,800	6

5,801 to 6,700	7
6,701 to 7,600	8
7,601 to 8,500	9
8,501 to 12,900	10
12,901 to 17,200	15
17,201 to 21,500	20
21,501 to 25,000	25
25,001 to 33,000	30
33,001 to 41,000	40
41,001 to 50,000	50
50,001 to 59,000	60
59,001 to 70,000	70
70,001 to 83,000	80
83,001 to 96,000	90
96,001 to 130,000	100
130,001 to 220,000	120
220,001 to 320,000	150
320,001 to 450,000	180
450,001 to 600,000	210
600,001 to 780,000	240
780,001 to 970,000	270
970,001 to 1,230,000	300
1,230,001 to 1,520,000	330
1,520,001 to 1,850,000	360
1,850,001 to 2,270,000	390
2,270,001 to 3,020,000	420
3,020,001 to 3,960,000	450
3,960,001 or more	480

\1\ Includes public water systems which have at least 15 service connections, but serve fewer than 25 persons.

If a community water system serving 25 to 1,000 persons has no history of total coliform contamination in its current configuration and a sanitary survey conducted in the past five years shows that the system is supplied solely by a protected groundwater source and is free of sanitary defects, the State may reduce the monitoring frequency specified above, except that in no case may the State reduce the monitoring frequency to less than one sample per quarter. The State must approve the reduced monitoring frequency in writing.

(3) The monitoring frequency for total coliforms for non-community water systems is as follows:

(i) A non-community water system using only ground water (except ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in Sec. 141.2) and serving 1,000 persons or fewer must monitor each calendar quarter that the system provides water to the public, except that the State may reduce this monitoring frequency, in writing, if a sanitary survey shows that the system is free of sanitary defects. Beginning June 29, 1994, the State cannot reduce the monitoring frequency for a non-community water system using only ground water (except ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in Sec. 141.2) and serving 1,000 persons or fewer to less than once/year.

(ii) A non-community water system using only ground water (except ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in Sec. 141.2) and serving more than 1,000 persons during any month must

monitor at the same frequency as a like-sized community water system, as specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, except the State may reduce this monitoring frequency, in writing, for any month the system serves 1,000 persons or fewer. The State cannot reduce the monitoring frequency to less than once/year. For systems using ground water under the direct influence of surface water, paragraph (a)(3)(iv) of this section applies.

(iii) A non-community water system using surface water, in total or in part, must monitor at the same frequency as a like-sized community water system, as specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, regardless of the number of persons it serves.

(iv) A non-community water system using ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in Sec. 141.2, must monitor at the same frequency as a like-sized community water system, as specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. The system must begin monitoring at this frequency beginning six months after the State determines that the ground water is under the direct influence of surface water.

(4) The public water system must collect samples at regular time intervals throughout the month, except that a system which uses only ground water (except ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in Sec. 141.2), and serves 4,900 persons or fewer, may collect all required samples

[[Page 298]]

on a single day if they are taken from different sites.

(5) A public water system that uses surface water or ground water under the direct influence of surface water, as defined in Sec. 141.2, and does not practice filtration in compliance with Subpart H must collect at least one sample near the first service connection each day the turbidity level of the source water, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74(b)(2), exceeds 1 NTU. This sample must be analyzed for the presence of total coliforms. When one or more turbidity measurements in any day exceed 1 NTU, the system must collect this coliform sample within 24 hours of the first exceedance, unless the State determines that the system, for logistical reasons outside the system's control, cannot have the sample analyzed within 30 hours of collection. Sample results from this coliform monitoring must be included in determining compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in Sec. 141.63.

(6) Special purpose samples, such as those taken to determine whether disinfection practices are sufficient following pipe placement, replacement, or repair, shall not be used to determine compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in Sec. 141.63. Repeat samples taken pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section are not considered special purpose samples, and must be used to determine compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in Sec. 141.63.

(b) Repeat monitoring. (1) If a routine sample is total coliform-positive, the public water system must collect a set of repeat samples within 24 hours of being notified of the positive result. A system which collects more than one routine sample/month must collect no fewer than three repeat samples for each total coliform-positive sample found. A system which collects one routine sample/month or fewer must collect no fewer than four repeat samples for each total coliform-positive sample found. The State may extend the 24-hour limit on a case-by-case basis if the system has a logistical problem in collecting the repeat samples

within 24 hours that is beyond its control. In the case of an extension, the State must specify how much time the system has to collect the repeat samples.

(2) The system must collect at least one repeat sample from the sampling tap where the original total coliform-positive sample was taken, and at least one repeat sample at a tap within five service connections upstream and at least one repeat sample at a tap within five service connections downstream of the original sampling site. If a total coliform-positive sample is at the end of the distribution system, or one away from the end of the distribution system, the State may waive the requirement to collect at least one repeat sample upstream or downstream of the original sampling site.

(3) The system must collect all repeat samples on the same day, except that the State may allow a system with a single service connection to collect the required set of repeat samples over a four-day period or to collect a larger volume repeat sample(s) in one or more sample containers of any size, as long as the total volume collected is at least 400 ml (300 ml for systems which collect more than one routine sample/month).

(4) If one or more repeat samples in the set is total coliform-positive, the public water system must collect an additional set of repeat samples in the manner specified in paragraphs (b)(1)-(3) of this section. The additional samples must be collected within 24 hours of being notified of the positive result, unless the State extends the limit as provided in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. The system must repeat this process until either total coliforms are not detected in one complete set of repeat samples or the system determines that the MCL for total coliforms in Sec. 141.63 has been exceeded and notifies the State.

(5) If a system collecting fewer than five routine samples/month has one or more total coliform-positive samples and the State does not invalidate the sample(s) under paragraph (c) of this section, it must collect at least five routine samples during the next month the system provides water to the public, except that the State may waive this requirement if the conditions of paragraph (b)(5) (i) or (ii) of this section are met. The State cannot waive

the requirement for a system to collect repeat samples in paragraphs (b)(1)-(4) of this section.

(i) The State may waive the requirement to collect five routine samples the next month the system provides water to the public if the State, or an agent approved by the State, performs a site visit before the end of the next month the system provides water to the public. Although a sanitary survey need not be performed, the site visit must be sufficiently detailed to allow the State to determine whether additional monitoring and/or any corrective action is needed. The State cannot approve an employee of the system to perform this site visit, even if the employee is an agent approved by the State to perform sanitary surveys.

(ii) The State may waive the requirement to collect five routine samples the next month the system provides water to the public if the State has determined why the sample was total coliform-positive and establishes that the system has corrected the problem or will correct the problem before the end of the next month the system serves water to the public. In this case, the State must document this decision to waive

the following month's additional monitoring requirement in writing, have it approved and signed by the supervisor of the State official who recommends such a decision, and make this document available to the EPA and public. The written documentation must describe the specific cause of the total coliform-positive sample and what action the system has taken and/or will take to correct this problem. The State cannot waive the requirement to collect five routine samples the next month the system provides water to the public solely on the grounds that all repeat samples are total coliform-negative. Under this paragraph, a system must still take at least one routine sample before the end of the next month it serves water to the public and use it to determine compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in Sec. 141.63, unless the State has determined that the system has corrected the contamination problem before the system took the set of repeat samples required in paragraphs (b)(1)-(4) of this section, and all repeat samples were total coliform-negative.

(6) After a system collects a routine sample and before it learns the results of the analysis of that sample, if it collects another routine sample(s) from within five adjacent service connections of the initial sample, and the initial sample, after analysis, is found to contain total coliforms, then the system may count the subsequent sample(s) as a repeat sample instead of as a routine sample.

(7) Results of all routine and repeat samples not invalidated by the State must be included in determining compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in Sec. 141.63.

(c) Invalidation of total coliform samples. A total coliform-positive sample invalidated under this paragraph (c) does not count towards meeting the minimum monitoring requirements of this section. (1) The State may invalidate a total coliform-positive sample only if the conditions of paragraph (c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii) of this section are met.

(i) The laboratory establishes that improper sample analysis caused the total coliform-positive result.

(ii) The State, on the basis of the results of repeat samples collected as required by paragraphs (b) (1) through (4) of this section, determines that the total coliform-positive sample resulted from a domestic or other non-distribution system plumbing problem. The State cannot invalidate a sample on the basis of repeat sample results unless all repeat sample(s) collected at the same tap as the original total coliform-positive sample are also total coliform-positive, and all repeat samples collected within five service connections of the original tap are total coliform-negative (e.g., a State cannot invalidate a total coliform-positive sample on the basis of repeat samples if all the repeat samples are total coliform-negative, or if the public water system has only one service connection).

(iii) The State has substantial grounds to believe that a total coliform-positive result is due to a circumstance or condition which does not reflect water quality in the distribution system. In this case, the system

must still collect all repeat samples required under paragraphs (b) (1)-(4) of this section, and use them to determine compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in Sec. 141.63. To invalidate a total coliform-positive sample under this paragraph, the decision with the rationale

for the decision must be documented in writing, and approved and signed by the supervisor of the State official who recommended the decision. The State must make this document available to EPA and the public. The written documentation must state the specific cause of the total coliform-positive sample, and what action the system has taken, or will take, to correct this problem. The State may not invalidate a total coliform-positive sample solely on the grounds that all repeat samples are total coliform-negative.

(2) A laboratory must invalidate a total coliform sample (unless total coliforms are detected) if the sample produces a turbid culture in the absence of gas production using an analytical method where gas formation is examined (e.g., the Multiple-Tube Fermentation Technique), produces a turbid culture in the absence of an acid reaction in the Presence-Absence (P-A) Coliform Test, or exhibits confluent growth or produces colonies too numerous to count with an analytical method using a membrane filter (e.g., Membrane Filter Technique). If a laboratory invalidates a sample because of such interference, the system must collect another sample from the same location as the original sample within 24 hours of being notified of the interference problem, and have it analyzed for the presence of total coliforms. The system must continue to re-sample within 24 hours and have the samples analyzed until it obtains a valid result. The State may waive the 24-hour time limit on a case-by-case basis.

(d) Sanitary surveys. (1)(i) Public water systems which do not collect five or more routine samples/month must undergo an initial sanitary survey by June 29, 1994, for community public water systems and June 29, 1999, for non-community water systems. Thereafter, systems must undergo another sanitary survey every five years, except that non-community water systems using only protected and disinfected ground water, as defined by the State, must undergo subsequent sanitary surveys at least every ten years after the initial sanitary survey. The State must review the results of each sanitary survey to determine whether the existing monitoring frequency is adequate and what additional measures, if any, the system needs to undertake to improve drinking water quality.

(ii) In conducting a sanitary survey of a system using ground water in a State having an EPA-approved wellhead protection program under section 1428 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, information on sources of contamination within the delineated wellhead protection area that was collected in the course of developing and implementing the program should be considered instead of collecting new information, if the information was collected since the last time the system was subject to a sanitary survey.

(2) Sanitary surveys must be performed by the State or an agent approved by the State. The system is responsible for ensuring the survey takes place.

(e) Fecal coliforms/Escherichia coli (E. coli) testing. (1) If any routine or repeat sample is total coliform-positive, the system must analyze that total coliform-positive culture medium to determine if fecal coliforms are present, except that the system may test for E. coli in lieu of fecal coliforms. If fecal coliforms or E. coli are present, the system must notify the State by the end of the day when the system is notified of the test result, unless the system is notified of the result after the State office is closed, in which case the system must notify the State before the end of the next business day.

(2) The State has the discretion to allow a public water system, on a case-by-case basis, to forgo fecal coliform or E. coli testing on a

total coliform-positive sample if that system assumes that the total coliform-positive sample is fecal coliform-positive or E. coli-positive. Accordingly, the system must notify the State as specified in paragraph (e)(1) of this section and the provisions of Sec. 141.63(b) apply.

[[Page 301]]

(f) Analytical methodology. (1) The standard sample volume required for total coliform analysis, regardless of analytical method used, is 100 ml.

(2) Public water systems need only determine the presence or absence of total coliforms; a determination of total coliform density is not required.

(3) Public water systems must conduct total coliform analyses in accordance with one of the analytical methods in the following table. These methods are contained in the 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1992, American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street NW., Washington, DC 20005. A description of the Colisure Test may be obtained from the Millipore Corporation, Technical Services Department, 80 Ashby Road, Bedford, MA 01730. The toll-free phone number is (800) 645-5476.

Organism	Methodology	Citation
Total Coliforms <SUP>1.....	Total Coliform Fermentation Technique <SUP>2,3,4.	9221A, B.
	Total Coliform Membrane Filter Technique.	9222A, B, C.
	Presence-Absence (P-A) Coliform Test <SUP>4,5.	9221D.
	ONPG-MUG Test <SUP>6	9223.
	Colisure Test <SUP>7...	

\1\ The time from sample collection to initiation of analysis may not exceed 30 hours. Systems are encouraged but not required to hold samples below 10 deg.C during transit.

\2\ Lactose broth, as commercially available, may be used in lieu of laurel tryptose broth, if the system conducts at least 25 parallel tests between this medium and lauryl tryptose broth using the water normally tested, and this comparison demonstrates that the false-positive rate and false-negative rate for total coliforms, using lactose broth, is less than 10 percent.

\3\ If inverted tubes are used to detect gas production, the media should cover these tubes at least one-half to two-thirds after the sample is added.

\4\ No requirement exists to run the completed phase on 10 percent of all total coliform-positive confirmed tubes.

\5\ Six-times formulation strength may be used if the medium is filter-sterilized rather than autoclaved.

\6\ The ONPG-MUG Test is also known as the Autoanalysis Colilert System.

\7\ The Colisure Test must be incubated for 28 hours before examining the results. If an examination of the results at 28 hours is not

convenient, then results may be examined at any time between 28 hours and 48 hours.

(4) [Reserved]

(5) Public water systems must conduct fecal coliform analysis in accordance with the following procedure. When the MTF Technique or Presence-Absence (PA) Coliform Test is used to test for total coliforms, shake the lactose-positive presumptive tube or P-A vigorously and transfer the growth with a sterile 3-mm loop or sterile applicator stick into brilliant green lactose bile broth and EC medium to determine the presence of total and fecal coliforms, respectively. For EPA-approved analytical methods which use a membrane filter, transfer the total coliform-positive culture by one of the following methods: remove the membrane containing the total coliform colonies from the substrate with a sterile forceps and carefully curl and insert the membrane into a tube of EC medium (the laboratory may first remove a small portion of selected colonies for verification), swab the entire membrane filter surface with a sterile cotton swab and transfer the inoculum to EC medium (do not leave the cotton swab in the EC medium), or inoculate individual total coliform-positive colonies into EC Medium. Gently shake the inoculated tubes of EC medium to insure adequate mixing and incubate in a waterbath at 44.5 <plus-minus> 0.2 deg.C for 24 <plus-minus> 2 hours. Gas production of any amount in the inner fermentation tube of the EC medium indicates a positive fecal coliform test. The preparation of EC medium is described in the 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1992, Method 9221E--p. 9-52, paragraph 1a. Public water systems need only determine the presence or absence of fecal coliforms; a determination of fecal coliform density is not required.

(6) Public water systems must conduct analysis of Escherichia coli in accordance with one of the following analytical methods:

(i) EC medium supplemented with 50 <greek-m>g/ml of 4-methylumbelliferyl-beta-D-glucuronide (MUG) (final concentration). EC medium is described in the 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1992, Method 9221E--p. 9-52, paragraph 1a. MUG may be added to EC medium before autoclaving. EC medium supplemented with 50 <greek-m>g/ml of MUG is commercially available. At least 10 ml of EC medium supplemented with MUG must be used. The inner inverted fermentation tube may be omitted. The procedure for transferring a total coliform-positive culture to EC medium supplemented with MUG shall be as specified in paragraph (f)(5) of this section for transferring a total coliform-positive culture to EC medium. Observe fluorescence with an ultraviolet

[[Page 302]]

light (366 nm) in the dark after incubating tube at 44.5 <plus-minus> 0.2 deg.C for 24 <plus-minus> 2 hours; or

(ii) Nutrient agar supplemented with 100 <greek-m>g/ml 4-methylumbelliferyl-beta-D-glucuronide (MUG) (final concentration). Nutrient Agar is described in the 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1992, p. 9-47 to 9-48. This test is used to determine if a total coliform-positive sample, as determined by the Membrane Filter Technique or any other method in which a membrane filter is used, contains E. coli. Transfer the membrane filter containing a total coliform colony(ies) to nutrient agar

supplemented with 100 <greek-m>g/ml (final concentration) of MUG. After incubating the agar plate at 35 deg.C for 4 hours, observe the colony(ies) under ultraviolet light (366 nm) in the dark for fluorescence. If fluorescence is visible, E. coli are present.

(iii) Minimal Medium ONPG-MUG (MMO-MUG) Test, as set forth in the article ``National Field Evaluation of a Defined Substrate Method for the Simultaneous Detection of Total Coliforms and Escherichia coli from Drinking Water: Comparison with Presence-Absence Techniques'' (Edberg et al.), Applied and Environmental Microbiology, Volume 55, pp. 1003-1008, April 1989. (Note: The Autoanalysis Colilert System is an MMO-MUG test). If the MMO-MUG test is total coliform-positive after a 24-hour incubation, test the medium for fluorescence with a 366-nm ultraviolet light (preferably with a 6-watt lamp) in the dark. If fluorescence is observed, the sample is E. coli-positive. If fluorescence is questionable (cannot be definitively read) after 24 hours incubation, incubate the culture for an additional four hours (but not to exceed 28 hours total), and again test the medium for fluorescence. The MMO-MUG Test with hepes buffer in lieu of phosphate buffer is the only approved formulation for the detection of E. coli.

(iv) The Colisure Test. A description of the Colisure Test may be obtained from the Millipore Corporation, Technical Services Department, 80 Ashby Road, Bedford, MA 01730.

(7) As an option to paragraph (f)(6)(iii) of this section, a system with a total coliform-positive, MUG-negative, MMO-MUG test may further analyze the culture for the presence of E. coli by transferring a 0.1 ml, 28-hour MMO-MUG culture to EC Medium + MUG with a pipet. The formulation and incubation conditions of EC Medium + MUG, and observation of the results are described in paragraph (f)(6)(i) of this section.

(8) The following materials are incorporated by reference in this section with the approval of the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the analytical methods cited in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater may be obtained from the American Public Health Association et al.; 1015 Fifteenth Street, NW.; Washington, DC 20005. Copies of the methods set forth in Microbiological Methods for Monitoring the Environment, Water and Wastes may be obtained from ORD Publications, U.S. EPA, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268. Copies of the MMO-MUG Test as set forth in the article ``National Field Evaluation of a Defined Substrate Method for the Simultaneous Enumeration of Total Coliforms and Escherichia coli from Drinking Water: Comparison with the Standard Multiple Tube Fermentation Method'' (Edberg et al.) may be obtained from the American Water Works Association Research Foundation, 6666 West Quincy Avenue, Denver, CO 80235. A description of the Colisure Test may be obtained from the Millipore Corp., Technical Services Department, 80 Ashby Road, Bedford, MA 01730. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket; 401 M Street, SW.; Washington, DC 20460, or at the Office of the Federal Register; 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(g) Response to violation. (1) A public water system which has exceeded the MCL for total coliforms in Sec. 141.63 must report the violation to the State no later than the end of the next business day after it learns of the violation, and notify the public in accordance with Sec. 141.32.

(2) A public water system which has failed to comply with a coliform monitoring requirement, including the sanitary survey requirement, must

report

[[Page 303]]

the monitoring violation to the State within ten days after the system discovers the violation, and notify the public in accordance with Sec. 141.32.

[54 FR 27562, June 29, 1989, as amended at 54 FR 30001, July 17, 1989; 55 FR 25064, June 19, 1990; 56 FR 642, Jan. 8, 1991; 57 FR 1852, Jan. 15, 1992; 57 FR 24747, June 10, 1992; 59 FR 62466, Dec. 5, 1994; 60 FR 34085, June 29, 1995]

Sec. 141.22 Turbidity sampling and analytical requirements.

The requirements in this section apply to unfiltered systems until December 30, 1991, unless the State has determined prior to that date, in writing pursuant to section 1412(b)(7)(iii), that filtration is required. The requirements in this section apply to filtered systems until June 29, 1993. The requirements in this section apply to unfiltered systems that the State has determined, in writing pursuant to section 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), must install filtration, until June 29, 1993, or until filtration is installed, whichever is later.

(a) Samples shall be taken by suppliers of water for both community and non-community water systems at a representative entry point(s) to the water distribution system at least once per day, for the purposes of making turbidity measurements to determine compliance with Sec. 141.13. If the State determines that a reduced sampling frequency in a non-community will not pose a risk to public health, it can reduce the required sampling frequency. The option of reducing the turbidity frequency shall be permitted only in those public water systems that practice disinfection and which maintain an active residual disinfectant in the distribution system, and in those cases where the State has indicated in writing that no unreasonable risk to health existed under the circumstances of this option. Turbidity measurements shall be made as directed in Sec. 141.74(a)(1).

(b) If the result of a turbidity analysis indicates that the maximum allowable limit has been exceeded, the sampling and measurement shall be confirmed by resampling as soon as practicable and preferably within one hour. If the repeat sample confirms that the maximum allowable limit has been exceeded, the supplier of water shall report to the State within 48 hours. The repeat sample shall be the sample used for the purpose of calculating the monthly average. If the monthly average of the daily samples exceeds the maximum allowable limit, or if the average of two samples taken on consecutive days exceeds 5 TU, the supplier of water shall report to the State and notify the public as directed in Secs. 141.31 and 141.32.

(c) Sampling for non-community water systems shall begin within two years after the effective date of this part.

(d) The requirements of this Sec. 141.22 shall apply only to public water systems which use water obtained in whole or in part from surface sources.

(e) The State has the authority to determine compliance or initiate enforcement action based upon analytical results or other information compiled by their sanctioned representatives and agencies.

[40 FR 59570, Dec. 24, 1975, as amended at 45 FR 57344, Aug. 27, 1980; 47 FR 8998, Mar. 3, 1982; 47 FR 10998, Mar. 12, 1982; 54 FR 27527, June 29, 1989; 59 FR 62466, Dec. 5, 1994]

Sec. 141.23 Inorganic chemical sampling and analytical requirements.

Community water systems shall conduct monitoring to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant levels specified in Sec. 141.62 in accordance with this section. Non-transient, non-community water systems shall conduct monitoring to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant levels specified in Sec. 141.62 in accordance with this section. Transient, non-community water systems shall conduct monitoring to determine compliance with the nitrate and nitrite maximum contaminant levels in Sec. 141.11 and Sec. 141.62 (as appropriate) in accordance with this section.

(a) Monitoring shall be conducted as follows:

(1) Groundwater systems shall take a minimum of one sample at every entry point to the distribution system which is representative of each well after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point) beginning in the initial compliance period. The system shall take each sample at the same sampling point unless conditions make another

[[Page 304]]

sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.

(2) Surface water systems shall take a minimum of one sample at every entry point to the distribution system after any application of treatment or in the distribution system at a point which is representative of each source after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point) beginning in the initial compliance period. The system shall take each sample at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.

Note: For purposes of this paragraph, surface water systems include systems with a combination of surface and ground sources.

(3) If a system draws water from more than one source and the sources are combined before distribution, the system must sample at an entry point to the distribution system during periods of normal operating conditions (i.e., when water is representative of all sources being used).

(4) The State may reduce the total number of samples which must be analyzed by allowing the use of compositing. Composite samples from a maximum of five samples are allowed, provided that the detection limit of the method used for analysis is less than one-fifth of the MCL. Compositing of samples must be done in the laboratory.

(i) If the concentration in the composite sample is greater than or equal to one-fifth of the MCL of any inorganic chemical, then a follow-up sample must be taken within 14 days at each sampling point included in the composite. These samples must be analyzed for the contaminants which exceeded one-fifth of the MCL in the composite sample. Detection limits for each analytical method and MCLs for each inorganic contaminant are the following:

Detection Limits for Inorganic Contaminants

Contaminant	MCL (mg/l)	Detection Methodology	limit (mg/l)
Antimony.....	0.006.....	Atomic Absorption; Furnace.	0.003
		Atomic Absorption; Platform.	0.0008 \5\
		ICP-Mass Spectrometry.	0.0004
		Hydride-Atomic Absorption.	0.001
Asbestos.....	7 MFL \1\..	Transmission Electron Microscopy.	0.01 MFL
Barium.....	2.....	Atomic Absorption; furnace technique.	0.002
		Atomic Absorption; direct aspiration.	0.1
		Inductively Coupled Plasma.	0.002 (0.001)
Beryllium.....	0.004.....	Atomic Absorption; Furnace.	0.0002
		Atomic Absorption; Platform.	0.00002 \5\
		Inductively Coupled Plasma \2\.	0.0003
		ICP-Mass Spectrometry.	0.0003
Cadmium.....	0.005.....	Atomic Absorption; furnace technique.	0.0001
		Inductively Coupled Plasma.	0.001
Chromium.....	0.1.....	Atomic Absorption; furnace technique.	0.001
		Inductively Coupled Plasma.	0.007 (0.001)
Cyanide.....	0.2.....	Distillation, Spectrophotometric \3\.	0.02
		Distillation, Automated, Spectrophotomet	0.005

		ric \3\.	
		Distillation, Selective Electrode \3\.	0.05
		Distillation, Amenable, Spectrophotometric \4\.	0.02
Mercury	0.002	Manual Cold Vapor Technique.	0.0002
		Automated Cold Vapor Technique.	0.0002
Nickel	xl	Atomic Absorption; Furnace.	0.001
		Atomic Absorption; Platform.	0.0006 \5\
		Inductively Coupled Plasma \2\.	0.005
		ICP-Mass Spectrometry.	0.0005
Nitrate	10 (as N)	Manual Cadmium Reduction.	0.01
		Automated Hydrazine Reduction.	0.01
		Automated Cadmium Reduction.	0.05
		Ion Selective Electrode.	1
		Ion Chromatography.	0.01
Nitrite	1 (as N)	Spectrophotometric.	0.01
		Automated Cadmium Reduction.	0.05
		Manual Cadmium Reduction.	0.01
		Ion Chromatography.	0.004
Selenium	0.05	Atomic Absorption; furnace.	0.002
		Atomic Absorption; gaseous hydride.	0.002
Thallium	0.002	Atomic Absorption; Furnace.	0.001

Atomic Absorption; Platform.	0.0007 \5\
ICP-Mass Spectrometry.	0.0003

\1\ MFL = million fibers per liter >10 <greek-m>m.
\2\ Using a 2X preconcentration step as noted in Method 200.7. Lower MDLs may be achieved when using a 4X preconcentration.
\3\ Screening method for total cyanides.
\4\ Measures ``free'' cyanides.
\5\ Lower MDLs are reported using stabilized temperature graphite furnace atomic absorption.

(ii) If the population served by the system is >3,300 persons, then compositing may only be permitted by the State at sampling points within a single system. In systems serving <ls-thn-eq>3,300 persons, the State may permit compositing among different systems provided the 5-sample limit is maintained.

(iii) If duplicates of the original sample taken from each sampling point used in the composite are available, the system may use these instead of resampling. The duplicates must be analyzed and the results reported to the State within 14 days of collection.

(5) The frequency of monitoring for asbestos shall be in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section: the frequency of monitoring for antimony, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium and thallium shall be in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section; the frequency of monitoring for nitrate shall be in accordance with paragraph (d) of this section; and the frequency of monitoring for nitrite shall be in accordance with paragraph (e) of this section.

(b) The frequency of monitoring conducted to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant level for asbestos specified in Sec. 141.62(b) shall be conducted as follows:

(1) Each community and non-transient, non-community water system is required to monitor for asbestos during the first three-year compliance period of each nine-year compliance cycle beginning in the compliance period starting January 1, 1993.

(2) If the system believes it is not vulnerable to either asbestos contamination in its source water or due to corrosion of asbestos-cement pipe, or both, it may apply to the State for a waiver of the monitoring requirement in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. If the State grants the waiver, the system is not required to monitor.

(3) The State may grant a waiver based on a consideration of the following factors:

(i) Potential asbestos contamination of the water source, and

(ii) The use of asbestos-cement pipe for finished water distribution and the corrosive nature of the water.

(4) A waiver remains in effect until the completion of the three-year compliance period. Systems not receiving a waiver must monitor in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(5) A system vulnerable to asbestos contamination due solely to corrosion of asbestos-cement pipe shall take one sample at a tap served by asbestos-cement pipe and under conditions where asbestos contamination is most likely to occur.

(6) A system vulnerable to asbestos contamination due solely to source water shall monitor in accordance with the provision of paragraph (a) of this section.

(7) A system vulnerable to asbestos contamination due both to its source water supply and corrosion of asbestos-cement pipe shall take one sample at a tap served by asbestos-cement pipe and under conditions where asbestos contamination is most likely to occur.

(8) A system which exceeds the maximum contaminant levels as determined in Sec. 141.23(i) of this section shall monitor quarterly beginning in the next quarter after the violation occurred.

(9) The State may decrease the quarterly monitoring requirement to the frequency specified in paragraph (b)(1) of this section provided the State has determined that the system is reliably

and consistently below the maximum contaminant level. In no case can a State make this determination unless a groundwater system takes a minimum of two quarterly samples and a surface (or combined surface/ground) water system takes a minimum of four quarterly samples.

(10) If monitoring data collected after January 1, 1990 are generally consistent with the requirements of Sec. 141.23(b), then the State may allow systems to use that data to satisfy the monitoring requirement for the initial compliance period beginning January 1, 1993.

(c) The frequency of monitoring conducted to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant levels in Sec. 141.62 for antimony, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium and thallium shall be as follows:

(1) Groundwater systems shall take one sample at each sampling point during each compliance period. Surface water systems (or combined surface/ground) shall take one sample annually at each sampling point.

(2) The system may apply to the State for a waiver from the monitoring frequencies specified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section. States may grant a public water system a waiver for monitoring of cyanide, provided that the State determines that the system is not vulnerable due to lack of any industrial source of cyanide.

(3) A condition of the waiver shall require that a system shall take a minimum of one sample while the waiver is effective. The term during which the waiver is effective shall not exceed one compliance cycle (i.e., nine years).

(4) The State may grant a waiver provided surface water systems have monitored annually for at least three years and groundwater systems have conducted a minimum of three rounds of monitoring. (At least one sample shall have been taken since January 1, 1990). Both surface and groundwater systems shall demonstrate that all previous analytical results were less than the maximum contaminant level. Systems that use a new water source are not eligible for a waiver until three rounds of monitoring from the new source have been completed.

(5) In determining the appropriate reduced monitoring frequency, the State shall consider:

(i) Reported concentrations from all previous monitoring;

(ii) The degree of variation in reported concentrations; and

(iii) Other factors which may affect contaminant concentrations such as changes in groundwater pumping rates, changes in the system's configuration, changes in the system's operating procedures, or changes in stream flows or characteristics.

(6) A decision by the State to grant a waiver shall be made in writing and shall set forth the basis for the determination. The determination may be initiated by the State or upon an application by the public water system. The public water system shall specify the basis for its request. The State shall review and, where appropriate, revise its determination of the appropriate monitoring frequency when the system submits new monitoring data or when other data relevant to the system's appropriate monitoring frequency become available.

(7) Systems which exceed the maximum contaminant levels as calculated in Sec. 141.23(i) of this section shall monitor quarterly beginning in the next quarter after the violation occurred.

(8) The State may decrease the quarterly monitoring requirement to the frequencies specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section provided it has determined that the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level. In no case can a State make this determination unless a groundwater system takes a minimum of two quarterly samples and a surface water system takes a minimum of four quarterly samples.

(d) All public water systems (community; non-transient, non-community; and transient, non-community systems) shall monitor to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant level for nitrate in Sec. 141.62.

(1) Community and non-transient, non-community water systems served by groundwater systems shall monitor annually beginning January 1, 1993; systems served by surface water shall

monitor quarterly beginning January 1, 1993.

(2) For community and non-transient, non-community water systems, the repeat monitoring frequency for groundwater systems shall be quarterly for at least one year following any one sample in which the concentration is <gr-thn-eq>50 percent of the MCL. The State may allow a groundwater system to reduce the sampling frequency to annually after four consecutive quarterly samples are reliably and consistently less than the MCL.

(3) For community and non-transient, non-community water systems, the State may allow a surface water system to reduce the sampling frequency to annually if all analytical results from four consecutive quarters are <50 percent of the MCL. A surface water system shall return to quarterly monitoring if any one sample is <gr-thn-eq>50 percent of the MCL.

(4) Each transient non-community water system shall monitor annually beginning January 1, 1993.

(5) After the initial round of quarterly sampling is completed, each community and non-transient non-community system which is monitoring annually shall take subsequent samples during the quarter(s) which previously resulted in the highest analytical result.

(e) All public water systems (community; non-transient, non-community; and transient, non-community systems) shall monitor to determine compliance with the maximum contaminant level for nitrite in Sec. 141.62(b).

(1) All public water systems shall take one sample at each sampling point in the compliance period beginning January 1, 1993 and ending December 31, 1995.

(2) After the initial sample, systems where an analytical result for

nitrite is <50 percent of the MCL shall monitor at the frequency specified by the State.

(3) For community, non-transient, non-community, and transient non-community water systems, the repeat monitoring frequency for any water system shall be quarterly for at least one year following any one sample in which the concentration is <gr-thn-eq>50 percent of the MCL. The State may allow a system to reduce the sampling frequency to annually after determining the system is reliably and consistently less than the MCL.

(4) Systems which are monitoring annually shall take each subsequent sample during the quarter(s) which previously resulted in the highest analytical result.

(f) Confirmation samples:

(1) Where the results of sampling for asbestos, antimony, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium or thallium indicate an exceedance of the maximum contaminant level, the State may require that one additional sample be collected as soon as possible after the initial sample was taken (but not to exceed two weeks) at the same sampling point.

(2) Where nitrate or nitrite sampling results indicate an exceedance of the maximum contaminant level, the system shall take a confirmation sample within 24 hours of the system's receipt of notification of the analytical results of the first sample. Systems unable to comply with the 24-hour sampling requirement must immediately notify the consumers served by the area served by the public water system in accordance with Sec. 141.32. Systems exercising this option must take and analyze a confirmation sample within two weeks of notification of the analytical results of the first sample.

(3) If a State-required confirmation sample is taken for any contaminant, then the results of the initial and confirmation sample shall be averaged. The resulting average shall be used to determine the system's compliance in accordance with paragraph (i) of this section. States have the discretion to delete results of obvious sampling errors.

(g) The State may require more frequent monitoring than specified in paragraphs (b), (c), (d) and (e) of this section or may require confirmation samples for positive and negative results at its discretion.

(h) Systems may apply to the State to conduct more frequent monitoring than the minimum monitoring frequencies specified in this section.

(i) Compliance with Secs. 141.11 or 141.62(b) (as appropriate) shall be determined based on the analytical result(s) obtained at each sampling point.

[[Page 308]]

(1) For systems which are conducting monitoring at a frequency greater than annual, compliance with the maximum contaminant levels for antimony, asbestos, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium or thallium is determined by a running annual average at any sampling point. If the average at any sampling point is greater than the MCL, then the system is out of compliance. If any one sample would cause the annual average to be exceeded, then the system is out of compliance immediately. Any sample below the method detection limit shall be calculated at zero for the purpose of determining the annual average.

(2) For systems which are monitoring annually, or less frequently, the system is out of compliance with the maximum contaminant levels for asbestos, antimony, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, selenium or thallium if the level of a contaminant at any sampling point is greater than the MCL. If a confirmation sample is required by the State, the determination of compliance will be based on the average of the two samples.

(3) Compliance with the maximum contaminant levels for nitrate and nitrate is determined based on one sample if the levels of these contaminants are below the MCLs. If the levels of nitrate and/or nitrite exceed the MCLs in the initial sample, a confirmation sample is required in accordance with paragraph (f)(2) of this section, and compliance shall be determined based on the average of the initial and confirmation samples.

(4) If a public water system has a distribution system separable from other parts of the distribution system with no interconnections, the State may allow the system to give public notice to only the area served by that portion of the system which is out of compliance.

(j) Each public water system shall monitor at the time designated by the State during each compliance period.

(k) Inorganic analysis:

(1) Analysis for the following contaminants shall be conducted in accordance with the methods in the following Table, or their equivalent as determined by EPA. Criteria for analyzing arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, calcium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, selenium, sodium, and thallium with digestion or directly without digestion, and other analytical test procedures are contained in Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods, EPA-600/R-94-173, October 1994. This document also contains approved analytical test methods which remain available for compliance monitoring until July 1, 1996. These methods will not be available for use after July 1, 1996. This document is available from the National Technical Information Service, NTIS PB95-104766, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. The toll-free number is 800-553-6847.

[[Page 309]]

Contaminant	Methodology	EPA	ASTM \3\	SM \4\	Other
Antimony	ICP-Mass Spectrometry.	\2\ 200.8			
	Hydride-Atomic Absorption.		D-3697-92		
	Atomic Absorption; Platform.	\2\ 200.9			
	Atomic Absorption; Furnace.			3113B	
Arsenic	Inductively Coupled Plasma.	\2\ 200.7		3120B	
	ICP-Mass Spectrometry.	\2\ 200.8			

Atomic \2\ 200.9
Absorption;
Platform.
Atomic ..... D-2972-93C 3113B.....
Absorption;
Furnace.
Hydride Atomic ..... D-2972-93B 3114B.....
Absorption.
Asbestos..... Transmission \9\ 100.1
Electron
Microscopy.
Transmission \10\ 100.2
Electron
Microscopy.
Barium..... Inductively \2\ 200.7 ..... 3120B.....
Coupled Plasma.
ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
Spectrometry.
Atomic .... 3111D.....
Absorption;
Direct.
Atomic .... 3113B.....
Absorption;
Furnace.
Beryllium..... Inductively \2\ 200.7 ..... 3120B.....
Coupled Plasma.
ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
Spectrometry.
Atomic \2\ 200.9
Absorption;
Platform.
Atomic ..... D-3645-93B 3113B.....
Absorption;
Furnace.
Cadmium..... Inductively \2\200.7
Coupled Plasma.
ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
Spectrometry.
Atomic \2\ 200.9
Absorption;
Platform.
Atomic .... 3113B.....
Absorption;
Furnace.
Chromium..... Inductively \2\ 200.7 ..... 3120B.....
Coupled Plasma.
ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
Spectrometry.
Atomic \2\ 200.9
Absorption;
Platform.
Atomic .... 3113B.....
Absorption;
Furnace.
Cyanide..... Manual .... 4500-CN-C.....
Distillation

followed by.
Spectrophotom ..... D2036-91B 4500CN-G.....
etric,
Amenable.
Spectrophotom ..... D2036-91A 4500-CN-E..... \5\ I-3300-85
etric Manual.
Semi- \6\ 335.4
automated.
Selective .... 4500CN-F.....
Electrode.
Fluoride..... Ion \6\ 300.0 D4327-91 4110B.....
Chromatography.
Manual .... 4500F-B,D.....
Distill.;
Color. SPADNS.
Manual ..... D1179-93B 4500F-C.....
Electrode.
Automated .... \11\ 380-75WE
Electrode.
Automated .... 4500F-E..... \11\ 129-71W
Alizarin.
Mercury..... Manual, Cold \2\ 245.1 D3223-91 3112B.....
Vapor.
Automated, Cold \1\ 245.2
Vapor.
ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
Spectrometry.
Nickel..... Inductively \2\ 200.7 ..... 3120B.....
Coupled Plasma.
ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
Spectrometry.
Atomic \2\ 200.9
Absorption;
Platform.
Atomic .... 3111B.....
Absorption;
Direct.
Atomic .... 3113B.....
Absorption;
Furnace.
Nitrate..... Ion \6\ 300.0 D4327-91 4110B..... \8\ B-1011
Chromatography.
Automated \6\ 353.2 D3867-90A 4500-NO<INF>3-F.....
Cadmium
Reduction.
Ion Selective .... 4500-NO<INF>3-D..... \7\ 601
Electrode.

[[Page 310]]

Manual Cadmium ..... D3867-90B 4500-NO<INF>3-E.....
Reduction.
Nitrite..... Ion \6\ 300.0 D4327-91 4110B..... \8\ B-1011
Chromatography.
Automated \6\ 353.2 D3867-90A 4500-NO<INF>3-F.....

Cadmium
Reduction.
Manual Cadmium ..... D3867-90B 4500-NO<INF>3-E.....
Reduction.
Spectrophotomet .... 4500-NO<INF>2-B.....
ric.
Selenium..... Hydride-Atomic ..... D3859-93A 3114B.....
Absorption.
ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
Spectrometry.
Atomic \2\ 200.9
Absorption;
Platform.
Atomic ..... D3859-93B 3113B.....
Absorption;
Furnace.
Thallium..... ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
Spectrometry.
Atomic \2\ 200.9
Absorption;
Platform.
Lead..... Atomic ..... D3559-90D 3113B.....
absorption;
furnace.
ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
spectrometry.
Atomic \2\ 200.9
absorption;
platform.
Copper..... Atomic ..... D1688-90C 3113B.....
absorption;
furnace.
Atomic ..... D1688-90A 3111B.....
absorption;
direct
aspiration.
ICP..... \2\ 200.7 ..... 3120B.....
ICP-Mass \2\ 200.8
spectrometry.
Atomic \2\ 200.9
absorption;
platform.
pH..... Electrometric.. \1\ 150.1 D1293-84 4500-H<SUP>+-B.....
.....<SUP>..... \1\ 150.2
Conductivity..... Conductance.... ..... D1125-91A 2510B.....
Calcium..... EDTA ..... D511-93A 3500-Ca-D.....
titrimetric.
Atomic ..... D511-93B 3111B.....
absorption;
direct
aspiration.
Inductively- \2\ 200.7 ..... 3120B.....
coupled plasma.
Alkalinity..... Titrimetric.... ..... D1067-92B 2320B.....
Electrometric .... \5\ I-1030-85
titration.

Orthophosphate \12\.	Colorimetric, automated, ascorbic acid.	\6\ 365.1	.....	4500-P-F.....
	Colorimetric, ascorbic acid, single reagent.	.....	D515-88A	4500-P-E.....
	Colorimetric, phosphomolybdate;.	.....	.....	..... \5\ I-1601-85
	automated-segmented flow;.	.....	.....	..... \5\ I-2601-90
	automated discrete.	.....	.....	..... \5\ I-2598-85
	Ion Chromatography.	\6\ 300.0	D4327-91	4110.....
Silica.....	Colorimetric, molybdate blue;.	.....	.....	..... \5\ I-1700-85
	automated-segmented flow.	.....	.....	..... \5\ I-2700-85
	Colorimetric...	.....	D859-88	
	Molybdosilicate	.....	.....	4500-Si-D.....
	Heteropoly blue	.....	.....	4500-Si-E.....
	Automated method for molybdate-reactive silica.	.....	.....	4500-Si-F.....
	Inductively-coupled plasma.	\2\ 200.7	.....	3120B.....
Temperature.....	Thermometric...	.....	.....	2550.....
Sodium.....	Inductively-coupled plasma.	\2\ 200.7		
	Atomic Absorption; direct aspiration.	.....	.....	3111B.....

Footnotes:

\1\ Methods 150.1, 150.2 and 245.2 are available from US EPA, EMSL, Cincinnati, OH 45268. The identical methods were formerly in ``Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes'', EPA-600/4-79-020, March 1983, which is available at NTIS, PB84-128677.

\2\ ``Methods for the Determination of Metals in Environmental Samples--Supplement I'', EPA-600/R-94-111, May 1994. Available at NTIS, PB 94-184942.

[[Page 311]]

\3\ The procedures shall be done in accordance with the Annual Book of ASTM Standards, 1994, Vols. 11.01 and 11.02, American Society for Testing and Materials. This incorporation by reference was approved by the

Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies may be obtained from the American Society for Testing and Materials, 1916 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

\4\ The procedures shall be done in accordance with the 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1992, American Public Health Association. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies may be obtained from the American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

\5\ Available from Books and Open-File Reports Section, U.S. Geological Survey, Federal Center, Box 25425, Denver, CO 80225-0425.

\6\ ``Methods for the Determination of Inorganic Substances in Environmental Samples'', EPA-600/R-93-100, August 1993. Available at NTIS, PB94-121811.

\7\ The procedure shall be done in accordance with the Technical Bulletin 601 ``Standard Method of Test for Nitrate in Drinking Water'', July 1994, PN 221890-001, Analytical Technology, Inc. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies may be obtained from ATI Orion, 529 Main Street, Boston, MA 02129. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

\8\ Method B-1011, ``Waters Test Method for Determination of Nitrite/Nitrate in Water Using Single Column Ion Chromatography'', Millipore Corporation, Waters Chromatography Division, 34 Maple Street, Milford, MA 01757.

\9\ Method 100.1, ``Analytical Method For Determination of Asbestos Fibers in Water'', EPA-600/4-83-043, EPA, September 1983. Available at NTIS, PB83-260471.

\10\ Method 100.2, ``Determination Of Asbestos Structure Over 10-<greek-m>m In Length In Drinking Water'', EPA-600/R-94-134, June 1994. Available at NTIS, PB94-201902.

\11\ The procedures shall be done in accordance with the Industrial Method No. 129-71W, ``Fluoride in Water and Wastewater'', December 1972, and Method No. 380-75WE, ``Fluoride in Water and Wastewater'', February 1976, Technicon Industrial Systems. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies may be obtained from the Technicon Industrial Systems, Tarrytown, NY 10591. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; or at the Office of Federal Register, 800 Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700,

Washington, DC.
\12\ Unfiltered, no digestion or hydrolysis.

[[Page 312]]

(2) Sample collection for antimony, asbestos, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, nitrate, nitrite, selenium, and thallium under this section shall be conducted using the sample preservation, container, and maximum holding time procedures specified in the table below:

[[Page 313]]

Contaminant	Preservative \1\	Container \2\	Time \3\
Antimony	Conc HNO<INF>3 to pH <2	P or G	6 months.
Asbestos	Cool, 4C	P or G	
Barium	Conc HNO<INF>3 to pH <2	P or G	6 months.
Beryllium	Conc HNO<INF>3 to pH <2	P or G	6 months.
Cadmium	Conc HNO<INF>3 to pH <2	P or G	6 months.
Chromium	Conc HNO<INF>3 to pH <2	P or G	6 months.
Cyanide	Cool, 4 deg.C, NaOH to pH>12 \3\	P or G	14 days
Fluoride	None	P or G	1 month.
Mercury	Conc HNO<INF>3 to pH <2	P or G	28 days.
Nickel	Conc HNO<INF>3 to pH <2	P or G	6 months.
Nitrate			
Chlorinated	Cool, 4C	P or G	28 days.
Non-chlorinated	Conc H<INF>2SO<INF>4 to pH <2	P or G	14 days.
Nitrite	Cool, 4C	P or G	48 hours.
Selenium	Conc HNO<INF>3 to pH <2	P or G	6 months.
Thallium	Conc HNO<INF>3 to pH <2	P or G	6 months.

\1\ P=plastic, hard or soft; G=glass, hard or soft.
\2\ In all cases, samples should be analyzed as soon after collection as possible.
\3\ See method(s) for the information for preservation.

[[Page 314]]

(3) Analysis under this section shall only be conducted by laboratories that have been certified by EPA or the State. Laboratories may conduct sample analysis under provisional certification until January 1, 1996. To receive certification to conduct analyses for antimony, asbestos, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, fluoride, mercury, nickel, nitrate, nitrite and selenium and thallium, the laboratory must:

(i) Analyze Performance Evaluation samples which include those substances provided by EPA Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory or equivalent samples provided by the State.

(ii) Achieve quantitative results on the analyses that are within

the following acceptance limits:

Contaminant	Acceptance limit
Antimony	<plus-minus>30 at 0.006 mg/1
Asbestos	2 standard deviations based on study statistics.
Barium	<plus-minus>15% at 0.15 mg/1
Beryllium	<plus-minus>15% at 0.001 mg/1
Cadmium	<plus-minus>20% at 0.002 mg/1
Chromium	<plus-minus>15% at 0.01 mg/1
Cyanide	<plus-minus>25% at 0.1 mg/1
Fluoride	<plus-minus>10% at 1 to 10 mg/1
Mercury	<plus-minus>30% at 0.0005 mg/1
Nickel	<plus-minus>15% at 0.01 mg/1
Nitrate	<plus-minus>10% at 0.4 mg/1
Nitrite	<plus-minus>15% at 0.4 mg/1
Selenium	<plus-minus>20% at 0.01 mg/1
Thallium	<plus-minus>30% at 0.002 mg/1

(l) Analyses for the purpose of determining compliance with Sec. 141.11 shall be conducted using the requirements specified in paragraphs (l) through (q) of this section.

(1) Analyses for all community water systems utilizing surface water sources shall be completed by June 24, 1978. These analyses shall be repeated at yearly intervals.

(2) Analyses for all community water systems utilizing only ground water sources shall be completed by June 24, 1979. These analyses shall be repeated at three-year intervals.

(3) For non-community water systems, whether supplied by surface or ground sources, analyses for nitrate shall be completed by December 24, 1980. These analyses shall be repeated at intervals determined by the State.

(4) The State has the authority to determine compliance or initiate enforcement action based upon analytical results and other information compiled by their sanctioned representatives and agencies.

(m) If the result of an analysis made under paragraph (l) of this section indicates that the level of any contaminant listed in Sec. 141.11 exceeds the maximum contaminant level, the supplier of the water shall report to the State within 7 days and initiate three additional analyses at the same sampling point within one month.

(n) When the average of four analyses made pursuant to paragraph (m) of this section, rounded to the same number of significant figures as the maximum contaminant level for the substance in question, exceeds the maximum contaminant level, the supplier of water shall notify the State pursuant to Sec. 141.31 and give notice to the public pursuant to Sec. 141.32. Monitoring after public notification shall be at a frequency designated by the State and shall continue until the maximum contaminant level has not been exceeded in two successive samples or until a monitoring schedule as a condition to a variance, exemption or enforcement action shall become effective.

(o) The provisions of paragraphs (m) and (n) of this section notwithstanding, compliance with the maximum contaminant level for

nitrate shall be determined on the basis of the mean of two analyses. When a level exceeding the maximum contaminant level for nitrate is found, a second analysis shall be initiated within 24 hours, and if the mean of the two analyses exceeds the maximum contaminant level, the supplier of water shall report his findings to the State pursuant to Sec. 141.31 and shall notify the public pursuant to Sec. 141.32.

(p) For the initial analyses required by paragraph (l) (1), (2) or (3) of this section, data for surface waters acquired within one year prior to the effective date and data for ground waters acquired within 3 years prior to the effective date of this part may be substituted at the discretion of the State.

(q) [Reserved]

[56 FR 3579, Jan. 30, 1991, as amended at 56 FR 30274, July 1, 1991; 57 FR 31838, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 34322, July 1, 1994; 59 FR 62466, Dec. 5, 1994; 60 FR 33932, 34085, June 29, 1995]

[[Page 315]]

Sec. 141.24 Organic chemicals other than total trihalomethanes, sampling and analytical requirements.

(a)-(d) [Reserved]

(e) Analyses for the contaminants in this section shall be conducted using the following EPA methods or their equivalent as approved by EPA. Methods 502.2, 505, 507, 508, 508A, 515.1 and 531.1 are in Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water, EPA-600/4-88-039, December 1988, Revised, July 1991. Methods 506, 547, 550, 550.1 and 551 are in Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water--Supplement I, EPA-600-4-90-020, July 1990. Methods 515.2, 524.2, 548.1, 549.1, 552.1 and 555 are in Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water--Supplement II, EPA-600/R-92-129, August 1992. Method 1613 is titled ``Tetra-through Octa-Chlorinated Dioxins and Furans by Isotope-Dilution HRGC/HRMS'', EPA-821-B-94-005, October 1994. These documents are available from the National Technical Information Service, NTIS PB91-231480, PB91-146027, PB92-207703 and PB95-104774, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. The toll-free number is 800-553-6847. Method 6651 shall be followed in accordance with the 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1992, American Public Health Association. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies may be obtained from the American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street NW., Washington, DC 20005. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC. Method 6610 shall be followed in accordance with the Supplement to the 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1994, American Public Health Association. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies may be obtained from the American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street NW., Washington, DC 20005. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800

North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC. Other analytical test procedures are contained in Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods, EPA-600/R-94-173, October 1994, NTIS PB95-104766. This document also contains approved analytical methods which remain available for compliance monitoring until July 1, 1996. These methods will not be available for use after July 1, 1996. EPA Methods 504.1, 508.1 and 525.2 are available from US EPA EMSL, Cincinnati, OH 45268. The phone number is 513-569-7586.

Contaminant	Method
Benzene	502.2, 524.2.
Carbon tetrachloride	502.2, 524.2, 551.
Chlorobenzene	502.2, 524.2.
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	502.2, 524.2.
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	502.2, 524.2.
1,2-Dichloroethane	502.2, 524.2.
cis-Dichloroethylene	502.2, 524.2.
trans-Dichloroethylene	502.2, 524.2.
Dichloromethane	502.2, 524.2.
1,2-Dichloropropane	502.2, 524.2.
Ethylbenzene	502.2, 524.2.
Styrene	502.2, 524.2.
Tetrachloroethylene	502.2, 524.2, 551.
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	502.2, 524.2, 551.
Trichloroethylene	502.2, 524.2, 551.
Toluene	502.2, 524.2.
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	502.2, 524.2.
1,1-Dichloroethylene	502.2, 524.2.
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	502.2, 524.2.
Vinyl chloride	502.2, 524.2.
Xylenes (total)	502.2, 524.2.
2,3,7,8-TCDD (dioxin)	1613.
2,4-D	515.2, 555, 515.1.
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	515.2, 555, 515.1.
Alachlor	505 \1\, 507, 525.2, 508.1.
Atrazine	505 \1\, 507, 525.2, 508.1.
Benzo(a)pyrene	525.2, 550, 550.1.
Carbofuran	531.1, 6610.
Chlordane	505, 508, 525.2, 508.1.
Dalapon	552.1, 515.1.
Di(2-ethylhexyl) adipate	506, 525.2.
Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	506, 525.2.
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	504.1, 551.
Dinoseb	515.2, 555, 515.1.
Diquat	549.1.
Endothall	548.1.
Endrin	505, 508, 525.2, 508.1.
Ethylene dibromide (EDB)	504.1, 551.
Glyphosate	547, 6651.
Heptachlor	505, 508, 525.2, 508.1.
Heptachlor Epoxide	505, 508, 525.2, 508.1.
Hexachlorobenzene	505, 508, 525.2, 508.1.
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	505, 525.2, 508, 508.1.
Lindane	505, 508, 525.2, 508.1.

Methoxychlor..... 505, 508, 525.2, 508.1.
Oxamyl..... 531.1, 6610.

[[Page 316]]

PCBs \2\ (as decachlorobiphenyl)..... 508A.
(as Aroclors)..... 505, 508.
Pentachlorophenol..... 515.2, 525.2, 555, 515.1.
Picloram..... 515.2, 555, 515.1.
Simazine..... 505 \1\, 507, 525.2, 508.1.
Toxaphene..... 505, 508, 525.2.
Total Trihalomethanes..... 502.2, 524.2, 551.

\1\ A nitrogen-phosphorous detector should be substituted for the electron capture detector in Method 505 (or another approved method should be used) to determine alachlor, atrazine and simazine, if lower detection limits are required.
\2\ PCBs are qualitatively identified as Aroclors and measured for compliance purposes as decachlorobiphenyl.

(f) Beginning with the initial compliance period, analysis of the contaminants listed in Sec. 141.61(a) (1) through (21) for the purpose of determining compliance with the maximum contaminant level shall be conducted as follows:

(1) Groundwater systems shall take a minimum of one sample at every entry point to the distribution system which is representative of each well after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source, treatment plant, or within the distribution system.

(2) Surface water systems (or combined surface/ground) shall take a minimum of one sample at points in the distribution system that are representative of each source or at each entry point to the distribution system after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source, treatment plant, or within the distribution system.

(3) If the system draws water from more than one source and the sources are combined before distribution, the system must sample at an entry point to the distribution system during periods of normal operating conditions (i.e., when water representative of all sources is being used).

(4) Each community and non-transient non-community water system shall take four consecutive quarterly samples for each contaminant listed in Sec. 141.61(a) (2) through (21) during each compliance period, beginning in the initial compliance period.

(5) If the initial monitoring for contaminants listed in Sec. 141.61(a) (1) through (8) and the monitoring for the contaminants listed in Sec. 141.61(a) (9) through (21) as allowed in paragraph (f)(18) has been completed by December 31, 1992, and the system did not detect any contaminant listed in Sec. 141.61(a) (1) through (21), then each ground and surface water system shall take one sample annually beginning with the initial compliance period.

(6) After a minimum of three years of annual sampling, the State may allow groundwater systems with no previous detection of any contaiminant

listed in Sec. 141.61(a) to take one sample during each compliance period.

(7) Each community and non-transient non-community ground water system which does not detect a contaminant listed in Sec. 141.61(a) (1) through (21) may apply to the State for a waiver from the requirements of paragraphs (f)(5) and (f)(6) of this section after completing the initial monitoring. (For purposes of this section, detection is defined as <gr-thn-eq>0.0005 mg/l.) A waiver shall be effective for no more than six years (two compliance periods). States may also issue waivers to small systems for the initial round of monitoring for 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene.

(8) A State may grant a waiver after evaluating the following factor(s):

(i) Knowledge of previous use (including transport, storage, or disposal) of the contaminant within the watershed or zone of influence of the system. If a determination by the State reveals no previous use of the contaminant within the watershed or zone of influence, a waiver may be granted.

(ii) If previous use of the contaminant is unknown or it has been used previously, then the following factors shall be used to determine whether a waiver is granted.

(A) Previous analytical results.

(B) The proximity of the system to a potential point or non-point source of contamination. Point sources include spills and leaks of chemicals at or near a water treatment facility or at manufacturing, distribution, or storage facilities, or from hazardous and municipal waste landfills and other waste handling or treatment facilities.

(C) The environmental persistence and transport of the contaminants.

(D) The number of persons served by the public water system and the proximity of a smaller system to a larger system.

(E) How well the water source is protected against contamination, such as whether it is a surface or groundwater system. Groundwater systems must consider factors such as depth of the well, the type of soil, and wellhead protection. Surface water systems must consider watershed protection.

(9) As a condition of the waiver a groundwater system must take one sample at each sampling point during the time the waiver is effective (i.e., one sample during two compliance periods or six years) and update its vulnerability assessment considering the factors listed in paragraph (f)(8) of this section. Based on this vulnerability assessment the State must reconfirm that the system is non-vulnerable. If the State does not make this reconfirmation within three years of the initial determination, then the waiver is invalidated and the system is required to sample annually as specified in paragraph (5) of this section.

(10) Each community and non-transient non-community surface water system which does not detect a contaminant listed in Sec. 141.61(a) (1) through (21) may apply to the State for a waiver from the requirements of (f)(5) of this section after completing the initial monitoring. Composite samples from a maximum of five sampling points are allowed, provided that the detection limit of the method used for analysis is less than one-fifth of the MCL. Systems meeting this criterion must be determined by the State to be non-vulnerable based on a vulnerability assessment during each compliance period. Each system receiving a waiver

shall sample at the frequency specified by the State (if any).

(11) If a contaminant listed in Sec. 141.61(a) (2) through (21) is detected at a level exceeding 0.0005 mg/l in any sample, then:

(i) The system must monitor quarterly at each sampling point which resulted in a detection.

(ii) The State may decrease the quarterly monitoring requirement speci fied in paragraph (f)(11)(i) of this section provided it has determined that the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level. In no case shall the State make this determination unless a groundwater system takes a minimum of two quarterly samples and a surface water system takes a minimum of four quarterly samples.

(iii) If the State determines that the system is reliably and consistently below the MCL, the State may allow the system to monitor annually. Systems which monitor annually must monitor during the quarter(s) which previously yielded the highest analytical result.

(iv) Systems which have three consecutive annual samples with no detection of a contaminant may apply to the State for a waiver as specified in paragraph (f)(7) of this section.

(v) Groundwater systems which have detected one or more of the following two-carbon organic compounds: trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, cis-1,2-dichloroethylene, trans-1,2-dichloroethylene, or 1,1-dichloroethylene shall monitor quarterly for vinyl chloride. A vinyl chloride sample shall be taken at each sampling point at which one or more of the two-carbon organic compounds was detected. If the results of the first analysis do not detect vinyl chloride, the State may reduce the quarterly monitoring frequency of vinyl chloride monitoring to one sample during each compliance period. Surface water systems are required to monitor for vinyl chloride as specified by the State.

(12) Systems which violate the requirements of Sec. 141.61(a) (1) through (21), as determined by paragraph (f)(15) of this section, must monitor quarterly. After a minimum of four consecutive quarterly samples which show the system is in compliance as specified in paragraph (f)(15) of this section the system and the State determines that the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level, the system may monitor at the frequency and times specified in paragraph (f)(11)(iii) of this section.

[[Page 318]]

(13) The State may require a confirmation sample for positive or negative results. If a confirmation sample is required by the State, the result must be averaged with the first sampling result and the average is used for the compliance determination as specified by paragraph (f)(15). States have discretion to delete results of obvious sampling errors from this calculation.

(14) The State may reduce the total number of samples a system must analyze by allowing the use of compositing. Composite samples from a maximum of five sampling points are allowed, provided that the detection limit of the method used for analysis is less than one-fifth of the MCL. Compositing of samples must be done in the laboratory and analyzed within 14 days of sample collection.

(i) If the concentration in the composite sample is greater than or equal to 0.0005 mg/l for any contaminant listed in Sec. 141.61(a), then a follow-up sample must be taken within 14 days at each sampling point

included in the composite, and be analyzed for that contaminant.

(ii) If duplicates of the original sample taken from each sampling point used in the composite are available, the system may use these instead of resampling. The duplicate must be analyzed and the results reported to the State within 14 days of collection.

(iii) If the population served by the system is > 3,300 persons, then compositing may only be permitted by the State at sampling points within a single system. In systems serving <ls-thn-eq> 3,300 persons, the State may permit compositing among different systems provided the 5-sample limit is maintained.

(iv) Compositing samples prior to GC analysis.

(A) Add 5 ml or equal larger amounts of each sample (up to 5 samples are allowed) to a 25 ml glass syringe. Special precautions must be made to maintain zero headspace in the syringe.

(B) The samples must be cooled at 4 deg.C during this step to minimize volatilization losses.

(C) Mix well and draw out a 5-ml aliquot for analysis.

(D) Follow sample introduction, purging, and desorption steps described in the method.

(E) If less than five samples are used for compositing, a proportionately small syringe may be used.

(v) Compositing samples prior to GC/MS analysis.

(A) Inject 5-ml or equal larger amounts of each aqueous sample (up to 5 samples are allowed) into a 25-ml purging device using the sample introduction technique described in the method.

(B) The total volume of the sample in the purging device must be 25 ml.

(C) Purge and desorb as described in the method.

(15) Compliance with Sec. 141.61(a) (1) through (21) shall be determined based on the analytical results obtained at each sampling point.

(i) For systems which are conducting monitoring at a frequency greater than annual, compliance is determined by a running annual average of all samples taken at each sampling point. If the annual average of any sampling point is greater than the MCL, then the system is out of compliance. If the initial sample or a subsequent sample would cause the annual average to be exceeded, then the system is out of compliance immediately.

(ii) If monitoring is conducted annually, or less frequently, the system is out of compliance if the level of a contaminant at any sampling point is greater than the MCL. If a confirmation sample is required by the State, the determination of compliance will be based on the average of two samples.

(iii) If a public water system has a distribution system separable from other parts of the distribution system with no interconnections, the State may allow the system to give public notice to only that area served by that portion of the system which is out of compliance.

(16) [Reserved]

(17) Analysis under this section shall only be conducted by laboratories that are certified by EPA or the State according to the following conditions (laboratories may conduct sample analysis under provisional certification until January 1, 1996):

[[Page 319]]

(i) To receive certification to conduct analyses for the

contaminants in Sec. 141.61(a) (2) through (21) the laboratory must:

(A) Analyze Performance Evaluation samples which include these substances provided by EPA Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory or equivalent samples provided by the State.

(B) Achieve the quantitative acceptance limits under paragraphs (f)(17)(i) (C) and (D) of this section for at least 80 percent of the regulated organic chemicals listed in Sec. 141.61(a) (2) through (21).

(C) Achieve quantitative results on the analyses performed under paragraph (f)(17)(i)(A) of this section that are within <plus-minus>20% of the actual amount of the substances in the Performance Evaluation sample when the actual amount is greater than or equal to 0.010 mg/l.

(D) Achieve quantitative results on the analyses performed under paragraph (f)(17)(i)(A) of this section that are within <plus-minus>40 percent of the actual amount of the substances in the Performance Evaluation sample when the actual amount is less than 0.010 mg/l.

(E) Achieve a method detection limit of 0.0005 mg/l, according to the procedures in Appendix B of Part 136.

(ii) To receive certification for vinyl chloride, the laboratory must:

(A) Analyze Performance Evaluation samples provided by EPA Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory or equivalent samples provided by the State.

(B) Achieve quantitative results on the analyses performed under paragraph (f)(17)(ii)(A) of this section that are within <plus-minus>40 percent of the actual amount of vinyl chloride in the Performance Evaluation sample.

(C) Achieve a method detection limit of 0.0005 mg/l, according to the procedures in appendix B of part 136.

(D) Obtain certification for the contaminants listed in Sec. 141.61(a)(2) through (21).

(18) States may allow the use of monitoring data collected after January 1, 1988, required under section 1445 of the Act for purposes of initial monitoring compliance. If the data are generally consistent with the other requirements of this section, the State may use these data (i.e., a single sample rather than four quarterly samples) to satisfy the initial monitoring requirement of paragraph (f)(4) of this section. Systems which use grandfathered samples and did not detect any contaminant listed Sec. 141.61(a)(2) through (21) shall begin monitoring annually in accordance with paragraph (f)(5) of this section beginning with the initial compliance period.

(19) States may increase required monitoring where necessary to detect variations within the system.

(20) Each certified laboratory must determine the method detection limit (MDL), as defined in appendix B to part 136, at which it is capable of detecting VOCs. The acceptable MDL is 0.0005 mg/l. This concentration is the detection concentration for purposes of this section.

(21) Each public water system shall monitor at the time designated by the State within each compliance period.

(g) [Reserved]

(h) Analysis of the contaminants listed in Sec. 141.61(c) for the purposes of determining compliance with the maximum contaminant level shall be conducted as follows: \7\

\7\ Monitoring for the contaminants aldicarb, aldicarb sulfoxide,

and aldicarb sulfone shall be conducted in accordance with Sec. 141.40.
---

(1) Groundwater systems shall take a minimum of one sample at every entry point to the distribution system which is representative of each well after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.

(2) Surface water systems shall take a minimum of one sample at points in the distribution system that are representative of each source or at each entry point to the distribution system after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.

Note: For purposes of this paragraph, surface water systems include systems

[[Page 320]]

with a combination of surface and ground sources.

(3) If the system draws water from more than one source and the sources are combined before distribution, the system must sample at an entry point to the distribution system during periods of normal operating conditions (i.e., when water representative of all sources is being used).

(4) Monitoring frequency:

(i) Each community and non-transient non-community water system shall take four consecutive quarterly samples for each contaminant listed in Sec. 141.61(c) during each compliance period beginning with the initial compliance period.

(ii) Systems serving more than 3,300 persons which do not detect a contaminant in the initial compliance period may reduce the sampling frequency to a minimum of two quarterly samples in one year during each repeat compliance period.

(iii) Systems serving less than or equal to 3,300 persons which do not detect a contaminant in the initial compliance period may reduce the sampling frequency to a minimum of one sample during each repeat compliance period.

(5) Each community and non-transient water system may apply to the State for a waiver from the requirement of paragraph (h)(4) of this section. A system must reapply for a waiver for each compliance period.

(6) A State may grant a waiver after evaluating the following factor(s): Knowledge of previous use (including transport, storage, or disposal) of the contaminant within the watershed or zone of influence of the system. If a determination by the State reveals no previous use of the contaminant within the watershed or zone of influence, a waiver may be granted. If previous use of the contaminant is unknown or it has been used previously, then the following factors shall be used to determine whether a waiver is granted.

(i) Previous analytical results.

(ii) The proximity of the system to a potential point or non-point source of contamination. Point sources include spills and leaks of chemicals at or near a water treatment facility or at manufacturing, distribution, or storage facilities, or from hazardous and municipal

waste landfills and other waste handling or treatment facilities. Non-point sources include the use of pesticides to control insect and weed pests on agricultural areas, forest lands, home and gardens, and other land application uses.

(iii) The environmental persistence and transport of the pesticide or PCBs.

(iv) How well the water source is protected against contamination due to such factors as depth of the well and the type of soil and the integrity of the well casing.

(v) Elevated nitrate levels at the water supply source.

(vi) Use of PCBs in equipment used in the production, storage, or distribution of water (i.e., PCBs used in pumps, transformers, etc.).

(7) If an organic contaminant listed in Sec. 141.61(c) is detected (as defined by paragraph (h)(18) of this section) in any sample, then:

(i) Each system must monitor quarterly at each sampling point which resulted in a detection.

(ii) The State may decrease the quarterly monitoring requirement specified in paragraph (h)(7)(i) of this section provided it has determined that the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level. In no case shall the State make this determination unless a groundwater system takes a minimum of two quarterly samples and a surface water system takes a minimum of four quarterly samples.

(iii) After the State determines the system is reliably and consistently below the maximum contaminant level the State may allow the system to monitor annually. Systems which monitor annually must monitor during the quarter that previously yielded the highest analytical result.

(iv) Systems which have 3 consecutive annual samples with no detection of a contaminant may apply to the State for a waiver as specified in paragraph (h)(6) of this section.

(v) If monitoring results in detection of one or more of certain related contaminants (aldicarb, aldicarb sulfone,

[[Page 321]]

aldicarb sulfoxide and heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide), then subsequent monitoring shall analyze for all related contaminants.

(8) Systems which violate the requirements of Sec. 141.61(c) as determined by paragraph (h)(11) of this section must monitor quarterly. After a minimum of four quarterly samples show the system is in compliance and the State determines the system is reliably and consistently below the MCL, as specified in paragraph (h)(11) of this section, the system shall monitor at the frequency specified in paragraph (h)(7)(iii) of this section.

(9) The State may require a confirmation sample for positive or negative results. If a confirmation sample is required by the State, the result must be averaged with the first sampling result and the average used for the compliance determination as specified by paragraph (h)(11) of this section. States have discretion to delete results of obvious sampling errors from this calculation.

(10) The State may reduce the total number of samples a system must analyze by allowing the use of compositing. Composite samples from a maximum of five sampling points are allowed, provided that the detection limit of the method used for analysis is less than one-fifth of the MCL. Compositing of samples must be done in the laboratory and analyzed

within 14 days of sample collection.

(i) If the concentration in the composite sample detects one or more contaminants listed in Sec. 141.61(c), then a follow-up sample must be taken within 14 days at each sampling point included in the composite, and be analyzed for that contaminant.

(ii) If duplicates of the original sample taken from each sampling point used in the composite are available, the system may use these duplicates instead of resampling. The duplicate must be analyzed and the results reported to the State within 14 days of collection.

(iii) If the population served by the system is >3,300 persons, then compositing may only be permitted by the State at sampling points within a single system. In systems serving <ls-thn-eq> 3,300 persons, the State may permit compositing among different systems provided the 5-sample limit is maintained.

(11) Compliance with Sec. 141.61(c) shall be determined based on the analytical results obtained at each sampling point.

(i) For systems which are conducting monitoring at a frequency greater than annual, compliance is determined by a running annual average of all samples taken at each sampling point. If the annual average of any sampling point is greater than the MCL, then the system is out of compliance. If the initial sample or a subsequent sample would cause the annual average to be exceeded, then the system is out of compliance immediately. Any samples below the detection limit shall be calculated as zero for purposes of determining the annual average.

(ii) If monitoring is conducted annually, or less frequently, the system is out of compliance if the level of a contaminant at any sampling point is greater than the MCL. If a confirmation sample is required by the State, the determination of compliance will be based on the average of two samples.

(iii) If a public water system has a distribution system separable from other parts of the distribution system with no interconnections, the State may allow the system to give public notice to only that portion of the system which is out of compliance.

(12) [Reserved]

(13) Analysis for PCBs shall be conducted as follows using the methods in paragraph (e) of this section:

(i) Each system which monitors for PCBs shall analyze each sample using either Method 505 or Method 508.

(ii) If PCBs (as one of seven Aroclors) are detected (as designated in this paragraph) in any sample analyzed using Method 505 or 508, the system shall reanalyze the sample using Method 508A to quantitate PCBs (as decachlorobiphenyl).

Aroclor	Detection limit (mg/l)
1016	0.00008
1221	0.02
1232	0.0005
1242	0.0003
1248	0.0001
1254	0.0001

1260..... 0.0002

(iii) Compliance with the PCB MCL shall be determined based upon the quantitative results of analyses using Method 508A.

(14) If monitoring data collected after January 1, 1990, are generally consistent with the requirements of Sec. 141.24(h), then the State may allow systems to use that data to satisfy the monitoring requirement for the initial compliance period beginning January 1, 1993.

(15) The State may increase the required monitoring frequency, where necessary, to detect variations within the system (e.g., fluctuations in concentration due to seasonal use, changes in water source).

(16) The State has the authority to determine compliance or initiate enforcement action based upon analytical results and other information compiled by their sanctioned representatives and agencies.

(17) Each public water system shall monitor at the time designated by the State within each compliance period.

(18) Detection as used in this paragraph shall be defined as greater than or equal to the following concentrations for each contaminant.

Contaminant	Detection limit (mg/l)
Alachlor	.0002
Aldicarb	.0005
Aldicarb sulfoxide	.0005
Aldicarb sulfone	.0008
Atrazine	.0001
Benzo[a]pyrene	.00002
Carbofuran	.0009
Chlordane	.0002
Dalapon	.001
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	.00002
Di (2-ethylhexyl) adipate	.0006
Di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	.0006
Dinoseb	.0002
Diquat	.0004
2,4-D	.0001
Endothall	.009
Endrin	.00001
Ethylene dibromide (EDB)	.00001
Glyphosate	.006
Heptachlor	.00004
Heptachlor epoxide	.00002
Hexachlorobenzene	.0001
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	.0001
Lindane	.00002
Methoxychlor	.0001
Oxamyl	.002
Picloram	.0001
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (as decachlorobiphenyl)	.0001
Pentachlorophenol	.00004
Simazine	.00007

Toxaphene..... .001
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)..... .000000005
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)..... .0002
---

(19) Anaylsis under this section shall only be conducted by laboratories that have received certification by EPA or the State and have met the following conditions:

(i) To receive certification to conduct analyses for the contaminants in Sec. 141.61(c) the laboratory must:

(A) Analyze Performance Evaluation samples which include those substances provided by EPA Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory or equivalent samples provided by the State.

(B) Achieve quantitative results on the analyses that are within the following acceptance limits:

Contaminant	Acceptance limits (percent)
DBCP	<plus-minus>40
EDB	<plus-minus>40.
Alachlor	<plus-minus>45.
Atrazine	<plus-minus>45.
Benzo[a]pyrene	2 standard deviations.
Carbofuran	<plus-minus>45.
Chlordane	<plus-minus>45.
Dalapon	2 standard deviations.
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	2 standard deviations.
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2 standard deviations.
Dinoseb	2 standard deviations.
Diquat	2 standard deviations.
Endothall	2 standard deviations.
Endrin	<plus-minus>30.
Glyphosate	2 standard deviations.
Heptachlor	<plus-minus>45.
Heptachlor epoxide	<plus-minus>45.
Hexachlorobenzene	2 standard deviations.
Hexachloro- cyclopentadiene	2 standard deviations.
Lindane	<plus-minus>45.
Methoxychlor	<plus-minus>45.
Oxamyl	2 standard deviations.
PCBs (as Decachlorobiphenyl)	0-200.
Picloram	2 standard deviations.
Simazine	2 standard deviations.
Toxaphene	<plus-minus>45.
Aldicarb	2 standard deviations.
Aldicarb sulfoxide	2 standard deviations.
Aldicarb sulfone	2 standard deviations.
Pentachlorophenol	<plus-minus>50.
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	2 standard deviations.
2,4-D	<plus-minus>50.
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	<plus-minus>50.

(ii) [Reserved]

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2040-0090)

[40 FR 59570, Dec. 24, 1975, as amended at 44 FR 68641, Nov. 29, 1979; 45 FR 57345, Aug. 27, 1980; 47 FR 10998, Mar. 12, 1982; 52 FR 25712, July 8, 1987; 53 FR 5147, Feb. 19, 1988; 53 FR 25110, July 1, 1988; 56 FR 3583, Jan. 30, 1991; 56 FR 30277, July 1, 1991; 57 FR 22178, May 27, 1992; 57 FR 31841, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 34323, July 1, 1994; 59 FR 62468, Dec. 5, 1994; 60 FR 34085, June 29, 1995]

Sec. 141.25 Analytical methods for radioactivity.

(a) The methods specified in Interim Radiochemical Methodology for Drinking Water, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, EPA-600/4-75-008, USEPA, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268, or those listed below, are to be used to determine compliance with Secs. 141.15 and 141.16 (radioactivity) except in cases where alternative methods have been approved in accordance with Sec. 141.27.

(1) Gross Alpha and Beta--Method 302 ``Gross Alpha and Beta Radioactivity in Water'' Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 13th Edition, American Public Health Association, New York, NY., 1971.

(2) Total Radium--Method 304 ``Radium in Water by Precipitation'' Ibid.

(3) Radium-226--Method 305 ``Radium-226 by Radon in Water'' Ibid.

(4) Strontium-89,90 -- Method 303 ``Total Strontium and Strontium-90 in Water'' Ibid.

(5) Tritium--Method 306 ``Tritium in Water'' Ibid.

(6) Cesium-134 -- ASTM D-2459 ``Gamma Spectrometry in Water,'' 1975 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Water and Atmospheric Analysis, Part 31, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA. (1975).

(7) Uranium--ASTM D-2907 ``Microquantities of Uranium in Water by Fluorometry,'' Ibid.

(b) When the identification and measurement of radionuclides other than those listed in paragraph (a) of this section is required, the following references are to be used, except in cases where alternative methods have been approved in accordance with Sec. 141.27.

(1) Procedures for Radiochemical Analysis of Nuclear Reactor Aqueous Solutions, H. L. Krieger and S. Gold, EPA-R4-73-014. USEPA, Cincinnati, Ohio, May 1973.

(2) HASL Procedure Manual, Edited by John H. Harley. HASL 300, ERDA Health and Safety Laboratory, New York, NY., 1973.

(c) For the purpose of monitoring radioactivity concentrations in drinking water, the required sensitivity of the radioanalysis is defined in terms of a detection limit. The detection limit shall be that concentration which can be counted with a precision of plus or minus 100 percent at the 95 percent confidence level (1.96<greek-s> where <greek-s> is the standard deviation of the net counting rate of the sample).

(1) To determine compliance with Sec. 141.15(a) the detection limit shall not exceed 1 pCi/1. To determine compliance with Sec. 141.15(b) the detection limit shall not exceed 3 pCi/1.

(2) To determine compliance with Sec. 141.16 the detection limits shall not exceed the concentrations listed in Table B.

Table B--Detection Limits for Man-made Beta Particle and Photon Emitters

Radionuclide	Detection limit
Tritium	1,000 pCi/1.
Strontium-89	10 pCi/1.
Strontium-90	2 pCi/1.
Iodine-131	1 pCi/1.
Cesium-134	10 pCi/1.
Gross beta	4 pCi/1.
Other radionuclides	\1/10\ of the applicable limit.

(d) To judge compliance with the maximum contaminant levels listed in Secs. 141.15 and 141.16, averages of data shall be used and shall be rounded to the same number of significant figures as the maximum contaminant level for the substance in question.

(e) The State has the authority to determine compliance or initiate enforcement action based upon analytical results or other information compiled by their sanctioned representatives and agencies.

[41 FR 28404, July 9, 1976, as amended at 45 FR 57345, Aug. 27, 1980]

Sec. 141.26 Monitoring frequency for radioactivity in community water systems.

(a) Monitoring requirements for gross alpha particle activity, radium-226 and radium-228.

[[Page 324]]

(1) Initial sampling to determine compliance with Sec. 141.15 shall begin within two years of the effective date of these regulations and the analysis shall be completed within three years of the effective date of these regulations. Compliance shall be based on the analysis of an annual composite of four consecutive quarterly samples or the average of the analyses of four samples obtained at quarterly intervals.

(i) A gross alpha particle activity measurement may be substituted for the required radium-226 and radium-228 analysis Provided, That the measured gross alpha particle activity does not exceed 5 pCi/1 at a confidence level of 95 percent (1.65<greek-s> where <greek-s> is the standard deviation of the net counting rate of the sample). In localities where radium-228 may be present in drinking water, it is recommended that the State require radium-226 and/or radium-228 analyses when the gross alpha particle activity exceeds 2 pCi/1.

(ii) When the gross alpha particle activity exceeds 5 pCi/1, the same or an equivalent sample shall be analyzed for radium-226. If the concentration of radium-226 exceeds 3 pCi/1 the same or an equivalent sample shall be analyzed for radium-228.

(2) For the initial analysis required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section, data acquired within one year prior to the effective date of this part may be substituted at the discretion of the State.

(3) Suppliers of water shall monitor at least once every four years following the procedure required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section. At the discretion of the State, when an annual record taken in conformance with paragraph (a)(1) of this section has established that the average annual concentration is less than half the maximum contaminant levels established by Sec. 141.15, analysis of a single sample may be substituted for the quarterly sampling procedure required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(i) More frequent monitoring shall be conducted when ordered by the State in the vicinity of mining or other operations which may contribute alpha particle radioactivity to either surface or ground water sources of drinking water.

(ii) A supplier of water shall monitor in conformance with paragraph (a)(1) of this section within one year of the introduction of a new water source for a community water system. More frequent monitoring shall be conducted when ordered by the State in the event of possible contamination or when changes in the distribution system or treatment processing occur which may increase the concentration of radioactivity in finished water.

(iii) A community water system using two or more sources having different concentrations of radioactivity shall monitor source water, in addition to water from a free-flowing tap, when ordered by the State.

(iv) Monitoring for compliance with Sec. 141.15 after the initial period need not include radium-228 except when required by the State, Provided, That the average annual concentration of radium-228 has been assayed at least once using the quarterly sampling procedure required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(v) Suppliers of water shall conduct annual monitoring of any community water system in which the radium-226 concentration exceeds 3 pCi/1, when ordered by the State.

(4) If the average annual maximum contaminant level for gross alpha particle activity or total radium as set forth in Sec. 141.15 is exceeded, the supplier of a community water system shall give notice to the State pursuant to Sec. 141.31 and notify the public as required by Sec. 141.32. Monitoring at quarterly intervals shall be continued until the annual average concentration no longer exceeds the maximum contaminant level or until a monitoring schedule as a condition to a variance, exemption or enforcement action shall become effective.

(b) Monitoring requirements for manmade radioactivity in community water systems.

(1) Within two years of the effective date of this part, systems using surface water sources and serving more than 100,000 persons and such other community water systems as are designated by the State shall be monitored for compliance with Sec. 141.16 by analysis of

a composite of four consecutive quarterly samples or analysis of four quarterly samples. Compliance with Sec. 141.16 may be assumed without further analysis if the average annual concentration of gross beta particle activity is less than 50 pCi/1 and if the average annual concentrations of tritium and strontium-90 are less than those listed in Table A, Provided, That if both radionuclides are present the sum of their annual dose equivalents to bone marrow shall not exceed 4 millirem/year.

(i) If the gross beta particle activity exceeds 50 pCi/1, an

analysis of the sample must be performed to identify the major radioactive constituents present and the appropriate organ and total body doses shall be calculated to determine compliance with Sec. 141.16.

(ii) Suppliers of water shall conduct additional monitoring, as ordered by the State, to determine the concentration of man-made radioactivity in principal watersheds designated by the State.

(iii) At the discretion of the State, suppliers of water utilizing only ground waters may be required to monitor for man-made radioactivity.

(2) For the initial analysis required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section data acquired within one year prior to the effective date of this part may be substituted at the discretion of the State.

(3) After the initial analysis required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section suppliers of water shall monitor at least every four years following the procedure given in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(4) Within two years of the effective date of these regulations the supplier of any community water system designated by the State as utilizing waters contaminated by effluents from nuclear facilities shall initiate quarterly monitoring for gross beta particle and iodine-131 radioactivity and annual monitoring for strontium-90 and tritium.

(i) Quarterly monitoring for gross beta particle activity shall be based on the analysis of monthly samples or the analysis of a composite of three monthly samples. The former is recommended. If the gross beta particle activity in a sample exceeds 15 pCi/1, the same or an equivalent sample shall be analyzed for strontium-89 and cesium-134. If the gross beta particle activity exceeds 50 pCi/1, an analysis of the sample must be performed to identify the major radioactive constituents present and the appropriate organ and total body doses shall be calculated to determine compliance with Sec. 141.16.

(ii) For iodine-131, a composite of five consecutive daily samples shall be analyzed once each quarter. As ordered by the State, more frequent monitoring shall be conducted when iodine-131 is identified in the finished water.

(iii) Annual monitoring for strontium-90 and tritium shall be conducted by means of the analysis of a composite of four consecutive quarterly samples or analysis of four quarterly samples. The latter procedure is recommended.

(iv) The State may allow the substitution of environmental surveillance data taken in conjunction with a nuclear facility for direct monitoring of manmade radioactivity by the supplier of water where the State determines such data is applicable to a particular community water system.

(5) If the average annual maximum contaminant level for man-made radioactivity set forth in Sec. 141.16 is exceeded, the operator of a community water system shall give notice to the State pursuant to Sec. 141.31 and to the public as required by Sec. 141.32. Monitoring at monthly intervals shall be continued until the concentration no longer exceeds the maximum contaminant level or until a monitoring schedule as a condition to a variance, exemption or enforcement action shall become effective.

[41 FR 28404, July 9, 1976]

Sec. 141.27 Alternate analytical techniques.

(a) With the written permission of the State, concurred in by the

Administrator of the U.S. EPA, an alternate analytical technique may be employed. An alternate technique shall be accepted only if it is substantially equivalent to the prescribed test in both precision and accuracy as it relates to the determination of compliance with any MCL. The use of the alternate analytical

[[Page 326]]

technique shall not decrease the frequency of monitoring required by this part.

[45 FR 57345, Aug. 27, 1980]

Sec. 141.28 Certified laboratories.

(a) For the purpose of determining compliance with Secs. 141.21 through 141.27, 141.41 and 141.42, samples may be considered only if they have been analyzed by a laboratory certified by the State except that measurements for turbidity, free chlorine residual, temperature and pH may be performed by any person acceptable to the State.

(b) Nothing in this part shall be construed to preclude the State or any duly designated representative of the State from taking samples or from using the results from such samples to determine compliance by a supplier of water with the applicable requirements of this part.

[45 FR 57345, Aug. 27, 1980; 47 FR 10999, Mar. 12, 1982, as amended at 59 FR 34323, July 1, 1994]

Sec. 141.29 Monitoring of consecutive public water systems.

When a public water system supplies water to one or more other public water systems, the State may modify the monitoring requirements imposed by this part to the extent that the interconnection of the systems justifies treating them as a single system for monitoring purposes. Any modified monitoring shall be conducted pursuant to a schedule specified by the State and concurred in by the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Sec. 141.30 Total trihalomethanes sampling, analytical and other requirements.

(a) Community water system which serve a population of 10,000 or more individuals and which add a disinfectant (oxidant) to the water in any part of the drinking water treatment process shall analyze for total trihalomethanes in accordance with this section. For systems serving 75,000 or more individuals, sampling and analyses shall begin not later than 1 year after the date of promulgation of this regulation. For systems serving 10,000 to 74,999 individuals, sampling and analyses shall begin not later than 3 years after the date of promulgation of this regulation. For the purpose of this section, the minimum number of samples required to be taken by the system shall be based on the number of treatment plants used by the system, except that multiple wells drawing raw water from a single aquifer may, with the State approval, be considered one treatment plant for determining the minimum number of samples. All samples taken within an established frequency shall be collected within a 24-hour period.

(b)(1) For all community water systems utilizing surface water sources in whole or in part, and for all community water systems utilizing only ground water sources that have not been determined by the State to qualify for the monitoring requirements of paragraph (c) of this section, analyses for total trihalomethanes shall be performed at quarterly intervals on at least four water samples for each treatment plant used by the system. At least 25 percent of the samples shall be taken at locations within the distribution system reflecting the maximum residence time of the water in the system. The remaining 75 percent shall be taken at representative locations in the distribution system, taking into account number of persons served, different sources of water and different treatment methods employed. The results of all analyses per quarter shall be arithmetically averaged and reported to the State within 30 days of the system's receipt of such results. Results shall also be reported to EPA until such monitoring requirements have been adopted by the State. All samples collected shall be used in the computation of the average, unless the analytical results are invalidated for technical reasons. Sampling and analyses shall be conducted in accordance with the methods listed in paragraph (e) of this section.

(2) Upon the written request of a community water system, the monitoring frequency required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section may be reduced by the State to a minimum of one sample analyzed for TTHMs per quarter taken at a point in the distribution system reflecting the maximum residence time of the water in the system, upon a

written determination by the State that the data from at least 1 year of monitoring in accordance with paragraph (b)(1) of this section and local conditions demonstrate that total trihalomethane concentrations will be consistently below the maximum contaminant level.

(3) If at any time during which the reduced monitoring frequency prescribed under this paragraph applies, the results from any analysis exceed 0.10 mg/l of TTHMs and such results are confirmed by at least one check sample taken promptly after such results are received, or if the system makes any significant change to its source of water or treatment program, the system shall immediately begin monitoring in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (b)(1) of this section, which monitoring shall continue for at least 1 year before the frequency may be reduced again. At the option of the State, a system's monitoring frequency may and should be increased above the minimum in those cases where it is necessary to detect variations of TTHM levels within the distribution system.

(c)(1) Upon written request to the State, a community water system utilizing only ground water sources may seek to have the monitoring frequency required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section reduced to a minimum of one sample for maximum TTHM potential per year for each treatment plant used by the system taken at a point in the distribution system reflecting maximum residence time of the water in the system. The system shall submit the results of at least one sample for maximum TTHM potential using the procedure specified in paragraph (g) of this section. A sample must be analyzed from each treatment plant used by the system and be taken at a point in the distribution system reflecting the maximum residence time of the water in the system. The system's monitoring frequency may only be reduced upon a written determination by

the State that, based upon the data submitted by the system, the system has a maximum TTHM potential of less than 0.10 mg/l and that, based upon an assessment of the local conditions of the system, the system is not likely to approach or exceed the maximum contaminant level for total TTHMs. The results of all analyses shall be reported to the State within 30 days of the system's receipt of such results. Results shall also be reported to EPA until such monitoring requirements have been adopted by the State. All samples collected shall be used for determining whether the system must comply with the monitoring requirements of paragraph (b) of this section, unless the analytical results are invalidated for technical reasons. Sampling and analyses shall be conducted in accordance with the methods listed in paragraph (e) of this section.

(2) If at any time during which the reduced monitoring frequency prescribed under paragraph (c)(1) of this section applies, the results from any analysis taken by the system for maximum TTHM potential are equal to or greater than 0.10 mg/l, and such results are confirmed by at least one check sample taken promptly after such results are received, the system shall immediately begin monitoring in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section and such monitoring shall continue for at least one year before the frequency may be reduced again. In the event of any significant change to the system's raw water or treatment program, the system shall immediately analyze an additional sample for maximum TTHM potential taken at a point in the distribution system reflecting maximum residence time of the water in the system for the purpose of determining whether the system must comply with the monitoring requirements of paragraph (b) of this section. At the option of the State, monitoring frequencies may and should be increased above the minimum in those cases where this is necessary to detect variation of TTHM levels within the distribution system.

(d) Compliance with Sec. 141.12(c) shall be determined based on a running annual average of quarterly samples collected by the system as prescribed in paragraph (b)(1) or (2) of this section. If the average of samples covering any 12 month period exceeds the Maximum Contaminant Level, the supplier of

water shall report to the State pursuant to Sec. 141.31 and notify the public pursuant to Sec. 141.32. Monitoring after public notification shall be at a frequency designated by the State and shall continue until a monitoring schedule as a condition to a variance, exemption or enforcement action shall become effective.

(e) Sampling and analyses made pursuant to this section shall be conducted by one of the total trihalomethane methods as directed in Sec. 141.24(e), and the Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods, EPA-600/R-94-173, October 1994, which is available from NTIS, PB-104766. Samples for TTHM shall be dechlorinated upon collection to prevent further production of trihalomethanes, according to the procedures described in the methods, except acidification is not required if only THMs or TTHMs are to be determined. Samples for maximum TTHM potential should not be dechlorinated or acidified, and should be held for seven days at 25 deg.C (or above) prior to analysis.

(f) Before a community water system makes any significant modifications to its existing treatment process for the purposes of achieving compliance with Sec. 141.12(c), such system must submit and obtain State approval of a detailed plan setting forth its proposed

modification and those safeguards that it will implement to ensure that the bacteriological quality of the drinking water served by such system will not be adversely affected by such modification. Each system shall comply with the provisions set forth in the State-approved plan. At a minimum, a State approved plan shall require the system modifying its disinfection practice to:

(1) Evaluate the water system for sanitary defects and evaluate the source water for biological quality;

(2) Evaluate its existing treatment practices and consider improvements that will minimize disinfectant demand and optimize finished water quality throughout the distribution system;

(3) Provide baseline water quality survey data of the distribution system. Such data should include the results from monitoring for coliform and fecal coliform bacteria, fecal streptococci, standard plate counts at 35 deg. C and 20 deg. C, phosphate, ammonia nitrogen and total organic carbon. Virus studies should be required where source waters are heavily contaminated with sewage effluent;

(4) Conduct additional monitoring to assure continued maintenance of optimal biological quality in finished water, for example, when chloramines are introduced as disinfectants or when pre-chlorination is being discontinued. Additional monitoring should also be required by the State for chlorate, chlorite and chlorine dioxide when chlorine dioxide is used. Standard plate count analyses should also be required by the State as appropriate before and after any modifications;

(5) Consider inclusion in the plan of provisions to maintain an active disinfectant residual throughout the distribution system at all times during and after the modification.

(g) The water sample for determination of maximum total trihalomethane potential is taken from a point in the distribution system that reflects maximum residence time. Procedures for sample collection and handling are given in the methods. No reducing agent is added to ``quench'' the chemical reaction producing THMs at the time of sample collection. The intent is to permit the level of THM precursors to be depleted and the concentration of THMs to be maximized for the supply being tested. Four experimental parameters affecting maximum THM production are pH, temperature, reaction time and the presence of a disinfectant residual. These parameters are dealt with as follows: Measure the disinfectant residual at the selected sampling point. Proceed only if a measurable disinfectant residual is present. Collect triplicate 40 ml water samples at the pH prevailing at the time of sampling, and prepare a method blank according to the methods. Seal and store these samples together for seven days at 25 deg.C or above. After this time period, open one of the sample containers and check for disinfectant residual. Absence of a disinfectant residual invalidates the sample for further analysis. Once a disinfectant residual has been demonstrated, open another of the sealed

samples and determine total THM concentration using an approved analytical method.

[44 FR 68641, Nov. 29, 1979, as amended at 45 FR 15545, 15547, Mar. 11, 1980; 58 FR 41345, Aug. 3, 1993; 59 FR 62469, Dec. 5, 1994; 60 FR 34085, June 29, 1995]

Subpart D--Reporting, Public Notification and Recordkeeping

Sec. 141.31 Reporting requirements.

(a) Except where a shorter period is specified in this part, the supplier of water shall report to the State the results of any test measurement or analysis required by this part within (1) The first ten days following the month in which the result is received, or (2) the first ten days following the end of the required monitoring period as stipulated by the State, whichever of these is shortest.

(b) Except where a different reporting period is specified in this part, the supplier of water must report to the State within 48 hours the failure to comply with any national primary drinking water regulation (including failure to comply with monitoring requirements) set forth in this part.

(c) The supplier of water is not required to report analytical results to the State in cases where a State laboratory performs the analysis and reports the results to the State office which would normally receive such notification from the supplier.

(d) The water supply system, within ten days of completion of each public notification required pursuant to Sec. 141.32, shall submit to the State a representative copy of each type of notice distributed, published, posted, and/or made available to the persons served by the system and/or to the media.

(e) The water supply system shall submit to the State within the time stated in the request copies of any records required to be maintained under Sec. 141.33 hereof or copies of any documents then in existence which the State or the Administrator is entitled to inspect pursuant to the authority of section 1445 of the Safe Drinking Water Act or the equivalent provisions of State law.

[40 FR 59570, Dec. 24, 1975, as amended at 45 FR 57345, Aug. 27, 1980]

Sec. 141.32 Public notification.

The requirements in this section are effective April 28, 1989. The requirements of Sec. 141.36 apply until April 28, 1989.

(a) Maximum contaminant level (MCL), treatment technique, and variance and exemption schedule violations. The owner or operator of a public water system which fails to comply with an applicable MCL or treatment technique established by this part or which fails to comply with the requirements of any schedule prescribed pursuant to a variance or exemption, shall notify persons served by the system as follows:

(1) Except as provided in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, the owner or operator of a public water system must give notice:

(i) By publication in a daily newspaper of general circulation in the area served by the system as soon as possible, but in no case later than 14 days after the violation or failure. If the area served by a public water system is not served by a daily newspaper of general circulation, notice shall instead be given by publication in a weekly newspaper of general circulation serving the area; and

(ii) By mail delivery (by direct mail or with the water bill), or by hand delivery, not later than 45 days after the violation or failure. The State may waive mail or hand delivery if it determines that the owner or operator of the public water system in violation has corrected the violation or failure within the 45-day period. The State must make

the waiver in writing and within the 45-day period; and

(iii) For violations of the MCLs of contaminants that may pose an acute risk to human health, by furnishing a copy of the notice to the radio and television stations serving the area served by the public water system as soon as possible but in no case later than 72 hours after the violation. The following violations are acute violations:

(A) Any violations specified by the State as posing an acute risk to human health.

[[Page 330]]

(B) Violation of the MCL for nitrate or nitrite as defined in Sec. 141.62 and determined according to Sec. 141.23(i)(3).

(C) Violation of the MCL for total coliforms, when fecal coliforms or E. coli are present in the water distribution system, as specified in Sec. 141.63(b).

(D) Occurrence of a waterborne disease outbreak, as defined in Sec. 141.2, in an unfiltered system subject to the requirements of subpart H of this part, after December 30, 1991 (see Sec. 141.71(b)(4)).

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, following the initial notice given under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, the owner or operator of the public water system must give notice at least once every three months by mail delivery (by direct mail or with the water bill) or by hand delivery, for as long as the violation or failure exists.

(3)(i) In lieu of the requirements of paragraphs (a) (1) and (2) of this section, the owner or operator of a community water system in an area that is not served by a daily or weekly newspaper of general circulation must give notice by hand delivery or by continuous posting in conspicuous places within the area served by the system. Notice by hand delivery or posting must begin as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after the violation or failure for acute violations (as defined in paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of this section), or 14 days after the violation or failure (for any other violation). Posting must continue for as long as the violation or failure exists. Notice by hand delivery must be repeated at least every three months for as long as the violation or failure exists.

(ii) In lieu of the requirements of paragraphs (a) (1) and (2) of this section, the owner or operator of a non-community water system may give notice by hand delivery or by continuous posting in conspicuous places within the area served by the system. Notice by hand delivery or posting must begin as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after the violation or failure for acute violations (as defined in paragraph (a)(1)((iii) of this section), or 14 days after the violation or failure (for any other violation). Posting must continue for as long as the violation or failure exists. Notice by hand delivery must be repeated at least every three months for as long as the violation or failure exists.

(b) Other violations, variances, exemptions. The owner or operator of a public water system which fails to perform monitoring required by section 1445(a) of the Act (including monitoring required by the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWRs) of this part), fails to comply with a testing procedure established by this part, is subject to a variance granted under section 1415(a)(1)(A) or 1415(a)(2) of the Act, or is subject to an exemption under section 1416 of the Act, shall notify persons served by the system as follows:

(1) Except as provided in paragraph (b)(3) or (b)(4) of this

section, the owner or operator of a public water system must give notice within three months of the violation or granting of a variance or exemption by publication in a daily newspaper of general circulation in the area served by the system. If the area served by a public water system is not served by a daily newspaper of general circulation, notice shall instead be given by publication in a weekly newspaper of general circulation serving the area.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (b)(3) or (b)(4) of this section, following the initial notice given under paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the owner or operator of the public water system must give notice at least once every three months by mail delivery (by direct mail or with the water bill) or by hand delivery, for as long as the violation exists. Repeat notice of the existence of a variance or exemption must be given every three months for as long as the variance or exemption remains in effect.

(3) (i) In lieu of the requirements of paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this section, the owner or operator of a community water system in an area that is not served by a daily or weekly newspaper of general circulation must give notice, within three months of the violation or granting of the variance or exemption, by hand delivery or by continuous posting in conspicuous places with the area served by the system. Posting must continue for as long as

the violation exists or a variance or exemption remains in effect. Notice by hand delivery must be repeated at least every three months for as long as the violation exists or a variance or exemption remains in effect.

(ii) In lieu of the requirements of paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this section, the owner or operator of a non-community water system may give notice, within three months of the violation or the granting of the variance or exemption, by hand delivery or by continuous posting in conspicuous places within the area served by the system. Posting must continue for as long as the violation exists, or a variance or exemption remains in effect. Notice by hand delivery must be repeated at least every three months for as long as the violation exists or a variance or exemption remains in effect.

(4) In lieu of the requirements of paragraphs (b)(1), (b)(2), and (b)(3) of this section, the owner or operator of a public water system, at the discretion of the State, may provide less frequent notice for minor monitoring violations as defined by the State, if EPA has approved the State's application for a program revision under Sec. 142.16. Notice of such violations must be given no less frequently than annually.

(c) Notice to new billing units. The owner or operator of a community water system must give a copy of the most recent public notice for any outstanding violation of any maximum contaminant level, or any treatment technique requirement, or any variance or exemption schedule to all new billing units or new hookups prior to or at the time service begins.

(d) General content of public notice. Each notice required by this section must provide a clear and readily understandable explanation of the violation, any potential adverse health effects, the population at risk, the steps that the public water system is taking to correct such violation, the necessity for seeking alternative water supplies, if any, and any preventive measures the consumer should take until the violation

is corrected. Each notice shall be conspicuous and shall not contain unduly technical language, unduly small print, or similar problems that frustrate the purpose of the notice. Each notice shall include the telephone number of the owner, operator, or designee of the public water system as a source of additional information concerning the notice. Where appropriate, the notice shall be multi-lingual.

(e) Mandatory health effects language. When providing the information on potential adverse health effects required by paragraph (d) of this section in notices of violations of maximum contaminant levels or treatment technique requirements, or notices of the granting or the continued existence of exemptions or variances, or notices of failure to comply with a variance or exemption schedule, the owner or operator of a public water system shall include the language specified below for each contaminant. (If language for a particular contaminant is not specified below at the time notice is required, this paragraph does not apply.)

(1) Trichloroethylene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that trichloroethylene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This chemical is a common metal cleaning and dry cleaning fluid. It generally gets into drinking water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed at lower levels over long periods of time. EPA has set forth the enforceable drinking water standard for trichloroethylene at 0.005 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe.

(2) Carbon tetrachloride. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that carbon tetrachloride is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This chemical was once a popular household cleaning fluid. It generally gets into

drinking water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed at lower levels over long periods of of time. EPA has set the enforceable drinking water standard for carbon tetrachloride at 0.005 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe.

(3) 1,2-Dichloroethane. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that 1,2-dichloroethane is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This chemical is used as a cleaning fluid for fats, oils, waxes, and resins. It generally gets into drinking water from improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as

rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed at lower levels over long periods of time. EPA has set the enforceable drinking water standard for 1,2-dichloroethane at 0.005 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe.

(4) Vinyl chloride. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that vinyl chloride is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This chemical is used in industry and is found in drinking water as a result of the breakdown of related solvents. The solvents are used as cleaners and degreasers of metals and generally get into drinking water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been associated with significantly increased risks of cancer among certain industrial workers who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical during their working careers. This chemical has also been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause increased risk of cancer among exposed industrial workers and in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed at lower levels over long periods of time. EPA has set the enforceable drinking water standard for vinyl chloride at 0.002 part per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in humans and laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe.

(5) Benzene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that benzene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This chemical is used as a solvent and degreaser of metals. It is also a major component of gasoline. Drinking water contamination generally results from leaking undergound gasoline and petroleum tanks or improper waste disposal. This chemical has been associated with significantly increased risks of leukemia among certain industrial workers who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical during their working careers. This chemical has also been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause increased risk of cancer among exposed industrial workers and in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed at lower levels over long periods of time. EPA has set the enforceable drinking water standard for benzene at 0.005 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in humans and laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe.

[[Page 333]]

(6) 1,1-Dichloroethylene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that 1,1-dichloroethylene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This chemical is used in industry and is found in drinking water as a result of the breakdown of related solvents. The solvents are used as cleaners

and degreasers of metals and generally get into drinking water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause liver and kidney damage in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals which cause adverse effects in laboratory animals also may cause adverse health effects in humans who are exposed at lower levels over long periods of time. EPA has set the enforceable drinking water standard for 1,1-dichloroethylene at 0.007 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of these adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe.

(7) Para-dichlorobenzene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that para-dichlorobenzene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This chemical is a component of deodorizers, moth balls, and pesticides. It generally gets into drinking water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause liver and kidney damage in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed to high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals which cause adverse effects in laboratory animals also may cause adverse health effects in humans who are exposed at lower levels over long periods of time. EPA has set the enforceable drinking water standard for para-dichlorobenzene at 0.075 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of these adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe.

(8) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that the 1,1,1-trichloroethane is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This chemical is used as a cleaner and degreaser of metals. It generally gets into drinking water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver, nervous system, and circulatory system of laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Some industrial workers who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical during their working careers also suffered damage to the liver, nervous system, and circulatory system. Chemicals which cause adverse effects among exposed industrial workers and in laboratory animals also may cause adverse health effects in humans who are exposed at lower levels over long periods of time. EPA has set the enforceable drinking water standard for 1,1,1-trichloroethane at 0.2 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects which have been observed in humans and laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe.

(9) Fluoride.

[Note: EPA is not specifying language that must be included in a public notice for a violation of the fluoride maximum contaminant level in this section because Sec. 143.5 of this part includes the necessary information. See paragraph (f) of this section.]

(10) Microbiological contaminants (for use when there is a violation of the treatment technique requirements for filtration and disinfection in Subpart H of this part). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that the

presence of microbiological contaminants are a health concern at certain levels of exposure. If water is inadequately treated, microbiological contaminants in that water may cause disease. Disease symptoms may include diarrhea, cramps, nausea, and possibly jaundice, and any associated headaches and fatigue. These

[[Page 334]]

symptoms, however, are not just associated with disease-causing organisms in drinking water, but also may be caused by a number of factors other than your drinking water. EPA has set enforceable requirements for treating drinking water to reduce the risk of these adverse health effects. Treatment such as filtering and disinfecting the water removes or destroys microbiological contaminants. Drinking water which is treated to meet EPA requirements is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe.

(11) Total coliforms (To be used when there is a violation of Sec. 141.63(a), and not a violation of Sec. 141.63(b)). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that the presence of total coliforms is a possible health concern. Total coliforms are common in the environment and are generally not harmful themselves. The presence of these bacteria in drinking water, however, generally is a result of a problem with water treatment or the pipes which distribute the water, and indicates that the water may be contaminated with organisms that can cause disease. Disease symptoms may include diarrhea, cramps, nausea, and possibly jaundice, and any associated headaches and fatigue. These symptoms, however, are not just associated with disease-causing organisms in drinking water, but also may be caused by a number of factors other than your drinking water. EPA has set an enforceable drinking water standard for total coliforms to reduce the risk of these adverse health effects. Under this standard, no more than 5.0 percent of the samples collected during a month can contain these bacteria, except that systems collecting fewer than 40 samples/month that have one total coliform-positive sample per month are not violating the standard. Drinking water which meets this standard is usually not associated with a health risk from disease-causing bacteria and should be considered safe.

(12) Fecal Coliforms/E. coli (To be used when there is a violation of Sec. 141.63(b) or both Sec. 141.63 (a) and (b)). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that the presence of fecal coliforms or E. coli is a serious health concern. Fecal coliforms and E. coli are generally not harmful themselves, but their presence in drinking water is serious because they usually are associated with sewage or animal wastes. The presence of these bacteria in drinking water is generally a result of a problem with water treatment or the pipes which distribute the water, and indicates that the water may be contaminated with organisms that can cause disease. Disease symptoms may include diarrhea, cramps, nausea, and possibly jaundice, and associated headaches and fatigue. These symptoms, however, are not just associated with disease-causing organisms in drinking water, but also may be caused by a number of factors other than your drinking water. EPA has set an enforceable drinking water standard for fecal coliforms and E. coli to reduce the risk of these adverse health effects. Under this standard all drinking water samples must be free of these bacteria. Drinking water which meets

this standard is associated with little or none of this risk and should be considered safe. State and local health authorities recommend that consumers take the following precautions: [To be inserted by the public water system, according to instructions from State or local authorities].

(13) Lead. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that lead is a health concern at certain exposure levels. Materials that contain lead have frequently been used in the construction of water supply distribution systems, and plumbing systems in private homes and other buildings. The most commonly found materials include service lines, pipes, brass and bronze fixtures, and solders and fluxes. Lead in these materials can contaminate drinking water as a result of the corrosion that takes place when water comes into contact with those materials. Lead can cause a variety of adverse health effects in humans. At relatively low levels of exposure, these effects may include interference with red blood cell chemistry, delays in normal physical and mental development in babies and young children, slight

[[Page 335]]

deficits in the attention span, hearing, and learning abilities of children, and slight increases in the blood pressure of some adults. EPA's national primary drinking water regulation requires all public water systems to optimize corrosion control to minimize lead contamination resulting from the corrosion of plumbing materials. Public water systems serving 50,000 people or fewer that have lead concentrations below 15 parts per billion (ppb) in more than 90% of tap water samples (the EPA ``action level'') have optimized their corrosion control treatment. Any water system that exceeds the action level must also monitor their source water to determine whether treatment to remove lead in source water is needed. Any water system that continues to exceed the action level after installation of corrosion control and/or source water treatment must eventually replace all lead service lines contributing in excess of 15 (ppb) of lead to drinking water. Any water system that exceeds the action level must also undertake a public education program to inform consumers of ways they can reduce their exposure to potentially high levels of lead in drinking water.

(14) Copper. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that copper is a health concern at certain exposure levels. Copper, a reddish-brown metal, is often used to plumb residential and commercial structures that are connected to water distribution systems. Copper contaminating drinking water as a corrosion byproduct occurs as the result of the corrosion of copper pipes that remain in contact with water for a prolonged period of time. Copper is an essential nutrient, but at high doses it has been shown to cause stomach and intestinal distress, liver and kidney damage, and anemia. Persons with Wilson's disease may be at a higher risk of health effects due to copper than the general public. EPA's national primary drinking water regulation requires all public water systems to install optimal corrosion control to minimize copper contamination resulting from the corrosion of plumbing materials. Public water systems serving 50,000 people or fewer that have copper concentrations below 1.3 parts per million (ppm) in more than 90% of tap water samples (the EPA ``action level'') are not required to install or improve their treatment. Any water system that exceeds the action level must also

monitor their source water to determine whether treatment to remove copper in source water is needed.

(15) Asbestos. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that asbestos fibers greater than 10 micrometers in length are a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Asbestos is a naturally occurring mineral. Most asbestos fibers in drinking water are less than 10 micrometers in length and occur in drinking water from natural sources and from corroded asbestos-cement pipes in the distribution system. The major uses of asbestos were in the production of cements, floor tiles, paper products, paint, and caulking; in transportation-related applications; and in the production of textiles and plastics. Asbestos was once a popular insulating and fire retardent material. Inhalation studies have shown that various forms of asbestos have produced lung tumors in laboratory animals. The available information on the risk of developing gastrointestinal tract cancer associated with the ingestion of asbestos from drinking water is limited. Ingestion of intermediate-range chrysotile asbestos fibers greater than 10 micrometers in length is associated with causing benign tumors in male rats. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for asbestos at 7 million long fibers per liter to reduce the potential risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to asbestos.

(16) Barium. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that barium is a health concern at certain levels of exposure.

[[Page 336]]

This inorganic chemical occurs naturally in some aquifers that serve as sources of ground water. It is also used in oil and gas drilling muds, automotive paints, bricks, tiles and jet fuels. It generally gets into drinking water after dissolving from naturally occurring minerals in the ground. This chemical may damage the heart and cardiovascular system, and is associated with high blood pressure in laboratory animals such as rats exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. In humans, EPA believes that effects from barium on blood pressure should not occur below 2 parts per million (ppm) in drinking water. EPA has set the drinking water standard for barium at 2 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to barium.

(17) Cadmium. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that cadmium is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Food and the smoking of tobacco are common sources of general exposure. This inorganic metal is a contaminant in the metals used to galvanize pipe. It generally gets into water by corrosion of galvanized pipes or by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the kidney in animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Some industrial workers who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical during working careers also suffered damage to the kidney. EPA has set the drinking water standard for

cadmium at 0.005 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to cadmium.

(18) Chromium. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that chromium is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This inorganic metal occurs naturally in the ground and is often used in the electroplating of metals. It generally gets into water from runoff from old mining operations and improper waste disposal from plating operations. This chemical has been shown to damage the kidney, nervous system, and the circulatory system of laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels. Some humans who were exposed to high levels of this chemical suffered liver and kidney damage, dermatitis and respiratory problems. EPA has set the drinking water standard for chromium at 0.1 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to chromium.

(19) Mercury. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that mercury is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This inorganic metal is used in electrical equipment and some water pumps. It usually gets into water as a result of improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the kidney of laboratory animals such as rats when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for mercury at 0.002 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to mercury.

(20) Nitrate. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that nitrate poses an acute health concern at certain levels of exposure. Nitrate is used in fertilizer and is found in sewage and wastes from human and/or farm animals and generally gets into drinking water from those activities. Excessive levels of nitrate in drinking water have caused serious illness and sometimes death in infants under six months of age. The serious illness in infants is caused because nitrate is converted to nitrite in the body. Nitrite interferes with the oxygen carrying capacity of the child's blood. This is an acute disease in that

[[Page 337]]

symptoms can develop rapidly in infants. In most cases, health deteriorates over a period of days. Symptoms include shortness of breath and blueness of the skin. Clearly, expert medical advice should be sought immediately if these symptoms occur. The purpose of this notice is to encourage parents and other responsible parties to provide infants with an alternate source of drinking water. Local and State health authorities are the best source for information concerning alternate sources of drinking water for infants. EPA has set the drinking water standard at 10 parts per million (ppm) for nitrate to protect against the risk of these adverse effects. EPA has also set a drinking water standard for nitrite at 1 ppm. To allow for the fact that the toxicity of nitrate and nitrite are additive, EPA has also established a standard for the sum of nitrate and nitrite at 10 ppm. Drinking water that meets

the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to nitrate.

(21) Nitrite. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that nitrite poses an acute health concern at certain levels of exposure. This inorganic chemical is used in fertilizers and is found in sewage and wastes from humans and/or farm animals and generally gets into drinking water as a result of those activities. While excessive levels of nitrite in drinking water have not been observed, other sources of nitrite have caused serious illness and sometimes death in infants under six months of age. The serious illness in infants is caused because nitrite interferes with the oxygen carrying capacity of the child's blood. This is an acute disease in that symptoms can develop rapidly. However, in most cases, health deteriorates over a period of days. Symptoms include shortness of breath and blueness of the skin. Clearly, expert medical advice should be sought immediately if these symptoms occur. The purpose of this notice is to encourage parents and other responsible parties to provide infants with an alternate source of drinking water. Local and State health authorities are the best source for information concerning alternate sources of drinking water for infants. EPA has set the drinking water standard at 1 part per million (ppm) for nitrite to protect against the risk of these adverse effects. EPA has also set a drinking water standard for nitrate (converted to nitrite in humans) at 10 ppm and for the sum of nitrate and nitrite at 10 ppm. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to nitrite.

(22) Selenium. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that selenium is a health concern at certain high levels of exposure. Selenium is also an essential nutrient at low levels of exposure. This inorganic chemical is found naturally in food and soils and is used in electronics, photocopy operations, the manufacture of glass, chemicals, drugs, and as a fungicide and a feed additive. In humans, exposure to high levels of selenium over a long period of time has resulted in a number of adverse health effects, including a loss of feeling and control in the arms and legs. EPA has set the drinking water standard for selenium at 0.05 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to selenium.

(23) Acrylamide. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that acrylamide is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Polymers made from acrylamide are sometimes used to treat water supplies to remove particulate contaminants. Acrylamide has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. Sufficiently large doses of acrylamide are known to cause neurological injury. EPA has set the drinking water standard for acrylamide using a treatment technique to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse

health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. This

treatment technique limits the amount of acrylamide in the polymer and the amount of the polymer which may be added to drinking water to remove particulates. Drinking water systems which comply with this treatment technique have little to no risk and are considered safe with respect to acrylamide.

(24) Alachlor. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that alachlor is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a widely used pesticide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, alachlor may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for alachlor at 0.002 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to alachlor.

(25) Aldicarb. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that aldicarb is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Aldicarb is a widely used pesticide. Under certain soil and climatic conditions (e.g., sandy soil and high rainfall), aldicarb may leach into ground water after normal agricultural applications to crops such as potatoes or peanuts or may enter drinking water supplies as a result of surface runoff. This chemical has been shown to damage the nervous system in laboratory animals such as rats and dogs exposed to high levels. EPA has set the drinking water standard for aldicarb at 0.003 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to aldicarb.

(26) Aldicarb sulfoxide. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that aldicarb sulfoxide is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Aldicarb is a widely used pesticide. Aldicarb sulfoxide in ground water is primarily a breakdown product of aldicarb. Under certain soil and climatic conditions (e.g., sandy soil and high rainfall), aldicarb sulfoxide may leach into ground water after normal agricultural applications to crops such as potatoes or peanuts or may enter drinking water supplies as a result of surface runoff. This chemical has been shown to damage the nervous system in laboratory animals such as rats and dogs exposed to high levels. EPA has set the drinking water standard for aldicarb sulfoxide at 0.004 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to aldicarb sulfoxide.

(27) Aldicarb sulfone. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that aldicarb sulfone is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Aldicarb is a widely used pesticide. Aldicarb sulfone is formed from the breakdown of aldicarb and is considered for registration as a pesticide under the name aldoxycarb. Under certain soil and climatic conditions (e.g., sandy soil and high rainfall), aldicarb sulfone may leach into ground water after normal agricultural applications to crops such as

potatoes or peanuts or may enter drinking water supplies as a result of surface runoff. This chemical has been shown to damage the nervous system in laboratory animals such as rats and dogs exposed to high levels. EPA has set the drinking water standard for aldicarb sulfone at 0.002 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this

[[Page 339]]

risk and is considered safe with respect to aldicarb sulfone.

(28) Atrazine. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that atrazine is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a herbicide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, atrazine may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to affect offspring of rats and the heart of dogs. EPA has set the drinking water standard for atrazine at 0.003 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to atrazine.

(29) Carbofuran. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that carbofuran is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a pesticide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, carbofuran may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to damage the nervous and reproductive systems of laboratory animals such as rats and mice exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Some humans who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical during their working careers also suffered damage to the nervous system. Effects on the nervous system are generally rapidly reversible. EPA has set the drinking water standard for carbofuran at 0.04 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to carbofuran.

(30) Chlordane. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA sets drinking water standards and has determined that chlordane is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a pesticide used to control termites. Chlordane is not very mobile in soils. It usually gets into drinking water after application near water supply intakes or wells. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for chlordane at 0.002 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to chlordane.

(31) Dibromochloropropane (DBCP). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that DBCP is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical was once a popular pesticide. When soil and climatic

conditions are favorable, dibromochloropropane may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for DBCP at 0.0002 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to DBCP.

(32) o-Dichlorobenzene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that o-dichlorobenzene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a solvent in the production of pesticides and dyes. It generally gets into water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver, kidney and the blood cells of laboratory animals

[[Page 340]]

such as rats and mice exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. Some industrial workers who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical during working careers also suffered damage to the liver, nervous system, and circulatory system. EPA has set the drinking water standard for o-dichlorobenzene at 0.6 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to o-dichlorobenzene.

(33) cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) establishes drinking water standards and has determined that cis-1,2-dichloroethylene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a solvent and intermediate in chemical production. It generally gets into water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver, nervous system, and circulatory system of laboratory animals such as rats and mice when exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Some humans who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical also suffered damage to the nervous system. EPA has set the drinking water standard for cis-1,2-dichloroethylene at 0.07 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to cis-1,2-dichloroethylene.

(34) trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) establishes drinking water standards and has determined that trans-1,2-dichloroethylene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a solvent and intermediate in chemical production. It generally gets into water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver, nervous system, and the circulatory system of laboratory animals such as rats and mice when exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Some humans who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical also suffered damage to the nervous system. EPA has set drinking water standard for trans-1,2-dichloroethylene at 0.1 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health

effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to trans-1,2-dichloroethylene.

(35) 1,2-Dichloropropane. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that 1,2-dichloropropane is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a solvent and pesticide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, 1,2-dichloropropane may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. It may also get into drinking water through improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for 1,2-dichloropropane at 0.005 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to 1,2-dichloropropane.

(36) 2,4-D. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that 2,4-D is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a herbicide and to control algae in reservoirs. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, 2,4-D may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver and kidney of laboratory animals such as rats exposed at high levels during their lifetimes. Some humans who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical also suffered damage to the nervous system.

[[Page 341]]

EPA has set the drinking water standard for 2,4-D at 0.07 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to 2,4-D.

(37) Epichlorohydrin. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that epichlorohydrin is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Polymers made from epichlorohydrin are sometimes used in the treatment of water supplies as a flocculent to remove particulates. Epichlorohydrin generally gets into drinking water by improper use of these polymers. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for epichlorohydrin using a treatment technique to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. This treatment technique limits the amount of epichlorohydrin in the polymer and the amount of the polymer which may be added to drinking water as a flocculent to remove particulates. Drinking water systems which comply with this treatment technique have little to no risk and are considered safe with respect to

epichlorohydrin.

(38) Ethylbenzene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined ethylbenzene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a major component of gasoline. It generally gets into water by improper waste disposal or leaking gasoline tanks. This chemical has been shown to damage the kidney, liver, and nervous system of laboratory animals such as rats exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for ethylbenzene at 0.7 part per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to ethylbenzene.

(39) Ethylene dibromide (EDB). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that EDB is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical was once a popular pesticide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, EDB may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for EDB at 0.00005 part per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to EDB.

(40) Heptachlor. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that heptachlor is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical was once a popular pesticide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, heptachlor may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standards for heptachlor at 0.0004 part per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets this standard is associated with little to none of this

[[Page 342]]

risk and is considered safe with respect to heptachlor.

(41) Heptachlor epoxide. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that heptachlor epoxide is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical was once a popular pesticide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, heptachlor expoxide may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standards for

heptachlor epoxide at 0.0002 part per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to heptachlor epoxide.

(42) Lindane. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that lindane is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a pesticide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, lindane may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver, kidney, nervous system, and immune system of laboratory animals such as rats, mice and dogs exposed at high levels during their lifetimes. Some humans who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical also suffered damage to the nervous system and circulatory system. EPA has established the drinking water standard for lindane at 0.0002 part per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to lindane.

(43) Methoxychlor. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that methoxychlor is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a pesticide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, methoxychlor may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver, kidney, nervous system, and reproductive system of laboratory animals such as rats exposed at high levels during their lifetimes. It has also been shown to produce growth retardation in rats. EPA has set the drinking water standard for methoxychlor at 0.04 part per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to methoxychlor.

(44) Monochlorobenzene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that monochlorobenzene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a solvent. It generally gets into water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver, kidney and nervous system of laboratory animals such as rats and mice exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for monochlorobenzene at 0.1 part per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to monochlorobenzene.

(45) Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are a health concern at certain levels of exposure. These organic chemicals were once widely used in electrical transformers and other industrial equipment. They generally get into drinking water by improper waste disposal or leaking electrical industrial equipment. This chemical has been

[[Page 343]]

shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when

the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for PCBs at 0.0005 part per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to PCBs.

(46) Pentachlorophenol. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that pentachlorophenol is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a wood preservative, herbicide, disinfectant, and defoliant. It generally gets into drinking water by runoff into surface water or leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to produce adverse reproductive effects and to damage the liver and kidneys of laboratory animals such as rats exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. Some humans who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical also suffered damage to the liver and kidneys. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed to high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for pentachlorophenol at 0.001 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to pentachlorophenol.

(47) Styrene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that styrene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is commonly used to make plastics and is sometimes a component of resins used for drinking water treatment. Styrene may get into drinking water from improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver and nervous system in laboratory animals when exposed at high levels during their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for styrene at 0.1 part per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to styrene.

(48) Tetrachloroethylene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that tetrachloroethylene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical has been a popular solvent, particularly for dry cleaning. It generally gets into drinking water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for tetrachloroethylene at 0.005 part per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to tetrachloroethylene.

(49) Toluene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency

(EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that toluene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a solvent and in the manufacture of gasoline for airplanes. It generally gets into water by improper waste disposal or leaking underground storage tanks. This chemical has been shown to damage the kidney, nervous system, and circulatory system of laboratory animals such as rats and mice exposed to high levels during their lifetimes.

[[Page 344]]

Some industrial workers who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical during working careers also suffered damage to the liver, kidney and nervous system. EPA has set the drinking water standard for toluene at 1 part per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to toluene.

(50) Toxaphene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that toxaphene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical was once a pesticide widely used on cotton, corn, soybeans, pineapples and other crops. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, toxaphene may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for toxaphene at 0.003 part per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to toxaphene.

(51) 2,4,5-TP. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that 2,4,5-TP is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a herbicide. When soil and climatic conditions are favorable, 2,4,5-TP may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or by leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver and kidney of laboratory animals such as rats and dogs exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. Some industrial workers who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical during working careers also suffered damage to the nervous system. EPA has set the drinking water standard for 2,4,5-TP at 0.05 part per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to 2,4,5-TP.

(52) Xylenes. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that xylene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used in the manufacture of gasoline for airplanes and as a solvent for pesticides, and as a cleaner and degreaser of metals. It usually gets into water by improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver, kidney and nervous system of laboratory animals such as rats and dogs exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. Some humans who were exposed to relatively large amounts of this chemical

also suffered damage to the nervous system. EPA has set the drinking water standard for xylene at 10 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and is considered safe with respect to xylene.

(53) Antimony. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that antimony is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This inorganic chemical occurs naturally in soils, ground water and surface waters and is often used in the flame retardant industry. It is also used in ceramics, glass, batteries, fireworks and explosives. It may get into drinking water through natural weathering of rock, industrial production, municipal waste disposal or manufacturing processes. This chemical has been shown to decrease longevity, and altered blood levels of cholesterol and glucose in laboratory animals such as rats exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for antimony at 0.006 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with

[[Page 345]]

little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to antimony.

(54) Beryllium. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that beryllium is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This inorganic metal occurs naturally in soils, ground water and surface waters and is often used in electrical equipment and electrical components. It generally gets into water from runoff from mining operations, discharge from processing plants and improper waste disposal. Beryllium compounds have been associated with damage to the bones and lungs and induction of cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. There is limited evidence to suggest that beryllium may pose a cancer risk via drinking water exposure. Therefore, EPA based the health assessment on noncancer effects with an extra uncertainty factor to account for possible carcinogenicity. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for beryllium at 0.004 part per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to beryllium.

(55) Cyanide. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that cyanide is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This inorganic chemical is used in electroplating, steel processing, plastics, synthetic fabrics and fertilizer products. It usually gets into water as a result of improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage the spleen, brain and liver of humans fatally poisoned with cyanide. EPA has set the drinking water standard for cyanide at 0.2 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to cyanide.

(56) [Reserved]

(57) Thallium. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that thallium is a health concern at certain high levels of exposure. This inorganic metal is found naturally in soils and is used in electronics, pharmaceuticals, and the manufacture of glass and alloys. This chemical has been shown to damage the kidney, liver, brain and intestines of laboratory animals when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for thallium at 0.002 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to thallium.

(58) Benzo[a]pyrene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that benzo[a]pyrene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Cigarette smoke and charbroiled meats are common source of general exposure. The major source of benzo[a]pyrene in drinking water is the leaching from coal tar lining and sealants in water storage tanks. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels. EPA has set the drinking water standard for benzo[a]pyrene at 0.0002 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of cancer. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to benzo[a]pyrene.

(59) Dalapon. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that dalapon is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a widely used herbicide. It may get into drinking water after application to control grasses in crops, drainage ditches and along railroads. This chemical has been shown to cause damage to the kidney

[[Page 346]]

and liver in laboratory animals when the animals are exposed to high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for dalapon at 0.2 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to dalapon.

(60) Dichloromethane. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that dichloromethane (methylene chloride) is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a widely used solvent. It is used in the manufacture of paint remover, as a metal degreaser and as an aerosol propellant. It generally gets into drinking water after improper discharge of waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for dichloromethane at 0.005 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to dichloromethane.

(61) Di (2-ethylhexyl)adipate. The United States Environmental

Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate is a widely used plasticizer in a variety of products, including synthetic rubber, food packaging materials and cosmetics. It may get into drinking water after improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to damage liver and testes in laboratory animals such as rats and mice exposed to high levels. EPA has set the drinking water standard for di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate at 0.4 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standards is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate.

(62) Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate is a widely used plasticizer, which is primarily used in the production of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) resins. It may get into drinking water after improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice exposed to high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate at 0.006 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate.

(63) Dinoseb. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that dinoseb is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. Dinoseb is a widely used pesticide and generally gets into drinking water after application on orchards, vineyards and other crops. This chemical has been shown to damage the thyroid and reproductive organs in laboratory animals such as rats exposed to high levels. EPA has set the drinking water standard for dinoseb at 0.007 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to dinoseb.

(64) Diquat. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that diquat is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a herbicide used to control terrestrial and aquatic weeds. It may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water. This chemical

[[Page 347]]

has been shown to damage the liver, kidney and gastrointestinal tract and causes cataract formation in laboratory animals such as dogs and rats exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for diquat at 0.02 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to diquat.

(65) Endothall. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has determined that endothall is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a herbicide used to control terrestrial and aquatic weeds. It may get into water by runoff into

surface water. This chemical has been shown to damage the liver, kidney, gastrointestinal tract and reproductive system of laboratory animals such as rats and mice exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for endothall at 0.1 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to endothall.

(66) Endrin. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that endrin is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a pesticide no longer registered for use in the United States. However, this chemical is persistent in treated soils and accumulates in sediments and aquatic and terrestrial biota. This chemical has been shown to cause damage to the liver, kidney and heart in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for endrin at 0.002 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water that meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to endrin.

(67) Glyphosate. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that glyphosate is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a herbicide used to control grasses and weeds. It may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water. This chemical has been shown to cause damage to the liver and kidneys in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for glyphosate at 0.7 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to glyphosate.

(68) Hexachlorobenzene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that hexachlorobenzene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is produced as an impurity in the manufacture of certain solvents and pesticides. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for hexachlorobenzene at 0.001 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of cancer and other adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to hexachlorobenzene.

(69) Hexachlorocyclopentadiene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) establishes drinking water standards and has determined that hexachlorocyclopentadiene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as an intermediate in the manufacture of pesticides and flame retardants. It may get into water by discharge from production facilities. This chemical

has been shown to damage the kidney and the stomach of laboratory animals when exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for hexachlorocyclopentadiene at 0.05 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to hexachlorocyclopentadiene.

(70) Oxamyl. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) establishes drinking water standards and has determined that oxamyl is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a pesticide for the control of insects and other pests. It may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or leaching into ground water. This chemical has been shown to damage the kidneys of laboratory animals such as rats when exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for oxamyl at 0.2 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to oxamyl.

(71) Picloram. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that picloram is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a pesticide for broadleaf weed control. It may get into drinking water by runoff into surface water or leaching into ground water as a result of pesticide application and improper waste disposal. This chemical has been shown to cause damage to the kidneys and liver in laboratory animals such as rats when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for picloram at 0.5 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to picloram.

(72) Simazine. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that simazine is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is a herbicide used to control annual grasses and broadleaf weeds. It may leach into ground water or runs off into surface water after application. This chemical may cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice exposed at high levels during their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for simazine at 0.004 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to simazine.

(73) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is used as a dye carrier and as a precursor in herbicide manufacture. It generally gets into drinking water by discharges from industrial activities. This chemical has been shown to cause damage to several organs, including the adrenal glands. EPA has set the drinking water standard for 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene at 0.07 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these

adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene.

(74) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined 1,1,2-trichloroethane is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is an intermediate in the production of 1,1-dichloroethylene. It generally gets into water by industrial discharge of wastes. This chemical has been shown to damage the kidney and liver of laboratory animals such as rats

[[Page 349]]

exposed to high levels during their lifetimes. EPA has set the drinking water standard for 1,1,2-trichloroethane at 0.005 parts per million (ppm) to protect against the risk of these adverse health effects. Drinking water which meets the EPA standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

(75) 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets drinking water standards and has determined that dioxin is a health concern at certain levels of exposure. This organic chemical is an impurity in the production of some pesticides. It may get into drinking water by industrial discharge of wastes. This chemical has been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals such as rats and mice when the animals are exposed at high levels over their lifetimes. Chemicals that cause cancer in laboratory animals also may increase the risk of cancer in humans who are exposed over long periods of time. EPA has set the drinking water standard for dioxin at 0.00000003 parts per million (ppm) to reduce the risk of cancer or other adverse health effects which have been observed in laboratory animals. Drinking water which meets this standard is associated with little to none of this risk and should be considered safe with respect to dioxin.

(f) Public notices for fluoride. Notice of violations of the maximum contaminant level for fluoride, notices of variances and exemptions from the maximum contaminant level for fluoride, and notices of failure to comply with variance and exemption schedules for the maximum contaminant level for fluoride shall consist of the public notice prescribed in Sec. 143.5(b), plus a description of any steps which the system is taking to come into compliance.

(g) Public notification by the State. The State may give notice to the public required by this section on behalf of the owner or operator of the public water system if the State complies with the requirements of this section. However, the owner or operator of the public water system remains legally responsible for ensuring that the requirements of this section are met.

[52 FR 41546, Oct. 28, 1987, as amended at 54 FR 15188, Apr. 17, 1989; 54 FR 27527, 27566, June 29, 1989; 55 FR 25064, June 19, 1990; 56 FR 3587, Jan. 30, 1991; 56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 56 FR 30279, July 1, 1991; 57 FR 31843, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 34323, July 1, 1994; 60 FR 33932, June 29, 1995]

Sec. 141.33 Record maintenance.

Any owner or operator of a public water system subject to the

provisions of this part shall retain on its premises or at a convenient location near its premises the following records:

(a) Records of bacteriological analyses made pursuant to this part shall be kept for not less than 5 years. Records of chemical analyses made pursuant to this part shall be kept for not less than 10 years. Actual laboratory reports may be kept, or data may be transferred to tabular summaries, provided that the following information is included:

(1) The date, place, and time of sampling, and the name of the person who collected the sample;

(2) Identification of the sample as to whether it was a routine distribution system sample, check sample, raw or process water sample or other special purpose sample;

(3) Date of analysis;

(4) Laboratory and person responsible for performing analysis;

(5) The analytical technique/method used; and

(6) The results of the analysis.

(b) Records of action taken by the system to correct violations of primary drinking water regulations shall be kept for a period not less than 3 years after the last action taken with respect to the particular violation involved.

(c) Copies of any written reports, summaries or communications relating to sanitary surveys of the system conducted by the system itself, by a private consultant, or by any local, State or Federal agency, shall be kept for a period not less than 10 years after completion of the sanitary survey involved.

(d) Records concerning a variance or exemption granted to the system shall be kept for a period ending not less than 5 years following the expiration of such variance or exemption.

[[Page 350]]

Sec. 141.34 [Reserved]

Sec. 141.35 Reporting and public notification for certain unregulated contaminants.

(a) The requirements of this section only apply to the contaminants listed in Sec. 141.40.

(b) The owner or operator of a community water system or non-transient, non-community water system who is required to monitor under Sec. 141.40 shall send a copy of the results of such monitoring within 30 days of receipt and any public notice under paragraph (d) of this section to the State.

(c) The State, or the community water system or non-transient, non-community water system if the State has not adopted regulations equivalent to Sec. 141.40, shall furnish the following information to the Administrator for each sample analyzed under Sec. 141.40:

(1) Results of all analytical methods, including negatives;

(2) Name and address of the system that supplied the sample;

(3) Contaminant(s);

(4) Analytical method(s) used;

(5) Date of sample;

(6) Date of analysis.

(d) The owner or operator shall notify persons served by the system of the availability of the results of sampling conducted under Sec. 141.40 by including a notice in the first set of water bills issued

by the system after the receipt of the results or written notice within three months. The notice shall identify a person and supply the telephone number to contact for information on the monitoring results. For surface water systems, public notification is required only after the first quarter's monitoring and must include a statement that additional monitoring will be conducted for three more quarters with the results available upon request.

[52 FR 25714, July 8, 1987; 53 FR 25110, July 1, 1988]

Subpart E--Special Regulations, Including Monitoring Regulations and Prohibition on Lead Use

Sec. 141.40 Special monitoring for inorganic and organic contaminants.

(a) All community and non-transient, non-community water systems shall monitor for the contaminants listed in paragraph (e) in this section by date specified in Table 1:

Table 1--Monitoring Schedule by System Size

Number of persons served	Monitoring to begin no later than--
Over 10,000	Jan. 1, 1988.
3,300 to 10,000	Jan. 1, 1989.
Less than 3,300	Jan. 1, 1991.

(b) Surface water systems shall sample at points in the distribution system representative of each water source or at entry points to the distribution system after any application of treatment. The minimum number of samples is one year of quarterly samples per water source.

(c) Ground water systems shall sample at points of entry to the distribution system representative of each well after any application of treatment. The minimum number of samples is one sample per entry point to the distribution system.

(d) The State may require confirmation samples for positive or negative results.

(e) Community water systems and non-transient, non-community water systems shall monitor for the following contaminants except as provided in paragraph (f) of this section:

(1) Chloroform
(2) Bromodichloromethane
(3) Chlorodibromomethane
(4) Bromoform
(5) Dibromomethane
(6) m-Dichlorobenzene
(7) [Reserved]
(8) 1,1-Dichloropropene
(9) 1,1-Dichloroethane

[[Page 351]]

(10) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane

(11) 1,3-Dichloropropane
(12) Chloromethane
(13) Bromomethane
(14) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane
(15) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane
(16) Chloroethane
(17) 2,2-Dichloropropane
(18) o-Chlorotoluene
(19) p-Chlorotoluene
(20) Bromobenzene
(21) 1,3-Dichloropropene

(f) [Reserved]

(g) Analysis for the unregulated contaminants listed under paragraphs (e) and (j) of this section shall be conducted using EPA Methods 502.2 or 524.2, or their equivalent as determined by EPA, except analysis for bromodichloromethane, bromoform, chlorodibromomethane and chloroform under paragraph (e) of this section also may be conducted by EPA Method 551, and analysis for 1,2,3-trichloropropane also may be conducted by EPA Method 504.1. A source for the EPA methods is referenced at Sec. 141.24(e).

(h) Analysis under this section shall only be conducted by laboratories certified under Sec. 141.24(f)(17).

(i) Public water systems may use monitoring data collected any time after January 1, 1983 to meet the requirements for unregulated monitoring, provided that the monitoring program was consistent with the requirements of this section. In addition, the results of EPA's Ground Water Supply Survey may be used in a similar manner for systems supplied by a single well.

(j) Monitoring for the following compounds is required at the discretion of the State:

(1) 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene;
(2) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene;
(3) n-Propylbenzene;
(4) n-Butylbenzene;
(5) Naphthalene;
(6) Hexachlorobutadiene;
(7) 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene;
(8) p-Isopropyltoluene;
(9) Isopropylbenzene;
(10) Tert-butylbenzene;
(11) Sec-butylbenzene;
(12) Fluorotrichloromethane;
(13) Dichlorodifluoromethane;
(14) Bromochloromethane.

(k) Instead of performing the monitoring required by this section, a community water system or non-transient non-community water system serving fewer than 150 service connections may send a letter to the State stating that the system is available for sampling. This letter must be sent to the State no later than January 1, 1991. The system shall not send such samples to the State, unless requested to do so by the State.

(l) All community and non-transient, non-community water systems shall repeat the monitoring required in Sec. 141.40 no less frequently than every five years from the dates specified in Sec. 141.40(a).

(m) States or public water systems may composite up to five samples when monitoring for substances in Sec. 141.40 (e) and (j) of this

section.

(n) Monitoring of the contaminants listed in Sec. 141.40(n) (11) and (12) shall be conducted as follows:

(1) Each community and non-transient, non-community water system shall take four consecutive quarterly samples at each sampling point for each contaminant listed in paragraph (n)(11) of this section and report the results to the State. Monitoring must be completed by December 31, 1995.

(2) Each community and non-transient non-community water system shall take one sample at each sampling point for each contaminant listed in paragraph (n)(12) of this section and report the results to the States. Monitoring must be completed by December 31, 1995.

(3) Each community and non-transient non-community water system may apply to the State for a waiver from the requirements of paragraph (n) (1) and (2) of this section.

(4) The State may grant a waiver for the requirement of paragraph (n)(1) of this section based on the criteria specified in Sec. 141.24(h)(6). The State may grant a waiver from the requirement of paragraph (n)(2) of this section if previous analytical results indicate contamination would not occur, provided this data was collected after January 1, 1990.

(5) Groundwater systems shall take a minimum of one sample at every entry point to the distribution system which is representative of each well after treatment (hereafter called a sampling

[[Page 352]]

point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.

(6) Surface water systems shall take a minimum of one sample at points in the distribution system that are representative of each source or at each entry point to the distribution system after treatment (hereafter called a sampling point). Each sample must be taken at the same sampling point unless conditions make another sampling point more representative of each source or treatment plant.

Note: For purposes of this paragraph, surface water systems include systems with a combination of surface and ground sources.

(7) If the system draws water from more than one source and the sources are combined before distribution, the system must sample at an entry point to the distribution system during periods of normal operating conditions (i.e., when water representative of all sources is being used).

(8) The State may require a confirmation sample for positive or negative results.

(9) The State may reduce the total number of samples a system must analyze by allowing the use of compositing. Composite samples from a maximum of five sampling points are allowed. Compositing of samples must be done in the laboratory and the composite sample must be analyzed within 14 days of collection. If the population served by the system is >3,300 persons, then compositing may only be permitted by the State at sampling points within a single system. In systems serving <ls-thn-eq>3,300 persons, the State may permit compositing among different systems provided the 5-sample limit is maintained.

(10) Instead of performing the monitoring required by this section, a community water system or non-transient non-community water system serving fewer than 150 service connections may send a letter to the State stating that the system is available for sampling. This letter must be sent to the State by January 1, 1994. The system shall not send such samples to the State, unless requested to do so by the State.

(11) Systems shall monitor for the unregulated organic contaminants listed below, using the method(s) identified below and using the analytical test procedures contained in Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods, EPA-600/R-94-173, October 1994, which is available at NTIS, PB95-104766. Method 6610 shall be followed in accordance with the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 18th Edition Supplement, 1994, American Public Health Association. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies may be obtained from the American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copies may be inspected at EPA's Drinking Water Docket, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC. A source for EPA methods 505, 507, 508, 508.1, 515.2, 525.2 and 531.1 is referenced at Sec. 141.24(e).

Contaminants	Method
aldicarb	531.1, 6610.
aldicarb sulfone	531.1, 6610.
aldicarb sulfoxide	531.1, 6610.
aldrin	505, 508, 525.2, 508.1.
butachlor	507, 525.2.
carbaryl	531.1, 6610.
dicamba	515.2, 555, 515.1.
dieldrin	505, 508, 525.2, 508.1.
3-hydroxycarbofuran	531.1, 6610.
methomyl	531.1, 6610.
metolachlor	507, 525.2, 508.1.
metribuzin	507, 525.2, 508.1.
propachlor	508, 525.2, 508.1.

(12) Systems shall monitor for sulfate, an unregulated inorganic contaminant, by using the methods listed at Sec. 143.4(b).

[52 FR 25715, July 8, 1987; 53 FR 25110, July 1, 1988, as amended at 56 FR 3592, Jan. 30, 1991; 57 FR 31845, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 34323, July 1, 1994; 59 FR 62469, Dec. 5, 1994]

Sec. 141.41 Special monitoring for sodium.

(a) Suppliers of water for community public water systems shall collect and analyze one sample per plant at the entry point of the distribution system

[[Page 353]]

for the determination of sodium concentration levels; samples must be

collected and analyzed annually for systems utilizing surface water sources in whole or in part, and at least every three years for systems utilizing solely ground water sources. The minimum number of samples required to be taken by the system shall be based on the number of treatment plants used by the system, except that multiple wells drawing raw water from a single aquifer may, with the State approval, be considered one treatment plant for determining the minimum number of samples. The supplier of water may be required by the State to collect and analyze water samples for sodium more frequently in locations where the sodium content is variable.

(b) The supplier of water shall report to EPA and/or the State the results of the analyses for sodium within the first 10 days of the month following the month in which the sample results were received or within the first 10 days following the end of the required monitoring period as stipulated by the State, whichever of these is first. If more than annual sampling is required the supplier shall report the average sodium concentration within 10 days of the month following the month in which the analytical results of the last sample used for the annual average was received. The supplier of water shall not be required to report the results to EPA where the State has adopted this regulation and results are reported to the State. The supplier shall report the results to EPA where the State has not adopted this regulation.

(c) The supplier of water shall notify appropriate local and State public health officials of the sodium levels by written notice by direct mail within three months. A copy of each notice required to be provided by this paragraph shall be sent to EPA and/or the State within 10 days of its issuance. The supplier of water is not required to notify appropriate local and State public health officials of the sodium levels where the State provides such notices in lieu of the supplier.

(d) Analyses for sodium shall be conducted as directed in Sec. 141.23(k)(1).

[45 FR 57345, Aug. 27, 1980, as amended at 59 FR 62470, Dec. 5, 1994]

Sec. 141.42 Special monitoring for corrosivity characteristics.

(a)--(c) [Reserved]

(d) Community water supply systems shall identify whether the following construction materials are present in their distribution system and report to the State:

Lead from piping, solder, caulking, interior lining of distribution mains, alloys and home plumbing.
Copper from piping and alloys, service lines, and home plumbing.
Galvanized piping, service lines, and home plumbing.
Ferrous piping materials such as cast iron and steel.
Asbestos cement pipe.

In addition, States may require identification and reporting of other materials of construction present in distribution systems that may contribute contaminants to the drinking water, such as:

Vinyl lined asbestos cement pipe.
Coal tar lined pipes and tanks.

[45 FR 57346, Aug. 27, 1980; 47 FR 10999, Mar. 12, 1982, as amended at

59 FR 62470, Dec. 5, 1994]

Sec. 141.43 Prohibition on use of lead pipes, solder, and flux.

(a) In general--(1) Prohibition. Any pipe, solder, or flux, which is used after June 19, 1986, in the installation or repair of--

(i) Any public water system, or

(ii) Any plumbing in a residential or nonresidential facility providing water for human consumption which is connected to a public water system shall be lead free as defined by paragraph (d) of this section. This paragraph (a)(1) shall not apply to leaded joints necessary for the repair of cast iron pipes.

(2) Each public water system shall identify and provide notice to persons that may be affected by lead contamination of their drinking water where such contamination results from either or both of the following:

(i) The lead content in the construction materials of the public water distribution system,

(ii) Corrosivity of the water supply sufficient to cause leaching of lead.

Notice shall be provided notwithstanding the absence of a violation of any national drinking water standard.

[[Page 354]]

The manner and form of notice are specified in Sec. 141.34 of this part.

(b) State enforcement--(1) Enforcement of prohibition. The requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of this section shall be enforced in all States effective June 19, 1988. States shall enforce such requirements through State or local plumbing codes, or such other means of enforcement as the State may determine to be appropriate.

(2) Enforcement of public notice requirements. The requirements of paragraph (a)(2) of this section, shall apply in all States effective June 19, 1988.

(c) Penalties. If the Administrator determines that a State is not enforcing the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, as required pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, the Administrator may withhold up to 5 percent of Federal funds available to that State for State program grants under section 1443(a) of the Act.

(d) Definition of lead free. For purposes of this section, the term lead free

(1) When used with respect to solders and flux refers to solders and flux containing not more than 0.2 percent lead, and

(2) When used with respect to pipes and pipe fittings refers to pipes and pipe fittings containing not more than 8.0 percent lead.

[52 FR 20674, June 2, 1987]

Subpart F--Maximum Contaminant Level Goals

Sec. 141.50 Maximum contaminant level goals for organic contaminants.

(a) MCLGs are zero for the following contaminants:

(1) Benzene
(2) Vinyl chloride

(3) Carbon tetrachloride
(4) 1,2-dichloroethane
(5) Trichloroethylene
(6) Acrylamide
(7) Alachlor
(8) Chlordane
(9) Dibromochloropropane
(10) 1,2-Dichloropropane
(11) Epichlorohydrin
(12) Ethylene dibromide
(13) Heptachlor
(14) Heptachlor epoxide
(15) Pentachlorophenol
(16) Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)
(17) Tetrachloroethylene
(18) Toxaphene
(19) Benzo[a]pyrene
(20) Dichloromethane (methylene chloride)
(21) Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate
(22) Hexachlorobenzene
(23) 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)

(b) MCLGs for the following contaminants are as indicated:

Contaminant	MCLG in mg/l
(1) 1,1-Dichloroethylene	0.007
(2) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.20
(3) para-Dichlorobenzene	0.075
(4) Aldicarb	0.001
(5) Aldicarb sulfoxide	0.001
(6) Aldicarb sulfone	0.001
(7) Atrazine	0.003
(8) Carbofuran	0.04
(9) o-Dichlorobenzene	0.6
(10) cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.07
(11) trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.1
(12) 2,4-D	0.07
(13) Ethylbenzene	0.7
(14) Lindane	0.0002
(15) Methoxychlor	0.04
(16) Monochlorobenzene	0.1
(17) Styrene	0.1
(18) Toluene	1
(19) 2,4,5-TP	0.05
(20) Xylenes (total)	10
(21) Dalapon	0.2
(22) Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	.4
(23) Dinoseb	.007
(24) Diquat	.02
(25) Endothall	.1
(26) Endrin	.002
(27) Glyphosate	.7
(28) Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	.05
(29) Oxamyl (Vydate)	.2

(30) Picloram..... .5
(31) Simazine..... .004
(32) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene..... .07
(33) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane..... .003

[50 FR 46901, Nov. 13, 1985, as amended at 52 FR 20674, June 2, 1987; 52 FR 25716, July 8, 1987; 56 FR 3592, Jan. 30, 1991; 56 FR 30280, July 1, 1991; 57 FR 31846, July 17, 1992]

Sec. 141.51 Maximum contaminant level goals for inorganic contaminants.

(a) [Reserved]
(b) MCLGs for the following contaminants are as indicated:

Contaminant	MCLG (mg/l)
Antimony.....	0.006
Asbestos.....	7 Million fibers/liter (longer than 10 <greek-m>m).
Barium.....	2
Beryllium.....	.004
Cadmium.....	0.005
Chromium.....	0.1
Copper.....	1.3

[[Page 355]]

Cyanide (as free Cyanide).....	.2
Fluoride.....	4.0
Lead.....	zero
Mercury.....	0.002
Nitrate.....	10 (as Nitrogen).
Nitrite.....	1 (as Nitrogen).
Total Nitrate+Nitrite.....	10 (as Nitrogen).
Selenium.....	0.05
Thallium.....	.0005

[50 FR 47155, Nov. 14, 1985, as amended at 52 FR 20674, June 2, 1987; 56 FR 3593, Jan. 30, 1991; 56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 56 FR 30280, July 1, 1991; 57 FR 31846, July 17, 1992; 60 FR 33932, June 29, 1995]

Sec. 141.52 Maximum contaminant level goals for microbiological contaminants.

MCLGs for the following contaminants are as indicated:

Contaminant	MCLG

(1) Giardia lamblia..... zero
(2) Viruses..... zero
(3) Legionella..... zero
(4) Total coliforms (including fecal coliforms and Escherichia coli). zero.

[54 FR 27527, 27566, June 29, 1989; 55 FR 25064, June 19, 1990]

Subpart G--National Revised Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Maximum Contaminant Levels

Sec. 141.60 Effective dates.

(a) The effective dates for Sec. 141.61 are as follows:
(1) The effective date for paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(8) of Sec. 141.61 is January 9, 1989.
(2) The effective date for paragraphs (a)(9) through (a)(18) and (c)(1) through (c)(18) of Sec. 141.61 is July 30, 1992.
(3) The effective date for paragraphs (a)(19) through (a)(21), (c)(19) through (c)(25), and (c)(27) through (c)(33) of Sec. 141.61 is January 17, 1994. The effective date of Sec. 141.61(c)(26) is August 17, 1992.

(b) The effective dates for Sec. 141.62 are as follows:
(1) The effective date of paragraph (b)(1) of Sec. 141.62 is October 2, 1987.
(2) The effective date for paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(4) through (b)(10) of Sec. 141.62 is July 30, 1992.
(3) The effective date for paragraphs (b)(11) through (b)(15) of Sec. 141.62 is January 17, 1994.

[56 FR 3593, Jan. 30, 1991, as amended at 57 FR 31846, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 34324, July 1, 1994]

Sec. 141.61 Maximum contaminant levels for organic contaminants.

(a) The following maximum contaminant levels for organic contaminants apply to community and non-transient, non-community water systems.

CAS No.	Contaminant	MCL (mg/l)
(1) 75-01-4.....	Vinyl chloride.....	0.002
(2) 71-43-2.....	Benzene.....	0.005
(3) 56-23-5.....	Carbon tetrachloride.	0.005
(4) 107-06-2.....	1,2-Dichloroethane...	0.005
(5) 79-01-6.....	Trichloroethylene....	0.005
(6) 106-46-7.....	para-Dichlorobenzene.	0.075
(7) 75-35-4.....	1,1-Dichloroethylene.	0.007
(8) 71-55-6.....	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.2
(9) 156-59-2.....	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene.	0.07
(10) 78-87-5.....	1,2-Dichloropropane..	0.005

(11) 100-41-4..... Ethylbenzene..... 0.7
(12) 108-90-7..... Monochlorobenzene.... 0.1
(13) 95-50-1..... o-Dichlorobenzene.... 0.6
(14) 100-42-5..... Styrene..... 0.1
(15) 127-18-4..... Tetrachloroethylene.. 0.005
(16) 108-88-3..... Toluene..... 1
(17) 156-60-5..... trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene. 0.1
(18) 1330-20-7..... Xylenes (total)..... 10
(19) 75-09-2..... Dichloromethane..... 0.005
(20) 120-82-1..... 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene. .07
(21) 79-00-5..... 1,1,2-Trichloroethane. .005

[[Page 356]]

(b) The Administrator, pursuant to section 1412 of the Act, hereby identifies as indicated in the Table below granular activated carbon (GAC), packed tower aeration (PTA), or oxidation (OX) as the best technology treatment technique, or other means available for achieving compliance with the maximum contaminant level for organic contaminants identified in paragraphs (a) and (c) of this section:

BAT for Organic Contaminants Listed in Sec. 141.61 (a) and (c)

CAS No.	Contaminant	GAC	PTA	OX
15972-60-8	Alachlor	X		
116-06-3	Aldicarb	X		
1646-88-4	Aldicarb sulfone	X		
1646-87-3	Aldicarb sulfoxide	X		
1912-24-9	Atrazine	X		
71-43-2	Benzene	X	X	
50-32-8	Benzo[a]pyrene	X		
1563-66-2	Carbofuran	X		
56-23-5	Carbon tetrachloride	X	X	
57-74-9	Chlordane	X		
75-99-0	Dalapon	X		
94-75-7	2,4-D	X		
103-23-1	Di (2-ethylhexyl) adipate	X	X	
117-81-7	Di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	X		
96-12-8	Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	X	X	
95-50-1	o-Dichlorobenzene	X	X	
106-46-7	para-Dichlorobenzene	X	X	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	X	X	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	X	X	
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	X	X	
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	X	X	
75-09-2	Dichloromethane		X	
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	X	X	
88-85-7	Dinoseb	X		
85-00-7	Diquat	X		
145-73-3	Endothall	X		

72-20-8	Endrin	X		
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	X	X	
106-93-4	Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	X	X	
1071-83-6	Gylphosate			X
76-44-8	Heptachlor	X		
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	X		
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	X		
77-47-3	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	X	X	
58-89-9	Lindane	X		
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	X		
108-90-7	Monochlorobenzene	X	X	
23135-22-0	Oxamyl (Vydate)	X		
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	X		
1918-02-1	Picloram	X		
1336-36-3	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB)	X		
122-34-9	Simazine	X		
100-42-5	Styrene	X	X	
1746-01-6	2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	X		
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethylene	X	X	
108-88-3	Toluene	X	X	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	X		
93-72-1	2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	X		
120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	X	X	
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	X	X	
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	X	X	
79-01-6	Trichloroethylene	X	X	
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	X		
1330-20-7	Xylene	X	X	

(c) The following maximum contaminant levels for synthetic organic contaminants apply to community water systems and non-transient, non-community water systems:

[[Page 357]]

	CAS No.	Contaminant	MCL (mg/l)
(1)	15972-60-8	Alachlor	0.002
(2)	116-06-3	Aldicarb	0.003
(3)	1646-87-3	Aldicarb sulfoxide	0.004
(4)	1646-87-4	Aldicarb sulfone	0.002
(5)	1912-24-9	Atrazine	0.003
(6)	1563-66-2	Carbofuran	0.04
(7)	57-74-9	Chlordane	0.002
(8)	96-12-8	Dibromochloropropane.	0.0002
(9)	94-75-7	2,4-D	0.07
(10)	106-93-4	Ethylene dibromide	0.00005
(11)	76-44-8	Heptachlor	0.0004
(12)	1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	0.0002
(13)	58-89-9	Lindane	0.0002
(14)	72-43-5	Methoxychlor	0.04
(15)	1336-36-3	Polychlorinated	0.0005

biphenyls.
(16) 87-86-5..... Pentachlorophenol.... 0.001
(17) 8001-35-2..... Toxaphene..... 0.003
(18) 93-72-1..... 2,4,5-TP..... 0.05
(19) 50-32-8..... Benzo[a]pyrene..... 0.0002
(20) 75-99-0..... Dalapon..... 0.2
(21) 103-23-1..... Di(2-ethylhexyl) adipate. 0.4
(22) 117-81-7..... Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate. 0.006
(23) 88-85-7..... Dinoseb..... 0.007
(24) 85-00-7..... Diquat..... 0.02
(25) 145-73-3..... Endothall..... 0.1
(26) 72-20-8..... Endrin..... 0.002
(27) 1071-53-6..... Glyphosate..... 0.7
(28) 118-74-1..... Hexacholorbenzene.... 0.001
(29) 77-47-4..... Hexachlorocyclopentadiene. 0.05
(30) 23135-22-0..... Oxamyl (Vydate)..... 0.2
(31) 1918-02-1..... Picloram..... 0.5
(32) 122-34-9..... Simazine..... 0.004
(33) 1746-01-6..... 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) 3 x 10<SUP>-8

[56 FR 3593, Jan. 30, 1991, as amended at 56 FR 30280, July 1, 1991; 57 FR 31846, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 34324, July 1, 1994]

Sec. 141.62 Maximum contaminant levels for inorganic contaminants.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) The maximum contaminant levels for inorganic contaminants specified in paragraphs (b)(2)--(6), (b)(10), and (b)(11)--(15) of this section apply to community water systems and non-transient, non-community water systems. The maximum contaminant level specified in paragraph (b)(1) of this section only applies to community water systems. The maximum contaminant levels specified in (b)(7), (b)(8), and (b)(9) of this section apply to community water systems; non-transient, non-community water systems; and transient non-community water systems.

Contaminant	MCL (mg/l)
(1) Fluoride.....	4.0
(2) Asbestos.....	7 Million Fibers/liter (longer than 10 <greek-m>m).
(3) Barium.....	2
(4) Cadmium.....	0.005
(5) Chromium.....	0.1
(6) Mercury.....	0.002
(7) Nitrate.....	10 (as Nitrogen)
(8) Nitrite.....	1 (as Nitrogen)
(9) Total Nitrate and Nitrite.....	10 (as Nitrogen)
(10) Selenium.....	0.05

(11) Antimony..... 0.006
(12) Beryllium..... 0.004
(13) Cyanide (as free Cyanide)..... 0.2
(14) [Reserved]..... .....
(15) Thallium..... 0.002

(c) The Administrator, pursuant to Section 1412 of the Act, hereby identifies the following as the best technology, treatment technique, or other means available for achieving compliance with the maximum contaminant levels for inorganic contaminants identified in paragraph (b) of this section, except fluoride:

BAT for Inorganic Compounds Listed in Section 141.62(B)

Chemical Name	BAT(s)
Antimony	2,7
Asbestos	2,3,8

[[Page 358]]

Barium	5,6,7,9
Beryllium	1,2,5,6,7
Cadmium	2,5,6,7
Chromium	2,5,6 \2\,7
Cyanide	5,7,10
Mercury	2 \1\,4,6 \1\, 7 \1\
Nickel	5,6,7
Nitrate	5,7,9
Nitrite	5,7
Selenium	1,2 \3\,6,7,9
Thallium	1,5

\1\ BAT only if influent Hg concentrations <ls-thn-eq>10<greek-m>g/1.
\2\ BAT for Chromium III only.
\3\ BAT for Selenium IV only.

Key to BATS in Table

1=Activated Alumina
2=Coagulation/Filtration
3=Direct and Diatomite Filtration
4=Granular Activated Carbon
5=Ion Exchange
6=Lime Softening
7=Reverse Osmosis
8=Corrosion Control
9=Electrodialysis
10=Chlorine
11=Ultraviolet

[56 FR 3594, Jan. 30, 1991, as amended at 56 FR 30280, July 1, 1991; 57 FR 31847, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 34325, July 1, 1994; 60 FR 33932, June

29, 1995]

Sec. 141.63 Maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) for microbiological contaminants.

(a) The MCL is based on the presence or absence of total coliforms in a sample, rather than coliform density.

(1) For a system which collects at least 40 samples per month, if no more than 5.0 percent of the samples collected during a month are total coliform-positive, the system is in compliance with the MCL for total coliforms.

(2) For a system which collects fewer than 40 samples/month, if no more than one sample collected during a month is total coliform-positive, the system is in compliance with the MCL for total coliforms.

(b) Any fecal coliform-positive repeat sample or E. coli-positive repeat sample, or any total coliform-positive repeat sample following a fecal coliform-positive or E. coli-positive routine sample constitutes a violation of the MCL for total coliforms. For purposes of the public notification requirements in Sec. 141.32, this is a violation that may pose an acute risk to health.

(c) A public water system must determine compliance with the MCL for total coliforms in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section for each month in which it is required to monitor for total coliforms.

(d) The Administrator, pursuant to section 1412 of the Act, hereby identifies the following as the best technology, treatment techniques, or other means available for achieving compliance with the maximum contaminant level for total coliforms in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section:

(1) Protection of wells from contamination by coliforms by appropriate placement and construction;

(2) Maintenance of a disinfectant residual throughout the distribution system;

(3) Proper maintenance of the distribution system including appropriate pipe replacement and repair procedures, main flushing programs, proper operation and maintenance of storage tanks and reservoirs, and continual maintenance of positive water pressure in all parts of the distribution system;

(4) Filtration and/or disinfection of surface water, as described in subpart H, or disinfection of ground water using strong oxidants such as chlorine, chlorine dioxide, or ozone; and

(5) For systems using ground water, compliance with the requirements of an EPA-approved State Wellhead Protection Program developed and implemented under Section 1428 of the SDWA.

[54 FR 27566, June 29, 1989; 55 FR 25064, June 19, 1990]

Subpart H--Filtration and Disinfection

Source: 54 FR 27527, June 29, 1989, unless otherwise noted.

Sec. 141.70 General requirements.

(a) The requirements of this subpart H constitute national primary drinking water regulations. These regulations establish criteria under which filtration is required as a treatment technique for public water systems supplied by a surface water source and public

water systems supplied by a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water. In addition, these regulations establish treatment technique requirements in lieu of maximum contaminant levels for the following contaminants: Giardia lamblia, viruses, heterotrophic plate count bacteria, Legionella, and turbidity. Each public water system with a surface water source or a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water must provide treatment of that source water that complies with these treatment technique requirements. The treatment technique requirements consist of installing and properly operating water treatment processes which reliably achieve:

(1) At least 99.9 percent (3-log) removal and/or inactivation of Giardia lamblia cysts between a point where the raw water is not subject to recontamination by surface water runoff and a point downstream before or at the first customer; and

(2) At least 99.99 percent (4-log) removal and/or inactivation of viruses between a point where the raw water is not subject to recontamination by surface water runoff and a point downstream before or at the first customer.

(b) A public water system using a surface water source or a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water is considered to be in compliance with the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section if:

(1) It meets the requirements for avoiding filtration in Sec. 141.71 and the disinfection requirements in Sec. 141.72(a); or

(2) It meets the filtration requirements in Sec. 141.73 and the disinfection requirements in Sec. 141.72(b).

(c) Each public water system using a surface water source or a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water must be operated by qualified personnel who meet the requirements specified by the State.

Sec. 141.71 Criteria for avoiding filtration.

A public water system that uses a surface water source must meet all of the conditions of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, and is subject to paragraph (c) of this section, beginning December 30, 1991, unless the State has determined, in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), that filtration is required. A public water system that uses a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water must meet all of the conditions of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section and is subject to paragraph (c) of this section, beginning 18 months after the State determines that it is under the direct influence of surface water, or December 30, 1991, whichever is later, unless the State has determined, in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), that filtration is required. If the State determines in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii) before December 30, 1991, that filtration is required, the system must have installed filtration and meet the criteria for filtered systems specified in Secs. 141.72(b) and 141.73 by June 29, 1993. Within 18 months of the failure of a system using surface water or a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water to meet any one of the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section or after June 29, 1993, whichever is later, the system must have installed filtration

and meet the criteria for filtered systems specified in Secs. 141.72(b) and 141.73.

(a) Source water quality conditions. (1) The fecal coliform concentration must be equal to or less than 20/100 ml, or the total coliform concentration must be equal to or less than 100/100 ml (measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(1) and (2) and (b)(1)), in representative samples of the source water immediately prior to the first or only point of disinfectant application in at least 90 percent of the measurements made for the 6 previous months that the system served water to the public on an ongoing basis. If a system measures both fecal and total coliforms, the fecal coliform criterion, but not the total coliform criterion, in this paragraph must be met.

(2) The turbidity level cannot exceed 5 NTU (measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(4) and (b)(2)) in representative samples of the source water immediately prior to the first or only point of disinfectant application unless: (i) the State determines that any such event was caused by circumstances that were unusual and unpredictable; and (ii) as a result of any such event, there have

not been more than two events in the past 12 months the system served water to the public, or more than five events in the past 120 months the system served water to the public, in which the turbidity level exceeded 5 NTU. An ``event'' is a series of consecutive days during which at least one turbidity measurement each day exceeds 5 NTU.

(b) Site-specific conditions. (1)(i) The public water system must meet the requirements of Sec. 141.72(a)(1) at least 11 of the 12 previous months that the system served water to the public, on an ongoing basis, unless the system fails to meet the requirements during 2 of the 12 previous months that the system served water to the public, and the State determines that at least one of these failures was caused by circumstances that were unusual and unpredictable.

(ii) The public water system must meet the requirements of Sec. 141.72(a)(2) at all times the system serves water to the public.

(iii) The public water system must meet the requirements of Sec. 141.72(a)(3) at all times the system serves water to the public unless the State determines that any such failure was caused by circumstances that were unusual and unpredictable.

(iv) The public water system must meet the requirements of Sec. 141.72(a)(4) on an ongoing basis unless the State determines that failure to meet these requirements was not caused by a deficiency in treatment of the source water.

(2) The public water system must maintain a watershed control program which minimizes the potential for contamination by Giardia lamblia cysts and viruses in the source water. The State must determine whether the watershed control program is adequate to meet this goal. The adequacy of a program to limit potential contamination by Giardia lamblia cysts and viruses must be based on: the comprehensiveness of the watershed review; the effectiveness of the system's program to monitor and control detrimental activities occurring in the watershed; and the extent to which the water system has maximized land ownership and/or controlled land use within the watershed. At a minimum, the watershed control program must:

(i) Characterize the watershed hydrology and land ownership;

(ii) Identify watershed characteristics and activities which may

have an adverse effect on source water quality; and

(iii) Monitor the occurrence of activities which may have an adverse effect on source water quality.

The public water system must demonstrate through ownership and/or written agreements with landowners within the watershed that it can control all human activities which may have an adverse impact on the microbiological quality of the source water. The public water system must submit an annual report to the State that identifies any special concerns about the watershed and how they are being handled; describes activities in the watershed that affect water quality; and projects what adverse activities are expected to occur in the future and describes how the public water system expects to address them. For systems using a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water, an approved wellhead protection program developed under section 1428 of the Safe Drinking Water Act may be used, if the State deems it appropriate, to meet these requirements.

(3) The public water system must be subject to an annual on-site inspection to assess the watershed control program and disinfection treatment process. Either the State or a party approved by the State must conduct the on-site inspection. The inspection must be conducted by competent individuals such as sanitary and civil engineers, sanitarians, or technicians who have experience and knowledge about the operation and maintenance of a public water system, and who have a sound understanding of public health principles and waterborne diseases. A report of the on-site inspection summarizing all findings must be prepared every year. The on-site inspection must indicate to the State's satisfaction that the watershed control program and disinfection treatment process are adequately designed and maintained. The on-site inspection must include:

[[Page 361]]

(i) A review of the effectiveness of the watershed control program;

(ii) A review of the physical condition of the source intake and how well it is protected;

(iii) A review of the system's equipment maintenance program to ensure there is low probability for failure of the disinfection process;

(iv) An inspection of the disinfection equipment for physical deterioration;

(v) A review of operating procedures;

(vi) A review of data records to ensure that all required tests are being conducted and recorded and disinfection is effectively practiced; and

(vii) Identification of any improvements which are needed in the equipment, system maintenance and operation, or data collection.

(4) The public water system must not have been identified as a source of a waterborne disease outbreak, or if it has been so identified, the system must have been modified sufficiently to prevent another such occurrence, as determined by the State.

(5) The public water system must comply with the maximum contaminant level (MCL) for total coliforms in Sec. 141.63 at least 11 months of the 12 previous months that the system served water to the public, on an ongoing basis, unless the State determines that failure to meet this requirement was not caused by a deficiency in treatment of the source water.

(6) The public water system must comply with the requirements for trihalomethanes in Secs. 141.12 and 141.30.

(c) Treatment technique violations. (1) A system that (i) fails to meet any one of the criteria in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section and/or which the State has determined that filtration is required, in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), and (ii) fails to install filtration by the date specified in the introductory paragraph of this section is in violation of a treatment technique requirement.

(2) A system that has not installed filtration is in violation of a treatment technique requirement if:

(i) The turbidity level (measured as specified in Sec. 141.74(a)(4) and (b)(2)) in a representative sample of the source water immediately prior to the first or only point of disinfection application exceeds 5 NTU; or

(ii) The system is identified as a source of a waterborne disease outbreak.

Sec. 141.72 Disinfection.

A public water system that uses a surface water source and does not provide filtration treatment must provide the disinfection treatment specified in paragraph (a) of this section beginning December 30, 1991, unless the State determines that filtration is required in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412 (b)(7)(C)(iii). A public water system that uses a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water and does not provide filtration treatment must provide disinfection treatment specified in paragraph (a) of this section beginning December 30, 1991, or 18 months after the State determines that the ground water source is under the influence of surface water, whichever is later, unless the State has determined that filtration is required in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii). If the State has determined that filtration is required, the system must comply with any interim disinfection requirements the State deems necessary before filtration is installed. A system that uses a surface water source that provides filtration treatment must provide the disinfection treatment specified in paragraph (b) of this section beginnng June 29, 1993, or beginning when filtration is installed, whichever is later. A system that uses a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water and provides filtration treatment must provide disinfection treatment as specified in paragraph (b) of this section by June 29, 1993, or beginning when filtration is installed, whichever is later. Failure to meet any requirement of this section after the applicable date specified in this introductory paragraph is a treatment technique violation.

(a) Disinfection requirements for public water systems that do not provide filtration. Each public water system that does not provide filtration treatment must provide disinfection treatment as follows:

[[Page 362]]

(1) The disinfection treatment must be sufficient to ensure at least 99.9 percent (3-log) inactivation of Giardia lamblia cysts and 99.99 percent (4-log) inactivation of viruses, every day the system serves water to the public, except any one day each month. Each day a system serves water to the public, the public water system must calculate the CT value(s) from the system's treatment parameters, using the procedure specified in Sec. 141.74(b)(3), and determine whether this value(s) is sufficient to achieve the specified inactivation rates for Giardia lamblia cysts and viruses. If a system uses a disinfectant other than

chlorine, the system may demonstrate to the State, through the use of a State-approved protocol for on-site disinfection challenge studies or other information satisfactory to the State, that CT<INF>99.9 values other than those specified in Tables 2.1 and 3.1 in Sec. 141.74(b)(3) or other operational parameters are adequate to demonstrate that the system is achieving minimum inactivation rates required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(2) The disinfection system must have either (i) redundant components, including an auxiliary power supply with automatic start-up and alarm to ensure that disinfectant application is maintained continuously while water is being delivered to the distribution system, or (ii) automatic shut-off of delivery of water to the distribution system whenever there is less than 0.2 mg/l of residual disinfectant concentration in the water. If the State determines that automatic shut-off would cause unreasonable risk to health or interfere with fire protection, the system must comply with paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section.

(3) The residual disinfectant concentration in the water entering the distribution system, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74(a)(5) and (b)(5), cannot be less than 0.2 mg/l for more than 4 hours.

(4)(i) The residual disinfectant concentration in the distribution system, measured as total chlorine, combined chlorine, or chlorine dioxide, as specified in Sec. 141.74(a)(5) and (b)(6), cannot be undetectable in more than 5 percent of the samples each month, for any two consecutive months that the system serves water to the public. Water in the distribution system with a heterotrophic bacteria concentration less than or equal to 500/ml, measured as heterotrophic plate count (HPC) as specified in Sec. 141.74(a)(3), is deemed to have a detectable disinfectant residual for purposes of determining compliance with this requirement. Thus, the value ``V'' in the following formula cannot exceed 5 percent in one month, for any two consecutive months.

$$V = \frac{c+d+e}{a+b} \times 100$$

where:

a=number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is measured;

b=number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is not measured but heterotrophic bacteria plate count (HPC) is measured;

c=number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is measured but not detected and no HPC is measured;

d=number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is measured but not detected and where the HPC is >500/ml; and

e=number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is not measured and HPC is >500/ml.

(ii) If the State determines, based on site-specific considerations, that a system has no means for having a sample transported and analyzed for HPC by a certified laboratory under the requisite time and temperature conditions specified by Sec. 141.74(a)(3) and that the system is providing adequate disinfection in the distribution system,

the requirements of paragraph (a)(4)(i) of this section do not apply to that system.

(b) Disinfection requirements for public water systems which provide filtration. Each public water system that provides filtration treatment must provide disinfection treatment as follows.

(1) The disinfection treatment must be sufficient to ensure that the total treatment processes of that system achieve at least 99.9 percent (3-log) inactivation and/or removal of Giardia lamblia cysts and at least 99.99 percent (4-log) inactivation and/or removal of viruses, as determined by the State.

(2) The residual disinfectant concentration in the water entering the

[[Page 363]]

distribution system, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(5) and (c)(2), cannot be less than 0.2 mg/l for more than 4 hours.

(3)(i) The residual disinfectant concentration in the distribution system, measured as total chlorine, combined chlorine, or chlorine dioxide, as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(5) and (c)(3), cannot be undetectable in more than 5 percent of the samples each month, for any two consecutive months that the system serves water to the public. Water in the distribution system with a heterotrophic bacteria concentration less than or equal to 500/ml, measured as heterotrophic plate count (HPC) as specified in Sec. 141.74(a)(3), is deemed to have a detectable disinfectant residual for purposes of determining compliance with this requirement. Thus, the value ``V'' in the following formula cannot exceed 5 percent in one month, for any two consecutive months.

$$V = \frac{c+d+e}{a+b} \times 100$$

where:

a=number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is measured;

b=number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is not measured but heterotrophic bacteria plate count (HPC) is measured;

c=number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is measured but not detected and no HPC is measured;

d=number of instances where no residual disinfectant concentration is detected and where the HPC is >500/ml; and

e=number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is not measured and HPC is >500/ml.

(ii) If the State determines, based on site-specific considerations, that a system has no means for having a sample transported and analyzed for HPC by a certified laboratory under the requisite time and temperature conditions specified in Sec. 141.74(a)(3) and that the system is providing adequate disinfection in the distribution system, the requirements of paragraph (b)(3)(i) of this section do not apply.

Sec. 141.73 Filtration.

A public water system that uses a surface water source or a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water, and does not meet all of the criteria in Sec. 141.71 (a) and (b) for avoiding filtration, must provide treatment consisting of both disinfection, as specified in Sec. 141.72(b), and filtration treatment which complies with the requirements of paragraph (a), (b), (c), (d), or (e) of this section by June 29, 1993, or within 18 months of the failure to meet any one of the criteria for avoiding filtration in Sec. 141.71 (a) and (b), whichever is later. Failure to meet any requirement of this section after the date specified in this introductory paragraph is a treatment technique violation.

(a) Conventional filtration treatment or direct filtration. (1) For systems using conventional filtration or direct filtration, the turbidity level of representative samples of a system's filtered water must be less than or equal to 0.5 NTU in at least 95 percent of the measurements taken each month, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(4) and (c)(1), except that if the State determines that the system is capable of achieving at least 99.9 percent removal and/or inactivation of Giardia lamblia cysts at some turbidity level higher than 0.5 NTU in at least 95 percent of the measurements taken each month, the State may substitute this higher turbidity limit for that system. However, in no case may the State approve a turbidity limit that allows more than 1 NTU in more than 5 percent of the samples taken each month, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(4) and (c)(1).

(2) The turbidity level of representative samples of a system's filtered water must at no time exceed 5 NTU, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(4) and (c)(1).

(b) Slow sand filtration. (1) For systems using slow sand filtration, the turbidity level of representative samples of a system's filtered water must be less than or equal to 1 NTU in at least 95 percent of the measurements taken each month, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(4) and (c)(1), except that if the State determines there is no significant interference with disinfection at a higher turbidity level, the State may substitute this higher turbidity limit for that system.

[[Page 364]]

(2) The turbidity level of representative samples of a system's filtered water must at no time exceed 5 NTU, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(4) and (c)(1).

(c) Diatomaceous earth filtration. (1) For systems using diatomaceous earth filtration, the turbidity level of representative samples of a system's filtered water must be less than or equal to 1 NTU in at least 95 percent of the measurements taken each month, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(4) and (c)(1).

(2) The turbidity level of representative samples of a system's filtered water must at no time exceed 5 NTU, measured as specified in Sec. 141.74 (a)(4) and (c)(1).

(d) Other filtration technologies. A public water system may use a filtration technology not listed in paragraphs (a)-(c) of this section if it demonstrates to the State, using pilot plant studies or other means, that the alternative filtration technology, in combination with disinfection treatment that meets the requirements of Sec. 141.72(b), consistently achieves 99.9 percent removal and/or inactivation of

Giardia lamblia cysts and 99.99 percent removal and/or inactivation of viruses. For a system that makes this demonstration, the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section apply.

Sec. 141.74 Analytical and monitoring requirements.

(a) Analytical requirements. Only the analytical method(s) specified in this paragraph, or otherwise approved by EPA, may be used to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of Secs. 141.71, 141.72, and 141.73. Measurements for pH, temperature, turbidity, and residual disinfectant concentrations must be conducted by a party approved by the State. Measurements for total coliforms, fecal coliforms, and HPC must be conducted by a laboratory certified by the State or EPA to do such analysis. Until laboratory certification criteria are developed for the analysis of HPC and fecal coliforms, any laboratory certified for total coliform analysis by EPA is deemed certified for HPC and fecal coliform analysis. The following procedures shall be performed in accordance with the publications listed in the following section. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the methods published in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater may be obtained from the American Public Health Association et al., 1015 Fifteenth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005; copies of the Minimal Medium ONPG-MUG Method as set forth in the article ``National Field Evaluation of a Defined Substrate Method for the Simultaneous Enumeration of Total Coliforms and Esherichia coli from Drinking Water: Comparison with the Standard Multiple Tube Fermentation Method'' (Edberg et al.), Applied and Environmental Microbiology, Volume 54, pp. 1595-1601, June 1988 (as amended under Erratum, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, Volume 54, p. 3197, December, 1988), may be obtained from the American Water Works Association Research Foundation, 6666 West Quincy Avenue, Denver, Colorado, 80235; and copies of the Indigo Method as set forth in the article ``Determination of Ozone in Water by the Indigo Method'' (Bader and Hoigne), may be obtained from Ozone Science & Engineering, Pergamon Press Ltd., Fairview Park, Elmsford, New York 10523. Copies may be inspected at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Room EB15, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460 or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(1) Public water systems must conduct analysis of pH and temperature in accordance with one of the methods listed at Sec. 141.23(k)(1). Public water systems must conduct analysis of total coliforms, fecal coliforms, heterotrophic bacteria, and turbidity in accordance with one of the following analytical methods and by using analytical test procedures contained in Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods, EPA-600/R-94-173, October 1994, which is available at NTIS PB95-104766.

Organism	Methodology	Citation \1\
Total Coliforms \2\.....	Total Coliform Fermentation Technique <SUP>3,4,5.	9221A, B, C

	Total Coliform Membrane Filter Technique.	9222A, B, C
	ONPG-Mug Test \6\.	9223
Fecal Coliforms \2\.....	Fecal Coliform Procedure \7\.	9221E
	Fecal Coliform Membrane Filter Procedure.	9222D
Heterotrophic bacteria \2\.....	Pour Plate method.	9215B
Turbidity.....	Nephelometric Method.	2130B
	Nephelometric Method.	180.1 \8\
	Great Lakes Instruments.	Method 2 \9\

\1\ Except where noted, all methods refer to the 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1992, American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20005.

\2\ The time from sample collection to initiation of analysis may not exceed 8 hours. Systems are encouraged but not required to hold samples below 10 deg.C during transit.

\3\ Lactose broth, as commercially available, may be used in lieu of lauryl tryptose broth, if the system conducts at least 25 parallel tests between this medium and lauryl tryptose broth using the water normally tested, and this comparison demonstrates that the false positive rate and false negative rate for total coliforms, using lactose broth, is less than 10 percent.

\4\ Media should cover inverted tubes at least one-half to two-thirds after the sample is added.

\5\ No requirement exists to run the completed phase on 10 percent of all total coliform-positive confirmed tubes.

\6\ The ONPG-MUG Test is also known as the Autoanalysis Colilert System.

\7\ A-1 Broth may be held up to three months in a tightly closed screwcap tube at 4 deg.C.

\8\ ``Methods for the Determination of Inorganic Substances in Environmental Samples'', EPA-600/R-93-100, August 1993. Available at NTIS, PB94-121811.

\9\ GLI Method 2, ``Turbidity'', November 2, 1992, Great Lakes Instruments, Inc., 8855 North 55th Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53223.

(2) Public water systems must measure residual disinfectant concentrations with one of the analytical methods in the following table. The methods are contained in the 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1992. Other analytical test procedures are contained in Technical Notes on Drinking Water Methods, EPA-600/R-94-173, October 1994, which is available at NTIS PB95-104766. If approved by the State, residual disinfectant concentrations for free chlorine and combined chlorine also may be measured by using DPD colorimetric test kits. Free and total chlorine residuals may be measured continuously by adapting a specified chlorine residual method for use with a continuous monitoring instrument provided the chemistry,

accuracy, and precision remain same. Instruments used for continuous monitoring must be calibrated with a grab sample measurement at least every five days, or with a protocol approved by the State.

Residual	Methodology	Methods
Free Chlorine.....	Amperometric Titration.	4500-Cl D
	DPD Ferrous Titrimetric.	4500-Cl F
	DPD Colorimetric.	4500-Cl G
	Syringaldazine (FACTS).	4500-Cl H
Total Chlorine.....	Amperometric Titration.	4500-Cl D
	Amperometric Titration (low level measurement).	4500-Cl E
	DPD Ferrous Titrimetric.	4500-Cl F
	DPD Colorimetric.	4500-Cl G
	Iodometric Electrode.	4500-Cl I
Chlorine Dioxide.....	Amperometric Titration.	4500-ClO<INF>2 C
	DPD Method.....	4500-ClO<INF>2 D
	Amperometric Titration.	4500-ClO<INF>2 E
Ozone.....	Indigo Method....	4500-O<INF>3 B

(b) Monitoring requirements for systems that do not provide filtration. A public water system that uses a surface water source and does not provide filtration treatment must begin monitoring, as specified in this paragraph (b), beginning December 31, 1990, unless the State has determined that filtration is required in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), in which case the State may specify alternative monitoring requirements, as appropriate, until filtration is in place. A public water system that uses a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water and does not provide filtration treatment must begin monitoring as specified in this paragraph (b) beginning December 31, 1990, or 6 months after the State determines that the ground water source is under the direct influence of surface water, whichever is later, unless the State has determined that filtration is required in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), in which case the State may specify alternative monitoring requirements, as appropriate, until filtration is in place.

(1) Fecal coliform or total coliform density measurements as required by Sec. 141.71(a)(1) must be performed on representative source water samples immediately prior to the first or only point of disinfectant application. The system must sample for fecal or total coliforms at the following minimum frequency each week the system serves water to the public:

System size (persons served)	Samples/ week\1\
500	1
501 to 3,300	2
3,301 to 10,000	3
10,001 to 25,000	4
>25,000	5

\1\ Must be taken on separate days.

Also, one fecal or total coliform density measurement must be made every day the system serves water to the public and the turbidity of the source water exceeds 1 NTU (these samples count towards the weekly coliform sampling requirement) unless the State determines that the system, for logistical reasons outside the system's control, cannot have the sample analyzed within 30 hours of collection.

(2) Turbidity measurements as required by Sec. 141.71(a)(2) must be performed on representative grab samples of source water immediately prior to the first or only point of disinfectant application every four hours (or more frequently) that the system serves water to the public. A public water system may substitute continuous turbidity monitoring for grab sample monitoring if it validates the continuous measurement for accuracy on a regular basis using a protocol approved by the State.

(3) The total inactivation ratio for each day that the system is in operation must be determined based on the CT<INF>99.9 values in Tables 1.1-1.6, 2.1, and 3.1 of this section, as appropriate. The parameters necessary to determine the total inactivation ratio must be monitored as follows:

(i) The temperature of the disinfected water must be measured at least once per day at each residual disinfectant concentration sampling point.

(ii) If the system uses chlorine, the pH of the disinfected water must be measured at least once per day at each chlorine residual disinfectant concentration sampling point.

(iii) The disinfectant contact time(s) (``T'') must be determined for each day during peak hourly flow.

(iv) The residual disinfectant concentration(s) (``C'') of the water before or at the first customer must be measured each day during peak hourly flow.

(v) If a system uses a disinfectant other than chlorine, the system may demonstrate to the State, through the use of a State-approved protocol for on-site disinfection challenge studies or other information satisfactory to the State, that CT<INF>99.9 values other than those specified in Tables 2.1 and 3.1 in this section other operational parameters are adequate to demonstrate that the system is achieving the minimum inactivation rates required by Sec. 141.72(a)(1).

Table 1.1--CT Values (CT<INF>99.9) for 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts by Free Chlorine at 0.5

deg.C or Lower \1\

Residual (mg/l)	pH						
	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	9.0
0.4	137	163	195	237	277	329	390
0.6	141	168	200	239	286	342	407
0.8	145	172	205	246	295	354	422
1.0	148	176	210	253	304	365	437
1.2	152	180	215	259	313	376	451
1.4	155	184	221	266	321	387	464
1.6	157	189	226	273	329	397	477
1.8	162	193	231	279	338	407	489
2.0	165	197	236	286	346	417	500
2.2	169	201	242	297	353	426	511
2.4	172	205	247	298	361	435	522
2.6	175	209	252	304	368	444	533
2.8	178	213	257	310	375	452	543
3.0	181	217	261	316	382	460	552

\1\ These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT<INF>99.9 value at the lower temperature and at the higher pH.

Table 1.2--CT Values (CT <INF>99.9) for 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts by Free Chlorine at 5.0 deg.C\1\

Free residual (mg/l)	pH						
	<ls-thn-eq>6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	<ls-thn-eq>9.0
<ls-thn-eq>0.4	97	117	139	166	198	236	279
0.6	100	120	143	171	204	244	291
0.8	103	122	146	175	210	252	301
1.0	105	125	149	179	216	260	312
1.2	107	127	152	183	221	267	320
1.4	109	130	155	187	227	274	329
1.6	111	132	158	192	232	281	337
1.8	114	135	162	196	238	287	345
2.0	116	138	165	200	243	294	353
2.2	118	140	169	204	248	300	361
2.4	120	143	172	209	253	306	368
2.6	122	146	175	213	258	312	375
2.8	124	148	178	217	263	318	382

3.0	126	151	182	221	268	324	389

\1\ These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the

indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of
different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT<INF>99.9 value
at the lower temperature, and at the higher pH.

Table 1.3--CT Values (CT <INF>99.9) for 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts by Free Chlorine at 10.0

deg.C\1\

Free residual (mg/l)	pH <ls-thn-eq>6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	<ls-thn-eq>9.0
<ls-thn-eq>0.4	73	88	104	125	149	177	209
0.6	75	90	107	128	153	183	218
0.8	78	92	110	131	158	189	226
1.0	79	94	112	134	162	195	234
1.2	80	95	114	137	166	200	240
1.4	82	98	116	140	170	206	247
1.6	83	99	119	144	174	211	253
1.8	86	101	122	147	179	215	259
2.0	87	104	124	150	182	221	265
2.2	89	105	127	153	186	225	271
2.4	90	107	129	157	190	230	276
2.6	92	110	131	160	194	234	281
2.8	93	111	134	163	197	239	287
3.0	95	113	137	166	201	243	292

\1\ These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the

indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of
different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT<INF>99.9 value
at the lower temperature, and at the higher pH.

Table 1.4--CT Values (CT <INF>99.9) for 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts by Free Chlorine at 15.0

deg.C\1\

Free residual (mg/l)	pH <ls-thn-eq>6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	<ls-thn-eq>9.0
<ls-thn-eq>0.4	49	59	70	83	99	118	140
0.6	50	60	72	86	102	122	146
0.8	52	61	73	88	105	126	151
1.0	53	63	75	90	108	130	156
1.2	54	64	76	92	111	134	160
1.4	55	65	78	94	114	137	165
1.6	56	66	79	96	116	141	169

1.8	57	68	81	98	119	144	173
2.0	58	69	83	100	122	147	177
2.2	59	70	85	102	124	150	181
2.4	60	72	86	105	127	153	184
2.6	61	73	88	107	129	156	188
2.8	62	74	89	109	132	159	191
3.0	63	76	91	111	134	162	195

\1\ These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT<INF>99.9 value at the lower temperature, and at the higher pH.

Table 1.5--CT Values (CT<INF>99.9) for 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts by Free Chlorine at 20 deg.C\1\

Free residual (mg/l)	pH 6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	9.0
0.4	36	44	52	62	74	89	105
0.6	38	45	54	64	77	92	109
0.8	39	46	55	66	79	95	113
1.0	39	47	56	67	81	98	117
1.2	40	48	57	69	83	100	120
1.4	41	49	58	70	85	103	123
1.6	42	50	59	72	87	105	126
1.8	43	51	61	74	89	108	129
2.0	44	52	62	75	91	110	132
2.2	44	53	63	77	93	113	135
2.4	45	54	65	78	95	115	138
2.6	46	55	66	80	97	117	141
2.8	47	56	67	81	99	119	143
3.0	47	57	68	83	101	122	146

\1\ These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT<INF>99.9 value at the lower temperature, and at the higher pH.

Table 1.6--CT Values (CT<INF>99.9) for 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts by Free Chlorine at 25 deg.C\1\ and Higher

pH

Free residual (mg/l)	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	9.0
0.4	24	29	35	42	50	59	70
0.6	25	30	36	43	51	61	73
0.8	26	31	37	44	53	63	75
1.0	26	31	37	45	54	65	78
1.2	27	32	38	46	55	67	80
1.4	27	33	39	47	57	69	82
1.6	28	33	40	48	58	70	84
1.8	29	34	41	49	60	72	86
2.0	29	35	41	50	61	74	88
2.2	30	35	42	51	62	75	90
2.4	30	36	43	52	63	77	92
2.6	31	37	44	53	65	78	94
2.8	31	37	45	54	66	80	96
3.0	32	38	46	55	67	81	97

[[Page 368]]

\1\ These CT values achieve greater than a 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated pH values may be determined by linear interpolation. CT values between the indicated temperatures of different tables may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT<INF>99.9 value at the lower temperature, and at the higher pH.

Table 2.1--CT Values (CT<INF>99.9) for 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts by Chlorine Dioxide and Ozone\1\

	Temperature					
	< 1 deg.C	5 deg.C	10 deg.C	15 deg.C	20 deg.C	25 deg.C
Chlorine dioxide	63	26	23	19	15	11
Ozone	2.9	1.9	1.4	0.95	0.72	0.48

\1\ These CT values achieve greater than 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated temperatures may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT<INF>99.9 value at the lower temperature for determining CT<INF>99.9 values between indicated temperatures.

Table 3.1--CT Values (CT <INF>99.9) for 99.9 Percent Inactivation of Giardia Lamblia Cysts By Chloramines\1\

Temperature

< 1 deg.C	5 deg.C	10 deg.C	15 deg.C	20 deg.C	25 deg.C
3,800	2,200	1,850	1,500	1,100	750

\1\ These values are for pH values of 6 to 9. These CT values may be assumed to achieve greater than 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses only if chlorine is added and mixed in the water prior to the addition of ammonia. If this condition is not met, the system must demonstrate, based on on-site studies or other information, as approved by the State, that the system is achieving at least 99.99 percent inactivation of viruses. CT values between the indicated temperatures may be determined by linear interpolation. If no interpolation is used, use the CT<INF>99.9 value at the lower temperature for determining CT<INF>99.9 values between indicated temperatures.

(4) The total inactivation ratio must be calculated as follows:

(i) If the system uses only one point of disinfectant application, the system may determine the total inactivation ratio based on either of the following two methods:

(A) One inactivation ratio (CTcalc/CT<INF>99.9) is determined before or at the first customer during peak hourly flow and if the CTcalc/CT<INF>99.9 <gr-thn-eq> 1.0, the 99.9 percent Giardia lamblia inactivation requirement has been achieved; or

(B) Successive CTcalc/CT<INF>99.9 values, representing sequential inactivation ratios, are determined between the point of disinfectant application and a point before or at the first customer during peak hourly flow. Under this alternative, the following method must be used to calculate the total inactivation ratio:

[[Page 369]]

[GRAPHIC] [TIFF OMITTED] TC02JY92.060

lamblia inactivation requirement has been achieved.

(ii) If the system uses more than one point of disinfectant application before or at the first customer, the system must determine the CT value of each disinfection sequence immediately prior to the next point of disinfectant application during peak hourly flow. The CTcalc/CT<INF>99.9 value of each sequence and

<3-ln-grk-S> $$\frac{CTcalc}{CT_{99.9}}$$

must be calculated using the method in paragraph (b)(4)(i)(B) of this section to determine if the system is in compliance with Sec. 142.72(a).

(iii) Although not required, the total percent inactivation for a system with one or more points of residual disinfectant concentration monitoring may be calculated by solving the following equation:

$$\text{Percent inactivation} = 100 - \frac{100}{10^{z}}$$

$$\text{where } z = 3 \times \frac{\ln(CT_{calc}/CT_{99.9})}{\ln(\text{grk-S})}$$

(5) The residual disinfectant concentration of the water entering the distribution system must be monitored continuously, and the lowest value must be recorded each day, except that if there is a failure in the continuous monitoring equipment, grab sampling every 4 hours may be conducted in lieu of continuous monitoring, but for no more than 5 working days following the failure of the equipment, and systems serving 3,300 or fewer persons may take grab samples in lieu of providing continuous monitoring on an ongoing basis at the frequencies prescribed below:

System size by population	Samples/ day\1\
<500	1
501 to 1,000	2
1,001 to 2,500	3
2,501 to 3,300	4

\1\ The day's samples cannot be taken at the same time. The sampling intervals are subject to State review and approval.

If at any time the residual disinfectant concentration falls below 0.2 mg/l in a system using grab sampling in lieu of continuous monitoring, the system must take a grab sample every 4 hours until the residual concentration is equal to or greater than 0.2 mg/l.

(6)(i) The residual disinfectant concentration must be measured at least at the same points in the distribution system and at the same time as total coliforms are sampled, as specified in Sec. 141.21, except that the State may allow a public water system which uses both a surface water source or a ground water source under direct influence of surface water, and a ground water source, to take disinfectant residual samples at points other than the total coliform sampling points if the State determines that such points are more representative of treated (disinfected) water quality within the distribution

system. Heterotrophic bacteria, measured as heterotrophic plate count (HPC) as specified in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, may be measured in lieu of residual disinfectant concentration.

(ii) If the State determines, based on site-specific considerations, that a system has no means for having a sample transported and analyzed

for HPC by a certified laboratory under the requisite time and temperature conditions specified by paragraph (a)(3) of this section and that the system is providing adequate disinfection in the distribution system, the requirements of paragraph (b)(6)(i) of this section do not apply to that system.

(c) Monitoring requirements for systems using filtration treatment. A public water system that uses a surface water source or a ground water source under the influence of surface water and provides filtration treatment must monitor in accordance with this paragraph (c) beginning June 29, 1993, or when filtration is installed, whichever is later.

(1) Turbidity measurements as required by Sec. 141.73 must be performed on representative samples of the system's filtered water every four hours (or more frequently) that the system serves water to the public. A public water system may substitute continuous turbidity monitoring for grab sample monitoring if it validates the continuous measurement for accuracy on a regular basis using a protocol approved by the State. For any systems using slow sand filtration or filtration treatment other than conventional treatment, direct filtration, or diatomaceous earth filtration, the State may reduce the sampling frequency to once per day if it determines that less frequent monitoring is sufficient to indicate effective filtration performance. For systems serving 500 or fewer persons, the State may reduce the turbidity sampling frequency to once per day, regardless of the type of filtration treatment used, if the State determines that less frequent monitoring is sufficient to indicate effective filtration performance.

(2) The residual disinfectant concentration of the water entering the distribution system must be monitored continuously, and the lowest value must be recorded each day, except that if there is a failure in the continuous monitoring equipment, grab sampling every 4 hours may be conducted in lieu of continuous monitoring, but for no more than 5 working days following the failure of the equipment, and systems serving 3,300 or fewer persons may take grab samples in lieu of providing continuous monitoring on an ongoing basis at the frequencies each day prescribed below:

System size by population	Samples/ day \1\
<plus-minus>500	1
501 to 1,000	2
1,001 to 2,500	3
2,501 to 3,300	4

\1\ The day's samples cannot be taken at the same time. The sampling intervals are subject to State review and approval.

If at any time the residual disinfectant concentration falls below 0.2 mg/l in a system using grab sampling in lieu of continuous monitoring, the system must take a grab sample every 4 hours until the residual disinfectant concentration is equal to or greater than 0.2 mg/l.

(3)(i) The residual disinfectant concentration must be measured at least at the same points in the distribution system and at the same time as total coliforms are sampled, as specified in Sec. 141.21, except that the State may allow a public water system which uses both a surface water source or a ground water source under direct influence of surface

water, and a ground water source to take disinfectant residual samples at points other than the total coliform sampling points if the State determines that such points are more representative of treated (disinfected) water quality within the distribution system. Heterotrophic bacteria, measured as heterotrophic plate count (HPC) as specified in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, may be measured in lieu of residual disinfectant concentration.

(ii) If the State determines, based on site-specific considerations, that a system has no means for having a sample transported and analyzed for HPC by a certified laboratory under the requisite time and temperature conditions specified by paragraph (a)(3) of this section and that the system is providing adequate disinfection in the distribution system, the requirements of paragraph

[[Page 371]]

(c)(3)(i) of this section do not apply to that system.

[54 FR 27527, June 29, 1989, as amended at 59 FR 62470, Dec. 5, 1994; 60 FR 34086, June 29, 1995]

Sec. 141.75 Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

(a) A public water system that uses a surface water source and does not provide filtration treatment must report monthly to the State the information specified in this paragraph (a) beginning December 31, 1990, unless the State has determined that filtration is required in writing pursuant to section 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), in which case the State may specify alternative reporting requirements, as appropriate, until filtration is in place. A public water system that uses a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water and does not provide filtration treatment must report monthly to the State the information specified in this paragraph (a) beginning December 31, 1990, or 6 months after the State determines that the ground water source is under the direct influence of surface water, whichever is later, unless the State has determined that filtration is required in writing pursuant to Sec. 1412(b)(7)(C)(iii), in which case the State may specify alternative reporting requirements, as appropriate, until filtration is in place.

(1) Source water quality information must be reported to the State within 10 days after the end of each month the system serves water to the public. Information that must be reported includes:

(i) The cumulative number of months for which results are reported.

(ii) The number of fecal and/or total coliform samples, whichever are analyzed during the month (if a system monitors for both, only fecal coliforms must be reported), the dates of sample collection, and the dates when the turbidity level exceeded 1 NTU.

(iii) The number of samples during the month that had equal to or less than 20/100 ml fecal coliforms and/or equal to or less than 100/100 ml total coliforms, whichever are analyzed.

(iv) The cumulative number of fecal or total coliform samples, whichever are analyzed, during the previous six months the system served water to the public.

(v) The cumulative number of samples that had equal to or less than 20/100 ml fecal coliforms or equal to or less than 100/100 ml total coliforms, whichever are analyzed, during the previous six months the system served water to the public.

(vi) The percentage of samples that had equal to or less than 20/100 ml fecal coliforms or equal to or less than 100/100 ml total coliforms, whichever are analyzed, during the previous six months the system served water to the public.

(vii) The maximum turbidity level measured during the month, the date(s) of occurrence for any measurement(s) which exceeded 5 NTU, and the date(s) the occurrence(s) was reported to the State.

(viii) For the first 12 months of recordkeeping, the dates and cumulative number of events during which the turbidity exceeded 5 NTU, and after one year of recordkeeping for turbidity measurements, the dates and cumulative number of events during which the turbidity exceeded 5 NTU in the previous 12 months the system served water to the public.

(ix) For the first 120 months of recordkeeping, the dates and cumulative number of events during which the turbidity exceeded 5 NTU, and after 10 years of recordkeeping for turbidity measurements, the dates and cumulative number of events during which the turbidity exceeded 5 NTU in the previous 120 months the system served water to the public.

(2) Disinfection information specified in Sec. 141.74(b) must be reported to the State within 10 days after the end of each month the system serves water to the public. Information that must be reported includes:

(i) For each day, the lowest measurement of residual disinfectant concentration in mg/l in water entering the distribution system.

(ii) The date and duration of each period when the residual disinfectant concentration in water entering the distribution system fell below 0.2 mg/l and when the State was notified of the occurrence.

[[Page 372]]

(iii) The daily residual disinfectant concentration(s) (in mg/l) and disinfectant contact time(s) (in minutes) used for calculating the CT value(s).

(iv) If chlorine is used, the daily measurement(s) of pH of disinfected water following each point of chlorine disinfection.

(v) The daily measurement(s) of water temperature in deg.C following each point of disinfection.

(vi) The daily CTcalc and CTcalc/CT<INF>99.9 values for each disinfectant measurement or sequence and the sum of all CTcalc/CT<INF>99.9 values ((CTcalc/CT<INF>99.9)) before or at the first customer.

(vii) The daily determination of whether disinfection achieves adequate Giardia cyst and virus inactivation, i.e., whether (CTcalc/CT<INF>99.9) is at least 1.0 or, where disinfectants other than chlorine are used, other indicator conditions that the State determines are appropriate, are met.

(viii) The following information on the samples taken in the distribution system in conjunction with total coliform monitoring pursuant to Sec. 141.72:

(A) Number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is measured;

(B) Number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is not measured but heterotrophic bacteria plate count (HPC) is measured;

(C) Number of instances where the residual disinfectant

concentration is measured but not detected and no HPC is measured;

(D) Number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is detected and where HPC is >500/ml;

(E) Number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is not measured and HPC is >500/ml;

(F) For the current and previous month the system served water to the public, the value of ``V'' in the following formula:

$$V = \frac{c+d+e}{a+b} \times 100$$

where

a=the value in paragraph (a)(2)(viii)(A) of this section,

b=the value in paragraph (a)(2)(viii)(B) of this section,

c=the value in paragraph (a)(2)(viii)(C) of this section,

d=the value in paragraph (a)(2)(viii)(D) of this section, and

e=the value in paragraph (a)(2)(viii)(E) of this section.

(G) If the State determines, based on site-specific considerations, that a system has no means for having a sample transported and analyzed for HPC by a certified laboratory under the requisite time and temperature conditions specified by Sec. 141.74(a)(3) and that the system is providing adequate disinfection in the distribution system, the requirements of paragraph (a)(2)(viii)(A)-(F) of this section do not apply to that system.

(ix) A system need not report the data listed in paragraphs (a)(2)(i), and (iii)-(vi) of this section if all data listed in paragraphs (a)(2) (i)-(viii) of this section remain on file at the system, and the State determines that:

(A) The system has submitted to the State all the information required by paragraphs (a)(2) (i)-(viii) of this section for at least 12 months; and

(B) The State has determined that the system is not required to provide filtration treatment.

(3) No later than ten days after the end of each Federal fiscal year (September 30), each system must provide to the State a report which summarizes its compliance with all watershed control program requirements specified in Sec. 141.71(b)(2).

(4) No later than ten days after the end of each Federal fiscal year (September 30), each system must provide to the State a report on the on-site inspection conducted during that year pursuant to Sec. 141.71(b)(3), unless the on-site inspection was conducted by the State. If the inspection was conducted by the State, the State must provide a copy of its report to the public water system.

(5)(i) Each system, upon discovering that a waterborne disease outbreak potentially attributable to that water system has occurred, must report that occurrence to the State as soon as possible, but no later than by the end of the next business day.

(ii) If at any time the turbidity exceeds 5 NTU, the system must inform the State as soon as possible, but no

later than the end of the next business day.

(iii) If at any time the residual falls below 0.2 mg/l in the water entering the distribution system, the system must notify the State as soon as possible, but no later than by the end of the next business day. The system also must notify the State by the end of the next business day whether or not the residual was restored to at least 0.2 mg/l within 4 hours.

(b) A public water system that uses a surface water source or a ground water source under the direct influence of surface water and provides filtration treatment must report monthly to the State the information specified in this paragraph (b) beginning June 29, 1993, or when filtration is installed, whichever is later.

(1) Turbidity measurements as required by Sec. 141.74(c)(1) must be reported within 10 days after the end of each month the system serves water to the public. Information that must be reported includes:

(i) The total number of filtered water turbidity measurements taken during the month.

(ii) The number and percentage of filtered water turbidity measurements taken during the month which are less than or equal to the turbidity limits specified in Sec. 141.73 for the filtration technology being used.

(iii) The date and value of any turbidity measurements taken during the month which exceed 5 NTU.

(2) Disinfection information specified in Sec. 141.74(c) must be reported to the State within 10 days after the end of each month the system serves water to the public. Information that must be reported includes:

(i) For each day, the lowest measurement of residual disinfectant concentration in mg/l in water entering the distribution system.

(ii) The date and duration of each period when the residual disinfectant concentration in water entering the distribution system fell below 0.2 mg/l and when the State was notified of the occurrence.

(iii) The following information on the samples taken in the distribution system in conjunction with total coliform monitoring pursuant to Sec. 141.72:

(A) Number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is measured;

(B) Number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is not measured but heterotrophic bacteria plate count (HPC) is measured;

(C) Number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is measured but not detected and no HPC is measured;

(D) Number of instances where no residual disinfectant concentration is detected and where HPC is >500/ml;

(E) Number of instances where the residual disinfectant concentration is not measured and HPC is >500/ml;

(F) For the current and previous month the system serves water to the public, the value of ``V'' in the following formula:

$$V = \frac{c+d+e}{a+b} \times 100$$

where

a=the value in paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(A) of this section,

b=the value in paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(B) of this section,
c=the value in paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(C) of this section,
d=the value in paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(D) of this section, and
e=the value in paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(E) of this section.

(G) If the State determines, based on site-specific considerations, that a system has no means for having a sample transported and analyzed for HPC by a certified laboratory within the requisite time and temperature conditions specified by Sec. 141.74(a)(3) and that the system is providing adequate disinfection in the distribution system, the requirements of paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(A)-(F) of this section do not apply.

(iv) A system need not report the data listed in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section if all data listed in paragraphs (b)(2)(i)-(iii) of this section remain on file at the system and the State determines that the system has submitted all the information required by paragraphs (b)(2)(i)-(iii) of this section for at least 12 months.

(3)(i) Each system, upon discovering that a waterborne disease outbreak potentially attributable to that water

[[Page 374]]

system has occurred, must report that occurrence to the State as soon as possible, but no later than by the end of the next business day.

(ii) If at any time the turbidity exceeds 5 NTU, the system must inform the State as soon as possible, but no later than the end of the next business day.

(iii) If at any time the residual falls below 0.2 mg/l in the water entering the distribution system, the system must notify the State as soon as possible, but no later than by the end of the next business day. The system also must notify the State by the end of the next business day whether or not the residual was restored to at least 0.2 mg/l within 4 hours.

Subpart I--Control of Lead and Copper

Source: 56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991, unless otherwise noted.

Sec. 141.80 General requirements.

(a) Applicability and effective dates. (1) The requirements of this subpart I constitute the national primary drinking water regulations for lead and copper. Unless otherwise indicated, each of the provisions of this subpart applies to community water systems and non-transient, non-community water systems (hereinafter referred to as ``water systems'' or ``systems'').

(2) The requirements set forth in Secs. 141.86 to 141.91 shall take effect on July 7, 1991. The requirements set forth in Secs. 141.80 to 141.85 shall take effect on December 7, 1992.

(b) Scope. These regulations establish a treatment technique that includes requirements for corrosion control treatment, source water treatment, lead service line replacement, and public education. These requirements are triggered, in some cases, by lead and copper action levels measured in samples collected at consumers' taps.

(c) Lead and copper action levels. (1) The lead action level is exceeded if the concentration of lead in more than 10 percent of tap

water samples collected during any monitoring period conducted in accordance with Sec. 141.86 is greater than 0.015 mg/L (i.e., if the ``90th percentile'' lead level is greater than 0.015 mg/L).

(2) The copper action level is exceeded if the concentration of copper in more than 10 percent of tap water samples collected during any monitoring period conducted in accordance with Sec. 141.86 is greater than 1.3 mg/L (i.e., if the ``90th percentile'' copper level is greater than 1.3 mg/L).

(3) The 90th percentile lead and copper levels shall be computed as follows:

(i) The results of all lead or copper samples taken during a monitoring period shall be placed in ascending order from the sample with the lowest concentration to the sample with the highest concentration. Each sampling result shall be assigned a number, ascending by single integers beginning with the number 1 for the sample with the lowest contaminant level. The number assigned to the sample with the highest contaminant level shall be equal to the total number of samples taken.

(ii) The number of samples taken during the monitoring period shall be multiplied by 0.9.

(iii) The contaminant concentration in the numbered sample yielded by the calculation in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) is the 90th percentile contaminant level.

(iv) For water systems serving fewer than 100 people that collect 5 samples per monitoring period, the 90th percentile is computed by taking the average of the highest and second highest concentrations.

(d) Corrosion control treatment requirements. (1) All water systems shall install and operate optimal corrosion control treatment as defined in Sec. 141.2.

(2) Any water system that complies with the applicable corrosion control treatment requirements specified by the State under Secs. 141.81 and 141.82 shall be deemed in compliance with the treatment requirement contained in paragraph (d)(1) of this section.

(e) Source water treatment requirements. Any system exceeding the lead or copper action level shall implement all applicable source water treatment requirements specified by the State under Sec. 141.83.

(f) Lead service line replacement requirements. Any system exceeding the lead action level after implementation

of applicable corrosion control and source water treatment requirements shall complete the lead service line replacement requirements contained in Sec. 141.84.

(g) Public education requirements. Any system exceeding the lead action level shall implement the public education requirements contained in Sec. 141.85.

(h) Monitoring and analytical requirements. Tap water monitoring for lead and copper, monitoring for water quality parameters, source water monitoring for lead and copper, and analyses of the monitoring results under this subpart shall be completed in compliance with Secs. 141.86, 141.87, 141.88, and 141.89.

(i) Reporting requirements. Systems shall report to the State any information required by the treatment provisions of this subpart and Sec. 141.90.

(j) Recordkeeping requirements. Systems shall maintain records in

accordance with Sec. 141.91.

(k) Violation of national primary drinking water regulations. Failure to comply with the applicable requirements of Secs. 141.80-141.91, including requirements established by the State pursuant to these provisions, shall constitute a violation of the national primary drinking water regulations for lead and/or copper.

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 57 FR 28788, June 29, 1992]

Sec. 141.81 Applicability of corrosion control treatment steps to small, medium-size and large water systems.

(a) Systems shall complete the applicable corrosion control treatment requirements described in Sec. 141.82 by the deadlines established in this section.

(1) A large system (serving >50,000 persons) shall complete the corrosion control treatment steps specified in paragraph (d) of this section, unless it is deemed to have optimized corrosion control under paragraph (b)(2) or (b)(3) of this section.

(2) A small system (serving <ls-thn-eq>3300 persons) and a medium-size system (serving >3,300 and <ls-thn-eq>50,000 persons) shall complete the corrosion control treatment steps specified in paragraph (e) of this section, unless it is deemed to have optimized corrosion control under paragraph (b)(1), (b)(2), or (b)(3) of this section.

(b) A system is deemed to have optimized corrosion control and is not required to complete the applicable corrosion control treatment steps identified in this section if the system satisfies one of the following criteria:

(1) A small or medium-size water system is deemed to have optimized corrosion control if the system meets the lead and copper action levels during each of two consecutive six-month monitoring periods conducted in accordance with Sec. 141.86.

(2) Any water system may be deemed by the State to have optimized corrosion control treatment if the system demonstrates to the satisfaction of the State that it has conducted activities equivalent to the corrosion control steps applicable to such system under this section. If the State makes this determination, it shall provide the system with written notice explaining the basis for its decision and shall specify the water quality control parameters representing optimal corrosion control in accordance with Sec. 141.82(f). A system shall provide the State with the following information in order to support a determination under this paragraph:

(i) The results of all test samples collected for each of the water quality parameters in Sec. 141.82(c)(3).

(ii) A report explaining the test methods used by the water system to evaluate the corrosion control treatments listed in Sec. 141.82(c)(1), the results of all tests conducted, and the basis for the system's selection of optimal corrosion control treatment;

(iii) A report explaining how corrosion control has been installed and how it is being maintained to insure minimal lead and copper concentrations at consumers' taps; and

(iv) The results of tap water samples collected in accordance with Sec. 141.86 at least once every six months for one year after corrosion control has been installed.

(3) Any water system is deemed to have optimized corrosion control if it submits results of tap water monitoring conducted in accordance

with Sec. 141.86 and source water monitoring conducted in accordance with Sec. 141.88 that demonstrates for two consecutive six-month monitoring periods that the difference between the 90th percentile

[[Page 376]]

tap water lead level computed under Sec. 141.80(c)(3), and the highest source water lead concentration, is less than the Practical Quantitation Level for lead specified in Sec. 141.89(a)(1)(ii).

(c) Any small or medium-size water system that is required to complete the corrosion control steps due to its exceedance of the lead or copper action level may cease completing the treatment steps whenever the system meets both action levels during each of two consecutive monitoring periods conducted pursuant to Sec. 141.86 and submits the results to the State. If any such water system thereafter exceeds the lead or copper action level during any monitoring period, the system (or the State, as the case may be) shall recommence completion of the applicable treatment steps, beginning with the first treatment step which was not previously completed in its entirety. The State may require a system to repeat treatment steps previously completed by the system where the State determines that this is necessary to implement properly the treatment requirements of this section. The State shall notify the system in writing of such a determination and explain the basis for its decision. The requirement for any small- or medium-size system to implement corrosion control treatment steps in accordance with paragraph (e) of this section (including systems deemed to have optimized corrosion control under paragraph (b)(1) of this section) is triggered whenever any small- or medium-size system exceeds the lead or copper action level.

(d) Treatment steps and deadlines for large systems. Except as provided in paragraph (b) (2) and (3) of this section, large systems shall complete the following corrosion control treatment steps (described in the referenced portions of Secs. 141.82, 141.86, and 141.87) by the indicated dates.

(1) Step 1: The system shall conduct initial monitoring (Sec. 141.86(d)(1) and Sec. 141.87(b)) during two consecutive six-month monitoring periods by January 1, 1993.

(2) Step 2: The system shall complete corrosion control studies (Sec. 141.82(c)) by July 1, 1994.

(3) Step 3: The State shall designate optimal corrosion control treatment (Sec. 141.82(d)) by January 1, 1995.

(4) Step 4: The system shall install optimal corrosion control treatment (Sec. 141.82(e)) by January 1, 1997.

(5) Step 5: The system shall complete follow-up sampling (Sec. 141.86(d)(2) and Sec. 141.87(c)) by January 1, l998.

(6) Step 6: The State shall review installation of treatment and designate optimal water quality control parameters (Sec. 141.82(f)) by July 1, 1998.

(7) Step 7: The system shall operate in compliance with the State-specified optimal water quality control parameters (Sec. 141.82(g)) and continue to conduct tap sampling (Sec. 141.86(d)(3) and Sec. 141.87(d)).

(e) Treatment Steps and deadlines for small and medium-size systems. Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, small and medium-size systems shall complete the following corrosion control treatment steps (described in the referenced portions of Secs. 141.82, 141.86 and 141.87) by the indicated time periods.

(1) Step 1: The system shall conduct initial tap sampling (Sec. 141.86(d)(1) and Sec. 141.87(b)) until the system either exceeds the lead or copper action level or becomes eligible for reduced monitoring under Sec. 141.86(d)(4). A system exceeding the lead or copper action level shall recommend optimal corrosion control treatment (Sec. 141.82(a)) within six months after it exceeds one of the action levels.

(2) Step 2: Within 12 months after a system exceeds the lead or copper action level, the State may require the system to perform corrosion control studies (Sec. 141.82(b)). If the State does not require the system to perform such studies, the State shall specify optimal corrosion control treatment (Sec. 141.82(d)) within the following timeframes:

(i) For medium-size systems, within 18 months after such system exceeds the lead or copper action level,

(ii) For small systems, within 24 months after such system exceeds the lead or copper action level.

(3) Step 3: If the State requires a system to perform corrosion control studies under step 2, the system shall complete the studies (Sec. 141.82(c)) within 18 months after the State requires that such studies be conducted.

[[Page 377]]

(4) Step 4: If the system has performed corrosion control studies under step 2, the State shall designate optimal corrosion control treatment (Sec. 141.82(d)) within 6 months after completion of step 3.

(5) Step 5: The system shall install optimal corrosion control treatment (Sec. 141.82(e)) within 24 months after the State designates such treatment.

(6) Step 6: The system shall complete follow-up sampling (Sec. 141.86(d)(2) and Sec. 141.87(c)) within 36 months after the State designates optimal corrosion control treatment.

(7) Step 7: The State shall review the system's installation of treatment and designate optimal water quality control parameters (Sec. 141.82(f)) within 6 months after completion of step 6.

(8) Step 8: The system shall operate in compliance with the State-designated optimal water quality control parameters (Sec. 141.82(g)) and continue to conduct tap sampling (Sec. 141.86(d)(3) and Sec. 141.87(d)).

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991, as amended at 59 FR 33862, June 30, 1994]

Sec. 141.82 Description of corrosion control treatment requirements.

Each system shall complete the corrosion control treatment requirements described below which are applicable to such system under Sec. 141.81.

(a) System recommendation regarding corrosion control treatment. Based upon the results of lead and copper tap monitoring and water quality parameter monitoring, small and medium-size water systems exceeding the lead or copper action level shall recommend installation of one or more of the corrosion control treatments listed in paragraph (c)(1) of this section which the system believes constitutes optimal corrosion control for that system. The State may require the system to conduct additional water quality parameter monitoring in accordance with Sec. 141.87(b) to assist the State in reviewing the system's

recommendation.

(b) State decision to require studies of corrosion control treatment (applicable to small and medium-size systems). The State may require any small or medium-size system that exceeds the lead or copper action level to perform corrosion control studies under paragraph (c) of this section to identify optimal corrosion control treatment for the system.

(c) Performance of corrosion control studies. (1) Any public water system performing corrosion control studies shall evaluate the effectiveness of each of the following treatments, and, if appropriate, combinations of the following treatments to identify the optimal corrosion control treatment for that system:

(i) Alkalinity and pH adjustment;

(ii) Calcium hardness adjustment; and

(iii) The addition of a phosphate or silicate based corrosion inhibitor at a concentration sufficient to maintain an effective residual concentration in all test tap samples.

(2) The water system shall evaluate each of the corrosion control treatments using either pipe rig/loop tests, metal coupon tests, partial-system tests, or analyses based on documented analogous treatments with other systems of similar size, water chemistry and distribution system configuration.

(3) The water system shall measure the following water quality parameters in any tests conducted under this paragraph before and after evaluating the corrosion control treatments listed above:

(i) Lead;

(ii) Copper;

(iii) pH;

(iv) Alkalinity;

(v) Calcium;

(vi) Conductivity;

(vii) Orthophosphate (when an inhibitor containing a phosphate compound is used);

(viii) Silicate (when an inhibitor containing a silicate compound is used);

(ix) Water temperature.

(4) The water system shall identify all chemical or physical constraints that limit or prohibit the use of a particular corrosion control treatment and document such constraints with at least one of the following:

(i) Data and documentation showing that a particular corrosion control treatment has adversely affected other water treatment processes when used by another water system with comparable water quality characteristics; and/or

(ii) Data and documentation demonstrating that the water system has previously attempted to evaluate a particular corrosion control treatment and has found that the treatment is ineffective or adversely affects other water quality treatment processes.

(5) The water system shall evaluate the effect of the chemicals used for corrosion control treatment on other water quality treatment processes.

(6) On the basis of an analysis of the data generated during each evaluation, the water system shall recommend to the State in writing the treatment option that the corrosion control studies indicate constitutes

optimal corrosion control treatment for that system. The water system shall provide a rationale for its recommendation along with all supporting documentation specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (5) of this section.

(d) State designation of optimal corrosion control treatment. (1) Based upon consideration of available information including, where applicable, studies performed under paragraph (c) of this section and a system's recommended treatment alternative, the State shall either approve the corrosion control treatment option recommended by the system, or designate alternative corrosion control treatment(s) from among those listed in paragraph (c)(1) of this section. When designating optimal treatment the State shall consider the effects that additional corrosion control treatment will have on water quality parameters and on other water quality treatment processes.

(2) The State shall notify the system of its decision on optimal corrosion control treatment in writing and explain the basis for this determination. If the State requests additional information to aid its review, the water system shall provide the information.

(e) Installation of optimal corrosion control. Each system shall properly install and operate throughout its distribution system the optimal corrosion control treatment designated by the State under paragraph (d) of this section.

(f) State review of treatment and specification of optimal water quality control parameters. The State shall evaluate the results of all lead and copper tap samples and water quality parameter samples submitted by the water system and determine whether the system has properly installed and operated the optimal corrosion control treatment designated by the State in paragraph (d) of this section. Upon reviewing the results of tap water and water quality parameter monitoring by the system, both before and after the system installs optimal corrosion control treatment, the State shall designate:

(1) A minimum value or a range of values for pH measured at each entry point to the distribution system;

(2) A minimum pH value, measured in all tap samples. Such value shall be equal to or greater than 7.0, unless the State determines that meeting a pH level of 7.0 is not technologically feasible or is not necessary for the system to optimize corrosion control;

(3) If a corrosion inhibitor is used, a minimum concentration or a range of concentrations for the inhibitor, measured at each entry point to the distribution system and in all tap samples, that the State determines is necessary to form a passivating film on the interior walls of the pipes of the distribution system;

(4) If alkalinity is adjusted as part of optimal corrosion control treatment, a minimum concentration or a range of concentrations for alkalinity, measured at each entry point to the distribution system and in all tap samples;

(5) If calcium carbonate stabilization is used as part of corrosion control, a minimum concentration or a range of concentrations for calcium, measured in all tap samples.

The values for the applicable water quality control parameters listed above shall be those that the State determines to reflect optimal corrosion control treatment for the system. The State may designate values for additional water quality control parameters determined by the State to reflect optimal corrosion control for the system. The State shall notify the system in writing of these determinations and explain

the basis for its decisions.

(g) Continued operation and monitoring. All systems shall maintain water quality parameter values at or above

[[Page 379]]

minimum values or within ranges designated by the State under paragraph (f) of this section in each sample collected under Sec. 141.87(d). If the water quality parameter value of any sample is below the minimum value or outside the range designated by the State, then the system is out of compliance with this paragraph. As specified in Sec. 141.87(d), the system may take a confirmation sample for any water quality parameter value no later than 3 days after the first sample. If a confirmation sample is taken, the result must be averaged with the first sampling result and the average must be used for any compliance determinations under this paragraph. States have discretion to delete results of obvious sampling errors from this calculation.

(h) Modification of State treatment decisions. Upon its own initiative or in response to a request by a water system or other interested party, a State may modify its determination of the optimal corrosion control treatment under paragraph (d) of this section or optimal water quality control parameters under paragraph (f) of this section. A request for modification by a system or other interested party shall be in writing, explain why the modification is appropriate, and provide supporting documentation. The State may modify its determination where it concludes that such change is necessary to ensure that the system continues to optimize corrosion control treatment. A revised determination shall be made in writing, set forth the new treatment requirements, explain the basis for the State's decision, and provide an implementation schedule for completing the treatment modifications.

(i) Treatment decisions bv EPA in lieu of the State. Pursuant to the procedures in Sec. 142.19, the EPA Regional Administrator may review treatment determinations made by a State under paragraphs (d), (f), or (h) of this section and issue federal treatment determinations consistent with the requirements of those paragraphs where the Regional Administrator finds that:

(1) A State has failed to issue a treatment determination by the applicable deadlines contained in Sec. 141.81,

(2) A State has abused its discretion in a substantial number of cases or in cases affecting a substantial population, or

(3) The technical aspects of a State's determination would be indefensible in an expected Federal enforcement action taken against a system.

Sec. 141.83 Source water treatment requirements.

Systems shall complete the applicable source water monitoring and treatment requirements (described in the referenced portions of paragraph (b) of this section, and in Secs. 141.86, and 141.88) by the following deadlines.

(a) Deadlines for completing source water treatment steps--(1) Step 1: A system exceeding the lead or copper action level shall complete lead and copper source water monitoring (Sec. 141.88(b)) and make a treatment recommendation to the State (Sec. 141.83(b)(1)) within 6 months after exceeding the lead or copper action level.

(2) Step 2: The State shall make a determination regarding source water treatment (Sec. 141.83(b)(2)) within 6 months after submission of monitoring results under step 1.

(3) Step 3: If the State requires installation of source water treatment, the system shall install the treatment (Sec. 141.83(b)(3)) within 24 months after completion of step 2.

(4) Step 4: The system shall complete follow-up tap water monitoring (Sec. 141.86(d)(2) and source water monitoring (Sec. 141.88(c)) within 36 months after completion of step 2.

(5) Step 5: The State shall review the system's installation and operation of source water treatment and specify maximum permissible source water levels (Sec. 141.83(b)(4)) within 6 months after completion of step 4.

(6) Step 6: The system shall operate in compliance with the State-specified maximum permissible lead and copper source water levels (Sec. 141.83(b)(4)) and continue source water monitoring (Sec. 141.88(d)).

(b) Description of source water treatment requirements--(1) System treatment recommendation. Any system which exceeds the lead or copper action level shall recommend in writing to the State the installation and operation of one of the source water treatments

[[Page 380]]

listed in paragraph (b)(2) of this section. A system may recommend that no treatment be installed based upon a demonstration that source water treatment is not necessary to minimize lead and copper levels at users' taps.

(2) State determination regarding source water treatment. The State shall complete an evaluation of the results of all source water samples submitted by the water system to determine whether source water treatment is necessary to minimize lead or copper levels in water delivered to users' taps. If the State determines that treatment is needed, the State shall either require installation and operation of the source water treatment recommended by the system (if any) or require the installation and operation of another source water treatment from among the following: Ion exchange, reverse osmosis, lime softening or coagulation/filtration. If the State requests additional information to aid in its review, the water system shall provide the information by the date specified by the State in its request. The State shall notify the system in writing of its determination and set forth the basis for its decision.

(3) Installation of source water treatment. Each system shall properly install and operate the source water treatment designated by the State under paragraph (b)(2) of this section.

(4) State review of source water treatment and specification of maximum permissible source water levels. The State shall review the source water samples taken by the water system both before and after the system installs source water treatment, and determine whether the system has properly installed and operated the source water treatment designated by the State. Based upon its review, the State shall designate the maximum permissible lead and copper concentrations for finished water entering the distribution system. Such levels shall reflect the contaminant removal capability of the treatment properly operated and maintained. The State shall notify the system in writing and explain the basis for its decision.

(5) Continued operation and maintenance. Each water system shall maintain lead and copper levels below the maximum permissible concentrations designated by the State at each sampling point monitored in accordance with Sec. 141.88. The system is out of compliance with this paragraph if the level of lead or copper at any sampling point is greater than the maximum permissible concentration designated by the State.

(6) Modification of State treatment decisions. Upon its own initiative or in response to a request by a water system or other interested party, a State may modify its determination of the source water treatment under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, or maximum permissible lead and copper concentrations for finished water entering the distribution system under paragraph (b)(4) of this section. A request for modification by a system or other interested party shall be in writing, explain why the modification is appropriate, and provide supporting documentation. The State may modify its determination where it concludes that such change is necessary to ensure that the system continues to minimize lead and copper concentrations in source water. A revised determination shall be made in writing, set forth the new treatment requirements, explain the basis for the State's decision, and provide an implementation schedule for completing the treatment modifications.

(7) Treatment decisions by EPA in lieu of the State. Pursuant to the procedures in Sec. 142.19, the EPA Regional Administrator may review treatment determinations made by a State under paragraphs (b) (2), (4), or (6) of this section and issue Federal treatment determinations consistent with the requirements of those paragraphs where the Administrator finds that:

(i) A State has failed to issue a treatment determination by the applicable deadlines contained in Sec. 141.83(a),

(ii) A state has abused its discretion in a substantial number of cases or in cases affecting a substantial population, or

(iii) The technical aspects of a State's determination would be indefensible in an expected Federal enforcement action taken against a system.

[[Page 381]]

Sec. 141.84 Lead service line replacement requirements.

(a) Systems that fail to meet the lead action level in tap samples taken pursuant to Sec. 141.86(d)(2), after installing corrosion control and/or source water treatment (whichever sampling occurs later), shall replace lead service lines in accordance with the requirements of this section. If a system is in violation of Sec. 141.81 or Sec. 141.83 for failure to install source water or corrosion control treatment, the State may require the system to commence lead service line replacement under this section after the date by which the system was required to conduct monitoring under Sec. 141.86(d)(2) has passed.

(b) A system shall replace annually at least 7 percent of the initial number of lead service lines in its distribution system. The initial number of lead service lines is the number of lead lines in place at the time the replacement program begins. The system shall identify the initial number of lead service lines in its distribution system based upon a materials evaluation, including the evaluation required under Sec. 141.86(a). The first year of lead service line

replacement shall begin on the date the action level was exceeded in tap sampling referenced in paragraph (a) of this section.

(c) A system is not required to replace an individual lead service line if the lead concentration in all service line samples from that line, taken pursuant to Sec. 141.86(b)(3), is less than or equal to 0.015 mg/L.

(d) A water system shall replace the entire service line (up to the building inlet) unless it demonstrates to the satisfaction of the State under paragraph (e) of this section that it controls less than the entire service line. In such cases, the system shall replace the portion of the line which the State determines is under the system's control. The system shall notify the user served by the line that the system will replace the portion of the service line under its control and shall offer to replace the building owner's portion of the line, but is not required to bear the cost of replacing the building owner's portion of the line. For buildings where only a portion of the lead service line is replaced, the water system shall inform the resident(s) that the system will collect a first flush tap water sample after partial replacement of the service line is completed if the resident(s) so desire. In cases where the resident(s) accept the offer, the system shall collect the sample and report the results to the resident(s) within 14 days following partial lead service line replacement.

(e) A water system is presumed to control the entire lead service line (up to the building inlet) unless the system demonstrates to the satisfaction of the State, in a letter submitted under Sec. 141.90(e)(4), that it does not have any of the following forms of control over the entire line (as defined by state statutes, municipal ordinances, public service contracts or other applicable legal authority): authority to set standards for construction, repair, or maintenance of the line, authority to replace, repair, or maintain the service line, or ownership of the service line. The State shall review the information supplied by the system and determine whether the system controls less than the entire service line and, in such cases, shall determine the extent of the system's control. The State's determination shall be in writing and explain the basis for its decision.

(f) The State shall require a system to replace lead service lines on a shorter schedule than that required by this section, taking into account the number of lead service lines in the system, where such a shorter replacement schedule is feasible. The State shall make this determination in writing and notify the system of its finding within 6 months after the system is triggered into lead service line replacement based on monitoring referenced in paragraph (a) of this section.

(g) Any system may cease replacing lead service lines whenever first draw samples collected pursuant to Sec. 141.86(b)(2) meet the lead action level during each of two consecutive monitoring periods and the system submits the results to the State. If first draw tap samples collected in any such system thereafter exceeds the lead action level, the system shall recommence replacing lead service lines pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section.

(h) To demonstrate compliance with paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section, a system shall report to the State the information specified in Sec. 141.90(e).

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 57 FR 28788, June 29, 1992]

Sec. 141.85 Public education and supplemental monitoring requirements.

A water system that exceeds the lead action level based on tap water samples collected in accordance with Sec. 141.86 shall deliver the public education materials contained in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section in accordance with the requirements in paragraph (c) of this section.

(a) Content of written materials. A water system shall include the following text in all of the printed materials it distributes through its lead public education program. Any additional information presented by a system shall be consistent with the information below and be in plain English that can be understood by laypersons.

(1) Introduction. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and [insert name of water supplier] are concerned about lead in your drinking water. Although most homes have very low levels of lead in their drinking water, some homes in the community have lead levels above the EPA action level of 15 parts per billion (ppb), or 0.015 milligrams of lead per liter of water (mg/L). Under Federal law we are required to have a program in place to minimize lead in your drinking water by [insert date when corrosion control will be completed for your system]. This program includes corrosion control treatment, source water treatment, and public education. We are also required to replace each lead service line that we control if the line contributes lead concentrations of more than 15 ppb after we have completed the comprehensive treatment program. If you have any questions about how we are carrying out the requirements of the lead regulation please give us a call at [insert water system's phone number]. This brochure explains the simple steps you can take to protect you and your family by reducing your exposure to lead in drinking water.

(2) Health effects of lead. Lead is a common metal found throughout the environment in lead-based paint, air, soil, household dust, food, certain types of pottery porcelain and pewter, and water. Lead can pose a significant risk to your health if too much of it enters your body. Lead builds up in the body over many years and can cause damage to the brain, red blood cells and kidneys. The greatest risk is to young children and pregnant women. Amounts of lead that won't hurt adults can slow down normal mental and physical development of growing bodies. In addition, a child at play often comes into contact with sources of lead contamination--like dirt and dust--that rarely affect an adult. It is important to wash children's hands and toys often, and to try to make sure they only put food in their mouths.

(3) Lead in drinking water. (i) Lead in drinking water, although rarely the sole cause of lead poisoning, can significantly increase a person's total lead exposure, particularly the exposure of infants who drink baby formulas and concentrated juices that are mixed with water. The EPA estimates that drinking water can make up 20 percent or more of a person's total exposure to lead.

(ii) Lead is unusual among drinking water contaminants in that it seldom occurs naturally in water supplies like rivers and lakes. Lead enters drinking water primarily as a result of the corrosion, or wearing away, of materials containing lead in the water distribution system and household plumbing. These materials include lead-based solder used to join copper pipe, brass and chrome plated brass faucets, and in some cases, pipes made of lead that connect your house to the water main

(service lines). In 1986, Congress banned the use of lead solder containing greater than 0.2% lead, and restricted the lead content of faucets, pipes and other plumbing materials to 8.0%.

(iii) When water stands in lead pipes or plumbing systems containing lead for several hours or more, the lead may dissolve into your drinking water. This means the first water drawn from the tap in the morning, or later in the afternoon after returning from work or

[[Page 383]]

school, can contain fairly high levels of lead.

(4) Steps you can take in the home to reduce exposure to lead in drinking water. (i) Despite our best efforts mentioned earlier to control water corrosivity and remove lead from the water supply, lead levels in some homes or buildings can be high. To find out whether you need to take action in your own home, have your drinking water tested to determine if it contains excessive concentrations of lead. Testing the water is essential because you cannot see, taste, or smell lead in drinking water. Some local laboratories that can provide this service are listed at the end of this booklet. For more information on having your water tested, please call [insert phone number of water system].

(ii) If a water test indicates that the drinking water drawn from a tap in your home contains lead above 15 ppb, then you should take the following precautions:

(A) Let the water run from the tap before using it for drinking or cooking any time the water in a faucet has gone unused for more than six hours. The longer water resides in your home's plumbing the more lead it may contain. Flushing the tap means running the cold water faucet until the water gets noticeably colder, usually about 15-30 seconds. If your house has a lead service line to the water main, you may have to flush the water for a longer time, perhaps one minute, before drinking. Although toilet flushing or showering flushes water through a portion of your home's plumbing system, you still need to flush the water in each faucet before using it for drinking or cooking. Flushing tap water is a simple and inexpensive measure you can take to protect your family's health. It usually uses less than one or two gallons of water and costs less than [insert a cost estimate based on flushing two times a day for 30 days] per month. To conserve water, fill a couple of bottles for drinking water after flushing the tap, and whenever possible use the first flush water to wash the dishes or water the plants. If you live in a high-rise building, letting the water flow before using it may not work to lessen your risk from lead. The plumbing systems have more, and sometimes larger pipes than smaller buildings. Ask your landlord for help in locating the source of the lead and for advice on reducing the lead level.

(B) Try not to cook with, or drink water from the hot water tap. Hot water can dissolve more lead more quickly than cold water. If you need hot water, draw water from the cold tap and heat it on the stove.

(C) Remove loose lead solder and debris from the plumbing materials installed in newly constructed homes, or homes in which the plumbing has recently been replaced, by removing the faucet strainers from all taps and running the water from 3 to 5 minutes. Thereafter, periodically remove the strainers and flush out any debris that has accumulated over time.

(D) If your copper pipes are joined with lead solder that has been installed illegally since it was banned in 1986, notify the plumber who

did the work and request that he or she replace the lead solder with lead-free solder. Lead solder looks dull gray, and when scratched with a key looks shiny. In addition, notify your State [insert name of department responsible for enforcing the Safe Drinking Water Act in your State] about the violation.

(E) Determine whether or not the service line that connects your home or apartment to the water main is made of lead. The best way to determine if your service line is made of lead is by either hiring a licensed plumber to inspect the line or by contacting the plumbing contractor who installed the line. You can identify the plumbing contractor by checking the city's record of building permits which should be maintained in the files of the [insert name of department that issues building permits]. A licensed plumber can at the same time check to see if your homes's plumbing contains lead solder, lead pipes, or pipe fittings that contain lead. The public water system that delivers water to your home should also maintain records of the materials located in the distribution system. If the service line that connects your dwelling to the water main contributes more than 15 ppb to drinking water, after our comprehensive treatment program is in place, we are required to replace the line. If the line

[[Page 384]]

is only partially controlled by the [insert name of the city, county, or water system that controls the line], we are required to provide you with information on how to replace your portion of the service line, and offer to replace that portion of the line at your expense and take a follow-up tap water sample within 14 days of the replacement. Acceptable replacement alternatives include copper, steel, iron, and plastic pipes.

(F) Have an electrician check your wiring. If grounding wires from the electrical system are attached to your pipes, corrosion may be greater. Check with a licensed electrician or your local electrical code to determine if your wiring can be grounded elsewhere. DO NOT attempt to change the wiring yourself because improper grounding can cause electrical shock and fire hazards.

(iii) The steps described above will reduce the lead concentrations in your drinking water. However, if a water test indicates that the drinking water coming from your tap contains lead concentrations in excess of 15 ppb after flushing, or after we have completed our actions to minimize lead levels, then you may want to take the following additional measures:

(A) Purchase or lease a home treatment device. Home treatment devices are limited in that each unit treats only the water that flows from the faucet to which it is connected, and all of the devices require periodic maintenance and replacement. Devices such as reverse osmosis systems or distillers can effectively remove lead from your drinking water. Some activated carbon filters may reduce lead levels at the tap, however all lead reduction claims should be investigated. Be sure to check the actual performance of a specific home treatment device before and after installing the unit.

(B) Purchase bottled water for drinking and cooking.

(iv) You can consult a variety of sources for additional information. Your family doctor or pediatrician can perform a blood test for lead and provide you with information about the health effects of lead. State and local government agencies that can be contacted include:

(A) [insert the name of city or county department of public

utilities] at [insert phone number] can provide you with information about your community's water supply, and a list of local laboratories that have been certified by EPA for testing water quality;

(B) [insert the name of city or county department that issues building permits] at [insert phone number] can provide you with information about building permit records that should contain the names of plumbing contractors that plumbed your home; and

(C) [insert the name of the State Department of Public Health] at [insert phone number] or the [insert the name of the city or county health department] at [insert phone number] can provide you with information about the health effects of lead and how you can have your child's blood tested.

(v) The following is a list of some State approved laboratories in your area that you can call to have your water tested for lead. [Insert names and phone numbers of at least two laboratories].

(b) Content of broadcast materials. A water system shall include the fol-
lowing information in all public service announcements submitted under its lead public education program to television and radio stations for broadcasting:

(1) Why should everyone want to know the facts about lead and drinking water? Because unhealthy amounts of lead can enter drinking water through the plumbing in your home. That's why I urge you to do what I did. I had my water tested for [insert free or $ per sample]. You can contact the [insert the name of the city or water system] for information on testing and on simple ways to reduce your exposure to lead in drinking water.

(2) To have your water tested for lead, or to get more information about this public health concern, please call [insert the phone number of the city or water system].

(c) Delivery of a public education program. (1) In communities where a significant proportion of the population speaks a language other than English, public education materials shall be communicated in the appropriate language(s).

[[Page 385]]

(2) A community water system that fails to meet the lead action level on the basis of tap water samples collected in accordance with Sec. 141.86 shall, within 60 days:

(i) Insert notices in each customer's water utility bill containing the information in paragraph (a) of this section, along with the following alert on the water bill itself in large print: ``SOME HOMES IN THIS COMMUNITY HAVE ELEVATED LEAD LEVELS IN THEIR DRINKING WATER. LEAD CAN POSE A SIGNIFICANT RISK TO YOUR HEALTH. PLEASE READ THE ENCLOSED NOTICE FOR FURTHER INFORMATION."

(ii) Submit the information in paragraph (a) of this section to the editorial departments of the major daily and weekly newspapers circulated throughout the community.

(iii) Deliver pamphlets and/or brochures that contain the public education materials in paragraphs (a) (2) and (4) of this section to facilities and organizations, including the following:

(A) Public schools and/or local school boards;

(B) City or county health department;

(C) Women, Infants, and Children and/or Head Start Program(s) whenever available;

(D) Public and private hospitals and/or clinics;
(E) Pediatricians;
(F) Family planning clinics; and
(G) Local welfare agencies.
(iv) Submit the public service announcement in paragraph (b) of this section to at least five of the radio and television stations with the largest audiences that broadcast to the community served by the water system.
(3) A community water system shall repeat the tasks contained in paragraphs (c)(2) (i), (ii) and (iii) of this section every 12 months, and the tasks contained in paragraphs (c)(2)(iv) of this section every 6 months for as long as the system exceeds the lead action level.
(4) Within 60 days after it exceeds the lead action level, a non-transient non-community water system shall deliver the public education materials contained in paragraphs (a) (1), (2), and (4) of this section as follows:
(i) Post informational posters on lead in drinking water in a public place or common area in each of the buildings served by the system; and
(ii) Distribute informational pamphlets and/or brochures on lead in drinking water to each person served by the non-transient non-community water system.
(5) A non-transient non-community water system shall repeat the tasks contained in paragraph (c)(4) of this section at least once during each calendar year in which the system exceeds the lead action level.
(6) A water system may discontinue delivery of public education materials if the system has met the lead action level during the most recent six-month monitoring period conducted pursuant to Sec. 141.86. Such a system shall recommence public education in accordance with this section if it subsequently exceeds the lead action level during any monitoring period.
(d) Supplemental monitoring and notification of results. A water system that fails to meet the lead action level on the basis of tap samples collected in accordance with Sec. 141.86 shall offer to sample the tap water of any customer who requests it. The system is not required to pay for collecting or analyzing the sample, nor is the system required to collect and analyze the sample itself.

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 57 FR 28788, June 29, 1992]

Sec. 141.86 Monitoring requirements for lead and copper in tap water.

(a) Sample site location. (1) By the applicable date for commencement of monitoring under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, each water system shall complete a materials evaluation of its distribution system in order to identify a pool of targeted sampling sites that meets the requirements of this section, and which is sufficiently large to ensure that the water system can collect the number of lead and copper tap samples required in paragraph (c) of this section. All sites from which first draw samples are collected shall be selected from this pool of targeted sampling sites. Sampling sites may not include faucets that have point-of-use or point-

[[Page 386]]

of-entry treatment devices designed to remove inorganic contaminants.
(2) A water system shall use the information on lead, copper, and

galvanized steel that it is required to collect under Sec. 141.42(d) of this part [special monitoring for corrosivity characteristics] when conducting a materials evaluation. When an evaluation of the information collected pursuant to Sec. 141.42(d) is insufficient to locate the requisite number of lead and copper sampling sites that meet the targeting criteria in paragraph (a) of this section, the water system shall review the sources of information listed below in order to identify a sufficient number of sampling sites. In addition, the system shall seek to collect such information where possible in the course of its normal operations (e.g., checking service line materials when reading water meters or performing maintenance activities):

(i) All plumbing codes, permits, and records in the files of the building department(s) which indicate the plumbing materials that are installed within publicly and privately owned structures connected to the distribution system;

(ii) All inspections and records of the distribution system that indicate the material composition of the service connections that connect a structure to the distribution system; and

(iii) All existing water quality information, which includes the results of all prior analyses of the system or individual structures connected to the system, indicating locations that may be particularly susceptible to high lead or copper concentrations.

(3) The sampling sites selected for a community water system's sampling pool (``tier l sampling sites'') shall consist of single family structures that:

(i) Contain copper pipes with lead solder installed after 1982 or contain lead pipes; and/or

(ii) Are served by a lead service line. When multiple-family residences comprise at least 20 percent of the structures served by a water system, the system may include these types of structures in its sampling pool.

(4) Any community water system with insufficient tier 1 sampling sites shall complete its sampling pool with ``tier 2 sampling sites'', consisting of buildings, including multiple-family residences that:

(i) Contain copper pipes with lead solder installed after 1982 or contain lead pipes; and/or

(ii) Are served by a lead service line.

(5) Any community water system with insufficient tier 1 and tier 2 sampling sites shall complete its sampling pool with ``tier 3 sampling sites'', consisting of single family structures that contain copper pipes with lead solder installed before 1983.

(6) The sampling sites selected for a non-transient noncommunity water system (``tier l sampling sites'') shall consist of buildings that:

(i) Contain copper pipes with lead solder installed after 1982 or contain lead pipes; and/or

(ii) Are served by a lead service line.

(7) A non-transient non-community water system with insufficient tier 1 sites that meet the targeting criteria in paragraph (a)(6) of this section shall complete its sampling pool with sampling sites that contain copper pipes with lead solder installed before 1983.

(8) Any water system whose sampling pool does not consist exclusively of tier 1 sites shall demonstrate in a letter submitted to the State under Sec. 141.90(a)(2) why a review of the information listed in paragraph (a)(2) of this section was inadequate to locate a sufficient number of tier l sites. Any community water system which

includes tier 3 sampling sites in its sampling pool shall demonstrate in such a letter why it was unable to locate a sufficient number of tier l and tier 2 sampling sites.

(9) Any water system whose distribution system contains lead service lines shall draw 50 percent of the samples it collects during each monitoring period from sites that contain lead pipes, or copper pipes with lead solder, and 50 percent of the samples from sites served by a lead service line. A water system that cannot identify a sufficient number of sampling sites served by a lead service line shall demonstrate in a letter submitted to the State under Sec. 141.90(a)(4) why the system was unable to locate a sufficient number of such sites. Such a water system shall collect first draw samples

from all of the sites identified as being served by such lines.

(b) Sample collection methods. (1) All tap samples for lead and copper collected in accordance with this subpart, with the exception of lead service line samples collected under Sec. 141.84(c), shall be first draw samples.

(2) Each first draw tap sample for lead and copper shall be one liter in volume and have stood motionless in the plumbing system of each sampling site for at least six hours. First draw samples from residential housing shall be collected from the cold water kitchen tap or bathroom sink tap. First-draw samples from a nonresidential building shall be collected at an interior tap from which water is typically drawn for consumption. First draw samples may be collected by the system or the system may allow residents to collect first draw samples after instructing the residents of the sampling procedures specified in this paragraph. To avoid problems of residents handling nitric acid, acidification of first draw samples may be done up to 14 days after the sample is collected. If the sample is not acidified immediately after collection, then the sample must stand in the original container for at least 28 hours after acidification. If a system allows residents to perform sampling, the system may not challenge, based on alleged errors in sample collection, the accuracy of sampling results.

(3) Each service line sample shall be one liter in volume and have stood motionless in the lead service line for at least six hours. Lead service line samples shall be collected in one of the following three ways:

(i) At the tap after flushing the volume of water between the tap and the lead service line. The volume of water shall be calculated based on the interior diameter and length of the pipe between the tap and the lead service line;

(ii) Tapping directly into the lead service line; or

(iii) If the sampling site is a building constructed as a single-family residence, allowing the water to run until there is a significant change in temperature which would be indicative of water that has been standing in the lead service line.

(4) A water system shall collect each first draw tap sample from the same sampling site from which it collected a previous sample. If, for any reason, the water system cannot gain entry to a sampling site in order to collect a follow-up tap sample, the system may collect the follow-up tap sample from another sampling site in its sampling pool as long as the new site meets the same targeting criteria, and is within reasonable proximity of the original site.

(c) Number of samples. Water systems shall collect at least one sample during each monitoring period specified in paragraph (d) of this section from the number of sites listed in the first column below (``standard monitoring''). A system conducting reduced monitoring under paragraph (d)(4) of this section may collect one sample from the number of sites specified in the second column below during each monitoring period specified in paragraph (d)(4) of this section.

System size (No. people served)	No. of sites (standard monitoring)	No. of sites (reduced monitoring)
>100,000	100	50
10,001-100,000	60	30
3,301 to 10,000	40	20
501 to 3,300	20	10
101 to 500	10	5
100	5	5

(d) Timing of monitoring--(1) Initial tap sampling.

The first six-month monitoring period for small, medium-size and large systems shall begin on the following dates:

System size (No. people served)	First six-month monitoring period begins on
>50,000	January 1, 1992.
3,301 to 50,000	July 1, 1992.
<ls-thn-eq>3,300	July 1, 1993.

(i) All large systems shall monitor during two consecutive six-month periods.

(ii) All small and medium-size systems shall monitor during each six-month monitoring period until:

(A) The system exceeds the lead or copper action level and is therefore required to implement the corrosion control treatment requirements under Sec. 141.81, in which case the system shall

[[Page 388]]

continue monitoring in accordance with paragraph (d)(2) of this section, or

(B) The system meets the lead and copper action levels during two consecutive six-month monitoring periods, in which case the system may reduce monitoring in accordance with paragraph (d)(4) of this section.

(2) Monitoring after installation of corrosion control and source water treatment. (i) Any large system which installs optimal corrosion control treatment pursuant to Sec. 141.81(d)(4) shall monitor during two consecutive six-month monitoring periods by the date specified in Sec. 141.81(d)(5).

(ii) Any small or medium-size system which installs optimal corrosion control treatment pursuant to Sec. 141.81(e)(5) shall monitor

during two consecutive six-month monitoring periods by the date specified in Sec. 141.81(e)(6).

(iii) Any system which installs source water treatment pursuant to Sec. 141.83(a)(3) shall monitor during two consecutive six-month monitoring periods by the date specified in Sec. 141.83(a)(4).

(3) Monitoring after State specifies water quality parameter values for optimal corrosion control. After the State specifies the values for water quality control parameters under Sec. 141.82(f), the system shall monitor during each subsequent six-month monitoring period, with the first monitoring period to begin on the date the State specifies the optimal values under Sec. 141.82(f).

(4) Reduced monitoring. (i) A small or medium-size water system that meets the lead and copper action levels during each of two consecutive six-month monitoring periods may reduce the number of samples in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section, and reduce the frequency of sampling to once per year.

(ii) Any water system that maintains the range of values for the water quality control parameters reflecting optimal corrosion control treatment specified by the State under Sec. 141.82(f) during each of two consecutive six-month monitoring periods may request that the State allow the system to reduce the frequency of monitoring to once per year and to reduce the number of lead and copper samples in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section. The State shall review the information submitted by the water system and shall make its decision in writing, setting forth the basis for its determination. The State shall review, and where appropriate, revise its determination when the system submits new monitoring or treatment data, or when other data relevant to the number and frequency of tap sampling becomes available.

(iii) A small or medium-size water system that meets the lead and copper action levels during three consecutive years of monitoring may reduce the frequency of monitoring for lead and copper from annually to once every three years. Any water system that maintains the range of values for the water quality control parameters reflecting optimal corrosion control treatment specified by the State under Sec. 141.82(f) during three consecutive years of monitoring may request that the State allow the system to reduce the frequency of monitoring from annually to once every three years. The State shall review the information submitted by the water system and shall make its decision in writing, setting forth the basis for its determination. The State shall review, and where appropriate, revise its determination when the system submits new monitoring or treatment data, or when other data relevant to the number and frequency of tap sampling becomes available.

(iv) A water system that reduces the number and frequency of sampling shall collect these samples from sites included in the pool of targeted sampling sites identified in paragraph (a) of this section. Systems sampling annually or less frequently shall conduct the lead and copper tap sampling during the months of June, July, August or September.

(v) A small- or medium-size water system subject to reduced monitoring that exceeds the lead or copper action level shall resume sampling in accordance with paragraph (d)(3) of this section and collect the number of samples specified for standard monitoring under paragraph (d) of this section. Such system shall also conduct water quality parameter monitoring in accordance with Sec. 141.87 (b), (c) or (d) (as

appropriate) during the monitoring period in which it exceeded the action level. Any water system subject to the reduced monitoring frequency that fails to operate within the range of values for the water quality parameters specified by the State under Sec. 141.82(f) shall resume tap water sampling in accordance with paragraph (d)(3) of this section and collect the number of samples specified for standard monitoring under paragraph (c) of this section.

(e) Additional monitoring by systems. The results of any monitoring conducted in addition to the minimum requirements of this section shall be considered by the system and the State in making any determinations (i.e., calculating the 90th percentile lead or copper level) under this subpart.

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 56 FR 32113, July 15, 1991; 57 FR 28788, June 29, 1992]

Sec. 141.87 Monitoring requirements for water quality parameters.

All large water systems, and all small- and medium-size systems that exceed the lead or copper action level shall monitor water quality parameters in addition to lead and copper in accordance with this section. The requirements of this section are summarized in the table at the end of this section.

(a) General requirements--(1) Sample collection methods. (i) Tap samples shall be representative of water quality throughout the distribution system taking into account the number of persons served, the different sources of water, the different treatment methods employed by the system, and seasonal variability. Tap sampling under this section is not required to be conducted at taps targeted for lead and copper sampling under Sec. 141.86(a). [Note: Systems may find it convenient to conduct tap sampling for water quality parameters at sites used for coliform sampling under 40 CFR 141.21.]

(ii) Samples collected at the entry point(s) to the distribution system shall be from locations representative of each source after treatment. If a system draws water from more than one source and the sources are combined before distribution, the system must sample at an entry point to the distribution system during periods of normal operating conditions (i.e., when water is representative of all sources being used).

(2) Number of samples. (i) Systems shall collect two tap samples for applicable water quality parameters during each monitoring period specified under paragraphs (b) through (e) of this section from the following number of sites.

System size (No. people served)	No. of sites for water quality parameters
>100,000	25
10,001-100,000	10
3,301 to 10,000	3
501 to 3,300	2
101 to 500	1

(ii) Systems shall collect two samples for each applicable water quality parameter at each entry point to the distribution system during each monitoring period specified in paragraph (b) of this section. During each monitoring period specified in paragraphs (c)-(e) of this section, systems shall collect one sample for each applicable water quality parameter at each entry point to the distribution system.

(b) Initial sampling All large water systems shall measure the applicable water quality parameters as specified below at taps and at each entry point to the distribution system during each six-month monitoring period specified in Sec. 141.86(d)(1). All small and medium-size systems shall measure the applicable water quality parameters at the locations specified below during each six-month monitoring period specified in Sec. 141.86(d)(1) during which the system exceeds the lead or copper action level.

(1) At taps:

(i) pH;

(ii) Alkalinity;

(iii) Orthophosphate, when an inhibitor containing a phosphate compound is used;

(iv) Silica, when an inhibitor containing a silicate compound is used;

(v) Calcium;

(vi) Conductivity; and

(vii) Water temperature.

(2) At each entry point to the distribution system: all of the applicable parameters listed in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

[[Page 390]]

(c) Monitoring after installation of corrosion control. Any large system which installs optimal corrosion control treatment pursuant to Sec. 141.81(d)(4) shall measure the water quality parameters at the locations and frequencies specified below during each six-month monitoring period specified in Sec. 141.86(d)(2)(i). Any small or medium-size system which installs optimal corrosion control treatment shall conduct such monitoring during each six-month monitoring period specified in Sec. 141.86(d)(2)(ii) in which the system exceeds the lead or copper action level.

(1) At taps, two samples for:

(i) pH;

(ii) Alkalinity;

(iii) Orthophosphate, when an inhibitor containing a phosphate compound is used;

(iv) Silica, when an inhibitor containing a silicate compound is used;

(v) Calcium, when calcium carbonate stabilization is used as part of corrosion control.

(2) At each entry point to the distribution system, one sample every two weeks (bi-weekly) for:

(i) pH;

(ii) When alkalinity is adjusted as part of optimal corrosion control, a reading of the dosage rate of the chemical used to adjust alkalinity, and the alkalinity concentration; and

(iii) When a corrosion inhibitor is used as part of optimal corrosion control, a reading of the dosage rate of the inhibitor used, and the concentration of orthophosphate or silica (whichever is applicable).

(d) Monitoring after State specifies water quality parameter values for optimal corrosion control. After the State specifies the values for applicable water quality control parameters reflecting optimal corrosion control treatment under Sec. 141.82(f), all large systems shall measure the applicable water quality parameters in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section during each monitoring period specified in Sec. 141.86(d)(3). Any small or medium-size system shall conduct such monitoring during each monitoring period specified in Sec. 141.86(d)(3) in which the system exceeds the lead or copper action level. The system may take a confirmation sample for any water quality parameter value no later than 3 days after the first sample. If a confirmation sample is taken, the result must be averaged with the first sampling result and the average must be used for any compliance determinations under Sec. 141.82(g). States have discretion to delete results of obvious sampling errors from this calculation.

(e) Reduced monitoring. (1) Any water system that maintains the range of values for the water quality parameters reflecting optimal corrosion control treatment during each of two consecutive six-month monitoring periods under paragraph (d) of this section shall continue monitoring at the entry point(s) to the distribution system as specified in paragraph (c)(2) of this section. Such system may collect two tap samples for applicable water quality parameters from the following reduced number of sites during each six-month monitoring period.

System size (No. of people served)	Reduced No. of sites for water quality parameters
>100,000	10
10,001 to 100,000	7
3,301 to 10,000	3
501 to 3,300	2
101 to 500	1
100	1

(2) Any water system that maintains the range of values for the water quality parameters reflecting optimal corrosion control treatment specified by the State under Sec. 141.82(f) during three consecutive years of monitoring may reduce the frequency with which it collects the number of tap samples for applicable water quality parameters specified in this paragraph (e)(1) of this section from every six months to annually. Any water system that maintains the range of values for the water quality parameters reflecting optimal corrosion control treatment specified by the State under Sec. 141.82(f) during three consecutive years of annual monitoring under this paragraph may reduce the frequency with which it collects the number of tap samples for applicable water quality parameters specified in paragraph (e)(1) from annually to every three years.

(3) A water system that conducts sampling annually shall collect

these

[[Page 391]]

samples evenly throughout the year so as to reflect seasonal variability.

(4) Any water system subject to the reduced monitoring frequency that fails to operate within the range of values for the water quality parameters specified by the State in Sec. 141.82(f) shall resume tap water sampling in accordance with the number and frequency requirements in paragraph (d) of this section.

(f) Additional monitoring by systems. The results of any monitoring conducted in addition to the minimum requirements of this section shall be considered by the system and the State in making any determinations (i.e., determining concentrations of water quality parameters) under this section or Sec. 141.82.

Summary of Monitoring Requirements for Water Quality Parameters \1\

Monitoring Period	Parameters \2\	Location	Frequency
Initial Monitoring.....	pH, alkalinity, orthophosphate or silica \3\, calcium, conductivity, temperature.	Taps and at entry point(s) to distribution system.	Every 6 months
After Installation of Corrosion Control.	pH, alkalinity, orthophosphate or silica \3\, calcium \4\.	Taps.....	Every 6 months
	pH, alkalinity dosage rate and concentration (if alkalinity adjusted as part of corrosion control), inhibitor dosage rate and inhibitor residual \5\.	Entry point(s) to distribution system.	Biweekly
After State Specifies Parameter Values For Optimal Corrosion Control.	pH, alkalinity, orthophosphate or silica \3\, calcium \4\.	Taps.....	Every 6 months
	pH, alkalinity dosage rate and concentration (if alkalinity adjusted as part of corrosion control), inhibitor dosage rate and inhibitor residual \5\.	Entry point(s) to distribution system.	Biweekly

Reduced Monitoring	pH, alkalinity, orthophosphate or silica \3\, calcium \4\.	Taps	Every 6 months at a reduced number of sites
	pH, alkalinity dosage rate and concentration (if alkalinity adjusted as part of corrosion control), inhibitor dosage rate and inhibitor residual \5\.	Entry point(s) to distribution system.	Biweekly

\1\ Table is for illustrative purposes; consult the text of this section for precise regulatory requirements.
\2\ Small and medium-size systems have to monitor for water quality parameters only during monitoring periods in which the system exceeds the lead or copper action level.
\3\ Orthophosphate must be measured only when an inhibitor containing a phosphate compound is used. Silica must be measured only when an inhibitor containing silicate compound is used.
\4\ Calcium must be measured only when calcium carbonate stabilization is used as part of corrosion control.
\5\ Inhibitor dosage rates and inhibitor residual concentrations (orthophosphate or silica) must be measured only when an inhibitor is used.

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 57 FR 28788, June 29, 1992, as amended at 59 FR 33862, June 30, 1994]

Sec. 141.88 Monitoring requirements for lead and copper in source water.

(a) Sample location, collection methods, and number of samples. (1) A water system that fails to meet the lead or copper action level on the basis of tap samples collected in accordance with Sec. 141.86 shall collect lead and copper source water samples in accordance with the requirements regarding sample location, number of samples, and collection methods specified in Sec. 141.23(a)(1)-(4) (inorganic chemical sampling). (Note: The timing of sampling for lead and copper shall be in accordance with paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, and not dates specified in Sec. 141.23(a)(1) and (2)).

(2) Where the results of sampling indicate an exceedance of maximum permissible source water levels established under Sec. 141.83(b)(4), the State may require that one additional sample be collected as soon as possible after

[[Page 392]]

the initial sample was taken (but not to exceed two weeks) at the same sampling point. If a State-required confirmation sample is taken for lead or copper, then the results of the initial and confirmation sample shall be averaged in determining compliance with the State-specified maximum permissible levels. Any sample value below the detection limit

shall be considered to be zero. Any value above the detection limit but below the PQL shall either be considered as the measured value or be considered one-half the PQL.

(b) Monitoring frequency after system exceeds tap water action level. Any system which exceeds the lead or copper action level at the tap shall collect one source water sample from each entry point to the distribution system within six months after the exceedance.

(c) Monitoring frequency after installation of source water treatment. Any system which installs source water treatment pursuant to Sec. 141.83(a)(3) shall collect an additional source water sample from each entry point to the distribution system during two consecutive six-month monitoring periods by the deadline specified in Sec. 141.83(a)(4).

(d) Monitoring frequency after State specifies maximum permissible source water levels or determines that source water treatment is not needed. (1) A system shall monitor at the frequency specified below in cases where the State specifies maximum permissible source water levels under Sec. 141.83(b)(4) or determines that the system is not required to install source water treatment under Sec. 141.83(b)(2).

(i) A water system using only groundwater shall collect samples once during the three-year compliance period (as that term is defined in Sec. 141.2) in effect when the applicable State determination under paragraph (d)(1) of this section is made. Such systems shall collect samples once during each subsequent compliance period.

(ii) A water system using surface water (or a combination of surface and groundwater) shall collect samples once during each year, the first annual monitoring period to begin on the date on which the applicable State determination is made under paragraph (d)(1) of this section.

(2) A system is not required to conduct source water sampling for lead and/or copper if the system meets the action level for the specific contaminant in tap water samples during the entire source water sampling period applicable to the system under paragraph (d)(1) (i) or (ii) of this section.

(e) Reduced monitoring frequency. (1) A water system using only groundwater which demonstrates that finished drinking water entering the distribution system has been maintained below the maximum permissible lead and/or copper concentrations specified by the State in Sec. 141.83(b)(4) during at least three consecutive compliance periods under paragraph (d)(1) of this section may reduce the monitoring frequency for lead and/or copper to once during each nine-year compliance cycle (as that term is defined in Sec. 141.2).

(2) A water system using surface water (or a combination of surface and ground waters) which demonstrates that finished drinking water entering the distribution system has been maintained below the maximum permissible lead and copper concentrations specified by the State in Sec. 141.83(b)(4) for at least three consecutive years may reduce the monitoring frequency in paragraph (d)(1) of this section to once during each nine-year compliance cycle (as that term is defined in Sec. 141.2).

(3) A water system that uses a new source of water is not eligible for reduced monitoring for lead and/or copper until concentrations in samples collected from the new source during three consecutive monitoring periods are below the maximum permissible lead and copper concentrations specified by the State in Sec. 141.83(a)(5).

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 57 FR 28788 and 28789, June 29, 1992]

Sec. 141.89 Analytical methods.

(a) Analyses for lead, copper, pH, conductivity, calcium, alkalinity, orthophosphate, silica, and temperature shall be conducted with the methods in Sec. 141.23(k)(1).

(1) Analyses under this section shall only be conducted by laboratories that have been certified by EPA or the State. To obtain certification to conduct analyses for lead and copper, laboratories must:

[[Page 393]]

(i) Analyze performance evaluation samples which include lead and copper provided by EPA Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory or equivalent samples provided by the State; and

(ii) Achieve quantitative acceptance limits as follows:

(A) For lead: <plus-minus>30 percent of the actual amount in the Performance Evaluation sample when the actual amount is greater than or equal to 0.005 mg/L. The Practical Quantitation Level, or PQL for lead is 0.005 mg/L.

(B) For Copper: <plus-minus>10 percent of the actual amount in the Performance Evaluation sample when the actual amount is greater than or equal to 0.050 mg/L. The Practical Quantitation Level, or PQL for copper is 0.050 mg/L;

(iii) Achieve method detection limits according to the procedures in appendix B of part 136 of this title as follows:

(A) Lead: 0.001 mg/L (only if source water compositing is done under Sec. 141.23(a)(4)); and

(B) Copper: 0.001 mg/L or 0.020 mg/L when atomic absorption direct aspiration is used (only if source water compositing is done under Sec. 141.23(a)(4)).

(iv) Be currently certified by EPA or the State to perform analyses to the specifications described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

(2) States have the authority to allow the use of previously collected monitoring data for purposes of monitoring, if the data were collected and analyzed in accordance with the requirements of this subpart.

(3) All lead and copper levels measured between the PQL and MDL must be either reported as measured or they can be reported as one-half the PQL specified for lead and copper in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section. All levels below the lead and copper MDLs must be reported as zero.

(4) All copper levels measured between the PQL and the MDL must be either reported as measured or they can be reported as one-half the PQL (0.025 mg/L). All levels below the copper MDL must be reported as zero.

(b) [Reserved]

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991, as amended at 57 FR 28789, June 29, 1992; 57 FR 31847, July 17, 1992; 59 FR 33863, June 30, 1994; 59 FR 62470, Dec. 5, 1994]

Sec. 141.90 Reporting requirements.

All water systems shall report all of the following information to the State in accordance with this section.

(a) Reporting requirements for tap water monitoring for lead and copper and for water quality parameter monitoring. (1) A water system

shall report the information specified below for all tap water samples within the first 10 days following the end of each applicable monitoring period specified in Sec. 141.86 and Sec. 141.87 and Sec. 141.88 (i.e., every six-months, annually, or every 3 years).

(i) The results of all tap samples for lead and copper including the location of each site and the criteria under Sec. 141.86(a) (3), (4), (5), (6), and/or (7) under which the site was selected for the system's sampling pool;

(ii) A certification that each first draw sample collected by the water system is one-liter in volume and, to the best of their knowledge, has stood motionless in the service line, or in the interior plumbing of a sampling site, for at least six hours;

(iii) Where residents collected samples, a certification that each tap sample collected by the residents was taken after the water system informed them of proper sampling procedures specified in Sec. 141.86(b)(2);

(iv) The 90th percentile lead and copper concentrations measured from among all lead and copper tap water samples collected during each monitoring period (calculated in accordance with Sec. 141.80(c)(3));

(v) With the exception of initial tap sampling conducted pursuant to Sec. 141.86(d)(1), the system shall designate any site which was not sampled during previous monitoring periods, and include an explanation of why sampling sites have changed;

(vi) The results of all tap samples for pH, and where applicable, alkalinity, calcium, conductivity, temperature, and orthophosphate or silica collected under Sec. 141.87(b)-(e);

(vii) The results of all samples collected at the entry point(s) to the distribution system for applicable water quality parameters under Sec. 141.87(b)-(e).

(2) By the applicable date in Sec. 141.86(d)(1) for commencement of monitoring, each community water system which does not complete its targeted

[[Page 394]]

sampling pool with tier 1 sampling sites meeting the criteria in Sec. 141.86(a)(3) shall send a letter to the State justifying its selection of tier 2 and/or tier 3 sampling sites under Sec. 141.86 (a)(4) and/or (a)(5).

(3) By the applicable date in Sec. 141.86(d)(1) for commencement of monitoring, each non-transient, non-community water system which does not complete its sampling pool with tier 1 sampling sites meeting the criteria in Sec. 141.86(a)(6) shall send a letter to the State justifying its selection of sampling sites under Sec. 141.86(a)(7).

(4) By the applicable date in Sec. 141.86(d)(1) for commencement of monitoring, each water system with lead service lines that is not able to locate the number of sites served by such lines required under Sec. 141.86(a)(9) shall send a letter to the State demonstrating why it was unable to locate a sufficient number of such sites based upon the information listed in Sec. 141.86(a)(2).

(5) Each water system that requests that the State reduce the number and frequency of sampling shall provide the information required under Sec. 141.86(d)(4).

(b) Source water monitoring reporting requirements. (1) A water system shall report the sampling results for all source water samples collected in accordance with Sec. 141.88 within the first 10 days

following the end of each source water monitoring period (i.e., annually, per compliance period, per compliance cycle) specified in Sec. 141.88.

(2) With the exception of the first round of source water sampling conducted pursuant to Sec. 141.88(b), the system shall specify any site which was not sampled during previous monitoring periods, and include an explanation of why the sampling point has changed.

(c) Corrosion control treatment reporting requirements. By the applicable dates under Sec. 141.81, systems shall report the following information:

(1) For systems demonstrating that they have already optimized corrosion control, information required in Sec. 141.81(b) (2) or (3).

(2) For systems required to optimize corrosion control, their recommendation regarding optimal corrosion control treatment under Sec. 141.82(a).

(3) For systems required to evaluate the effectiveness of corrosion control treatments under Sec. 141.82(c), the information required by that paragraph.

(4) For systems required to install optimal corrosion control designated by the State under Sec. 141.82(d), a letter certifying that the system has completed installing that treatment.

(d) Source water treatment reporting requirements. By the applicable dates in Sec. 141.83, systems shall provide the following information to the State:

(1) If required under Sec. 141.83(b)(1), their recommendation regarding source water treatment;

(2) For systems required to install source water treatment under Sec. 141.83(b)(2), a letter certifying that the system has completed installing the treatment designated by the State within 24 months after the State designated the treatment.

(e) Lead service line replacement reporting requirements. Systems shall report the following information to the State to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of Sec. 141.84:

(1) Within 12 months after a system exceeds the lead action level in sampling referred to in Sec. 141.84(a), the system shall demonstrate in writing to the State that it has conducted a material evaluation, including the evaluation in Sec. 141.86(a), to identify the initial number of lead service lines in its distribution system, and shall provide the State with the system's schedule for replacing annually at least 7 percent of the initial number of lead service lines in its distribution system.

(2) Within 12 months after a system exceeds the lead action level in sampling referred to in Sec. 141.84(a), and every 12 months thereafter, the system shall demonstrate to the State in writing that the system has either:

(i) Replaced in the previous 12 months at least 7 percent of the initial lead service lines (or a greater number of lines specified by the State under Sec. 141.84(f)) in its distribution system, or

(ii) Conducted sampling which demonstrates that the lead concentration in all service line samples from an individual line(s), taken pursuant to Sec. 141.86(b)(3), is less than or equal to 0.015 mg/L. In such cases, the total number of lines replaced and/or which

meet the criteria in Sec. 141.84(c) shall equal at least 7 percent of

the initial number of lead lines identified under paragraph (a) of this section (or the percentage specified by the State under Sec. 141.84(f)).

(3) The annual letter submitted to the State under paragraph (e)(2) of this section shall contain the following information:

(i) The number of lead service lines scheduled to be replaced during the previous year of the system's replacement schedule;

(ii) The number and location of each lead service line replaced during the previous year of the system's replacement schedule;

(iii) If measured, the water lead concentration and location of each lead service line sampled, the sampling method, and the date of sampling.

(4) As soon as practicable, but in no case later than three months after a system exceeds the lead action level in sampling referred to in Sec. 141.84(a), any system seeking to rebut the presumption that it has control over the entire lead service line pursuant to Sec. 141.84(d) shall submit a letter to the State describing the legal authority (e.g., state statutes, municipal ordinances, public service contracts or other applicable legal authority) which limits the system's control over the service lines and the extent of the system's control.

(f) Public education program reporting requirements. By December 31st of each year, any water system that is subject to the public education requirements in Sec. 141.85 shall submit a letter to the State demonstrating that the system has delivered the public education materials that meet the content requirements in Sec. 141.85(a) and (b) and the delivery requirements in Sec. 141.85(c). This information shall include a list of all the newspapers, radio stations, television stations, facilities and organizations to which the system delivered public education materials during the previous year. The water system shall submit the letter required by this paragraph annually for as long as it exceeds the lead action level.

(g) Reporting of additional monitoring data. Any system which collects sampling data in addition to that required by this subpart shall report the results to the State within the first ten days following the end of the applicable monitoring period under Secs. 141.86, 141.87 and 141.88 during which the samples are collected.

[56 FR 26548, June 7, 1991; 57 FR 28789, June 29, 1992, as amended at 59 FR 33864, June 30, 1994]

Sec. 141.91 Recordkeeping requirements.

Any system subject to the requirements of this subpart shall retain on its premises original records of all sampling data and analyses, reports, surveys, letters, evaluations, schedules, State determinations, and any other information required by Sec. 141.81 through Sec. 141.88. Each water system shall retain the records required by this section for no fewer than 12 years.

Subpart J--Use of Non-Centralized Treatment Devices

Source: 52 FR 25716, July 8, 1987, unless otherwise noted.

Sec. 141.100 Criteria and procedures for public water systems using point-of-entry devices.

(a) Public water systems may use point-of-entry devices to comply

with maximum contaminant levels only if they meet the requirements of this section.

(b) It is the responsibility of the public water system to operate and maintain the point-of-entry treatment system.

(c) The public water system must develop and obtain State approval for a monitoring plan before point-of-entry devices are installed for compliance. Under the plan approved by the State, point-of-entry devices must provide health protection equivalent to central water treatment. ``Equivalent'' means that the water would meet all national primary drinking water regulations and would be of acceptable quality similar to water distributed by a well-operated central treatment plant. In addition to the VOCs, monitoring must include physical measurements and observations such as total flow treated and mechanical condition of the treatment equipment.

(d) Effective technology must be properly applied under a plan ap-

proved by the State and the microbiological safety of the water must be maintained.

(1) The State must require adequate certification of performance, field testing, and, if not included in the certification process, a rigorous engineering design review of the point-of-entry devices.

(2) The design and application of the point-of-entry devices must consider the tendency for increase in heterotrophic bacteria concentrations in water treated with activated carbon. It may be necessary to use frequent backwashing, post-contactor disinfection, and Heterotrophic Plate Count monitoring to ensure that the microbiological safety of the water is not compromised.

(e) All consumers shall be protected. Every building connected to the system must have a point-of-entry device installed, maintained, and adequately monitored. The State must be assured that every building is subject to treatment and monitoring, and that the rights and responsibilities of the public water system customer convey with title upon sale of property.

[52 FR 25716, July 8, 1987; 53 FR 25111, July 1, 1988]

Sec. 141.101 Use of other non-centralized treatment devices.

Public water systems shall not use bottled water or point-of-use devices to achieve compliance with an MCL. Bottled water or point-of-use devices may be used on a temporary basis to avoid an unreasonable risk to health.

Subpart K--Treatment Techniques

Source: 56 FR 3594, Jan. 30, 1991, unless otherwise noted.

Sec. 141.110 General requirements.

The requirements of subpart K of this part constitute national primary drinking water regulations. These regulations establish treatment techniques in lieu of maximum contaminant levels for specified contaminants.

Sec. 141.111 Treatment techniques for acrylamide and epichlorohydrin.

Each public water system must certify annually in writing to the State (using third party or manufacturer's certification) that when acrylamide and epichlorohydrin are used in drinking water systems, the combination (or product) of dose and monomer level does not exceed the levels specified as follows:

Acrylamide=0.05% dosed at 1 ppm (or equivalent)
Epichlorohydrin=0.01% dosed at 20 ppm (or equivalent)

Certifications can rely on manufacturers or third parties, as approved by the State.

Subpart M--Information Collection Requriements (ICR) for Public Water Systems

Source: 61 FR 24368, May 14, 1996, unless otherwise noted.

Effective Date Note: At 61 FR 24368, May 14, 1996, subpart M consisting of Secs. 141.140 through 141.144 were added, effective June 18, 1996 and will expire on Dec. 31, 2000.

Sec. 141.140 Definitions specific to subpart M.

The following definitions apply only to the requirements of subpart M of this part and are arranged alphabetically.

Distribution system means the components of a PWS that are under the control of that PWS located after the point where the finished water sample is taken and that provide distribution, storage, and/or booster disinfection of finished water.

Distribution System Equivalent (DSE) sample means a sample collected from the distribution system for the purpose of comparing it with the ``simulated distribution system (SDS) sample''. The DSE sample shall be selected using the following criteria:

(1) No additional disinfectant added between the treatment plant and the site where the DSE sample is collected;

(2) Approximate detention time of water is available; and

(3) There is no blending with finished water from other treatment plants.

Entry point to distribution system means a location following one or more finished water sample points but prior to the beginning of the distribution system.

[[Page 397]]

Finished water means water that does not undergo further treatment by a treatment plant other than maintenance of a disinfection residual.

Haloacetic acids (five) (HAA5) means the sum of the concentration in micrograms per liter of the haloacetic acids mono-, di-, and trichloroacetic acid; mono-, and di-, bromoacetic acid, rounded to two significant figures.

Haloacetic acids (six) (HAA6) means the concentration in micrograms per liter of the haloacetic acids mono-, di-, and trichloroacetic acid; mono-, and di- bromoacetic acid; and bromochloroacetic acid, rounded to two significant figures.

Haloacetonitriles (HAN) means the concentration in micrograms per liter of the haloacetonitriles dichloro-, trichloro-, bromochloro-, and dibromo- acetonitrile, rounded to two significant figures.

Haloketones (HK) means the concentration in micrograms per liter of the haloketones 1,1-dichloropropanone and 1,1,1- trichloropropanone, rounded to two significant figures.

Intake means the physical location at which the PWS takes water from a water resource. Thereafter, the water is under the control of that PWS.

Notice of applicability means a notice sent by EPA to a PWS that indicates that EPA believes that the PWS must comply with some or all requirements of subpart M. The PWS is required to reply to this notice by providing information specified in the notice (e.g., retail and wholesale population served, types of water sources used, volume of water treated) by the date provided in subpart M.

Process train means some number of unit processes connected in series starting from the treatment plant influent and ending with finished water. A particular unit process may be in more than one process train.

Purchased finished water means finished water purchased by one PWS from another PWS (the wholesaler). Purchased finished water includes both purchased finished water that is redisinfected and purchased finished water that is not.

Simulated distribution system (SDS) sample means a finished water sample incubated at the temperature and detention time of a ``DSE sample'' collected from the distribution system. Analytical results of the SDS sample will be compared with the DSE sample to determine how well the SDS sample predicts disinfection byproduct formation in the actual distribution system sample.

Total finished water means the flow (volume per unit of time) of finished water obtained from all treatment plants operated by a PWS and includes purchased finished water. This flow includes water entering the distribution system and water sold to another PWS.

Treatment plant means the PWS components that have as their exclusive source of water a shared treatment plant influent and that deliver finished water to a common point which is located prior to the point at which finished water enters a distribution system or is diverted for sale to another PWS. For these components of the PWS to be considered part of one treatment plant, the PWS must be able to collect one representative treatment plant influent sample, either at a single sample point or by a composite of multiple influent samples, and there must exist a single sampling point where a representative sample of finished water can be collected. For the purpose of subpart M, a treatment plant is considered to include any site where a disinfectant or oxidant is added to water prior to the water entering the distribution system. Facilities in which ground water is disinfected prior to entering a distribution system, and facilities in which purchased finished water has a disinfectant added prior to entering a distribution system, are considered treatment plants.

Treatment plant influent means water that represents the water quality challenge to a particular plant.

Treatment system means all treatment plants operated by one PWS.

Trihalomethanes (four) (THM4) means the sum of the concentration in micrograms per liter of the trihalomethanes chloroform, bromodichloromethane, dibromochloromethane, and bromoform, rounded to two significant figures.

Unit process means a component of a treatment process train which serves any treatment purpose such as mixing or sedimentation for which design and operating information is requested in Sec. 141.142(a), Table 6c, of this subpart.

Water resource means a body of water before it passes through an intake structure. Examples of a water resource include a river, lake, or aquifer. For a PWS which purchases finished water, the water resource is the wholesale PWS which supplies the purchased finished water. Generally water resources are not under the direct control of a PWS.

Watershed control practice means protection of a water resource from microbiological contamination prior to the water entering an intake. These protective measures might include, but are not limited to, a watershed control program approved under Sec. 141.71(b)(2) of this part, or land use restrictions.

Sec. 141.141 General requirements, applicability, and schedule for information collection.

(a) General requirements. (1) The purpose of subpart M is to collect specified information from certain PWSs for a limited period of time. Accordingly, subpart M is of limited duration and is effective for a defined period (see Sec. 141.6 (i) and Sec. 141.141(e) of this part). Since subpart M does not establish continuing obligations, a PWS that has completed all of its requirements at the required duration and frequency may discontinue its information collection efforts even if subpart M is still in effect.

(2) For the purpose of this subpart, a PWS shall make applicability determinations based on completion of data gathering, calculations, and treatment plant categorization specified in Appendix A to paragraph (a) of this section.

(3) For the purpose of this subpart, a PWS that uses multiple wells drawing from the same aquifer and has no central treatment plant is considered to have one treatment plant for those wells and shall conduct required monitoring under this specification. A PWS with multiple wells in one or more aquifers that are treated in the same treatment plant is considered to have one treatment plant for those wells and shall conduct required monitoring under this specification.

(i) To the extent possible, the PWS should sample at the well with the largest flow and at the same well each month for the duration of required monitoring.

(ii) A PWS must report information from Sec. 141.142(a) Tables 6a through 6e of this subpart for each well that the PWS sampled.

(4) For the purpose of this subpart, a PWS shall treat ground water sources that have been classified by the State as under the direct influence of surface water by May 14, 1996, as surface water sources. A PWS shall treat ground water sources that either have not been classified by the State (as under the direct influence of surface water or not) or have been classified by the State as ground water, by May 14, 1996, as ground water sources.

Appendix A to 40 CFR 141.141(a)

Purpose. The purpose of this appendix is to enable the PWS to assign

proportional amounts of its retail and wholesale population served to specific treatment plants. The PWS shall then use these values to determine which specific requirements in subpart M that it must comply with and on what schedule.

Period of applicability determination. For the purpose of this appendix, a PWS shall make applicability determinations based on population calculated as annual averages based on PWS records of treatment system or treatment plant operation during calendar year 1995.

--If a natural disaster made a treatment system or treatment plant inoperable for one or more calendar months in 1995, the applicability determination will be based on those months in 1995 during which the treatment system or treatment plant was in operation, plus the calendar months from 1994 that are representative of those months of 1995 during which the treatment system or treatment plant was inoperable. The total time period shall be 12 months.
--If the treatment system or treatment plant was not in operation during one or more calendar months during 1995 due to a seasonal reduction in demand for finished water, the months that the treatment system or treatment plant was not in operation are to be included in the 12 months of applicability determination with zero flow indicating no operation.
--If the treatment system or treatment plant was not in operation for one or more

[[Page 399]]

calendar months in 1995 due to construction and/or maintenance, the applicability determination will be based on those months in 1995 during which the treatment system or treatment plant was in operation, plus the calendar months from 1994 that correspond to those months of 1995 during which the treatment system or treatment plant was inoperable. The total time period shall be 12 months.
--Treatment systems or treatment plants whose total operational lifetime is fewer than 12 calendar months as of December 1995 are not required to comply with subpart M requirements.
--PWSs that purchase all their water from one or more other PWSs and do not further treat any of their water are not required to comply with subpart M requirements.

Applicability determination. To determine applicability, the PWS is required to collect certain operational data and perform specified mathematical operations. All operational data and calculated values will be expressed as either ``F'' (for flow) or ``P'' (for population), with a one or two character subscript. Table A-1 contains a more detailed explanation.

Table A-1.--: Appendix A Subscript Identification Protocol

General.
1. ``F'' indicates a flow value. The PWS must use million gallons per day (MGD) to express the flow throughout its calculations.
2. ``P'' indicates a population value, expressed as a number of people.

Subscripts.
1. ``P<INF>R'' is retail population, ``F<INF>W'' is wholesale flow, and ``F<INF>N'' is purchased finished water that is not further treated.
2. Each ``F'' value (in Table A-2) or ``P'' value (in Table A-4) will have a two character designator.

a. The first character in the subscript indicates the source type. Possible entries are ``S'' (for surface water or ground water under the direct influence of surface water), ``G'' (for ground water not under the direct influence of surface water), ``P'' (for finished water purchased from another PWS and further treated at the entrance to the distribution system, such as by redisinfection), and ``C'' (for combined, or the sum of all water treated by the PWS, including purchased water that is further treated at the entrance to the distribution system).

b. The second character in the subscript indicates the specific identification of the treatment plant. This will be a number (e.g., 1, 2, 3, * * *, with # being a non-specific designator) and ``T'' (for a Total).

Data from operational records. The PWS shall determine the following information based on operational records.

--P<INF>R=Retail population served by the PWS
=_____ (number of people)

--F<INF>N=treated water bought from one or more other PWSs and not further treated at the entry point to the distribution system
=_____ (MGD)

--F<INF>W= finished water sold to one or more other PWSs, regardless of whether buying PWSs further treat the finished water
=_____ (MGD)

--Flows from specific water resources to specific treatment plants. For each treatment plant operated by the PWS, the PWS must determine the flow from each water resource that provides water to the treatment plant. In the following table, the PWS must enter flow from each type of water resource into the appropriate block, using the subscript identification protocol in Table A-1.

--F<INF>S#=surface water treated at treatment plant ``#''
=_____ (MGD) (enter into Table A-2)

--F<INF>G#=ground water treated at treatment plant ``#''
=_____ (MGD) (enter into Table A-2)

--F<INF>P#=treated water bought from one or more other PWSs and further treated at treatment plant ``#'' prior to the entry point to the distribution system
=_____ (MGD) (enter into Table A-2)

Table A-2.--Treated Flow Values

	Sources of treated water (FLOW)			
Water resources (by type source)	Treatment plants			
	#1	#2	#3	#4
Surface water (S).....	(F<INF>S1)	(F<INF>S2)	(F<INF>S3)	(F<INF>S4)
Ground water (G).....	(F<INF>G1)	(F<INF>G2)	(F<INF>G3)	(F<INF>G4)
Purchased finished water that is	(F<INF>P1)	(F<INF>P2)	(F<INF>P3)	(F<INF>P4)

further treated (P).
Combined (C)..... (F<INF>C1) (F<INF>C2) (F<INF>C3) (F<INF>C4)

Note: The F<INF>C# value is calculated by adding the F<INF>S#, F<INF>G#, and F<INF>P# values in the column above.

[[Page 400]]

--F<INF>CT=finished water produced in all of the PWS's treatment plants (calculated by adding the combined flows from each treatment plant (<greek-S> (F<INF>C#)).
=_____ (MGD)

Calculated values. The PWS must calculate the following values.

--Population equivalents. Divide the flow values in Table A-2 by the conversion factor K below (a PWS-specific per capita finished water usage rate) and enter in the corresponding box in Table A-3 below. For each treatment plant operated by the PWS, the PWS must determine the population served by each type of water resource that provides water to the treatment plant.

Conversion factor=K=(F<INF>CT+F<INF>N-F<INF>W)/P<INF>R=_____
For Table A-3, P=F/K, using F values from Table A-2 (e.g., P<INF>S1=F<INF>S1/K).

Table A-3: Population Served Values

Water resources (by type source)	Population served by treated water (number of people) Treatment plants #1	#2	#3	#4
Surface water (S).....	(P<INF>S1)	(P<INF>S2)	(P<INF>S3)	(P<INF>S4)
Ground water (G).....	(P<INF>G1)	(P<INF>G2)	(P<INF>G3)	(P<INF>G4)
Purchased finished water that is further treated (P).	(P<INF>P1)	(P<INF>P2)	(P<INF>P3)	(P<INF>P4)
Combined (C).....	(P<INF>C1)	(P<INF>C2)	(P<INF>C3)	(P<INF>C4)

Note: The P<INF>C# value is calculated by adding the P<INF>S#, P<INF>G#, and P<INF>P# values in the column above.

--P<INF>CT=number of people served by finished water produced in all of the PWS's treatment plants (calculated by adding the combined populations served by each treatment plant (<greek-S> (P<INF>C#)))
=_____ (people)

Note: A PWS that sells all its finished water and thus has no retail population must calculate the population served by the PWS by raising the PWS's average treated flow (in MGD) to the 0.95 power and multiplying the result by 7,700. As an equation, this would appear as:

PWS population served=7,700 (PWS's average treated flow in MGD)<SUP>0.95

The PWS may then calculate the population served by each of its treatment plants by multiplying the PWS population served times the average treated flow from the treatment plant divided by the average treated flow for the PWS. As an equation, this would appear as:
[GRAPHIC] [TIFF OMITTED] TR14MY96.001

Treatment plant categorization. A PWS must categorize its treatment plants to determine its specific compliance requirements by reviewing Table A-4 below.

[[Page 401]]

Table A-4.--Treatment Plant Categories

Treatment plant category	P<INF>CT	P<INF>C#	P<INF>S#	P<INF>G#
A	<gr-thn-eq>100,000	<gr-thn-eq>100,000	<gr-thn-eq>1	NA.
B	<gr-thn-eq>100,000	<gr-thn-eq>100,000	Zero	NA.
C	<gr-thn-eq>100,000	P<INF>C# is largest P<INF>C# in PWS.	<100,000 and is <gr-thn-eq>1	NA.
D	<gr-thn-eq>100,000	P<INF>C# is largest P<INF>C# in PWS.	<100,000 and is Zero	NA.
E	<gr-thn-eq>100,000	<100,000 and is largest P<INF>C# in PWS.	not <gr-thn-eq>1	NA.
F	<gr-thn-eq>100,000	<100,000 and is largest P<INF>C# in PWS.	not Zero	NA.
G	50,000-99,999 and P<INF>GT <gr-thn-eq> 50,000.	NA	NA	Largest P<INF>G#.

NA--not applicable.

[[Page 402]]

(b) Applicability.
(1) Table 1 of this paragraph is a summary of treatment plant categorization under the provisions of Appendix A to paragraph (a) of this section.

[[Page 403]]

Table 1.--Treatment Plant Categories

Treatment plant category	Treatment plant PWS combined population served	Treatment plant combined population served	Treatment plant surface water population served	Treatment plant ground water population served
A.....	<gr-thn-eq>100,000.	<gr-thn-eq>100,000....	<gr-thn-eq>1.....	NA.
B.....	<gr-thn-eq>100,000.	<gr-thn-eq>100,000....	zero.....	NA.
C.....	<gr-thn-eq>100,000.	Plant serves <100,000 and is largest plant.	<gr-thn-eq>1.....	NA.
D.....	<gr-thn-eq>100,000.	Plant serves <100,000 and is largest plant.	zero.....	<100,000.
E.....	<gr-thn-eq>100,000.	Plant serves <100,000 and is not largest plant in PWS.	<gr-thn-eq>1.....	NA.
F.....	<gr-thn-eq>100,000.	Plant serves <100,000 and is not largest plant in PWS.	zero.....	<100,000.
G.....	50,000-99,999 and <gr-thn-eq> 50,000 served by ground water.	NA.....	NA.....	Largest ground water plant.

NA-not applicable.

[[Page 404]]

(2) Table 2 of this paragraph specifies applicability for requirements contained in Secs. 141.142, 141.143, and 141.144 of this part, based on treatment plant categorization determined under the provisions of Appendix A to paragraph (a) of this section.

Table 2--Subpart M Applicability

Subpart M Requirements	Categories of treatment plants<SUP>1						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Sec. 141.142.--DBP and Related Monitoring							
Table 1a and 1b.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Table 2<SUP>2.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Table 3<SUP>2.....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

.....<SUP>.....
Table 4a and 4b<SUP>2..... X X X X X
X .....
Table 5a and 5b<SUP>2..... X X X X X
X .....
Table 6..... X X X X X X
.....

Sec. 141.143--Microbiological Monitoring

Treatment plant influent monitoring..... X ..... X ..... X .....
.....
Finished water monitoring<SUP>3..... X ..... X X
....

Sec. 141.144--Applicability Monitoring and Treatment Studies

Treatment study applicability monitoring..... X X X X .....
..... X
Pilot-scale treatment studies<SUP>4..... X X ....
....
Bench- or pilot-scale treatment studies<SUP>4..... X X X X .....
..... X

<SUP>1 As determined by Appendix A to paragraph (a) of this section.

<SUP>2 Table 2 required only for treatment plants using chloramines. Table 3 required only for treatment plants using hypochlorite solution. Table 4a and 4b required only for treatment plants using ozone. Table 5a and 5b required only for treatment plants using chlorine dio xide.

<SUP>3 Only required for a PWS that, during any of the first twelve months of monitoring at the treatment plant influent, detects 10 or more Giardia cysts, or 10 or more Cryptosporidium oocysts, or one or more total culturable viruses in one liter of water; or calculates a numerical value of the Giardia or Cryptosporidium concentration equal to or greater than 1000 per 100 liters or virus concentration equal to or greater than 100 per 100 liters; or detects no pathogens in the sample and calculates a numerical value of the detection limit for Giardia or Cryptosporidium concentration equal to or greater than 1000 per 100 liters or virus concentration equal to or greater than 100 per 100 liters.

<SUP>4 Pilot-scale treatment studies are required for treatment plants that serve a population of 500,000 or greater. Bench- or pilot-scale treatment studies are required for treatment plants that serve a population of fewer than 500,000.

(c) Disinfection Byproduct and Related Monitoring. A PWS must comply with the monitoring requirements in Sec. 141.142 of this subpart for treatment plants in treatment plant categories A, B, C, D, and E listed

in Table 1 in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. The PWS shall monitor monthly for 18 consecutive months at each treatment plant, even if a treatment plant was not used for one or more calendar months. When the treatment plant is not operating, the PWS shall file the report required under Sec. 141.142(c) of this subpart to indicate zero flow, and need only conduct treatment plant influent monitoring under the provisions of Sec. 141.142 of this subpart. A PWS must comply with the monitoring requirements in Sec. 141.142 of this subpart for treatment plants in treatment plant categories F listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b)(1) of this section monthly for 18 consecutive months at each treatment plant, except if a treatment plant was not used for one or more calendar months. When the treatment plant is not operating, the PWS shall file the report required under Sec. 141.142(c) of this subpart to indicate zero flow, and is not required to conduct treatment plant influent monitoring under the provisions of Sec. 141.142 of this subpart.

(d) Microbiological Monitoring. A PWS must comply with the monitoring requirements in Sec. 141.143 of this subpart for treatment plants in treatment plant categories A, C, and E listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b)(1) of this section and Table 3 of this paragraph. The PWS shall conduct 18 consecutive months of microbiological monitoring at each treatment plant, even if it is not operated each calendar month.

[[Page 405]]

Table 3.--Microbiological Monitoring Requirements for Subpart M

Microbial sample	Treatment plant category: A, C and E	
	Treatment plant influent	Finished water \1\
Total culturable viruses	1/month \2\	1/month.
Total coliforms	1/month	1/month.
Fecal coliforms or E. coli	1/month	1/month.
Giardia	1/month	1/month.\3\
Cryptosporidium	1/month	1/month.\3\

\1\ Only required for a PWS that, during any of the first twelve months of monitoring at the treatment plant influent, detects 10 or more Giardia cysts, or 10 or more Cryptosporidium oocysts, or one or more total culturable viruses in one liter of water; or calculates a numerical value of the Giardia or Cryptosporidium concentration equal to or greater than 1000 per 100 liters or virus concentration equal to or greater than 100 per 100 liters; or detects no pathogens in the sample and calculates a numerical value of the detection limit for Giardia or Cryptosporidium concentration equal to or greater than 1000 per 100 liters or virus concentration equal to or greater than 100 per 100 liters. The PWS shall collect one sample of finished water during each month that the treatment plant is operated at each such treatment plant beginning in the first calendar month after the PWS learns of such a result. A PWS shall continue finished water monitoring monthly until 18 months of treatment plant influent monitoring has been

completed.

\2\ A PWS may avoid virus monitoring if the PWS has monitored total coliforms, fecal coliforms, or E. coli in the source water for at least five days/week for any period of six consecutive months beginning after January 1, 1994, and 90% of all samples taken in that six-month period contained no greater than 100 total coliforms/100 ml, or 20 fecal coliforms/100 ml, or 20 E. coli/100 ml.

\3\ A PWS may avoid the requirement for finished water monitoring of Giardia and Cryptosporidium if the PWS notifies EPA that it will comply with the alternative monitoring requirements in Sec. 141.143(a)(2)(iii). The PWS must still conduct finished water monitoring for all other microorganisms, except that Giardia and Cryptosporidium monitoring in the finished water is not required.

(e) Disinfection Byproduct Precursor Removal Studies (Treatment Studies).

(1) A PWS shall comply with treatment study applicability monitoring in paragraph (e)(2) of this section at each treatment plant in treatment plant categories A, B, C, D, and G listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. A PWS shall comply with the treatment study requirements in Sec. 141.144 of this subpart at each such treatment plant, except for those treatment plants:

(i) Meeting the source water quality, disinfection practice, or disinfection byproduct precursor removal practice criteria in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, for which no treatment study is required; or

(ii) Meeting the common water resource criteria in paragraph (e)(4) of this section, for which several PWSs may conduct treatment studies jointly, in lieu of separately; or

(iii) Meeting the common water resource criteria in paragraph (e)(5) of this section, for which a PWS may contribute funds towards research, in lieu of conducting a treatment study; or

(iv) At which a previous treatment study that meets the criteria in paragraph (e)(6) of this section has already been conducted, for which a PWS may use the results of this previous treatment study, in lieu of conducting another treatment study; or

(v) Operated by the PWS that use the same water resource, as classified by the procedure in paragraph (e)(4) of this section. The PWS is not required to conduct more than one treatment study for those treatment plants. If both pilot-scale and bench-scale treatment studies would otherwise be required for treatment plants on the same water resource, the PWS shall conduct a pilot-scale study. A PWS with multiple water resources shall conduct treatment studies for each treatment plant that uses different water resources.

(2) Treatment study applicability monitoring.

(i) PWSs shall monitor total organic carbon (TOC) monthly for 12 months. Treatment plants using surface water shall monitor treatment plant influent. Treatment plants using ground water shall monitor finished water.

(ii) Treatment study applicability monitoring for THM4 and HAA5 is only required by a PWS that intends to qualify for avoiding a treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(3)(i) of this section.

(iii) Total organic halides formed under the uniform formation conditions (UFCTOX) monitoring is only required by a PWS that intends to qualify for a joint treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(4)(i)(A)(2) of this section or for the alternative to conducting a treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(5) of this

section.

(3) Criteria under which no treatment study is required. A PWS identified in paragraph (e)(1) of this section is not required to conduct a treatment study at any treatment plant that satisfies any criteria in paragraphs (e)(3) (i) through (iv) of this section, provided that the PWS has also complied with the requirements in paragraph (e)(7)(i) of this section and EPA has approved the PWS's request to avoid the treatment study.

(i) Treatment plants that use chlorine as both the primary and residual disinfectant and have, as an annual average of four quarterly averages, levels of less than 40

[[Page 406]]

<greek-m>g/l for THM4 and less than 30 <greek-m>g/l for HAA5. Quarterly averages are the arithmetic average of the four distribution system samples collected under the requirements of Sec. 141.142(a)(1) of this subpart.

(ii) Treatment plants using surface water that do not exceed a TOC annual average of 4.0 mg/l in the treatment plant influent, measured in accordance with Secs. 141.141(f)(4) and 141.144(a) of this subpart and calculated by averaging the initial 12 monthly TOC samples.

(iii) Treatment plants using only ground water not under the direct influence of surface water that do not exceed a TOC annual average of 2.0 mg/l in the finished water, measured in accordance with Secs. 141.141(f)(4) and 141.144(a) of this subpart and calculated by averaging the initial 12 monthly TOC samples.

(iv) Treatment plants that already use full scale membrane or GAC technology. For a treatment plant that already uses full-scale GAC or membrane technology capable of achieving precursor removal, a PWS shall conduct monitoring and submit full-scale plant data required for disinfection byproduct and related monitoring by Sec. 141.142(a) of this subpart, ensuring that the GAC or membrane processes are included in the process train being monitored. For a treatment plant to be considered to have membrane technology to achieve precursor removal, the PWS shall have used nanofiltration or reverse osmosis membranes. GAC capable of removing precursors is defined as GAC with an empty bed contact time (EBCT) of 15 minutes or greater, with a time between carbon reactivation or replacement of no more than nine months. PWSs that operate treatment plants that use GAC with either an EBCT of less than 15 minutes or a replacement or reactivation frequency for GAC longer than nine months may submit a request to avoid treatment studies under the provisions of paragraph (e)(7)(i) of this section by including data demonstrating effective DBP precursor removal.

(4) Criteria under which joint treatment studies are allowed. (i) PWSs that use common water resources and have similar treatment trains may conduct joint treatment studies. A common water resource for all types of surface water resources requires the mean treatment plant influent TOC or UFCTOX of each of the cooperating treatment plants to be within 10% of the average of the mean treatment plant influent TOCs or UFCTOX of all the cooperating treatment plants. A common water resource for all types of ground water resources requires the mean treatment plant finished water TOC or UFCTOX of each of the cooperating treatment plants to be within 10% of the average of the mean treatment plant finished water TOCs or UFCTOX of all the cooperating treatment plants. The mean is calculated from the monthly TOC or UFCTOX monitoring data

for the initial twelve months of monitoring under Sec. 141.144(a) of this subpart. Similar treatment trains means that, for example, softening plants may not conduct joint studies with conventional treatment plants. In addition, the applicable requirements in paragraphs (e)(4)(i) (A) through (C) of this section shall be met for the water resource to be considered a common water resource. If otherwise eligible, a PWS may choose to either perform a joint treatment study with other eligible systems or contribute funds to a cooperative research program, as described in paragraph (e)(5) of this section, as an alternative to conducting a treatment study.

(A) River sources. Treatment plants with river intakes are considered to have a common water resource if the PWS meets either criteria in paragraphs (e)(4)(i)(A) (1) or (2) of this section.

(1) The intakes are no more than 20 river miles apart and TOC at each treatment plant influent is within 10% of the mean TOC of all the treatment plant influents.

(2) The intakes are at least 20, but no more than 200, river miles apart and the PWS demonstrates that the mean water resource UFCTOX is within 10% of the mean UFCTOX of all the treatment plant influents, based on UFCTOX analytical results of the same 12 consecutive months for all cooperating treatment plants.

(B) Lake/reservoir. Treatment plants with lake or reservoir intakes are considered to have a common water resource if the same lake or reservoir serves all the cooperating treatment plants and TOC at each treatment plant influent is within 10% of the mean TOC of all the treatment plant influents.

(C) Ground water not under the direct influence of surface water. Treatment plants with intakes from a single aquifer are considered to have a common water resource if treatment plant finished water TOC at each treatment plant is within 10% of the mean finished water TOC of all the treatment plants.

(ii) PWSs that meet the requirements of paragraph (e)(4)(i) of this section shall conduct at least the number and type of joint studies noted in the following tables. Joint studies shall only be conducted among treatment plants in the same size category, i.e. a population served of either <gr-thn-eq>500,000 or of <500,000. The maximum number of treatment plants with a population served <gr-thn-eq>500,000 persons allowed to join together to conduct a study is three. The maximum number of treatment plants with a population served <500,000 persons allowed to join together to conduct a study is six.

[[Page 407]]

Joint Studies Requirement for Treatment Plants With a Population Served of <500,000

Number of plants	Minimum studies to be conducted
2	1 pilot (GAC or membrane).
3	1 pilot and 1 bench (GAC or membrane).
4	2 pilots (GAC and/or membrane).
5	2 pilots (GAC and/or membrane), 1 bench (GAC or membrane).
6	2 pilots and 2 bench (GAC and/or

membrane).

Joint Studies Requirement For Treatment Plants With a Population Served of <gr-thn-eq>500,000

Number of plants	Minimum studies to be conducted
2	1 pilot (GAC or membrane), 2 bench (GAC and/or membrane).
3	2 pilots (GAC and/or membrane).

(5) Criteria under which an alternative to conducting a treatment study is allowed. In lieu of conducting the required treatment study, a PWS may apply to EPA to contribute funds to a cooperative research effort. The PWS shall submit an application to EPA Technical Support Division, ICR Precursor Removal Studies Coordinator, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268. The application shall show that the treatment plant for which the waiver of the treatment study is sought uses a common water resource, as described in paragraph (e)(4) of this section, that is being studied by another PWS or cooperative of PWSs operating treatment plants in the same size category. A PWS operating treatment plants serving a population of fewer than 500,000 may also contribute to this fund if there is a common water resource (as defined in paragraph (e)(4) of this section) treatment plant serving 500,000 or more conducting a treatment study. If EPA approves the application, the PWS shall contribute funds in the amount specified in paragraph (e)(5)(i) of this section to the Disinfection Byproducts/Microbial Research Fund, to be administered by the American Water Works Association Research Foundation (AWWARF) under the direction of an independent research council, for use in a dedicated cooperative research program related to disinfectants, disinfection byproducts, and enhanced surface water treatment.

(i) The PWS shall contribute $300,000 for a treatment plant with a population served of 500,000 or more. The PWS shall contribute $100,000 for a treatment plant with a population served of fewer than 500,000.

(ii) The PWS shall send the contribution to the address specified in EPA's approval letter not later than 90 days after EPA approves the PWS application for waiver of the treatment study.

(6) Criteria under which a previous treatment study is acceptable (grandfathered studies). A PWS that has conducted studies of precursor removal that meet all the criteria in paragraphs (e)(6)(i) and (ii) of this section may use the results of that study in lieu of conducting another treatment study.

(i) The PWS used analytical methods specified in Table 7 of Sec. 141.142(b)(1) of this subpart and used the analytical and quality control procedures described in ``DBP/ICR Analytical Methods Manual'', EPA 814-B-96-002.

(ii) The PWS followed a protocol similar to that specified and supplies the data specified in ``ICR Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Study Manual'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996).

(7) Process for a PWS to obtain EPA approval of criteria applicability. A PWS wanting to avoid the requirements for a treatment study under the provisions of paragraphs (e)(3) through (6) of this

section shall submit the applicable information in paragraphs (e)(7)(i) through (iv) of this section and in ``ICR Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Study Manual'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996) and all monitoring data required under Secs. 141.142(a) and 141.143(a) of this subpart to EPA, Technical Support Division, ICR Precursor Removal Studies Coordinator, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268.

(i) Approval of request to avoid treatment studies. A PWS that believes it qualifies to avoid the requirements for a treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(3)(i) through (iii) of this section shall submit the information showing the applicable criterion for not conducting the study has been met not later than November 14, 1997. A PWS wanting to avoid the requirements for a treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(3)(iv) of this section shall submit the supporting information, including any pilot- or full-scale data showing effective precursor removal, not later than November 14, 1997. A PWS that applies to avoid a treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(4) through (6) of this section and subsequently qualifies to avoid a treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(3)(i) through (iii) of this section may elect to avoid a treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(3)(i) through (iii) of this section. If the PWS elects to avoid a treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(3)(i) through (iii) of this section, the PWS shall notify all PWSs that were associated with the application to avoid a treatment study under the provisions of paragraph (e)(4) through (6) of this section.

(ii) Approval of request to conduct joint studies. A PWS that believes it qualifies to avoid the requirements for a treatment study under the joint study provisions of paragraph (e)(4) of this section shall submit a letter of intent to EPA with the information in

[[Page 408]]

paragraphs (e)(7)(ii)(A) through (F) of this section for all treatment plants to be included in the joint study not later than May 14, 1997. The letter shall be signed by all PWSs planning to participate in the joint study. All PWSs shall submit a combined application for joint studies approval to EPA (including 12 months of treatment plant influent TOC or finished water TOC results or UFCTOX results, as appropriate, for each treatment plant to be included in the joint study) not later than November 14, 1997.

(A) Data to support their common water resource designation.

(B) Information to demonstrate that treatment plants have similar treatment trains.

(C) Information that treatment plants are in the same size category.

(D) The treatment plant influent TOC or finished water TOC results, or UFCTOX results, as appropriate, from the first six months of monitoring.

(E) What studies will be conducted (i.e., combination of bench/pilot and GAC/membrane).

(F) Any additional supporting data.

(iii) Approval of request for alternative to treatment studies. A PWS that believes it qualifies to avoid the requirements for a treatment study under the provisions for an alternative in paragraph (e)(5) of this section shall submit a letter of intent expressing its intention to

contribute funds to the cooperative research effort not later than May 14, 1997. The letter shall identify the other treatment plants using the same water resource which will be conducting studies. Each PWS shall submit an application for approval of alternative to treatment studies to EPA (including 12 months of treatment plant influent TOC or finished water TOC results or UFCTOX results, as appropriate) not later than November 14, 1997. EPA shall notify the PWS whether a treatment study is required (because there is no other appropriately sized treatment plant using the same water resource conducting a treatment study) or if the PWS can avoid the study by contributing funds to the cooperative research effort specified in paragraph (e)(5) of this section.

(iv) Approval of request to use grandfathered studies. A PWS that believes it qualifies to avoid the requirements for a treatment study under the grandfathered study provisions of paragraph (e)(6) of this section shall submit the following information not later than February 14, 1997: a description of the study, the equipment used, the experimental protocol, the analytical methods, the quality assurance plan, and any reports resulting from the study. EPA shall review the information and inform the PWS whether or not the prior study meets the ICR requirements. Not later than November 14, 1997, the PWS must submit study data in the format specified in ``ICR Manual for Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Studies'', EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996. An approved grandfathered study can be justification for common water resource PWSs contributing to the cooperative research effort under the provisions of paragraph (e)(5) of this section, but may not be used as joint treatment studies unless it incorporates the requirements listed in Sec. 141.141(e)(4) of this section and the PWS submits written concurrence of the PWS which conducted the study.

(f) Effective dates. (1) A PWS shall respond to the Notice of Applicability sent by EPA within 35 calendar days of receipt of that notice. The PWS's response to the Notice shall indicate what requirements in subpart M apply to each treatment plant operated by the PWS. If a PWS meets the applicability criteria in paragraph (b) of this section and has not received a Notice of Applicability from EPA by June 28, 1996, that PWS must request a Notice of Applicability from EPA by contacting the ICR Utilities Coordinator, TSD, USEPA, 26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268, not later than July 15, 1996.

(2) A PWS required to monitor under both paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section shall begin monitoring to comply with the provisions of Sec. 141.142 (Disinfection Byproduct and Related Monitoring) and Sec. 141.143 (Microbiological Monitoring) of this subpart in the same month. The PWS must submit the sampling plans required by Secs. 141.142(c)(2)(ii) and 141.143(c)(3)(ii) of this subpart at the same time.

(3) Disinfection Byproduct and Related Monitoring. A PWS operating a treatment plant required to comply with Sec. 141.142 of this subpart shall begin monitoring in the calendar month following approval of the DBP and related monitoring sampling plan submitted under the provisions of Sec. 141.142(c)(2)(ii) of this subpart. Once a PWS has begun monitoring, it shall continue to monitor for 18 consecutive months.

(4) Microbiological Monitoring. A PWS operating a treatment plant identified in paragraph (d) of this section shall begin monitoring under the provisions of Sec. 141.143 of this subpart in the calendar month following approval of the sampling plan submitted under the provisions of Sec. 141.143(c)(3)(ii) of this subpart. Once a PWS has begun monitoring, it shall continue to monitor for 18 consecutive months.

(5) DBP precursor removal studies. (i) TOC, UFCTOX, THM4, and HAA5 monitoring. A PWS required to comply with Sec. 141.144 of this subpart shall begin TOC, UFCTOX, THM4, and HAA5 monitoring specified in paragraph (e)(2) of this section not later than August 14, 1996 and continue this monitoring for 12 consecutive months for TOC and UFCTOX and four consecutive quarters for THM4 and HAA5.

[[Page 409]]

(ii) A PWS required to conduct a disinfection byproduct precursor removal study (treatment study) under the provisions of paragraph (e)(1) of this section shall begin conducting such treatment studies not later than April 14, 1998 and submit the report(s) of the completed study to EPA not later than July 14, 1999.

Sec. 141.142 Disinfection byproduct and related monitoring.

(a) Monitoring requirements. Samples taken under the provisions of this section shall be taken according to the procedures described in the ``ICR Sampling Manual,'' EPA 814-B-96-001, April 1996. If a treatment plant configuration results in two required sampling points from any table in this section when in fact it is a single location, duplicate analyses are not required for the same location and time. A PWS that uses purchased finished water shall determine whether any monitoring of treatment plant influent is required under paragraphs (a)(2) through (5) of this section because of certain treatment (e.g., use of hypochlorite or chlorine dioxide) of the water provided by the selling PWS.

(1) A PWS shall obtain a complete set of samples at the frequency and location noted in Tables 1a and 1b of this section for treatment plants required to test under Sec. 141.141(b) of this subpart. Samples shall be taken according to the sampling plan approved under the provisions of paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section.

(i) Samples of finished water shall be collected at a point after which all treatment processes for a particular treatment plant are complete (including the clearwell and final point of chlorination) and before the distribution system begins. A PWS that purchases finished water shall collect a sample before additional disinfectant is added to the purchased finished water. A PWS shall collect a sample of purchased finished water only if the PWS redisinfects the purchased finished water. A sample of finished water is a sample representing the final product water from a particular treatment plant.

(ii) A sample of treatment plant influent for a PWS that treats untreated water shall be taken at a location at the upstream end of a treatment plant where waters from all intakes are blended prior to any treatment or chemical addition. For treatment plants that have multiple intakes and add chemicals at the intake, the sample of treatment plant influent shall be a flow proportional composite of intake samples collected before chemical addition and before pretreatment. If the intakes are expected to have the same source water quality, one representative intake sample may be taken. If a disinfectant is added at or before the intake (e.g., for zebra mussel control), the sample shall be taken in the vicinity of the intake so that the sample is not contaminated by the disinfectant. A sample of treatment plant influent for a PWS that treats purchased finished water is taken at a location just before the purchased finished water is treated. An intake sample is collected after the intake but before blending with waters from other

intakes and before addition of chemicals or any treatment.

Table 1a.--Monthly Monitoring Requirements for Treatment Plants

Sampling point	Monthly analyses <SUP>1
Treatment plant influent for non-finished water.	pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total Hardness, TOC, UV <INF>254, Bromide, Ammonia.
Treatment plant influent for purchased finished water <SUP>2.	pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total Hardness, TOC, UV <INF>254, Disinfectant residual <SUP>3.
Before first point of oxidant addition.	Chlorine demand test.
Washwater return between washwater treatment plant and point of addition to process train <SUP>4.	pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total hardness, TOC, UV <INF>254, Bromide, Ammonia, Disinfectant residual <SUP>3 if disinfectant is used.
Additional water sources added to process train after treatment plant influent. The sample point is before additional water is blended with the process train.	pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total hardness, TOC, UV <INF>254, Bromide, Ammonia, Disinfectant residual <SUP>3 if disinfectant is used.
Before Filtration.....	pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total Hardness, TOC, and UV <INF>254.
After Filtration.....	pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total Hardness, TOC, and UV <INF>254.

[[Page 410]]

Before each Point of Disinfection <SUP>5.	pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total Hardness, TOC, and UV <INF>254.
After every unit process that is downstream from the addition of chlorine or chloramines.	Disinfectant Residual <SUP>3.
Finished water sample point (Plant effluent).	pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total Hardness, TOC, UV <INF>254, Disinfectant Residual <SUP>3.
Entry point to distribution system <SUP>6.	pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total Hardness, TOC, UV <INF>254, Disinfectant Residual <SUP>3.

\1\ TOC: total organic carbon. UV <INF>254: absorbance of ultraviolet light at 254 nanometers.

\2\ Samples of purchased finished water shall be taken prior to addition of any more disinfectant.

\3\ Free chlorine residual and total chlorine residual shall be measured in treatment systems using free chlorine. Total chlorine residual, but not free chlorine residual, shall be measured in treatment systems using chloramines as the residual disinfectant.

\4\ Washwater return shall be sampled prior to blending with the process train.

\5\ For utilities using ozone or chlorine dioxide, Tables 4 and 5, respectively, of this section, show additional monitoring requirements at this sampling point. Addition of ammonia for the purpose of converting free chlorine to chloramines is considered a point of disinfectant addition. PWSs that disinfect just before filtration may use the ``before filtration'' sampling point analytical results to meet the monitoring requirement for this point.

\6\ Entry point to distribution system only required for treatment plants that blend finished water with finished water from other treatment plant(s) prior to entry point of distribution system. For most treatment plants, the finished water sample point and the entry point to the distribution system are the same.

Table 1b.--Quarterly Monitoring Requirements for Treatment Plants

Sampling point	Quarterly analyses<SUP>1
Treatment plant influent for non-finished water.	TOX.
Treatment plant influent for purchased finished water.	THM4, HAA6<SUP>7, HAN, CP, HK, CH, TOX.
Washwater Return between washwater treatment plant and point of addition to process train.	TOX.
After filtration if disinfectant is applied at any point in the treatment plant prior to filtration.	THM4, HAA6<SUP>7, HAN, CP, HK, CH, TOX.
Finished water sample point (Plant Effluent).	THM4, HAA6<SUP>7, HAN, CP, HK, CH, TOX.
Entry point to distribution system<SUP>2.	THM4, HAA6<SUP>7, HAN, CP, HK, CH, TOX.
SDS<SUP>3.....	THM4, HAA6<SUP>7, HAN, CP, HK, CH, TOX, pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total Hardness, Disinfectant Residual<SUP>5.
Four monitoring points in distribution system<SUP>4,<SUP>6.	THM4, HAA6<SUP>7, HAN, CP, HK, CH, TOX, pH, Alkalinity, Turbidity, Temperature, Calcium and Total Hardness, Disinfectant Residual<SUP>5.

\1\ TOC: total organic carbon. THM4: trihalomethane (four). HAA6: haloacetic acids (six). HAN: Haloacetonitriles. CP: chloropicrin. HK: haloketones. CH: chloral hydrate. TOX: total organic halide. For THM4, HAA6, HAN, and HK, analytical results for individual analytes shall be reported.

\2\ Entry point to distribution system only required for treatment plants that blend finished water with finished water from other treatment plant(s) prior to entry point of distribution system. For most treatment plants, the finished water sample point and the entry point to the distribution system are the same.

\3\ Simulated Distribution System (SDS) sample shall be collected at the

finished water sampling point (or entry point to distribution system if finished water from two or more plants are blended prior to entering the distribution system) and analyzed using the method specified in Sec. 141.142. PWSs using purchased finished water are not required to take an SDS sample at treatment plants that use only purchased finished water.

\4\ For each treatment plant, one distribution system equivalent sample location (known as DSE) shall be chosen to correspond to the SDS sample, one sample location shall be chosen to be representative of maximum residence time for the treatment plant, and the remaining two sample locations shall be representative of the average residence time in the distribution system for the treatment plant. PWSs using purchased finished water shall take three samples representing the average residence time in the distribution system for the treatment plant and one representing the maximum residence time for the treatment plant (no DSE sample required).

\5\ Free chlorine residual and total chlorine residual shall be measured in treatment systems using free chlorine. Total chlorine residual, but not free chlorine residual, shall be measured in treatment systems using chloramines as the residual disinfectant.

\6\ A PWS may use TTHM compliance monitoring locations and analytical results under Sec. 141.30 of this part to the extent that such locations and analytical results are consistent with the requirements of this section.

\7\ PWSs are encouraged to also analyze for the additional haloacetic acids bromodichloro-, chlorodibromo-, and tribromo-acetic acid, and report the results as part of the reports specified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

(2) Additional requirements for PWSs using chloramines. For each treatment plant that uses chloramines for treatment or disinfection residual maintenance, a PWS shall also conduct the additional sampling identified in Table 2 of this section. A PWS shall send samples of cyanogen chloride taken under the provisions of this paragraph

[[Page 411]]

for analysis to EPA, following the procedures contained in the ``ICR Sampling Manual,'' EPA 814-B-96-001, April 1996.

Table 2.--Additional Quarterly Monitoring for Treatment Plants Using Chloramines

Sampling point	Quarterly analyses
Treatment plant influent for purchased finished water \1\.	Cyanogen Chloride\2\.
Finished water sample point (plant effluent).	Cyanogen Chloride\2\.
Distribution system sample point representing a maximum residence time in distribution system relative to the treatment plant.	Cyanogen Chloride\2\.

\1\ Applicable only when wholesale water provider is using chloramines.

\2\ EPA shall provide all analytical results to the PWS. The PWS shall

report all results in its monthly report.

(3) Additional requirements for PWSs using hypochlorite solutions. For each treatment plant that uses hypochlorite solutions for treatment or disinfection residual maintenance, a PWS shall also conduct the additional sampling identified in Table 3 of this section.

Table 3.--Additional Quarterly Monitoring for Treatment Plants Using Hypochlorite Solutions

Sampling point	Quarterly analyses
Treatment plant influent for non-finished water.	Chlorate.
Treatment plant influent for purchased finished water \1\.	Chlorate.
Hypochlorite Stock Solution.....	pH, Temperature, Free Residual Chlorine, Chlorate.
Finished Water Sample Point (Plant Effluent).	Chlorate.

\1\ Applicable only when wholesale water provider is using hypochlorite solutions.

(4) Additional requirements for PWSs using ozone. For each treatment plant that uses ozone for treatment, a PWS shall also conduct the additional sampling identified in Tables 4a and 4b of this section. A PWS shall collect samples for bromate taken under the provisions of this paragraph in duplicate, with the PWS analyzing one aliquot and submitting the other aliquot for analysis to EPA, following the procedures contained in the ``ICR Sampling Manual,'' EPA 814-B-96-001, April 1996. A PWS shall submit samples for aldehydes taken under the provisions of this paragraph for analysis to EPA, following the procedures contained in the ``ICR Sampling Manual,'' EPA 814-B-96-001, April 1996.

Table 4a.--Additional Monthly Monitoring for Treatment Plants Using Ozone

Sampling point	Monthly analyses
Ozone Contactor Influent.....	Bromide, bromate <SUP>2,3, and ammonia.
Each Ozone Contact Chamber Effluent \1\	Ozone residual.
Ozone Contactor Effluent.....	Bromate\2\.
Finished Water Sample Point (Plant Effluent).	Bromate\2\.

\1\ Each ozone contactor can be subdivided into its contact chambers. Measure ozone residual in effluent of all contact chambers until <0.05 mg/l is measured in two consecutive chambers.

\2\ EPA shall provide all analytical results to the PWS. The PWS shall report all results in its monthly report.

\3\PWSs are not required to analyze a bromate sample at this location. However, PWSs are still required to submit a sample to EPA for analysis.

Table 4b.--Additional Quarterly Monitoring for Treatment Plants Using Ozone

Sampling point	Quarterly analyses
Ozone Contactor Influent.....	Aldehydes \1\ and AOC/BDOC \2\.
Ozone Contactor Effluent.....	Aldehydes \1\ and AOC/BDOC \2\.
Finished Water Sample Point (Plant Effluent).	Aldehydes \1\ and AOC/BDOC \2\.

\1\ EPA shall measure the following aldehydes: formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, propanal, butanal, pentanal, glyoxal, and methyl glyoxal. EPA may analyze for other aldehydes. EPA shall provide all analytical results to the PWS. The PWS shall report all results in its monthly report.

[[Page 412]]

\2\ Analysis and submission of data for both assimilable organic carbon (AOC) and biodegradable organic carbon (BDOC) are optional. Analytical methods for AOC and BDOC are listed in ``DBP/ICR Analytical Methods Manual," EPA 814-B-96-002, April 1996.

(5) Additional sampling requirements for PWSs using chlorine dioxide. For each treatment plant that uses chlorine dioxide for treatment or disinfection residual maintenance, a PWS shall also conduct the additional sampling identified in Tables 5a and 5b of this section. A PWS shall collect samples for bromate taken under the provisions of this paragraph in duplicate, with the PWS analyzing one aliquot and submitting the other aliquot for analysis to EPA, following the procedures contained in the ``ICR Sampling Manual," EPA 814-B-96-001, April 1996. A PWS shall submit samples for aldehydes taken under the provisions of this paragraph for analysis to EPA, following the procedures contained in the ``ICR Sampling Manual," EPA 814-B-96-001, April 1996.

Table 5a.--Additional Monthly Monitoring for Treatment Plants Using Chlorine Dioxide

Sampling point	Monthly analyses
Treatment plant influent for purchased finished water \1\.	Chlorine Dioxide Residual, Chlorite, Chlorate.
Before first chlorine dioxide application.	Chlorate, bromate <SUP>2,3.
Before application of ferrous salts, sulfur reducing agents, or GAC.	Chlorine Dioxide Residual, Chlorite, Chlorate, pH.
Finished water sample point (plant effluent).	Chlorine Dioxide Residual, Chlorite, Chlorate, Bromate \2\.
Three distribution system sampling points (1 near first customer, 1 in middle of distribution system, and 1	Chlorine Dioxide Residual, Chlorite, Chlorate, pH, and Temperature.

representative of maximum residence time in the distribution system).

\1\ Applicable only when wholesale water provider is using chlorine dioxide.

\2\ EPA shall provide all analytical results to the PWS. The PWS shall report all results in its monthly report.

\3\ PWSs are not required to analyze a bromate sample at this location. However, PWSs are still required to submit a sample to EPA for analysis.

Table 5b.--Additional Quarterly Monitoring for Treatment Plants Using Chlorine Dioxide

Sampling point	Quarterly analyses
Before First Chlorine Dioxide Application.	Aldehydes \1\ and AOC/BDOC \2\.
Before First Point of Downstream Chlorine/Chloramine Application After Chlorine Dioxide Addition.	Aldehydes \1\ and AOC/BDOC \2\.
Finished Water Sample Point (Plant Effluent).	Aldehydes \1\ and AOC/BDOC \2\.

\1\EPA shall measure the following aldehydes: formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, propanal, butanal, pentanal, glyoxal, and methyl glyoxal. EPA may analyze for other aldehydes. EPA shall provide all analytical results to the PWS. The PWS shall report all results in its monthly report.

\2\ Analysis and submission of data for both assimilable organic carbon (AOC) and biodegradable organic carbon (BDOC) are optional. Analytical methods for AOC and BDOC are listed in ``DBP/ICR Analytical Methods Manual,'' EPA 814-B-96-002, April 1996.

(6) Additional requirements. A PWS shall also report the applicable information in Tables 6a through 6e of this section. A PWS is required to provide the information in paragraphs (a)(6)(i) through (iii) of this section for each unit process listed in Table 6c. The PWS may provide the information in paragraphs (a)(6)(iv) and (v) of this section for each unit process listed in Table 6c. T<INF>10 and T<INF>50 tracer studies shall be conducted as specified in ``Guidance Manual for Compliance with the Filtration and Disinfection Requirements for Public Water Systems using Surface Water Sources'', Appendix C.

(i) Unit process flow (MGD) at time of sampling.

(ii) T<INF>10 (minutes). A PWS shall determine T<INF>10 based on a one-time tracer study in the clearwell of all treatment plants required to conduct microbiological monitoring under the provisions of Sec. 141.141(d) of this subpart. The PWS may use results of a tracer study conducted to meet the requirements of subpart H (Filtration and Disinfection) of this part to meet this requirement. For subsequent T<INF>10 determinations, the

PWS shall use a flow-proportional interpolation of the clearwell tracer study. For unit processes other than a clearwell, a PWS shall either

estimate T<INF>10 or use an interpolation of tracer study T<INF>10 using multiple flows for each unit process in which a disinfectant residual exists.

(iii) Chemicals in use at time of sampling. Report chemical name, chemical dose at time of sampling, and measurement formula. Measurement formulas (e.g., mg/l as Aluminum) shall be provided to determine the correct amount of the chemical compound being added.

(iv) Short circuiting factor (optional). The short circuiting factor is an assumed value for the ratio of T<INF>10 to nominal contact time (volume divided by flow).

(v) T<INF>50 (minutes) (optional). T<INF>50 should be reported only if based on a tracer study.

Table 6a.--Public Water System Information

Permanent data	Design data	Monthly data
Public Water System:		
Utility Name	.....	Sampling Dates: From (date) To (date).
Public Water Supply Identification Number (PWSID)		Retail population on day of sampling.
Water Industry Data Base (WIDB) Number [Optional]		Wholesale population on day of sampling.
Official Contact Person:		
Name		Monthly average Retail flow (MGD).
Mailing Address		
Phone Number [optional]		Monthly average Wholesale flow (MGD).
FAX Number [optional]		
ICR Contact Person:		
Name		
Mailing Address		
Phone Number [optional]		
FAX Number [optional]		
E-Mail Address [optional]		
Treatment Plant: \1\		
Plant name	Plant type (e.g., Conventional Filtration, Direct Filtration, In-Line Filtration, Two Stage Softening, Disinfection Only/ Groundwater, Other Groundwater treatment)	Hours of operation (hours per day)
ICR plant number assigned by EPA \2\		Sludge solids production (lb/day)
PWSID number of treatment plant \3\		
State approved (permitted) plant capacity (MGD)		Percent solids in sludge (%)
Historical minimum water temperature (deg.C)		
Installed sludge handling capacity (lb/ day)		
Process Train:		
Name	Process Train Type (e.g., Conventional Filtration, Direct Filtration, In-Line Filtration, Two Stage Softening, Disinfection Only/Groundwater, Other Groundwater treatment)	

\1\ A PWS that operates more than one treatment plant shall report treatment plant information in this table for each treatment plant.

\2\ EPA shall assign ICR plant number after the PWS submits sampling plan.
\3\ PWSID of treatment plant if different from the PWSID reported in ``Public Water System''.

[[Page 414]]

Table 6b.--Plant Influent Information

Permanent data	Monthly data
Water Resource \1	
Name of resource: Type of resource (One of the following): 1 Flowing stream 2 Reservoir/Lake 3 Ground water classified as under the direct influence of surface water (GWUDI) 4 Ground water 5 Purchased finished water 6 Non-Fresh (such as salt water)	If Reservoir/Lake: Mean Residence Time (days).
Intake-Surface Water \2	
Location of intake: \3\ Latitude (deg/min/sec) Longitude (deg/min/sec) Hydrologic unit code (8 digit), if known \4\ Stream Reach Code (3 digit) (if known) River mile number (mile) (if known) Is watershed control practiced? (yes/no)	Flow on day of sampling (MGD).
Intake-Ground Water \5\ <SUP>6	
Location of intake: Latitude (deg/min/sec) Longitude (deg/min/sec) Hydrological unit code (8 digit), if known \4\ Is wellhead protection practiced? (yes/no)	Flow on day of sampling (MGD).
Intake-Purchased Finished Water \7	
Name of supplying utility..... PWSID of supplying utility	Flow on day of sampling (MGD).
Plant Influent \8	

Monthly average flow (MGD).
Flow at time of sampling (MGD).

\1\ Each treatment plant shall have at least one water resource. Each water resource shall have at least one intake. A treatment plant that uses more than one water resource shall report water resource information in this table for each water resource.

\2\ Intake-Surface Water describes the physical location of an intake structure located in a river, lake, or other surface water resource or, for ground water under the direct influence of surface water, the physical location of a well.

\3\ The location of the intake will allow cross referencing into other data bases containing information on possible contamination threats to the intake.

\4\ The hydrologic unit code will allow cross referencing into other data bases containing information on possible contamination threats to the intake.

\5\ An Intake-Ground Water describes the physical location of a well or well field (if multiple wells draw from a common aquifer.

[[Page 415]]

\6\ A PWS is not required to report information for ground water that is not treated.

\7\ A PWS is required to report information for purchased finished water only if that water is further treated.

\8\ Multiple ``Intakes'' combine into one ``Plant Influent.'' Each treatment plant has only one treatment plant influent. The treatment plant influent shall mark the point in the treatment plant where the ``Plant Influent'' sample shall be collected as described in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 5 of this section.

Table 6c.--Unit Process Information

Design data	Monthly data
Presedimentation Basin \1\	
Tube Settler Brand Name	Liquid volume (gallons).
Plate Settler Brand Name	Surface area (ft<SUP>2).
Baffling type\2\	Projected Tube Settler Surface Area (ft\2\).
	Projected Plate Settler Surface Area (ft<SUP>2).

Ozone Contact Basin

Information for the complete ozone contact basin:

Type of Ozone Contactor (One of the following)

1 Bubble Diffusion

2 Turbine

Number of Chambers

Information for each ozone contact chamber:

Chamber sequence number

Liquid volume (ft<SUP>3)

Surface area (ft<SUP>2)

Water/Ozone flow regime (one of the following)

1 Counter-current

2 Co-current

Information for the complete ozone contact basin:

Ozone CT (mg min/l).<SUP>10

Ozone Giardia Inactivation (logs).

Ozone Virus Inactivation (logs).

Ozone concentration in feed gas (% by weight).

Total Ozone Gas Flow Rate to Contactor (SCFM).<SUP>3

Type of feed gas used to generate ozone (one of the following).

1 Air.

2 Oxygen.

Total Ozone Applied Dose (mg/l).

Information for each ozone contact chamber:

Percent ozone gas flow split to this chamber (%).

Hydrogen peroxide dose (mg/l).

Washwater Return Point <SUP>8

Indicate which washwater treatment processes are being used on day of sampling

Is there treatment (yes/no):

Flow of returned washwater at time of sampling (MGD).

24 hr average flow prior to sampling (MGD).

[[Page 416]]

If yes:

Plain sedimentation (yes/no)

Coagulation/sedimentation (yes/no)

Filtration (yes/no)
Disinfection (yes/no)

Other Treatment (Text)

Rapid Mix

Type of mixer (one of the following): Mean velocity gradient ``G'' (sec<SUP>-1).<SUP>4

Liquid volume (gallons).

1 Mechanical
2 Hydraulic
3 Static
4 Other

Baffling type <SUP>2

Flocculation Basin

Type of mixer (one of the following): Mean velocity gradient ``G'' (sec<SUP>-1) in each stage.<SUP>4

Liquid volume of each stage (gallons).

1 Mechanical
2 Hydraulic

Number of stages

Baffling type <SUP>2

Sedimentation Basin

Tube settler brand name Liquid volume (gallons).

Plate settler brand name Surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Baffling type <SUP>2 Projected tube settler surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Projected plate settler surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Solids Contact Clarifier

Brand name: Liquid volume (gallons).

Surface area of settling zone (ft<SUP>2).

Projected tube settler surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Projected plate settler surface area (ft<SUP>2).

[[Page 417]]

Type (check all that apply):

Rectangular basin

Upflow
Reactor-clarifier
Sludge blanket
Tube settler brand name

Plate settler brand name

Baffling type <SUP>2

Adsorption Clarifier

Brand Name Liquid volume (gallons).

Baffling type <SUP>2 Surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Dissolved Air Flotation

Baffling type <SUP>2 Liquid volume (gallons).

Surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Percent recycle rate (%).

Recycle stream pressure (psi).

Recarbonation Basin

Baffling type <SUP>2 Liquid volume (gallons).

Surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Filtration

Media Type (one of the following): Liquid volume (gallons).

Surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Average filter run time (hr).

1 Dual media (Anthracite/Sand)

2 GAC over sand

3 Tri media (Anthracite/Sand/Garnet)

4 Sand
5 Deep bed monomedia anthracite

6 Deep bed monomedia GAC

7 Greensand
8 Other
Design depth of GAC (inch)

Type and manufacturer of activated carbon

Design media depth (inch)

Minimum water depth to top of media (ft)

Depth from top of media to top of backwash trough (ft)

Slow Sand Filtration

Media type	Surface area (ft<SUP>2).
Media depth	Average filter run length.
Media size	Cleaning method.

Diatomaceous Earth Filter

Effective DE filter surface (ft<SUP>2).

Precoat (lb/ft<SUP>2).

Bodyfeed (mg/l).
Run length (hours).

Granular Activated Carbon--Post-Filter Adsorber

Manufacturer of activated carbon	Liquid volume (gallons).
Type of activated carbon	Surface area (ft<SUP>2).
	Carbon volume (ft<SUP>3).
	Empty bed contact time (minutes).
	Operating reactivation frequency (days).

Membranes

Model name:	Surface area (ft\2\).

Type (one of the following):

1 Reverse osmosis

2 Nanofiltration

3 Ultrafiltration

4 Microfiltration

5 Electrodialysis

Number of stages

Molecular weight cutoff (daltons)

Design flux (gpd/ft<SUP>2)

Design pressure (psi)

Percent recovery (%).

Operating pressure (psi).

Operating flux (gpd/ft<SUP>2).

Cleaning method (one of the following)

Hydraulic.

Chemical.

Cleaning frequency (days).

Air Stripping

Packing height (ft)

Design air to water ratio (volume/volume)

Type of packing (Name)

Nominal size of packing (inch)

Horizontal cross-section area (ft<SUP>2).

Air flow (SCFM).<SUP>3

[[Page 419]]

Ion Exchange

Resin (Name)

Resin manufacturer

Design exchange capacity (equ/ft<SUP>3) <SUP>3

Bed depth (ft)

Liquid volume (gallons).

Surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Disinfection Contact Basin <SUP>5 <SUP>6

Baffling type <SUP>2 Liquid volume (gallons).

Surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Clearwell <SUP>7

Baffling type <SUP>2 Liquid volume (gallons).

Minimum liquid volume (gallons) Surface area (ft<SUP>2).

Covered or Open

Additional Water Sources <SUP>9

Type of water source: Flow of additional source (MGD).<SUP>6

Purchased Finished water

Untreated ground water

Treated ground water

Untreated surface water

Treated surface water

Other

Other Treatment

Purpose Surface area (ft<SUP>2) [optional].

Liquid Volume (gallons) [optional].

<SUP>1 A reservoir to which oxidants, disinfectants, or coagulants are added is considered a presedimentation basin.
<SUP>2 Baffling type classified as one of the following: 1 (Unbaffled (mixed tank)), 2 (Poor (inlet/outlet only)), 3 (Average (Inlet/Outlet and intermediate)), 4 (Superior (Serpentine)), or 5 (Perfect (Plug flow)). Information on classifying baffling types can be found in ``Guidance Manual for Compliance with the Filtration and Disinfection Requirements for Public Water Systems using Surface Water Sources'', Appendix C.
<SUP>3 ``SCFM'' is standard cubic feet per minute. ``Equ/ft\3\'' is equivalents per cubic foot.

<SUP>4 The mean velocity gradient is typically computed as G=square root of (P/uV) where P=power expended, u=viscosity, and V=liquid volume.
<SUP>5 The disinfection contact basin shall have a stable liquid level.

<SUP>6 Disinfection Contact Basin can be used to represent a pipe with a long contact time.

<SUP>7 A clear well may have a variable liquid level.

<SUP>8 The ``Washwater Return'' shall mark the point in the process train where washwater joins the main flow.
<SUP>9 Additional water sources includes water that is added to the process train after the influent.

<SUP>10 Ozone CT calculated using the procedure contained in ``Guidance Manual for Compliance with the Filtration and Disinfection Requirements for Public Water Systems using Surface Water Sources'', Appendix O, 1991.

[[Page 420]]

Table 6d.--Additional Process Train Information

Design data	Monthly data
Disinfectant Addition	
	Disinfectants in use at time of sampling. Dose (mg/l). Chemical formula (e.g., mg/l as chlorine).
Finished Water Sample Point (Plant Effluent) \1\ \2\	
	Monthly average flow (MGD). Flow at time of sampling (MGD).

\1\ This shall mark the end of a treatment plant.
\2\ Unless the finished water of this treatment plant is blended with finished water from another treatment plant, this point is also the entry point to the distribution system.

Table 6e.--Finished Water Distribution Information

Design data	Monthly data
Entry Point to Distribution System \1	
	Monthly average flow (MGD).
	Flow at time of sampling (MGD).
Wholesale Information \2	
Name of purchaser.....	Flow at time of sampling (MGD).
PWSID of purchaser	
Distribution System	
Typical maximum residence time (days)	Maximum residence time (days).
Average residence time (days)	Average residence time (days).
Design volume of distribution system storage (million gallon)	Number of disinfection booster stations in operation at time of sampling:
Total surface area of open reservoirs in distribution system storage (ft\2\)	Chlorine.
	Chloramine.
	Chlorine dioxide.
	Range of distribution system disinfectant dosages.
	Chlorine: High (mg/l) Low (mg/l).
	Chloramine: High (mg/l) Low (mg/l).
	Chlorine dioxide: High (mg/l) Low (mg/l).

\1\ Multiple treatment plants can feed into one entry point to the distribution system. If there is only one treatment plant then ``Finished Water Sample Point (Plant Effluent)'' and ``Entry Point to Distribution System'' are the same.

\2\ The supplying public water system shall report ``Wholesale Information'' for each public water system which purchases finished water.

[[Page 421]]

(b) Analytical methods. (1) A PWS shall use the methods identified in Table 7 of this section for conducting analyses required by this subpart.

[[Page 422]]

Table 7.--Analytical Methods Approved for Subpart M

Analyte	Methodology \1\		
	40 CFR reference \2\	EPA method	Standard method \3\
pH, alkalinity, calcium hardness, temperature.	Sec. 141.23(k)(1)		
Turbidity	Sec. 141.74(a)(1)		
Disinfectant residuals: free chlorine, total chlorine, chlorine dioxide, ozone.	Sec. 141.74(a)(2)		4500-Cl B \9\
Trihalomethanes: chloroform, bromodichloromethane, dibromochloromethane, bromoform	Sec. 141.24(e)	551.1 \4\	
Haloacetic acids: mono-, di-, and trichloroacetic acids; mono- and dibromoacetic acid; bromochloroacetic acid.		552.1, \5\ 552.2 \4\	6251 B
Chloral hydrate		551.1 \4\	
Haloacetonitriles: di- and trichloroacetonitrile; bromochloroacetonitrile; dibromoacetonitrile.		551.1 \4\	
Haloketones: 1,1-Dichloropropanone; 1,1,1-trichloropropanone.		551.1 \4\	
Chloropicrin		551.1 \4\	
Chlorite		300.0 \6\	
Chlorate		300.0 \6\	
Bromide		300.0 \6\	
Bromate		300.0 \6\	
Total Organic Halide (TOX)			5320 B

Total Organic Carbon..... .... 5310 B, 5310 C, 5310 D
UV absorbance at 254 nm..... 5910

Simulated Distribution System Test .... 5710 C

(SDS).
Total Hardness..... .... 2340 B,\7\ 2340 C

Ammonia..... Sec. 136.3, Table 1b \8\ 350.1 \6\ 4500-NH<INF>3 D, 4500-NH<INF>3 G
Chlorine Demand Test..... .... 2350 B

\1\ Analyses shall be conducted by using mandatory analytical and quality control procedures contained in ``DBP/ICR Analytical Methods Manual'', EPA 814-B-96-002.
\2\ Currently approved methodology for drinking water compliance monitoring is listed in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations in the sections referenced in this column. The 18th and 19th editions of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20005, are equivalent for the methods cited in these sections. Therefore, either edition may be used.
\3\ Except where noted, all methods refer to the 19th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20005.

\4\ Analytical method reprinted in ``Reprints of EPA Methods for Chemical Analyses Under the Information Collection Rule'', EPA 814-B-96-006. Originally published in ``Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water--Supplement III,'' EPA/600/R-95/131, August 1995, PB95-261616.
\5\ Analytical method reprinted in ``Reprints of EPA Methods for Chemical Analyses Under the Information Collection Rule'', EPA 814-B-96-006. Originally published in ``Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water--Supplement II,'' EPA/600/R-92/129, August 1992, PB92-207703.
\6\ Analytical method reprinted in ``Reprints of EPA Methods for Chemical Analyses Under the Information Collection Rule'', EPA 814-B-96-006. Originally published in ``Methods for the Determination of Inorganic Substances in Environmental Samples,'' EPA/600/R-93/100, August 1993, PB94-121811.
\7\ The following methods, cited at Sec. 141.23(k)(1) of this part, can be used to determine calcium and magnesium concentrations for use in conjunction with Standard Method 2340 B: EPA Method 200.7, Standard Method 3111 B, Standard Method 3120 B, or ASTM Method D511-93 B.
\8\ PWSs may use only the automated electrode method from Sec. 136.3, Table 1b.

\9\ Standard Method 4500-Cl B is approved only for determining free chlorine residual concentrations in hypochlorite stock solutions. This method may not be used for any other disinfectant residual analyses.

(2) Analyses under this section shall be conducted by laboratories that have received approval from EPA to perform sample analysis for compliance with this rule. Laboratories that wish to become approved shall contact EPA in writing at USEPA, Technical Support Division, ICR Laboratory Coordinator, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268 not later than November 14, 1996. Requirements for approval are included in ``DBP/ICR Analytical Methods Manual'', EPA 814-B-96-002.

(c) Reporting. (1) A PWS shall report required data and information collected under the provisions of paragraph (a) of this section to EPA, using an EPA-specified computer readable format. A PWS shall submit a monthly report that indicates the analytical results of all samples collected, including quarterly samples taken in that same month, and all process train data. These reports shall be submitted on a diskette no later than the fourth month following sampling. In addition to the information in Tables 1 through 6 in paragraph (a) of this section, reports shall include PWSID, ICR plant identification, sample date, analysis date, laboratory identification numbers, analytical methods used, sample identification numbers, quality assurance code, internal standards, surrogate standards, and preserved sample pH, if appropriate.

(2) Additional Requirements. A PWS shall submit a DBP and related monitoring sampling plan for EPA approval, using software provided by EPA, for each treatment plant specified in Sec. 141.141(b)(2) of this subpart that indicates sampling point locations and monitoring to be conducted at each point, and process treatment train information. This sampling plan shall be submitted to EPA at the same time and on the same diskette as the microbiological sampling plan required by Sec. 141.143(c)(3) and no later than eight weeks after the PWS receives the Notice of ICR Final Applicability Determination from EPA, using the procedure specified in ``ICR Sampling Manual'', EPA 814-B-96-001, April 1996.

(3) All reports required by this section shall be submitted to USEPA (ICR4600), ICR Data Center, Room 1111 East Tower, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

(4) The PWS shall keep all data for at least three years following data submission to EPA.

(d) Incorporation by reference. The documents and methods listed in paragraphs (d) (1) and (2) of this section are incorporated by reference for purposes specified in this section. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies may be inspected at USEPA, Drinking Water Docket (4101), 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460, or at Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

(1) ``Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater,'' 19th edition, 1995. Available from the American Public Health Association, 1015 Fifteenth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005.

(2) ``Guidance Manual for Compliance with the Filtration and Disinfection Requirements for Public Water Systems using Surface Water Sources'', Appendices C and O, 1991. Available from American Water Works Association, 6666 West Quincy Avenue, Denver, CO 80235.

Sec. 141.143 Microbial monitoring.

(a) Monitoring requirements. (1) Parameters. A PWS shall sample for the following parameters for the period specified in Sec. 141.141(d) of this subpart and at the location specified and using the analytical

methods specified in paragraphs (a)(2) and (b), respectively, of this section. For each sample, a PWS shall determine the densities of total coliforms, fecal coliforms or Escherichia coli, Giardia, Cryptosporidium, and total culturable viruses for each treatment plant required to monitor under the provisions of Sec. 141.141(b) of this subpart.

(2) Monitoring locations. (i) A PWS shall collect one sample of the treatment plant influent at the frequency specified in Sec. 141.141(d) of this subpart.

(A) A sample of treatment plant influent shall be taken at a location at the upstream end of a treatment plant where waters from all intakes are

[[Page 424]]

blended prior to any treatment or chemical addition.

(B) For treatment plants that have multiple intakes and add chemicals at the intake, the PWS shall take an intake sample of the water resource with the poorest microbiological quality (or, if that cannot be determined, the water resource with the highest flow) collected before chemical addition and before pretreatment. If the intakes are expected to have the same source water quality, one representative intake sample may be taken. If a disinfectant is added at or before the intake (e.g., for zebra mussel control), the sample shall be taken in the vicinity of the intake in such manner that the sample is not contaminated by the disinfectant.

(ii) A PWS that, during any of the first twelve months of monitoring at the treatment plant influent, detects 10 or more Giardia cysts, or 10 or more Cryptosporidium oocysts, or one or more total culturable viruses, in one liter of water; or calculates a numerical value of the Giardia or Cryptosporidium concentration equal to or greater than 1000 per 100 liters or virus concentration equal to or greater than 100 per 100 liters; or detects no pathogens in the sample and calculates a numerical value of the detection limit for Giardia or Cryptosporidium concentration equal to or greater than 1000 per 100 liters or virus concentration equal to or greater than 100 per 100 liters; shall also collect one sample of finished water per month at each such treatment plant, beginning in the first calendar month after the PWS learns of such a result. The sample of finished water shall be collected at a point after which all treatment processes for a particular treatment plant are complete (including the clearwell and final point of disinfection) and before the distribution system begins. For each sample of finished water, PWSs shall determine the density of total coliforms, fecal coliforms or E. coli, Giardia, Cryptosporidium, and total culturable viruses. A PWS shall continue finished water monitoring monthly until 18 months of treatment plant influent monitoring has been completed.

(iii) In lieu of conducting finished water monitoring of Giardia and Cryptosporidium specified in paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section, a PWS may notify EPA in its response to the notice of applicability required by paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section that the PWS will comply with the alternative monitoring requirements in paragraphs (a)(2)(iii) (A) and (B) of this section. The PWS shall still conduct finished water monitoring for all other microorganisms, except for Giardia and Cryptosporidium monitoring in the finished water.

(A) The PWS measures the particle counts in the treatment plant

influent, at points immediately prior to filtration and after filtration (but before the addition of post-filtration chemicals). Particle counting shall be conducted on the same treatment train as is sampled for monitoring conducted under the provisions of Sec. 141.142(a) of this subpart. Such samples shall be collected monthly during the entire 18-month monitoring period, using the procedures contained in the ``ICR Sampling Manual'', EPA 814-B-96-001, April 1996. The PWS may use either grab or continuous particle counting. Particle counting shall be conducted during the same time as protozoa monitoring required by paragraph (a)(2)(iii)(B) of this section.

(1) If grab sampling is conducted, the PWS shall collect 12 samples per location at the treatment plant influent, filter influent, and filter effluent, over either a 24-hour period or the duration of the filter run, whichever is shorter.

(2) If continuous particle counting is conducted, the PWS shall collect 12 instrument readings per location, evenly spaced in time, at the treatment plant influent, filter influent, and filter effluent, over either a 24-hour period or the duration of the filter run, whichever is shorter.

(3) For each sample, the PWS shall measure particle counts per milliliter in the size ranges of 3<greek-m>m-5<greek-m>m, 5<greek-m>m-7<greek-m>m, 7<greek-m>m-10<greek-m>m, 10<greek-m>m-15<greek-m>m, and >15<greek-m>m, and shall report to EPA the mean value in each size range of the 12 values collected over the sampling period.

(B) The PWS collects and analyzes at least four consecutive months of Giardia and Cryptosporidium samples at the same locations specified in paragraph (a)(2)(iii)(A) of this section, within the first 12 months of the 18 months

[[Page 425]]

of sampling. The PWS shall collect Giardia and Cryptosporidium samples during the same time period as it is conducting particle counting. The minimum sample volume for Giardia and Cryptosporidium analyses shall be 100 liters for treatment plant influent and 1,000 liters for water that has undergone any treatment. The PWS may use results of monitoring for Giardia and Cryptosporidium in the treatment plant influent specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section to meet the requirements of this paragraph as long as such monitoring meets the requirements of both this paragraph and paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

(iv) If a PWS has monitored total coliforms, fecal coliforms, or E. coli in the treatment plant influent for at least five days/week for any period of six consecutive months beginning after January 1, 1994 and 90% of all samples taken in that six-month period contained no greater than 100 total coliforms/100 ml, or 20 fecal coliforms/100 ml, or 20 E. coli/100 ml, the PWS may request to not conduct virus monitoring for that treatment plant, for the duration of the requirement. Even if approved, the PWS may subsequently be required to monitor under the criteria in paragraph (a)(2)(iv)(A) of this section. This request shall be submitted as part of the response to the notice of applicability required by paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section.

(A) If the PWS is subsequently required to monitor the finished water under the provisions of paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section, the PWS shall monitor, along with the other specified organisms, total culturable viruses, as specified in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section for treatment plant influent and as specified in paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of

this section for finished water, until 18 months of microbial monitoring is completed.

(B) A PWS may use coliform data collected under Sec. 141.71(a)(1) of this part for this purpose but, if this is done, the PWS shall submit two separate monitoring reports. One report, to meet the requirements of Sec. 141.71(a)(1) of this part, shall continue to be submitted as required by subpart H of this part. The other report shall be submitted to meet the requirements of paragraph (c)(3) of this section.

(C) If a PWS does not provide EPA with six months of suitable coliform results as part of its response to the notice of applicability, the PWS shall begin virus monitoring. If a PWS begins virus monitoring and subsequently provides EPA with six months of coliform results that are at or below the indicated density limit, and EPA approves the request to not conduct virus monitoring, the PWS may avoid subsequent treatment plant virus monitoring.

(b) Analytical Methods. (1) A PWS shall use the methods listed in paragraphs (b)(1)(i) through (v) of this section for monitoring under this subpart.

(i) Fecal coliforms--specified at Sec. 141.74(a)(1) of this part, except that whenever paired source water samples and finished water samples are to be collected, only the fecal coliform procedure (Standard Method 9221E), as specified in Sec. 141.74(a)(1) of this part, using EC Medium, can be used. The time between sample collection and initiation of sample analysis shall not exceed eight hours. Samples shall be chilled, but not frozen, and shipped at a temperature of less than 10 deg.C. Samples not processed immediately at the laboratory shall be refrigerated. The laboratory must invalidate samples that arrive frozen or at a temperature greater than 10 deg.C.

(ii) Total coliforms--specified at Sec. 141.74(a)(2) of this part. The time between sample collection and initiation of sample analysis shall not exceed eight hours. Samples shall be chilled, but not frozen, and shipped at a temperature of less than 10 deg.C. Samples not processed immediately at the laboratory shall be refrigerated. The laboratory must invalidate samples that arrive frozen or at a temperature greater than 10 deg.C.

(iii) E. coli--as specified by Sec. 141.21(f)(6)(i) through (iii) of this part, except that the density shall be reported. PWSs using the EC+MUG and ONPG-MUG tests shall use either a 5-tube or 10-tube 10-ml configuration, with serial dilutions of the original sample as needed, and report the Most Probable Number. PWSs may also use a commercial multi-test system for E.

[[Page 426]]

coli enumeration, as long as they use M-Endo medium for the initial isolation of the organisms, pick every colony on the plate with the appearance of a total coliform, and streak it for purification before subjecting the colony to a multi-test system. The time between sample collection and initiation of sample analysis, regardless of method used, shall not exceed eight hours. Samples shall be chilled, but not frozen, and shipped at a temperature of less than 10 deg.C. Samples not processed immediately at the laboratory shall be refrigerated. The laboratory must invalidate samples that arrive frozen or at a temperature greater than 10 deg.C.

(iv) Giardia and Cryptosporidium--ICR Protozoan Method, as described in ``ICR Microbial Laboratory Manual'', EPA 600/R-95/178, April 1996.

(v) Total culturable viruses--Virus Monitoring Protocol, as described in ``ICR Microbial Laboratory Manual'', EPA 600/R-95/178, April 1996.

(2) Laboratories. A PWS shall use EPA-approved laboratories to analyze for Giardia, Cryptosporidium, and total culturable viruses. A PWS shall use laboratories certified for microbiology analyses by either EPA or a State under the EPA or State drinking water program for the analysis of total coliforms, fecal coliforms, and E. coli. Laboratories that wish to become approved shall contact EPA in writing at USEPA, Technical Support Division, ICR Laboratory Coordinator, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268 not later than August 14, 1996. Laboratory approval criteria for Giardia, Cryptosporidium, and total culturable viruses are found in the ``ICR Microbial Laboratory Manual'', EPA 600/R-95/178, April 1996.

(3) A PWS shall send EPA a virus archive sample prepared as described in Chapter VIII of ``ICR Microbial Laboratory Manual'', EPA 600/R-95/178, April 1996, for each water sample identified in paragraph (b)(3)(i) or (ii) of this section.

(i) Samples of treatment plant influent and finished water, for every month after the PWS learns that viruses were detected in any previous sample of finished water.

(ii) Samples of treatment plant influent and finished water, regardless of whether viruses are detected in the finished water, for every month after the PWS learns that a density of at least 10 viruses/L was detected in any previous treatment plant influent water sample.

(iii) A PWS may arrange to have virus samples shipped directly to EPA by its virus laboratory for archiving.

(iv) Samples shall be sent on dry ice to ICR Virus Archiving Coordinator following the procedures specified in ``ICR Microbial Laboratory Manual'', EPA 600/R-95/178, April 1996.

(c) Reporting. (1) A PWS shall report data and information required under paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section using an EPA-specified computer readable format. A PWS shall submit a monthly report on a diskette, no later than the fourth month following sampling, that indicates the analytical results of all samples collected. Reports shall include PWSID, ICR plant identification, sample date, analysis date, laboratory identification numbers, analytical methods used, sample identification numbers, analytical batch numbers, quality assurance code, and processing batch numbers, if appropriate.

(2)(i) For a PWS using the alternative to Giardia and Cryptosporidium monitoring in paragraph (a)(2)(iii) of this section, the PWS shall report to EPA the mean value in each size range of the 12 particle counting values collected over the sampling period. In addition, during the four consecutive months when the PWS collects Giardia and Cryptosporidium samples specified in paragraph (a)(2)(iii)(B) of this section, the PWS shall report to EPA, for each measured site, the densities of Giardia and Cryptosporidium at each measured site. This information shall be submitted at the same time as the report required by paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

(ii) A PWS that is not required to monitor for total culturable viruses under the provisions of paragraph (a)(2)(iv) of this section shall report to EPA the dates and results of all total coliform, fecal coliform, or E. coli monitoring used by the PWS to determine that additional virus monitoring is unnecessary. The report shall indicate all data collected during the six-month time period, and how the data were

used to calculate compliance with this requirement.

(3) Additional Requirements. A PWS shall submit a microbiological sampling plan for EPA approval, using software provided by EPA, for each treatment plant specified in Sec. 141.141(b) of this subpart that indicates sampling point locations and monitoring to be conducted at each point. This sampling plan shall be submitted to EPA at the same time and on the same diskette as the DBP and related monitoring sampling plan required by Sec. 141.142(c)(2) and no later than eight weeks after the PWS receives the Notice of ICR Final Applicability Determination from EPA, using the procedure specified in ``ICR Sampling Manual'', EPA 814-B-96-001, April 1996.

(4) All reports required by this section shall be submitted to USEPA (ICR4600), ICR Data Center, Room 1111 East Tower, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

(5) The PWS shall keep all data for at least three years following data submission to EPA.

Sec. 141.144 Disinfection byproduct precursor removal studies.

(a) TOC, UFCTOX, THM4, and HAA5 applicability monitoring. A PWS required to comply with this section shall conduct TOC, UFCTOX, THM4, and HAA5 monitoring specified in Sec. 141.141(e)(2) of this subpart. A PWS may use monitoring results from samples required by Sec. 141.142(a) of this subpart to meet this requirement to the extent that all requirements in each section are met.

(b) Treatment study requirements. A PWS identified in Sec. 141.141(b) of this subpart shall conduct disinfection byproduct precursor removal studies (treatment studies). The treatment study shall use bench-and/or pilot-scale systems for at least one of the two appropriate candidate technologies (GAC or membrane processes) for the reduction of organic DBP precursors. The treatment studies shall be designed to yield representative performance data and allow the development of national treatment cost estimates for different levels of organic disinfection byproduct control. The treatment objective of the studies is the achievement of levels of byproducts less than 40 <greek-m>g/L TTHM and 30 <greek-m>g/L HAA5, as an annual average. The treatment study shall be conducted with the effluent from treatment processes already in place that remove disinfection byproduct precursors and TOC, to simulate the most likely treatment scenario. PWSs are permitted to optimize these processes or pilot additional processes appropriate for pretreatment for treatment studies. In order to minimize the formation of DBPs, the test water for both the bench- and pilot-scale tests shall be obtained from a location before the first point at which oxidants or disinfectants that form halogenated disinfection byproducts are added. If the use of these oxidants or disinfectants precedes any full-scale treatment process that removes disinfection byproduct precursors, then bench- and pilot-scale treatment processes that represent these full-scale treatment processes are required prior to the GAC or membrane process. A PWS should exercise sound judgement in its selection of treatment process to study and the point at which to obtain water for study. Depending upon the type of treatment study, the study shall be conducted in accordance with the following criteria.

(1) Bench-scale tests are continuous flow tests using rapid small

scale column test (RSSCT) for GAC and small scale membrane test apparatus as specified in ``ICR Manual for Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Studies'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996).

(i) GAC bench-scale testing shall include information on the experimental conditions and results necessary to adequately determine the scaled-up breakthrough curves under the conditions of each RSSCT. At least two empty bed contact times (EBCTs) shall be tested using the RSSCT. These RSSCT EBCTs shall be designed to represent a full-scale EBCT of 10 min and a full-scale EBCT of 20 min. Additional EBCTs may be tested. The RSSCT testing is described in the ``ICR Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Study Manual'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996). The RSSCT tests at each EBCT shall be run quarterly to ascertain the impact of seasonal variation. Thus a total of four RSSCTs at each EBCT should be run.

[[Page 428]]

When seasonal variation is not significant, as is the case in most ground waters, the quarterly tests should be run to investigate other variables, as described in the ``ICR Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Study Manual'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996). The RSSCT shall be run until the effluent TOC concentration is at least 70% of the average influent TOC concentration or the effluent TOC reaches a plateau at greater than 50% of the influent TOC (i.e., the effluent TOC does not increase over a two-month full-scale-equivalent time period by more than 10% of the average influent TOC concentration) or a RSSCT operation time that represents the equivalent of one year of full-scale operation, whichever is shorter. The average influent TOC is defined as the running average of the influent TOC at the time of effluent sampling. If, after completion of the first quarter RSSCTs, the PWS finds that the effluent TOC reaches 70% of the average influent TOC within 20 full-scale equivalent days on the EBCT=10 min test and within 30 full-scale equivalent days on the EBCT=20 min test, the last three quarterly tests shall be conducted using membrane bench-scale testing with only one membrane, as described in paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section.

(ii) Membrane bench-scale testing shall include information on the experimental conditions and results necessary to determine the water quality produced by the membrane treatment and a preliminary estimate of productivity. The testing procedures and monitoring and reporting requirements are described in the ``ICR Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Study Manual'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996). A minimum of two different membrane types with nominal molecular weight cutoffs of less than 1000 shall be investigated. Membrane tests shall be conducted quarterly over one year to determine the seasonal variation. Thus, a total of four bench-scale tests with each membrane shall be run. If seasonal variation is not significant, as is the case of most ground waters, the quarterly tests should be run to evaluate the impact of other variables, such as pretreatment, or additional membranes could be tested. Alternatively, a PWS may choose to conduct a long-term, single element study using a single membrane type in lieu of evaluating two membranes in four quarterly short-term tests, using the protocol in the ``ICR Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Study Manual'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996).

(2) A PWS shall conduct pilot-scale testing as continuous flow tests. For GAC, the PWS shall use GAC of particle size representative of that used in full-scale practice, a pilot GAC column with a minimum

inner diameter of 2.0 inches, and hydraulic loading rate (volumetric flow rate/column cross-sectional area) representative of that used in full-scale practice. The PWS shall design a pilot-scale membrane system as a staged array of elements as described in ``ICR Manual for Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Studies'', EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996.

(i) GAC pilot-scale testing. (A) The pilot testing procedures and monitoring and reporting requirements are prescribed in the ``ICR Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Study Manual'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996).

(B) At least two EBCTs shall be tested, EBCT=10 min and EBCT=20 min, using the pilot-scale plant. Additional EBCTs may be tested.

(C) The pilot tests at each EBCT shall continue until the effluent TOC concentration is at least 70% of the average influent TOC concentration on two consecutive TOC sample dates that are at least two weeks apart or the effluent TOC reaches a plateau at greater than 50% of the influent TOC (i.e., the effluent TOC does not increase over a two-month period by more than 10% of the average influent TOC concentration). If either of these criteria is met for the 20-minute EBCT prior to six months run time, a second pilot test at each EBCT shall be conducted following the same sampling requirements. In all cases the maximum length of the pilot study (one or two tests) is one year. The average influent TOC is defined as the running average of the influent TOC at the time of sampling. The pilot-scale testing shall be timed to capture seasonal variation. If seasonal variation is not significant, as is the case with most ground waters, the pilot-scale test runs shall be designed

[[Page 429]]

to evaluate the impact of other variables, such as pretreatment.

(ii) Membrane pilot-scale testing.

(A) The membrane pilot testing procedures and monitoring and reporting requirements are prescribed in the ``ICR Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Study Manual'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996).

(B) The membrane test system shall be designed to yield information on loss of productivity (fouling), pretreatment requirements, cleaning requirements, and permeate quality and operated at a recovery representative of full-scale operation.

(C) The pilot-scale testing shall be run for one year.

(3) Chlorination under simulated distribution system (SDS) conditions shall be used prior to the measurement of THM4, HAA6, TOX, and chlorine demand. These conditions are described in ``ICR Manual for Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Studies'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996) and represent the average conditions in the distribution system at that time with regard to holding time, temperature, pH, and chlorine residual. If chlorine is not used as the final disinfectant in practice, then a chlorine dose shall be set to yield a free chlorine residual of 1.0 to 0.5 mg/l after a holding time, temperature, and pH equal to those representative of the distribution system averages.

(c) Analytical Methods. All analyses required by paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section shall be conducted using the methods and the mandatory analytical and quality control procedures contained in either ``DBP/ICR Analytical Methods Manual'' (EPA 814-B-96-002, April 1996) or ``ICR Manual for Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Studies'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996). In addition, TOC analyses required by paragraph (a) of this section shall be conducted by a laboratory approved under the provisions of Sec. 141.142(b)(2) of this subpart.

(d) Reporting. (1) TOC and UFCTOX reporting. A PWS shall submit the monthly results of 12 months of TOC or UFCTOX monitoring required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section and the annual average of those monthly results not later than October 14, 1997. This report is not required to be submitted electronically. Although a PWS may use monitoring results from samples required by Sec. 141.142(a) of this subpart to meet this requirement, it shall submit separate reports to meet this reporting requirement and the reporting requirement in Sec. 141.142(c)(1) of this subpart.

(2) A PWS shall report all data collected under the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section. In addition, a PWS shall report the information for water resource and full-scale and pilot- or bench-scale pretreatment processes that precede the bench/pilot systems. These data and information shall be reported in the format specified in ``ICR Manual for Bench- and Pilot-scale Treatment Studies'' (EPA 814-B-96-003, April 1996) not later than July 14, 1999.

(3) All reports required by this section shall be submitted to USEPA, Technical Support Division, ICR Precursor Removal Studies Coordinator, 26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268.