Using analysis uncertainty estimates from the Real-Time Mesoscale Analysis (RTMA) in the verification of grid-based forecasts

### **David Myrick**

Western Region Headquarters Scientific Services Division



## Points $\rightarrow$ Grids





#### Forecast Grid Flagship Product

How did I do away from ASOS stations?

Need an analysis of observations to compare to



# Motivation

- Forecaster concerns:
  - "The objective analysis never draws for the cold air that pools in the X valley!"
  - "I'll be penalized for adding detail to my grids!"
  - "The analysis never matches the observations in my northern mountain zones!"
- Forecasters <u>need feedback</u> on how they are doing <u>across the entire forecast grid</u> (CWA)



## Current grid-based verification efforts

✓ Choose Verification Options ×				
Grid Displays Grid Stats Distributions Point/Area Stats				
Parameter:		Model:	Forecaster:	Dates:
□ MaxRH		🗆 ADJDGX	ALL 🔼	🔷 Verifying on
🗖 MaxT		☐ ADJDGXBC	awipsusr	💠 Forecast on
☐ MinRH		☐ ADJFWC	cdecker	Choose Dates by:
⊒ MinT		□ ADJFWCBC	ddecker	Period Length
⊒ RH		🗆 ADJKAF	dfishler	List of dates
⊒ T		☐ ADJKAFBC	dgroener	Length (days)
🖵 Td		🗆 ADJMAV	dhuston	365
□ TdAft		☐ ADJMAVBC	iallen	
☐ TdMm		I ADJMEH	jjannuzz	Before:
🔲 WindDir		🗆 ADJMEL	jsmith	2006/05/17
☐ WindSpd		🗆 ADJMEN	jtannenn	2006/05/16
Edit Area:		F ADJMET	Icolin	2006/05/15
Entire Grid		ADJMETBC	lholt	2006/05/13
Boise CWA			mhurlbut	2006/05/12
West Central Mountain	3		pflatt	2006/05/11
Boise Mountains			sparker	2006/05/10
Camas Prarie			vmills	2006/05/09
Linner Treasure Valley			VIIIIII	2006/05/07
Magic Valley		i Eta12		2006/05/06
Owyhee Mountains		⊒ Eta12BC		2006/05/05
Southwest Highlands		☐ GFS40		2006/05/04
Southern Twin Falls Co	unty 🔽	□ GFS40BC		2006/05/03
Display:		☐ GMOS		2006/05/02
🗇 Bias		☐ NGM80		2006/03/01
RMS Error		I NGM80BC		Cycle:
💠 Std Dev		Cofficial		🗖 00 🗖 12
💠 Avg Abs Err		⊒ gfsLR	$\nabla$	🗖 06 🧖 18
R	un	Run/Dismiss	Cancel	



#### BOIVerify

#### MDL – NDFD vs. RTMA



## Objective Analyses are NOT perfect

Errors are introduced by:

Background field
Assimilated observations
Observations that are not "representative" of the nearby area



## **Representativeness Issue**





C. Kahler



## Alta Ski Area Example





## Alta Ski Area Example



#### Looking up the mountain

#### Looking up Little Cottonwood Canyon





## Alta Ski Area Example



# Variation in a Grid Box

- Subtle differences also exist over flat(er) terrain
- Variation in temps walking around your neighborhood at night (Andy E's analogy)
- Proposed technique helps to account for the variation across a grid box



## **Objective Analyses are NOT perfect**

- Analysis quality can vary by location:
  - Data density
  - Terrain structure
- Analysis errors can be estimated mathematically
  - Result can be used as an estimate of "uncertainty"



# **RTMA Uncertainty Estimates**

#### Experimental product

- RTMA: uncertainty is calculated at observation locations by taking the inverse of a simplified version of the Hessian matrix of the variational cost function (inexpensive)
- Parallel RTMA: compute error using Lanczos method in conjunction with the conjugate gradient method of the GSI minimization procedure
- Goal:
  - Higher uncertainty in data sparse areas
  - Lower uncertainty in data dense areas
- Currently available for T, T<sub>d</sub>, Wind



## Idea

- Can we use the RTMA analysis uncertainty estimate as a proxy for a good forecast?
  - Lower margin of error in areas with obs
  - Forecasters would not be penalized as much in areas where the analysis struggles



Suppose the forecast high temperature for tomorrow for your area is 75°F. What do you think the actual high temperature will be?



## Example

- Forecast =  $64^{\circ}F$
- Analysis Value = 66°F
- Analysis Uncertainty = +/- 3°F
- Reward forecasts between 63-69°F



## Temperature (°F) Forecast Example





## Temperature (°F) Forecast Example





## **Utah Example**

 NDFD terrain (used by the RTMA) captures the complex mountain/valley topography of the Great Basin



### GFS40 T (°F) Initialization 1200 UTC 5 October 2007

• Using model data to test technique

• GFS40 smartinit does a fairly good job downscaling to the terrain

• GFS40 – does not capture cold front along Wasatch Front





### **RTMA T (<sup>o</sup>F)** 1200 UTC 5 October 2007

# Cold front evident in the RTMA







### RTMA T (°F) Uncertainty 1200 UTC 5 October 2007

• NCEP/EMC working to incorporate vertical & terrain constraints into analysis of uncertainty

• Goal: lower values in data dense areas/valleys, higher values in data sparse areas/mountains





### Uncertainty Verification T (°F) 1800 UTC 21 June 2007

Gray areas = good
 forecasts (forecast is
 within bounds of analysis
 uncertainty)

• GFS40 too warm behind cold front

 GFS40 too cold ahead of cold front





# Summary

- <u>Challenge</u>: How can we use an analysis in grid based verification without penalizing forecasters in areas with the analysis struggles?
- <u>Proposal</u>: Verify against RTMA ± Uncertainty
  - Give the forecaster credit for areas that are within the bounds of analysis uncertainty
- <u>Goal</u>: Provide feedback across the entire forecast grid
  - Where were temperatures too warm? Winds too weak? Dew points too dry?





# More Information on the RTMA

RTMA COMET module (S. Jascourt) <u>http://www.meted.ucar.edu/</u>

Benjamin, S., J. M. Brown, G. Manikin, and G. Mann, 2007: The RTMA background – hourly downscaling of RUC data to 5-km detail. Preprints, 22<sup>nd</sup> Conf. on WAF/18<sup>th</sup> Conf. on NWP, Park City, UT, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 4A.6.

De Pondeca, M., and Coauthors, 2007: The status of the Real Time Mesoscale Analysis at NCEP. Preprints, 22<sup>nd</sup> Conf. on WAF/18<sup>th</sup> Conf. on NWP, Park City, UT, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 4A.5.

Horel, J., and B. Colman, 2005: Real-time and retrospective mesoscale objective analyses. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., **86**, 1477-1480.

