NCEP-NOS COLLABORATIONS: NOS Coastal Operational Forecast Systems and NOAA Strategies & Challenges



Frank Aikman III Coast Survey Development Laboratory National Ocean Service

December 11, 2007



<u>Acknowledgements to</u>: Zack Bronder, Mary Erickson, Tom Gross, Kurt Hess, John Kelley, Hong Lin, Lyon Lanerolle, Greg Mott, Ed Myers, Rich Patchen, Dick Schmalz, Cristina Urizar, Mark Vincent, Eugene Wei, and Aijun Zhang





The State of NOS's Operational Forecast Systems
 The Coastal Ocean Modeling Framework
 NOAA Strategies & Challenges
 Example Ecosystem Applications
 Some On-going Technical Issues



NOS Marine Modeling Requirements

Support of safe & efficient navigation
 Water levels for under-keel clearance
 Currents for right-of-way, maneuverability

Emergency preparedness & response
 HAZMAT; Search & Rescue: Homeland Security
 Storm surge & coastal inundation





For environmentally sound management of the coastal zone sst

- Ecosystem applications
- Marine geospatial applications



In the beginning

Tidal Prediction

(Tables provide only *astronomical* tide and tidal current predictions; no wind or river effects included)

Charleston, S.C., 1995 Times and Heights of High and Low Waters 1111²11114 100 # 1995 HIGH AND LOW MATER REPORTS 쀪 West Coast of North and 1883 J South America 100 10 10 10 100 10 10 100 10 10 Including the Hawallan Islands 1º 10 10 10 1 288 5 4 200 1110 19 100 12 1 to manhair für M. 108



→Real-Time Data

the data, but information is only

for the time of measurement)

(Wind and river effects included in

(Pilots and other users need water levels and currents up to 24 hours into the future; use numerical models) NOS Experimental Port of New York/New Jersey Forecast System Near Surface Current Nowcasts at 08:00 am EDT Oct. 18, 2001 40° 41' PORT ELIZABETH 40' BAYONNE 397 LIZABETH POR SHOOTER ISLAND PORT RICHMONE 74°10

Forecasting

<u>Nowcasting</u> – providing real-time information at locations other than sensors



NOS Operational Coastal Modeling

Dynamic strategy subject to revision by NOAA management based upon stakeholder needs and budget opportunities.



Chesapeake Bay Operational Forecast System (CBOFS) Operational since August 2001



NOAA/NOS/Coast Survey Development Laboratory



Inputs to Chesapeake Bay Operational Forecast System



Coast Survey Development Laboratory, National NOAAATOS/EdditisuNO/Development Laboratory

Coastal Ocean Modeling Framework

Instead of one-of-a-kind creations

- A Babel of
 - Data grabbers
 - Data base translators
 - Tools, etc.



Define & develop a single standard system

- The Rosetta Stone
 - Frameworks
 - Formats
 - Methodologies
 - Access methods





Individual Model Systems







NOAA/NOS Coastal Ocean Modeling Framework*

Standardized for any model at any location



*Consistent with IOOS (DMAC); the Earth System Modeling Framework (ESMF); and COARDS/CF Conventions



COMF: Operational System Management

 Operational Estuarine Models Require Real-Time Observations and Forecasts of:
 Atmospheric Forcing
 Coastal Boundary Conditions
 Riverine Fresh Water Inputs

ODAAS: Operational Data Acquisition and Archive System





Atmospheric Forcing <u>Nowcasts</u>: Local Analysis & Interpolation

Lake Erie Surface Wind Analysis



Forecasts: NWS/NCEP operational models

- NAM (WRF)
- Global Forecast System (GFS)
- RUC
- NDFD next





Coastal Boundary Conditions

NWS Operational

- Extra-Tropical Storm Surge (ETSS)
- Real-Time Ocean Forecast System (RTOFS)

NOS Experimental East Coast Data Assimilation (ECDA) NOS Gulf of Mexico (NGOM)











Estuarine Fresh Water Inputs

Riverine fresh water inputs are important:

- Affects the estuarine stratification
- Produces the density-driven circulation
- Buoyancy effects on ship UKC
- Salinity determination

NWS River Forecast Centers: Forecast guidance at points

- Hourly USGS observed transport
- 6 hourly forecasts of discharge



NWS Mid-Atlantic RFC Inputs

Strategies & Challenges for NOAA Coastal Ocean Modeling

 Transition to the NOAA High Performance Computing Environment (e.g. NCEP/CCS)
 Evolving Concept of Operations
 Storm Surge & Community Modeling
 Coupled Systems
 Ecosystem Forecasting



NOS Transition of Operational Forecast Systems to NCEP

OBJECTIVE: More efficient R&D, O&M



PROCESS (FY03-09):

- Establish a version of *COMF at NCEP
- Transition 5 Great Lake Models (GLOFS)
- Set up Ches. Bay (CBOFSv2) at NCEP (ROMS)
- Test/evaluate Del. and Tampa Bay OFS at NCEP (ROMS)

*Consistent with IOOS (DMAC); the Earth System Modeling Framework (ESMF); and COARDS/CF Conventions



NCEP/CSDL Coupled Storm Surge Project

Hurricane-Wave-Ocean-Surge-Inundation Coupled Models







Key Issues in Inundation & Storm Surge Modeling

- Advancements to surge predictions are very dependent on storm track, intensity, and structure
- Model enhancement and coupling tides, waves, coupling to ocean and atmospheric models.
- Cost vs Benefit
 - Computationally simple surge models for time-constrained operations and to run numerous scenarios
 - High resolution, physically complex models
- Enhanced observations are widely available to the modeling community for evaluation; data assimilation
 Standards and protocols are necessary to allow grid sharing



Plan for Inundation & Storm Surge Modeling

- NOAA Transition Team Next Generation Storm Surge System
- Establish Clear Goals and Shared Practices Through a Community Modeling Approach
 - Vetted requirements for NOAA inundation information across all decision time-scales
 - Established methods, standards and criteria for evaluating inundation modeling systems
 - Exchangeable modeling inputs, outputs, and software components.
 - Identify transition and decision making path from Community to NOAA (and back!)



Community Modeling Approach

A community approach...

- Allows for open discussion of strengths and weaknesses of different models
- Elucidates the requirements of a common shared infrastructure
- Allows model improvements to be shared effectively
- Advances the science (research and operations)
- Leverages resources and amplify the voice of the community



Community Modeling: Barriers, Benefits and Priority Needs

Barriers

- Formats of data and model files
- Data and model availability
- Lack of documentation
- Lack of coordination
- Lack of resources

Benefits

- Expanded resources
- Credibility
- Data exchange
- Streamlining research to operations and model coupling
- Education of user community (risk)

Priorities

- Error metrics and reference datasets
- Standards and infrastructure
- Engagement and support

Ecosystem Applications of Hydrodynamic Models



Noxious biota

Sea nettle probabilities



- Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs)
 Red tide in Gulf of Mexico
- Hazardous materials dispersion (HAZMAT)
- **Future:** Model Coupling
 - Riverine-estuarine-coastal-basin;
 - Hydrodynamic-wave; hydrodynamic-sediment transport;
 - Physical-biogeochemical coupling (ecosystem; ecological; water quality; habitat; fish recruitment; etc.).



Likelihood of

Chrysaora

SS

Salinny



Strategies & Challenges for NOAA Coastal Ocean Modeling

 Transition to the NOAA High Performance Computing Environment (e.g. NCEP/CCS)
 Evolving Concept of Operations
 Storm Surge & Community Modeling
 Coupled Systems
 Ecosystem Forecasting



On-going Issues

Forecast uncertainty estimation

- Probabilistic approach
- Ensemble averaging
- Data assimilation techniques





- Higher spatial resolution in key areas (e.g. in navigation channels; for storm surge and inundation modeling)
 - Nesting vs. unstructured grids;
 - Finite difference, finite element and finite volume approaches
- Model coupling
 - Riverine-estuarine-coastal-basin;
 - Hydrodynamic-wave; hydrodynamic-sediment transport
 - Physical-biogeochemical coupling (ecological; water quality; habitat)

Strategy of moving from individual port & estuarine models to a regional modeling approach

Transitioning NOS OFS to the NOAA HPC facility maintained by NCEP

