News

United
States
Department
of Labor



Bureau of Labor Statistics

Boston, MA 02203

Internet address: http://www.bls.gov/ro1/

For release: Tuesday,

USDL-08-066

For information: (617) 565-2327

March 11, 2008

Media contact: Walter Marshall (617) 565-2324

COUNTY EMPLOYMENT AND WAGES IN CONNECTICUT SECOND QUARTER 2007

Connecticut ranks 2^{nd} in wages in the nation Fairfield County ranks 7^{th} in wages and records 17^{th} fastest wage growth in the U.S.

Average weekly wages in Fairfield County were \$1,311 in the second quarter of 2007, 7.1 percent greater than last year and the highest rate among Connecticut's four counties with 75,000 or more jobs as measured by 2006 annual average employment. Hartford County's 6.7-percent wage growth was second fastest in the State, followed by New London County at 6.4 percent and New Haven County at 4.6 percent. (See table 1.) Regional Commissioner Denis McSweeney noted that all four of Connecticut's large counties reported average weekly wages above the national average of \$820 and that three of the four counties in the State recorded wage growth above the national growth rate of 4.6 percent.

County Wage Levels

Connecticut's four large counties posted average weekly wages exceeding the national average. Fairfield and Hartford recorded the highest wage levels (\$1,311 and \$1,035, respectively), followed by New Haven (\$878) and New London (\$851).

Average weekly wages in Fairfield County were 60 percent above the national level, ranking 7th highest among the 328 largest counties in the United States. In Hartford, average wages were 26 percent above the national wage, ranking it 28th, followed by New Haven (7.0 percent, 73rd) and New London (4.0 percent, 91st). All four large counties ranked in the top third nationally.

Among the 110 counties with above average wages in the second quarter of 2007, New York County, N.Y. held the top position among the highest-paid large counties with an average weekly wage of \$1,540. Santa Clara, Calif. was second with an average weekly wage of \$1,504, followed by Clayton County, Ga. (\$1,358), Washington, D.C. (\$1,357), and Arlington, Va. (\$1,352). Three of the 10 counties with the highest wages in the U.S. were located in the greater New York metropolitan area (New York, N.Y., Somerset, N.J., and Fairfield, Conn.); 3 others were located in or around the San Francisco area (Santa Clara, Calif., San Francisco, Calif., and San Mateo, Calif.), while 2 others were located in or around the Washington D.C. metropolitan area (Arlington, Va. and Washington, D.C.). Rounding out the top 10 were Suffolk County, Mass., part of the Boston metropolitan area, and Clayton, Ga., part of the Atlanta metropolitan area.

There were 218 counties with an average weekly wage below the national average in the second quarter of 2007. The lowest average weekly wage was reported in Cameron County, Texas (\$515), followed by the counties of Hidalgo, Texas (\$518), Horry, S.C. and Webb, Texas

(\$545 each), and Yakima, Wash. (\$555). The average weekly wage in the lowest-paid county, Cameron, was approximately one-third the wage in the highest-paid county, New York.

County Wage Changes

Fairfield County's 7.1-percent wage gain was the largest increase in the State in the second quarter of 2007, exceeding the national increase of 4.6 percent. Hartford (6.7 percent) and New London (6.4 percent) counties were also above the U.S. rate of growth, while New Haven (4.6 percent) equaled the national rate. (See table 1.) Wage growth in three of the four counties placed in the top fifth among the 328 largest counties nationally with Fairfield ranking 17th highest followed by Hartford (23rd) and New London (33rd). New Haven's wage increase ranked 110th and placed in the top half of the nation's largest counties.

Among the largest counties in the U.S., Clayton County, Ga. led the nation in growth in average weekly wages with an increase of 87.3 percent from the second quarter of 2006. Queens, N.Y. was second with growth of 12.7 percent, followed by the counties of Rockingham, N.H. (10.1 percent), Ventura, Calif. (9.2 percent), and Lake, Ill. (9.1 percent).

Six large counties experienced over-the-year declines in average weekly wages. Among the five largest decreases in wages, Saginaw, Mich. had the greatest decline (-5.2 percent), followed by the counties of Orleans, La. (-2.9 percent), Lake, Fla. (-1.1 percent), Genesee, Mich. (-1.0 percent), and Lorain, Ohio (-0.9 percent).

State and County Employment

Employment grew in Connecticut by 0.9 percent in the second quarter 2007, below the U.S. average growth rate of 1.2 percent. Among the four large counties in Connecticut, Hartford County recorded the highest growth rate at 1.5 percent, followed by Fairfield County at 1.3 percent. New London County posted job growth of 0.7 percent, below than the national average, while New Haven County posted a job loss over the period (-0.4 percent). (See table 1.)

Across the nation, Utah experienced employment growth of 4.4 percent from the second quarter of 2006 to the second quarter of 2007, higher than any other state. Texas was second with a 3.4 percent growth rate, followed by Wyoming (3.3 percent) and Louisiana (3.2 percent). Idaho, North Carolina, and South Carolina rounded out the top five, each posting a job growth rate of 3.0 percent. Four states posted job declines over the period. Michigan posted the largest percentage decline (-1.4 percent), followed by Vermont and Alaska (-0.5 percent each), and Ohio (-0.1 percent).

State Average Weekly Wages

At the State level, the average weekly wage in Connecticut was \$1,033, \$213 above the nationwide figure, the 2nd highest wage recorded among the 50 states and the District of Columbia. (See table 2.) Nationally, 9 of the 16 areas in which the average weekly wage levels surpassed the U.S. average fell in a contiguous band along the east coast stretching from New Hampshire to Virginia. The five highest wage levels in the nation were in the District of Columbia (\$1,357), Connecticut (\$1,033), New York (\$1,020), Massachusetts (\$1,008), and New Jersey (\$989). Average weekly wages in this group were 21 percent or more above that for the nation. During this same period, three states had wage levels averaging less than 75 percent of national earnings: South Dakota (\$590), Mississippi (\$609), and Montana (\$611).

Wyoming experienced wage growth of 8.0 percent from the second quarter of 2006 to the second quarter of 2007, higher than any other state. Utah and Georgia were second and third, posting wage increases of 6.6 and 6.5 percent, respectively. Average weekly wages in Connecticut grew 6.4 percent, the fourth highest in the nation. Montana and New Hampshire tied for fifth highest with each posting a wage growth rate of 6.3 percent. Delaware posted the smallest wage gain, up 2.2 percent. No state experienced over-the-year declines.

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from reports submitted by employers subject to state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) laws. The 8.9 million employer reports cover 137.0 million full- and part-time workers. The average weekly wage values are calculated by dividing quarterly total wages by the average of the three monthly employment levels. This number then is divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over-the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or states for reasons other than changes in the average wage level. Data for all states, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at http://www.bls.gov/cew/; however, data in QCEW press releases have been revised (see Technical Note below) and may not match the data contained on the Bureau's Web site.

Additional statistics and other information

An annual bulletin, *Employment and Wages*, features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. The 2006 edition of this bulletin will contain selected data produced by Business Employment Dynamics (BED) on job gains and losses, as well as selected data from the first quarter 2007 version of the national news release. As with the 2005 edition, this edition will include the data on a CD for enhanced access and usability with the printed booklet containing selected graphic representations of QCEW data; the data tables themselves will be published exclusively in electronic formats as PDFs. *Employment and Wages Annual Averages*, 2006 will be available for sale in early 2008 from the United States Government Printing Office, Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, PA 15250, telephone 866-512-1800, outside Washington, D.C. Within Washington, D.C., the telephone number is 202-512-1800. The fax number is 202-512-2104.

QCEW-based news releases issued by other regional offices have been placed at one convenient BLS Web site location, http://www.bls.gov/cew/cewregional.htm.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: 617-565-2072, Federal Relay Services: 1-800-877-8339.

For personal assistance or further information on the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages Program, as well as other Bureau programs, contact the New England (Boston) Information Office at 617-565-2327 from 8:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and 1:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. ET.

TECHNICAL NOTE

QCEW data are the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. For this reason, county and industry data are not designed to be used as a time series.

The preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. The potential differences result from several causes. Differences between BLS and State published data may be due to the continuing receipt, review and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative (noneconomic) changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. Adjusting for these administrative changes allows users to more accurately assess changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary economic activity) over a 12-month period. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

Table 1. Covered 1 employment and wages in the United States and the 4 largest counties in Connecticut, second quarter $2007^2\,$

Area	Employment		Average Weekly Wage (3)				
	June 2007 (thousands)	Percent change, second quarter 2006-07 (5)	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level (4)	Percent change, second quarter 2006-07 (5)	National ranking by percent change (4)	
United States (6)	137,018.2	1.2	\$820		4.6		
Connecticut	1,714.2	0.9	1,033	2	6.4	4	
Fairfield, CT Hartford, CT New Haven, CT New London, CT	428.3 512.0 372.9 131.3	1.3 1.5 -0.4 0.7	1,311 1,035 878 851	7 28 73 91	7.1 6.7 4.6 6.4	17 23 110 33	

¹ Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

² Data are preliminary.
³ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

⁴ Ranking does not include the county of San Juan, Puerto Rico.

⁵ Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for any noneconomic county reclassifications.

⁶Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Table 2. Covered¹ employment and wages by state, second quarter 2007²

	Employment			Avera	ge weekly wage	(3)	
04-4-	l 0007	Percent change,	Average	National	Percent	National ranking	
State	June 2007	second quarter	weekly	ranking by	change,	by percent	
	(thousands)	2006-07	wage	level	second quarter	change	
United States (4)	137,018.2	1.2	\$820	_	2006-07 4.6		
Officed States (4)	137,010.2	1.2	ψυΖυ		4.0	_	
Alabama	1,965.4	1.1	697	38	3.6	38	
Alaska	325.8	-0.5	832	13	5.6	9	
Arizona	2,612.4	1.2	786	20	4.4	23	
Arkansas	1,186.5	0.3	639	46	4.2	28	
California	15,832.5	0.8	935	6	5.4	11	
Colorado	2,326.9	2.2	832	13	4.8	15	
Connecticut	1,714.2	0.9	1,033	2	6.4	4	
Delaware	430.2	0.0	870	9	2.2	51	
District of Columbia	683.2	0.8	1,357	1	4.3	26	
Florida	7,894.2	0.2	743	23	3.2	45	
Georgia	4,091.5	1.4	792	19	6.5	3	
Hawaii	631.2	1.4	736	27	4.2	28	
Idaho	679.1	3.0	626	47	2.3	50	
Illinois	5,956.3	0.8	874	8	4.4	23	
Indiana	2,933.4	0.5	702	33	2.6	48	
Iowa	1,518.6	0.9	664	42	3.9	35	
Kansas	1,370.7	2.0	702	33	4.8	15	
Kentucky	1,828.2	1.7	700	35	4.2	28	
Louisiana	1,880.2	3.2	711	31	4.1	31	
Maine	619.6	0.6	658	44	4.1	31	
Maryland	2,584.9	0.7	899	7	5.3	12	
Massachusetts	3,300.7	1.2	1,008	4	4.8	15	
Michigan	4,252.9	-1.4	807	17	2.9	46	
Minnesota	2,730.9	0.0	834	12	5.6	9	
Mississippi	1,137.4	0.9	609	50	3.6	38	
Missouri	2,764.6	0.8	727	29	3.4	43	
Montana	449.8	1.7	611	49	6.3	5	
Nebraska	930.9	1.6	654	45	3.5	42	
Nevada	1,297.9	1.0	776	21	3.7	36	
New Hampshire	643.7	0.7	823	16	6.3	5	
New Jersey	4,066.7	0.4	989	5	4.3	26	
New Mexico	833.3	1.1	686	39	5.2	13	
New York	8,688.8	1.3	1,020	3	5.9	7	
North Carolina	4,090.5	3.0	718	30	4.1	31	
North Dakota	347.7	1.5	619	48	4.7	19	
Ohio	5,384.6	-0.1	740	25	3.4	43	
Oklahoma	1,538.5	1.6	665	40	4.1	31	
Oregon	1,761.6	1.7	742	24	4.5	22	
Pennsylvania	5,740.3	1.1	802	18	4.6	20	
Rhode Island	492.9	0.3	774	22	2.5	49	
South Carolina	1,917.4	3.0	665	40	2.9	46	
South Dakota	404.3	2.1	590	51	4.8	15	
Tennessee	2,768.7	0.7	729	28	3.6	38	
Texas	10,296.1	3.4	827	15	5.9	7	
Utah	1,233.7	4.4	698	36	6.6	2	
Vermont	306.6	-0.5	698	36	5.0	14	
Virginia	3,731.5	1.0	859	10	4.4	23	
Washington	2,989.8	2.7	835	11	4.6	20	
West Virginia	717.1	0.3	659	43	3.6	38	
Wisconsin	2,845.8	0.4	709	32	3.7	36	
Wyoming	288.3	3.3	739	26	8.0	1	
Puerto Rico	1,020.7	-1.6	460	(5)	6.0	(5)	
Pueno Rico							

virgin islands 46.9 3.4 707 (5) 4.1 (5)

¹ Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

² Data are preliminary.

³ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

⁴ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

⁵ Data not included in the national ranking