RELATING TO PLANNING.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

SECTION 1. Under the authority set forth in chapters 46 1 and 226, Hawaii Revised Statutes, the counties prepare general 2 plans, community development plans, or development plans that 3 provide a vision to quide the growth and development of their 4 individual counties. These plans are adopted after extensive 5 local community input. The congruence of state land use 6 7 district boundaries with adopted county land use plans is 8 critical to the effective implementation of these plans, and to the counties' ability to effectively manage land use to sustain 9 the vision contained in the plans. 10 This congruence could be accomplished by means of periodic 11 regional or islandwide district boundary amendments. However, 12 under current law, all boundary amendments before the land use 13 commission are required to meet the evidentiary and procedural 14 standards of a "contested case" proceeding. These standards 15 require metes and bounds surveys and archaeological, historical, 16 17 and cultural surveys of all affected parcels, certified mail notice to every property owner with an interest in affected 18

<u>S</u>.B. NO. <u>1351</u>

- 1 lands, and the participation of all parties with an interest in
- 2 all affected lands through formal trial-like hearings with
- 3 representation by legal counsel. The cost in terms of time,
- 4 staff resources, and money of such proceedings are prohibitive
- 5 for a region-wide petition involving multiple parcels.
- 6 Thus, the legislature finds that an alternative to the
- 7 contested case proceeding is needed for regional state land use
- 8 district boundary amendments based on adopted county plans. A
- 9 quasi-legislative process for state and county initiated, county
- 10 plan-based, regional boundary amendments would facilitate long-
- 11 range planning and land use management.
- 12 At the same time, the legislature is very concerned that a
- 13 shift from the contested case proceeding must be carefully
- 14 considered and implemented, in order to avoid unintended policy
- 15 consequences. The legislature finds that it is essential that
- 16 state interests are addressed and the needs of a diverse range
- 17 of stakeholders are balanced under a quasi-legislative process.
- 18 The purpose of this Act is to authorize a pilot project for
- 19 the conduct and evaluation of a quasi-legislative, county plan-
- 20 based boundary amendment procedure based on a joint application
- 21 by a county and the state office of planning. The Act tasks the
- 22 office of planning, in consultation with the land use commission

- 1 and the county planning departments, to conduct the pilot
- 2 project. The pilot project will be limited to a single county
- 3 with a county plan adopted within the last five years, and the
- 4 Act will sunset if the project has not commenced within two
- 5 years of the effective date of the Act.
- 6 The plan-based boundary amendment process shall be
- 7 conducted in accordance with the provisions set forth in section
- 8 2 of this Act. The evaluation component of the project shall
- 9 include consultation with a range of interest groups, and shall
- 10 provide findings and recommendations as to the efficacy of the
- 11 pilot process in terms of improving planning practice and
- 12 increasing effectiveness in managing growth and development in
- 13 Hawaii.
- 14 SECTION 2. Pilot project for a county plan-based boundary
- 15 amendment process. The office of planning, in consultation with
- 16 the executive officer of the state land use commission and the
- 17 county planning directors, shall implement a pilot project for
- 18 the conduct and evaluation of a county plan-based boundary
- 19 amendment process based upon a joint application of a county and
- 20 the office of planning. Only one county shall be selected for
- 21 the pilot project. This Act and the pilot project shall sunset

<u>S</u>.B. NO. <u>13\$1</u>

- 1 if no activity has commenced within twenty-four months of the
- 2 effective date of this Act.
- 3 SECTION 3. Selection of a county for the pilot project.
- 4 Any county with a general plan, community, or development plan
- 5 adopted by its county council within five years of the effective
- 6 date of this Act shall be eligible for participation in the
- 7 pilot project. Participation in the pilot project will be
- 8 determined on a first-come, first-served basis. The office of
- 9 planning shall send a written notice to the county mayors, the
- 10 chairs of the county councils, and the county planning directors
- 11 inviting each county to participate in the pilot project,
- 12 specifying any requirements and the deadline for written letters
- 13 of interest. The office of planning may consult with the
- 14 executive officer of the state land use commission in selecting
- 15 the county to participate in the pilot project. The office of
- 16 planning shall designate the participating county for the pilot
- 17 project.
- 18 SECTION 4. Pilot plan-based boundary amendment process.
- 19 (a) The office of planning and the planning department of the
- 20 designated pilot county shall undertake a joint review of the
- 21 state land use district boundaries of lands in the affected
- 22 county. The joint boundary review shall review the district

1	boundaries	with	respect	to	the	county	general	plans,	county
---	------------	------	---------	----	-----	--------	---------	--------	--------

- 2 development, or county community plans, the Hawaii state plan
- 3 and plans prepared pursuant to chapter 226, and the policies and
- 4 standards contained in this chapter. The office of planning
- 5 shall prepare a report of the findings of the boundary review
- 6 and recommendations for boundary amendments for submittal to the
- 7 state land use commission and the appropriate county planning
- 8 commission.
- 9 (b) The joint boundary review process shall include but
- 10 not be limited to:
- (1) Opportunities for public participation and input onissues and concerns that need to be considered in the
- boundary review, and feedback on the findings and
- recommendations being made based on the boundary
- 15 review;
- 16 (2) The identification and recommendation of areas where
- 17 district boundary amendments would enable conformance
- of state land use districts and the county plan's land
- use policies and designations;
- 20 (3) The development of recommendations for boundary
- amendments to be submitted to the land use commission
- for the pilot process.

1	(c)	The office of planning shall facilitate state and	
2	county ag	ency discussions to ensure that state agency concerns	
3	and inter	ests, including state infrastructure and support	
4	services	required to support the land use patterns in the county	
5	plans, ar	e raised and addressed in the boundary review process.	
6	The offic	e of planning may seek the assistance of appropriate	
7	state and	county agencies, employ consultants, and undertake	
8	additiona	l studies in conducting this review.	
9	(d)	Upon completion of the boundary review report, the	
10	office of	planning and the county planning department shall	
11	submit a	joint application to the land use commission to amend	
12	the distr	ict boundaries of certain lands to conform to adopted	
13	county plans pursuant to this section, based on recommendations		
14	contained	in the joint boundary review report. The joint	
15	application	on shall include, but not be limited to:	
16	(1)	A listing of each boundary amendment requested;	
17	(2)	The rationale for the proposed boundary amendments;	
18		and	
19	(3)	Documentation of the consistency of each boundary	
20		amendment with a land use map contained in a general	
21		plan, community development plan, regional development	

S.B. NO. 1351

1	plan, district development plan, or other similar
2	comprehensive plan adopted by ordinance.
3	(e) Within thirty days from the acceptance of a complete
4	filing of the joint application, the land use commission shall
5	post a copy of the entire application on its website. Not less
6	than thirty days and not more than ninety days from the
7	acceptance of a complete filing of the joint application, the
8	land use commission shall conduct no less than three public
9	hearings on the application on the island or islands where the
10	subject lands are situated. The county and the office of
11	planning shall present the findings of the joint boundary review
12	report and the recommendations contained in the joint
13	application at the first noticed public hearing.
14	(f) Notwithstanding any provision of the law to the
15	contrary, the land use commission shall provide timely notice in
16	newspapers of general circulation statewide and in a newspaper
17	that is printed and issued at least weekly in the county
18	affected by the proposed action. The notice shall include:
19	(1) A statement of the topic of the public hearing;
20	(2) A statement providing the website address for the
21	application;

1	(3)	A statement that a copy of the application shall be
2		mailed to any interested person who requests a copy,
3		pays the required fees for the copy and the postage,
4		if any, together with a description of where and how
5		the requests may be made;
6	(4)	A statement of when, where, and during what times the
7		application may be reviewed in person; and
8	(5)	The date, time, and place where the public hearing or
9		hearings shall be held and where interested persons
10		may be heard on the proposed application.
11	The	notice shall be mailed to all persons who have made a
12	timely wr	itten request of the land use commission for advance
13	notice of	boundary amendment proceedings. Notice of the hearing
14	or hearin	gs shall be served on the office of planning, county
15	planning	commission, and county planning agency of the county in
16	which the	land is located.
17	(g)	The boundary amendment proceeding under this section
18	shall be	subject to the provisions of chapter 92, Hawaii Revised
19	Statutes,	except as contained herein. The commission shall
20	decide th	e petition solely on matters presented in the record
21	and on te	stimony at public hearings. Ex parte communication

<u>S</u>.B. NO. <u>1357</u>

- 1 with the members of the land use commission on the subject
- 2 matter of the application shall be prohibited.
- 3 (h) Prior to the hearing of an application under this
- 4 section, the land use commission and its staff may view and
- 5 inspect any land that is the subject of the application.
- 6 (i) Within one hundred eighty days from the acceptance of
- 7 a complete filing of an application, the land use commission
- 8 shall either approve or deny the application as a whole or
- 9 approve or deny, in part or whole, the individual boundary
- 10 amendments contained within the application. Any decision under
- 11 this section shall require the affirmative vote of two-thirds of
- 12 the members to which the land use commission is entitled. The
- 13 land use commission shall not impose any conditions on any land
- 14 or owner of property reclassified to a different state land
- 15 classification under this application process.
- 16 (j) No boundary amendment shall be approved unless the
- 17 land use commission finds by a preponderance of the evidence
- 18 that the proposed boundary:
- 19 (1) Conforms to the land use pattern in the affected
- 20 county plan;
- 21 (2) Conforms to the applicable state district standards;

<u>S</u>.B. NO. <u>1351</u>

1.	(3)	Conforms to the applicable goals, objectives, and
2		policies of the Hawaii state plan and relates to the
3		applicable priority guidelines of the Hawaii state
4		plan and the adopted functional plans;
5	(4)	Is consistent with the district policies and standards
6		and the objectives, policies, and criteria related to
7		important agricultural lands contained in chapter 205,
8		Hawaii Revised Statutes; and
9	(5)	Has a positive impact on the following areas of state
10		concern:
11		(A) Preservation or maintenance of important natural
12		systems or habitats;
13		(B) Maintenance of valued cultural, historical, or
14		natural resources;
15		(C) Maintenance of other natural resources relevant
16		to Hawaii's economy, including agricultural
17		resources; and
18		(E) Provision of sufficient reserve area for
19		foreseeable growth.
20	(k)	The land use commission shall maintain a record of the
21	proceeding	g and issue a written report that itemizes the actions
22	taken and	provides the rationale for the actions taken. The

- 1 land use commission's report shall be transmitted to the county
- 2 mayors, the chairs of the county councils, the county planning
- 3 directors, and the office of planning. The land use commission
- 4 shall also make the report available to the public. The land
- 5 use commission shall also provide notice to state and county
- 6 agencies of the changes to land use district boundaries. The
- 7 land use commission shall be the sole authority responsible for
- 8 boundary interpretations.
- 9 (1) Individual district boundary amendment requests shall
- 10 be not be permitted under this Act, and shall remain subject to
- 11 land use commission procedures under sections 205-3.1 and 205-4,
- 12 Hawaii Revised Statutes. Any land that is denied
- 13 reclassification under this pilot process may be the subject of
- 14 a petition under section 205-3.1 or section 205-4, as
- 15 applicable.
- 16 (m) A change in land use district classification of a
- 17 parcel or parcels resulting from a land use commission decision
- 18 pursuant to this Act may be appealed to the circuit court of the
- 19 circuit in which the land in question is found. The district
- 20 boundaries and classification of parcels not subject to an
- 21 appeal shall remain in full force and effect. The appeal shall
- 22 be filed within sixty days of the effective date of the land use

- 1 commission's decision. The appeal shall be in accord with
- 2 chapter 91, Hawaii Revised Statutes, and the Hawaii rules of
- 3 civil procedure.
- 4 (n) Any change in the district designation of lands under
- 5 this section shall not invalidate the county zoning of affected
- 6 parcels.
- 7 (o) Any state or county approval of projects involving a
- 8 land use or zone change, subdivision, or other entitlement for
- 9 use on lands that are reclassified by the land use commission
- 10 pursuant to this section, shall be subject to sections 6E-2, 6E-
- 11 8, and 6E-42, Hawaii Revised Statutes, pertaining to historic,
- 12 archaeological, and cultural resource preservation; provided
- 13 that any applicable studies shall be completed at the earliest
- 14 stage of the county land use application and decision-making
- 15 process.
- 16 SECTION 5. Certain statutes not applicable to pilot
- 17 project. Notwithstanding any other law to the contrary, the
- 18 pilot boundary amendment process conducted pursuant to this Act
- 19 shall be exempt from chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes,
- 20 except for boundary amendments that reclassify land from the
- 21 conservation district; provided that any application for a
- 22 proposed use on lands reclassified pursuant to this Act, which

2	state body shall be subject to chapter 343 where such		
3	applications require conformance to chapter 343.		
4	SECTION 6. Evaluation of pilot process; report to		
5	legislature. (a) The office of planning shall conduct an		
6	evaluation of the quasi-legislative, plan-based boundary		
7	amendment process with respect to its promotion of sound long-		
8	range planning practices and its potential for improving		
9	management of growth and development in Hawaii. The evaluation		
10	shall include, but not be limited to:		
11	(1) The use of instruments and meetings, such as survey		
12	instruments, participatory forums, or public meetings,		
13	with which to solicit comments and concerns from the		
14	public and a wide range of government, private sector,		
15	and community groups on:		
16	(A) The pilot process;		
17	(B) Alternative approaches; and		
18	(C) Findings and recommendations resulting from the		
19	completed evaluation;		
20	(2) A description of the pilot boundary review process and		
21	an assessment of the efficacy of the pilot boundary		
22	review process, including operational problems or		

require ministerial or discretionary approval by a county or

1		impediments that arose in the course of the boundary
2		review;
3	(3)	A description of the pilot plan-based boundary
4		amendment process and an assessment of the efficacy of
5		the pilot boundary amendment process, including
6		operational problems or impediments that arose in the
7		course of the pilot process;
8	(4)	A discussion of the cost of implementing the pilot
9		process with respect to any cost savings or efficiency
10		improvements that may be attributed to the pilot
11		process;
12	(5)	An assessment of the pilot process with respect to its
13		impact or potential impacts on:
14		(A) The improvement of long-range planning; and
15		(B) The coordination and effectiveness of state and
16		county efforts to support planned growth or
17		conserve legacy resources; and
18	(6)	The development of recommendations as to whether a
19		plan-based boundary amendment process should be made
20		permanent, and the features and mechanisms that are
21		essential to the successful implementation of such a
22		process.

- 1 The office of planning may use consulting services to
- 2 conduct the evaluation of the pilot process.
- 3 (b) The pilot process and the evaluation of the pilot
- 4 process shall be completed before the opening of the 2012
- 5 legislature regular session. The office of planning shall
- 6 submit a report on the pilot process, including legislative
- 7 recommendations, no less than twenty days prior to the convening
- 8 of the 2012 legislature regular session.
- 9 SECTION 7. There is appropriated out of the general
- 10 revenues of the State of Hawaii the sum of \$300,000, or so much
- 11 thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2007-2008, for the
- 12 conduct of the pilot boundary amendment process and the
- 13 evaluation of the pilot process. The sum appropriated shall be
- 14 expended by the office of planning to support state and county
- 15 activities related to the pilot process, and to conduct studies,
- 16 surveys, or public meetings, as needed, for the evaluation of
- 17 the pilot process.
- 18 SECTION 8. Any provision of this Act to the contrary
- 19 notwithstanding, the appropriation authorized under this Act
- 20 shall not lapse at the end of the fiscal year for which the
- 21 appropriation is made. Any unexpended and unencumbered balance

1	of the appropriation made in this Act as of the close of
2	business on June 30, 2009, shall lapse.
3	SECTION 9. This Act shall take effect upon its approval,
4	and shall be repealed on June 30, 2012.
5	
6	INTRODUCED BY:
7	BY REQUEST

JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DEPARTMENT Business, Economic Development, and

Tourism

TITLE: A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO PLANNING.

PURPOSE: To authorize a pilot project that would

allow plan-based petitions submitted jointly by the state and county for land use district boundary amendments to be conducted under quasi-legislative proceedings rather than the present quasi-judicial proceedings for the duration of the pilot project and provide for an evaluation of the pilot

project.

MEANS: Enact a session law.

JUSTIFICATION: The proposed legislation authorizes a pilot project for plan-based land use district boundary amendments. Under the pilot project, petitions for land use district boundary amendments that are based on county general or development plans and are jointly submitted by the state and the county shall be subject to quasi-legislative rather than quasi-judicial procedures

pilot project.

A change is needed because under the existing land use system, long-range land use policy is in effect decided on a project-by-project basis as cases come before the state land use commission. There is a need for the state land use commission to take a longer range, more comprehensive, and planning oriented view. County general and development or community plans have been prepared with extensive community input and have been prepared at the

when reviewed by the state land use commission during the duration of the

level closest to those affected. The proposed legislation would allow a different type of land use commission review for petitions for boundary amendments based on county plans. These plan-based petitions would not be development project specific but would cover larger regional or island-wide areas.

The quasi-judicial or "court-like" approach currently utilized by the Land Use Commission is time-consuming and in many aspects duplicates the countylevel review process. Under the proposed legislation, plan-based petitions would be subject to quasilegislative rather than quasi-judicial review by the state land use commission. This will streamline the review process for these plan-based petitions. Different procedures are warranted since the petitions will be based on county plans which have undergone considerable community review, multiple public hearings and county council and mayor approval. Furthermore, the quasi-legislative process will remain a public process with public hearings and opportunities for public testimony. In addition, state and county governments will have agreed on the areas to be reclassified under the plan-based petitions.

A time limit is established for the pilot project after which it will sunset. Upon termination, there will be an evaluation of the project's effectiveness, benefits and drawbacks.

The bill also includes an appropriation to conduct the pilot project and for project evaluation.

Impact on the public: The public would be involved in broader community-level discussions about the impacts of

potential boundary amendments. Planning and provision of infrastructure and services may improve as coordination of State and county agency plans would be addressed in the pilot procedure. The pilot process may also provide greater predictability to the public and development community about where growth is to occur. The pilot project also allows an evaluation and adjustment of the procedure to address any shortcomings in implementation.

Impact on the department and other agencies: The office of planning would have an increased workload and need resources to support the proposed pilot process and project. The state land use commission and its staff would be required to work under a new procedure, but in the long run, may find that the pilot process reduces the number of individual petitions the commission must consider. Other state agencies that rely on the land use commission quasi-judicial procedure to obtain developer compliance with agency facility needs and concerns will be required to coordinate their efforts and will also need to attend to how their concerns are addressed under the pilot procedure.

GENERAL FUND:

\$300,000.

OTHER FUNDS:

None.

PPBS PROGRAM

DESIGNATION:

BED-144.

OTHER AFFECTED

AGENCIES:

Land Use Commission, Office of Planning, County Planning Departments,

and other state according

and other state agencies.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

Upon approval.