

# Report on Accelerator Physics and Technology Workshop for Project X

11/13/2007



The purpose of the workshop was to discuss accelerator physics and technology issues of Project X and explore possible areas of overlap and interest between various particle accelerator laboratories and universities.

Introduction	3
Talk Summary	3
Plenary Session	3
Low energy Linac	5
High energy Linac	7
Recycler and Main Injector	8
120 GeV Targeting	11
Expressions of Interest	12
Low energy Linac	12
High energy Linac	12
Recycler and Main Injector	13
120 GeV Targeting	14
Appendix A. Organization	16
Appendix B. Agenda	17
Appendix C. Linac Breakout Agenda	18
Appendix D. Rings Breakout Agenda	19
Appendix E. 120 GeV Targeting Breakout Agenda	20
Appendix F. Registration List	22

# Contents

### Introduction

Project X is a concept for an intense 8 GeV proton source that provides beam for the Fermilab Main Injector and an 8 GeV physics program. The source consists of an 8 GeV superconducting linac that injects into the Fermilab Recycler where multiple linac beam pulses are stripped and accumulated. The 8 GeV linac consists of a low energy front end possibly based on superconducting technology and a high energy end composed of ILC-like cryomodules. The use of the Recycler reduces the required charge in the superconducting 8 GeV linac to match the charge per pulse of the ILC design; aligning Project X and ILC technologies

A workshop was held November 12-13, 2007 at Fermilab. The workshop drew 175 people from 28 different institutions. The purpose of the workshop was to discuss accelerator physics and technology issues of Project X and explore possible areas of overlap and interest between various particle accelerator laboratories and universities.

The workshop was organized into a plenary session followed by breakout sessions. There were five breakout sessions held concurrently. The sessions were:

- 1. Low Energy Linac
- 2. High Energy Linac
- 3. Recycler Ring
- 4. Main Injector Ring
- 5. 120 GeV targeting

The workshop agenda and talks can be found at

http://projectx.fnal.gov/Workshop/Index.htm.

The agenda and breakout agendas with links to the talks can also be found in Appendix B-E of this report. The organization of the workshop is outlined in Appendix A and the list of participants is found in Appendix F.

### **Talk Summary**

#### Plenary Session

#### **Introductory Remarks**

#### S. Holmes (FNAL)

This talk discussed the priorities of Fermilab in the next decade and possible ILC scenarios. It introduced the concept of Project X in the context of these scenarios and the next steps for in Project X process. It concluded with the goals of the workshop.

#### **Project X Overview D. McGinnis (FNAL)**

This talk gave the motivation of Project X in terms of the desire for a high flux proton source that is closely aligned with ILC technology. It delved into the concept of Project X and a

brief description of the components of Project X. It discussed a possible schedule. The talk next described how the workshop would be organized and the workshop agenda.

# Low Energy Linac Overview R. Webber (FNAL)

The low energy linac is defined as the section of the linac operating at 325MHz. This talk discussed Project X parameters, a history of superconducting proton linacs at Fermilab and conceptual design of the low energy linac and issues concerning the linac in the Project X context. The talk next discussed the High Intensity Neutrino Source (HINS) R&D program. The talk finished with the objectives and agenda for the Low Energy Linac breakout session.

#### High Energy Linac Overview

#### S. Nagaitsev (FNAL)

The high energy linac is defined as the section of the linac operating at 1300 MHz. This talk gives an overview of the technical systems in the high energy linac and a description of an ILC RF unit. The talk also compares the Proton Driver linac design with the Project X design including a discussion of the  $8\pi/9$  mode cavities for the  $\beta$ =0.8 section. The talk also discusses the RF Power, cryogenic, and site considerations. The talk ends with a description of the ILC superconducting RF R&D program.

#### **Recycler Overview**

#### A.Valishev (FNAL)

This talk describes the role of the Recycler in the Project X project with a parameter table. The talk describes the injection process including H- injection, stripping, and longitudinal and transverse phase space painting. Next beam stability and beam loss and beam loss management considerations including collimation are discussed. The talk concludes with the agenda and the major issues for the Recycler to be discussed at the workshop.

#### Main Injector Overview

#### V. Lebedev (FNAL)

This talk opens with the choice of Main Injector parameters for Project X. Next the evolution of parameters during the Main Injector acceleration cycle is presented. The talk describes the RF system required along with a discussion of a gamma-t jump system. The talk concludes with a description of beam instabilities, beam loss and beam loss management.

#### **120 GeV Targeting Overview**

#### J. Hylen (FNAL)

This talk opens with a description of the components that comprise a conventional neutrino beam line. The talk then moves to a discussion of the issues in upgrading the current NUMI beamline to handle a beam power up to 2 MW. The 2MW is a possible goal because the original system was designed for 0.4 MW with significant redundancy. The talk then moves to the requirements of a new beam line pointed at the DUSEL sight and a beam power greater than 2MW. The talk finishes a list of possible areas of collaboration.

### Low energy Linac

#### Linac Beam Physics Design and Special Requirements of H- vs e P.Ostroumov (ANL)

Ostroumov described the over-all design of the Project X Linac which incorporates the best known design principles of modern high intensity beam physics. These include adiabatic change of oscillation wave numbers across lattice transitions and axially symmetric beam parameters. The Linac is comprised of a 325 MHz low-energy section up to 0.6 GeV and a 1.3 GHz ILC-like section from 0.6 to 8 GeV. The special requirements of a machine for non-relativistic H- ions as compared to an electron machine were highlighted. Peter notes that the design is appropriate for CD-0 approval and that R&D for the machine is well advanced, with the exception of the triple-spoke superconducting cavities.

#### Linac Simulation Results and Issues

#### J. Carneiro (FNAL)

Carneiro presented benchmark simulations of the Linac with the codes TRACK and ASTRA in good agreement. H- stripping effects due to magnetic field, residual gas, and black body radiation have been incorporated into TRACK.

# What It Will Take to Produce 1 Cryomodule per Month R. Kephart (FNAL)

Kephart presented and overview of the SCRF facilities and infrastructure required to accomplish necessary R&D and to achieve a production rate of one cryomodule per month as would be called for by Project X. He notes that both total funding and funding profiles to build this infrastructure are a concern for a 2012 Project X start.

### SNS Superconducting Linac Operating Experience and Issues

#### I. Campisi (SNS)

Campisi reviewed the superconducting Linac operating experience and issues of SNS. He described numerous cavity performance and system interaction issues, e.g. between cavity radiation and cold cathode gauges, that complicate operation and require careful monitoring, especially at turn-on, so as to avoid irreversible damage to the superconducting cavities. He notes that although many Operations "nuisances" are design dependent, there will always be "nuisances", and therefore any design should leave room for failures, gradient deratings, and for "unknown and known unknowns!"

#### 325 MHz RF Distribution A. Moretti (FNAL)

#### A. Moretti (FNAL) Moretti presented the "one-kh

Moretti presented the "one-klystron-to-many-cavities" RF distribution system and control plan for the 325 MHz section of the Project X Linac. High power vector modulators are required at each cavity to dynamically achieve acceptable RF amplitude and phase control.

#### Room Temperature Cavity Design G. Romanov (FNAL)

Romanov described the electromagnetic and mechanical design and status of the room temperature spoke cavities for the 2.5-10 MeV front-end of the Linac. The first of 16 cavities has been RF conditioned, successfully passing all high power tests, the next three cavities are being fabricated and the design of cavities #5-#16 is nearly complete.

#### SC Spoke Cavity Design and Status

#### T. Khabiboulline (FNAL)

Khabiboulline presented the design and status of the superconducting spoke cavities and cavity drive couplers for the 10-600 MeV section of the Linac. There are two single-spoke designs and one triple-spoke design required. The first single-spoke cavity has been fabricated and is expected to undergo RF power testing, CW and pulsed, with power coupler in 2008.

#### Superconducting Solenoid Design

#### I. Terechkine (FNAL)

Terechkine presented the design and status of the superconducting solenoid magnets that are to provide transverse beam focusing throughout the first 100 MeV of the Linac. Some of the magnets also incorporate dipole windings for beam steering correction. Design is complete for the solenoids for the room temperature section of the Linac and production is anticipated in 2008. A test stand and cryostat to facilitate determination of the solenoid magnetic axis and measurement of low level fringe fields is needed.

#### History of SNS Design and Decisions

#### **R. Kustom (ANL)**

Kustom reminded the group of the history of the SNS design and technology choices. The decision to use superconducting RF in the Linac came very late in the development of the project and was accepted because it offered a 30% reduction of tunnel length, a 12 MW reduction in electrical power requirements, and greater flexibility for future upgrades. Notably, it was perceived that there existed a significant industrial base for building superconducting cavities, whereas not for RT cavities! The late decision allowed little time for cavity R&D, set the warm-to-cold transition energy at 185 MeV so as to avoid an additional, lower  $\beta$ , cavity design, and determined what was considered to be a conservative design gradient (which is even proving difficult to achieve operationally).

# Argonne Collaboration Report - Accelerator Physics and Spoke Cavities D. Geesaman (ANL)

Geesaman described Argonne's efforts in support of the HINS Linac physics design and superconducting cavity development. He proposed that Argonne might be interested to take on responsibility for an integrated section of the Project X Linac, particularly the superconducting triple-spoke section.

#### **Brookhaven Collaboration Report - Instrumentation R. Connolly (BNL)**

Connolly provided an update on the H- neutralization laser profile monitor for HINS that is being developed as part of the BNL-FNAL collaboration.

#### Berkeley Collaboration Report - Buncher Cavities and LLRF

#### A. Ratti (LBNL)

Ratti described LLRF controls hardware and firmware development for HINS, also buncher cavity design and fabrication oversight.

#### High energy Linac

#### Project X and ILC: differences and similarities

#### C. Adolphsen (SLAC)

Adolphsen has described differences and similarities in ILC and Project X linac designs. He concluded that the rf distribution system needs to be modified although it will use similar components. Quads and instrumentation would likely differ. LLRF will be challenging for the low-beta beams. Power Sources and cavities could be essentially identical. Civil and Cryo Layout could be similar. Producing 300 cavities and 13 sources would help jump-start US industry - need 16,000 cavities and 600 sources for the ILC.

#### **RF Distribution for Project X**

#### C.Nantista (SLAC)

Nantista described possible rf power distribution scheme for Project X. He concluded that the configuration and components used will depend on (1) the degree of control required in setting the power, phase, and coupling at each individual cavity, (2) which, if any, of these parameters need to be changeable remotely and (3) whether and what fast (not mechanical) control is needed.

#### LLRF Challenges in Project X B.Chase (FNAL)

Chase gave an overview of the present state-of-art in multicavity LLRF controls. He concluded that (1) Project X LLRF shares many of the same control issues as the ILC (by design), (2) the High Power Vector Modulators and their bandwidth are key to regulation, and (3) there are no show stoppers that he sees in achieving LLRF specifications.

#### **Project X Cryogenics**

#### A. Klebaner (FNAL)

Klebaner gave a design concept for the Project X cryogenics system. He stated that design plant capacity needed for Project X is 8kW (equivalent at 4.5 K). The total installed plant power is about 2MW. He made the following conclusions: (1) contributions from other laboratories are needed to help address Project X design challenges, (2) Project X cryogenic system operating temperatures and pressure levels are largely determined by the choice of the ILC style cryomodules, (3) most of the design challenges are similar to those that ILC and XFEL are faced with, addressing these design challenges will benefit projects that utilize long strings of the ILC cryomodules and (4) Project X cryogenic system is technically feasible.

## Project X Civil Design & Site Considerations

#### **D. Bogert (FNAL)**

Bogert's talk was largely based on previous Proton Driver studies. These studies concluded that the total project cost (civil construction only) is estimated at \$81M in 2006 dollars. The

scope of the project is not unusual for Fermilab and is similar to MI. Also, he expects no surprises during the environmental assessment development.

#### Automated linac retuning

#### J. Galambos (SNS)

Galambos has described an automated cavity fault recovery scheme in operation at SNS. This scheme is essential for high beam availability at SNS.

#### **R&D** on beta=0.81 cavities: status report

#### W. Hartung (MSU)

Hartung presented the status of beta=0.81 cavities project at MSU. He concluded that (1) the RF performance reached in all 4 single-cell prototy pecavities is reasonable. The performance is adequate for use in a proton linac; (2) two 7-cell cavities have been fabricated, one from fine grain Nb and the other from large grain Nb. They have not yet been tested.

# Electron Beams in the b=1 linac - Preliminary look at an e- source at 1 GeV location P. Piot (NIU)

Piot has described two options for an electron source as well as possible applications of electron beams in the Project X.

#### **Linac Instrumentation**

N. Eddy (FNAL)

Eddy has presented the initial considerations for linac beam instrumentation.

#### **Recycler and Main Injector**

Many of the accelerator physics issues are common for the Main Injector and the Recycler. Due to this reason, two of the three breakout sessions were held jointly for the Main Injector and Recycler groups. Altogether there were 13 talks at the Main Injector and Recycler breakout sessions.

#### **SNS Ring Beam Status**

#### S. Danilov (ORNL)

This talk summarized operational experience at SNS with the focus on high intensity related issues. The conclusions relevant to Project X are: a) major sources of particle losses are scattering at the stripping foil and instabilities (ep and resistive wall); b) careful design of the collimation system has to be provisioned; c) various mechanisms of distribution painting at injection can be used to mitigate space charge.

# **Experimental Observations of Instabilities at Main Injector I. Kourbanis (FNAL)**

Presently, two types of collective instabilities are observed in the machine: transverse resistive wall instability and longitudinal mode-1 instability. The former is mitigated with tune chromaticity and dampers. The latter is controlled with beam loading compensation.

#### **Theoretical Expectations for Instabilities A. Burov (FNAL)**

The talk discusses high intensity operation of the Main Injector and the Recycler. Resistive wall and electron cloud are identified as the two major sources of instabilities. For the Project X parameters these instabilities should not present a problem if phase space painting, high betatron tune chromaticity and broadband dampers are used.

#### **Electron Cloud and Vacuum**

#### M. Furman (LBNL)

The talk presented an overview of electron cloud physics. Based on theoretical predictions which are in qualitative agreement with observations, the threshold beam intensity in electron cloud density for the Main Injector is a factor of 3 lower than proposed in Project X. The Recycler has not been looked at. A number of ways exist for reduction of the electron cloud density but overall the issue is one of the biggest challenges for the project.

## **Collimation Constraints in Main Injector for Project X**

#### N. Mokhov (FNAL)

The talk gave a summary of radiation control constraints, specified parameters of the existing collimation system and necessary changes for Project X. In short, the existing collimation system is adequate for Project X with the exception of primary collimators.

#### **Current Recycler Operations**

#### C. Gattuso (FNAL)

This was an overview of the machine for experts from outside of the laboratory. Machine configuration and various operational issues specific to the Recycler were discussed.

#### **Transfer Lines, H- Stripping and Injection**

#### **D. Raparia (BNL)**

This talk was a detailed summary of work at different laboratories covering aspects related to H- transport and stripping, beam line design and matching, beam loss and collimation, beam stability, foil issues, phase space painting, etc. The conclusion of the talk said that although 8GeV stripping does not seem to be a show stopper, a number of practical realization issues have to be resolved and more experimental data are necessary.

#### **SNS Laser Stripping Development**

#### A. Aleksandrov (ORNL)

The talk discussed recent advances in laser stripping as a novel and promising technique. The proof of principle experiment has been successfully performed at SNS and further developments are expected within the next two years. Project X parameters present more favorable conditions for laser stripping because of a longer optimal wavelength.

# **Present MI Operation and Near Future Plans I. Kourbanis (FNAL)**

The talk discussed recent advances in Present high intensity operation and described the mode ("2+5"), where 2 slipped stacked Booster batches are used in the antiproton production and other 5 batches are sent to NUMI. Future (already commissioned) high intensity operation will be in the mode ("2+9"), where "9" represent 9 NUMI batches of which eight are slip-stacked.

# Gamma Transition Jump

#### I. Kourbanis (FNAL)

Kourbanis presented W. Chou's (Fermilab) talk. The talk presented details of  $\gamma$ t-system. The perturbation to the machine optics, location and design of pulsed quads as well as their power supplies were discussed.

#### **Transition Crossing Simulations**

#### I. Kourbanis (FNAL)

The talk discussed transition crossing where machine impedance and beam space charge were taken into account. It was suggested that a  $\gamma$ t-jump greater than  $\pm 1/2$  unit will be required to reduce the longitudinal emittance blow-up and eliminate the beam loss due to transition crossing.

#### **RF Feedback and Measurements of Electron Cloud Density**

#### J. Byrd (LBNL)

Discussed the method of measurement of electron cloud density developed at LBNL and demonstrated at PEP-II. The method is based on dependence of the electromagnetic wave propagation through vacuum chamber on the density of electron cloud. Opposite to the conventional electron cloud detectors this technique allows to measure electron cloud density integrated over large distance.

# Main Injector RF System Upgrade for Project X

# J. Reid (FNAL)

In this talk the present Main Injector RF system was described and requirements for the Project X upgrade were specified. New RF cavities and power amplifiers have to be developed for both the Main Injector and the Recycler. For this, the choice of the RF frequency has to be made as early as possible.

## Main Injector Transverse Dampers

#### P. Adamson (FNAL)

This presentation described experience with the present Main Injector transverse digital damper. Preliminary consideration of a damper for Project X was discussed and two options for realization were outlined.

#### **Recycler Extraction and Main Injector Injection D. Johnson (FNAL)**

This talk covered the following topics: present layout of extraction/injection lines and nearest future upgrades, possible Project X beam parameters relevant to extraction options. The analysis yielded that single turn injection/extraction is feasible while resonant extraction from the Recycler at  $\frac{1}{2}$  integer is probably not practical. It was suggested that 1/3 integer resonant extraction could be considered.

#### Parallel Simulation Tools for Project X R. Ryne (LBNL)

In this talk, a general description of the SciDAC/ComPASS project was given along with demonstration of capabilities of some of the simulation codes.

### 120 GeV Targeting

#### 120 GeV Targeting Overview

#### J. Hylen (FNAL)

An overview of conventional neutrino beamline and a list of issues associated with upgrading NuMI to  $\sim$ 2 MW or building a new neutrino beamline pointing to DUSEL. The conclusion is that there are no show stoppers for upgrading the NuMI beamline, but a risk analysis is needed because of reduced redundancy in the NuMI systems.

#### Engineering Issues for the NuMI Beamline at 2 MW P. Hurh (FNAL)

A presentation on the engineering challenges of operating the NuMI Beamline at ~2 MW. The challenges include Thermal Shock/ Stress Waves in targets, Heat Removal, Radiation Damage, Radiation Accelerated Corrosion, Remote Positioning, and Radioactive Component Removal and Repair.

#### **Activation and Remote Handling**

#### J. Sheppard (SLAC)

A discussion on activation and remote handling of beamline components. Presented activation calculations and remote handling systems from RAL and ORNL. Conclusion was that repairs are inevitable and radiation work should be integrated into plans from the beginning. Another observation was that remote handling is probably more expensive than one would like to admit.

#### High Power Targets for Project X and Beyond K. McDonald (Princeton)

A presentation on the issues with high power targets including solid targets and liquid targets. Interesting results from target experience including target damage due to cavitation and studies with proton beam on a liquid mercury jet for the CERN MERIT experiment.

# IHEP 2 Megawatt Target Design J. Hylen (FNAL)

Presentation on the conceptual design done by IHEP on a 2 MW target for NuMI. More work is needed on the target design, but the initial studies suggest that the target design would work for Project X parameters.

# **Experimental Radiation Damage Assessment of High Power Accelerator Targets Nick Simos, BNL**

A presentation on high power targets with emphasis on experimental results on radiation damage. A number of target materials are considered and results from target irradiation studies were presented.

# **Expressions of Interest**

### Low energy Linac

- ANL
  - o Accelerator physics design, simulation, and modeling
  - o Superconducting RF cavity design, production, and testing
  - o Integrated superconducting triple-spoke segment of Linac
- BNL
  - o Beam Instrumentation
- JLab
  - Superconducting RF cavity development, production, cleaning, testing, and industrialization
  - Cryomodule assembly
  - o Low level RF work
  - Cryogenics design
  - SRF system integration and industrialization
- LBNL
  - Front-end Linac design, fabrication, and integration (up to ~100 MeV)
  - o Low level RF system design and construction
  - High voltage modulator design
  - H- stripping
  - o Laser profile measurement
- University of Maryland
  - Modeling of solenoid lattice optics
  - Emittance growth and halo formation simulation studies (WARP code)

### High energy Linac

- ANL
  - Design optimization, integration
  - Linac beta<1 section
  - o ILC-like linac sections
  - Controls system
  - o Electron source
- JLab
  - o Design optimization, integration
  - Linac beta<1 section
  - o ILC-like linac sections
  - Cryogenics design
  - o LLRF system
  - o Electron source
- LBNL
  - Design optimization, integration
  - o LLRF system

- MIT-Bates
  - o Electron source
  - o Instrumentation
- MSU
  - Design optimization, integration
  - Beam dynamics, lattice, interfaces
  - Linac beta<1 section
- NIU
  - o Electron source
- SLAC
  - o Design optimization, integration
  - RF power systems
  - o Fast phase/amplitude shifters
  - o Controls
  - o Instrumentation
  - High availability, dc power
- SNS
  - Design optimization, integration
  - o Beam dynamics, lattice, interfaces
  - ILC-like linac sections
  - o RF power systems
  - Fast phase/ampl shifters
  - o Cryogenics design
  - o LLRF system
  - o Instrumentation
  - Servicing "hot" cryomodules

### **Recycler and Main Injector**

- BNL
  - Electron cloud issues: Possible experiments in RHIC with bunch trains of 3e11 ppb (instrumentation in place).
  - Impedance and Instabilities: Study of beam break-up instability at transition (experience from AGS and RHIC)
  - H- Injection into recycler ring: Design of H- transport and injection systems (based experience from SNS effort)
  - RF & feedback systems: Design of high intensity RF systems for MI/RR (based experience from AGS and SNS effort)
  - o Beam line and ring components: H- transport line and injection system
  - Extraction: Design of 3rd integer slow extraction system (experience from slow extraction from AGS and AGS Booster)
  - Transition crossing: Experience with bipolar gamma-t jump and chromaticity jump.
  - Transition crossing: Test of "duck under" crossing
- Cornell

- Electron cloud issues: CESR available for e-cloud investigations starting in Spring 2008 for three years.
- LBNL
  - Electron cloud issues: e-cloud physics, mitigation, and instrumentation
  - Impedance and Instabilities: Characterize and understand limitations in recycler ring and main injector
  - Simulations and general beam dynamics: Beam simulations and modeling, halo & beam loss, space-charge tune shift.
  - H- Injection into recycler ring: Laser stripping, foil engineering issues, injection losses and absorber
  - RF & feedback systems: Broadband feedback systems, main RF upgrades, 2nd harmonic RF systems
  - Beam line and ring components: Vacuum systems, beam transport lines
- SLAC
  - Quantify instability thresholds due to electron cloud in the Main Injector and Recycler using the simulation codes being benchmarked against the experiments at PEP-II.
  - Suggest modifications to the vacuum chamber design to mitigate the effects of electron cloud, if necessary.
  - Develop an accurate impedance budget for the rings and estimate the threshold of impedance driven instabilities, in particular, simulating the microwave instabilities with a Vlasov solver.
  - Study beam dynamics and beam losses including full machine nonlinearity, space charge, and realistic collimators.
- SNS
  - Impedance and Instabilities: 2 types of SNS ring-present instabilities (ep and resistive wall) will be main issues for the recycler – SNS instability mitigation approach (chamber TiN coatings, electron collection, impedance reductions, feedback (under development)) could be useful for Project X
  - H- Injection into recycler ring: Foil scattering one of main problems for both projects. Laser stripping development is of mutual interest (is a must for Project X).
  - H- Injection into recycler ring: Painting self consistent space charge distributions could be extremely beneficial to SNS (and Project X as well)
  - Beam line and ring components: Collimation design has to rely on most realistic mechanisms for particle loss

### 120 GeV Targeting

- ANL
  - o Target Thermal Shock Simulations and Testing James Grudzinski
  - o Thermal Analysis and Cooling James Grudzinski
  - o Target Hall Remote Handling. Investigate Work Cell Modification, Telemanipulators James Grudzinski
- BNL

- Irradiation Investigations of Project-X target, Window, and Horn Materials Nick Simos
- Prototyping of Project-X Target System Design Options Nick Simos
- o Target Thermal Shock Simulations and Testing Nick Simos
- Testing of Materials in Target Hall Type Environment Nick Simos
- FNAL
  - Irradiation Investigations of Project-X target, Window, and Horn Materials -Nikolai Mokhov
  - o Remote Handling Facility at C0 Assembly Hall P. Hurh
  - o Upstream Decay Pipe Window Replacement P. Hurh
- IHEP
  - o Prototyping of Project-X Target System Design Options Valeri Garkusha
- UCB
  - Characterization of Target Hall Environment Prof. Tom Devine, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, UCB.
  - Testing of Materials in Target Hall Type Environment- Prof. Tom Devine, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, UCB.
- ORNL
  - o Target Thermal Shock Simulations and Testing Bernie Riemer
  - Target Hall Remote Handling. Investigate Work Cell Modification, Telemanipulators - Tom Burgess (ORNL) ,Van Graves (ORNL)
- Princeton
  - Irradiation Investigations of Project-X target, Window, and Horn Materials Kirk McDonald
- University of Texas at Austin
  - o Prototyping of Project-X Target System Design Options Sacha Kopp
  - Instrumentation Sacha Kopp
  - Focusing Systems Sacha Kopp

# Appendix A. Organization

# <u>Organizers</u>

Steve Holmes Dave McGinnis Vladimir Shiltsev

holmes@fnal.gov mcginnis@fnal.gov shiltsev@fnal.gov

# Breakout Session Chairs

## Low Energy Linac

0		
Petr	Ostroumov	ostroumov@anl.gov
Robert	Webber	webber@fnal.gov

## High Energy Linac

Chris	Adolphsen	star@slac.stanford.edu
Sergei	Nagaitsev	<u>nsergei@fnal.gov</u>

# Recycler Ring

Thomas	Roser
Alexander	Valishev

roser@bnl.gov valishev@fnal.gov

# Main Injector Ring

John Corlett Valeri Lebedev

incorlett@lbl.gov val@fnal.gov

## 120 GeV Targeting

Nick Simos Mike Martens simos@bnl.gov martens@fnal.gov

## Administrative Support

Margie Bruce mbruce@fnal.gov

# Appendix B. Agenda

# Project X Workshop Agenda

### November 12-13, 2007

Ramsey Auditorium

Monday			
11/12/200	7		
	8:30- 9:00	Registration	
	9:00- 9:15	Introductory Remarks	Steve Holmes
	9:15- 9:45	Project X Overview	Dave McGinnis
	9:45- 10:15	Low Energy Linac Overview	Bob Webber
	10:15- 10:45	High Energy Linac Overview	Sergei Nagaitsev
	10:45- 11:00	Coffee Break	
	11:00- 11:30	Recycler Overview	Alex Valishev
	11:30- 12:00	Main Injector Overview	Valeri Lebedev
	12:00- 12:30	120 GeV Targeting Overview	Jim Hylen
	12:30- 13:30	Lunch	
	13:30- 15:00	Breakout Sessions	
	15:00- 15:30	Coffee Break	
	15:30- 16:30	Breakout Sessions	
	16:30- 17:45	Summary of Breakout Sessions	15 minutes by chairs
	18:00- 20:00	Reception in Wilson Hall	
Tuesday			
11/13/2007			
	8:30- 9:00	Registration	
	9:00- 10:30	Breakout Sessions	
	10:30- 11:00	Coffee	
	11:00- 12:00	Breakout Sessions	
	12:00- 13:00	Lunch	
	13:00- 13:40	Low Energy Linac Report	
	13:20- 13:40	High Energy Linac Report	
	13:40- 14:00	Recycler Report	
	14:00- 14:20	Main Injector Report	
	14:20- 14:40	120 GeV Targeting Report	
	14:40- 15:10	Coffee Break	
	15:10- 15:30	Summary and Future Plans	Dave McGinnis
	15:30- 15:45	Closing Remarks	Young-Kee Kim

# Appendix C. Linac Breakout Agenda

### Low Energy Linac Breakout Session

Chaired by Bob Webber (FNAL) and Peter Ostroumov (ANL)

	Plenary Session Talks - Ramsey Auditorium			
30 min 30 min	Low Energy Linac Overview - Webber High Energy Linac Overview - Nagaitsev			
	E	3reakouts	S	
	Low Energy Linac	<u> </u>	High Energy Linac	
	Breakout #1 (Nov. 12, 2007 13:	<u>30 - 15:0</u>	0) Issues Common to Entire Linac	
	Meeting	Room - C	One West (WH1W)	
30 min	Linac Beam Physics Design and S	special Re	equirements of H- vs e Ostroumov (ANL)	
12 min	Linac Simulation R	esults an	<u>d Issues</u> Carneiro (FNAL)	
24 min	What It Will Take to Produc	<u>e 1 Cryor:</u>	<u>nodule per Month</u> Kephart (FNAL)	
24 min	SNS Superconducting Linac o	perating e	experience and issues Campisi (SNS)	
Due				
Brea	Mooting Doors One Foot (M/145)		Breakout #2 (Nov. 12 15:30 - 16:30)	
-	Meeting Room - One East (WHIE)	-	Meeting Room - One West (WHTW)	
15 min	325 MHz RF Distribution Moretti (FNAL)	20 min	Project X and ILC: Differences and Similarities Adolphsen (SLAC)	
15 min	Room Temperature Cavity Design	20 min	RE Distribution for Project X Nantista (SLAC)	
15 min	SC Spoke Cavity Design and Status	20 min	LL RE Challenges Chase (ENAL)	
15 min	Superconducting Solenoid Design	20 11111		
	·····			
Breakout #3 (Nov. 13 9:00 - 10:30)		Breako	ut #3 (Nov. 13 9:00 - 10:30) Linac Systems	
	Meeting Room - One East (WH1E)		Meeting Room - One West (WH1W)	
30 min	History of SNS Design and Decisions	15 min	Cryo Systems Needed for Project X	
15 min	Argonne Collaboration Report - Accelerator	15 min	PrX Civil Construction and Layout Bogert (FNAL)	
15 min	Brookhaven Collaboration Report -	15 min	Automated Linac Re-tuning Galambos (SNS)	
15 min	Berkeley Collaboration Report - Buncher	15 min	MSU Collaboration Report - Beta 0.8 Cavities	
15 min	Discussion of Present Conceptual Design	15 min	Electron source at a 2-GeV location Piot (NIU)	
		15 min	Linac Instrumentation Eddy (FNAL)	
Breako	out #4 (Nov. 13 11:00 - 12:00) Low	Breako	out #4(Nov. 13 11:00 - 12:00) High Energy	
	Meeting Room - One East (WH1E)	1	Meeting Room - One West (WH1W)	
	session details to be planned after Day 1		session details to be planned after Day 1	

# Appendix D. Rings Breakout Agenda

**Recycler Breakout Session** 

Chaired by Alex Valishev (FNAL) and Thomas Roser(BNL)

	Plenary Session Tal	ks - Ramsey	/ Auditorium	
30 min	Recycler Overview - Valishev - (describe current vision of Project X Recycler upgrade)			
	Main Injector Overview - Lebedey - (describe current vision of Project X Main Injector			
30 min		upgrade	)	
	Bre	akouts		
	Recycler		Main Injector	
<u>Breakout</u>	<u>#1 (Monday, 11/12/2007, 13:30 - 15:0</u> F	<u>0) <b>Issues C</b>o</u> Poser	ommon to Both Rings, chair - Thomas	
	Meeting F	loom - One	North (WH1N)	
20 min	SNS Ring B	eam Status -	Danilov (ORNL)	
20 min	Experimental Observations of In	stabilities at	Main Injector - Kourbanis (Fermilab)	
15 min	Theoretical Expectati	ions for Insta	<u>bilities</u> - Burov (Fermilab)	
20 min	Electron Cloud, EP Instability	and Requirer	nents for Vacuum- Furman (LBNL)	
15 min	Beam Loss and	Collimation	- Mokhov (Fermilab)	
) Breakc	<i>Sut #2 (11/12/2007, 15:30 - 16:30</i> <b>Issues relative to particular</b>	) <u>Brea</u>	akout #2 (11/12/2007, 15:30 - 16:30 Issues relative to particular	
design of	choices for Recycler chair - Alex	design a	choices for Main Injector chair - Valeri	
	<u>Valishev</u>		<u>Lebedev</u>	
	Meeting Room - One North (WH1N)	-	Meeting Room - Race Track (WH7N)	
20 min	Present RR operation - Gattuso (Fermilab)	20 min	Present MI Operation and Near Future Plans - Kourbanis (Fermilab)	
20 min	<u>Transfer lines, H- stripping and</u> <u>injection</u> - Raparia (BNL)	20 min	<u>Gamma Transition Jump</u> - Chou/Johnson (Fermilab)	
20 min	SNS Laser Stripping Development - Aleksandrov (ORNL)	20 min	Transition Crossing Simulations - Kourbanis (Fermilab)	
Breakout #	3 (Tuesday, 11/13/2007, 9:00 - 10:30 )	Issues Con	n <b>mon to Both Rings,</b> chair - John Corlet <u>t</u>	
	Meeting F	Room - One	North (WH1N)	
20 min	RF, Feedback and Measuren	nents of Elec	ctron Cloud Density - Byrd (LBNL)	
20 min	20 min RF System and Beam Loading Compensation - Reid (Fermilab)			
15 min <u>Transverse Damper</u> - Adamson (Fermilab)				
15 min	15 min Injection and Extraction - Johnson (Fermilab)			
20 min Modeling of Ring Performance - Ryne (LBNL)				
Breakout #4 (60 minutes) Discussions and Expressions of Interest for Future Collaboration				

# Appendix E. 120 GeV Targeting Breakout Agenda

#### 120 GeV Targeting Breakout Session

Chaired by Mike Martens(FNAL) and Nick Simos(BNL)

Plenary Session Talks Ramsey Auditorium				
30 min	120 GeV Targeting Overview - Jim Hylen			
	Breakouts			
	Breakout #1 (90 minutes)			
	Meeting Poom - Snake Bit (WH2E)			
30 min	Engineering Issues for the NuMI Beamline at 2 MW Pat Hurh			
30 min	Activation and Remote Handling - John Sheppard			
30 min	High Power Targets for Project X and Beyond - Kirk McDonald			
	Breakout #2 (60 minutes)			
	Meeting Room - Snake Pit (W/H2E)			
30 min	IHEP 2 Megawatt Target Design - Jim Hylen			
30 min	Issues with Liquid vs. Solid Targets - John Sheppard			
	Breakout #3 (90 minutes)			
	Meeting Room - Snake Pit (WH2E)			
30 min	Experimental Radiation Damage Assessment of High Power Accelerator Targets - Nick Simos			
30 min	Issues and Challenges of High Power Targets for Muon Colliders - Kirk McDonald			
30 min	Discussion			
	Breakout #4 (60 minutes)			
	Discussions and Expressions of Interest for Future Collaboration			
	Meeting Room - Snake Pit (WH2E)			
	Details of this session to be planned after Day 1			

# **Appendix F. Registration List**

## 1. Argonne National Laboratory (ANL)

John	Carpenter
John	Carwardine
Louis	Emery
Tom	Fields
Joel	Fuerst
Don	Geesaman
Rod	Gerig
Jim	Grudzinski
Katherine	Harkay
Robert	Kustom
Gerald	McMichael
Brad	Micklich
Brahim	Mustapha
Alireza	Nassiri
Petr	Ostroumov
Yin-e	Sun
Chun-xi	Wang

2. Barnard College

ewwade@gmail.com

jmcarpenter@anl.gov carwar@aps.anl.gov emery@aps.anl.gov thf@hep.anl.gov fuerst@anl.gov

<u>geesaman@anl.gov</u> rod@aps.anl.gov

harkay@aps.anl.gov

mcmichael@fnal.gov bjmicklich@anl.gov

<u>nassiri@aps.anl.gov</u> ostroumov@anl.gov yinsun@aps.anl.gov wangcx@aps.anl.gov

mustapha@phy.anl.gov

rlk@aps.anl.gov

jjg@anl.gov

# 3. Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL)

RogerConnollyDeepakRapariaThomasRoser

connolly@bnl.gov raparia@bnl.gov roser@bnl.gov

4. Cockroft Institute

Roger Jones

rmj@slac.stanford.edu

mt52@cornell.edu

5. Cornell Maury

6. *GE Healthcare* 

Steve Daley

stevedaley@comcast.net

- 7. Illinois Institute of Technology Yagmar Torun <u>torun@iit.edu</u>
- 8. Indiana University Rex Tayloe

rtayloe@indiana.edu

9. INFN-Trieste

	Aldo	Penzo	Aldo.Penzo@ts.infn.it
	Thomas Je	fferson Acce	lerator Laboratorv
10	$( II \Delta R)$		
10.	(JL/ID) Andrew	Hutton	andrew@ilab.org
	Robert	Rimmer	rarimmer@ilab.org
		Rorkolov Nat	ional Laboratory
11			onal Laboratory
11.	(LBINL)		
	Frank	Bieniosek	tmbieniosek@lbl.gov
	John	Byrd	JIVIByrd@IDI.gov
	Jonn	Coriett	
	RICK	Donanue	<u>RJDOnahue@lbl.gov</u>
	Niguei	Fuiman	
	Alessandro	LI Patti	<u>aratti@lbl.gov</u>
	Kem	Robinson	
	Rohert	Ryne	RDRvne@lbl.gov
	Kiran	Sonnad	kasonnad@lbl.gov
	Russell	Wells	prwells@lbl.gov
	Michael	Zisman	mszisman@lbl.gov
	Brian	Rusnak	rusnak1@llnl.gov
12.	MIT-Bates	ı	
	Wilbur	Franklin	wafrankl@mit.edu
13.	Michigan S	State Universit	ty (MSU)
	Chris	Compton	<u>compton@nscl.msu.edu</u>
	Walter	Hartung	hartung@nscl.msu.edu
	Richard	York	york@nscl.msu.edu
14.	Muon's Inc		
	Robert	Abrams	<u>boba247@muonsinc.com</u>
	Kevin	Beard	<u>beard@muonsinc.com</u>
	Rolland	Johnson	<u>roljohn@aol.com</u>
	Stephen	Kahn	<u>kahn@bnl.gov</u>
	Sergey	Korenev	<u>korenev@fnal.gov</u>
	Tom	Roberts	<u>tjrob@fnal.gov</u>
15.	Northern III	inois Universit	y (NIU)
	Bela	Erdelyi	erdelyi@nicadd.niu.edu
	Philippe	Piot	piot@nicadd.niu.edu
	Nikolay	Vinogradov	vinogradov@nicadd.niu.edu
11	Alan Alanda	- Ctata Iliali	a maile (NIN ACLI)

16. New Mexico State University (NMSU)

	Vassili	Papavassiliou	<u>pvs@nmsu.edu</u>
17.	Oak Ridae	National Lab	oratory (ORL)
	Alexander	Aleksandrov	sasha@sns.gov
	Isidoro	Campisi	campisiie1@ornl.gov
	Viatcheslav	Danilov	danilovs@ornl.gov
	John	Galambos	jdg@ornl.gov
	Sang-Ho	Kim	kimsh@ornl.gov
	John	Mammosser	<u>mammosserj@ornl.gov</u>
18.	Purdue Uni	versity	
	Robert	Napora	napora@fnal.gov
19.	Stanford Lii	near Acceler	rator Center
	Chris	Adolphsen	star@slac.stanford.edu
	Yunhai	Cai	yunhai@slac.stanford.edu
	Ray	Larsen	larsen@slac.stanford.edu
	Christopher	Nantista	nantista@slac.stanford.edu
	Tor	Raubenheimer	tor@slac.stanford.edu
	John	Sheppard	j <u>cs@slac.stanford.edu</u>
	Gennady	Stupakov	<u>stupakov@slac.stanford.edu</u>
20.	STFC RAL	1	
	Chris	Prior	<u>c.r.prior@rl.ac.uk</u>
21.	Tech - X Co	prporation	
	Peter	Stoltz	pstoltz@txcorp.com
22.	Thales Con	nponents Co	rporation
	Jim	McVea	<u>jmcvea@tccus.com</u>
23.	University c	of Delhi	
	Ram	Shivpuri	<u>shiv@fnal.gov</u>
24.	University c	of Illinois	
	George	Gollin	<u>g-gollin@uiuc.edu</u>
25.	University c	of lowa	
	Yasar	Onel	<u>yasar-onel@uiowa.edu</u>
26.	University c	of Maryland	
	Santiago	Bernal	sabern@umd.edu
	David	Sutter	<u>accelphys@aol.com</u>
27.	University c	of Texas	
	Ryoichi	Miyamoto	ryoichi@fnal.gov
28.	Fermi Natio	onal Accelera	ator Laboratory (FNAL)
	Chuck	Ackenbrandt	ankenbra@fnal.gov

Phil	Adamson	pa@fnal.gov
James	Amundson	<u>amundson@fnal.gov</u>
Slava	Aseev	<u>aseev@fnal.gov</u>
Bakul	Banerjee	<u>bakulb@fnal.gov</u>
Leo	Bellantoni	<u>bellanto@fnal.gov</u>
Chandra	Bhat	<u>cbhat@fnal.gov</u>
Dixon	Bogert	bogert@fnal.gov
Dan	Bollinger	<u>bollinger@fnal.gov</u>
Gustavo	Cancelo	<u>cancelo@fnal.gov</u>
Marcela	Carena	<u>carena@fnal.gov</u>
Jean-Paul	Carneiro	<u>carneiro@fnal.gov</u>
Mark	Champion	<u>champion@fnal.gov</u>
Brian	Chase	<u>chase@fnal.gov</u>
Alex	Chen	<u>alexchen@fnal.gov</u>
Sam	Childress	<u>childress@fnal.gov</u>
Paul	Derwent	<u>derwent@fnal.gov</u>
Joseph	Dey	<u>dey@fnal.gov</u>
Nathan	Eddy	<u>eddy@fnal.gov</u>
Cons	Gattuso	gattuso@fnal.gov
Keith	Gollwitzer	gollwitz@fnal.gov
lvan	Gonin	gonin@fnal.gov
Gerald	Guglielmo	gug@fnal.gov
Suzanne	Gysin	gysin@fnal.gov
Steve	Holmes	holmes@fnal.gov
Patrick	Hurh	<u>hurh@fnal.gov</u>
James	Hylen	<u>hylen@fnal.gov</u>
Andreas	Jansson	<u>jansson@fnal.gov</u>
David	Johnson	<u>dej@fnal.gov</u>
Stanley	Johnson	<u>srjohnson@fnal.gov</u>
John	Johnstone	jjohnstone@fnal.gov
Hans	Jostlein	<u>Jostlein@fnal.gov</u>
Robert	Kephart	<u>kephart@fnal.gov</u>
Arkadiy	Klebaner	<u>klebaner@fnal.gov</u>
Gordon	Koizumi	<u>koizumi@fnal.gov</u>
Kevin	Krause	<u>kkrause@fnal.gov</u>
Valeri	Lebedev	<u>val@fnal.gov</u>
Jerry	Leibfritz	leibfritz@fnal.gov
Ted	Liu	<u>thliu@fnal.gov</u>
Peter	Lucas	<u>lucas@fnal.gov</u>
James	MacLachlen	maclachlan@fnal.gov
William	Marsh	marshw@fnal.gov

Mike Craig Elaine David Thomas Leo Shekhar Nikolai Ronald Craig Al Sergei Md David Oleg King Jean-Francois Joe Vince David Tom Yuriy Milorad Eric Lionel Rajemdran Leonardo James Vic Kiyomi Vladimir Al Panagiotis James Ding Wai-Ming Cheng-Yang Michael louri

Martens **McClure McCluskey McGinnis** Meyer Michelotti Mishra Mokhov Moore Moore Moretti Nagaitsev Naimuddin Neuffer Nezhevenko Ng Ostiguy Ozelis Pavlicek Peterson Peterson Pischalnikov Popovic Prebys Prost Raja Ristori Santucci Scarpine Seiya Shiltsev Sondgeroth Spentzouris Steimel Sun Tam Tan Tartaglia Terechkine

martens@fnal.gov mcclure@fnal.gov mccluskey@fnal.gov mcginnis@fnal.gov tsmeyer@fnal.gov michelotti@fnal.gov mishra@fnal.gov mokhov@fnal.gov ronmoore@fnal.gov cmoore@fnal.gov moretti@fnal.gov nsergei@fnal.gov naveem@fnal.gov neuffe@fnal.gov nezhev@fnal.gov ng@fnal.gov

ostiguy@fnal.gov ozelis@fnal.gov vince@fnal.gov peterson@fnal.gov tommy@fnal.gov pischaln@fnal.gov popovic@fnal.gov prebys@fnal.gov lprost@fnal.gov raja@fnal.gov leoristo@fnal.gov santucci@fnal.gov scarpine@fnal.gov kiyomi@fnal.gov shiltsev@fnal.gov sondgeroth@fnal.gov spentz@fnal.gov steimel@fnal.gov ding@fnal.gov watam@fnal.gov

<u>cytan@fnal.gov</u> <u>tartaglia@fnal.gov</u> <u>terechki@fnal.gov</u>

	1	
Jay	Theilacker	theilacker@fnal.gov
John	Tompkins	jct@fnal.gov
Linda	Valerio	lvalerio@fnal.gov
Alexander	Valishev	<u>valishev@fnal.gov</u>
James	Volk	<u>volk@fnal.gov</u>
Margaret	Votava	<u>votava@fnal.gov</u>
Robert	Webber	webber@fnal.gov
Vyacheslav	Yakovlev	<u>yakovlev@fnal.gov</u>
Ming-Jen	Yang	<u>yang@fnal.gov</u>
Katsuya	Yonehara	<u>yonehara@fnal.gov</u>
Phil	Yoon	<u>syoon@fnal.gov</u>
Cary	Yoshikawa	<u>yosh@fnal.gov</u>
Xiaolong	Zhang	<u>zhangxl@fnal.gov</u>
Robert	Zwaska	<u>zwaska@fnal.gov</u>