FIGURE 2-3

MISSION: RESOURCE PROTECTION Protect the nation's natural, cultural and heritage resources GOAL: Improve health of watersheds, landscapes, and marine resources that are DOI managed or influenced consistent with obligations and state law regarding the allocation and use of water FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? 88.00% 86.00% 87.80% 87.6% (E) Totals: Percent of DOI stream/shoreline miles that have 1614 achieved desired conditions where condition is Performance Goal Met. Estimated Data. known and as specified in management plans BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Refuges Annual Performance Plan NPS - Performance Data Management System 45.30% Totals: 48.30% 58.10% 60.60% 61.60% Percent of DOI acres that have achieved desired 1465 conditions where condition is known and as Goal Met specified in management plans BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Refuges Annual Performance plan NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan Totals: 1,217 1.522 Number of non-DOI stream/shoreline miles that have achieved watershed and landscape goals as specified in watershed or landscape management Goal Exceeded. The goal was exceeded because more non-DOI stream/shoreline enhancement projects were 1466 completed during FY 2007 than were anticipated. In many instances priorities and schedules for completeing these projects was beyond the control of DOI. plans or agreements that involve DOI FWS - Habitat Information Tracking System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? 1.453.090 1.902.750 50,401,063 (E) Totals: Number of non-DOI acres that have achieved watershed and landscape goals as specified in 1467 Goal Exceeded. Estimated Data. Target exceeded expectations primarily because a very large area of waterfowl watershed or landscape management plans or habitat in Alaska was brought under the protection of a lead shot ban for bird hunting. Although the area has been under study for years, it was not anticipated thata lead shot ban would be imposed in FY 2007. agreements that involve DOI FWS - Habitat Information Tracking System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Number of Federal, private and tribal land and Totals: 6.533 surface water acres reclaimed or mitigated from 1468 the effects of natural resource degradation from Goal Met. past coal mining bandoned Mine Land Inventory System (AMLIS) FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual Totals: No Report No Report 126,000 1,713,773 (E) Number of treated burned acres that achieve the Goal Exceeded. Estimated Data. Target developed using historical data from 2003-06. However, fires that occurred during FY 2006 were so severe, and so many acres burned, that using historical average to predict the number of acres to be treated in FY 2007 understated the true need. While the variability is difficult to predict in 1469 advance, more accurate targets may be developed that are sensitive to the natural fire season variability FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual 70% Goal Not Met. Estimated Data. Target developed using historical data from 2003-06. However, fires that occurred during FY 2006 were so severe, and so many acres burned, that using historical average to predict the number of acres to be treated in FY 2007 understated the true need. Percent of treated burned acres that have achieved the desired condition While the variability is difficult to predict in advance, more accurate targets may be developed that are sensitive to Annual Work Plan Accomplashment Report FY2007 Plan 95% Percent of surface water miles (stream/shoreline) 652 managed by DOI that meet State (EPA approved) Goal Met. Estimated Data. [Reported 70% (E) in 2006 PAR] water quality standards BLM - Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Storage and Retrieval (STORET) national water quality da abase FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan Totals: 82% 92% 92% (E) Percent of surface water acres managed by DOI that meet State (EPA approved) water quality Established 807 Goal Met. Estimated Data. [Reported 95% (E) in 2006 PAR] BLM - Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Storage and Retrieval (STORET) national water quality database FWS - Refuges Annual Performance Plan Number of surface and ground water systems 1,456 Totals: 1,538 (E) directly managed or influenced by DOI that are protected and/or restored, as specified in Goal Exceeded. Estimated Data. The result slighly exceeded the target because it includes some water systems associated with recently acquired refuge lands. 1634 management plans and by working with State and local resource managers, as appropriate, to meet ecological needs NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Refuges Annual Performance Plan

			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
		Totals:	: No Report	80	97	571	658	~
471	Number of DOI riparian (stream/shoreline) miles restored to the condition specified in management plans		because the targe	et was set too low. T dline for setting a F	he currently availab	le historic data for	al performance exce r the measure did no rgets will account for	t become avai
		Data Source:	BLM - Performan		ta System FWS - Re	efuges Annual Per	formance Plan NPS	- Performance
			FY2004 Actual	•	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
		Totals:	: 89,262	40,027	49,765	35,316	24,889	
472	Number of DOI wetland acres restored to the condition specified in management plans		Flooding prevente Federal restoration to support FY 200	d restoration activitie	es; paperwork delay /I manner; and the r	s made it impossi	asons: heavy spring ble to secure the an trol structures were r	icipated non-
		Data Source:		nnual Performance				
		Totals:	FY2004 Actual : 310,073		FY2006 Actual	13,554	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
473	Number of DOI coastal and marine acres restored to the condition specified in management plans	Performance	Goal Not Met. T			n performance lev	7,159 rel was not achieved	in FY 2007
	to the condition specified in management plans	Explanation: Steps to Improve:		the anticipated non	-Federal restoration	funds did not bed	ome available.	
		Data Source:		nnual Performance				
		Totala	FY2004 Actual		198,663	FY2007 Plan 663,705	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
		Totals:	: 264,522	174,421	190,003	003,703	927,423 (E)	_
74	Number of DOI upland acres restored to the condition specified in management plans		performance exce the measure did r	eeded the target is t	hat the target was s e until after the dea	et too low. Most of dline for setting a l	s measure. The prin f the currently availa FY 2007 target had p	ble historic da
		Data Source:	BLM - Performan Data Managemer		ta System FWS - Re	efuges Annual Per	rformance Plan NPS	- Performano
	Number of non-DOI riparian (stream/shoreline) miles restored, including miles restored through partnerships, as specified in management plans, as specified in management plans or agreements that involve DOI		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
175		Totals:	Goal Exceeded.				1,522 oth relate to the ripa	
		Explanation:	contributions mad				reraged value of part ners than was antici	
		Data Source:		nnual Performance		EV/2027 Pl	EV/2007 A . /	O and Mari
		Totals:	FY2004 Actual : 395,146	FY2005 Actual 410,605	593,996	FY2007 Plan 554,355	FY2007 Actual 607,289 (E)	Goal Met
176	Number of non-DOI wetland acres restored, including acres restored through partnerships, as specified in management plans or agreements that involve DOI	Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded. restoration contrib support was grea The third reason t	Estimated Data. Thoutions made by DC ter than expected. In	e goal was exceede I ^K _H s conservation pa n other cases, more tion exceeded expe	ed for three principartners. In some ca	pal reasons. Two relateses, the leveraged from partners the environmental concepts.	value of partne an was anticip
			'		•			
		Data Source:	FWS - Refuges A FY2004 Actual	nnual Performance FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
		Totals:		5,771	41,009	4,787	55,175	Goal Met
77	Number of non-DOI coastal and marine acres restored, including acres restored through partnerships, as specified in management plans or agreements that involve DOI	Performance Explanation:	Goal Exceeded.	The Department ea	ceeded its target dadditional restoratio	ue to higher than a	anticipated partner for some projects with the guaranteed on a	n prior year
		Data Source:	FWS - Refuges A	nnual Performance	Plan			
	Number of non-DOI unland acres restored		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Me
78	Number of non-DOI upland acres restored, including acres restored through partnerships, as specified in management plans or agreements that involve DOI	Totals:		348,362	284,898	226,952	425,596	~
		Explanation:	of partnership mo	The non-DOI uplar ney and unusually f nnual Performance	avorable weather co		ause of unexpected	y nign leveraç
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
	Percent of natural ignitions, occurring in areas	Totals:		No Report	No Report	1%	0% (P)	
479	designated for wildland fire use or consistent with wildland fire use strategies, that are managed for resource protection benefits (i.e. "allowed to burn")	Performance Explanation:		minary Data.				

			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
		Totals:		No Report	No Report	67%	54% (E)			
1480	ı		Goal Not Met. Es treatment objective		ets were initially es	timated based on	a higher success rate	for overall		
	Percent of acres treated which are moved toward		•							
	desired condition		2006. Users enter	ing data for this me	easure will receive to	argeted training to	zed in relation to the ensure data definition	ns are applied		
		Steps to Improve:					FY 2008 will be monito arget was set accurate			
			. g	,,						
		Data Source:	DOI - National Fire	e Plan Operations a	and Reporting Syste	em				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual		FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
		Totals:	No Report	No Report	No Report	15%	12% (E)			
			Goal Not Met. Es treatment objective		ets were initially es	timated based on	a higher success rate	for overall		
	Percent of acres treated which are maintained in		_							
1481	desired condition		Corrective actions: Baseline data collected in FY 2007 will be further analyzed in relation to the initial to 2006. Users entering data for this measure will receive targeted training to ensure data definitions are consistently to treatments entered in the database. Data collected during FY 2008 will be monitored to							
		Steps to Improve:					FY 2008 will be monito arget was set accurate			
			calculated.	•						
		Data Source:	National Fire Plan	Operations & Rep	orting System (NFP	PORS)				
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
		Totals:	10.60%	20.40%	21.10%	6.60%	8.8% (E)	/		
394	Percent of known contaminated sites remediated on DOI-managed land	Performance	Goal Exceeded. E	Estimated Data. Re	emediation of conta	minated sites can	span several years. T	arget was		
	on Doi-managed land						eported 21% (E) in 20			
		Data Source:	BLM - State/Field	Office case files an	nd final reports. FW	S - Refuges Annua	al Performance Plan			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
535	Tana of call landing averaged	Totals:	26,680	22,200	22,000	21,000	21,000	~		
535	Tons of salt loading prevented	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.							
		Data Source:	BOR - Cooperativ	e Agreements.						
Inte	rmediate Outcome: Manage and protect watersheds ar	nd landscapes								
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
	Number of DOI riparian (stream/shoreline) miles	Totals:	No Report	No Report	5,144	58,327	59,125	~		
1482	managed or protected to maintain desired condition as specified in management plans	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.							
			FWS - Refuges Ar	nnual Performance	Plan					
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
1483	Number of DOI wetland acres managed or protected to maintain desired condition as	Totals:		1,150,276	21,357,697	21,450,067	21,624,566			
	specified in management plans	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.							
		Data Source:	FWS - Refuges Ar FY2004 Actual	nnual Performance FY2005 Actual		FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Cool Met2		
	Number of DOI coastal and marine acres managed or protected to maintain desired condition as	Totals:		174,586	2,359,228	2,411,988	2,366,041	Goal Mitt		
1484		Performance	Goal Met.							
	specified in management plans	Explanation: Data Source:	FWS - Refuges Ar	nnual Performance	Plan					
	Number of DOI upland acres managed or protected to maintain desired condition as specified in management plans		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
1485		Totals:	2,081,140	2,502,152	52,791,511	52,901,557	52,689,376	*		
		Explanation:	Goal Met.							
		Data Source:		nnual Performance						
	Number of non-DOI riparian (stream/shoreline) miles managed or protected to maintain desired condition, including miles managed or protected through partnerships, as specified in management plans or agreements that involve DOI		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
		Totals:	38	5,837	5,828	2,907	6,997			
1486		Performance Explanation	Goal Exceeded.	The goal for this maing in waterway or	neasure was exceed	ded because the r	number and nature of the santicipated.	the opportunities		
				, ,		wriat Wa	o.parou.			
		Data Source:	FWS - Habitat Info FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
		Totals:		555,457	3,685,608	1,061,301	31,171,865 (E)	Coal West		
	Number of non-DOI wetland acres managed or	TOIRIS.	400,040	000,401	0,000,000	1,001,001	01,171,000 (E)			
	protected to maintain desired condition, including		Goal Exceeded. I	Estimated Data. Th	ne goal for this mea	sure was vastly ex	xceeded for two princi	pal reasons. Firs		
	acres managed or protected through partnerships,	Performance Explanation:	of Alaska banned	the use of lead sho	t in a large portion	of the wetlands in	e effects of lead on wa northern Alaska. Seco	ond, many state:		
1487	as specifed in management plans or agreements	zxpianiation.	are now more spe administered by D		ne wetland protection	on benefits of spor	t fish and wildlife cons	servation grants		
1487	as specifed in management plans or agreements that involve DOI									
1487										
1487		Data Source:		ormation Tracking S						
1487	that involve DOI		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?		
	that involve DOI Number of non-DOI coastal and marine acres managed or protected to maintain desired	Totals:	FY2004 Actual			FY2007 Plan 40,443	FY2007 Actual 99,961	Goal Met?		
1487	Number of non-DOI coastal and marine acres managed or protected to maintain desired condition, including acres managed or protected		FY2004 Actual 338,039	FY2005 Actual 381,809	FY2006 Actual 14,143	40,443		V		
	that involve DOI Number of non-DOI coastal and marine acres managed or protected to maintain desired	Totals: Performance Explanation:	338,039 Goal Exceeded.	FY2005 Actual 381,809	FY2006 Actual 14,143 as exceeded due to	40,443	99,961	V		

Municipal for the Coll Light documents an invalidation of control production of the management of produced in color patients of the Coll Section o									
pulsarious production to main production of production of programmers grows or of growings and production of production of programmers grows or of growings and production of production		Number of non-DOI unland acres managed or	Totale	FY2004 Actual	163 565	329 112	FY2007 Plan	18 041 177	Goal Met?
Sepecial distributione di la sepocial di maniagement plant oi agreements plant oi agreements plant oi agreements plant oi agreements de la control de la con		protected to maintain desired condition, including	TOTALS		•		•		
Secretary biological communities on DOI meanaged and influenced lands and variety consistent with obligations and state law regarding the allocation and under the control of the property of the property of the control of the property of the control of the property of the control of the control of the property of the control of the con	1489	as specified in management plans or agreements		extensive DOI res	earch and commun	ity outreach on the			
Precent of fish appeals of nanagement cancion. Totals: No Report: No Report: No Report: 42%. Precent of all impracts of the process and management cancion. Totals: No Report: No Report: 42%. Precent of all impracts of the precent of the prece			Data Source:	FWS - Habitat Info	ormation Tracking S	System			
Percent of lich apones of management concern that are managed to self-autisating levels. In Cooperation will allewed Status and olders, as defined in approved management accurrances that are managed to self-autisating levels. In Cooperation will allewed Status and olders, as defined in approved management accurrances that are managed to self-autisating levels. In Provide Actual			and influenced la	ands and water	s consistent wi	th obligations a	nd state law re	garding the allo	cation and
Percent of invasive and animal species of management concerns. Percent of all majoroved management documents of management and animal six offered in approved management documents of management and animal six offered in approved management documents. Proof Actual Protocol Constitution Scientific	use	of water							
Cost March Percent of all migratory bord species that are at featility and substantials levels Percent of all migratory bord species that are at featility and substantials levels Percent of all migratory bord species that are at featility and substantials levels Percent of impacts on a migratory bord species that are at featility and substantials levels Percent of impacts on a migratory bord species that are at featility and substantials levels Percent of impacts on a migratory bord species that are stabilized or impacts on a migratory species of impacts on a migra		Percent of fish species of management concern							Goal Met?
Percent of law solution graces from the approach of the process of the solution of the solutio	1490				No Report	No Report	42%	42%	~
Percent of all impactory bird species that are at healthy and sudainable levels before the controlled and supplementations are all the supplementations are all the controlled and supplementations are all the supplementations are all			Explanation	Goal Met.					
Percent of interactional percent provided in the percentage of the seaton and percentage of the seaton			Data Source:		-	EY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	EY2007 Actual	Goal Mat2
Percent of intention of or ordering red species that are adulticated out proposed in the control of the control		Percent of all migratory hird species that are at	Totals						✓
Precorate of intreatment of crandangered species that are stabilised or improved and precision of improved and precision o	1491			Goal Met.					
Preformance of improved and precision of candidate species where listing is uniconstant to the precision of candidate species where listing is uniconstant to the precision of candidate species where listing is uniconstant and canding of the precision of candidate species where listing is uniconstant and canding of the precision of candidate species where listing is uniconstant and canding of the precision of candidate species where listing is uniconstant and canding of the precision of candidate species where listing is uniconstant and canding of the precision of candidate species where listing is uniconstant and canding of the precision of candidate species where listing is uniconstant and canding of the precision of candidate species detailed to the precision of the precision				FWS - Service's F	Permit Issuance and	Tracking System (S	SPITS)		
Percent of candidate spaces where islaing is unrecessary as a result of conservation actions. Percent of candidate spaces where islaing is unrecessary as a result of conservation actions. Percent of candidate spaces where islaing is unrecessary as a result of conservation actions. Percent of candidate spaces where islaing is unrecessary as a result of conservation actions. Percent of the percent of baseline acces infested with invasive plant species that are controlled Percent of baseline acces infested with invasive plant species that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that				FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
Percent of baseline acres infested with invasive plant species populations that are controlled Percent of livestive animal species populations that are controlled Performance by Performance because the species populations that are controlled Performance by P	1695				35.19%	41.13%	47.17%	45.15%	
Purcent of candidate species where listing is unnecessary as a result of conservation actions, or including actions taken through agricements and including actions taken through agricements. Performance Coal Exceeded. Estimated Data. Tappel accessed at an areal of additional formation of the controlled and the controlled and through agricement page and the experience and take agricement. The agriculture and actions are also and additional formation and appealing actions that are controlled and agriculture and actions and actions and actions and actions and actions are also as a result of additional formation and actions are also as a result of additional formation and actions are also as a result of additional formation and actions are also as a result of additional formation and actions are also as a result of additional formation and actions are actions a		are stabilized or improved	Explanation	Goal wet.					
Purcent of consideral secretary whose parties and processes of an around of consequencion actions, or including auditoria taken through agreements			Data Source:						
Unnecessary as a result of conservation actions, or including actions taken through agreements of pale Source; PVS- Environmental Conservation Online System; Threatened and Endangemed Species distalsase Page 1		Percent of candidate species where listing is	Totals						<u> </u>
Percent of president animal species populations that Percent of president are controlled Percent of president and president and president are controlled Percent of president and president are controlled Percent of president and president are controlled Percent of president and president and president are controlled Percent of president and president a	390	unnecessary as a result of conservation actions, or	Performance	Goal Met.					
Percent of baseline acres infested with investive plant species that are controlled Percent of baseline acres infested with investive plant species that are controlled Percent of baseline acres infested with investive plant species that are controlled Part species that are controlled Percent of baseline acres infested with investive plant species that are controlled Part species that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of investive animal species populations that are controlled provided in the percent population control investigate, and using recent performance desire protect what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies. Reported 7.79% (E) in 2005 PARI Percent of investigation animal species populations that direct years are controlled. Percent of provide habitat for biological communities to flourity Percent of provide habitat for biological communities to flourity Percent of provide habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or fecovery Percent of populations of species of manag		including actions taken through agreements		FWS - Environme	ntal Conservation (Online System: Three	atened and Endan	gered Species datab	ase
Percent of baseline acres infected with invasive plant species that are controlled Percent of baseline acres infected with invasive plant species that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled are controlled are controlled. Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled are controlled are controlled. Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled are controlled are controlled. Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled are controlled are controlled. Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled are controlled are controlled are controlled. Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled are controlled are controlled are controlled. Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled are controlled are controlled are controlled. Percent of populations of species populations to self-sustained are controlled. Percent of populations of species of management controlled. Percent of populations of species of managemen								• •	
Explanation: Increased staff with the expertise and skills to address invasive plants, [Reported 2% (6) in 2000 PAR]			Totals						~
BLM State Field Office case files and final imports. Performance Management Data System (PMDS) NPs - Performance NPs - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) NPs - Performance NPs - Perform	444								
Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled		plant species that are controlled		DIM Conta/Field	·				-
Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Percent of invasive animal species populations that are managed to desired the percent			Data Source:	Performance Man	agement Data Syst	em (PMDS); FWS -	Refuges Annual P	Performance Plan (R.	APP)
Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled Performance data Detainment in page to controlled Performance data Detainment in the target was not met because for some invasive animal species (e.g., feral hogo, fire ants. or for fail) the Department misjudged how difficult is to achieve population control. Possible response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaiting more resources to control efforts, developing more efficient and effective response options include detaits. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau response species conservation or recovery Intermediate Outcome: Provide habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species of management part and provide more provided provided to the part of the part				FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	EV2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
Performance beganness that are controlled Performance beganness that are some data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance data to better project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance between performance Project what can be accomplished with available funding and control strategies, and using recent performance							1 12007 1 1011	7 72007 7101441	Godi mori
Steps to Improve setting capabilities. Data Source: FVS - Refuges Annual Performance Plan NPS - Species Database; National Park records Intermediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological communities to flourish Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and fureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Eureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Eureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau feet on recovery Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations of species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System WS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System With a Proposition With affected countries FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006			Totals	: No Report	Baseline				Osai mst.
Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Explanation: Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species Performance Explanation: Data Source: Summer of international species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Performance Explanation: Data Source: Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Performance Explanation: No Report No Report No Report PY2006 Actual PY2006 Actual PY2007 Plan PY2007 Actual Goal Met. Performance Explanation: Source: Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Management Data System PWS - Fisheries Information System PY2007 Actual PY2006 Actual PY2006 Actual PY2007 Actual PY2007 Actual PY2007 Actual PY	541		Performance	Goal Not Met. Es hogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies,	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i	6.00% target was not met be nent misjudged how more resources to coerformance data to	7.80% Decause for some is difficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population conti	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective
Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species. Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery: Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery: Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species. Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species. Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species. Performance Goal Exceeded. Fy2004 Actual Fy2005 Actual Fy2007 Plan Fy2007 Actual Goal Met? Totals: 50% 40% 67% 13% 14% (E) Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Explanation: Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System System System System System Sy	541		Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Es hogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departri include dedicating and using recent p ol strategies. [Repo	6.00% target was not met the tent misjudged how more resources to deformance data to rited 7.9% (E) in 200	7.80% Decause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR]	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population continging more efficient can be accomplished.	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective ed with available
Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species. Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery: Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery: Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species. Performance Goal Exceeded. The target was exceeded because the Department is increasing the focus on ESA and Bureau sensitive species. Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species. Performance Goal Exceeded. Fy2004 Actual Fy2005 Actual Fy2007 Plan Fy2007 Actual Goal Met? Totals: 50% 40% 67% 13% 14% (E) Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Explanation: Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System System System System System Sy	541		Performance Explanation Steps to Improve	Goal Not Met. Es hogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and contr For FY 2008 the I setting capabilities	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating and using recent p of strategies. [Repo	target was not met to tent misjudged how more resources to corformance data to reted 7.9% (E) in 2000 to use a mix of these	7.80% Decause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] Options to improve	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contiloping more efficient can be accomplished.	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective ed with available
Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species. Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery No Report No Report No Report 150 214 Performance Explanation: passitive species. Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species Performance Explanation: passitive species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Performance Explanation: passitive species of management passitive species passitive species of management passitive species p		are controlled	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source:	Goal Not Met. Es hogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control For FY 2008 the I setting capabilities FWS - Refuges A	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating and using recent p of strategies. [Repo	target was not met to tent misjudged how more resources to corformance data to reted 7.9% (E) in 2000 to use a mix of these	7.80% Decause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] Options to improve	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contiloping more efficient can be accomplished.	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective ed with available
that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery No Report No Report No Report 150 214 Performance Explanation: Sensitive species No Report		are controlled	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source:	Goal Not Met. Es hogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control For FY 2008 the I setting capabilities FWS - Refuges A	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent pol strategies. [Repo Department hopes to see the control of the control	target was not met then this judged how more resources to cerformance data to rited 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develociter project what 6 PAR] options to improvi	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population conticon in the can be accomplished to both its performance all Park records	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective d with available
Sensitive species conservation or recovery Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS)		are controlled rmediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological con	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source:	Goal Not Met. Es hogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control setting capabilities: FWS - Refuges A	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p ol strategies. [Repo Department hopes to see the control of the contro	target was not met then this judged how more resources to cerformance data to rited 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] options to improvi	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contion in the proposition contion in the proposition of the proposition of the proposition of the proposition in the proposition in the proposition of the proposition in the proposition of the pr	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective d with available
Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Percent of populations of species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Performance Management Data System (PMDS)	Inte	rmediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological cor Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: nmunities to flour Totals	Goal Not Met. Es hogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control setting capabilitie: FWS - Refuges A ish FY2004 Actual No Report	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p ol strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. nnual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report	target was not met then the misjudged how more resources to cerformance data to inted 7.9% (E) in 200 or use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species	7.80% Decause for some idifficult it is to achiontol efforts, developed the project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contoloping more efficient can be accomplished by the performancial Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608	cies (e.g., feral rot, Possible and effective d with available ce and its target-
Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species Percent of populations of species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Performance Management Data System (PMDS)	Inte	rmediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological cor Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: munities to flour Totals: Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control setting capabilitie: FWS - Refuges A ish FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. sensitive species.	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p ol strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. nnual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was except	6.00% target was not met tent misjudged how more resources to creformance data to rted 7.9% (E) in 200 o use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the	7.80% Decause for some idifficult it is to achiontol efforts, developed the project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contoloping more efficient can be accomplished by the performancial Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608	cies (e.g., feral rot, Possible and effective d with available ce and its target-
Festored or enhanced that directly support ESA- listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) Intermediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species Percent of populations of species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS) FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Totals: 50% 40% 67% 13% 14% (E) Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information Syste		rmediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological cor Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: munities to flour Totals: Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control setting capabilities: FWS - Refuges A ish FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded, sensitive species. BLM - Performance	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p ol strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. Innual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed the second of t	6.00% target was not met tent misjudged how more resources to creformance data to rted 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ta System (PMDS)	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Deparment is incre	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contiloping more efficient can be accomplished by the both its performance all Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608 easing the focus on	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective and effective d with available ce and its target-
percent of populations of species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Performance Data Source: Performance Data Source: Sulth - Performance Data System Py2005 Actual Py2007 Plan Py2007 Actual Py2007 A	Inte	rmediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological cor Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals Performance Explanation Data Source:	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control setting capabilities: FWS - Refuges A ish FY2004 Actual Coal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p ol strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. nnual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual	6.00% target was not met tent misjudged how more resources to cerformance data to rted 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Deparment is incr	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contiloping more efficient can be accomplished by the both its performancial Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608 easing the focus on	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective and effective de with available ce and its target-
Percent of populations of species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Performance Explanation: Data Source: Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Performance Explanation: Data Source: No Report Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Explanation: No Report Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2007 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Service Permits Issuance and Tracking System (SPTTS) and the International Conservation Database for	Intel 1595	are controlled rmediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological corn Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies. For FY 2008 the Esetting capabilitie: FWS - Refuges A Sish FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded.	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p ol strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. nnual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report	6.00% target was not met tent misjudged how more resources to creformance data to rted 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Deparment is incr	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contiloping more efficient can be accomplished by the both its performance all Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608 easing the focus on FY2007 Actual 214	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective and effective d with available ce and its target-
Percent of populations of species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Performance Explanation: Data Source: Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Performance FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual Goal Met?	Inte 1595	rmediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological corrections of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals Performance Explanation Explanation	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies. For FY 2008 the Esting capabilitie: FWS - Refuges A ish FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. sensitive species. No Report Goal Exceeded. sensitive species.	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating it and using recent p of strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. noual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report	target was not met the net misjudged how more resources to cereformance data to reted 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ceeded becau	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Deparment is incr	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contiloping more efficient can be accomplished by the both its performance all Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608 easing the focus on FY2007 Actual 214	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective and effective d with available ce and its target-
Percent of populations of species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Totals: 50% 40% 67% 13% 14% (E) Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Source: FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Explanation: Source: FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Explanation: Source: FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Explanation: Source: FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Explanation: Source: FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Explanation: Source: FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Explanation: Source: FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Management Data System FY2007 Actual FY2007 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Explanation: Source: FY2005 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fishe	Inte 1595 1594	neediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological compared that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source:	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies. For FY 2008 the Esetting capabilities: FWS - Refuges Arish FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performant Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performant	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating it and using recent p of strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. noual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report	target was not met the net misjudged how more resources to cereformance data to reted 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ceeded becau	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Deparment is incr	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contiloping more efficient can be accomplished by the both its performance all Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608 easing the focus on FY2007 Actual 214	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective and effective d with available ce and its target-
Performance Concern that are managed to desired condition Performance Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Informat	Inte 1595 1594	neediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological compared that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source:	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies. For FY 2008 the I setting capabilities. FWS - Refuges A ish FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. sensitive species. BLM - Performance Goal Exceeded. sensitive species. BLM - Performance Considerative species. BLM - Performance Considerative species.	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p of strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. Innual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da	target was not met the transjudged how more resources to cerformance data to inted 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ta System (PMDS)	7.80% oecause for some i difficult it is to ach ontrol efforts, deve oetter project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Department is incr	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contion in the proposed in the pr	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective divith available e and its target-e and its target-essand Bureau Goal Met? ESA and Bureau Goal Met?
concern that are managed to desired condition Explanation: Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Fisheries Information System NPS - Performance Management Data System FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met? FORTING METERIMATED Data Data Data Data Data Data Data Da	Inte 1595 1594	neediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological compared that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source: Include the performance Explanation Data Source: Include the performance Explanation Data Source: Include the performance Include the performa	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies. For FY 2008 the I setting capabilities: FWS - Refuges A ish FY2004 Actual: No Report Goal Exceeded. sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual: No Report Goal Exceeded. sensitive species. BLM - Performance Coal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance Coal Exceeded. Sensitive species.	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p of strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. Innual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual	target was not met the transjudged how more resources to cerformance data to inted 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report ceeded because the ta System (PMDS)	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, deve oetter project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Department is incr FY2007 Plan 150 Department is incr	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contion in the proposed in the pr	cies (e.g., feral ot.) Possible and effective divith available e and its target- Goal Met? ESA and Bureau Goal Met?
Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries FY2004 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2007 Plan FY2007 Actual Goal Met?	Inter	neediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological compared and support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals Performance Explanation Data Source: In the step of the step	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies. FOR Y 2008 the I setting capabilities: FWS - Refuges A Sish FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual Coecific Species FY2004 Actual	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p of strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. nonual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2005 Actual The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual	target was not met the tent misjudged how more resources to creformance data to rited 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report creded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report creded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report creded because the ta System (PMDS)	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Department is incr FY2007 Plan 150 Department is incr	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contioping more efficient can be accomplished to the both its performancial Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608 reasing the focus on FY2007 Actual 214 reasing the focus on FY2007 Actual 214% (E)	cies (e.g., feral ot.) Possible and effective divith available e and its target- Goal Met? ESA and Bureau Goal Met?
Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Totals: No Report 249 271 271 271 Performance Explanation: Service Permits Issuance and Tracking System (SPLIS) and the International Conservation Database for	Inter 1595 1594	numediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological comparison of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery remediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustated that the control of populations of species of management	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source: Ining levels for sp	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control setting capabilitie: FWS - Refuges A Self- Ty2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance Goal Exceeded.	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p of strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. nonual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual FY2005 Actual FY2005 Actual The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual	target was not met the tent misjudged how more resources to creformance data to rited 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report creded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report creded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report creded because the ta System (PMDS)	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, develoetter project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Department is incr FY2007 Plan 150 Department is incr	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contioping more efficient can be accomplished to the both its performancial Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608 reasing the focus on FY2007 Actual 214 reasing the focus on FY2007 Actual 214% (E)	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective d with available e and its target-e and its target-essay and Bureau Goal Met? ESA and Bureau
Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries Totals: No Report 249 271 271 271 Performance Explanation: Service Permits Issuance and Tracking System (SPLIS) and the International Conservation Database for	Inter	numediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological comparison of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery remediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustated that the control of populations of species of management	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve. Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies. FOF Y 2008 the Lesting capabilities: FWS - Refuges A ish FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual Sensitive species. BLM - Performance Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual Sometimes of the strategies	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p ol strategies. [Repo Department hopes to s. nnual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exc the Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exc the Management Da FY2005 Actual And Report The target was exc the Management Da TY2005 Actual And Report The target was exc the Management Da TY2005 Actual And Report The target was exc the Management Da TY2005 Actual And Report The target was exc the Management Da TY2005 Actual And Report Ty2005 Actu	target was not met the nent misjudged how more resources to conformance data to inted 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report coded because the tata System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report coded because the tata System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report coded because the tata System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual A	7.80% Pecause for some idifficult it is to achieve the project what 6 PAR] Options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 150 Department is incr FY2007 Plan 150 Department is incr FY2007 Plan 150 Department as incre FY2007 Plan 1304 Orted and expected	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contion in the proposition of the propositi	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective and effective de with available ce and its target- Goal Met? ESA and Bureau Goal Met? ESA and Bureau Goal Met?
cooperation with affected countries Explanation: Service Permits Issuance and Tracking System (SPTIS) and the International Conservation Database for	Inter	numediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological comparison of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery remediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustated that the control of populations of species of management	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve. Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies. FY 2008 the I setting capabilities. FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. sensitive species. BLM - Performance of the performance of th	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p of strategies. (Repo Department hopes to s. Innual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual Local Management Da FY2005 Actual A0% Intel Data. Estimate the Management Data System	target was not met the net misjudged how more resources to erformance data to rited 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report Deeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report Deeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual FY2006 Actual FY2006 Actual AND REPORT Deeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual AND REPORT Deeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual AND REPORT System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual AND REPORT System (PMDS)	7.80% oecause for some idifficult it is to achiomate forts, deve better project what 6 PAR] options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Department is incr FY2007 Plan 150 Department is incr FY2007 Plan 13% orted and expecte sheries Information	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contioping more efficient can be accomplished to the performancial Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608 reasing the focus on FY2007 Actual 214 reasing the focus on FY2007 Actual 14% (E) red performance.	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective and effective de with available ce and its target- Goal Met? ESA and Bureau Goal Met? Coal Met? Coal Met?
Data Sources Service Permits Issuance and Tracking System (SPLIS) and the International Conservation Database for	1595 1594 Inte	rmediate Outcome: Provide habitat for biological corrections of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery rmediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustated and populations of species of management concern that are managed to desired condition	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals Performance Explanation Data Source: Totals Performance Explanation Data Source: Ining levels for sp Totals Performance Explanation Data Source: Data Source: Data Source: Data Source: Data Source:	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies, funding and control strategies. FOR Y 2008 the I setting capabilities. FWS - Refuges A ISH FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual Goal Met. Estimal BLM - Performance Management Date FY2004 Actual	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating i and using recent p of strategies. (Repo Department hopes to s. nnual Performance FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual No Report The target was exceed Management Da FY2005 Actual August Section	target was not met the net misjudged how more resources to erformance data to rited 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report Deeded because the tata System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report Deeded because the tata System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual And Report Deeded because the tata System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual 67% d data based on reputation of the system FWS - Figure 1.	7.80% Pecause for some in difficult it is to achiomate forts, development of the project what 6 PAR] Options to improve Database; Nation FY2007 Plan 107,000 Department is incomparate in the project of the projec	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population contioping more efficient can be accomplished to the performancial Park records FY2007 Actual 217,608 reasing the focus on FY2007 Actual 214 reasing the focus on FY2007 Actual 14% (E) red performance. In System NPS - Performance.	cies (e.g., feral rol. Possible and effective and effective and its target- Goal Met? ESA and Bureau Goal Met? ESA and Bureau Goal Met?
	1595 1594	Number of acres of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery Number of stream/shoreline miles of habitat restored or enhanced that directly support ESA-listed and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery rmediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustated and Bureau sensitive species conservation or recovery rmediate Outcome:Manage populations to self-sustated and Bureau sensitive species of management concern that are managed to desired condition Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in	Performance Explanation Steps to Improve Data Source: Totals: Performance Explanation Data Source:	Goal Not Met. Eshogs, fire ants, ro response options control strategies, funding and control strategies. FVY 2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance Goal Exceeded. Sensitive species. BLM - Performance FY2004 Actual Solution BLM - Performance Goal Met. Estimal BLM - Performance Management Date FY2004 Actual No Report Goal Met.	Baseline Established timated Data. The of rats) the Departm include dedicating is and using recent policy trategies. [Repo Department hopes to see the policy of the large transported from the large transported	target was not met then the misjudged how more resources to cerformance data to inted 7.9% (E) in 200 to use a mix of these Plan NPS - Species FY2006 Actual No Report Deeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual No Report Deeded because the ta System (PMDS) FY2006 Actual Ac	7.80% Pecause for some idifficult it is to achiontrol efforts, development of the project what 6 PAR] options to improve the project what 6 PAR] options to improve 10 particles in 10 part	7.4% (E) invasive animal specieve population cont can be accomplished by the performance of the performance	cies (e.g., feral ol. Possible and effective ed with available e and its target- Goal Met? ESA and Bureau Goal Met? Coal Met? Goal Met?

GUA	AL: Protect cultural and natural heritage resources		EV2004	EVage	EV2000	EV/2007CE	EV2007-4	0
		Totals	FY2004 Actual 69%	71%	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan 66%	FY2007 Actual 68% (E)	Goal Met
1495	Percent of archaeological sites on DOI inventory in good condition	Performance Explanation			09%	00%	66% (E)	
		Data Source					al Performance Plan Management Inform	
-			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
		Totals	: 46%	47%	52%	46%	56% (E)	~
496	Percent of historic structures on DOI inventory in good condition	Performance Explanation	Goal Exceeded.	Estimated Data. Ta	rget exceeded due	to increased focus	on this important are	a.
	good cortainori	Data Source			nt Data System FW sment Data; NPS -		al Performance Plan tructures	(RAPP), Fish
		_	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
	Percent of cultural landscapes on DOI inventory in	Totals	: 33%	37%	42%	38%	38% (E)	~
76	good condition	Performance Explanation	Goal Wet. Estima			_		
-		Data Source		-	ed Inventory Manag	-	EV2007 Actual	Cool Met
		Totals	FY2004 Actual 43%	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan 37%	FY2007 Actual 37% (E)	Goal Met
	Percent of collections in DOI inventory in good condition (i.e., maintained according to DOI	Performance			d 33% (E) in 2006 l		0178 (L)	
62	museum property management collection srandards)	Explanation	BIA - BLM - Perfo	rmance Manageme	nt Data System FW	/S - Refuges Annua	al Performance Plan - Automated Nationa	
		Data Source		e), Collections Mana		vi Checklists; NP5	- Automated Nationa	Catalog Sys
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
	Porcent of paleontalogical localities in DOI	Totals	: 41%	57%	85%	83%	84% (E)	-
161	Percent of paleontological localities in DOI inventory in good condition.	Performance Explanation	Goal Met. Estima	ted Data. [Reporte	d 85% (E) in 2006 I	PAR]		
	interior, in good containon	Data Source	BLM - Performand Management Data		ta System FWS - R	efuges Annual Per	formance Plan NPS	Performance
	Percent of acres of Wilderness Areas and other Special Management Areas under DOI management meeting their heritage resource objectives under the authorizing legislation		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
		Totals Performance		88%	76%	78%	80% (E)	~
597		Explanation Data Source	Goal Met. Estima BLM - Performand		ta System FWS - R	efuges Annual Per	formance Plan NPS	- Performance
		Data Cource	Management Data	•				
	_	Totals	FY2004 Actual 67%	FY2005 Actual 65%	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan 61%	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
596	Percent of miles of National Historic Trails, Wild and Scenic Rivers, and other linear Special Management Areas under DOI management meeting their heritage resource objectives under the authorizing legislation	Performance	Goal Exceeded. exceeded the targ	Estimated Data. F) let because the targ	/ 2007 is the first ye get was set too low. eadline for setting a	ear for reporting this The currently avail	69% (E) s measure. Actual pe able historic data for ad passed. Outyear to	the measure
		Data Source	BLM - Performano			efuges Annual Per	formance Plan NPS	- Performance
Into	rmediate Outcome: Improve the condition of cultural a		Management Data	a System				
	and the control of the control of cultural and	Huturai nenti	FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
	Percent of participating cultural properties owned	Totals		5%	5%	5%	5% (E)	Coal Well
60	Percent of participating cultural properties owned by others that are in good condition.	Performance			d 5% (E) in 2006 P			
		Explanation Data Source	•	storical Landmarks		•		
GOA	AL: Improve the understanding of national ecosystems					ent		
	, maistai coosjoidho		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
		Totals		90%	93%	90%	93%	Coal Mel
508	Percent of targeted science products that are used by partners for land or resource management		Goal Met. This i	neasure is tracked	by survey of custon	ners and partners.	The target is a thresh	
	decision making	Performance Explanation					orrective action is ne no corrective action i	
		Data Source	Products surveye	d				_
	rmediate Outcome: Ensure availability of long-term envource managers for informed decision making	vironmental and	l natural resou	ce information	, data, and syst	ematic analyse	es needed by lan	d and
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met
		Totals	: 77%	82%	81%	84%	81%	~
498	Percent of river basins that have stream flow	Performance Explanation	Goal Met.					
	stations						gic unit codes. Data a	
		Data Source	through an annua Web interface.	I inventory of the U	SGS water science	centers that opera	te the streamflow sta	tions, through

			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	EV2007 Ples	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
	Percent of the Nation's 65 principal aquifers with	Totals:	60%	62%	62%	FY2007 Plan 60%	60%	Goal Wet?
1499	monitoring wells used to measure responses of water levels to drought and climatic variations to	Performance	Goal Met.	02 /0	02 /0	3070	0070	
	provide information needed for water-supply	Explanation:	- 30					
	decision making	Data Source:	Principal Aquifer	Map, USGS Nationa	Water Information	System		
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
1500	Percent of US land surface area with contemporary	Totals:	45.00%	65.00%	75.00%	95.30%	95.30%	~
1500	land cover data available for major environmental monitoring and assessment programs	Performance Explanation:	Goal Met.					
			USGS - National	Land Cover Data co	mpleted and availal	ble over the intern	et.	
	5		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
	Percent of the surface area of the conterminous United States for which high resolution geospatial	Totals:	No Report	No Report	No Report	83%	100%	
1501	datasets are cataloged, managed, and available			Greater than plann		e to a unexpected	donation of nearly	all "man-made
	through The National Map			from another Federa data in The National I				
			FY2004 Actual		FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
	Percent of North American migratory birds for	Totals:	No Report	26%	26%	26%	27%	
1502	which scientific information on their status and trend are available	Performance	Goal Met.					
	tiend are available	Explanation:	NBII Bird Conser	vation Node				
		Data Courco.	FY2004 Actual		FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
	Percent of targeted fish and aquatic populations for	Totals:	No Report	31.00%	31.00%	36.97%	38.66%	
1503	which information is available regarding limiting factors	Porformanco	-					
		Explanation:	Goal Met.					
		Data Source:	BASIS+ FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
	Percent of targeted invasive species for which scientific information and decision support models are available to improve early detection (including risk assessments) and invasive species	Totala						Goal Met?
1504		Totals: Performance	No Report	51.60%	51.60%	52.50%	54.17%	~
		Explanation:	Goal Met.					
	management	Data Source:						
	Percent of targeted contaminants for which methods are developed to assess potential environmental health significance		FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	10.00%	20.00%	85.00%	32.74%	41.71%	
1505		Performance	Goal Exceeded.	A target contamina n that sense results	nt is considered to l	have developed m	ethods at the time t	hey are published
		Explanation:	high result for FY	2007 resulted from	an accelerated pub	lishing schedule.	cation schedules. I	ne unexpectedly
		Data Source:	Publications data	base				
Inte	rmediate Outcome: Ensure the quality and relevance	of science inform	ation and data	a to support dec	ision making			
			FY2004 Actual	FY2005 Actual	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
	Percent of studies validated through appropriate	Totals:	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	~
1506	peer review or independent review	Performance	Goal Met.					
		Explanation:	Publications data	hase				
		Data Cource.	FY2004 Actual		FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Plan	FY2007 Actual	Goal Met?
		Totals:	90%	96%	91%	90%	90%	
		12.300				55,0		
1507	Percent satisfaction with scientific and technical products and assistance for environment and natural resource decision making		being the thresho require some sor	Met. Customer satisfield level. That is, an art of corrective action orrective action is ind	actual result below t . So long as the act	he target might inc	dicate a possible pr	oblem, which may
		Data Source:	Products surveye	ed				