May 11 7 20 AM '73

3739

CONFIDENTIAL 102035Z MAY 73 STAFF
CITE DIRECTOR SECTION 01 OF 02.
TO: IMMEDIATE TEHRAN.
COLBY TO HELMS

IN VIEW OF SOME OF THE HEADLINES WHICH GAVE A DIFFERENT CAST TO THE DIRECTOR'S TESTIMONY, HEREWITH IS FULL OPENING STATEMENT. WE WILL SEND THE FULL TEXT OF THE TRANSCRIPT AS SOON AS IT IS AVAILABLE.

".MR. CHAIRMAN, I AM HERE TO DISCUSS THE QUESTIONS WHICH HAVE ARISEN O'ER CIA'S REAL AND ALLEGED ROLE IN EVENTS THAT OCCURRED IN 1971 AND 1972. I HAVE OPENED A DETAILED INVESTIGATION INTO THE PRECISE NATURE OF THAT ROLE. I CAN REPORT TO YOU ON WHAT AGENCY RECORDS, NOW BEING INTENSIVELY REVIEWED, REVEAL AT THIS JUNCTURE. HOWEVER I DO NOT YET KNOW THAT I HAVE ALL THE FACTS IN THE MATTER. NONETHELESS, I AM PLEASED TO PRESENT TO YOU SUCH FACTS AS ARE NOW AVAILABLE, AND I WILL CERTAINLY PROVIDE YOU WITH ANY FURTHER DETAILS AS THEY COME TO MY ATTENTION.

"LET ME START WITH THE AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH MR.
HOWARD HUNT, WHOSE TESTIMONY HAS RECENTLY BEEN MADE PUBLIC.
MR. HUNT WAS A STAFF EMPLOYEE OF THE AGENCY FROM 8 NOVEMBER

 \int

HR70-14

 \mathbf{O}

O

PAGE 02 DIRECTOR CONFIDENTIAL

1949 TO 30 APRIL 1970. AT THAT TIME HE RETIRED FROM THE AGENCY.

HE PERFORMED ONE EDITORIAL JOB OF WRITING UP A RECOMMENDATION

FOR AN AWARD FOR ONE OF OUR OFFICERS IN NOVEMBER 1970. HE WAS

NOT PAID FOR THESE SERVICES, ALTHOUGH THE AGENCY PLACED THE

SUMS OF \$200.00 AND \$50.00 IN TWO CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS FOR 11.

"IN EARLY JULY 1972, GENERAL CUSHMAN, THEN THE DEPUTY
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE, RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL
FROM THE WHITE HOUSE. HE WAS INFORMED THAT MR. HUNT HAD BECOME
A CONSULTANT ON SECURITY AFFAIRS FOR THE WHITE HOUSE, AND A
REQUEST WAS MADE THAT MR. HUNT RECEIVE ASSISTANCE FROM THE
AGENCY. THE MINUTES OF THE AGENCY MORNING MEETING OF 8 JULY 1971
INDICATE THAT THE DDCI (GENERAL CUSHMAN) REPORTED A CALL BY
JOHN EHRLICHMAN STATING THAT HOWARD HUNT HAD BEEN APPOINTED
A WHITE HOUSE SECURITY CONSULTANT.

a

"ON 22 JULY 1971 MR. HUNT VISITED GENERAL CUSHMAN AT THE CIA BUILDING. ACCORDING TO THE RECORDS, MR. HUNT STATED THAT HE HAD BEEN CHARGED WITH A HIGHLY SENSITIVE MISSION BY THE WHITE HOUSE TO VISIT AND ELICIT INFORMATION FROM AN INDIVIDUAL WHOSE TO PROPERTY OF THAT PURPOSE HE

PAGE 03 DIRECTOR CONFIDENTIAL

SAID HE WAS ASKED TO COME TO THE AGENCY TO SEE IF HE COULD GET

TWO THINGS: IDENTIFICATION DOCUMENTS IN ALIAS AND SOME DEGREE

OF PHYSICAL DISGUISE, FOR A ONE-TIME OPERATION. HE STRESSED THAT

HE WANTED THE MATTER TO BE HELD AS CLOSELY AS POSSIBLE AND

THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO MEET THE AGENCY PEOPLE IN AN AGENCY

SAFEHOUSE. AGENCY RECORDS INDICATE THAT, IN THE COURSE OF THE

CONVERSATION, MR. HUNT REFERRED TO MR. EHRLICHMAN BY NAME

AND GENERAL CUSHMAN ACKNOWLEDGED AN EARLIER CALL FROM MR.

EHRLICHMAN TO HIM. THE COMMITTEE MAY DESIRE TO QUERY GENERAL

CUSHMAN WHOSE KNOWLEDGE WOULD NOT COME FROM SUCH SECONDARY

SOURCES.

でも、1 に対して

O

a

ND.

"GENERAL CUSHMAN DIRECTED THE APPROPRIATE TECHNICAL

SERVICE OF THE AGENCY TO BE OF ASSISTANCE TO MR. HUNT, BASED

ON THE ABOVE REQUEST. ON 23 JULY 1971 MR. HUNT WAS GIVEN ALIAS

DOCUMENTS, INCLUDING A SOCIAL SECURITY CARD, DRIVER'S LICENSE,

AND SEVERAL ASSOCIATION MEMBERSHIP CARDS, IN THE NAME OF

"EDWARD JOSEPH WARREN" SIMILAR TO MATERIAL HE HAD BEEN FURNISHED

FOR OPERATIONAL USE WHILE HE HAD BEEN AN AGENCY EMPLOYEE,

UNDER THE NAME OF "EDWARD V. HAMILTON." THE SAME DAY MR. HUNT

"AS ALSO GIVEN DISGUISE MATERIALS (A WIG, GBLASSES, AND A SPEECH

PAGE 04 DIRECTOR	CONRIDENTI	A L
ALTERATION DEVICE).	•	RATE

"BY CALLING AN UNLISTED TELEPHONE NUMBER GIVEN HIM, MR.

HUNT ARRANGED SEVERAL ADDITIONAL MEETINGS WITH AGENCY TECHNICAL

OFFICERS, THE DATES OF WHICH CANNOT BE PROVIDED WITH PRECISION.

IN THESE, HE REQUESTED AND WAS PROVIED A COMERCIAL TAPE

RECORDER (IN A TYPEWRITER CASE) AND A COMMERCIAL TESSINA CAMERAT

DISGUISED IN A TOBACCO POUCH. HE ALSO BROUGHT IN A THEN—

UNIDENTIFIED ASSOCIATE (LATER IDENTIFIED FROM PRESS PHOTOS AS

MR. G. GORDON LIDDY) AND SECURED FOR HIM A DISGUISE (WIG AND

GLASSES) AND ALIAS DOCUMENTS IN THE NAME OF "GEORGE F. LEONARD."

THE AGENCY TECHNICAL OFFICERS MET THESE REQUESTS DESPITE

THE ABSENCE OF THE PROCEDURAL STEPS AND APPROVALS NORMALLY

REQUIRED BY AGENCY REGULATIONS. HOWEVER, THEY BECAME IN
CREASINGLY CONCERNED AT THE ESCALATION OF MR. HUNT'S REQUESTS

FOR ASSISTANCE. THESE FINALLY INCLUDED A REQUEST FROM MR. HUNT

TO BE MET ON THE MORNING OF 27 AUGUST 1971, UPON HIS RETURN FROM

CALIFORNIA, TO HAVE A FILM DEVELOPED AND RETURNED TO HIM. THIS

WAS DONE THE SAME DAY. HE ALSO ASKED FOR A NEW YORK MAIL ADDRESS

AND TELEPHONE-ANSWERING SERVICE FOR OPERATIONAL USE.

"THE TECHNICAL OFFICERS RAISED THEIR CONCERN WITH SENIOR

CONFIDENTIAL AGE 05 DIRECTOR OFFICERS. WHO NOTED THE POSSIBILITY THAT THESE ACTIVITIES COULD INVOLVE THE AGENCY IN OPERATION SOUTSIDE ITS PROPER FUNCTIONS. AS A RESULT. AGAIN ACCORDING TO AGENCY RECORDS. GENERAL CUSH-MAN TELEPHONED MR. EHRLICHMAN AT THE WHITE HOUSE ON 27 AUGUST 1971 AND EXPLAINED THAT FURTHER SUCH ASSISTANCE: COULD CHAR LURRER CEN MR. EHRLICHMAN AGREED. THE REQUEST FOR MAIL NOT BE GIVEN. ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE ANSWERING SERVICE WAS NOT HONORED. ON 31 AUGUST 1971. MR. HUNT CONTACTED THE TECHNICAL OFFICERS AGAIN. REQUESTING A CREDIT CARD. BUT THIS WAS REFUSED. MR. HUNT HAD ALSO MADE A REQUEST ON 18 AUGUST 1971 FOR THE ASSIGN-MENT OF A SECRATARY HE HAD KNOWN DURING HIS AGENCY CAREER. THIS WAS ALSO REFUSED. THE EARLIER FURISHED ALIAS DOCUMENTS AND OTHER MATERIAL WERE NOT RECOVERED, HOWEVER, EXCEPT FOR THE TESSINA CAMERA WHICH WAS RETURNED ON 🕰 AUGUST AS UNSUIT-ABLE. SINCE THE END OF AUGUST 1971. THE TECHNICAL SERVICES DIVISION HAS HAD NO FURTHER ASSOCIATION WITH MR. HUNT. AS A POINT 0 OF REFERENCE. I WOULD NOTE THAT THE BREAK-IN OF THE OFFICE OF MR. ELLSBERG'S PSYCHIATRIST TOOK PLACE ON OR ABOUT 3 SEPTEMBER 0 1971. "THE AGENCY OUTLINED THE ABOVE EVENTS TO MR. PATRICK

0

6

1972, AND A MEETING ON 28 JULY 1972. A SERIES OF QUEST/OWS WERE
ASKED THE AGENCY ON 11 OCTOBER 1972 BY MR. EARL SILBERT,
PRINCIPAL ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE DISTRICT OF
COLUMBIA. ON 24 OCTOBER 1972, ATTORNEY GENERAL KLEINDIENST
AND ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL PETERSEN REVIEW THE 5 AND
7 JULY TRANSMITTALS OBGETHER WITH ADDITIONAL, MORE STAILED
BUT UNDATED MATERIALS, THAT HAD BEEN PROVIDED TO ACTING FBI
DIRECTOR GRAY ON 18 OCTOBER 1972. THE AGENCY IS AWARE THAT THIS
MATERIAL WAS REVIEWED ON 27 NOVEMBER 1972 BY MR. SILBERT, WHO
ASKED ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS ON THAT DATE AS WELL AS ON 29 NOVEM-
BER 1972. WRITTEN RESPONSES TO THE FOREGOING QUESTIONS WERE CONSES
PROVIDED ON 13 DECEMBER 1972. AN ADDITIONAL SUBMISSION
WAS MADE TO THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL PETERSEN
ON 21 DECEMBER 1972. THIS MATERIAL WAS DISCUSSED AT A MEETING
HELD WITH ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL PETERSEN AND MR. SILBERT
ON 22 DECEMBER 1972. ALL OF THE FOREGOING MATERIALS CAN BE
MADE AVAILABLE TO THE COMMITTEE IF IT SO DESIRES.
"AS A SEPARATE MATTER, WHICH WAS NOT KNOWN BY THOSE
WHO PREPARED THE MATERIAL FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN

O

GRAY, ACTING DIRECTOR OF THE FBI, IN LETTERS DATED 5 AND 7 JULY

PAGE 07 DIRECTOR CONFIDENTIAL

THE FALL OF LAST YEAR, THE OFFICE OF MEDICAL SERVICES OF THE

AGENCY PREPARED AND FORWARDED TO THE WHITE HOUSE TWO IN—

DIRECT PERSONALITY ASSESSMENTS OF MR. DANIEL ELLSBERG. THE

AGENCY HAS HAD A PROGRAM OF PRODUCING, ON A SELECTIVE BASIS, THAT OF THE SUCH ASSESSMENTS OR STUDIES ON FOREIGN LEADERS FOR MANY YEARS.

IN JULY 1971 MR. HELMS, THEN DIRECTOR, INSTRUCTED AGENCY OFFI—

CERS TO WORK WITH MR. DAVID YOUNG OF THE WHITE HOUSE STAFF

RELATIVE TO SECURITY LEAKS IN

THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.

MR. YOUNG REQUESTED A STUDY ON MR. ELLSBERG IN THE

LATTER PART OF JULY 1971, WHICH AGENCY ACTIVITY WAS APPARENTLY

APPROVED BY MR. HELMS. AT THAT TIME, MR YOUNG SUPPLIED

RAW MATERIAL CONSISTING PRINCIPALLY OF NEWSPAPER AND MAGA—

ZINE ARTICLES TOGETHER WITH SOME STATE EPARTMENT AND

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT PAPERS. THE FIRST ASSESSMENT DELIVERED TO

THE WHITE HOUSE DATED 9 AUGUST 1971, WAS JUDGED INSUFFICIENT.

AS A RESULT, THERE WERE SEVERAL MEETINGS BETWEEN DR. MALLOY,

MR. HUNT, AND MR. LIDDY, IN WHICH CLASSIFIED INFORMATION OF THE

JUSTICE AND STATE DEPARTMENTS WAS INTRODUCED. ONE SUCH

MEETING OCCURRED ON 12 AUGUST 1971. ADDITIONAL MATERIAL

WAS TRANSMITTED BY MR. HUNT ON 12 OCTOBER, AND ANOTHER MEETING

DICE OF DIRECTOR		
PAGE 08 DIRECTOR CONFIDENTIAL	'.	٠,
WAS HELD ON 27 OCTOBER. THESE MEETINGS LED TO A SECOND VERSIO	N ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
OF THE ASSESSMENT, DATED 9 NOVEMBER 1971. THIS DOCUMENT WAS		MBER
DELIVERED TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE BY DR. MALLOY ON 12 NOVEM-	sits" ii	Service (
BER 1971. AGENCY RECORDS INDICATE THAT MR.R HEIMS HAD PREVI-	OS. THOI	CATE
OUSLY COMMUNICATED WITH MR. YOUNG KDICATING HE HAD READ BOTH		
REPORTS.		ر, •
"IN ANOTHER CONTACT 'ABOUT OCTOBER 1971, AN AGENCY		C
OFFICER ARRANGED TO PROVIDE MR. HUNT CERTAIN UNCLASSIFIED		
MATERIALS FROM CIA FILES RELATIVE TO A 1954 FRENCH CASE OF		
LEAKAGE OF GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS. THESE WERE DELIVERED TO		O
HIS OFFICE AT THE WHITE HOUSE.		O
"IN CLOSING, I WOULD LIKE TO STRESS SEVERAL CONCLUSIONS		O
OF MY INVESTIGATION SO FAR:		O
A. CIA HAD NO AWARENESS OF THE DETAILS OF MR. HUNT'S		0
ACTIVITIES. THE AGENCY'S IMPRESSION WAS THAT MR.		0
HUNT WAS ENGAGED IN AN ACTIVITY RELATED TO IDENTIFYING		0
AND CLOSING OFF THE SECURITYLEAKS THAT WERE SO MUCH		0
A PREOCCUPATION OF THE GOVERNMENT AT THE TIME.		. 0
"B. THE AGENCY CLEARLY WAS INSUFFICIENTLY CAUTIOUS		0
IN THE INITIATION OF ITS ASSISTANCE TO MR. HUNT. LATER.	·	

O

O

CONFIDENTIAL

WHEN THE NATURE OF MR. HUNT'S REQUESTS FOR ASSIS—

TANCE BEGAN TO INDICATE A POSSIBLE ACTIVE INVOLVEMENT

BY THE AGENCY IN ACTIVITIES BEYOND ITS CHARTER, THE

AGENCY TERMINATED THE RELATIONSHIP AND REFUSED FURBINATED THE RELATIONSHIP AND RETURNATED THE R

- "C. THE PREPARATION OF A PROFILE OF AN AMERICAN
 CITIZEN UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES LIES BEYOND THE
 MORMAL ACTIVITY OF THE AGENCY. IT SHALL NOT BE REPEATED--AND I HAVE SO INSTRUCTED THE STAFF. THIS
 SHALL BE MADE A PART OF THE REGULATIONS GOVERNING
 SUCH ACTIVITIES.
- O D. AS DIRECTOR, I HAVE CALLED FOR A REVIEW OF ALL

 AGENCY ACTIVITIES AND THE TERMINATION OF ANY WHICH

 MIGHT BE CONSIDERED OUTSIDE ITS LEGITIMATE CHARTER.

 IN ADDITION TO REQUESTING THIS REVIEW FROM MY SUB
 ORDINATES, I HAVE DIRECTED EACH EMPLOYEE AND INVITED

 EACH EX-EMPLOYEE TO SUBMIT TO ME ANY CASES WHICH THEY

 MAY QUESTION. I AM DETERMINED THAT THE AGENCY WILL

 NOT ENGAGE IN ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE OF ITS CHARTER BUT WILL

 CONCENTRATE ITS ENERGIES ON ITS IMPORTANT INTELLIGENCE

PAGE 10 DIRECTOR CONFIDENTIAL MISSION. E2, IMPDET.

0