# **Text Notes**

### **Overview**

- 1. Some possible policy changes—notably, the adoption of policies to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions—could change the reference case projections significantly. EIA has examined many of the proposed greenhouse gas policies at the request of Congress; the reports are available on EIA's web site (see "Responses to Congressional and Other Requests," web site www. eia.doe.gov/oiaf/service rpts.htm).
- 2. The comparison of production levels was adjusted for the entry of Angola into OPEC in late 2007.
- 3. See Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2007, DOE/EIA-0383(2007) (Washington, DC, February 2007), "Impact of Rising Construction and Equipment Costs on Energy Industries," pp. 36-41.
- 4. Vehicles that can use alternative fuels or employ electric motors and advanced electricity storage, advanced engine controls, or other new technologies.
- 5. Biodiesel is defined as the monoalkyl esters of fatty acids derived from plant or animal matter and suitable for use in a diesel engine.
- 6. BTL is defined as diesel fuel and other liquid hydrocarbons produced by a Fischer-Tropsch process using cellulosic biomass as feedstock.

### Legislation and Regulations

- 7. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 40 CFR Parts 59, 80, 85 and 86 [EPA-HQ-OAR-2005-0036; FRL-8278-4], RIN 2060-AK70, "Control of Hazardous Air Pollutants from Mobile Sources; Final Rule," *Federal Register*, Vol. 72, No. 37 (February 26, 2007), web site http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2007/pdf/E7-2667. pdf. Most of the data cited here were taken from this source.
- 8. For the complete text of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, see web site http:// frwebgate. acess.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=110\_cong\_ public\_laws&docid=f:publ140.110.pdf.
- 9. See, for example, web site http://energy.senate.gov/ public/\_files/HR6EnergyBillSummary.pdf.
- 10. Footprint is the product of track width and wheelbase, measured in square feet.
- 11. Energy Policy Act of 2005, Section 1501.
- "DuPont and BP Reveal Biobutanol Test Results" *Ethanol & Biodiesel News* (April 23, 2007).
- 13. DuPont, "Alternative Fuels and Potential Material Compatibility Issues," DuPont Automobile Annual Fuel Luncheon (April 16, 2008).
- 14. Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2007, DOE-EIA-0383(2007) (Washington, DC, February 2007), "Legislation and Regulations: Excise Taxes on Highway Fuels," p. 25, web site www. eia.doe.gov/oiaf/archive/aeo07.
- 15. U.S. Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, *Excise Taxes for 2007*, Publication 510 (1/2007) (Washington, DC, Revised January 2007), web site www.irs.gov/publications/p510.

- Defense Energy Support Center, "Compilation of United States Fuel Taxes, Inspection Fees, and Environmental Taxes and Fees" (June 29, 2007).
- U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Alternative Fuels & Advanced Vehicles Data Center, "Volumetric Ethanol Excise Tax Credit (VEETC)," web site www.eere.energy.gov/afdc/ progs/view\_ind\_fed.php/afdc/399/0.
- 18. E85 is a fuel containing a blend of 70 to 85 percent ethanol and 30 to 15 percent gasoline by volume.
- 19. VEETC was established by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, Section 301. Before VEETC, gasoline blended with 5.7 percent, 7.7 percent, or 10 percent ethanol received an excise tax reduction equivalent to 51 cents per gallon of ethanol; however, the applicable excise tax reduction for blends with any other ethanol percentage was equivalent to less than 51 cents per gallon of ethanol. This was an especially serious impediment to blenders of E85.
- 20. VEETC provided biodiesel tax credits for 2005 and 2006. EPACT2005, Section 1344, extended the biodiesel tax credits through 2007 and 2008.
- 21. The Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-234), which was enacted in May 2008, contains several tax provisions related to biofuels. The bill reduces the ethanol blending tax credit from 51 cents to 45 cents per gallon once annual ethanol production or import volumes reach 7.5 billion gallons; extends the ethanol import tariff through 2010; and establishes a tax credit for cellulosic biofuels of up to \$1.01 per gallon produced. The *AEO2008* reference case projects ethanol production of 8.5 billion gallons in 2008, which would trigger the blending tax credit reduction in 2009. *AEO2008* does not include consideration of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, which was enacted too late for inclusion.
- 22. EPACT2005, Section 1347, increased the production volume for small producers from 30 million to 60 million gallons, starting in 2006.
- 23. Most of the data cited in this section are taken from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 40 CFR Parts 59, 80, 85, and 86, "Control of Hazardous Air Pollutants From Mobile Sources; Final Rule," *Federal Register*, Vol. 72, No. 37 (February 26, 2007), pp. 8428-8570, web site http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2007/pdf/E7-2667.pdf.
- 24. The subsidy cost—essentially the expected cost of the program, excluding administrative expenditures—generally equals the amount of the loan multiplied by the probability of default. The actual computation of the "subsidy cost" and whether it represents the true cost of the program are complex issues far beyond the scope of this section of *AEO2008*. For more details on government loan guarantee programs, see Energy Information Administration, *Federal Financial Interventions and Subsidies in Energy Markets 2007*, SR/CNEAF/2008-01 (Washington, DC, April 2008), web site www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/servicerpt/subsidy2/index.html.
- Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2007, DOE/EIA-0383(2007) (Washington, DC, February 2007), "Loan Guarantees and the Economics of Electricity Generating Technologies," pp. 48-49,

 $web site www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/archive/aeo07/pdf/ \\ issues.pdf.$ 

- 26. U.S. House of Representatives, 110th Congress, "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Bill, 2008" (House Report 110-185, June 11, 2007); and U.S. Senate, 110th Congress, "Energy and Water Appropriations Bill, 2008" (Senate Report 110-127, July 9, 2007), web site www.access.gpo.gov/congress/legislation/08appro.html.
- 27. See, for example, testimony of Christopher Crane, Senior Vice President, Exelon Corporation, and President and Chief Nuclear Officer, Exelon Nuclear, before the Subcommittee on Energy and Air Quality, Committee on Energy and Commerce, U.S. House of Representatives (April 24, 2007), web site http:// energycommerce.house.gov/cmte\_mtgs/110-eaq-hrg. 042407.Crane-testimony.pdf.
- 28. U.S. Department of Energy, Loan Guarantee Program, "DOE Releases Information on Loan Guarantee Pre-Applications" (March 6, 2007), web site www. lgprogram.energy.gov/press/030607.html.
- 29. Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2005, DOE/EIA-0383(2005) (Washington, DC, February 2005), "State Renewable Energy Requirements and Goals: Status Through 2003," pp. 20-22, web site www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/archive/aeo05/leg\_reg. html.
- Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2006, DOE/EIA-0383(2006) (Washington, DC, February 2006), "State Renewable Energy Requirements and Goals: Update Through 2005," pp. 24-27, web site www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/archive/aeo06/leg\_reg. html.
- 31. Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2007, DOE/EIA-0383(2007) (Washington, DC, February 2007), "State Renewable Energy Requirements and Goals: Update Through 2006," pp. 28-30, web site www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/archive/aeo07/leg\_reg. html.
- 32. State of New Hampshire, H.B. 873, web site www. gencourt.state.nh.us/legislation/2007/HB0873.html.
- General Assembly of North Carolina, S.B. 3, web site www.ncleg.net/Sessions/2007/Bills/Senate/PDF/S3v6. pdf.
- 34. Oregon Legislative Assembly, S.B. 838, signed into law by Governor Theodore R. Kulongoski on June 6, 2007, defines a large supplier as any generator that provides at least 3 percent of the State's electric load, a medium-sized supplier as one that provides between 1.5 and 3 percent of the State's load, and a small supplier as one that provides less than 1.5 percent of the State's load. See web site www.oregon.gov/ENERGY/ RENEW/docs/sb0838.en.pdf.
- Revised Code of Washington, Chapter 19.285, web site http://apps.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=19. 285.
- 36. State of Delaware, S.B. 19, web site http://depsc. delaware.gov/electric/delrps.shtml.
- 37. An alternative compliance payment is a payment to the State for not meeting their renewable energy goal.

In some instances, there are different compliance payments (or penalties) for unique generation technologies.

- 38. State of Colorado, H.B. 07-1281, web site www.leg. state.co.us/clics/clics2007a/csl.nsf/fsbillcont3/C9B0B6 2160D242CA87257251007C4F7A?open&file= 1281\_enr.pdf.
- State of Connecticut, House Bill 7432, Public Act 07-242, web site www.cga.ct.gov/2007/ACT/PA/ 2007PA-00242-R00HB-07432-PA.htm.
- 40. State of Illinois, Public Act 095-0481, web site www. ilga.gov/legislation/publicacts/95/PDF/095-0481.pdf.
- 41. "Minnesota Renewable Portfolio Standard," web site www.dsireusa.org/library/includes/tabsrch.cfm?state =MN0type=RPS&CurrentPageID=7&EE=1&RE =1.
- 42. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Clean Air Interstate Rule," web site www.epa.gov/cair.
- 43. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Clean Air Mercury Rule," web site www.epa.gov/camr.
- 44. AEO2007 included a summary of the RGGI provisions in the original model rule. See Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2007, DOE/ EIA-0383(2007) (Washington, DC, February 2007), "State Regulations on Airborne Emissions: Update Through 2006," pp. 30-32, web site www.eia.doe.gov/ oiaf/archive/aeo07/leg\_reg.html.
- 45. State of California, "Senate Bill 1368," web site www. energy.ca.gov/ghgstandards/documents/sb\_1368\_bill\_ 20060929\_chaptered.pdf.
- 46. California Environmental Protection Agency, Air Resources Board, "Proposed Regulations to Control Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Motor Vehicles," web site www.arb.ca.gov/regact/grnhsgas/grnhsgas. htm (September 19, 2005).
- 47. State of California, "Assembly Bill No. 1493," web site www.calcleancars.org/ab1493.pdf.
- 48. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "California Greenhouse-Gas Waiver Request," web site www. epa.gov/otaq/ca-waiver.htm.
- 49. Office of the New York State Attorney General Andrew M. Cuomo, "Cuomo Leads Coalition of 15 States Against EPA in Battle for States' Right To Fight Global Warming" (January 2, 2008), web site www.oag.state.ny.us/press/2008/jan/jan02a\_08.html.
- 50. State of Washington, "Mitigating the impacts of climate change," SB 6001 – 2007-08, web site http:// apps.leg.wa.gov/billinfo/summary.aspx?bill=6001.
- 51. State of Montana, House Bill No. 25, web site http:// data.opi.mt.gov/bills/2007/billpdf/HB0025.pdf.
- 52. State of Florida, Executive Order 07-126, "Leadership by Example: Immediate Actions to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Florida State Government"; Executive Order 07-127, "Immediate Actions to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions within Florida"; and Executive Order 07-128, "Florida Governor's Action Team on Energy and Climate Change"; web site www.dep.state.fl.us/climatechange/eo.htm.

### **Issues in Focus**

- 53. M.L. Wald, "Costs Surge for Building Power Plants," New York Times (July 10, 2007), web site www. nytimes.com/2007/07/10/business/worldbusiness/ 10energy.html.
- 54. Imperial Oil Resources Ventures, Ltd., "Mackenzie Gas Project: Supplemental Information Project Update," National Energy Board Submission IPRCC. PR.07.08 (Calgary, Alberta, Canada, May 2007).
- 55. Rising oil prices do not necessarily lead to rising oil production from existing fields. Reservoir characteristics and the properties of the oil in the reservoirs primarily determine the maximum efficient recovery rate for a particular oil reservoir. Aggregate incremental rates of improvement in oil recovery diminish rapidly as oil prices rise. For example, a recent analysis of Alaska's North Slope oil fields indicates that very little incremental recovery is achievable once oil prices exceed \$60 per barrel. See National Energy Technology Laboratory, Arctic Energy Office, Alaska North Slope Oil and Gas: A Promising Future or an Area in Decline? Summary Report, DOE/NETL-2007/1280 (Fairbanks, AK, August 2007), web site www.netl.doe. gov/technologies/oil-gas/publications/EPreports/ANS SummaryReportFinalAugust2007.pdf. Technological progress is more likely to affect the ultimate oil recovery rate than oil prices or drilling costs.
- 56. Production began in 2000 at the Alpine Field, which has an estimated ultimate recoverable reserve of about 540 million barrels. Source: National Energy Technology Laboratory, *Alaska North Slope Oil and Gas: A Promising Future or an Area in Decline? Summary Report*, DOE/NETL-2007/1280.
- 57. A higher or lower level of future U.S. oil industry activity primarily affects the rate at which future U.S. oil production declines. High levels of activity can stabilize oil production for an extended period of time, especially through the application of EOR techniques, but eventually the depletion of the resource base causes production to decline. Lower levels of activity accelerate the rate of decline in future oil production.
- 58. Oil production in the shallow waters of the Gulf of Mexico declines slowly in all the cases.
- 59. The reference case assumes that pipelines from Canada and Alaska will be connected to natural gas markets in the lower 48 States. If no Arctic pipelines were built, however, there would be no pipeline to move natural gas from Alaska's North Slope to southern Alaska, where it would otherwise be converted to LNG and shipped to foreign and domestic customers. As an alternative, natural gas from the North Slope could be converted to petroleum liquids and transported through the existing Alyeska oil pipelines (also known as the TransAlaska Pipeline System).
- 60. Net LNG imports are slightly lower than gross LNG imports before 2011, because LNG exports to Japan from Alaska are expected to continue through 2011, at about 65 billion cubic feet per year.
- 61. U.S. Geological Survey, "USGS National Assessment of Oil and Gas Resources Update (December, 2006)," web site http://certmapper.cr.usgs.gov/data/noga00/ natl/tabular/total.xls. The estimates cited in this

discussion are rough approximations. The actual probability spread of the estimates is considerably larger.

- 62. If LNG imports into Canada and Mexico were constrained to the same degree as assumed for the lower 48 States, natural gas prices would be even higher, causing both a larger decrease in domestic natural gas consumption and a larger increase in lower 48 production.
- CERA Advisory Service, "Monthly Natural Gas Briefing" (April 20, 2007).
- 64. NOAA Webcast, "Improving Climate Normals" (September 26, 2007).
- 65. A small amount of the difference is due to the use of dynamic population weights in *AEO2008*.
- 66. James T. Jensen of Jensen Associates in Weston, MA, stated in a presentation on "Increasing Global LNG Investments" to the LNG North America Summit 2007 in Houston, TX, June 20, 2007, that, "At the turn of the decade, LNG plant construction costs were approaching \$200/ton of capacity but current costs are a multiple of that level and there have been several 'problem trains' that have been quoted at \$1,200/ton and above."
- 67. Zeus Development Corporation of Houston, TX, has reported that costs for the Gros Cacouna terminal on the St. Lawrence River have nearly doubled from initial estimates and that the terminal is being put on hold while cost-cutting options to reduce costs to under \$1 billion are studied. See "Spiraling Costs Impact Petro-Canada's LNG Terminal, Delay Decision," *LNG Express* (August 1, 2007), web site www.lngexpress. com (subscription site).
- 68. According to Keith Bainbridge of London-based LNG Shipping Solutions, the price of a standard sized ship, estimated at around \$155 million in late 2003, has risen to between \$215 and \$230 million in 2007.
- 69. Wood Mackenzie Research and Consulting, "Global LNG Online," web site www.woodmacresearch.com/ cgi-bin/wmprod/portal/energy/productMicrosite.jsp? productOID=664070 (available to subscribers only).
- Energy Information Administration, International Energy Outlook 2007, DOE/EIA-0484(2007) (Washington, DC, May 2007), web site www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/ieo.
- 71. Japan, South Korea, Spain, United States, France, Turkey, Belgium, United Kingdom, Italy, Mexico, Portugal, and Greece.
- 72. Taiwan, India, China, Puerto Rico, and Dominican Republic.
- 73. Indonesia, Malaysia, Qatar, Algeria, Trinidad and Tobago, Nigeria, Oman, Brunei, United Arab Emirates, Egypt, and Libya.
- Embassy of the United States, Jakarta, Indonesia, *Country Commercial Guide – Indonesia Fiscal Year*  2003, Chapter 7, "Investment Climate Statement," p. 61, web site www.usembassyjakarta.org/ccg/ccg.html.
- 75. Government of Western Australia, Department of the Premier and Cabinet, *WA Government Policy on Securing Domestic Gas Supplies* (October 2006), web site www.doir.wa.gov.au/documents/DomGas\_Policy(1). pdf.
- 76. Gas Infrastructure Europe's "Storage Investment Database" for November 2007 listed new storage projects

in Europe with a total of 1.47 trillion cubic feet of working capacity—including 0.96 trillion cubic feet in OECD countries—that had planned operational dates before 2016 and were designated as either aquifer or depleted reservoir types (commonly used for seasonal storage). The database included projects placed in operation after June 2007, under construction, committed (evaluated by the company with detailed studies and possibly undergoing planning and permitting stages), or planned (at an early evaluation stage). Four types of capacity were included: aquifer, LNG peak shaving, reservoir, and salt cavity. See Gas Infrastructure Europe, "Storage Investment Database," web site www.gie.eu.com/maps\_data/database.html.

77. BG Group, "BG Group Finalises Agreement To Meet Natural Gas Demand in Chile," Press Release (June 4, 2007), web site www.bg-group.com/MediaCentre/ PressArchive/2007/Pages/060407-sx.aspx.

## Market Trends

- 78. The energy-intensive manufacturing sectors include food, paper, bulk chemicals, petroleum refining, glass, cement, steel, and aluminum.
- 79. This change in methodology is discussed in the Issues In Focus section, pages 44-46.
- 80. A Divisia index is used for this calculation. A discussion of the index can be found in G. Boyd, J.F. McDonald, M. Ross, and D.A. Hansont, "Separating the Changing Composition of U.S. Manufacturing Production from Energy Efficiency Improvements: A Divisia Index Approach," *Energy Journal*, Vol. 8, No. 2 (1987).
- 81. S.C. Davis and S.W. Diegel, *Transportation Energy Data Book: Edition 25*, ORNL-6974 (Oak Ridge, TN, May 2006), Chapter 4, "Light Vehicles and Characteristics," web site http://cta.ornl.gov/data/chapter4. shtml.
- 82. The fuel shares are calculated in terms of energy content. Because of the differences in energy content per gallon of gasoline, diesel, and ethanol, the percentage share would be different on a volumetric basis. For example, it takes about 1.3 gallons of E85 to replace the energy in 1 gallon of gasoline.
- 83. Unless otherwise noted, the term "capacity" in the discussion of electricity generation indicates utility, nonutility, and CHP capacity. Costs reflect the average of regional costs, except for wind, which uses a representative region.
- 84. Does not include off-grid PV. Based on annual PV shipments from 1989 through 2005, EIA estimates that as much as 192 megawatts of remote electricity generation PV applications (i.e., off-grid power systems) were in service in 2005, plus an additional 481 megawatts in communications, transportation, and assorted other non-grid-connected, specialized applications. See Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007), Table 10.8 (annual PV shipments, 1989-2005). The approach used to develop the estimate, based on shipment data, provides an upper estimate of the size of the PV stock, including both grid-based and off-grid PV. It will overestimate the size of the stock, because shipments include a substantial number of units that are exported, and each year some of the PV

units installed earlier will be retired from service or abandoned.

- 85. Previous AEOs did not consider State RPS requirements.
- 86. Wind capacity is more than double the 2030 level projected in *AEO2007*.
- 87. Imperial Oil Resources Ventures, Ltd., "Mackenzie Gas Project: Supplemental Information Project Update," National Energy Board Submission IPRCC. PR.07.08 (Calgary, Alberta, Canada, May 2007).
- 88. CAIR mandates  $SO_2$  emissions caps in 28 eastern and midwestern States and the District of Columbia. The first compliance period begins in 2010, and a second, more stringent cap takes effect in 2015.
- 89. The first milestone for reducing  $NO_x$  emissions from electric power generation becomes effective in 2009. A lower limit is mandated for 2015.

## **Comparison with Other Projections**

- 90. *AEO2008* also includes the CAMR regulations. On February 8, 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals found CAMR to be unlawful and voided it, ruling that the EPA had not proved that mercury was a pollutant eligible for regulation under a less stringent portion of the Clean Air Act; however, EIA did not have time to revise *AEO2008* before publication to remove the impact of CAMR.
- 91. Although neither EVA nor GII provided projections for coal consumption at CTL plants, the lack of growth in coal consumption in non-electricity sectors indicates that this technology is either not represented explicitly by the models or, alternatively, that very little CTL capacity is projected to come on line.

## **Table Notes and Sources**

Note: Tables indicated as sources in these notes refer to the tables in Appendixes A, B, C, and D of this report.

Table 1. Total energy supply and disposition in the *AEO2008* and *AEO2007* reference cases, 2006-2030: *AEO2007*: AEO2007 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2007.D112106A. *AEO2008*: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F. Notes: Quantities are derived from historical volumes and assumed thermal conversion factors. Other production includes liquid hydrogen, methanol, and some inputs to refineries. Net imports of petroleum include crude oil, petroleum products, unfinished oils, alcohols, ethers, and blending components. Other net imports include coal coke and electricity.

Table 2. Representative efficiency standards for enclosed motors: National Electrical Manufacturers Association, *NEMA Summary and Analysis of Energy Independence and Secrurity Act of 2007*, Appendix II, Section 313—Electrical Motor Efficiency, web site www.nema. org/gov/energy/upload/NEMA-Summary-and-Analysis-ofthe-Energy-Independence-and-Security-Act-of-2007.pdf.

**Table 3. Summary of DOE's August 2006 loan guarantee solicitation:** U.S. Department of Energy, *DOE Releases Information on Loan Guarantee Pre-Applications*  (March 6, 2007), web site www.lgprogram.energy.gov/ press/030607.html.

**Table 4. State renewable portfolio standards:** Energy Information Administration, Office of Integrated Analysis and Forecasting.

Table 5. Key analyses from "Issues in Focus" in recent AEOs: Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2007, DOE/EIA-0383(2007) (Washington, DC, February 2007); Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2006, DOE/EIA-0383(2006) (Washington, DC, February 2006); Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2005, DOE/EIA-0383 (2005) (Washington, DC, February 2005).

Table 6. Costs of producing electricity from newplants, 2015 and 2030: AEO2008 National EnergyModeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

## **Figure Notes and Sources**

Note: Tables indicated as sources in these notes refer to the tables in Appendixes A, B, C, and D of this report.

Figure 1. Energy prices, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A1.

Figure 2. Delivered energy consumption by sector, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A2.

Figure 3. Energy consumption by fuel, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** Tables A1 and A17.

Figure 4. Energy use per capita and per dollar of gross domestic product, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Energy use per capita: Calculated from data in Table A2. Energy use per dollar of GDP: Table A19.

Figure 5. Total energy production and consumption, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A1.

**Figure 6. Energy production by fuel, 1980-2030: History:** Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** Tables A1 and A17.

Figure 7. Electricity generation by fuel, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A8.

Figure 8. U.S. carbon dioxide emissions by sector and fuel, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States 2006*, DOE/EIA-0573(2006) (Washington, DC, November 2007). **Projections:** Table A18.

Figure 9. Changes in construction commodity costs, 1973-2007: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Producer Price Index for WPU112 (construction), WPU101 (iron and steel), WPU133 (concrete), and WPU1322 (cement).

Figure 10. Changes in construction commodity costs and electric utility construction costs, 1973-2007: *Handy-Whitman Bulletin*, No. 165, "Cost Trends of Electric Utility Construction"; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Producer Price Index, Series ID WPU112.

Figure 11. Additions to U.S. electricity generation capacity by fuel in three cases, 2006-2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, LC2008.D030308A, and HC2008.D030308A.

Figure 12. U.S. natural gas supply by source in three cases, 2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, LC2008.D030308A, and HC2008.D030308A.

Figure 13. U.S. natural gas consumption by sector in three cases, 2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, LC2008.D030308A, and HC2008.D030308A.

Figure 14. U.S. natural gas prices in three cases, 2000-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, LC2008.D030308A, and HC2008.D030308A.

Figure 15. Electricity generation by fuel in four cases, 2006 and 2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO-2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, HIGASDEM.D030408A, LOGASSUP. D030408A, and HDEMLSUP.D030408A.

Figure 16. New generating capacity additions in four cases, 2006-2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HIGASDEM. D030408A, LOGASSUP.D030408A, and HDEMLSUP. D030408A.

Figure 17. Natural gas consumption by sector in four cases, 2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HIGASDEM.D030408A, LOGASSUP.D030408A, and HDEMLSUP.D030408A.

Figure 18. Natural gas supply by source in four cases, 2006 and 2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO-2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, HIGASDEM.D030408A, LOGASSUP. D030408A, and HDEMLSUP.D030408A.

Figure 19. Lower 48 wellhead natural gas prices in four cases, 1995-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO-2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, HIGASDEM.D030408A, LOGASSUP. D030408A, and HDEMLSUP.D030408A.

Figure 20. U.S. average electricity prices in four cases, 1995-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F,

HIGASDEM.D030408A, LOGASSUP.D030408A, and HDEMLSUP.D030408A.

**Figure 21. Annual heating and cooling degree-days, 1993-2007:** Energy Information Administration, Short-Term Energy Outlook On-Line Table Browser (February 26, 2007).

**Figure 22. Heating and cooling degree-days in the** *AEO2008* reference case, 2010-2030: National Energy Modeling System runs AEO2008.D030208F and WEATHER.D030408A, based on weather data from NOAA and State population projections from the Census Bureau.

Figure 23. Impacts of change from 30-year to 10-year average for heating and cooling degree-days on energy use for heating and cooling in buildings by fuel type, 2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F and WEATHER.D030408A.

**Figure 24. Impacts of change from 30-year to 10-year average for heating and cooling degree-days on peak seasonal electricity demand load, 2030:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F and WEATHER.D030408A.

Figure 25. U.S. imports of liquefied natural gas, 2001-2007: Energy Information Administration, *Natural Gas Monthly*, DOE/EIA-0130(2007/04) (Washington, DC, April 2007).

**Figure 26. OECD Europe natural gas supply by source, 2000-2007:** International Energy Agency, Monthly Gas Data Service.

Figure 27. Gross U.S. imports of liquefied natural gas in three cases, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, LOLNG08.D030508A, and HILNG08.D030508A.

Figure 28. U.S. natural gas supply in three cases, 2006-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, LOLNG08.D030508A, and HILNG08.D030508A.

Figure 29. Lower 48 wellhead natural gas prices in three cases, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, LOLNG08.D030508A, and HILNG08.D030508A.

Figure 30. World oil price in six cases, 2000-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, LP2008.D031608A, HP2008.D031808A, AEO2007.D112106A, LP2007. D112106A, and HP2008.D112106A.

Figure 31. World liquids consumption in the *AEO2008* reference case, 2006-2030: Table A20.

Figure 32. Average annual growth rates of real GDP, labor force, and productivity, 2006-2030: Table B4.

Figure 33. Average annual inflation, interest, and unemployment rates, 2006-2030: Table B4.

Figure 34. Sectoral composition of industrial output growth rates, 2006-2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HM2008. D031608A, and LM2008.D031608A.

Figure 35. Energy expenditures in the U.S. economy, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HM2008.D031608A, and LM2008.D031608A.

Figure 36. Energy expenditures as share of gross domestic product, 1970-2030: History: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis; and Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

**Figure 37. World oil prices, 1980-2030: History:** Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** Table C1.

Figure 38. Unconventional resources as a share of the world liquids market, 1990-2030: History: Derived from Energy Information Administration, *International Energy Annual 2005* (June-October 2007), Table G.4, web site www.eia.doe.gov/iea. **Projections:** Table A20. **Note:** Data from Table G.4 are used as a proxy for historical unconventional oil production, because international data are limited. In addition, estimates of historical production from Canadian oil sands and Venezuelan ultra-heavy oil were added to Table G.4. Assumptions about future unconventional oil production are based on current investment reports, published production targets, resource availabilities, and marketplace competition.

Figure 39. World liquids production shares by region, 2006 and 2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HP2008. D031808A, and LP2008.D031608A.

Figure 40. Energy use per capita and per dollar of gross domestic product, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Energy use per capita: Calculated from data in Table A2. Energy use per dollar of GDP: Table A19.

Figure 41. Primary energy use by fuel, 2006-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Tables A1 and A17.

Figure 42. Delivered energy use by fuel, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A2.

Figure 43. Primary energy consumption by sector, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A2.

Figure 44. Residential delivered energy consumption per capita, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, "State Energy Consumption, Price, and Expenditure Estimates (SEDS)," (Washington, DC, October 2007), web site www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/states/\_seds. html, and Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO-2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, BLDFRZN.D030408A, and BLDHIGH. D030408A.

Figure 45. Residential delivered energy consumption by fuel, 2006, 2015, and 2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 46. Efficiency gains for selected residential appliances, 2030: Energy Information Administration, *Technology Forecast Updates—Residential and Commercial Building Technologies—Advanced Adoption Case* (Navigant Consulting, Inc., September 2004); and AEO-2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, BLDFRZN.D030408A, and BLDBEST. D030408A.

Figure 47. Electricity consumption for residential lighting, 2006-2030: Energy Information Administration, *Technology Forecast Updates—Residential and Commercial Building Technologies—Advanced Adoption Case* (Navigant Consulting, Inc., September 2004); and AEO-2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F and AEO2008.D112607A.

Figure 48. Commercial delivered energy consumption per capita, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, "State Energy Consumption, Price, and Expenditure Estimates (SEDS)" (Washington, DC, October 2007), web site www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/states/\_seds. html, and Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO-2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, BLDFRZN.D030408A, and BLDHIGH. D030408A.

**Figure 49. Commercial delivered energy consumption by fuel, 2006, 2015, and 2030:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 50. Efficiency gains for selected commercial equipment, 2006 and 2030: Energy Information Administration, *Technology Forecast Updates*—*Residential and Commercial Building Technologies*—*Advanced Adoption Case* (Navigant Consulting, Inc., September 2004); and AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, BLDFRZN.D030408A, and BLDBEST.D030408A.

Figure 51. Industrial delivered energy consumption, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HM2008.D031608A, and LM2008.D031608A.

Figure 52. Industrial energy consumption by fuel, 2000, 2006, and 2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO-2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008. D030208F.

Figure 53. Average growth in value of shipments for the manufacturing subsectors, 2006-2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, HM2008.D031608A, and LM2008.D031608A.

Figure 54. Average growth of delivered energy consumption in the manufacturing subsectors, 2006-2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System,

runs AEO2008.D030208F, HM2008.D031608A, and LM2008.D031608A.

Figure 55. Industrial delivered energy intensity, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007); and Global Insight, Inc., 2007 U.S. *Energy Outlook* (November 2007). **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, INDFRZN.D030608A, and INDHIGH. D032208A.

**Figure 56. Delivered energy consumption for transportation, 1980-2030: History:** Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** Tables B2 and C2.

Figure 57. Average fuel economy of new light-duty vehicles, 1980-2030: History: U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, *Summary of Fuel Economy Performance* (Washington, DC, March 2006), web site www.nhtsa.dot.gov/staticfiles/ DOT/NHTSA/Vehicle%20Safety/Articles/Associated%20

Files/SummaryFuelEconomyPerformance-2006.pdf. **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, AEO2008.D112607A, TRNHIGH.D031408A, HP2008.D031808A, and LP2008. D031608A.

Figure 58. Sales of unconventional light-duty vehicles by fuel type, 2006 and 2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 59. Energy use for light-duty vehicles by fuel type, 2006 and 2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 60. Annual electricity sales by sector, 1980-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** Table A8.

**Figure 61. Electricity generation by fuel, 2006 and 2030:** Table A8.

Figure 62. Electricity generation capacity additions by fuel type, including combined heat and power, 2007-2030: Table A9.

**Figure 63. Levelized electricity costs for new plants, 2015 and 2030:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

**Figure 64. Electricity generation capacity additions, including combined heat and power, by region and fuel, 2007-2030:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 65. Electricity generation from nuclear power, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HM2008.D031608A, LM2008. D031608A, HP2008.D031808A, and LP2008.D031608A.

Figure 66. Nonhydroelectric renewable electricity generation by energy source, 2006-2030: Table A16.

Figure 67. Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable energy sources, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A16. **Figure 68.** Levelized and avoided costs for new renewable plants in the Northwest, 2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HIRENCST08.D030408A, and LORENCST08.D030408A.

Figure 69. Regional growth in nonhydroelectic renewable electricity generation, 2006-2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008. D030208F.

Figure 70. Fuel prices to electricity generators, 1995-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 71. Average U.S. retail electricity prices, 1970-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A8.

Figure 72. Natural gas consumption by sector, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2004) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A13.

Figure 73. Total natural gas consumption, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HP2008.D031808A, LP2008.D031608A, HM2008. D031608A, and LM2008.D031608A.

Figure 74. Natural gas consumption in the electric power and non-electric power sectors in alternative price cases, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HP2008.D031808A, and LP2008. D031608A.

Figure 75. Natural gas consumption in the electric power and non-electric power sectors in alternative growth cases, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HM2008.D031608A, and LM2008. D031608A.

Figure 76. Lower 48 wellhead and Henry Hub spot market prices for natural gas, 1990-2030: History: For lower 48 wellhead prices: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). For Henry Hub natural gas prices: Energy Information Administration, *Short-Term Energy Outlook* Query System, Monthly Natural Gas Data, Variable NGHHMCF. **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 77. Lower 48 wellhead natural gas prices, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HP2008.D031808A, LP2008.D031608A, OGHTEC08. D030508A, and OGLTEC08.D030508A.

Figure 78. Natural gas prices by end-use sector, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration,

Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** Table A13.

**Figure 79.** Average natural gas transmission and distribution margins, 1990-2030: History: Calculated as the difference between natural gas end-use prices and lower 48 wellhead natural gas prices; Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO-2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008. D030208F, HP2008.D031808A, and LP2008.D031608A.

**Figure 80. Natural gas production by source, 1990-2030: History:** Energy Information Administration, Office of Integrated Analysis and Forecasting. **Projections:** Table A14.

Figure 81. Total U.S. natural gas production, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HP2008.D031808A, LP2008.D031608A, OGHTEC08. D030508A, and OGLTEC08.D030508A.

**Figure 82. Net U.S. imports of natural gas by source, 1990-2030: History:** Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 83. Net U.S. imports of liquefied natural gas, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HP2008.D031808A, LP2008.D031608A, HM2008. D031608A, and LM2008.D031608A.

**Figure 84. Domestic crude oil production by source, 1990-2030: History:** Energy Information Administration, Office of Integrated Analysis and Forecasting. **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 85. Total U.S. crude oil production, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, OGHTEC08.D030508A, and OGLTEC08.D030508A.

Figure 86. Total U.S. unconventional crude oil production, 2006-2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, HP2008.D031808A, and LP2008.D031608A.

Figure 87. Liquid fuels consumption by sector, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: Table A11.

Figure 88. EISA2007 RFS credits earned in selected years, 2006-2030: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

**Figure 89. Fossil fuel and biofuel content of U.S. motor fuel supply, 2006, 2015, and 2030:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 90. Net import share of U.S. liquid fuels consumption, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** Table C4.

Figure 91. Motor gasoline, diesel fuel, and E85 prices, 2006-2030: Table A12.

**Figure 92. Average U.S. delivered prices for motor gasoline, 1990-2030: History:** Energy Information Administration, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384 (2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). **Projections:** Table C5.

Figure 93. Coal production by region, 1970-2030: History (short tons): 1970-1990: Energy Information Administration (EIA), The U.S. Coal Industry, 1970-1990: Two Decades of Change, DOE/EIA-0559 (Washington, DC, November 2002). 1991-2000: EIA, Coal Industry Annual, DOE/EIA-0584 (various years). 2001-2006: EIA, Annual Coal Report 2006, DOE/EIA-0584(2006) (Washington, DC, October 2007), and previous issues. History: Conversion to quadrillion Btu), 1970-2006: Estimation Procedure: EIA, Office of Integrated Analysis and Forecasting. Estimates of average heat content by region and year are based on coal quality data for 2006, collected in various energy surveys (see sources), and national-level estimates of U.S. coal production by year in units of quadrillion Btu, published in EIA's Annual Energy Review. Sources: EIA, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007), Table 1.2; Form EIA-3, "Quarterly Coal Consumption and Quality Report, Manufacturing Plants"; Form EIA-5, "Quarterly Coal Consumption and Quality Report, Coke Plants"; Form EIA-6A, "Coal Distribution Report"; Form EIA-7A, "Coal Production Report"; Form EIA-423, "Monthly Cost and Quality of Fuels for Electric Plants Report"; Form EIA-906, "Power Plant Report"; Form EIA-920, "Combined Heat and Power Plant Report"; U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Monthly Report EM 545"; and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Form 423, "Monthly Report of Cost and Quality of Fuels for Electric Plants." Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F. Note: For 1989-2030, coal production includes waste coal.

**Figure 94. U.S. coal production, 2006, 2015, and 2030:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, LP2008.D031608A, HP2008. D031808A, LM2008.D031608A, HM2008.D031608A, LCCST08.D030508A, and HCCST08.D030508A. **Note:** Coal production includes waste coal.

Figure 95. Average minemouth price of coal by region, 1990-2030: History: Dollars per short ton: 1990-2000: Energy Information Administration (EIA), *Coal Industry Annual*, DOE/EIA-0584 (various years). 2001-2006: EIA, *Annual Coal Report 2006*, DOE/EIA-0584 (2006) (Washington, DC, October 2007), and previous issues. Conversion to dollars per million Btu): 1990-2006: Estimation Procedure: EIA, Office of Integrated Analysis and Forecasting. Estimates of average heat content by region and year are based on coal quality data for 2006, collected in various energy surveys (see sources), and national-level estimates of U.S. coal production by year in units of quadrillion Btu published in EIA's *Annual Energy Review*. Sources: EIA, *Annual Energy Review 2006*, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007), Table 1.2; Form EIA-3, "Quarterly Coal Consumption and Quality Report, Manufacturing Plants"; Form EIA-5, "Quarterly Coal Consumption and Quality Report, Coke Plants"; Form EIA-6A, "Coal Distribution Report"; Form EIA-7A, "Coal Production Report"; Form EIA-423, "Monthly Cost and Quality of Fuels for Electric Plants Report"; Form EIA-906, "Power Plant Report"; Form EIA-920, "Combined Heat and Power Plant Report"; U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Monthly Report EM 545"; and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Form 423, "Monthly Report of Cost and Quality of Fuels for Electric Plants." **Projections:** AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F. **Note:** Includes reported prices for both open market and captive mines.

Figure 96. Average delivered coal prices, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration (EIA), Quarterly Coal Report, October-December 2006, DOE/EIA-0121 (2006/4Q) (Washington, DC, March 2007), and previous issues; EIA, Electric Power Monthly, October 2007, DOE/ EIA-0226(2007/10) (Washington, DC, October 2007); and EIA, Annual Energy Review 2006, DOE/EIA-0384(2006) (Washington, DC, June 2007). Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, runs AEO2008.D030208F, LP2008.D031608A, HP2008.D031808A, LCCST08. D030508A, and HCCST08.D030508A. Note: Historical prices are weighted by consumption but exclude residential/commercial prices and export free-alongside-ship (f.a.s.) prices.

Figure 97. Carbon dioxide emissions by sector and fuel, 2006 and 2030: 2006: Energy Information Administration, *Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States 2006*, DOE/EIA-0573(2006) (Washington, DC, November 2007). 2030: Table A18.

Figure 98. Carbon dioxide emissions, 1990-2030: History: Energy Information Administration, *Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States 2006*, DOE/EIA-0573(2006) (Washington, DC, November 2007). Projections: Table B2.

Figure 99. Sulfur dioxide emissions from electricity generation, 1995-2030: History: 1995: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *National Air Pollutant Emissions Trends*, 1990-1998, EPA-454/R-00-002 (Washington, DC, March 2000). 2000: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Acid Rain Program Preliminary Summary Emissions Report, Fourth Quarter 2004*, web site www.epa.gov/ airmarkets/emissions/prelimarp/index.html. 2006 and Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.

Figure 100. Nitrogen oxide emissions from electricity generation, 1995-2030: History: 1995: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *National Air Pollutant Emissions Trends*, 1990-1998, EPA-454/R-00-002 (Washington, DC, March 2000). 2000: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Acid Rain Program Preliminary Summary Emissions Report, Fourth Quarter 2004*, web site www.epa.gov/ airmarkets/emissions/prelimarp/index.html. 2006 and Projections: AEO2008 National Energy Modeling System, run AEO2008.D030208F.