FILED

JUN 0 9 2005

1	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
3 4 5	In re: MARK BROWN and TERESA BROWN,) Chapter 13)) No. 4-03-bk-04:) MEMORAND	592-JMM U M DECISION RE:	
6	Debtors.) ATTORNEYS		
7		(Opinion to be I	Posted)	
8				
9	This court has been asked to decide upon the reasonableness of requested attorneys' fee			
10	in a chapter 13 case. Debtors' counsel has requested \$5,478, of which \$2,750 has been paid.			
11				
12	THE PLAN			
13				
14	This case was filed as a chapter 13 case on August 21, 2003. The Debtors' schedule			
15	reflect routine property ownership, including a home and two motor vehicles, all secured to individual			
16	lienholders. A \$7,000 obligation to the IRS and \$8,200 owed on two credit cards round out their			
17	obligations. The Debtors listed their property values and liens as follows:			
18				
19		<u>Value</u>	<u>Lien</u>	
20	Residence	\$ 111,000	\$ 82,414	
21	Pontiac Firebird	12,055	16,945	

The Debtors, both of whom work, have a combined monthly income of \$3,342, and monthly expenses of \$2,393 (including their mortgage payment). They have two minor children, ages 7 and 12.

12,875

21,052

h:\wp\orders\

22

23

24

25

26

GMC Sierra

The Debtors proposed a plan of 50 months' duration, paying \$880 per month to the trustee. The plan proposed to continue making normal mortgage payments "outside" the plan, and the home's \$2,500 arrearages through the plan.

The plan also proposed to "cramdown" the vehicles to their true values, and pay the unsecured balances pro-rata with other unsecured claims. The unsecureds would receive essentially no distribution (\$100) under the plan.

The IRS is to be paid in full as a priority expense, along with the \$2,550 in attorneys' fees.

CREDITOR ACTION

The secured creditor on the home filed a stay relief motion, which was quickly worked out by a stipulation to cure the mortgage arrears over a six-month period. This resolution simultaneously cured one of the trustee's plan objections, as well.

Similarly, the claim and valuation of the debt secured by the 2000 Pontiac Firebird was also resolved by stipulation.

PLAN CONFIRMATION

The plan was confirmed on June 9, 2004. In the plan, the attorneys' fee was set at \$2,250 (plus the \$500 paid pre-petition, for a total of \$2,750). All of the trustee's routine objections had been resolved, and Debtors' counsel signed off on the form of order, as did the trustee and the secured creditors on the house and Pontiac.

POST CONFIRMATION EVENTS

An amendment to the plan, adjusting the payments and extending the plan's duration to 60 months, was filed on November 19, 2004. No order was ever signed approving the changes.

On January 20, 2005, the trustee filed a motion to dismiss, alleging a payment delinquency of \$1,284.80.

The Debtors responded with a request for moratorium, which included a request to increase attorneys' fees to \$5,478, of which \$2,750 had been paid. Counsel did not file a separate fee application, but attached his billings which included an itemized fee breakdown. The trustee responded, specifically objecting to the fee request.

The fee issue was argued on June 6 2005, after which the court took the matter under advisement.

ATTORNEYS' FEES

With the schedules, Debtors' counsel filed a Rule 2016 statement, noting that he intended to charge \$3,050 in fees, \$500 of which had been paid prior to the filing of the petition.

In the Debtors' plan, counsel noted the fee arrangement set forth above, and further stated, "(a)dditional fees may be charged by hourly fee pursuant to an executed bankruptcy fee agreement." Plan, para. IV(1).

As noted above, the approved fee, in the confirmed plan, as of June 9, 2004, was \$2,750. Debtors' counsel signed off on the confirmation order. Since confirmation, Debtors' counsel filed a moratorium request, and an amended payment schedule to accommodate a cure of the Debtors' post-confirmation delinquencies.

DISCUSSION

An attorneys' fee is presumed to be reasonable, in this District, if it is \$2,750 or below, for a routine case. This is known as a "no look" fee, meaning that no detailed fee application needs to be filed. As of plan confirmation on June 9, 2004, that fee was deemed reasonable and accepted by Debtors' counsel.

Since confirmation, Debtors' counsel has simply routinely responded to delinquency problems caused by his clients' failure to pay. He has attributed this failure to the Debtors' employers not promptly responding to the wage orders.

However, because counsel for the Debtors accepted \$2,750 for all legal work to and through confirmation on June 9, 2004, this court must determine whether, for the work done since that date, an additional fee of \$2,728 is justified.

A review of the Debtors' counsel's billing statement, attached to the "Motion for Moratorium, Modification of Plan and Allowance of Attorneys' Fees," shows that counsel performed 3.3 hours of work from June 10, 2004 to the present date. At his billing rate of \$315 per hour, this works out to fees incurred of \$1,039.50. To request \$2,728 for this same time period is excessive and unreasonable, and is justified neither by the work done, the hourly rate, nor by any affidavit showing any type of extraordinary need.

The types of problems set forth in the invoice, post-confirmation, are not atypical, and do not require additional fees of \$2,728. Indeed, to seek such <u>additional</u> fees, for the limited post-confirmation work, is excessive and unreasonable.

The court will allow \$250 for such post-confirmation efforts.

RULING

in part and overruled in part. The Debtors' counsel shall be entitled only to an additional \$250 in fees

for his post-confirmation efforts. Total fees, therefore, from inception to the present, shall be \$3,000.

The court's review of the entire file in this case merits this result. A separate order will issue.

The trustee's objection to the additional \$2,728 in requested attorneys fees is sustained

FED. R. BANKR. P. 9021.

DATED: June <u>9</u>, 2005.

AMES M. MARLAR

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Eung lu. Marla

	\bigcap_{i}		
1	COPIES served as indicated below this		
2			
3	Ronald J. Ellett Ellett Law Offices, P.C. 2999 North 44th Street, Suite 550		
4	Phoenix, AZ 85018		
5	Email rjellett@ellettlaw.phxcoxmail.com Attorneys for Debtors		
6	George D. Carroll 252 W. Ina Rd., Suite 203		
7	Tucson, AZ 85704-0001		
8	Email gdcarroll@dcktrustee.com Attorney for Chapter 13 Trustee		
9	Dianne C. Kerns 7320 N. La Cholla #154		
10	PMB 413		
11	Tucson, AZ 85741-2305 Chapter 13 Trustee Email andrea.hopkins@dcktrustee.com		
12			
13	Office of the United States Trustee 230 North First Avenue, Suite 204		
14	Phoenix, AZ 85003-1706 U.S. Mail		
15			
16	By DHorn Don Judicial Assistant		
17	Judiciui 7 issistant		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			