ConocoPhillips – San Francisco Refinery

1380 San Pablo Avenue Rodeo, CA 94572

Attention: Mr. J. Michael Kenney

ALAMEDA COUNTY

Roberta Cooper Scott Haggerty (Chairperson) Nate Miley Shelia Young

Application Number: 9296 Plant Number:

Equipment Location: Same as above

Dear Mr. Kenney:

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

Mark DeSaulnier Erling Horn Mark Ross Gayle Uilkema (Secretary)

The requirement for public and EPA review of the proposed reopening of your Major Facility Review Permit has been completed.

MARIN COUNTY

Harold C. Brown, Jr.

The purpose of this reopening is to finalize flare conditions, to finalize monitoring for Regulation 9, Rule 10, to incorporate Application 5814, and to make other changes. All changes are discussed in Appendix B of the evaluation report/statement of basis.

The District sent the formal notice of reopening pursuant to BAAQMD Regulation

2003, as reflected in the final permit issued on December 1, 2003. The notice was

was March 31, 2004, but was extended to April 14, 2004. The District received

published in the Contra Costa Times on March 1, 2004. The final date for comments

comments from the public, EPA, and the facility. The District amended the permit in

response to comments and sent the proposed permit to EPA for review on August 25,

The District has made some changes in response to the comments received. These

changes are discussed in the revised Statement of Basis/Permit Evaluation, dated

2-6-415 on December 15, 2003. The District invited public comment on the proposed

changes to the permit and on changes made to the draft permit published on August 12,

NAPA COUNTY Brad Wagenknecht

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY Chris Daly

Jake McGoldrick Gavin Newsom

SAN MATEO COUNTY Jerry Hill Marland Townsend (Vice-Chairperson)

The District has decided to issue the permit.

transmitted to you within 30 days of this letter.

2004. EPA commented on the permit on October 8, 2004.

SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Erin Garner Liz Kniss Patrick Kwok Julia Miller

SOLANO COUNTY John F. Silva

SONOMA COUNTY Tim Smith

Pamela Torliatt

EPA in its October 8, 2004, letter identified a number of issues requiring follow-up and, as appropriate, further revision to the permit. The District is committed to addressing these issues through a cooperative effort with EPA. Among the most important issues are those identified in Attachment 1 to the October 8 letter. The District's first step in addressing the Attachment 1 issues will be a proposed determination to be transmitted to EPA on or before January 6, 2005.

December 2004. Responses to Comments have been prepared for EPA and all members of the public who commented. A formal response to the refinery's comments will be

Jack P. Broadbent EXECUTIVE OFFICER/APCO This letter also constitutes notice that the District intends to reopen your Title V permit to address certain issues identified by EPA in attachment 1 of its October 8, 2004, letter to the District, a copy of which has already been provided to you. The District may determine in the interim that certain of the issues identified by EPA are not relevant to your facility.

Enclosed are copies of the final permit, the final evaluation report/statement of basis, dated December 2004, and all responses to comments prepared to date. If you have any questions regarding this permit, please call Julian Elliot, Senior Air Quality Engineer, at (415) 749-4705.

Sincerely yours,

Jack P. Broadbent Executive Officer / APCO

JJE:myl

Enclosures: Final permit

Final statement of basis

Response to CBE email of April 14, 2004 Response to EPA letter of October 31, 2003 Response to EPA letter of April 14, 2004

Response to Golden Gate University letter of April 14, 2004

Response to WSPA letter of April 14, 2004

Cc: Valerie Uyeda, ConocoPhillips – San Francisco Refinery