

1 **Aerosol Properties and Their Impact on Climate**

2

3 **Preliminary Prospectus for Synthesis and Assessment Product 2.3**

4

5 **Lead Agency: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)**

6 **Supporting Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)**

7

8

9

Contents

1. Overview: Introduction, Topic, Questions to be addressed, Audience and intended use
2. Contact Information
3. Lead Authors
4. Stakeholder Interactions
5. Drafting
6. Review
7. Communications
8. Proposed Timeline

References

Appendix A1: Proposed Content outline

Appendix A2. Potential collaborators

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 **1. Overview: Description, Audience, Intended Use, and Questions to be** 2 **Addressed.**

3 4 **1.1 Introduction**

5
6 In the Strategic Plan for the U.S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP), issued in
7 July 2003), 21 Synthesis and Assessment Products (SAPs) were identified to be produced
8 over a 4-year time frame (2004-2008). These products are to be prepared through
9 processes that are open and public, encouraging stakeholder participation in order to
10 promote a consensus about the knowledge base for climate change decision support.
11 In line with the Atmospheric Composition Chapter and Goal 2 of CCSP Strategic Plan,
12 “Improve quantification of the forces bringing about changes in the Earth’s Climate and
13 related systems”, Synthesis and Assessment Product (SAP) 2.3 will provide a synthesis
14 and integration of the current knowledge of the climate-relevant impacts of
15 anthropogenic aerosols.

16
17 This prospectus describes the focus and implementation plan for developing and
18 producing Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) Synthesis and Assessment Product
19 2.3, “*Aerosol Properties and Their Impact on Climate*”. This prospectus has been
20 prepared according to the [Guidelines for Producing Climate Change Science Program](#)
21 [Synthesis and Assessment Products](#) and will be reviewed and approved by the CCSP
22 Interagency Committee . This prospectus document does not express any regulatory
23 policies of the United States or any of its agencies, or make any findings of fact that
24 could serve as predicates for regulatory action.

25 26 **1.2 The Topic**

27
28 Recent research has demonstrated that atmospheric particles (aerosols) can cause a net
29 cooling or warming within the climate system, depending upon their physical and
30 chemical characteristics. Sulfate-based aerosols, for example, tend to cool, whereas black
31 carbon (soot) aerosols, tend to warm the system. In addition to these direct effects,
32 aerosols can also have indirect effects on the balance of incoming and outgoing radiation
33 by their influence on cloud properties, distribution, and persistence. When climate models
34 include the effects of sulfate aerosols, the simulation of global mean surface temperatures
35 is improved. One of the largest uncertainties about the net impact of aerosols on climate
36 is the diverse warming and cooling influences of the very complex mixture of aerosol
37 types and their spatial distributions. Further, the poorly understood impact of aerosols on
38 the formation of both water droplets and ice crystals in clouds also results in large
39 uncertainties in the ability to project climate changes. Thus, aerosols impact climate by
40 interacting directly by scattering and absorbing solar radiation but also indirectly by
41 modifying cloud properties. More detail is needed globally to describe the scattering and
42 absorbing optical properties of aerosols from regional sources and how these aerosols
43 impact other regions of the globe

44
45 Anthropogenic aerosol emissions since the beginning of the industrial era have
46 continuously increased along with increases in greenhouse gases (GHGs) but the effects

1 on climate are not as definitive as those due to GHGs. While non-absorbing particles
2 such as sulfates counteract the influence of GHGs by reflecting more solar energy back
3 into space (thus reducing heating by the atmosphere), increasing emissions of
4 carbonaceous particles that are more absorbing add to the uncertainty in aerosol forcing.
5 The problem is particularly severe with the rapid industrialization of large developing
6 areas of the world, particularly in Asia from where bulk of aerosol and GHG emissions
7 are anticipated in the coming decades. A substantial fraction of the uncertainty in
8 radiative forcing of climate is due to the uncertainties associated with the effects (direct
9 and indirect) of aerosols on the climate system.

10
11 For example, large errors in an estimate of the indirect effect of aerosols on climate were
12 anticipated even when the interaction of aerosols with cloud fields was thought to be
13 simpler – namely the first indirect effect or the Twomey effect. Here, stack or automobile
14 emissions consisting of very small – submicron – particles interacted with clouds, and by
15 acting as ‘cloud condensation nuclei’ can lead to an increase in number of cloud droplets.
16 If the total amount of liquid in the cloud remains the same, then the effective radius of the
17 droplets is reduced, and clouds with smaller droplets are brighter. Quantification of this
18 effect is a major field of activity [3] and is a difficult task given the stochastic nature of
19 the clouds, cloud layering and the emission sources.

20
21 The uncertainty in aerosol climate forcing makes it impossible for us to understand the
22 sensitivity of the climate system based on the observed temperature record. If aerosol
23 cooling has been large, then the warming we have already received implies a large
24 climate sensitivity. If aerosol forcing has been small, then most of the greenhouse gas
25 warming has not been counteracted, and climate sensitivity is smaller. Understanding the
26 actual aerosol influence is therefore necessary for us to know how sensitive the climate
27 will be in the future to continued anthropogenic influence.

28 29 **1.3 Questions to be Addressed**

30
31 There have been increased efforts to determine the aerosol direct impact from remote
32 sensing, surface based observations, and in situ field campaigns (1, 2). A primary topic to
33 be addressed in this report is to assess our current state of understanding of anthropogenic
34 aerosol climate forcing from the observational perspective, primarily the direct
35 component, but also the indirect component.

36
37 The observations themselves are often used in conjunction with aerosol models of the
38 climate system, to help understand the aerosol components that make up the total aerosol
39 optical depth. These models can also be used to assess the radiative impact of the
40 different aerosol types. Thus the interaction of observational techniques with aerosol
41 modeling will be a prime component of the subjects reviewed.

42
43 Aerosol climate forcing is included in global climate models as part of their simulations
44 of the last century. All models run for the latest IPCC (AR4) report included at least some
45 aerosol component. A second focus of this report is to review the quality of the aerosol
46 composition and forcing used in these models compared with data that is being obtained

1 from observations and from aerosol models (3). The accuracy of the aerosol forcing
2 included will help indicate whether the models have been able to reproduce the observed
3 temperature changes with the proper climate sensitivity.

4
5 Based in part on the above discussion, an outline for the proposed report is provided in
6 Appendix A1.

8 **1.4 Audience and Intended Use**

9
10 Aerosol interaction with shortwave (SW) radiation is a major source of uncertainty
11 impacting two areas of research – climate prediction and remote sensing. Thus policy
12 makers and policy analysts both within and outside the US government and worldwide,
13 interested in these two areas are the most likely target audience. The organizations
14 include (but are not limited to) Climate Change Science Program, Climate Change
15 Technology Program, National Science Technology Council (NSTC), Interagency
16 working group on Earth Observations, U. S. Weather Research Program, other inter-
17 agency committees and US military. International agencies such as those connected with
18 the United Nations (IPCC, World Meteorological Organization etc) are also intended
19 audience.

21 **2. Contact Information:**

22
23 Lead Agency: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
24 Supporting Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

26 **Key Contact**

27 NASA (Lead)

28 Rangasayi N. Halhore - Rangasayi.n.halhore@nasa.gov - (202) 358-1780

30 **3. Lead Authors**

31
32 The following individuals have been nominated as potential lead.

33 <u>Lead</u>	33 <u>Agency</u>
34 Mian Chin	34 NASA
35 Philip L. DeCola	35 NASA
36 Graham Feingold	36 NOAA
37 Rangasayi N. Halhore	37 NASA
38 Patricia.K.Quinn	38 NOAA
39 Lorraine A. Remer	39 NASA
40 David Rind	40 NASA

41
42 Appendix A2 also contains a list of other potential authors whose expertise is highly
43 relevant to the subject of this study.

45 **4. Stakeholder Interactions**

1 Stakeholder input will be solicited through the public comment period for this prospectus
2 and the public comment period for the draft report. All comments submitted during the
3 public reviews will be made publicly available and these comments will be carefully
4 considered by the authors.

5 Stakeholder involvement is essential to ensure *transparency* – open access to information
6 on the SAP 2.3; *feedback on relevance* – review and comment on the SAP 2.3 process
7 and verification that information produced by the SAP 2.3 will be useful; and *credibility*
8 – recognition by the stakeholders of the scientific validity and independence of the SAP
9 2.3.

10 The process of drafting and incorporating public comment will comply with the rules set
11 forth in the Federal Advisory Committee Act and NASA’s general IQA guidelines for
12 peer review from the Office of Management and Budget.

13
14 **5. Drafting**

15
16 The lead author will convene a meeting of potential authors to contribute to each section
17 of the proposed draft. Since the turnaround time is limited, it may be necessary to bring
18 together few authors to contribute larger portions of the draft.

19
20 **6. Review**

21
22 The *Guidelines for Producing CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Products* provide
23 guidelines for soliciting reviews. These involve expert peer review, a public comments
24 period, and a final review and approval by the CCSP interagency committee and the
25 National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) via the Committee on Environment
26 and Natural Resources Research (CENR).

27 The expert peer review will be conducted in accordance with NASA’s requirements for
28 peer review and general guidelines from the Office of Management and Budget.

29 Immediately following expert review, the lead authors will produce the second draft of
30 the report by incorporating comments and suggestions from the reviewers, as the lead
31 authors deem appropriate. Following this expert review process, the second draft will be
32 released for a 45-days public comments period. The authors will prepare a third draft,
33 taking into consideration the comments submitted during the public comments period.

34 The scientific judgment of the lead and supporting authors will determine responses to
35 the comments. The public comments received along with the responses to these
36 comments, will be posted on the CCSP web site.

37
38 Following clearance by NASA, the third draft of the product will be submitted
39 concurrently to the CCSP Interagency Committee and the CENR for final review and
40 approval. If the concurrent CCSP Interagency Committee/CENR review further revision
41 is necessary, the comments will be sent to the lead agency for consideration and
42 resolution by lead authors.

43
44 **7. Communication: Proposed Method of Publication and Dissemination of the**
45 **Product**

1 Hardcopies of the product will be published using the standard format for all CCSP
2 synthesis and assessment products. The final product and the comments received during
3 the expert review and the public comment period will be posted on the CCSP web site.
4 Once the document has been cleared by the NSTC process, the product will be prepared
5 for both web and hardcopy dissemination. The number of hardcopies and the distribution
6 process will be determined as part of the development of this product.

7

8 **8. Proposed Timeline (subject to change)**

9

10	November 07	Final prospectus completed
11	November 07	First draft of the report completed
12	December 07	Expert review of first draft report completed
13	Dec 07/Jan 08	Public Comments
14	March 08	Submission for final CCSP/CENR clearance

15

16 **References**

17

18 <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/1657/2006/acp-6-1657-2006.pdf>

19 <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/613/2006/acp-6-613-2006.pdf>

20 <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3391/2006/acp-6-3391-2006.pdf>

21

Appendix A1. Proposed Content of the Report.**ASSESSMENT OF THE CURRENT STATE OF UNDERSTANDING OF THE INFLUENCE OF AEROSOLS ON 20TH CENTURY CLIMATE CHANGE AND THUS CLIMATE SENSITIVITY****1. INTRODUCTION**

- A. Definition of aerosols
- B. Potential influence of aerosols on the climate system
 - a. Direct Effect
 - b. Indirect Effect
 - c. Semi-direct Effect
- C. Aerosol observations (brief introduction)
 - a. Remote sensing
 - b. Field campaigns
 - c. Surface observations
- D. Modeling of aerosols
 - a. Aerosol models
 - b. Aerosols in climate models
- E. Plan for this report

2. UNDERSTANDING AEROSOL FORCING FROM OBSERVATIONS

- A. Introduction on obtaining aerosol forcing from observations
- B. Observational techniques
- C. Method of combining observations and models
- D. Results for the Direct Effect of aerosol forcing
- E. Indirect Effects
- F. Additional Considerations

3. AEROSOLS IN CLIMATE MODELS

- A. Introduction discussing aerosol impacts in AR4 simulations
- B. Comparison of aerosol direct effects with observations
 - a. GISS GCM
 - b. GFDL GCM
 - c. General model intercomparisons
 - d. Additional considerations
- C. Comparison of Aerosol Indirect Effects in models
 - a. Aerosol effects on clouds and radiation
 - b. Aerosol effects on precipitation
- D. Impacts of aerosols on model climate simulations
- E. Implications of comparisons of modeled and observed aerosols for climate simulations

4. THE WAY FORWARD

- A. Improvements already introduced into aerosol models
- B. Improvements planned for future aerosol models
- C. Improvements possible in current climate models
- D. Improvements possible in future climate models
- E. Trade-off between increased aerosol complexity and climate model resolution
- F. What can we really expect to know and when will we know it

Appendix A2. Additional Proposed Collaborators

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1. Aerosol Chemistry/ Composition

A. R. Ravishankara, NOAA ESRL

Dan Murphy, NOAA

2. Aerosol Remote Sensing

Hongbin Yu NASA GSFC

Ralph Kahn, NASA GSFC

3. Aerosol Forcing

V. Ramaswamy, NOAA GFDL

Dorothy Koch, NASA GISS

Mike Mischenko, NASA GISS

Joyce Penner, Univ. of Michigan

4. Aerosol Sources

David Streets, Argonne National Laboratory

5. Aerosol modeling

Susanna Bauer, Columbia

Bill Collins, LBL

Tom Delworth, GFDL

John Seinfeld, Caltech