Federal Plan For Advanced Networking Research and Development

PREPRINT VERSION

June 11, 2008

ITFAN PLAN

PREPRINT VERSION

06/11//08

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | 3 |
|--|----|
| 1. Advanced Networking R&D: Fostering Leadership in the 21 st Century | 5 |
| Introduction | 5 |
| A Strategic National Priority | 6 |
| The Internet Today: Success, Limitations, and Vulnerabilities | 7 |
| Plan's Accelerated Networking Security Focus Option | 9 |
| The Strategic Vision: New Capabilities by Mid-Decade | 9 |
| Strategic Vision's Technical Goals | 10 |
| Mid-Decade Advanced Networking Scenario #1: | |
| Civil-Military Crisis Response | 13 |
| Framework for Federal R&D Agenda | 14 |
| Mid-Decade Advanced Networking Scenario #2: | |
| Large-Scale Scientific Research | 17 |
| 2. Technical Discussion of Strategic Vision Goals | 18 |
| Goal 1: Provide Secure Network Services Anytime, Anywhere | 18 |
| Goal 2: Make Secure, Global, Federated Networks Possible | 19 |
| Goal 3: Manage Network Complexity and Heterogeneity | 19 |
| Goal 4: Foster Innovation Among the Federal, Research, Commercial, | |
| and Other Sectors Through Development of Advanced Network | |
| Systems and Technologies | 20 |
| 3. Accelerated Networking Security Focus | 22 |
| 4. Research Priorities and Federal Agency Research Interests | 24 |
| 5. Conclusions | 26 |
| Appendices | 28 |
| Appendix 1: Charge by Director, Office of Science and Technology | -0 |
| Policy | 28 |
| Appendix 2: Network Research Challenges, by Goal | 30 |
| Appendix 3: Recent Federal Networking Research Programmatic Areas, | 62 |
| by Agency | 02 |
| Appendix 4: Existing Findings and Workshop Results | 66 |
| Appendix 5: Membership of the Interagency Task Force on Advanced | 00 |
| Networking | 68 |
| | 00 |

Executive Summary

In the four decades since Federal research first enabled computers to send and receive data over networks, U.S. government research and development (R&D) in advanced networking has fueled a technological, economic, and social transformation. Today, networking is woven into the fabric of our society, a fundamental infrastructure for government operations, national defense and homeland security, commerce, communication, research, education, and leisure-time activities.

The Internet's phenomenal growth and elasticity have exceeded all expectations. At the same time, we have become captive to the limitations and vulnerabilities of the current generation of networking technologies. Because vital U.S. interests – for example, national defense communications, financial markets, and the operation of critical infrastructures such as power grids – now depend on secure, reliable, high-speed network connectivity, these limitations and vulnerabilities can threaten our national security and economic competitiveness. Research and development to create the next generation of networking technologies is needed to address these threats.

This critical R&D challenge was recognized by the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), who formed the Interagency Task Force on Advanced Networking to provide a strategic vision for future networked environments (see appendix 1 for the charge to the Task Force). The Task Force, established under the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Subcommittee of the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) and comprising representatives of 11 Federal organizations, developed this *Federal Plan for Advanced Networking Research and Development*.

This plan is centered on a vision for advanced networking based on a design and architecture for security and reliability that provides for heterogeneous, anytimeanywhere networking with capabilities such as federation of networks across domains and widely differing technologies; dynamic mobile networking with autonomous management; effective quality of service (QoS) management; support for sensornets; near-real-time autonomous discovery, configuration, and management of resources; and end-to-end security tailored to the application and user.

Four goals are set forth for realizing this vision:

- **1.** Provide secure network services anytime, anywhere.
- **2.** Make secure global federated networks possible.
- **3.** Manage network complexity and heterogeneity.
- **4.** Foster innovation among the Federal, research, commercial, and other sectors through development of advanced network systems and technologies.

The capabilities needed to achieve these goals are set forth in terms of the following five dimensions of networking research:

- Foundations
 - Design
- Security

•

The overall conclusions of the Task Force can be summarized as follows:

1. Improved networking security and reliability are strategic national priorities.

Management

Usability

- 2. New paths to advanced networking are required.
- 3. Federal R&D efforts are needed for a spectrum of advanced networking capabilities.
- 4. Close cooperation is needed to integrate Federal R&D efforts with the full technology development cycle. This cycle includes basic and applied research, and partnerships with researchers, application developers, users, and other stakeholders
- 5. Testbeds and prototype networks are needed to enable research on network challenges in realistic environments

Responding to both the charge for a strategic vision of future networked environments and the Nation's urgent needs for enhanced networking security and reliability, this *Federal Plan for Advanced Networking Research and Development* provides dual, nested timeframes for the required capabilities. Appendix 2 (page 26) presents, in tabular form, detailed results of the Task Force analysis of Federal networking research needs. The table is designed to provide a range of policy and planning options for nested near-term and long-term timeframes. The near-term networking security timeframe highlights capabilities that have substantial impact on improving security in the current networking environment. These capabilities for shorter-term impact are indicated by underlining in the Appendix 2 table. (See also, Section 3: "Accelerated Networking Security Focus" on page 21.)

The longer timeframe focuses on the middle of the next decade and has as its goal fundamental advances in the networking landscape. The Appendix 2 table provides two categories of longer-term targets: those that are accessible under existing or planned programs (column 3) and those that require a more intensive effort (column 4). The magnitude of the change depends, in large part, on the category of targets selected. Selecting a mix of targets from the two categories can create a range of longer-term options.

1. Advanced Networking R&D: Fostering Leadership in the 21st Century

Introduction

In the four decades since Federal research first enabled computers to send and receive data over networks, U.S. government R&D in advanced networking¹ has fueled a technological, economic, and social transformation. Federal R&D investments led the way to the Internet, wireless mobile and optical networking, and an array of network-based applications that continue to reshape not just our society and economy, but societies and economies around the globe.

Across the United States and throughout the world, the fabric of interconnectivity linking data, devices, and applications to users on the move has become pervasive. Today, this information technology (IT) infrastructure is a fundamental infrastructure for innovation in government operations, commerce, communication, research, education, and leisure-time activities. Reliable high-speed networking – enabling nearly instantaneous communication as well as transmission, storage, and retrieval of vast quantities of data (e.g., text, images, sound, multimedia, signals) – is now indispensable to private-sector enterprises of every kind and to high-priority Federal missions such as national defense, homeland security, and advanced scientific research.

The economic impacts of networking range from contributions to robust growth of GDP and productivity to the rise of new multibillion-dollar corporations in networking equipment and services, the e-commerce sector, and innovative social-networking applications. Economists and IT experts predict a continuing rapid pace for development and deployment of new networking technologies, services, and applications over the next 10 years and beyond.

Many of these developments will reflect the convergence of networking with other technologies. Advanced networking technologies, in tandem with innovations in other IT components (e.g., miniaturization, automation, sensors, intelligent systems), radically expand the range of current and potential uses for computing systems and devices. Unmanned aerial vehicles, for example, became feasible through advances in both networked computing systems embedded in the physical structure and wireless technologies for remote command and control. Such emerging network-based applications have profound importance for the Federal government and the Nation's global economic competitiveness. Examples of networking application domains that represent strategic U.S. interests include aviation and transportation; the battlefield of the

¹ Advanced networks, as referred to in this report, include heterogeneous, anytime-anywhere networking and capabilities such as federation of networks across domains and widely differing technologies; dynamic mobile networking with autonomous management; quality of service (QoS); support for sensornets; near-real-time autonomous discovery, configuration, and management of resources; and end-to-end security tailored to the application and user.

future; critical infrastructure management; emergency preparedness and response; environmental monitoring; large-scale, data-intensive, and domain-specific scientific research; medicine and health care; and national security.

A Strategic National Priority

The President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST), the high-level private-sector panel that provides independent guidance to the President on key scientific issues facing the country, has recognized the central and critical role that advanced networking now plays in sustaining the Nation's military, scientific, economic, and technological preeminence. In its August 2007 report entitled *Leadership Under Challenge: Information Technology R&D in a Competitive World*, the PCAST states that "U.S. leadership in advanced networking is a strategic national priority."

Recognizing the strategic importance of advanced networking, on January 30, 2007 the Director of the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) established the Interagency Task Force on Advanced Networking and tasked it with: providing a strategic vision of future networked environments; identifying the challenges in supporting such environments with existing and developing technologies; and providing recommendations on a roadmap for research and research infrastructure to enable those future environments (see charge in Appendix 1, page 24).

In the *Federal Plan for Cyber Security and Information Assurance Research and Development* of 2006, Science Advisor to the President John H. Marburger writes:

"The Nation's Information Technology (IT) infrastructure – the seamless fabric of interconnected computing and storage systems, mobile devices, software, wired and wireless networks, and related technologies – has become indispensable to publicand private-sector activities throughout our society and around the globe. ... The interconnectivity that makes seamless delivery of essential information and services possible, however, also exposes many previously isolated critical infrastructures to the risk of cyber attacks mounted through the IT infrastructure by hostile adversaries. ... Safeguarding the Nation's IT infrastructure and critical infrastructure sectors for the future is a matter of national and homeland security."

Research and development are urgently needed to enable secure and reliable networking environments that can meet our Nation's needs.

Responding to both the charge for a strategic vision of future networked environments and the Nation's urgent needs for enhanced networking security and reliability, the *Federal Plan for Advanced Networking Research and Development* provides dual, nested timeframes for the required capabilities. This Plan is intended to guide both internal prioritization of agencies' networking investments and coordinated multi-agency R&D activities.²

The Internet Today: Success, Limitations, and Vulnerabilities

The researchers whose technical achievements made the Internet possible could not foresee the emergence of a wholly new, ultimately global, infrastructure able to support a wide range of human activities. The Internet's phenomenal growth (to more than a billion users worldwide) and elasticity (for example, enabling the World Wide Web, grid computing, and wireless connectivity) have exceeded all expectations. At the same time, we have become captive to the limitations and vulnerabilities of the current generation of networking technologies.

Because vital U.S. interests – for example, national defense communications, financial markets, and the operation of critical infrastructures such as power grids – now depend on secure, reliable, high-speed network connectivity, these limitations and vulnerabilities have national security implications. Moreover, they inhibit development of next-generation networking technologies and applications that can serve the anytime-anywhere, ubiquitous, ad hoc broadband connectivity needed to carry out critical Federal missions, spur economic innovation, and maintain a U.S. competitive edge in networking.

The original Internet design presupposed that network access would come through trusted host machines at the edges of the network. A single number – the Internet Protocol (IP) address – both identified the end system and described the topology of the network, which provided important transmission efficiencies. Further, the Internet end-to-end protocols assigned delivery assurance and congestion control to the edges of the network. These features have resulted in significant network security and manageability problems, which will only become more pronounced as the types and scale of Internet traffic expand. Today, increasing requirements to support wireless services and multi-hop relays for users on the move severely stress the edge protocols. Users increasingly connect to the Internet through mobile devices where the same number cannot be used to describe both the identity and location of the device because the location constantly changes. Providing security and QoS in Internet connectivity for mobile users remains a formidable technical challenge.

The early Internet design philosophy presumed good will. Network security was not a major consideration. Today, the Internet and the networks connected to it are constantly under attack by worms, viruses, denial of service, and other forms of malicious software. The same principle that relieves users of the need to know the location of their data

² While the focus of this plan is on long-term advanced networking research, it is understood that such programs are developed and implemented in the context of the agency's overall mission requirements and needs for operational networking capabilities including IPv6, Trusted Internet Connections (TICs), and IT Infrastructure Line of Business.

sources enables anonymous individuals or groups anywhere in the world to mount attacks that cannot be traced by network administrators and law enforcement. Defending against and recovering from network attacks cost government, the private sector, and individual computer users many billions of dollars annually.

To date, mobile networking, security, addressing, and other mechanisms for dealing with Internet limitations have been implemented through technical patches to the basic architecture. In many cases, these specialized patchwork extensions of the Internet have reached a practical limit, while increasing the overall fragility of the network. For example, vastly expanding the number of available fixed-node addresses through implementation of Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6) will not diminish Internet security problems or foster new networking applications, such as multicast and distributed collaboration, that require stable, secure, uninterruptible high bandwidth from end to end. In fact, in the absence of significant advances through networking research and development, the various pressures now building on the Internet are highly likely to decrease transmission speeds and increase outages and interruptions in the years ahead.

Indeed, the current Internet's global-scale complexity has itself become an overarching intellectual and technical challenge for both network operators and researchers. The complexity is multi-dimensional, including vast amounts of heterogeneous hardware, software, and devices interconnected through vast numbers of large-scale autonomous networks and subnetworks operated by organizations and individuals with diverse interests and constantly changing degrees of cooperation and competition. Currently, we lack adequate theories and models to understand and manage this complexity. New scientific foundations – including innovative approaches to achieve simplicity and transparency – are needed to provide insight into Internet design requirements (such as scalability, security, and privacy) and to enable researchers and policy makers to address means of improving services (such as Web searching, content delivery, and Web-mediated community formation).

Government-sponsored and private-sector R&D address different aspects of networking development. The private sector is frequently focused on near-term product development, with limited incentive to invest in long-term and high-risk networking research, and massive infrastructure investments with multi-decade amortization (e.g., fiber optic networks); government-sponsored R&D, less constrained by near-term return on investment, can address a longer-term vision. Working together, networking researchers spanning government, industry, the national laboratories, and academia have forged a broad community that engages in ongoing information-sharing and collaborative activities that foster commercial uptake and product development for networking innovations. This broader community has participated in the development of the *Federal Plan for Advanced Networking R&D* and will be encouraged to join in implementation activities under the Plan.

Plan's Accelerated Networking Security Focus Option

This Plan provides two timeframes to address distinct needs. The core of the Plan – outlined in the text immediately below – focuses on the middle of the next decade with a comprehensive R&D plan for achieving a dynamic, new networking landscape. In addition, the Plan provides the option for an accelerated focus on capabilities to meet today's urgent national requirements for increased networking security, reliability, and cyber defense. The features of this nested, accelerated Plan option – described in Section 3 below (page 21) – align closely with the strategic Federal R&D objectives set forth in the April 2006 *Federal Plan for Cyber Security and Information Assurance Research and Development*.

The Strategic Vision: New Capabilities by Mid-Decade

U.S. leadership in advanced networking began with Federal requirements for capabilities not available commercially. Today, networking requirements for Federal missions range from the highest-bandwidth optical networks to low-power sensor networks deployed on battlefields. These requirements are far more complex and technically challenging than before, and far greater national interests are now at stake. This *Federal Plan for Advanced Networking Research and Development* describes an ambitious program of R&D to achieve fundamental advances that would meet future Federal needs and thus help sustain the Nation's long-term leadership in networking technologies amid growing international competition.

In the Plan's strategic vision, by the middle of the next decade advanced networks will be used in powerful new ways to support critical Federal missions including crisis response, the electronic battlefield, collaborative and domain-specific science, electronic health care, environmental and climate monitoring, and other areas. These networks will range from wireless sensors deployed in remote environments, to wireless connections linking supersonic aircraft, to fixed networks capable of transmitting exabytes (billions of gigabytes) of scientific data around the world.

To enable these capabilities, the Plan envisions a new, dynamic networking infrastructure that will use wavelength-routing optical switches with switching times on the order of a few nanoseconds. The infrastructure will span sub-wavelength circuits, wavelengths, and entire wavebands and fibers. Higher-layer nodes will provide interoperability among heterogeneous services (IP, MPLS, SONET, MSPPs, etc.). Distributed users of the network will be able to configure resources (networking, compute, storage, security, management, etc.) to create dynamic virtual private networks. Connectivity to the infrastructure will be supported across network domains and heterogeneous technologies.

Recognizing the growing importance of commercial mobile radio technologies and applications, the Plan envisions the integration of existing wired, wireless, and IP-based infrastructures into a Next Generation Network fabric supporting secure, end-to-end, heterogeneous, multimedia networking.

While this plan focuses on new capabilities by mid-decade, it is understood that Federal agencies with advanced networking R&D programs will continue to update their research programs as visions and needs change and as research results are integrated into deployed networks. Domain-specific science researchers, advanced networking researchers, program managers, commercial sector users and developers, and others with interests in advanced networking capabilities and research will continue to provide guidance on their changing needs and those of the larger society.

Strategic Vision's Technical Goals

Examples of mission-critical Federal applications that require revolutionary changes in our approach to networks are described on pages 12 and 16. An analysis of these and other applications across the Government resulted in an interagency consensus on high-priority Federal capabilities that need to be in place by the middle of the next decade. Realizing the strategic vision will require R&D advances toward four technically challenging goals:

Provide secure network services anytime, anywhere. Today's Internet cannot provide users with trustworthy (secure, private, and reliable) services anytime and anywhere they are needed. A new generation of concepts, technologies, and systems is needed to leapfrog current limitations. The new services would cover the spectrum of critical Federal and other user needs, from tailored intermittent messaging to soldiers in theatre to high-bandwidth data transfers in large-scale domain-specific scientific research collaborations. The services would be able to hide from users the complexity of the underlying infrastructure, which could include wired, wireless, static, and ad hoc networks deploying a wide variety of heterogeneous technologies. Today, operation and management of services across such networks is challenging. New technologies to manage trust and authentication in these environments, sophisticated middleware that enables cooperative control and fault diagnosis, and next generations of wired and wireless devices are required to make anytime-anywhere advanced services a reality.

Illustrative Goal 1 Application

A multinational group of task forces responding to a local conflict in an area with limited networking resources is able to coordinate information, resources, and command and control across the task forces. They establish an ad hoc wireless network federated across the heterogeneous technologies and equipment of each task force to provide support for: inputs from sensornets, sensors on personnel, and extremely dynamic airborne and satellite sensors; adaptive data and voice networks that maintain connectivity to enable command and control during a dynamic mission; security and privacy tailored to individual components; location-independent addressing; QoS management for priority mission needs; and distributed autonomous self-organization among the diverse entities with centralized oversight to provide responsiveness, avoid chaotic behavior, and improve network performance and reliability.

2 <u>Make secure, global, federated networks possible.</u> Fundamental research breakthroughs are needed to enable networks with differing capabilities and architectures to be linked together around the world to deliver end-to-end services that can meet users' requirements for performance, cost, privacy, security, and advanced services. This research must also enable multi-vendor, multi-carrier, multinational deployment of end-to-end services with appropriate authentication, authorization, and accounting linkages.

Illustrative Goal 2 Application

A scientist at any university in the United States is able to request a massive dataset from an experiment in Europe and have the networks across his campus, his region, the Nation, and Europe negotiate the best way (federated across heterogeneous international networks) – including, for example, transmission protocols, network resources, federated network policies, QoS prioritization, and security – to deliver the data and provide all of the networks the information they need to manage the transfer and account for resources used.

3 <u>Manage network complexity and heterogeneity.</u> Future networks will be more complex and heterogeneous than the current Internet. They will link circuit-switched and packet-switched networks, high-speed optical paths, intermittent planetary-scale paths, sensor networks, and dynamic ad hoc networks. These varied network forms will involve millions or billions of interfaces that will change dynamically. Understanding the behavior of such systems remains, in itself, an enormous technical challenge. Intensive investigations are needed to discover appropriate scientific methods for modeling and analyzing unprecedented levels of network complexity. Such methods are a prerequisite for developing the critical tools that will enable network administrators to manage and control these networks, diagnose their faults and failures, and recognize and respond to attacks. Emphasis is needed on technical approaches to attain simplicity and transparency of design.

Illustrative Goal 3 Application

A new type of attack, capable of causing massive system failure and release of sensitive data, is launched against a networked system. The attack hits the kernel of the operating system and hardware, making it resistant to re-booting. With new technology designed to automatically manage a response across a complex system, the attack is quickly detected; a signature to stop it is synthesized, distributed out of band, and applied throughout the network, slowing the spread of the attack; the attack code is reverse-engineered so that a patch can be synthesized and distributed; the patch is installed to eliminate the vulnerability and restore all systems to an operational state.

4 <u>Foster innovation among the Federal, research, commercial, and other sectors</u> <u>through development of advanced network systems and technologies.</u> Key research, development, and engineering areas must be nurtured to assure continuous improvement of advanced network systems that meet the needs of applications.

Research addressing barriers to commercialization also is needed to facilitate uptake of emerging technologies and broad user adoption.

Illustrative Goal 4 Application

Residents in an urban mountain community become aware of a serious fire but do not know the best escape route, or even if they should remain in place. They lose power, so have no access to computers but do have access to cell phones. Sensors previously placed in the hills help track the fire. New technologies fostered by advanced networking research programs ("smart" cell phones connected through an ad hoc network), enable the residents to access each other, the sensors that track the fire, and central intelligent information servers that help them plan a route to safety. The system continues to work in the face of sensors and transmission towers destroved by the fire and adversarial users.

The Federal government and its private-sector partners should enhance existing research programs to carry out comprehensive, complementary, and synchronized actions focused on attaining these high-priority goals. As networking visions, capabilities and research needs advance as a result of these actions, the Federal government and its private-sector partners should coordinate to focus their efforts on the changing research needs. Federal R&D progress toward the goals, in conjunction with complementary private-sector efforts, should accelerate the evolution of advanced networks including the Internet, as have previous Federal R&D advances.

Mid-Decade Advanced Networking Scenario #1: Civil-Military Crisis Response

In a major crisis, critical infrastructures are destroyed, disrupted, and seriously degraded, including those supporting communication, transportation, health care, electricity, and other resources. Responders must establish information services to coordinate operations within and across their diverse organizations as they tend to human and environmental needs and restore the damaged infrastructure. This is a challenge in natural disasters and accidents such as an explosion at an oil refinery, but when the crisis involves terrorism or other hostile actions, the response also must contend with potential adversarial activities.

Heavy military support for transportation, logistics, and infrastructure augmentation are likely to be required, and military command and control and information systems will potentially be the mainstays of the operation in the early stages, before other capabilities can be brought to bear. In adversarial situations, military and intelligence organizations will also contend with hostile activities and provide information collection and analysis capabilities to help inform the decision makers and the first responders.

First responders will need to assess the situation quickly and thoroughly to determine the location and status of local resources, identify additional resource needs, and plan and manage response actions. Distributed sensors and forward-deployed personnel will provide information on the status of the local population, roads, health care facilities, and weather, and on the ability of local personnel and agencies to participate in the response. Networks will link these sensors, systems, and individuals to one another and to the systems that provide situation assessment and command and control at the local, regional, and national levels. Intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) systems will operate on the ground and in the air to support the full range of activities, including identifying, tracking, and targeting hostile entities.

The military, commercial, and nongovernmental organization networks will be interconnected to establish an ad hoc federation using the best available resources. Adaptive QoS and the ability to coordinate management of network resources will support reliable, responsive, and pervasive network-centric operations from the highest-level command and control locations to the forward-based responders. The network will be built from an ad hoc assembly of radio and free-space optical equipment to support highly dynamic requirements of personnel and devices on the move. It will extend into buildings, tunnels, and other locations where line-of-sight communications are obstructed, and it will provide gateways to national and global networks via airborne relays and satellites. It will support both data transfers and voice, often configured as dynamically changing multicast groups or voice nets. Contention for radio spectrum will be managed across the network and ISR systems to assure that information collection/dissemination requirements can be met.

Responders will be able to receive and transmit appropriately filtered and formatted requests for transportation, medical support, and logistic support with assured security.

Framework for Federal R&D Agenda

The framework for this Plan is the Federal R&D in advanced networking needed through the middle of the next decade to achieve the strategic vision of new government capabilities described above. This R&D includes a subset of the agency activities described in the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Program's *Supplement to the President's Budget* plus additional networking research programs not reported under the NITRD Program. The NITRD Program in advanced networks (as defined in the budget supplement) is funded at approximately \$462.4 million (FY 2008 Estimate); the activities included in the Plan represent fundamental networking research programs of about \$204 million of this total. Operational issues, application-specific software, the deployment of advanced networks to support current Federal research and engineering efforts, and commercial development and deployment of new capabilities are considered to be outside the Plan's framework.

The Task Force analyzed the research needed to achieve each of the Plan's strategic vision goals by the 2015-2016 time frame, from the starting point of the current knowledge base and Federal capabilities for mission-critical objectives. For each of the Plan goals, the following five dimensions of networking research are considered:

Foundations: Develop architectural principles, frameworks, and network models to deal with complexity; heterogeneity; multi-domain federation, management, and transparency; end-to-end performance; and differentiated services.

Design: Develop secure, near-real-time, flexible, adaptive services with built-in intelligence to facilitate discovery, federation, and management of resources across domains and to increase the application robustness and resistance to attack even in extraordinarily complex systems and new ways of interconnecting networks to provide those services.

Security: Achieve a high degree of security even in complex, heterogeneous federation and policy environments, especially in the face of component failures, malicious activities, and attacks, while also respecting privacy and maintaining usability.

Management: Develop management methods and tools that enable effective deployment, control, and utilization of networks and resources in dynamic environments, across domains, and with ever-increasing network and application complexity.

Usability: Develop adaptable, user-centered services and interfaces that promote efficiency, effectiveness, and fulfillment of user needs without overwhelming users with unneeded data – while maintaining appropriate security.

Appendix 2 (page 26) presents, in tabular form, detailed results of the Task Force analysis of Federal networking research needs. The table's four columns identify: (1) specific capabilities needed to achieve each of the Federal Plan's four goals; (2) the current state of practice in each capability; (3) the expected results of the Federal agencies' existing and planned research programs, which are designed to satisfy the agencies' mission needs through the middle of the next decade; and (4) some of the significant challenges that could remain.

The Appendix 2 table is designed to provide a range of policy and planning options for two different timeframes. The accelerated networking security timeframe highlights capabilities that could have substantial impact on improving security in the current networking environment. These capabilities for near-term impact are indicated by underlining in the table. (See also, Section 3: "Accelerated Networking Security Focus.")

The core Plan focuses on the middle of the next decade and has as its goal fundamental advances to transform the networking landscape. The table provides two categories of longer-term targets: those that are accessible under existing or planned programs (column 3) and those that require a more aggressive effort (column 4). The magnitude of the change depends, in large part, on the category of targets selected. Selecting a mix of targets from the two categories can create a range of options.

The increased complexity of future networks requires thinking beyond traditional models for network research (i.e., focused on specific technologies). R&D should target the development of architectures and frameworks that can integrate many technologies to deliver the services needed for mission accomplishment. While incremental engineering advances are necessary in the near- and mid-term timeframes, R&D also must address the basic and applied research to build a more robust science base and to enable more effective engineering for the longer term. To maintain that focus, the coordinated Federal research efforts carried out under this Plan should especially emphasize three key aspects of the technology development and commercialization cycle:

- **Basic and applied research** in the full range of network hardware, software, security, and middleware needed to support the next generation of uses for networks and explore new paths to develop capabilities that cannot be supported on the current evolutionary path
- **Partnerships with application developers, users, and stakeholders** to test basic research ideas on real problems in areas including national security, support of scientific leadership, and human health
- A range of testbeds and prototype networks that enable understanding of the effects of, for example, scale and complexity on the entire networked system

A balanced basic and applied research thrust is necessary to fill the intellectual pipeline with visionary ideas and concepts that push beyond incremental change to suggest fundamentally new networking approaches. The second two emphases are essential to address the enormous and growing complexity of networks, which cannot be effectively understood or evaluated in limited-scale explorations. Partnerships with application developers provide the most powerful means of increasing understanding and analysis of network dynamics in real interactions with mission-critical applications such as large scientific collaborations or disaster recovery.

Similarly, testbeds provide real network environments at scale and with the ad hoc dynamics that researchers can use for experimentation, research, and development on both networking technologies and applications. In addition to advancing understanding,

researchers in at-scale network environments can engage in "clean slate" research to develop competitive new approaches to the most challenging technical problems – such as how to make extreme network complexity manageable. Such at-scale foundational advances should accelerate adoption of useful new technologies. Further, testbeds enable demonstrations of the value of innovative applications as well as development of the networking standards that will drive future communications industries. Testbed demonstrations can include commercial participation to assure that new capabilities can meet standards and economic criteria for adaptation to the marketplace.

Federal work in scientific foundations for advanced networking is particularly important now to drive development of a new generation of approaches and technologies that can provide flexible network resources to enable dynamic scheduling, co-scheduling, allocation, configuration, and use based on user requirements and to increase the security, reliability, flexibility, and end-to-end performance of the Nation's networking infrastructure. This Plan also directly supports the American Competitiveness Initiative's (ACI's) call for increased Federal investment in physical sciences research and in the tools of science – including advanced networking – to enhance U.S. leadership in scientific and technological innovation.

Mid-Decade Advanced Networking Scenario #2: Large-Scale Scientific Research

Many domain-specific science instruments, applications and grids – including the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at the European Organization for Nuclear Research (known as CERN), high-resolution multi-scale climate modeling, fusion energy (the international ITER project), nuclear physics analysis (the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider [RHIC]), and the Open Science Grid (OSG)³ – will be implemented with requirements to move petabytes of data in near-real time among distributed analysis and storage sites*. Physicists using LHC, for example, will have coordinated at sites throughout the world to increase the portion of data that can be analyzed from less than 10 percent to over 90 percent by moving unprecedented amounts of data among distributed analysis sites.

Reliable, near-real-time movement of these data will require moving an exabyte (one billion gigabytes) per year among worldwide sites. This will rely largely on ultrareliable, high-capacity networking, requiring new transport protocols to move hundreds of gigabits per second of data transparently over networks among worldwide sites crossing political and network provider boundaries. Advanced networking capabilities will be needed to provide transparency across highly heterogeneous security policies (including identity management) and network provider technologies.

The development of dynamic network management will enable optimization of international high-capacity network links to assure priority data transport when needed, while allowing other uses at less demanding times. In an era in which large science projects are increasingly international, advanced networks will be critical to enable the Federal government to reap the benefits of its investments in these facilities. In addition, advanced networks will enable the best researchers to use the most important data to do the best science independent of the location of their institutions. All domain-specific scientists will be integrally involved in identifying user requirements and research needs and in developing and testing new capabilities.

³ Other domain-specific research networks include:

⁻ Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory (LIGO)

⁻ Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (NEES)

⁻ Collaborative Large-scale Engineering Analysis Network for Environmental Research (CLEANER)

⁻ National Nanotechnology Infrastructure Network (NNIN

2. Technical Discussion of Strategic Vision Goals

Each of this Plan's strategic vision goals presents challenges that require significant enhancements to the architectural, scientific, and engineering basis for networking. Meeting the goals will require increased understanding of how complex, dynamic, heterogeneous networks behave and how they can be managed and controlled. Advances will enable delivery of increased levels of service within natural constraints, such as the speed of light, or administrative constraints, such as limitations of the available radio spectrum. The following discussions briefly describe the technical challenges to be overcome.

Goal 1: Provide Secure Network Services Anytime, Anywhere

Goal 1 is to provide reliable, secure network services, unimpeded by user mobility and able to draw upon all available transport means in support of any application or service demand. Network resources can be managed to meet user needs and priorities, with a robust ability for distributed, real-time resource control and a mix of management and monitoring (some parts centralized with some parts distributed to end users so different monitoring and fault diagnosis tools tailored to the management and application needs can be used) to assure stability and responsiveness. Resource management contends with requests for scarce resources such as spectrum for radio links or QoS within an overall network that also includes optical and landline links. Networks can support a mix of high-throughput and low-throughput needs and can tolerate delay and disruption. Security and service protection can contend with adversarial actions, natural disasters, and unintentional interference and can maintain and restore services based on operational priorities as well as customer service agreements. The questions below suggest the research areas in which significant challenges need to be addressed.

- **Foundations**: Can we develop frameworks, architectures, and policies for protocols, services, and management that enable diverse applications over diverse and heterogeneous network transport technologies to provide end-to-end performance and differentiated levels of QoS and security?
- Design: How do we enable secure, near-real-time, flexible, adaptive end-to-end services for dynamic, heterogeneous environments? For example, a system to support medical interventions in a disaster area might require high bandwidth to send X-ray data and lower-bandwidth, ultra-low-jitter services for medical device control, both services preemptively scheduled and using wired and wireless technologies including ad hoc networks. Engineering such a system will require tools to federate heterogeneous capabilities to provide the necessary levels and guarantees of service.
- Security: How do we provide multi-domain identity management and secure access to services in the face of natural faults and attacks, particularly those that are previously unseen, can impact many components, that spread rapidly, and that modify themselves in transit? Mitigation methods must respect policy and privacy, particularly when sensors associated with humans are involved.

- **Management:** Can we develop techniques and tools to manage services that rapidly adapt to policies and changing availability of services with a high degree of automated functioning?
- Usability: Can we develop services that adapt to the rapidly changing needs and contexts (including social, economic, and legal) of users, deliver high performance, and hide complexity?

Goal 2: Make Secure, Global, Federated Networks Possible

Today's Internet is a federation of literally thousands of independent networks operated by all types of entities, from commercial telecommunications providers to small companies and non-profit organizations, worldwide. The current Internet approach to linking these networks together through the Border Gateway Protocol and Layer 3 peering has resulted in global connectivity; however, it does not support many of the advanced services or levels of assurance that will be required in the future. In addition, the federations of the future will include networks (e.g., wireless, mobile, ad hoc) with novel characteristics that must be integrated. The questions below highlight some of the critical areas of research in global federated networks that need to be addressed.

- **Foundations:** How do we develop architectural principles, such as design principles for network-to-network interfaces capable of learning, that will enable federations of networks to reliably provide a rich set of end-to-end services on demand? How can such federations share enough data across network boundaries to provide these services without compromising the integrity of the individual members of the federation?
- **Design:** How do we enable users to discover, schedule, and monitor resources across a federation without requiring them to become network wizards?
- Security: How do we provide protection of privacy, confidentiality, and property rights across varying technical, legal, and regulatory frameworks cooperatively among networks to cope with natural faults and attacks, given that each network has its own policies with attendant security and privacy needs? This cooperation must respect the needs of the individual networks but also be effective to stem attacks, especially previously unseen attacks.
- **Management:** How can networks work together across a federation to optimize their joint ability to deliver services to users in a way that scales to federations with hundreds of members? How do we provide out-of-band management channels to help diagnose and correct problems in the event of catastrophic failures?
- Usability: Can we build federations that seamlessly link different technologies, types of networks, and network administrations to enable users to flexibly develop new services and researchers to rapidly test new ideas?

Goal 3: Manage Network Complexity and Heterogeneity

Communications networks are among the most complex structures that have ever been developed. Billions of end nodes are interconnected by millions of devices scattered across the world and in some cases the solar system. In addition, only small islands

within this system are controlled by a single entity. These systems can change their position in space and their topology of interconnection in time, sometimes rapidly. Often, the networks at all layers comprise multiple, simultaneous topologies that interact and change during the course of transactions. The result is a highly complex and interacting ensemble of dynamic, nonlinear processes that will exhibit chaotic and complex emergent behaviors and must be treated as such – not as the more stable and disciplined networks of the past. Understanding the behavior of this type of system is a critical prerequisite for networking advances, as is determining how to exercise a level of control that is appropriate. The questions below highlight some of the critical areas of research in complexity and heterogeneity that need to be addressed.

- **Foundations:** Is there a theory for complexity in networks that can lead to optimal architectural choices and enable us to describe, predict, and control the networks of the future?
- **Design:** How do we build new types of networks that integrate network devices and end systems in a way that adapts to the resources of all of the devices in real time and increases the robustness of these complex systems? What abstractions and principles of design can reduce complexity and provide more manageable systems?
- Security: Can we develop new theories and models for security in massive, complex networks including a control model of security; implementation of diversity, randomness, and deception to foil an attacker; and game theory to model an attacker and the defense?
- **Management:** How do we develop management tools and models that enable small groups of people to effectively deploy, control, and manage networks with exponentially increasing complexity?
- Usability: How do we build tools that enable automation of much of the management of complex networks and provide humans with the right information at the right time to enable human intervention when it is needed? For example, research is needed on a national public safety network using complex, tightly coupled networked systems to respond to terrorist attacks, natural disasters, and catastrophic accidents.

Goal 4: Foster Innovation among the Federal, Research, Commercial, and Other Sectors through Development of Advanced Network Systems and Technologies

Advanced networks are built on a number of fundamental technologies including software protocols, optical switching devices, semiconductor designs, and adaptable radio frequency (RF) technologies. New technologies are continually developed and implemented to enable new applications. Each fundamental technology must be integrated into the overall network. This integration is expected to significantly impact each of the first three goals – in some cases, in a truly disruptive way. The questions below highlight the areas in which significant challenges need to be addressed.

• **Foundations:** Can we develop automated, adaptive, and dynamic technologies to provide routing, transport, and management across heterogeneous network transport technologies, protocols, and policy domains?

- **Design:** How do we build technologies with a high degree of automated intelligence for dynamic adaptive interoperation of components and services across heterogeneous network transport technologies, protocols, and policy domains even while they are under attack?
- Security: Can we develop new security devices and technologies, including quantum cryptography and key distribution, tamper-resistant co-processors that enforce security policies or monitor other processors, trusted chips, minimal- or zero-kernel operating systems that are provably secure, new evaluation methods (including methods to analyze complex programs) for security, and hardware techniques that enforce separation of processes?
- Management: To what extent can we develop technologies to automatically manage services and resources (including power) across domains in heterogeneous environments? For wireless services, can we reduce system size and dynamically manage spectrum and power usage?
- Usability: How do we provide integrated photonic and electronic circuits to support high-capacity, high-data-rate functionality? How do we enable users to relearn, retrain, and adapt to the rapidly changing technologies?

3. Accelerated Networking Security Focus

While the longer-term goals in this Plan have targeted timeframes in the middle of the next decade, the Task Force recognized that some special focus and prioritization is needed to respond to current national networking security concerns. The networking security component of the Plan provides the option for accelerated R&D in certain areas to meet the national requirements for increased networking security, reliability and cyber defense. The special focus could help protect critical infrastructure and strengthen strategic networks in both routine and crisis situations.

Each of the four goal areas defined in Section 1 above includes capabilities that could accelerate progress towards a secure and reliable networking landscape. The selected capabilities are listed under each goal below. These capabilities –described in greater detail in the table of Appendix 2, where they are identified by underlining – align closely with the strategic Federal R&D objectives set forth in the April 2006 *Federal Plan for Cyber Security and Information Assurance Research and Development*.

The accelerated networking security component of this Plan embraces the same vision and framework as the core Plan component and comprises a subset of the same capabilities. Thus, pursuing the accelerated networking security focus effectively accelerates progress on the core Plan component.

Goal 1: Provide Secure Network Services Anytime, Anywhere

Assure network, device, and information security, reliability, and availability for all types of users, network implementations (wired and wireless), and physical and logical topologies. R&D in:

Survivable Services:

- Shared situational awareness
- Minimized effect of denial of service
- Correct routing and forwarding of traffic in the face of attack
- Security for survivability
- New, adaptive transport protocols
- Dynamic negotiation of QoS

Protection of Information:

- Identification, authentication, and authorization across heterogeneous policy domains
- Fine-grained protection of data distributed across a network
- Alerts when information protection is threatened by unknown attacks
- New models for detection and prevention of release, accidental or otherwise, of proprietary and private information (exfiltration)

Goal 2: Make Secure, Global, Federated Networks Possible

Assure all members of an ad hoc federation that their systems and data are protected to the same degree as within their own domains. R&D in:

Multi-level Identity, Security, and Privacy across Domains:

- Support of access control at a fine-grained level
- Ability to move data and processes across domains while respecting security, privacy, and regulatory concerns

Policy-Enabled Security Management and Real-Time Adaptation:

• Ability to enforce policies in real-time under threat situations

Cooperative Defense against Cyber Attacks:

- Ability to operate normally through attacks
- Automated protection adapted to environment and policy

Goal 3: Manage Network Complexity and Heterogeneity

Provide capability to understand and manage services and assure security and availability across complex, self-organizing systems. Improve the usability of security and privacy applications to reduce human risks. R&D in:

Trust in Complex Environments:

- Trust models that accurately reflect the security state of nodes in a network and permit the automatic association of trust with nodes
- Metrics to support quantitative assessment of the trustworthiness of complex networks and systems
- Engineering methodologies, design principles, formal techniques, and modeling tools that can be used to facilitate the construction of secure networks of unprecedented complexity

Goal 4: Foster Innovation among the Federal, Research, Commercial, and Other Sectors through Development of Advanced Network Systems and Technologies

Improve ability to produce and verify trusted technologies, including software and devices; assure development partners that their products and data are protected. R&D in:

Secure Hosts/Devices:

- Secure development environments including the authentication of developers and the pedigree of code
- Design trustworthy hosts/devices such as virtualized, high assurance platforms
- Establish composability of system security properties
- Enable trustworthy execution of mission on potentially compromised networks / systems

4. Research Priorities and Federal Agency Research Interests

Networking Research Priorities

This Plan envisions new, dynamic networking capabilities including wavelength-routing optical switches with switching times on the order of a few nanoseconds, transparent interoperability among heterogeneous services (IP, MPLS, SONET, MSPPs, etc.), and the creation of dynamic virtual private networks. It supports the future ability to integrate existing wired, wireless, and IP-based infrastructures into a Next Generation Network fabric supporting secure, end-to-end, heterogeneous, multimedia networking. Appendix 2 identifies many of the capabilities needed to support this vision that will be enabled by 2015-2016 as a result of the current priorities and research programs of the Federal agencies. These programs are focused on the networking needs of the agencies to address their agency missions and are among their current priorities. Federal agencies with advanced networking R&D programs will continue to update their priorities and research programs as visions and needs change and as research results are integrated into deployed networks. These updates will be guided by input from domain-specific science and advanced networking researchers, program managers, commercial sector users and developers, and others with interests in advanced networking capabilities and research.

The NITRD program provides a forum for coordination among the Federal agencies on Networking and Information Technology Research and Development. In the Large Scale Networking Coordinating Group (LSN CG) of the NITRD Subcommittee, the Federal agencies present their networking research priorities, programs, and agendas to provide a common view across the agencies of the full spectrum of Federal networking priorities and research. This enables the Federal agencies to cooperatively develop research policies, priorities, and programs to address the dynamic, changing needs for networking research. Thus research priorities are developed on a continuing basis in consultation among the Federal networking research agencies.

Appendix 2 of this document identifies projected networking capabilities in the 2015-2016 time frame given the current Federal agency networking research programs. The appendix also identifies remaining network challenges and capabilities in 2015-2016 that are needed to empower the networking vision. These are the capabilities that could accelerate progress towards a secure and reliable networking landscape. They are near term priorities for networking research investments.

Federal Agency Research Interests

Federal agency research programs are designed to address the networking research needed to support the agency mission requirements. The Federal agencies have current networking research programs, presented in Appendix 3, to address the current priorities and needs of the agencies. Representative current research areas for the agencies include:

- NSF: Theoretical foundations, cyber trust, sensor systems, and applications support
- DoD: Dynamic secure wireless technologies, sensornets, secure networking in challenging environments
- DARPA: Dynamic secure wireless technology, heterogeneous networking, trustworthy systems, management of dynamic complex networks
- DOE/Office of Science: Petascale data transport, QoS, distributed largescale science cooperation, secure Grid environments
- NASA: Large-scale data transfer, disruption tolerant networking, multicast
- NSA: Cognitive radio technology, Delay Tolerant Networks, control plane
- NOAA: Applications, data transport, and collaboration environments
- NIH/NLM: Large data set access, disaster response, applications (BIRN, caBIG, Visible Human, MedlinePlus)
- NIST: Architecture and standards for resilience/robust/secure networks, resilient mobile wireless, performance measurement
- USDA: Rural telecommunications technologies, sensornet testbeds

These basic interests, supporting the missions of the agencies, are expected to continue in the future. However, in the dynamic networking environment (where technical capabilities advance, new and changing applications need new types of network support, and responses to new security challenges are needed) agency research strategies and priorities must be responsive to opportunities presented by the changing landscape.

5. Conclusions

The overall conclusions of the Task Force can be summarized as follows:

1. Improved networking security and reliability are strategic national priorities.

Advanced networking research not only empowers key Federal missions – national defense, homeland security, including emergency and disaster response, and leading-edge scientific research – but drives U.S. economic competitiveness and innovation throughout the private sector. This conclusion is consistent with that of the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) in its recent assessment of the NITRD program:

"U.S. leadership in advanced networking is a strategic national priority."

2. New paths to advanced networking are needed.

The current generation of networking technologies has inherent limitations and vulnerabilities. A research and development strategy is required that both ensures the emergence of new technologies, tools, and capabilities to strengthen network security and reliability, and supports rapid transfer of these technologies to the commercial sector. The path described in this Plan focuses on the networking research dimensions of Foundations, Design, Security, Management, and Usability.

3. Federal R&D efforts will support a spectrum of advanced networking capabilities.

A spectrum of capabilities will create the advanced networking landscape of the future and this requires a broad Federal R&D effort. These capabilities include effective security, anytime-anywhere networking, the ability to manage network complexity and heterogeneity, and continuing innovation for networking leadership.

4. Close cooperation is needed to integrate Federal R&D efforts with the full technology development cycle.

Close cooperation is needed among Federal research managers, researchers, application developers, users, stakeholders and international partners to develop and test basic research ideas on "real world" applications in areas including national security, support of scientific leadership, and human health.

5. Testbeds and prototype networks enable research on network challenges in realistic environments.

Testbeds and prototypes offer a variety of settings ranging from the disruptive and unstable to production and at-scale environments. Some network research and development advances will necessarily be pursued on testbeds that must be disconnected or in a restrained environment to allow for disruptive network experimentation. Other forms of prototyping and trialing will occur with more mature ideas and development, in settings that may even blend into a production network environment. A number of testbed facilities, over a range of size, connectedness, ability to integrate with applications, and other dimensions would support the diverse types and scales of experimentation and prototyping that, in the end, will achieve key advances in networking. Public-private partnerships can also leverage these Federal research resources for the development, adoption, and commercialization of research results and standards.

Appendix 1 Charge by the Director, Office of Science and Technology Policy

January 30, 2007

Dear Committee on Technology Members,

I am writing to inform you of the establishment of the Interagency Task Force on Advanced Networking under the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Subcommittee. As detailed in the attached terms of reference, this group is charged with developing an interagency Federal Plan for Advanced Networking Research and Development.

The Federal government depends upon fundamental advances in networking technology for enhancing a wide range of applications including emergency response, national security and emergency preparedness communications, defense mission support, health care information technology, secure economic transactions, distributed intelligence applications, and advanced scientific computing. These applications share a need for faster, more secure, more reliable, and more robust networks than are currently available. Federal basic research investments enable accelerated development of these networks to support government needs, and can also lead to substantial improvements in commercially deployed networking that is an important driver of the U.8. economy.

The agency representation on the Task Force should, to the extent possible, reflect the full range of relevant Federal activities, missiona, needs, and perspectives. Agency participation in the development of the Plan should be followed by full commitment to its implementation. Task force members are asked to produce a draft Plan by May 2007, to be followed by a final Plan as seen as possible thereafter. This schedule will allow timely input during the FY 2009 budget planning cycle and will require substantial time commitment by agency representatives. Department and agency leaders are asked to encourage and support the participation of their staff in this important activity.

Sincerely, Al-Marburgy

John H. Marburger, III Director

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

TERMS OF REFERENCE

Interagency Task Force on Advanced Networking

The Interagency Task Force on Advanced Networking (ITFAN) is hereby established under the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Subcommittee of the National Science and Technology Council. The ITFAN is charged with developing an interagency Federal Plan for Advanced Networking Research and Development that will lay out a comprehensive research and development strategy necessary to facilitate the successful development of and transition to future network architectures. The Plan should include the following components:

- A strategic vision for advanced networking that addresses the current and future networking needs of Federal agencies, the commercial sector, and the academic community.
- Recommended scope and objectives for Federal advanced networking R&D to appropriately support the defined needs, and how these objectives relate to those of the private sector.
- Identification of existing networking R&D programs and investments, a gap analysis of existing versus needed advanced networking R&D, and prioritization of advanced networking R&D needs.
- Identification and prioritization of advanced networking R&D needs, including architectures, systems, services, R&D infrastructure, and technology transfer that must be advanced to strengthen the foundation for advanced networking technologies.
- 5. A process for developing an implementation roadmap that will guide future advanced networking R&D activities, including individual Federal agency and coordinated multiagency activities. The process should ensure that the implementation roadmap will represent the full breadth of relevant agency activities, will identify specific agencies best able to carry out both individual and multi-agency activities based on expertise and facilities, and will reflect the relative priorities identified by the Task Force.

The *Plan* should also include an analysis of the appropriate roles for Federal investment in R&D to address the networking needs of the Federal government that are unlikely to be met by existing or future commercial networks. In particular, the advanced networking requirements of Federal agencies with a scientific research and development mission and Federal agencies with a homeland and/or national security mission should be recognized, particularly where agency missions are likely to be adversely affected by a lack of progress in advanced networking technologies.

The Mulagy

John H. Marburger, III Director, Office of Science and Technology Policy

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Appendix 2 Network Research Challenges, by Goal

This appendix presents in tabular form the results of the Task Force analysis of the Federal networking research program. For each of the Plan's strategic vision goals (subdivided into the research dimensions of Foundations, Design, Security, Management, and Usability), the table shows four categories of information. The first column of the table identifies a specific capability. The second column summarizes the current state of practice in that area. The third column displays the expected results of the Federal agencies' existing and planned research programs, which are designed to satisfy the agencies' mission needs through the middle of the next decade. The table's fourth column lists some of the significant challenges that could remain.

The table is designed to provide a range of policy and planning options for two different timeframes. A near-term timeframe highlights capabilities that could have substantial impact on improving security in the current networking environment. These capabilities for near-term impact are indicated in the table with text underlining.

The longer timeframe focuses on the middle of the next decade and has as its goal fundamental advances in the networking landscape. The table provides two categories of longer-term targets: those that are accessible under existing or planned programs (column 3) and those that require a more intensive effort (column 4). The magnitude of the change depends, in large part, on the category of targets selected. Selecting a mix of targets from the two categories can create a range of longer-term options.

| Goa | Goal 1: Secure Network Services Anytime, Anywhere | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Capabilities for Foundations Goals | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade Projection | Remaining Challenges | | |
| Service virtualization | Programmability only available on small-scale test beds Concepts for service virtualization are known, but not yet widely tested or deployed | Programmability in the software is available at distinct levels Progress in self-configuration and dynamic adoption | Virtualization for large-scale networking; wireless networks; security, and e-science research Minimal-size resource controllers, programmable hardware and software, and optimized resource and scheduling models | | |
| Multicasting | • Dynamic and heterogeneous multicasting demonstrated with limitations on scalability and | Developing ability for dynamic and heterogeneous multicast. Wireless users can change physical position yet remain connected | Context, time, and location aware multicasting Scalability and resource optimization for | | |

| Quality of Service | cross-domain functionality for the general Internet • QoS is primarily static, prearranged | Automated management of group connectivity High utilization of available link bandwidth over sporadic links Emerging ability for timely adaptation of QoS | groups that span domains, change membership frequently, and involve heterogeneous technologies • Delivery assurance contending with diverse requirements, throughput and delay constraints • See also security challenges • Dynamic and on demand end-to-end |
|---|--|--|--|
| | and constrained to single domains • Commercial capabilities provide setup of point-to- point services with guaranteed QOS within a single domain • Some applications can set the QoS field per the application requirement. | • QoS based upon mission parameters and developed automatically | resource scheduling and allocation with guarantees • Unicast, multicast, and broadcast messaging with diverse levels of QoS |
| Architectures for future services | • Collections of mechanisms and schemes, but no holistic view in operational systems; some progress in research | New Architectures reveal important functions like location and performance New protocol stack and network management support robust mobile operations; higher data rate, less overhead and repetition | Reference framework with new layers better adapted to heterogeneous, on-the-move networking; multimedia messaging; distributed control; network churning Network protocols, services, management concepts that allow diverse applications over diverse transport network technologies, with different levels of QoS and security along an end-to-end path |
| • Distributed, self-organizing | • All current adaptive, self- | • Scalability to tens of hundreds of nodes and | • Understanding and modeling of complex |

| services | organizing | stability improvements for | systems will impact |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| | processes, | adaptive, self-organizing | all aspects of |
| | including Mobile | processes | dynamics, |
| | ad hoc Networks | • Emerging ability to | scalability, topology, |
| | (MANETs) have | understand state of | and heterogeneity |
| | scalability and | <u>complex systems</u> | • Ability to respond |
| | availability issues. | sufficiently to establish mixed distributed and | to attacks or to contend with |
| | • Local algorithms can provide some | <u>centralized monitoring</u> | undesired emergent |
| | "self-correcting" | and control processes | behaviors |
| | behaviors but they | and control processes | • Use of semantic |
| | are currently | | web technologies to |
| | limited in | | enable intelligent |
| | scalability and | | control, including |
| | stability | | tagging and |
| | • Lack of formal | | federation of |
| | mathematical | | services across |
| | principles for | | heterogeneous |
| | understanding | | domains and |
| | complex, adaptive | | semantics |
| | behaviors | | |
| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining |
| Design Goals | | Projection | Challenges |
| • Always | Best effort | • Near real-time | Adaptable wireless |
| available | delivery with | management of spectrum | networks that trade- |
| | potential for | contention | off programmability |
| | differentiated | Wireless users can | and dynamic |
| | services on a | change location yet | optimization of |
| | prearranged basis | remain connected | spectrum use |
| | Domain access | Intelligent network | Capability for |
| | and interconnect | maintains connectivity | scalability, self- |
| | prearranged by | and message delivery | interference (co-site, |
| | service agreements. | • High utilization of | near/far) and |
| | Heterogeneous | available link bandwidth | adversarial interference |
| | internetworking with satellite | over sporadic links. • Improved data rates in | Capability to |
| | communications | urban settings by | operate while under |
| | backbone subject to | exploiting multiple | attack and in face of |
| | Satcom availability | pathways (multipath) | natural faults |
| | and cost | F | Higher layer |
| | | | processes, protocols, |
| | | | and APIs to contend |
| | | | with network churn, |
| | | | disruptions, and |
| | | | outages |
| | | | Dynamic inter- |
| | | | domain connectivity |
| | | | and resource |
| | | | management that |
| | | | accounts for |
| | | | variations in user |
| | | | demands and network state. |
| | | | Network state.Services where |
| | | | • Services where infrastructure is |
| 1 1 | | | minastructure is |

| | | | lacking or damaged. |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | • On-demand QoS per connection, |
| | | | session, or by |
| | | | reservation |
| • Reliable | Adaptation of | • MANET ability to | Adaptive services |
| services on- | Internet protocols | support clusters at | (including security, |
| the-move | to support nomadic | medium scale | privacy, and QoS) |
| | and limited on-the- | Smooth mobility within | that reflect priorities |
| | move services, but | wireless clusters in one | with minimal |
| | all Mobile Ad Hoc | domain | disruptions during |
| | Networks (MANET) suffer | • Direct sequence spread spectrum improves | transitions Wireless ability to |
| | scalability | robustness and capacity | move smoothly |
| | limitations due to | for mobile, tactical | across domains |
| | frequency | wireless networks and | Adaptive solutions |
| | availability | reduces dependence on | through new |
| | Movement across | infrastructure | protocols to provide |
| | domains without | Improved data rates in | scalability, stability, |
| | manual | urban settings by | and real time response |
| | intervention, but | exploiting multipath | for auto- |
| | not without brief | Hybrid Free Space Optics/PE link and | (re)configuration and maintenance of |
| | disruption of service | Optics/RF link and networking scheme that | services across all |
| | • Adaptive power | yields high availability | users and network |
| | control in wireless | and data rate | domains |
| | networks | Wireless network | • RF issues |
| | | scalability increases at | including spectrum |
| | | least one order of | constraints, co-site |
| | | magnitude | interference, near-far |
| | | • Networks adapt to loss | interference and |
| | | of nodes and other | adversarial intrusion |
| | | topology changes | and disruption in wireless portions of |
| | | | the network |
| | | | Relays to contend |
| | | | with line-of-sight |
| | | | limitations |
| | | | • Develop models, |
| | | | policies, and |
| | | | management structures for |
| | | | adaptive mobile |
| | | | networks |
| | | | Common interface |
| | | | standards for |
| | | | protocol conversion |
| | | | • Programmable and |
| | | | self-configurable |
| | | | network interfaces |
| | | | and protocols |
| | | | (including link and physical layer) for |
| | | | roaming through |
| | | | very diverse domains |
| | | | • Mobile user |

| | • Information at your fingertips | Network status information is available primarily at centralized network operations facilities Minimal ability for users or gateway/cluster- head nodes to view and interpret status or automatically insert management change requests Other types of information in data bases distributed across network are accessible with the aid of web crawlers and browsers, but tailoring to user needs is not supported in most cases Limited demonstration of | Emerging ability for high level nodes (gateways, cluster-heads) as well as formal network operations centers to view network status and insert change requests. Initial ability of agents to identify and tailor data to user | services adapted for individual users based on location, user tasks/interests, and current context • Individual privacy against intrusive tracking while on the move • Distributed network/storage to assure continuity of services under adversarial conditions • Complete network status information available and sharable across network entities end- end. • Embedded measurement in large scale testbeds with ability to support users at various levels of security during simultaneous experiments • State information at a level of abstraction suitable for understanding of status and with drill- down capability for proactive and reactive network management, diagnostics, and forensics • Intelligent data |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | demonstration of multicast network management enabling any node to access | | • Intelligent data collection, storage and movement for use by applications and users |
| | | management services | | |
| | Transport | • Routing, | Hybrid packet and | Architecture and |
| 1 | for | switching, and | circuit switching | protocols to optimize |
| | heterogeneous | service delivery | improves transport | transport according |
| 1 | mix of | protocols are | efficiency by an order of | to message type and |
| 1 | demands | predefined and | magnitude • Knowledge value | QoS requirements in real time. |
| 1 | | optimized for either packet switched or | Knowledge-value oriented transport | • Knowledge-value |
| 1 | | circuit switched | improves "effective QoS" | based multicast |
| L | | circuit switched | mproves effective Qos | Dascu municast |

| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining |
|------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | |
| | ability to adapt in near real time for a changing mix of diverse services demands | Hardware and software mechanisms increase throughput by a factor of 10 Architecture, protocols, and control and management software for highly dynamic, multi- terabit global core fiber- optical networks | hoc networks with diverse, unpredictable traffic loading and with uncertainties at the network physical level • Simultaneous, adaptive multiplexing of services ranging from high throughput, long duration transmissions to short burst traffic |
| | • High data rate, long duration transport over packet switching supportable by reservation protocols, but little | and ability to adapt to diverse demand. Proactive link selection mechanisms optimize use of available diverse link types on platforms while adapting to environment and user demands | messaging adapted in real time to widely heterogeneous network states and individual user requirements • Optimize performance for ad |

| С | apabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining |
|----|-----------------|--|--|---|
| Se | ecurity Goals | | Projection | Challenges |
| | Survivable | Network defense | <u>Shared situational</u> | In the face of |
| | services | mainly reactive, | awareness improves | attack, maintain |
| | | with forensic | ability to contain damage | correct routing and |
| | | analytical ability | to networks | forwarding of traffic |
| | | but little proactive | Automated ability to | Provide |
| | | defense | proactively adapt to | differentiated |
| | | Restoration relies | attacks and to assist | services and |
| | | mainly on manual | manual restoration | preemption where |
| | | processes. | processes. | needed |
| | | Emerging mobile, | <u>Minimized effect of</u> | Assurance for |
| | | tactical | Denial of Service (DoS) | critical services in |
| | | technologies | worm-based attacks (to | face of attacks and |
| | | provide automated | include zero-day | natural faults. |
| | | survivability | exploits). Objectives are | <u>Security for</u> |
| | | services | automatic detection, | survivability; self- |
| | | Scalability, | quarantine, and recovery; | healing and |
| | | dynamic range, and | containment to 1% of | disruptive tolerant |
| | | potential | network functioning; | mechanisms |
| | | adversarial impacts | recovery in minutes; high | Contend with |
| | | are being | Probability of Detection | adversarial actions |
| | | investigated | /Low Probability of | targeting distributed, |
| | | • Ad hoc | <u>Failure</u> | self-organizing |
| | | coordination of | Hybrid Free Space | processes |
| | | disaster response | Optical/RF link and | Multilevel cross- |
| | | and public safety | networking scheme for | domain situation |
| | | networks | high availability and data | awareness and |
| | | | rate, with physical media | control for |
| | | | diversity to contend with | cooperative defense. |
| | | | attacks | Affordable |

| | 1 | | | |
|---|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | Policies and self- | architectures for |
| | | | organizing technologies | wide scale |
| | | | for disaster response and | deployment of large |
| | | | public safety networks | scale network |
| | | | | defense |
| | | | | Virtualization |
| | | | | technologies for |
| | | | | active network |
| | | | | defense, to observe |
| | | | | network services |
| | | | | under stress or |
| | | | | attack, and to |
| | | | | "disinform" and |
| | | | | confound malicious |
| | | | | actors |
| | | | | • <u>New transport</u> |
| | | | | protocols that can |
| | | | | 1 |
| | | | | adapt to different |
| | | | | applications and |
| 1 | | | | transport media |
| | | | | • <u>Dynamic</u> |
| | | | | negotiation of QoS |
| | | | | when under attack or |
| | | | | subject to natural |
| | | | | degradation or |
| | | | | <u>disruption</u> |
| | Protection of | Over-the-air key | Multi-level access | Information |
| | information | distribution but | controls within | protection for |
| | | based largely on | prearranged secure | diverse needs |
| | | predetermined user | enclaves that can cross | (beyond multi-level |
| | | addresses and | some domains | security and |
| | | locations | | compartmentalizatio |
| | | Severely limited | | n) |
| | | ability for multi- | | <u>Identification</u>, |
| | | level security and | | authentication, and |
| | | privacy | | authorization of |
| | | | | <u>network</u> |
| | | | | devices/users across |
| | | | | <u>multiple</u> |
| | | | | heterogeneous policy |
| | | | | domains, including |
| | | | | device security |
| | | | | posture, reputation, |
| | | | | posture, reputation, |
| 1 | | | | and geographic |
| | | | | |
| | | | | and geographic |
| | | | | and geographic location |
| | | | | and geographic location • Fine grained |
| | | | | and geographic location • Fine grained protection of data |
| | | | | and geographic location • Fine grained protection of data distributed across a |
| | | | | and geographic location • Fine grained protection of data distributed across a network • Alerts when |
| | | | | and geographic location • Fine grained protection of data distributed across a network • Alerts when information |
| | | | | and geographic location • Fine grained protection of data distributed across a network • Alerts when information protection is |
| | | | | and geographic location • Fine grained protection of data distributed across a network • Alerts when information |
| | | | | and geographic location • Fine grained protection of data distributed across a network • <u>Alerts when</u> information protection is threatened by unknown attacks |
| | | | | and geographic location • Fine grained protection of data distributed across a network • Alerts when information protection is threatened by |

| | prevention of |
|--|-----------------------|
| | release, accidental o |
| | otherwise, of |
| | proprietary and |
| | private information |
| | (exfiltration) |
| | Protection in face |
| | of exploitation of |
| | covert or |
| | cryptographic side |
| | channels |
| | Identification of |
| | potential information |
| | flow channels but |
| | combined with |
| | dynamic analysis to |
| | have the potential to |
| | identify all flows in |
| | violation of a |
| | protection policy |
| | Multilevel access |
| | privileges for |
| | heterogeneous |
| | multicast groups |
| | • Encryption while |
| | maintaining policy |
| | enforcement points |
| | and associated |
| | security services, |
| | e.g., intrusion |
| | protection and acce |
| | control via firewalls |

| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Management Goals | | Projection | Challenges |
| Topology and | Minimal ability to | Automated assistance | Automated policy |
| policy | change QoS | for management of | adaptation to rapidly |
| management | policies and | priorities for classes of | changing contexts to |
| | topologies over | users within domains with | reflect availability of |
| | time or to | manual enactment aided | critical resources and |
| | coordinate | by predefined templates. | mission needs |
| | priorities across | Manual network tuning | Delegation of |
| | domains | replaced with automated | management |
| | Different types of | adaptation | authority to the |
| | management | Identity management | edges of the |
| | systems available | federated across multiple | network, negotiation |
| | that do not | domains | of authority, and |
| | interoperate. | | management actions |
| | Identity | | at boundaries of |
| | management in | | other domains |
| | limited domains | | Distributed sharing |
| | | | of knowledge of |
| | | | network state, |
| | | | projected needs, and |
| | | | other basic factors, |
| | | | with cross domain |

| Resource control and assignment · Predetermined QoS and resource control and assignment · Predetermined QoS and resource control and assignment · Predetermined QoS and resource control policies · Management and control relies mainy on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues · Network and transport layer policy management · Prolication of networks, throughput rates, and queues · Application of networks, throughput rates, and queues · Portication of networks, throughput rates, and queues · Application of resources, · Application of networks, throughput rates, and queues · Application of networks, throughput rates, and queues · Application of networks, throughput rates, and queues · Application of resources, · Inproved scalability and processes to assure stability robustness, and optimized decord resources · Inproved scalability and processing loads for large domain and cross domain | | | | | ··· · |
|---|----------|------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Resource control and assignment Predetermined QoS and resource control and assignment Management and proactive (near real time QoS management, and proactive (near real time) management, and proactive (near real time) management of routing and switching topologies and protocols and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues Angement and protocols and control relies mainly on static to control with hot spots and control of resources. Management and protocols and control relies mainly on static to control with hot spots and choke points Application of networks, throughput rates, and queues Application of networks and choke points Application of networks and control of resources. Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time | | | | | access policies |
| | | | | | - |
| • Resource control and assignment • Predetermined QoS and resource control relies mainly on static view of networks; throughput rates, and queues • Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Predetermined QoS and resource control of networks; * Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Predetermined QoS and resource control of networks; * Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks; throughput rates, and queues • Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Proactive, real time assignment • Resource control of resources to satisfy control • Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Proactive, real time assignment • Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonomous processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time • Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | |
| Resource control and assignment • Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues • Network and transport layer policy management to resources to control policies and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues • Network and transport layer policy management to spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management set put time | | | | | Local decisions |
| • Optimize network management to prevent a need for over provisioning • Fully integrated network operations capabilities including: fault management, information assurance management, and proactive (near real time) management of routing and switching topologies and protocols • Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues • Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points • Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time | | | | | |
| Resource control and assignment Predetermined QoS and resource control policies Management and control relies Management and queues Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control relies. Management and control relies. Management and queues Near real time QoS management of resources control policies. Management and control relies. Management and queues Near real time QoS management of resources. Management and control relies. Management and queues Near real time QoS management of resources. Management and control relies. Management and queues Near real time QoS management of resources. Minagement and control relies. Management and queues Near real time QoS management of resources. Minagement and control relies. Minagement and queues Mised-initiative (human and automated) supervision of distributed, self-organizing, autonomous processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources. Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time | | | | | service objectives |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy managements• Proactive (near real time) management of resources control • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Mixed-initiative toganizing, automated) science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum• Mixed-initiative toganizing, autonomous processing loads for large domain and | | | | | Optimize network |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy managements• Proactive (near real time) management of resources control • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Mixed-initiative toganizing, automated) science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum• Mixed-initiative toganizing, autonomous processing loads for large domain and | | | | | management to |
| Resource control and assignment Predetermined QoS and resource control and assignment Predetermined QoS and resource control policies Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control Network and transport layer policy management of control of resources. Network and transport layer policy management of control of resources. Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time | | | | | |
| Resource control and assignment Predetermined QoS and resource control policies Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues Network and transport layer policy managements in control of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time | | | | | |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy managements • Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• network operations capabilities including: fault management, and proactive (near real time) management of resources to satisfy current policies and QOS agreements • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonomous processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources • Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS maingement for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points • Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources, • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timecapability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies manily on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control felies mand choke points • Application of network sciene to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Including: fault management, information assurance management, and proactive (near real time) management of routing and switching topologies and protocols• Resource control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control of network sciene to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum imenagement setup time• Proactive, real time assignment • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources | | | | | 1 |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies management and control relies and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy management • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Near real time QoS management of resources to satisfy current policies and QoS agreements • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonomous | | | | | |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control• Proactive, neal time or resources to satisfy current policies and QoS agreements • Mixed-initiative (human and auttomated) supervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonmous processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources • Dynamic cuncectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Information assurance management of routing and switching topologies and protocols• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control relies and choke points • Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | _ |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points • Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Near real time QoS management of resources to satisfy current policies and QoS agreements • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of distributed, self- organizing, aud optimized use of resources • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum im anagement setup time• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy management organizing, autonomous processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources • Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | • |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy management or of resources. • Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timemanagement, and proactive (near real time) awitching topologies and protocols• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy management or ganizing, autonamous processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources • Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control• Proactive (near real time) management of routing and switching topologies and protocols• Resource control and assignment• Near real time QoS management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points • Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timeproactive (near real time) motatich switching topologies and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control• Proactive, real time assignment of resources to satisfy current policies and QoS agreements• Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control• Proactive, real time assignment of resources to satisfy current policies and QoS agreements• Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points• Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonomous processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources • Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control• Proactive, real time assignment• Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control• Proactive, real time assignment• Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points • Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Near real time QoS massignment of resources to satisfy current policies and QoS agreements • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonomous processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources • Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | - |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control• Proactive, real time assignment• Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control out on the work and transport layer policy management on the twork science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control of resources • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust• Proactive, real time assignment of resources to satisfy (human ad automated) supervision of stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources • Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | |
| • Resource control and assignment• Predetermined QoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control• Proactive, real time assignment or sources to satisfy current policies and QoS agreements • Mixed-initiative (human and automated) supervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonomous processes to assure • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Near real time QoS management for selected users using manual control • Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points • Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum management setup time• Mixed-initiative altored in the spots automated) supervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonomous processes to assure scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | |
| Resource control and assignment Predetermined QoS and resource control policies Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues Network and transport layer policy management to control with hot spots and choke points Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time | | | | | • • • |
| control and assignmentQoS and resource control policies • Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queuesmanagement for selected users using manual • Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke pointsassignment of resources to satisfy current policies and QoS agreements• Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points• Mixed-initiative (human and automated)• Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources.• Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Improved science to assist for large domain and | <u> </u> | Dem | Du lution 1 | | |
| assignmentcontrol policiesusers using manual controlresources to satisfy current policies and QoS agreements• Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues• Network and transport layer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points• Mixed-initiative (human and automated)• Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources.• Organizing, autonomous• Dynamic allocation of time for increased utilization, robust• Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | | - |
| Management and control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time | | | - | | • |
| control relies mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queues Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | assignment | | | |
| mainly on static view of networks, throughput rates, and queueslayer policy management to contend with hot spots and choke points• Mixed-initiative (human and automated)• Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources.• Mixed-initiative (human and automated)• Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Mixed-initiative (human and automated)• Improved science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources.• Organizing, autonomous• Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | - | | |
| view of networks, throughput rates, and queues · Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. · Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time view of networks, throughput rates, automated) supervision of supervision of supervision supervision of supervision supervision of supervision supervision of supervision su | | | | - | |
| throughput rates, and queuesand choke pointsautomated)• Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources.supervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonomous• Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timenutomated)• Dynamic allocation of resources.processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources | | | | | Mixed-initiative |
| and queues• Application of network science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources. • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timesupervision of distributed, self- organizing, autonomous processes to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources | | | view of networks, | to contend with hot spots | (human and |
| science to assist in monitoring of state and control of resources.distributed, self- organizing, autonomous• Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robustprocesses to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources• Improved dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Improved loads for large domain and | | | throughput rates, | and choke points | automated) |
| monitoring of state and control of resources.organizing, autonomous• Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robustprocesses to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of resources• Improved dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time• Improved processing loads for large domain and | | | and queues | Application of network | supervision of |
| control of resources.autonomous• Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum inprocesses to assure stability, robustness, and optimized use of time for increased utilization, robustand optimized use of resourcesutilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timeImproved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | science to assist in | distributed, self- |
| Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in stability, robustness, frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust Improved dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup time | | | | monitoring of state and | organizing, |
| RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timestability, robustness, and optimized use of resources • Improved processing loads for large domain and | | | | control of resources. | autonomous |
| RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timestability, robustness, and optimized use of resources • Improved processing loads for large domain and | | | | | processes to assure |
| frequency, space, and time for increased utilization, robust dynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timeand optimized use of resources • Improved scalability and processing loads for large domain and | 1 | | | | 1 |
| time for increased utilization, robustresourcesutilization, robust• Improveddynamic connectivity; reduced spectrum management setup timescalability and processing loads for large domain and | | | | - | |
| utilization, robust• Improveddynamic connectivity;scalability andreduced spectrumprocessing loads formanagement setup timelarge domain and | | | | | - |
| dynamic connectivity; scalability and reduced spectrum processing loads for management setup time large domain and | 1 | | | | |
| reduced spectrum processing loads for management setup time large domain and | | | | | - |
| management setup time large domain and | | | | | |
| | | | | - | |
| | | | | | • |
| access applications | 1 | | | _ | |
| • Hybrid, adaptive free • Real time feedback | | | | | |
| space optics/radio to network | 1 | | | | |
| frequency networking for management system | 1 | | | 1 1 | |
| high availability and data to drive adaptation of | | | | | |
| rate. rate. policies and QoS | | | | - · | * |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | | | |
| scheduled with other and across domains | 1 | | | | |
| resources, such as • End-to-end, near | 1 | | | | - |
| computers and real time adaptation | 1 | | | computers and | - |
| | | | | • , , | |
| coordination across | | | | instruments | of resource |

| | | | domains for point-to- point and multicast services • Dynamic allocation of RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time among heterogeneous wireless networks |
|---|---|--|---|
| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining Challenges |
| Usability Goals • Services adapt to needs and contexts | • Services unable to adapt to near real-time needs and are predefined by service level agreements and network throughput availability | Projection Emerging ability for information tailoring based on user needs Initial ability for adapting QoS objectives to respond to needs and constraints for highest level users and within individual advanced network domains Dynamically allocate RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time to increase effective use of spectrum and reduce spectrum management setup time | Provide all users with information related to service availability Allow all users to request and receive services based on needs, priorities (both local and global), and network capabilities Capability for cross domain adaptation for QoS objectives Dynamic service level agreements and information tailoring for inter-network quality of service Architecture, standards, and protocols for applications to negotiate with the network for QoS adaptation Adaptive handling of traffic in heterogeneous multicast groups and across heterogeneous networks Function through network churning, delay, and disruption. Automatic adaptation of upper layer protocols and processes in near-real- time |
| • Complexity hidden from users | • User is aware of network limitations only in terms of service delays and | On-screen advisories of current status Ability to view projected status based on intent to establish | • Provide users with information at a level of abstraction and with visualization suitable to their needs |

| | disruptions | sessions that imply heavy | and capabilities |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | use (e.g., VTC) | Automatically identify user capabilities and needs based on behaviors and context Fault correlation for distributed, near real time diagnostics Intuitive, user- friendly ability for non-expert users to correct problems with a button click |
| High-performance middleware | Initial development of high-performance middleware Commercial approaches to Service Oriented Architecture are informed by but not built on standards | Expanded discipline science support by GRID technologies Middleware supports specific application domains and communities of interest | Middleware software and services to support rapid application development and deployment across domains and communities of interest Real-time access to networked resources on a global scale Combined management plane and middleware services for real-time access to networked resources on a global scale Policy management to enable end-user access to network resources Anticipate users' need for data and pre- fetch critical data based on AI projections of context and demand Real-time adaptation of presentations and applications for end- to-end QoS optimization Automatic translation and semantic mediation |
| Complete transparance | Transactions require knowledge | • Emerging semantic | Semantic web |
| transparency | require knowledge of IP address, URL, or formal user ID | web capability relieves requirement for specific IP address, URL, or user ID | capability and ability for addressing based on location, context-based categories, as well as |

| | | standard address, URL, and ID |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | | |

| Goal 2: Secure Global Federated Networks | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Capabilities for Foundations Goals | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade Projection | Remaining Challenges | |
| • Architecture for global federated network | Seven-layer model oriented on relatively stable topologies Adaptation for mobile, dynamic users requires significant cross layer interaction with manual coordination and configuration No vertical integration of application (grid reservation and scheduling systems) | Continued use of IP and seven layer model for most applications New protocol stack and network management for robust Mobile Ad Hoc Network operations | Services oriented on mobility, security, and adaptability in massive, dynamic, heterogeneous, network federations Enabling distributed network systems to discover, self-organize, and share critical information to support federated e2e network services such as control and data planes signaling, security and QoS policies signaling, network management Improved ability to coordinate multiple control plane architectures Secure control plane architectures Secure control plane architecture and protocols that integrate different technologies (QoS, MPLS, GMPLS, etc.) Out-of-band management channels for diagnosing and correcting problems after catastrophic failure. The ability to probe a failed network from a separate infrastructure | |
| • Theory, techniques, and tools | Network control plane technologies (QoS, MLS, GMPLS) - MPLS- based QoS control plane accessible only by individual networks Inter-domain services as best-effort IP peering arrangement limited | Domain specific reservation systems Inter-domain reservation accomplished via email and voice Custom/domain specific implementation of QOS, MPLS, and GMPLS | Performance models for complex adaptive networks, including interactions among networks of varying degrees of complexity Performance models based on multiscale analysis Virtualization models and architectures for federated networks | |

| Capabilities for Design Goals | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade Projection | Remaining Challenges |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | New mathematical theories to model and simulate traffic engineering processes in large-scale federated networks Inter-operable multi- domain MPLS and GMPLS Remaining Challenges |
| | • QoS obtained with over-provisioning | | applications (e.g., real- time, streaming, mobile services, video, visualization) and transport technologies such as wireless, optical, sensor-net, and satellite Automated and seamless coupling of federated network services to end application to make effective use of host software stack and network resources |
| | to reachability information | | • Protocols that scale to support diverse |

| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining Challenges |
|------------------|--|---|--|
| Design Goals | | Projection | |
| • Enable | Phone-based and email | Phone-based and | Control and |
| users to | exchanges to coordinate | email exchanges to | signaling plane |
| discover, | sharing of information | coordinate sharing of | technology that can |
| schedule, and | among users | information among | assist the end users by |
| monitor | Limited sharing of state | users | seamlessly integrating |
| resources | information across | Search engines with | diverse technologies |
| across | domains to enable user | advanced AI will | (wireless, optical, |
| federations | services | improve ability to | packet switched, |
| | Multicast and full | focus on relevant | circuit switched, etc) |
| | sharing across | information | to compose e2e path |
| | heterogeneous network | | with user-defined |
| | subject to security and | | characteristics |
| | policy restrictions | | • Tools to allow the |
| | • Web crawlers, | | users to view network |
| | directories, and other | | monitoring, status |
| | methods that place the | | reporting, and control |
| | burden on the user to find | | information |
| | the right sources among a | | • Enable users to |
| | massive set | | interact with network |
| | Minimal ability for | | management to |
| | individual users to | | optimize performance |
| | schedule and monitor | | to meet local demands |
| | resources | | while remaining |
| | | | globally consistent |
| | | | Distributed policies |
| | | | engines to support |

| End-to-end services Capabilities for | Best-effort IP network Significant overhead when conditions are dynamic Current Practice | Higher data rate, less overhead and repetition, less fragile comms in dynamic mobile environment Service Level Agreements (SLAs) and science applications with modest end-to-end performance enforcements enabled Middle of Next Decade | multi-domain end-to- end QoS, security certificates, SLAs, etc. End-to-end service optimization of traffic across autonomous domain boundaries Multi-domain control plane signaling technologies that provide services such as circuit/bandwidth reservation, resource discovery and scheduling, network fault isolation across end host layers and federated domains Cross autonomous system multicast synchronization Traffic engineering of hybrid networks |
|--|--|---|--|
| Security Goals | Current Fracuce | Projection | Kemanning Chaneliges |

| • Multi-level | • Limited capability, | Increased automated | • Multi-level security |
|---------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| identity, | mainly relying on manual | capability in specific | and accountability: |
| security, and | processes | domains | support of access |
| privacy | Reliable certificate | • Routers, switches, | <u>control at a fine-</u> |
| across | revocation not possible | and end - user | grained level |
| domains | • Trusted switches, | equipments support | • Ability to move data |
| | sanitization guards, low- | multiple security | and processes across |
| | high pumps provide | levels at the message | domains while |
| | limited ability to deal with | or database entry level | respecting security, |
| | multiple securities but | PKI and related | privacy, and |
| | transport requires | technologies support | regulatory concerns |
| | segregation into virtual | sub- | Moving data and |
| | private cryptonets | compartmentalization within individual | applications in a Virtual Machine (VM) |
| | | security domains | package across |
| | | | platforms/ domains, |
| | | | securing the identity of |
| | | | the VM, and providing access |
| | | | • Security of infor- |
| | | | mation flows |
| | | | determined by static |
| | | | analysis of program |
| | | | code and hardware |
| | | | and by dynamic |
| | | | analysis |
| | | | • Automatic transfor- mation of data (e.g., |
| | | | downgrading) that |
| | | | crosses domains |
| | | | • End-to-end security |
| | | | optimization across |
| | | | <u>admin. domains</u> |
| | | | • Distributed IDs and |
| | | | coordination of certif- |
| | | | icate authoritiesMethods to address |
| | | | insider attacks and |
| | | | forensics |
| | | | Transform |
| | | | networking security |
| | | | into an engineering |
| | | | problem/solution by |
| | | | developing formal |
| | | | methodologies for specifying, develop- |
| | | | ing, and testing cyber |
| | | | systems, and a formal |
| | | | language to specify |
| | | | networking security |
| | | | policies |
| | | | • Techniques to |
| | | | enhance capability of cyber tools |
| | | | Concept of Quality |
| | | | of Cyber Protection |
| | | | (QoCP) |
| | | | |
| ITFAN PLAN | PREPRINT VI | ERSION 06/1 | 1/08 44 |
| | | | 1/00 11 |

| Policy- enabled security management and real-time adaptation | Limited capability, mainly relying on manual processes Policy is mostly static and predefined Limited ability to preserve policies, privacy, security for users moving across domains | Increased automated capability for a limited number of domains Emerging capabilities for management and dynamic implementation of security policies for ad hoc situations | Ability to automatically create, transform, and reason about policies that govern the movement of data and processes across domains Ability to enforce policies in real-time under threat situations. Mediation occurs at the network interfaces Pre-need negotiation: adaptive to changing contexts and threat Automatic determination of releasability based on content and context as determined by a policy that is learned automatically |
|---|---|---|--|
| Cooperative defense against cyber attacks | Very limited capability, mainly relying on manual processes | Improved state awareness and ability to intercept attacks and prevent total collapse. Processes rely on manual intervention supported by the improved automated monitoring capabilities Minimize effect of worm-based attacks through automatic detection, quarantine, and recovery; containment to 1%; recovery in minutes; high Probability of Detection/ low Probability of Failure Transform isolated, vulnerable programs into self-defending teams Commercial-off-the- shelf (COTS) software applications collaboratively diagnose and respond to problems (attacks, bugs) | Ability to mitigate attacks (known and unknown) in a coherent and effective manner Cooperative, distributed information management that permits sharing of early indications data and alerts for real time, distributed, automated reasoning on threats and responses Ability to filter unencrypted information at line rate. Correlate inflight monitoring data in real time with archived logging information to troubleshoot anomalous behavior |

| Conshilition for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining Challenges |
|---|--|---|---|
| Capabilities for Management Goals | Current Practice | Projection | Kemaining Chanenges |
| • Enable inter-domain exchange of management information | Phone-based and email exchanges of network measurement Management centralized within domains Limited ability for visibility of network status across domains Adaptation subject to individual domain policies and procedures | Improved cross domain coordination with limits for end-to- end service optimization and in near-real-time Reductions in federation overhead Manual network tuning replaced with automated adaptation with remaining issues for cross-domain, cooperative management and control | Interfaces that automatically determine releasability of sensitive data, but releasability changes with context as determined by a policy that is learned automatically Shared awareness and distributed adaptation, subject to near real time controls on releasability of private and/or proprietary data and cross-domain control actions Standards for publishing network management information GPS and out-of- band distribution of network management information |
| • Enable interdomain cooperation of networks to provide services | Cooperative but not fully collaborative Best-effort network services predominate Limited inter-domain MPLS-based QoS using custom phone and email exchanges (issues for emerging IP telephony, IPTV, etc.) No inter-domain reservation systems Little or no ability for end-to-end management and control other than prearranged policy agreements Inability to deal with heterogeneous QoS policy agreements | Emerging distributed self organization across domains Advanced bandwidth/QoS guaranteed services over multiple networks for 10s to 100s of QoS channels or VLANs | Automated End-to- end service-level optimization across administrative domains Judicious combination of pre- need negotiation with adaptivity to reflect changing contexts and threats Federated network management systems that enable local network management systems to collect and share critical information for diagnosing end-to-end faults Intelligent network management systems |
| Capabilities for Usability Goals | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade Projection | Remaining Challenges |

| | • | Seamlessly link |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| special needs for | | wireless, sensor, and |
| interconnecting new | across the core of the | Supervisory Control |
| computing and networking | Internet partitioned at | and Data Acquisition |
| technologies such as | the level of the sensor | (SCADA) control |
| sensor network with | net | networks to wired |
| Internet | • Hybrid Free Space | networks |
| • Networks depend on | | • Enable application |
| traditional IP interdomain | 1 | developers to rapidly |
| routing exchange | | test and deploy novel |
| | | services across |
| network is a barrier to new | • | multiple |
| applications and services | environments | administrative |
| | • Hybrid | domains |
| | | Enable network |
| | | managers to enter into |
| | | Service Level |
| | | Agreements and track |
| | | success in meeting |
| | | these SLAs across |
| | | domains |
| | | Enable researchers |
| | | to rapidly deploy |
| | | multi technology, |
| | | multi administration |
| | | environments to test |
| | | new ideas in network |
| | | science |
| | computing and networking technologies such as sensor network with Internet Networks depend on traditional IP interdomain routing exchange Complexity of global | special needs for interconnecting new computing and networking technologies such as sensor network with Internet Networks depend on traditional IP interdomain routing exchange Complexity of global network is a barrier to new sensor applications across the core of the Internet partitioned at the level of the sensor net Hybrid Free Space Optics/RF link and networking scheme that provides high availability and data rate in challenging |

| | Goal 3: Complexity and Heterogeneity | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining Challenges | | |
| Foundations Goals | | Projection | | | |
| Understand | Minimal capability to | Developing | Understanding of | | |
| ing | understand behavior of | capability to recognize | highly complex | | |
| complexity | highly dynamic, complex, | emergent behaviors | networks and | | |
| | adaptive networks | early enough to take | interconnections of | | |
| | No well tested | action. Main reliance | complex networks of | | |
| | experimental paradigms to | is on manual actions | varying (and time | | |
| | measure complexity | based on computer | dependent) degrees of | | |
| | Simulations cannot be | generated displays | complexity. | | |
| | validated due to lack of | Developing | Temporal and | | |
| | test-beds at scale | understanding of | spatial behavior | | |
| | Understanding of system | complex, adaptive | models | | |
| | state is oriented on | processes limits the | Validated | | |
| | networks with fixed | degree of dynamics, | simulation/emulation | | |
| | topologies and with | scalability, and | environments that | | |
| | "snapshots" of static status | heterogeneity for | take network and | | |
| | with little understanding of | mobile ad hoc | environmental effects | | |
| | the effects of derivatives or | networking | into account with high | | |
| | statistical distributions and | Network state is | degree of confidence | | |
| | relationships | estimated mainly | on accuracy | | |
| | | based on "snapshots" | Fundamental | | |
| | | and some degree of | science to enable | | |
| | | prediction and | models that can | | |
| | | reasoning based on | describe, predict, and | | |

ITFAN PLAN

PREPRINT VERSION 06/11/08

| Architectur es for future networks Architectura es for future networks Architectura networks Architectura es for future networks Architectura es for future networks Architectura principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks Lack of good theoretical network Lack of good theoretical networks Lack of good theoretical representations Lack of good theoretical networks Lack of good theoretical representations Lack of good theoretical representations Lack of good theoretical networks Beginning of cross- layer traffic engineering based on formal mathematical Advanced networks and | asis aptive ess ons in king erence on s, ve und u with s-layer he |
|---|---|
| Architectur es for future networks Architectures are based on traditional network theory and are fragile and unsuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situations Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks Advanced network Lack of good theoretical network Advanced network Lack of good theoretical network Advanced network Lack of good theoretical representations Limited basis in network Science leads to ad hoc, | aptive ess ons in king erence on s, ve und ul with s-layer he |
| Architectur es for future networks Architectures are based on traditional network theory and are fragile and unsuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situations Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little support to contend with real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks Advanced Lack of good theoretical network design Lack of good theoretical representations Lack of good theoretical network design Lack of good theoretical representations Limited basis in network science leads to ad hoc, | aptive ess ons in king erence on s, ve und ul with s-layer he |
| • Architectur es for future networks• Architectures are based on traditional network theory and are fragile and unsuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situations• Introduction of a richer and more advanced set of application-support features• Technical refe model oriented model oriented mobile, wireless complex adaptiv networks• Architecture networks• Architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and networks adaptive networks• Introduction of a richer and more advanced set of stuations • New IP protocol stack oriented on robust MANET operations will support to contend with real time ad near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks• Advanced repetition, less fragile comms in dynamic mobile settings • Architecture, protocols, and control and management software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks• Atheory of networkded complex, addressing the science leads to ad hoc, science leads to ad hoc,• Atheory of network addressing the science leads to ad hoc, science leads to ad hoc,• Atheory of network addressing the optical networks | aptive ess ons in king erence on s, ve und ul with s-layer he |
| • Architectur es for future networks• Architectures are based on traditional network theory and are fragile and unsuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situations• Introduction of a richer and more advanced set of application-support features• Technical refe mobile, wireless mobile, wireless advanced set of application-support footures operations will rate, less overhead and repetition, less fragile comms in dynamic motile settings• New IP protocol robust MANET operations will rate, less overhead and repetition, less fragile operations in complex, adaptive networks• New IP protocol robust MANET operations will rate, less overhead and repetition, less fragile ombile settings• Network atchitecture, protocols, and control and management software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks• A theory of networked com addressing the software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks• A theory of networked com addressing the software for shighly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks• A theory of networked com addressing the software for shighly• Advanced network design• Lack of good theoretical representations• Lack of good theoretical representations• Beginning of cross- layer traffic engineering based on formal mathematical• A theory of networked com addressing the increasing com | ess ons in <u>king</u> erence on s, ve und ul with s-layer he |
| Architectur es for future networks • Architectur es for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situations • Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks • Advanced near eta time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks • Advanced near eta time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks • Advanced near eta time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks • Lack of good theoretical representations • Limited basis in network science leads to ad hoc, science leads to ad hoc, | ons in king erence on s, ve und u with s-layer he |
| • Architectur es for future networks• Architectures are based on traditional network theory and are fragile and unsuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situations• Introduction of a richer and more advanced set of application-support features• Technical refe model oriented mobile, wireless complex adaptiv networks• Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks• Introduction of a richer and more advanced set of application-support features• Architecture a protocol stack oriented on robust MANET operations will support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks• Introduction of a richer and more advanced set of application-support features• Advanced mobile, wireless complex adaptiv networks• Advanced network design• Lack of good theoretical representations• Lack of good theoretical representations• Lack of good theoretical representations• Beginning of cross- layer traffic engineering based on formal mathematical• A theory of networked complex addressing the increasing complex optical networks | king erence on s, ve und u with s-layer he |
| • Architectur es for future networks• Architectures are based on traditional network theory and are fragile and unsuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situations• Introduction of a richer and more advanced set of application-support features• Technical refe mobile, wireless application-support operations will operations will operations will support higher data repetition, less fragile complex adaptive networks• New IP protocol stack oriented on operations will operations will operations in creasing cross- interactions as t support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks• New IP protocol stack oriented on operations will operations will operations will operations will operations will operations will operations will operations in dynamic mobile settings operations in dynamic mobile settings• Network structure withet structure with ittle software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks• Achaeced networked complex addressing the increasing cross- layer traffic engineering based on addressing the increasing complex software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks• Acheory of networked complex addressing the increasing complex software for many for highly | king erence on s, ve und u with s-layer he |
| Architectur es for future networks Architectur es are based on traditional network theory and are fragile and unsuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situations Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks Advanced Lack of good theoretical networks Lack of good theoretical networks Lack of good theoretical networks Limited basis in network design Limited basis in network design complex, acident antervations Limited basis in network design complex, acident antervations | erence on s, ve and al with s-layer he |
| Architectur es for future networks Architecture as for future networks Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks Advanced network design Lack of good theoretical network design Lack of good theoretical network design Lack of good theoretical network design Limited basis in network design Limited basis in network design to the formation and hoc, science leads to ad hoc, | erence on s, ve and al with s-layer he |
| es for future networkson traditional network theory and are fragile and unsuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situationsricher and more advanced set of application-support featuresmodel oriented of mobile, wireless complex adaptiv networks• Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks• New IP protocol stack oriented on operations will interactions as t support higher data repetition, less fragile comms in dynamic mobile settings• Architecture a protocols to dea increasing cross dynamic and heterogeneous structure• Advanced network design• Lack of good theoretical representations• Lack of good theoretical representations• Atheory of layer traffic engineering based on addressing the increasing cross- dynamic and heterogeneous structure• A theory of layer traffic engineering based on addressing the increasing cross- dynamic and heterogeneous structure | on s, ve and al with s-layer he |
| networkstheory and are fragile and unsuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situationsadvanced set of application-support featuresmobile, wireless complex adaptiv networks• Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks• New IP protocol stack oriented on robust MANET operations will support higher data | s, ve ind il with s-layer he |
| Insuitable for many of the new, dynamic, ad hoc situationsapplication-support featurescomplex adaptiv networks• Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks• New IP protocol stack oriented on robust MANET• Architecture a protocols to dea increasing cross | ve ind il with s-layer he |
| new, dynamic, ad hoc situationsfeaturesnetworks• Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks• New IP protocol stack oriented on robust MANET• Architecture a protocols to dea | nd l with s-layer he |
| situations New IP protocol Architecture a protocols to dea increasing cross operations will protocols provide system designers and network support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks Advanced Lack of good theoretical networks Lack of good theoretical networks Limited basis in network Careat and network Coreations Cor | l with s-layer he |
| Current architectural principles, standards, and protocols provide system designers and network managers with little real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks Advanced network design Lack of good theoretical networks Lack of good theoretical representations Limited basis in network design Limited basis in network science leads to ad hoc, | l with s-layer he |
| • Advanced network design• Lack of good theoretical network design• Lack of good theoretical representations• Static of good theoretical representations• Atheory of network network network network network network network network design• Lack of good theoretical representations• Atheory of network network network network network | s-layer he |
| • Advanced network design• Lack of good theoretical network | he |
| designers and network managers with little support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networkssupport higher data rate, less overhead and repetition, less fragile comms in dynamic mobile settings • Architecture, protocols, and control and management software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks"static" Internet evolves to a mo dynamic and heterogeneous structure • Network architectures wi built-in security manageability, resil global core fiber- optical networks• Advanced network design• Lack of good theoretical representations• Beginning of cross- layer traffic engineering based on formal mathematical• A theory of networked comp addressing the increasing comp | |
| Managers with little support to contend with real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networksrate, less overhead and repetition, less fragile comms in dynamic mobile settingsevolves to a mo dynamic and heterogeneous structure• Advanced network design• Lack of good theoretical representations• Lack of good theoretical representations• Metory of network addressing the increasing comp | re |
| real time and near real time behaviors in complex, adaptive networks Advanced network design Advanced network design Limited basis in network science leads to ad hoc, | |
| time behaviors in complex, adaptive networksmobile settingsstructure• Architecture, protocols, and control and management software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks• Architecture, protocols, and control and management software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks• Network architectures wi built-in security manageability, scalability, resil engineering based on formal mathematical | |
| Adaptive networks Architecture, protocols, and control and management software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks Advanced network design Lack of good theoretical representations Lack of good theoretical representations Limited basis in network science leads to ad hoc, Architecture, protocols, and control and management software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks Advanced network design Limited basis in network science leads to ad hoc, Architecture, protocols, and control and management software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber- optical networks Atheory of networked comp addressing the increasing comp | |
| • Advanced • Lack of good theoretical • Beginning of cross- • A theory of network • Limited basis in network • Limited basis in network • Advanced of science leads to ad hoc, • formal mathematical • network of comparison of cross- | |
| Advanced network design• Lack of good theoretical network science leads to ad hoc,• Beginning of cross- layer traffic formal mathematical• A theory of network addressing comp | |
| Advanced network design Limited basis in network science leads to ad hoc, Software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber-optical networks Software for highly dynamic, multi-terabit global core fiber-optical networks Advanced network design | |
| • Advanced • Lack of good theoretical • Beginning of cross- • A theory of network • Limited basis in network • Limited basis in network • A theory of • Limited basis in network • Limited basis in network • A theory of | , |
| • Advanced • Lack of good theoretical • Beginning of cross- • A theory of network representations layer traffic networked comparison • Limited basis in network • Limited basis on network engineering based on addressing the • Limited basis to ad hoc, formal mathematical increasing comparison | |
| • Advanced network design • Lack of good theoretical representations • Beginning of cross- layer traffic • A theory of network engineering based on science leads to ad hoc, | iency |
| • Advanced network design• Lack of good theoretical representations• Beginning of cross- layer traffic• A theory of networked comp addressing the increasing comp | |
| network designrepresentationslayer trafficnetworked comp addressing the increasing compnetwork engineering based on science leads to ad hoc,networked comp engineering based on formal mathematicalnetworked comp addressing the increasing comp | |
| design• Limited basis in network science leads to ad hoc,engineering based on formal mathematicaladdressing the increasing comp | puting |
| science leads to ad hoc, formal mathematical increasing comp | 0 |
| incremental changes to principles of networks and | olexity |
| 0 1 1 | l |
| deal with problems as they • Improvement for interconnection | |
| arise mobility, security, and multiple networ | |
| Designs are mainly an delay/disruption varying and tim | |
| extension from initial tolerance dependent degree Internet: protocols and • Manual network complexity | es of |
| Internet: protocols and • Manual network complexity concepts, with mobility tuning replaced with • Artificial dive | raita |
| and security automated adaptation holistic optimize | |
| accommodated as in diverse networks tools | |
| modifications constrained • Application of | f |
| by the basic architecture. | |
| • Disruption or delay theoretical | |
| tolerance and delivery representations | for |
| assurance in network and pro | otocol |
| heterogeneous, multi-hop design | |
| relays are major issues | |
| | |
| Capabilities for Current Practice Middle of Next Decade Remaining Chall | langes |
| Capabilities for Current ractice Wildlie of Next Decade Kellianning Chan | lenges |

PREPRINT VERSION 06/11/08

| sign Goals | | Projection | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Networks | Islands of different | Incremental | Enabling integration |
| without | networks connected | improvements in layer | of diverse types of |
| borders, | through gateways | 2 and 3 devices | end systems into the |
| beyond | Small research programs | More hybrid | heterogeneous, |
| gateways | focus on different types of | networks | complex network |
| beyond | Small research programs | | heterogeneous, complex network Continuous diagnosis with routers, gateways, etc that can deal with threats to the network core New mechanisms for heterogeneity to support an increased mix of wireless services and mix of broadband and narrowband transport Improved ability to service increasing demands for heterogeneous work sessions, multicast groups, and other use of group services where members have different capabilities and needs Protocols and technology to achieve high- performance/through ut Inter-domain technology to increase stability and decrease vulnerability of complex systems t maintain user service |
| | | | (including QoS and |
| | | | multicast) in |
| | | | adversarial |
| | | | environments |

| С | apabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining Challenges |
|----|-----------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Se | ecurity Goals | | Projection | |
| | • Trust in | No guarantees of | Improvement for | Models of security |
| | complex | security or privacy beyond | both security and | and privacy that |
| | environments | highly secure, protected | privacy with safe, | reflect the complexity |
| | | enclaves | "gated" communities | of networks |
| | | Concern about massive | Cryptonet and | Cryptologic-based |
| | | collapse due to emergent | application layer | methods to achieve |
| | | behaviors and adversarial | visibility improved | revocable anonymity |
| | | exploitation of tendencies | with manual processes | • Architectures to |
| | | toward chaotic behavior | for management and | increase randomness |

| | • | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Capabilities for | Insufficient ability to view and manage security and privacy in mobile/dynamic network situations Denial of service is a critical problem and is handled mainly through reactive and forensic measures S Current Practice | control • Emerging ability to anticipate and respond to threats before damage spreads throughout network. Minimize effect of DoS worm-based attacks; automatic detection, quarantine, and recovery; containment to 1% degradation of the network functionality; recovery in minutes; high Probability of Detection/Low Probability of Failure • Automated channel switching capability in response to adversary jamming attack. • Transform isolated, vulnerable programs into self-defending teams • COTS software applications collaboratively diagnose and respond to problems (attacks, bugs) | to potential attackers, e.g., through artificial diversity • Trust models that accurately reflect the security state of nodes in a network and that permit the automatic association of trust with nodes • Ability to understand and respond to emerging threats including anticipating the threat posed by well resourced bad actors • Metrics to support quantitative assessment of the trustworthiness of complex networks and systems • Engineering methodologies, design principles, formal techniques, and modeling tools that can be used to facilitate the construction of secure networks of unprecedented complexity Remaining Challenges |
| Capabilities for Management Goals | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade Projection | Remaining Challenges |
| | • Depends on skilled | • Increasing ability to | • Network |
| Managing | • Depends on skilled | • Increasing ability to | |
| and | operators | characterize complex | management |
| controlling | • Relatively slow and | network states | operations that allow |
| networks in | cumbersome for adapting | Improvement in | automated self- |

| Goals | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| Managing | Depends on skilled | Increasing ability to | • Network |
| and | operators | characterize complex | management |
| controlling | Relatively slow and | network states | operations that allow |
| networks in | cumbersome for adapting | Improvement in | automated self- |
| the face of | to near real time changes | available management | organization with |
| complexity | No significant ability to | tools | ability for manual |
| | deal with the special needs | Developing ability | and/or combined |
| | for controlling mobile ad | for automated agents | human-and- |
| | hoc networks | to support human- | automated (mixed |
| | • Initial demonstrations of | decision-making | initiative) intervention |
| | capabilities for limited | Policy based | supported by network |
| | degrees of heterogeneity, | management built to | visualization tools |
| | dynamics, and complexity | allow for QoS and | • Methods to gather, |
| | | other resource | coalesce, process and |
| | | management changes | store data about the |
| | | in dynamic networks. | current state of |
| | | Prearranged | increasingly complex |
| | | management and | networks |

| | | control of distributed, autonomous, adaptive networks Presence of stable backbone networks will be needed to support relatively small ad hoc clusters and other users on the move Response to disasters and military expeditionary operations will rely on broadband long haul support infrastructure Wireless network technologies will support networks with scalability increased by at least one order of magnitude and with capability that adapts to mitigate hardware shortfalls and environmental conditions | • Improved ability for management and control of distributed, autonomous, adaptive networks to respond to dynamics, disruption, and changes in QoS requirements |
|------------------|--|---|---|
| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Domoining Challongog |
| | Current ractice | Minute of Next Decaue | Remaining Challenges |
| Usability Goals | | Projection | |
| | Adaptation and change require humans-in-the- loop Responses to disasters and military operations will continue to need heavy infrastructure | | Control of massive, complex, autonomous networks Networks that automatically accommodate to changing contexts and threats in a policy- aware manner Mixed initiative, human-automated intervention to oversee and stabilize distributed self- organized processes |

| | Goal 4: Te | echnology | game theoretic principles, and cooperative networking concepts |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Capabilities for Foundations Goals | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade Projection | Remaining Challenges |
| • Routing schemes | • Circuit or packet, but not both at the same time | • Merger of cellular and Internet routing and switching schemes and adaptation of protocols to incorporate best features | Context aware communication devices Transparent interoperability across heterogeneous technologies (packet/ switched, dynamic mobile/wired, satellite, and delay tolerant networking Application based networking optimization Technologies and mechanisms independent of assumptions and characteristics based primarily on wire-line networks. Efficient wavelength conversion |
| • Transport protocols category | • IP (with TCP and UDP) dominates, with link layer switching for wireless access to backbones | Continued emphasis of IP, with increased influence of wireless protocols Enhancements to IP and new protocols enable wireless user to change physical location yet remain connected Network assumes responsibility for message traffic High utilization of available link bandwidth over sporadic links | Adaptive cryptographic protocols supporting new architectural models Dynamic transport layer technologies utilizing cross-layer information. Reduce dependence on TCP for assurance of delivery over wireless networks, particularly for multi- hop transport over links of varying quality and stability Transport protocols that can adapt and coordinate across layers in real time to optimize throughput |

| Network science category | Rudimentary, based largely on queuing theory and routing protocols Limited ability to operate adaptive, self-organizing networks beyond tens of nodes and in dynamically changing situations | Increased use of graph theory to develop improved routing and transmission control protocols Increased throughput, responsiveness, and reliability through the combined application of information theory and control theory to nodal and network design and operation | Understanding man- made and natural networks to reflect a more comprehensive set of interactions, including interactions among complex networks of varying degrees of complexity and dynamics Improved ability to address scalability and stability issues for self-organizing networks Technologies and mechanisms independent of assumptions and characteristics Distributed control systems theory to be deployed on networks Understanding when to aggregate traffic, when to use multiple channels for traffic streams, and |
|---|---|--|--|
| Optimizatio n of signaling and processing, including modulation, coding, and transmission control | • Current research on wireless modulation, coding, and transmission control to contend with channel error mechanisms and spectrum constraints and to allow design of small, low power, low cost equipment | All aspects of message formation, coding, modulation, spectrum use, and delivery assurance predetermined Hybrid cellular telephony and wireless LAN air interface protocols to improve efficiency Order of magnitude improvement in dynamically allocating RF spectrum in frequency, space, and time to increase utilization, reduce dynamic connectivity spectrum management setup time, and increase spectrum access. Spectrum limitations increase for wireless services as data throughput and | when to use complex modulation • Interference avoidance and mitigation: both co- site and external, and scalable to massive, dynamic situations • Energy optimization • Spectrum agility: additional orders of magnitude improvement in spectrum use and adaptation to states at physical and link layers while maintaining service quality • Optimized, coordinated use of multi-domain radio and FSO links |

| | | markilla dava d | |
|------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | | mobility demands | |
| | | increase | |
| | | • Gateways deal with | |
| | | transitions across | |
| | | media | |
| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining Challenges |
| Design Goals | Current Fractice | Projection | Remaining Chancinges |
| Integration | Mediators constructed | Non-real-time | Flexible network |
| of | manually based on | automated | architecture and |
| heterogeneou | publication of ontologies, | construction of | technical approaches |
| s technologies | standards, protocols, APIs, | mediators | to enable plug and |
| steemoogles | etc. | Gateways designed | play of new |
| | cie. | for interfacing | components |
| | | multiple types of | • IP and WebSphere |
| | | networks and end-user | Platform Management |
| | | equipments and | (WPM) protocol |
| | | servers. | integration, primarily |
| | | National scale | at the control plane |
| | | testbeds that provide | level |
| | | control and | • Near real-time |
| | | measurement | mediation and |
| | | | adaptation of |
| | | supporting simultaneous | - |
| | | | gateways Increased |
| | | experiments at different levels of | |
| | | | intelligence in the |
| | | security and | network and at edges |
| | | confidentiality | for mediating |
| | | management and | heterogeneity |
| | | coupled to | |
| | | heterogeneous | |
| | | applications (IP, | |
| | | circuit-based, | |
| | | wireless, all-optical, | |
| | | sensors, satellites, | |
| | | etc.) | |
| | | Cross-layer capacity | |
| | | management to assure | |
| | | aggregation of IP | |
| | | services in the optical | |
| A donta kilit | • Interoperability | layer | • Interessention of |
| • Adaptabilit | • Interoperability requires | • Interoperability | • Interoperation of |
| y, | adherence to predefined | based on predefined | components and |
| survivability, | standards, protocols, and | standards and | services at a level |
| interoperabili | formats | protocols with formats | capable of supporting |
| ty, scalability, | • Reliability generally | and contents managed | networking services |
| availability, | limited to best effort | in near real-time to | over traditional, non- |
| manageabilit | • Availability relies on | adapt to heterogeneity | standard, and new |
| y, reliability, | predeployed infrastructure | and changing | system-wide |
| securability, | or preplanned mobile | situations | architectures |
| usability | services | Predeployed | • Interoperability with |
| | Survivability under | infrastructure is the | in-network |
| | physical and electronic | primary basis for | intelligence to adapt |
| | attack is not built in for | availability. Ad hoc | protocols for routing, |
| | most services | networking and | switching, and |
| | Current research projects | airborne relay offer | information |

| . 11 | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| address wide range of | services for high | packaging |
| "ilities" to support reliable | priority users | • Dynamic and |
| ad hoc mobile services | Advanced military | distributed service |
| independent of | systems provide order | resource control and |
| predeployed infrastructure: | of magnitude increase | pooling |
| QoS better than "best | in scalability, | • Utilization of multi- |
| effort", adaptive data rate | robustness, and | topology (logical and |
| on a link by link basis, | adaptation for ad hoc | physical) to increase |
| autonomous adaptation for | dynamics and | network robustness |
| both robustness and | spectrum limitations | Tradeoffs in |
| maximum throughput, | Developing | security vs. |
| contention with adversarial | proactive link | throughput (with |
| RF interference | selection mechanisms | complexity / resource |
| Spam is proliferating and | that address | consumption as |
| uncontrolled | survivability and | constraints) |
| Increased survivability | reliability by | Scalable services |
| and robustness of wireless | optimizing use of | across domains for ad |
| services, e.g., adaptive | available diverse link | hoc networking and |
| layer one and two | types on platforms | airborne relay |
| technologies and cross | while adapting to | |
| layer coordination of | environment and user | |
| message packaging and | demands | |
| error control | Improved data rates | |
| Adaptive relays to | in urban settings by | |
| contend with line-of-sight | exploiting multipath | |
| limitations | Hybrid Free Space | |
| | Optics/RF link and | |
| | networking scheme | |
| | that yields high | |
| | availability and data | |
| | rate | |
| | • Low cost handheld | |
| | wireless devices based | |
| | on commercial | |
| | technology | |
| | Hardware and | |
| | software mechanisms | |
| | increase throughput | |
| | by a factor of 10 | |
| | • Wavelength- | |
| | division-multiplexing | |
| | LAN that can be used | |
| | universally in any | |
| | platform and transmit | |
| | in analog and digital | |
| | formats | |
| | • Architecture, | |
| | protocols, and control | |
| | and management | |
| | software for highly | |
| | dynamic, multi-terabit | |
| | global core fiber- | |
| | optical networks | |
| | Enhanced | |
| | performance, | |
| | survivability and | |
| | , | |

| | | security over existing fiber; scalability for increase in capacity | |
|--|---|--|---|
| • Technologie s for extreme environments | Small sensors with fixed capabilities May not work in extreme environments Long time for disaster recovery Smart antenna | Small sensors with integrated capabilities Work in expected extreme environments Disruption and delay tolerant networking capabilities Improved time for disaster recovery Low power, ultra- wideband sensors and comms systems Robust comms in high multipath environments. Low cost handheld wireless node based on commercial products: supports wireless network adaptation for up to tens of thousands of nodes, and mitigates hardware shortfalls and environmental conditions | Unattended operations for extended periods Small sensors respond to threats and environmental changes Adapt rapidly to unexpected environments Work through a disaster |

| Capabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining Challenges |
|------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Security Goals | | Projection | |
| Trusted | Trusted foundry for | • Trusted foundry for | • Trust at systems |
| chips/compon | military and intelligence | military, intelligence, | level to solve |
| ents/systems | equipments but limited | and commercial | <u>composability of trust</u> |
| | ability to assure trust in | equipments | problems similar to |
| | general purpose devices | • Detection of security | the composability of |
| | | threats in chips, | security systems |
| | | particularly those that | |
| | | are outsourced; | |
| | | enhanced chip-level | |
| | | support for | |
| | | cryptography, key | |
| | | management, secure | |
| | | booting, secure | |
| | | monitoring of | |
| | | computations to detect | |
| | | subtle attacks, | |
| | | attestation of | |
| | | programs | |
| • Quantum | Quantum Key | • QKD | Standardization of |
| cryptography | Distribution (QKD) | interoperability, e.g. | protocols and systems |
| | demonstrated at 100 KM | with satellites | New quantum |
| | Commercial systems are | • Threat models with | protocols to support |
| | just being introduced, but | emerging quantum | networking among |
| | they are of limited | computing are well | quantum computers |

| | functionality • Short-distance functioning and low secure key rate • Engineering analysis and characterization are non- existent; threat models still need developing • Limitations remain in range, bitrate, media, and security • Service is inherently point-to-point | developed • Engineering analysis and characterization of quantum cryptography in large scale networking are well developed | and conventional computers • Quantum information and precision tests of quantum mechanics development of single photon engineering: high data rate, guaranteed single photon sources, low noise, high sensitivity, room temperature detectors, ultra-low loss fibers • Use of quantum entanglement in multiple dimensions • Multicast-capable architectures and associated protocols • Cross-domain, multi-hop reach. Long range at a practical key exchange rate |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| • Algorithmic cryptography | • Preliminary research results in post-quantum cryptography | | • Algorithmic cryptography that remains secure even with quantum computing |
| • Secure hosts/devices | No secure hosts/devices Limited protection Limited defense | Defense against most attacks. Protection set up and managed by humans. Defense response initiated automatically Wrap email and web browser applications with protective layer to prevent attacks on them from compromising host machine Software to monitor integrity of operating system kernel data structures to detect sophisticated rootkit attacks Systems that learn their own vulnerabilities to improve survivability over time, and | Guaranteed secure hosts/devices. Ability to operate normally through attacks. <u>Automated</u> protection adapted to environment and policy <u>Secure development</u> environments including the authentication of developers and the pedigree of code <u>Design trustworthy</u> hosts/devices such as virtualized, high assurance platforms <u>Establish</u> composability of system security properties <u>Enable trustworthy</u> |

| | • Flexible chip designs | | regenerate service after attack; survivability through redundancy, diversity, cognitive immunity and healing | execution of mission on potentially compromised networks/systems • High performance programmable hardware with the flexibility of an FPGA and the |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | complexity and performance envelope of an ASIC that can be loaded quickly with new code programmed with high-level tools |
| C | apabilities for | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade | Remaining Challenges |
| \mathbf{N} | Ianagement | | Projection | |
| G | oals | Manual channel | • Order of magnitude | • Dynamic allocation |
| | • Spectrum management | assignment • Little or no interference control for unmanaged bands (e.g. Wi Fi bands) | • Order of magnitude improvement in spectrum utilization. Emerging capability for intelligent netops to adjust basic assignments in near real-time | Dynamic allocation, management, and brokering of spectrum while ensuring end- to-end quality of services in the full range of network technologies Techniques for simultaneous, multi- function signaling, new multiple access techniques, and cross- domain coordination to provide order of magnitude improvement Tailor messaging to decrease demand for spectrum |
| | • Power and energy management | Mix of preset transmission power and near real-time adaptation Commercial cellular systems automatically adapt power at end nodes, switches, and routers to lowest power consistent with link quality requirements | Hub-spoke networks, including cellular and MANET clusters exploit hardware for local power control to minimize interference and preserve battery life Two orders of magnitude longer life for unattended ground sensors | • Systems consume, adapt, and generate power and energy to minimize energy consumption |

| | | • Efficient power- aware design and management for High Performance Computing (HPC) clusters | |
|---|---|--|---|
| • Size management | • Devices at centimeter scale, including antennas and batteries | Devices at millimeter scale, including antennas and batteries Range limitations addressed by larger antennas for large cells and ad hoc relaying with links greater than a few hundred meters. | Embedded hybrid devices of sub-micron size that can be networked adaptively to operate in a range of environments Antenna designs, e.g., spray-on, embedded, and self- organizing antennas to allow reduction in total unit size and greater efficiency. Embed networking technology within equipment cases and implant some devices in humans |
| • Hardware and software to support small-scale uses, disadvantage d users | Small devices and users on-the-move are seriously constrained by power requirements, battery lifetime, and equipment costs Apertures are unsuited to multimode, multiband applications Devices do not adapt automatically to environment or service demands | New power sources, parasitic energy capture, and power management provide order of magnitude improvement High data rates require proximity to backbone access points for radio links Software configured devices adapt in near real time to demands and constraints Direct sequence spread spectrum comms technologies: improved capacity of robust, mobile wireless networks where only end user equipments are available to support services Robust urban comms to penetrate buildings and underground facilities Improved data rates in urban settings by exploiting multipath | Low bandwidth environments and environments and environments involving unobtrusiveness (and stealth) for use in medical and military applications Conformal integration of wireless devices into user platforms, clothing, and other substrates Reduce power requirements and increase power management ability through software and firmware architecture to provide order of magnitude improvement of effective lifetime Advances in energy capture, power generation, and power management to enhance device lifetime by another order of magnitude |

| End-to-end | Ad-hoc monitoring | Increased cross- | Protocols to locate |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| troubleshooti | within domains used for | domain WAN | hidden network |
| ng | troubleshooting | monitoring | devices |
| | | Increased topology | Ubiquitous topology |
| | | discovery | discovery services |
| | | Increase in publicly | Integration with end |
| | | available network | host and application |
| | | monitoring data to | monitoring for |
| | | assist with | complete end-to-end |
| | | troubleshooting | troubleshooting |
| | | Increased ability to | Ability of end users |
| | | troubleshoot | to troubleshoot their |
| | | multicasting networks, | network paths |
| | | toolsets | |

| Capabilities for Usability Goals | Current Practice | Middle of Next Decade Projection | Remaining Challenges |
|--|---|---|--|
| • High- capacity integrated photonic and electronic circuits | • Optical and electronic circuits and functions on a single chip | Photonic circuits fully integrated to support high data rate transfers Tunable transmitters and receivers Replace ring with mesh networks. Integrated, surface- emitting panel architecture for millimeter wave transceiver arrays Active electronically steerable arrays achieving high power density and low layer thickness; vastly greater "functional density" without compromising performance in other areas All optical switching and circuit-based grooming; ultra-high capacity, long-range transmission | Higher capacity networks that are reconfigurable, more flexible and lower cost. Very high index, low loss optical materials Continuing: All optical switching and circuit-based grooming; ultra-high capacity, long-range transmission |
| Geo- location Hands-free operation Automatic negotiation of QoS across all layers Cognitive human- system | GPS, cellular location, time difference of arrival (TDOA) RF processing Some degradation of capability in urban environments | GPS enhanced while outside in urban environments. Selective precision of location | • Navigation within closed buildings |

| interfaces | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Technology | | |
| Watch (adapt | | |
| emerging | | |
| technologies | | |
| from non- | | |
| networking | | |
| domains) | | |

ITFAN PLAN

Appendix 3 Recent Federal Networking Research Programmatic Areas, by Agency

| NSF | |
|---|---|
| GENI | Large-scale facility of programmable networked systems, wireless access networks, security/privacy, Management, theoretical foundations |
| Networking Broadly Defined (NBD) | Non-wireless broad range of basic research |
| Future Internet Design: FIND | Clean-slate design: sensors, mobile wireless, supercomputer interfaces, all-optical, delay tolerant/real-time, security, management, human factors, virtualization |
| Networking of Sensor Systems: NOSS | Protocols/algorithms, architecture, privacy/security, HW/SW, Network programming/support, smart sensors: NEON (Ecology), EarthScope, ORION (Oceans) |
| Wireless Networks (WN) | Technologies (cellular, ad hoc, mesh, DTN,), applications-based problems, architecture, phenomena, technology-oriented projects, programmable wireless networks (ProWin) |
| Dynamic data driven application systems (DDDAS) | Sensor networks and computer networks, emergency response (wireless phone) |
| Cyber Trust | Cryptography, formal methods, defense against large- scale attacks, applications (critical infrastructures, health care,), formal models, hardware |
| Theoretical Foundations | Network optimization, cooperative networks, rate adaptation, security, reference models, MIMO nets, cognitive nets |
| Information and Intelligent Systems | Data-centric, human-centric, autonomous/robust/flexible systems |
| Engineering | Integrative, hybrid, complex systems; electronics, photonics, device technologies; power, control and adaptive technologies |

| Network infrastructure | International Research Network Connections, testbeds (Dragon, Cheetah, PlanetLab) |
|------------------------------------|---|
| DoD: Net-Centric Operations | Jam resistance, security and information assurance |
| Army Programs | Adaptive networks, lab-scale testbed; Information Assurance; network science (model, design, analyze, predict, control behavior of heterogeneous nets); network functioning in disparate environments; ad hoc networks of imaging and non-imaging sensors; tactical wireless network assurance; Antennas; COMPOSER (In-theater wireless network management); radio-enabling technology and network applications; encryption |
| Navy Programs | Network application design (Web, Grid, agent- based); middleware services (service discovery, security); infrastructure vs. ad hoc environments; cross-layer integration for better performance; interoperable networks for secure communications; next-generation networking (MANET, autoconfiguration, collaborative approaches); interoperability approaches and standards; common coalition technology and evaluation methodology; airborne networking; multi-agent system operation in distributed ad hoc networks; battlefield sensor systems; wireless underwater acoustic sensor networks; protocols for reliable, efficient data delivery |
| Air Force Programs | Transformational Communications AdvancedTechnology Study – Airborne CommunicationsLayer; optical networking; RF Optical Comm;Assured Access Anti-Jam Com; Cognitive NetworkNodes; Battlefield Air Targeting Network; Intelligent |

| | (I2RAN); Network Agent Technology; on board multi wavelength optical networking for air and space platforms; high capacity, reliable RF and optical networking for airborne networking; mobile routing and networking of airborne tactical data links and radios; quantum key distribution and secure mobile quantum communications; high capacity satellite user terminals for on the move communications; policy based network management for airborne networks; integration and validation of wireless network, data link, data packet, network node, communication satellite models and simulations; wavelength routing; cross layer optimization; flexible, secure, highly responsive, ad hoc networks; embedded processing for networks |
|-----------------------|---|
| DARPA Programs | Wireless technology: dynamic spectrum allocation, low-cost wireless hand-held nodes Link strategic and tactical networks: Optical linked to RF, spread spectrum, agile coherent optical, highly connected topologies top guard against failure Global network capability: core optical networks architecture, protocols, control and management; data over optical links, control planes, trustworthy systems |
| DOE/Office of Science | Petascale data transport Distributed, large-scale science cooperation Grid infrastructure prototyping |
| NASA | Software defined radio Large-scale high-efficiency data transfer High performance intrusion detection/prevention Disruption tolerant networking: ground/spacecraft Multicast data proliferation Planetary networking/space networking Bandwidth on demand Ubiquitous, anytime, anywhere networking |
| NSA | Cognitive radio technology for global communications over a fractionalized spectrum Delay Tolerant Networks (Reliability with intermittent availability) Evolution of the network core Control plane evolution Evolution of wireless/mobile networking Network tomography |

| NOAA: Network support to applications | Networks to support applications Emergency response (Weather nowcasts/forecasts for firefighting, deployable sensors, remote computing) Ecological monitoring (Fisheries management) Leverage in situ sensors Anytime, anywhere access by citizens Collaboration support (phased array radars, petascale computing,) |
|--|---|
| NIH, NIH/NLM: Network support to applications | Large disparate data set access Real-time diagnostics with digital images Assisted surgery (Priority, low latency, low jitter, trustworthy) Security and privacy Programs: BIRN, caBIG, Visible Human, MedlinePlus |
| NIST | Internet Infrastructure Protection – Architecture and standards for resilience/robust/secure networks. Public Safety Communications: Develop requirements, standards, and measurement and test technologies Robust Mobility and Wireless Networks - Develop standards, measurement technologies, and test tools Measurement Science for Complex Information Systems – Define a systematic method to measure, understand, predict and control macroscopic behavior in complex information Systems Quantum Information Networks - engineering and measurement, quantum cryptographic algorithms/quantum key distribution systems |
| USDA | Rural telecommunications technologies Testbeds for real-time groundwater monitoring Enhanced net-centric warfare (NCW) network capabilities: chip-scale atomic clocks, disruptive tolerant networking, dynamic worm quarantine, self- regenerative (security-aware) systems |

Appendix 4 Existing Findings and Workshop Results

The Federal agencies, recognizing the critical importance of networking to support Federal agency missions, U.S. science research, e-health, e-commerce, and other applications, have held a number of workshops over the last several years to identify needs and recommendations for networking research to assure the continued viability and growth of networks and to improve their reliability, security, and robustness. These findings (followed by a number indicating the workshop or report source – see the citation list at the end of this appendix) include:

- Future networks need to provide architecture and run time capabilities for the multilayer Grid (7, 8)
- Future networks must provide a materially improved level of security, availability and resilience, including provision of privacy and accountability, in the context of diverse cultural and regional norms (2, 7, 8)
- Future networks must support ubiquitous secure connectivity and computing using wireless links and subnets (3, 7)
- Future networks will need to be intelligent, dynamic and responsive to evolving situations. They will need to be self-organizing, dynamic, and responsive to applications, to support application responsiveness to networks, and to provide automated network management and QoS (1, 7)
- Future networks need to support adaptive, network-centric computing (4, 7)
- Future network designs must have intrinsic support for mobility at multiple levels (3, 7, 8)
- Basic research is needed to understand network behavior; to study the complexity of networked systems; and to deal with emerging complex, adaptive, network-centric systems (1, 4, 7, 8)
- Future network testbeds need to bring together network and application engineers, integrate computer science and telecommunications communities, academia-industry-government research teams, and provide government-leveraged industry funding, spanning the country (5, 7, 8)
- The design of a new scheme for location and identity is a critical architectural requirement to address issues of security, mobility, routing, and regional autonomy (6, 7)
- A future Internet should be designed without the requirement of a single address space (6)
- Future requirements for wireless networking include (5):
 - A clean slate approach to wireless architecture
 - Location services
 - o Self-organization and discovery
 - Security and privacy
 - Decentralized management
 - o Support sensor networks
 - o Cognitive radio support

Sources of findings

1. Interagency Working Group (IWG) for Information Technology Research and Development (IT R&D), report of the "Workshop on New Visions for Large-Scale Networks: Research and Applications," March 2001, available at http://www.nitrd.gov/subcommittee/lsn/lsn-workshop-12mar01/index.html 2. "Social and Economic Factors Shaping the Future of the Internet," workshop sponsored by NSF and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, January 31, 2007; proceedings available at http://www.oecd.org/document/4/0,3343,en_2649_34225_39046340_1_1_1_1,00.html 3. DARPA, Assurable Global Networking, Request for Information, December 21, 2006, available at http://www.darpa.mil/STO/solicitations/AGN/index.html 4. D. Raychaudhuri and M. Gerla, Editors. "New Architectures and Disruptive Technologies for the Future Internet: The Wireless, Mobile and Sensor Network Perspective," Report of NSF Wireless Mobile Planning Group (WMPG) Workshop. August 2005, available at http://www.geni.net/wmpg draft 200508.pdf 5. Corporation for National Research Initiatives, "The Gigabit Testbed Initiative," Final Report, December 1996, available at http://www.cnri.reston.va.us/gigafr/index.html 6. David Clark et al for DARPA/ITO, "New Arch: Future Generation Internet Architecture," Final Technical Report, December 2003, available at http://www.isi.edu/newarch/iDOCS/final.finalreport.pdf 7. Interagency Working Group on Cyber Security and Information Assurance, "Federal Plan for Cyber Security and Information Assurance Research and Development," April 2006, available at http://www.nitrd.gov/pubs/csia/csia federal plan.pdf 8. President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST), "Leadership Under Challenge: Information Technology R&D in a Competitive World; An Assessment of the Federal Networking and Information Technology R&D Program," August 2007, available at http://www.nitrd.gov/pcast/reports/PCAST-NIT-FINAL.pdf

Appendix 5 Membership of the Interagency Task Force on Advanced Networking

DOD

| Brian Adamson | NRL |
|-----------------------|-------|
| Robert Bonneau | AFRL |
| Cary Chabalowski | SAALT |
| James Cook | DREN |
| Santanu Das | ONR |
| Cynthia Dion-Schwartz | OSD |
| Antonio Fiuza | ARL |
| Brendan Godfrey | AFOSR |
| Genevieve Haddad | AFOSR |
| Mark Jacobs | AFOSR |
| *Howard Marsh | OSD |
| Paul Phister | AFRL |
| | |

DOE/SC

*Daniel Hitchcock Thomas Ndousse George Seweryniak

NARA

Robert Chadduck

NASA

Kenneth Freeman Kevin Jones Tsengdar Lee Calvin Ramon Charles Rush

NIH/NLM

Jules Aronson Michael Ackerman

NIST

David Su

NSA

William Semancik

NSF

Frederica Darema David Du Darleen Fisher *Suzanne Iacono Karl Levitt Allison Mankin Paul Morton Guru Parulkar Sylvia Spengler Sirin Tekinay Kevin Thompson Ralph Wachter Jie Wu

OSTP

*Chuck Romine

USDOJ

Vance Hitch Eric Olsen

Supporting NCO Staff

Nekeia Bell Sally Howe Alan Inouye Martha Matzke Ernest McDuffie *Grant Miller Virginia Moore Diane Theiss

* Members of the ITFAN Executive Committee