



UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

DIVISION OF
CORPORATION FINANCE

August 25, 2004

Vincent J. Badolato, Esq.
Dechert LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

Re: Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc.—Waiver Request under Regulation A and Rule 505 of Regulation D

Dear Mr. Badolato:

This is in response to your letter dated today, written on behalf of Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. (the "Firm") and constituting an application for relief under Rule 262 of Regulation A and Rule 505(b)(2)(iii)(C) of Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933. You requested relief from disqualifications from exemptions available under Regulation A and Rule 505 of Regulation D that may arise by virtue of the entry of an order dated today by the Securities and Exchange Commission ordering, pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Act of 1933, that the Firm cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Section 17(b) of the Securities Act; that the Firm is censured pursuant to Section 15(b)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and that the Firm pay a civil money penalty of \$125,000 (the "Order").

For purposes of this letter, we have assumed as facts the representations set forth in your letter and the findings necessary to support the Order. We also have assumed that the Firm will comply with the Order.

On the basis of your letter, I have determined that you have made a showing of good cause under Rule 262 and Rule 505(b)(2)(iii)(C) that it is not necessary under the circumstances to deny the exemptions available under Regulation A and Rule 505 of Regulation D by reason of the entry of the Order. Accordingly, pursuant to delegated authority, and without necessarily agreeing that the requested relief is necessary, the relief described above from the disqualifying provisions of Regulation A and Rule 505 of Regulation D is hereby granted.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Gerald J. Laporte".

Gerald J. Laporte
Chief, Office of Small Business Policy

August 25, 2004

VIA HAND DELIVERY

BOSTON

Gerald J. Laporte, Esq.
Chief, Office of Small Business Policy
Division of Corporation Finance
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
450 Fifth Street, N.W., Room 3501
Washington, D.C. 20549-0310

BRUSSELS

CHARLOTTE

FRANKFURT

HARRISBURG

Re: *In the Matter of Certain Payments for Research; File No. HO-9700*
(Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc.)

HARTFORD

LONDON

Dear Mr. Laporte:

LUXEMBOURG

We submit this letter on behalf of our client Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. ("FBR"), which is a settling respondent in the above-referenced proceeding by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") into payments received by FBR that allegedly violated Section 17(b) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act").

MUNICH

NEW YORK

FBR requests, pursuant to Rule 262 of Regulation A and Rule 505(b)(2)(iii)(C) of Regulation D, promulgated under the Securities Act, a waiver of any disqualification from exemptions under Regulation A and Rule 505 of Regulation D that may be applicable to FBR or any of its affiliates as a result of the entry of the Commission order described below. FBR requests that these waivers be granted effective upon entry of such order by the Commission. It is our understanding that the Staff of the Division of Enforcement does not object to the grant of the requested waiver.

NEWPORT BEACH

PALO ALTO

PARIS

PHILADELPHIA

PRINCETON

BACKGROUND

SAN FRANCISCO

The Staff of the Division of Enforcement has engaged in settlement discussions with FBR in connection with the investigation described above. As a result of these discussions, FBR has submitted an Offer of Settlement. In this Offer of Settlement, solely for the purpose of the above-captioned proceeding and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission or to which the Commission is a party, FBR has consented to the entry of an Order Instituting Proceedings, Making Findings and Imposing Sanctions (the "Order"), without admitting or denying the matters set forth therein (other than those relating to the

WASHINGTON

jurisdiction of the Commission). Specifically, the Order states that FBR published and circulated communications in the form of research reports that described a security for consideration without disclosing the prospective receipt of such consideration or the amount thereof.

Under that Order, which was issued today, the Commission made findings, without admission or denial by FBR, that it violated Section 17(b) of the Securities Act. The Order censures FBR pursuant to Section 15(b)(4) of the Exchange Act and requires that FBR cease and desist from committing or causing any violations or future violations of Section 17(b) of the Securities Act pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Act. The Order also requires that FBR pay a civil money penalty of \$125,000 to the United States Treasury.

DISCUSSION

FBR understands that the entry of the Order may disqualify it and its affiliated entities from participating in certain offerings otherwise exempt under Regulation A and Rule 505 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act, insofar as the Order may be deemed to cause FBR to be subject to an order of the Commission pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act. The Commission has the authority to waive the Regulation A and Rule 505 of Regulation D exemption disqualifications upon a showing of good cause that such disqualifications are not necessary under the circumstances. *See* 17 C.F.R. §§ 230.262 and 230.505(b)(2)(iii)(C).¹

For the following reasons, FBR requests that the Commission waive any disqualifying effect that the Order may have on it, or any of its affiliates, based on a determination that it is not necessary under the circumstances that such exemption under Regulation A and Rule 505 of Regulation D be denied.

¹ We note in support of this request that the Commission has in other instances granted relief under Rule 262 of Regulation A and Rule 505(b)(2)(iii)(C) of Regulation D for similar reasons. *See, e.g., UBS Securities, LLC*, S.E.C. No-Action Letter (pub. avail. October 31, 2003) (charges including Section 17(b) of the Securities Act); *U.S. Bancorp Piper Jaffray, Inc.*, S.E.C. No-Action Letter (pub. avail. October 31, 2003) (charges including Section 17(b) of the Securities Act). *See also Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation*, S.E.C. No-Action Letter (pub. avail. Jan. 29, 2002); *Dain Rauscher, Incorporated*, S.E.C. No-Action Letter (pub. avail. Sept. 27, 2001); *Legg Mason Wood Walker, Incorporated*, S.E.C. No-Action Letter (pub. avail. June 11, 2001); *In the Matter of Certain Market-Making Activities on NASDAQ*, S.E.C. No-Action Letter (pub. avail. Jan. 11, 1999); *Stephens Incorporated*, S.E.C. No-Action Letter (pub. avail. Nov. 23, 1998).

Gerald J. Laporte, Esq.
August 25, 2004
Page 3

1. FBR's conduct to be addressed in the Order does not relate to offerings under Regulation A or D.

2. The disqualification of FBR from the exemptions available under Regulation A and Rule 505 of Regulation D would, we believe, have an adverse impact on third parties that have retained FBR and its affiliates in connection with transactions that rely on these exemptions.

3. The disqualifications would be unduly and disproportionately severe given: (i) the lack of any relationship between the violations addressed in the Order and any Regulation A or D related activity conducted by FBR; and (ii) the fact that the Commission staff has negotiated a settlement with FBR and reached a satisfactory conclusion to this matter that includes a cease and desist order, together with a censure and the payment of a civil money penalty.

In light of the foregoing, we believe that disqualification is not necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors, and that FBR has shown good cause that relief should be granted. Accordingly, we respectfully urge the Commission, and the Division of Corporation Finance pursuant to delegated authority, to waive the disqualification provisions in Regulation A and Regulation D to the extent that they may be applicable to FBR and any of its affiliates as a result of the entry of the Order.

Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at 202-261-3389, if you have any questions regarding this request.

Sincerely,



Vincent J. Badolato

cc: William J. Ginivan, Esq.
Wallace Timmeny, Esq.