available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to *dkw@nrc.gov*.

Dated: August 13, 2008.

R. Michelle Schroll, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. E8–19139 Filed 8–15–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.; Georgia Power Company; Oglethorpe Power Corporation; Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia; City of Dalton, Georgia; Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Southern Nuclear Operating Corporation (the licensee) to withdraw its application dated November 30, 2007, with supplemental letters dated February 13, 2008, for proposed amendment to Facility Operating Licenses No. NPF–68 and No. NPF–81 for the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units No. 1 and 2, located in Burke County, Georgia.

The proposed amendment would have changed TS 5.5.9 to modify the inspection and plugging requirements for portions of Steam Generator (SG) tubes within the hot leg side of the tubesheet region of the SGs. The proposed changes to TS 5.6.10 would have added requirements to report specific data related to indications, leakage detected, and calculated accident leakage.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment, on the above proposed amendment application, that was published in the **Federal Register** on December 18, 2007 (72 FR 71715). However, by letter dated April 18, 2008, the licensee withdrew the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for

amendment dated November 30, 2007 (Agencywide Documents Access Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML073380099), with additional information provided by letter dated February 13, 2008, and the licensee's letter dated April 18, 2008, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, http:// www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301–415–4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of August, 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Robert E. Martin.

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch II–1, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E8–19042 Filed 8–15–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Data Collection Available for Public Comment and Recommendations

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title and Purpose of Information Collection

Evidence of Marital Relationship, Living with Requirements; OMB 3220– 0021.

To support an application for a spouse or widow(er)'s annuity under Sections 2(c) or 2(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act, an applicant must submit proof of a valid marriage to a railroad employee. In some cases, the existence of a marital relationship is not formalized by a civil or religious ceremony. In other cases, questions may arise about the legal termination of a prior marriage of an employee, spouse, or widow(er). In these instances, the RRB must secure additional information to resolve questionable marital relationships. The circumstances requiring an applicant to submit documentary evidence of marriage are prescribed in 20 CFR 219.30.

In the absence of documentary evidence to support the existence of a valid marriage between a spouse or widow(er) annuity applicant and a railroad employee, the RRB needs to obtain information to determine if a valid marriage existed. The RRB utilizes Forms G-124, Statement of Marital Relationship; G-124a, Statement Regarding Marriage; G-237, Statement Regarding Marital Status; G-238, Statement of Residence; and G-238a, Statement Regarding Divorce or Annulment to secure the needed information. One response is requested of each respondent. Completion is required to obtain benefits. The RRB proposes no changes to the forms in the collection.

Estimate of Annual Respondent Burden

The estimated annual respondent burden is as follows:

Form #(s)	Annual responses	Time (min)	Burden (hrs)
G-124 (In person)	125	15	31
G–124 (By mail)	75	20	25
G–124a	300	10	50
G-237 (In person)	75	15	19
G–237 (By mail)	75	20	25
G-238 (In person)	150	3	8
G-238 (By mail)	150	5	13

Form #(s)	Annual responses	Time (min)	Burden (hrs)
G–238a 150	10	25	
Total	1,100		196

Additional Information or Comments: To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751-3363 or send an e-mail request to Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV. Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 or send an e-mail to *Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV.* Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Charles Mierzwa

Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E8–18987 Filed 8–15–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7905–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-58350; File No. 4-566]

Program for Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities Pursuant to Rule 17d-2; Notice of Filing of Proposed Plan for the Allocation of Regulatory **Responsibilities Among the American** Stock Exchange LLC, Boston Stock Exchange, Inc., CBOE Stock Exchange, LLC, Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., Financial Industry **Regulatory Authority, Inc.,** International Securities Exchange, LLC, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, National Stock Exchange, Inc., New York Stock Exchange, LLC, NYSE Arca Inc., NYSE Regulation, Inc., and Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.

August 13, 2008.

Pursuant to Section 17(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 17d–2 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on August 12, 2008, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex"), Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE"), CBOE Stock Exchange, LLC ("CBOE"), Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX"), Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA"), International Securities Exchange, LLC ("ISE"), The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC ("NASDAQ"), National Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NSX"), New York Stock Exchange, LLC ("NYSE"), NYSE Arca Inc. ("NYSE Arca"), NYSE Regulation, Inc. (acting under authority delegated to it by NYSE) ("NYSE Regulation"), and Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Phlx"), (collectively, "Participating Organizations" or "Parties") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a plan for the allocation of regulatory responsibilities ("17d–2 plan" or "Plan"). The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the 17d–2 plan from interested persons.

I. Introduction

Section 19(g)(1) of the Act,³ among other things, requires every selfregulatory organization ("SRO") registered as either a national securities exchange or national securities association to examine for, and enforce compliance by, its members and persons associated with its members with the Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, and the SRO's own rules. unless the SRO is relieved of this responsibility pursuant to Section $17(d)^4$ or Section $19(g)(2)^5$ of the Act. Without this relief, the statutory obligation of each individual SRO could result in a pattern of multiple examinations of broker-dealers that maintain memberships in more than one SRO ("common members"). Such regulatory duplication would add unnecessary expenses for common members and their SROs.

Section 17(d)(1) of the Act ⁶ was intended, in part, to eliminate unnecessary multiple examinations and regulatory duplication.⁷ With respect to a common member, Section 17(d)(1) authorizes the Commission, by rule or order, to relieve an SRO of the responsibility to receive regulatory reports, to examine for and enforce compliance with applicable statutes, rules, and regulations, or to perform other specified regulatory functions.

To implement Section 17(d)(1), the Commission adopted two rules: Rule

17d-1 and Rule 17d-2 under the Act.8 Rule 17d–1 authorizes the Commission to name a single SRO as the designated examining authority ("DEA") to examine common members for compliance with the financial responsibility requirements imposed by the Act, or by Commission or SRO rules.9 When an SRO has been named as a common member's DEA, all other SROs to which the common member belongs are relieved of the responsibility to examine the firm for compliance with the applicable financial responsibility rules. On its face, Rule 17d–1 deals only with an SRO's obligations to enforce member compliance with financial responsibility requirements. Rule 17d-1 does not relieve an SRO from its obligation to examine a common member for compliance with its own rules and provisions of the federal securities laws governing matters other than financial responsibility, including sales practices and trading activities and practices.

To address regulatory duplication in these and other areas, the Commission adopted Rule 17d-2 under the Act.¹⁰ Rule 17d-2 permits SROs to propose joint plans for the allocation of regulatory responsibilities with respect to their common members. Under paragraph (c) of Rule 17d-2, the Commission may declare such a plan effective if, after providing for notice and comment, it determines that the plan is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors, to foster cooperation and coordination among the SROs, to remove impediments to, and foster the development of, a national market system and a national clearance and settlement system, and is in conformity with the factors set forth in Section 17(d) of the Act. Commission approval of a plan filed pursuant to Rule 17d-2 relieves an SRO of those regulatory responsibilities allocated by the plan to another SRO.

¹15 U.S.C. 78q(d).

² 17 CFR 240.17d-2.

^{3 15} U.S.C. 78s(g)(1).

⁴15 U.S.C. 78q(d).

^{5 15} U.S.C. 78s(g)(2).

^{6 15} U.S.C. 78q(d)(1).

⁷ See Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Report of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs to Accompany S. 249, S. Rep. No. 94– 75, 94th Cong., 1st Session 32 (1975).

 $^{^{8}\,17}$ CFR 240.17d–1 and 17 CFR 240.17d–2, respectively.

 $^{^9\,}See$ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 12352 (April 20, 1976), 41 FR 18808 (May 7, 1976).

¹⁰ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 12935 (October 28, 1976), 41 FR 49091 (November 8, 1976).