		7th PCI	E Hurrica	ne Katrina	a Repor	t: To Date	e As of 01-	27-06 (Additi	onal Perio	d of Repo	orting 12-3	1-05 to 01	-27-06)						
DHS	DOC	DOD	DOE(3)	DOI	COQ	DOL	рот	EPA	GSA	HHS	НИБ	NASA	SBA	SSA	TIGTA	USDA	USPS	٧A	TOTAL
Section 01. OIG STAFF ASSIGNE)																		
A. Full-Time Equivalent																			
	3.50 -	5.00	-	6.00	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	-	-	2.00	-	-	19.50
	3.00		-	-	3.50	13.00	24.00	19.50	5.50	22.00	6.00	8.00	6.00	2.00	45.00	34.00	28.00	5.00	415.00
	3.00 - 0.00 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.00 12.00
	5.00 -	12.00	-	1.25	0.50	10.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	18.00	2.00	1.00	8.00	-	3.00	7.00	1.00	98.75
6. Other (e.g. Technical, Specialists)		12.00	-	1.25	0.50	-	1.00	1.50	1.00	3.00	6.00	-	1.00	6.00	-	3.00	3.00	1.00	12.50
	7.00 3.00		0.00	7.25	4.00	23.00	28.00	24.00	6.50	28.00	31.00	11.00	7.00	10.00	45.00	39.00	38.00	6.00	560.7
Total	7.00 0.00	100.00	0.00	7.20	4.00	20.00	20.00	24.00	0.00	20.00	01.00	11.00	7.00	10.00	40.00	03.00	00.00	0.00	300.11
Section 02. CONTRACT: AGENC	ACTIONS																		
A. # Contracts Awarded																			
11 1 400011	201 58	-	-	448	45	4	54	144	1,091	118	11	26	-	22	20	278	24	-	4,544
2. > \$500K (1)																			
a. Full and Open Competition	136 2	_	-	3	-	-	7	55	39	21	1	5	-	1	-	46	2	-	318
b. "Limited" Competition	1 -	see DoD	-	-	-	-	2	-	19	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
c. No Bid/Sole Source	405 -	comments	-	1	2	2	6	12	140	6	4	2	-	-	-	-	3	-	583
d. Other	170 -		-	1	-	-	-	-	9	-			-		-	-		-	180
Sub-Total of > \$500K (1)	712 2		-	5	2		15	67	207	27	7	7	-	1	-	46	5	-	1,105
Total # Contracts Awarded2	913 60	-	-	453	47	6	69	211	1,298	145	18	33	-	23	20	324	29	-	5,649
B. \$ Value Contracts Awarded (in thousands)																			(in thousands)
•	700 0 4 400		- (\$ 8078	\$ 1624	\$ 2,713	\$ 7,304	\$ 9.167 \$	70,785	\$ 10.636	\$ 600	3,159	- :	\$ 1.067	\$ 861		¢ 0.476	-	\$ 350,056
	/XX % 4 4(1)()									Ψ 10,000		0,100				\$ 28 798			Ψ 550,050
11 7 700011	788 \$ 4,400 574 \$ 1,200									\$ 64 464	\$ 16,700 3	6 90 994	- :	\$ 600		\$ 28,798 \$ 63,205			\$ 8,890,331
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803	574 \$ 1,200	\$ 2,774,623	- (\$ 3,472 \$	\$ 5,730	\$ 2,048	\$ 358,061	\$ 174,231 \$	525,256	+ - , -	+ -,	,		\$ 600 \$ 1.667	-	\$ 28,798 \$ 63,205 \$ 92.003	\$ 6,173	-	+ -//
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600	\$ 2,774,623	- (\$ 3,472 \$	\$ 5,730	\$ 2,048	\$ 358,061		525,256	+ - , -	+ -,	,			-	\$ 63,205	\$ 6,173	-	+ -//
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600	\$ 2,774,623	- (\$ 3,472 \$	\$ 5,730	\$ 2,048	\$ 358,061	\$ 174,231 \$	525,256	+ - , -	+ -,	,			-	\$ 63,205	\$ 6,173	-	+ -//
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OF Contract Reviews	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623	\$ -	\$ 3,472 S \$ 12,450 S	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$	525,256 S 596,041 S	\$ 75,100	\$ 17,300	94,153	\$ -	\$ 1,667	- \$ 861	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349	- \$ -	\$ 9,240,387
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OF Contract Reviews 1. In-Process	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600 IEWS 28 1	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623	- (\$ 3,472 \$	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354	\$ 2,048	\$ 358,061	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ 71	525,256 S 596,041 S	\$ 75,100 11	+ -,	94,153	\$ -	\$ 1,667	- \$ 861 -	\$ 63,205	\$ 6,173	-	\$ 9,240,387
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OF Contract Reviews	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600 IEWS 28 1 100 -	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623	- !	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ 71 22	525,256 \$ 596,041 \$ 144 -	\$ 75,100 11 -	\$ 17,300	94,153 11 22	\$ -	\$ 1,667	- \$ 861	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 -	- \$ -	\$ 9,240,387 287 144
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV A. # Of Contract Reviews 1. In-Process 2. Completed Total	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600 IEWS 28 1 100 -	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623	- !	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$ - -	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354 - -	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ 71	525,256 S 596,041 S	\$ 75,100 11	\$ 17,300	94,153	\$ -	\$ 1,667 - -	- \$ 861 - -	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349		\$ 9,240,387 287 144
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OF CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OIG REVALUE OF CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OIG R	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600 IEWS 28 1 100 -	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623	- !	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$ - -	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354 - -	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ 71 22	525,256 \$ 596,041 \$ 144 -	\$ 75,100 11 -	\$ 17,300	94,153 11 22	\$ -	\$ 1,667 - -	- \$ 861 - -	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 -		\$ 9,240,387 287 144 431
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV A. # Of Contract Reviews 1. In-Process 2. Completed Total B. \$ Value of Reviews (in thousands)	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600 IEWS 28 1 100 - 128 1	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623 9 9	- !	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$ - -	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ 71	525,256 \$ 596,041 \$ 144 - 144	\$ 75,100 11 -	2 - 2	94,153 11 22 33	\$ -	\$ 1,667 - -	- \$ 861 - -	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349		\$ 9,240,387 287 144 431 (in thousands)
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV A. # Of Contract Reviews 1. In-Process 2. Completed Total B. \$ Value of Reviews (in thousands)	1574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600 1EWS 28 1 100 - 128 1 916 \$ 5,600	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623	- 5	\$ 3,472 \$ 12,450 \$	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354 - - -	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6 - 6 \$ 4,761	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365 1 - 1 - 1 \$ 241,974	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ 71 22	525,256 \$ 596,041 \$ 144 - 144 4 465,608 \$ 5	\$ 75,100 11 - 11 \$ 56,000	\$ 17,300 : 2 - 2 - 2 * 18,400 :	94,153 11 22 33			- \$ 861	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 -		\$ 9,240,387 287 144 431 (in thousands) \$ 4,425,246
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV A. # Of Contract Reviews 1. In-Process 2. Completed Total B. \$ Value of Reviews (in thousands) 1. In-Process \$ 1,253 2. Completed \$ 1,231	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600 IEWS 28 1 100 - 128 1 916 \$ 5,600 818	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623 9 9 \$ 2,239,322	- 5	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354 - - -	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6 - 6 \$ 4,761 \$ -	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365 1 - 1 - 1 \$ 241,974 \$ -	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ 71	525,256 \$ 596,041 \$ 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	\$ 75,100 11 - 11 \$ 56,000 \$ -	\$ 17,300 : 2 - 2 - \$ 18,400 : \$ - :	94,153 11 22 33 5 16,300 5 77,853			- \$ 861	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 - 3 \$ 8,349	- \$ - - - -	\$ 9,240,387 287 144 431 (in thousands) \$ 4,425,246
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV A. # Of Contract Reviews 1. In-Process 2. Completed Total B. \$ Value of Reviews (in thousands) 1. In-Process \$ 1,253 2. Completed \$ 1,231	574 \$ 1,200 362 \$ 5,600 IEWS 28 1 100 - 128 1 916 \$ 5,600 818	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623 9	- 5	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6 - 6 \$ 4,761 \$ -	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365 1 - 1 - 1 \$ 241,974 \$ -	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$	525,256 \$ 596,041 \$ 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	\$ 75,100 11 - 11 \$ 56,000 \$ -	\$ 17,300 : 2 - 2 - \$ 18,400 : \$ - :	94,153 11 22 33 5 16,300 5 77,853			- \$ 861	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 3 - 3 \$ 8,349 \$ -	- \$ - - - -	\$ 9,240,387 287 144 431 (in thousands) \$ 4,425,246 \$ 1,366,440
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV A. # Of Contract Reviews 1. In-Process 2. Completed Total B. \$ Value of Reviews (in thousands) 1. In-Process \$ 1,253 2. Completed \$ 1,231 Total \$ 2,485	1EWS 28 1 100 - 128 1 916 \$ 5,600 818 734 \$ 5,600	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623 9	- 5	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6 - 6 \$ 4,761 \$ -	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365 1 - 1 - 1 \$ 241,974 \$ -	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$	525,256 \$ 596,041 \$ 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	\$ 75,100 11 - 11 \$ 56,000 \$ -	\$ 17,300 : 2 - 2 - \$ 18,400 : \$ - :	94,153 11 22 33 5 16,300 5 77,853			- \$ 861	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 3 - 3 \$ 8,349 \$ -	- \$ - - - -	\$ 9,240,387 287 144 431 (in thousands) \$ 4,425,246 \$ 1,366,440
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV A. # Of Contract Reviews 1. In-Process 2. Completed Total B. \$ Value of Reviews (in thousands) 1. In-Process \$ 1,253 2. Completed \$ 1,231	1EWS 28 1 100 - 128 1 916 \$ 5,600 818 734 \$ 5,600	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623 9	- 5	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6 - 6 \$ 4,761 \$ -	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365 1 - 1 - 1 \$ 241,974 \$ -	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$	525,256 \$ 596,041 \$ 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	\$ 75,100 11 - 11 \$ 56,000 \$ -	\$ 17,300 : 2 - 2 - \$ 18,400 : \$ - :	94,153 11 22 33 5 16,300 5 77,853			- \$ 861	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 8 8,349 \$ -	- \$ - - - -	287 144 431 (in thousands) \$ 4,425,246 \$ 1,366,440 \$ 5,791,686
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV A. # Of Contract Reviews 1. In-Process 2. Completed Total B. \$ Value of Reviews (in thousands) 1. In-Process \$ 1,253 2. Completed \$ 1,231 Total \$ 2,485 Section 04. PURCHASE CARD: A	1EWS 28 1 100 - 128 1 916 \$ 5,600 818 734 \$ 5,600	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623 9	- 5	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$	\$ 5,730 \$ 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6 - 6 \$ 4,761 \$ -	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365 1 - 1 - 1 \$ 241,974 \$ -	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$	525,256 \$ 596,041 \$ 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 145,608 \$	\$ 75,100 11 - 11 \$ 56,000 \$ -	\$ 17,300 : 2 - 2 - \$ 18,400 : \$ - :	94,153 11 22 33 5 16,300 5 77,853			- \$ 861	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 8 8,349 \$ -	- \$ - - - -	\$ 9,240,387 287 144 431 (in thousands) \$ 4,425,246 \$ 1,366,440
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REV A. # Of Contract Reviews 1. In-Process 2. Completed Total B. \$ Value of Reviews (in thousands) 1. In-Process \$ 1,253 2. Completed \$ 1,231 Total \$ 2,485 Section 04. PURCHASE CARD: A A. Total \$ spent to date (in	1,200 362 \$ 5,600 1EWS 28 1 100 - 128 1 916 \$ 5,600 818 734 \$ 5,600 3ENCY TR	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623 9 - 9 9 \$ 2,239,322 \$ - \$ 2,239,322	-	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$ \$ \$	5,730 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6 - 6 \$ 4,761 \$ - \$ 4,761	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365 1 1 - 1 \$ 241,974 \$ - \$ 241,974	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ \$ 71	144 - 144 - 465,608 465,608	\$ 75,100 11 - 11 \$ 56,000 \$ - \$ 56,000	\$ 17,300 2 - 2 \$ 18,400 \$ - \$ 18,400	33 33 5 16,300 6 77,853 6 94,153			- \$ 861 - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003 	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 - 3 3 \$ 8,349 \$ - \$ 8,349	- \$ - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 9,240,387 287 144 431 (in thousands) \$ 4,425,246 \$ 1,366,440 \$ 5,791,686
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OF CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OF CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OF CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OF CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OIG REVA	1,200 362 \$ 5,600 1EWS 28 1 1000 - 128 1 916 \$ 5,600 818 734 \$ 5,600 3ENCY TR	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623 9	-	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$	5,730 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6 - 6 \$ 4,761 \$ - \$ 4,761	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365 1 1 - 1 \$ 241,974 \$ - \$ 241,974	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ \$ 71	144 - 144 - 465,608 465,608	\$ 75,100 11 - 11 \$ 56,000 \$ - \$ 56,000	\$ 17,300 2 - 2 \$ 18,400 \$ - \$ 18,400	33 33 5 16,300 6 77,853 6 94,153			- \$ 861 - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 - 3 3 \$ 8,349 \$ - \$ 8,349	- \$ - - - - - - - - - -	287 144 431 (in thousands) \$ 4,425,246 \$ 1,366,440 \$ 5,791,686
2. > \$500K (1) \$ 4,803 Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded \$ 5,001 Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OF CONTRACT: OIG REVALUE OIG REV	1,200 362 \$ 5,600 1EWS 28 1 1000 - 128 1 916 \$ 5,600 818 734 \$ 5,600 3ENCY TR	\$ 2,774,623 \$ 2,774,623 9	-	\$ 3,472 \$ \$ 12,450 \$ \$ \$	5,730 7,354	\$ 2,048 \$ 4,761 6 - 6 \$ 4,761 \$ - \$ 4,761	\$ 358,061 \$ 365,365 1 1 - 1 \$ 241,974 \$ - \$ 241,974	\$ 174,231 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ \$ 183,398 \$ \$ 71	144 - 144 - 465,608 465,608	\$ 75,100 11 - 11 \$ 56,000 \$ - \$ 56,000	\$ 17,300 2 - 2 \$ 18,400 \$ - \$ 18,400	33 33 5 16,300 6 77,853 6 94,153			- \$ 861 - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 63,205 \$ 92,003 	\$ 6,173 \$ 8,349 3 - 3 3 \$ 8,349 \$ - \$ 8,349	- \$ - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 9,240,387 287 144 431 (in thousands) \$ 4,425,246 \$ 1,366,440 \$ 5,791,686

Data Reporting (#s and \$s)

			<u>7th</u>	PCIE	Hurrica	ne Katrii	na Repo	rt: To Da	te As of 01	-27-06 (Ad	ditional Per	iod of Rep	orting 12	31-05 to 0	1-27-06)					
	SHQ	DOC	dod		DOE(3)	IOO	rod	TOG	DOT	EPA	GSA	HHS	НИБ	NASA	SBA	SSA	TIGTA	USDA	USPS	٧A	TOTAL
Section 06. NON-CONTRA	CT: OIG R	EVIEW	S																		
A. # Grant Reviews																					
In-Process Completed	['] 22 3		1	-	-	-	- 1	2 2	5 -	- -	- -	1 	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	32 5
B. # Mission Assignment Reviews																					
1. In-Process 2. Completed	-	! - -		2 -	-	-	-	-	5 1	3	1 -	- 3	-	2	-	- 1	-	- 1	-	-	20 1
C. # Other Non- Contract Reviews																					
In-Process Completed	14 5			11 -	- 1	- 1	-	5 1	- 1	-	-	12 -	-	-	- -	-	9 -	- 8	- 6	- 1	69 8
Section 07. OIG REPORTI	NG																				
A. # Reports Issued	8	-		-	1	-	-	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
B. Reported \$ Value (in thousands)																					(in thousands)
1. Questioned Costs	\$ -	-		- 9	5 -	-	-	\$ -	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
(a). Unsupported Costs 2. Funds Put to Better Use	\$ - \$ -	-		- 3	S - S -	-	-	\$ - \$ -	\$ 33,292 \$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 33,292 \$ -
Section 08. OIG CONGRES	SSIONAL I	RESPO	NSES																		
A. # Congressionals Received	16	; -		7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	26
B. # OIG Congressional Responses																					
In-Process Completed	6 10		"	6 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 -	- 1	-	-	- 1	- -	13 13
Section 09. OIG INVESTIG	ATIVE ST	ATISTIC	CS																		
A. # Hotline Complaints (2)	3,478			7,478	1	-	-	9	3	1	-	2	60	-	8	20	-	-	41	-	11,102
B. # Cases Opened	340			3	-	1	4	10	4	4	2	15	13	11	3	9	2	4	2	-	427
C. # Arrests	68			1	-	-	-	8	2	-	-	-	3	5	-	3	-	2	-	-	93
D. # Indictments E. # Convictions	98 15			-	-	-	-	. 7 -	- -	-	-	-	- 2	1 -	-	- -	- -	-	-	-	115 15
LEGEND:																					
(1) DOD = over \$1 million															1						
(2) DOD = # complaints received on Ka																					
Other Agencies = # complaints r				-4											1				1		
(3) DOE = To date, DOE has received for hurricane relief-related activities. Fu																					
amount of money the Department receiv				uie																	
inount of money the Department leten	res and now the	ose iuiius i	are useu.													1					

Data Reporting (#s and \$s)

7th DCIE Hurrisons	Vatring Danarty, To Data Ap of 04 27 06 (Additional Baried of Danarting 42 24 05 to 04 27 06)
7th PCIE Hurricane	Katrina Report: To Date As of 01-27-06 (Additional Period of Reporting 12-31-05 to 01-27-06)
0	
	STAFF ASSIGNED - Managers are reported within the appropriate staff category
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD	DoD is still working with the Service Audit Agencies and OIG components to determine a consistent method for reporting FTEs. Therefore, staff is based on the personnel data that was reported for DoD in the last reporting cycle.
EPA	Auditors and Program Evaluators are combined under the "Auditor" category. Also included is the Auditor working on the DoD Hotline.
GSA	The 5.5 FTE for auditors include a GS-14, 3 GS-13, and 1 GS-12 assigned to the audit full-time as well as a GS-15 and a GS-13 part-time. The 1 FTE for investigators includes 2 part-time investigators.
HUD	HUD's 6 specialists listed are forensic auditors that are assigned to the Office of Investigations.
USPS	Auditors include 6 managers; Investigators include 2 managers.
Section 02. CON	ITRACT: AGENCY ACTIONS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DOC	2B. Decrease in previously reported Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded is attributed to contracts closing out less than initial award.
	2A. and B. The Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded is \$2,774,623,433, or \$2,774,623 (in thousands). This amount is through FY 2005, per OSD Acquistion, Technology, and Logistics. We cannot determine how many contracts are included in
DoD	this overall number, nor can we determine individual contract values. We will work with OSD to obtain better numbers for the next reporting period.
DOI	2B. DOI's total \$ value of contract awarded excludes those transactions completed by purchase card.
	2A. Although DOL previously reported that the two contracts over \$500,000 were competitive, we subsequently determined they were sole source (modifications to existing contracts).
DOL	2B. In previous reports, DOL inadvertently misreported the value of total contracts awarded due to confusion over the old template. We apologize for any inconvenience.
	These numbers were reported by DOT Management and have not been verified or audited by DOT OIG. 2A. Contract for RTA deleted as purchase order never received by Contracting Officer. 2B. Dollar amounts reduced due to OA reporting
DOT	actual invoiced amounts that are below initial contract estimate on Landstar Contract.
EPA	2A. Number of Contracts awarded include new awards and other actions on existing contracts such as delivery and purchase orders.
	Under Emergency Support Function 7 (ESF-7), GSA awards contracts on behalf of FEMA and FEMA is responsible for the recieving the orders and paying the contractor directly. As these contracts do not affect GSA financially, they are not
	input into any of GSA's procurement or financial systems. As GSA has no central data repository for these awards, we are using data from the Federal Procurement Data System as the basis for reporting as it is the only data that includes the
	data elements being reported. However, this data may include GSA procurements in addition to the procurements made in support of FEMA under ESF-7. Some adjustments to the data have been made to the FPDS data to provide a better
	assessment. For example, FPDS line items with \$0 and negative amounts were removed from counts of contract actions (these were retained award totals however) as these are primarily modifications to contract actions already being
GSA	reported.
USDA	2A. USDA does not have the breakdown on degree of competition.
USPS	2A. And B. USPS found 4 facilities contracts that were not originally listed on the supply management list.
	ITRACT: OIG REVIEWS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DOC	DOC is conducting one overall review of the reported Total # of Contracts Awarded. Draft audit report in process on DOC Katrina contract and grant awards.
DoD	DoD previously reported the number of contracts, as opposed to the number of reviews, and the dollar value changed as we obtained contract information for obligated dollars.
DOT	DOT OIG is monitoring the review which is being performed by DCAA at the request of DOT Management. 3B. Dollar amounts reduced due to reduction in actual invoiced amounts that are below initial contract estimate on Landstar Contract.
	The GSA OIG is performing a programmatic review that looks at GSA's support for FEMA in its entirety, including GSA's procurements for FEMA under ESF-7. This review will include examining the procurements for price reasonableness, the
	level of competition used for the award, and management controls related to the procurement award. In accordance with guidelines from the PCIE Katrina Audit Task Force, all contract actions over \$500,000 are being reviewed. These
	contracts were awarded by GSA under ESF-7 at the joint field offices (JFO) in Jackson, MS and Baton Rouge, LA as well as in Atlanta, GA; Chicago, IL, and Ft. Worth, TX. These contracts were identified primarily from procurement "logs" kepi
GSA	at the contracting locations.
	HUD OIG is performing 2 audits one external audit of a M&M contractor (\$1.1 mil) to evaluate the reasonableness of subcontractor costs & one internal audit of all disaster contract dollars (\$17.3 mil) to ensure that effective contracting practices
	are being used. The M&M contract amt is included in the total contract amt, but the reviews are separate and have different objectives. The total of these reviews is \$18.4 mil which exceeds the total contract dollars of \$17.3 mil because of the
HUD	\$ value of the individual reviews.
SBA	SBA item previously classified as a Contract Review has been reclassified as a Congressional Response.
USPS	USPS is conducting 3 reviews that cover 100% of the Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded - one added review based on finding 4 facilities contracts not listed on the supply management list.

Agency Comments
Page 3 of 4

7th PCIE Hurricane Katrina	Report: To Date As of 01-27-06 (Additional Period of Reporting 12-31-05 to 01-27-06)
Section 04. PURCHAS	E CARD: AGENCY TRANSACTIONS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD	A total universe cannot be derived for purchase card transactions related to Hurricane Katrina.
	These numbers were reported by DOT Management and have not been verified or audited by DOT OIG. FAA deleted a duplicate Purchase Card transaction in the amount of \$10,000 - (VPN Service) on December 16, 2005 report. FAA deleted
DOT	a "consolidated sum" Purchase Card transaction in the amount of \$35,160 for Dell Computers on the January 6, 2006 report.
	Under the procurement flexibilities allowed for contracting in response to the Hurricane Katrina, GSA raised the procurement ceiling for charge cards to \$15,000 and only for a limited number of cardholders. Generally, GSA does not utilize
GSA	purchase cards to make procurements in support of FEMA. The data reported is based on the FPDS database.
Section 05. PURCHAS	E CARD: OIG REVIEWS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DOC	DOC follow-on audit of purchase card transactions planned after audit of DOC mission assignments.
DoD	DoD cannot determine the percentage of purchase card transactions reviewed without having a total universe.
DOT	DOT plans to initiate an audit of DOT's hurricane related purchase card transactions within the next 30 days.
GSA	There have been no reviews to date.
Section 06. NON-CON	
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DOC	DOC follow-on audit of DOC Mission Assignments from FEMA planned for second quarter 2006 start.
DoD	DoD, while 2 Mission Assignent Reviews are in Process, 1 review may encompass multiple mission assignments.
004	The GSA OIG is performing a programmatic review that looks at GSA's support for FEMA in its entirety. This review will include a look at GSA's procurements for FEMA under ESF-7, charges against mission assignments, as well as
GSA USPS	management controls and processes internal to GSA. Although these reviews are not mission assignments as defined by the template, these reviews are assessments of mission essential functions for the USPS.
03P3	Although these reviews are not mission assignments as defined by the template, these reviews are assessments of mission essential functions for the OSPS.
Section 07 OIC REPOR	
Section 07. OIG REPOR	
Agency: NO COMMENTS RECEIVED	Comment/Footnote:
NO COMMENTS RECEIVED	
Section 09 OIC CONC	RESSIONAL RESPONSES
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
D-D	7 CongressionIs received includes 6 audit requests and 1 testimony.
DoD	1 Completed Congressional is a request that was transferred to DHS. Considered completed from DoD OIG standpoint because responsibility for the congressional was transferred to DHS.
Continuo OLO INIVES	CTICATIVE CTATICTICS
	STIGATIVE STATISTICS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD	Cases Opened refers to DCIS Investigations.
HHS	The matters under investigation involve Health Care Fraud, poor quality of care, patient abandonment, patient deaths and possible euthanasia of nursing home residents and hospital patients. These long term high profile cases are sensitive and are being worked jointly with the State of Louisiana Medical Fraud Control Unit.
ппо	and are being worked joining with the State of Louisiana Medical Fladd Control Offic.

Agency Comments
Page 4 of 4