

NPR 7120.5D High Level Overview

Governance

Program/Project Management

Technical Authority

Waiver Principles

Dissenting Opinions



Big Picture

- NASA's mission is to pioneer the future in space exploration, scientific discovery, and aeronautics research.
- NASA employs a strategic management approach requiring all organizations to manage requirements, schedule, and budget according to a program/project management method built on NASA's Governance Model and NASA's Core Values and Guiding Principles.
- For Space Flight Systems this involves adherence to NPR 7120.5D (Program and Project Management) which invokes NPR 7123 (Systems Engineering).



NASA Programs/Projects Foundation

The NASA Governance Model defined by (NASA Policy Directive NPD 1000.0, NASA Strategic Management and Governance Handbook)

- Separates Programmatic and Institutional Authorities
- Describes Governing Councils
- Articulates Strategic Management Principles
- Establishes Technical Authority





Governance Model Core Values

Safety Teamwork Integrity Mission Success





Governance Model Strategic Management Principles

- Lean Governance
- **Responsibility and Decision-Making**
- **Sensible Competition**
- **Balance of Power**
- **Checks and Balances**
- **Integrated Financial Management**
- **Strategic Management of Capital Assets**
- **Strategic Management of Human Capital**

Principles in red are particularly important to program project management.



Governance Model Councils

Three governing councils - Lean Governance





Governance Model Key Checks and Balances

- Technical Authority
- Dissenting Opinions
- Requirements Changes and Waiver
 Principles
- Independent Life Cycle Review Process



Governance Model Punch Line

A comprehensive, self-consistent management system

It all fits together.

Realignment of Governing Documents







- Purpose of rewrite
- Participants
- Applicability
- Key themes and requirements
- Benefits and challenges



Purpose of Rewrite

- Focus on space flight programs and projects
- Incorporate the Governance Model
- Clarify life cycles and key decision points
- Clarify responsibilities
- Formalize Technical Authority, Dissenting Opinion Resolution, and Waiver processes
- Streamline the document

NPR 7120.5D retains the fundamental best practices for Program and Project Management.



Participants

- NPR 7120.5D was produced by an Agency-wide team:
 - All Mission Directorates
 - All Centers
 - Mission Support Offices (e.g., Chief Engineer, PA&E, OSMA, Procurement)
 - Experienced space flight program and project practitioners
- Focused on concepts first, document second
 - Team agreed on the life cycle, products, reviews, and decision points prior to writing text
- Team "operated in the sunshine" and received key support across NASA
 - Dispositioned 1400 comments from Agency-wide team
 - Dispositioned additional comments (370) received NASA-wide from formal NODIS review process



Document Structure

- Chapter 1: Background and overview of NASA Management Structure
- Chapter 2: Life cycles for space flight programs and projects
- Chapter 3: Roles and responsibilities of program/project team members and their interrelationships
- Chapter 4: Management requirements on programs and projects by life cycle phase
 - Specifies the gate products required to transition between phases

Appendices:

- A & B: Definitions and Acronyms
- C G: Templates for Management Documents
- H & I: References and Index



Document Compliance

- Chapters 2 and 3 are written as NASA policy describing how NASA does program/project work.
- Chapter 4 is written using verifiable "shall" statements that define the requirements that the program/project must meet.

Chapters 2, 3, 4, and the content of the templates located in the Appendices must be met to be in compliance with NPR 7120.5D





- All current and future NASA space flight programs and projects, including reimbursable space flight programs/projects performed for non-NASA sponsors
- NASA Headquarters and NASA Centers, including Component Facilities and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, and contractors/service providers to the extent specified in their contracts with
- NASA Critical technical facilities specifically developed or significantly modified for space flight systems and ground systems that are in direct support of space flight operations
- An existing program/project's present phase as of the effective date of this NPR and to phases yet to be completed



Key Themes and Requirements



4 Part Management Process

- NPR 7120.5D builds on NASA's extensive experience in human and robotic space flight implementation.
- It retains the proven 4-part process for managing programs and projects.
 - Formulation
 - Approval (for Implementation)
 - Implementation
 - Evaluation

NPR 7120.5D retains the fundamental best practices for Program and Project Management.

Strengthened Acquisition Process

- Added early involvement by senior management
 - Acquisition Strategy Planning (ASP) meeting
 - Ensures consistency with Vision, Strategic Plan, and Agency budget request
 - Assigns program/project to a Center
 - Directs major partnerships
 - Acquisition Strategy Meeting (ASM), early in Formulation
 - Reviews make-or-buy decisions
 - Approves acquisition strategy
- Retained Procurement Strategy Meetings prior to release of major RFPs/contracts
 - Complies with all FAR and NASA FAR requirements



Program and Project Life Cycle

- Provides a uniform life cycle for human and robotic missions
 - Common process flow, uniform phases, and KDPs
 - Disciplined review structure for technical requirements and implementation plans
- 5 key elements in execution of the life cycle:
 - Key Decision Points
 - Required independent reviews
 - Required life cycle gate products
 - CMC and GPMC role in life cycle process
 - Decision Authority role as gatekeeper



4 Types of Programs

• Single-project programs (e.g., JWST)

 Long development and/or operational lifetimes, large investment of Agency resources in one program/project, and contributions to that program/project from multiple organizations/agencies.

• Uncoupled programs (e.g., Discovery)

- Implemented under a broad scientific theme and/or a common program implementation concept.
- Loosely coupled programs (e.g., Mars Exploration)
 - Address specific scientific or exploration objectives through multiple space flight projects of varied scope.

• Tightly coupled programs (e.g., Constellation)

 Multiple projects that execute portions of a mission or missions. No single project is capable of implementing a complete mission. Typically, multiple NASA Centers contribute to the program.



Program Life Cycle Simplified





Project Categorization

- Category 1
 - Nuclear power sources
 - Human space flight
 - Project life cycle cost (LCC) estimate greater than \$1B
- Category 2
 - LCC between \$250 M and \$1B
 - High priority projects with LCC< \$250M</p>
- Category 3
 - Remaining projects
- Note:
 - MDAA may recommend other categorizations
 - AA approves all categorization

Projects vary in scope and complexity and thus require varying levels of requirements and oversight.



Project Life Cycle Simplified

	FORMULATION			IMP					
Project	Pre-A	Α	В	С	D	E	F		
Phases	Concept Studies	Concept & Technology Development	Preliminary Design & Tech. Comp.	Final Design & Fabrication	Sys. Assembly, Test, & Launch	Ops. & Sustainment	Closeout		
Key Decision Points			B				=		
		Mission C	oncept Revi	ew					
		🛕 Sy	stems Requ	irements Re	view				
Major		\wedge	Mission D	efinition Re	view				
Reviews			$ \qquad \qquad$	Preliminary	Design Rev	iew			
					ical Design	Review			
					Systems I	ntegration F	Review		
						erational Re	adiness Re	view	
						Flight Re	adiness Re	view	
							unch Asses	sment	Review
								nmissi	oning
10-17-07				I			Revie	₽w	Page

Independent Life Cycle Review Process

The review of programs and projects at each life cycle milestone by competent individuals who are not dependent on or affiliated with the program/project to objectively assess:

- The adequacy and credibility of the technical approach (including but not limited to: requirements, architecture, and design),
- Schedule,
- Resources,
- Cost,
- Risk, and
- Management approach;
- Progress against the Program/Project Plan;
- Readiness to proceed to the next phase; and
- **Compliance** with NPR 7120.5 and 7123.1 requirements.

Why Have A Life Cycle Independent Review Process? (Cont.)

To provide:

- The program/project with a credible, objective assessment of how they are doing.
- NASA senior management with an understanding of whether
 - The program/project is on the right track,
 - Is performing according to plan, and
 - Externally-imposed impediments to the program/project's success are being removed.
- A credible basis for a decision to proceed into the next phase.
 - The independent review also provides additional assurance to external stakeholders that NASA's basis for proceeding is sound.





Independent Life Cycle Reviews (Cont.)

Standing Review Board (SRB)

- Same core members serve for the life of the program/project.
- Board members must be independent of the program/project, and the some members must be independent of the participating Centers.
- Separate Center review board and IPAO IRT board are eliminated.
- IPAO provides Review Manager and ICE resources to the SRB.

Efficient, disciplined approach to providing independent evaluations



Key Decision Points & Decision Authority

- Key Decision Point (KDP) Decision Authority decides on the readiness for next phase of the life cycle
- Decision Authority
 - NASA Associate Administrator for Programs and Category 1 projects
 - Mission Directorate Associate Administrator for Category 2 and 3 projects

KDPs and the Decision Authority are defined throughout the life cycle.



Governing PMCs

- Agency PMC
 - Governs all programs and Category 1 projects
- Mission Directorate PMC
 - Evaluates all programs/projects executed within the Mission Directorate
 - Governs Category 2 and 3 projects
 - Provides recommendations to the Agency PMC for programs and Category 1 projects

Ensures appropriate level of management oversight



Center Management Councils Technical Oversight

- Evaluate All program and project work
 executed at that Center
- Focus on whether Center's technical and management policies and practices are being followed and whether the Center's resources support program/project requirements
- Assess program and project risk
- Evaluate performance and provide findings and recommendations to Program/Project Managers and to the appropriate PMCs

What is Technical Authority?

 The technical authority process provides a means of independent oversight of programs and projects through the selection of individuals at delegated levels of authority. These individuals are the Technical Authorities.

Three Technical Authorities: Engineering, Safety and Mission Assurance, and Health and Medical



Technical Authority Fundamental Aspects

• Delegated Technical Authority is formal and originates from the Administrator.

 Technical Authorities are funded independently of the program/project.

 The Program/Project Manager remains responsible for program/project the safe conduct and successful outcome in conformance with governing requirements.



- Being the single point of contact at the program, project, or element level for Technical Authority matters at the level of delegated Technical Authority
- Approving changes to and waivers to all Technical Authority requirements
- Serving as members of program/project boards
 - Control boards, change boards, and internal review boards



Waiver Fundamentals

- The organizations and the organizational levels that agreed to the establishment of a requirement must agree to the change or waiver of that requirement, <u>unless this has been</u> formally delegated elsewhere.
- The next higher level of programmatic authority and Technical Authority are informed in a timely manner of change requests or waivers that could affect that level.



Waiver Fundamentals Requirements Types

Programmatic Requirements

Focus on space flight products to be developed and delivered and specifically relate to the goals and objectives of a particular program or project. These requirements flow down from the Agency's strategic planning process. (Responsibility of Programmatic Authority)

Technical Authority Responsible Requirements

Contained in Center and Agency Level documents

Derived Requirement

Arise from constraints, consideration of issues implied but not explicitly stated in high-level direction provided by Center and Agency level requirements. (Responsibility of Programmatic Authority)



What is a Dissenting Opinion?

A <u>"Dissenting Opinion</u>" is a disagreement with a decision or action that an <u>individual judges</u> is of sufficient importance that it warrants a specific review and decision by higher level management and the individual specifically requests that the dissent be recorded and resolved by the dissenting opinion process. (See NPR 7120.5D paragraph 3.3.)

• A <u>"Dissenting Opinion</u>" **is not** a difference of opinion that might be expressed in a manner such as "I would not do it that way if it were my decision", or "I disagree with the proposed action, but I can live with it."



Dissenting Opinions Resolution Process

- Dissenting parties attempt resolution at their level.
- If no resolution, jointly attempt resolution at next level of management.
- If no resolution, continue the process at the next higher level of management, even to the NASA Administrator if necessary.

A formal, recognized process for resolving

dissenting opinions



High Level View Of Dissent Resolution Paths



Programmatic

Authority



Programmatic &

Technical Authority







Program and Project Planning Templates

- Formulation Authorization Document (FAD)
- Program Commitment Agreement (PCA)
- Program and Project Plans, including sub-plans
 - SAFETY AND MISSION ASSURANCE,
 - RISK MANAGEMENT,
 - ACQUISITION,
 - REVIEWS,
 - SCIENCE DATA MANAGEMENT,
 - EXPORT CONTROL,
 - EDUCATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH, etc.

Templates ensure uniformity across the Agency.



Further Information and Feedback

A web-based version of the requirements and processes in NPR 7120.5D will be available in early June 2007: https://polaris.nasa.gov/

- POLARIS will contain:
 - Searchable, sortable database of requirements
 - Interactive life cycle charts w/links to review descriptions, KDP gate products, templates and examples
 - Process information for Technical Authority, Reviews, Categorization, Management councils, Dissenting opinions, etc.
 - FAQs, related ASK articles, training materials
 - Source for feedback
 - and much more.....



Conclusions

Benefits

- Unifies management of programs and projects
- Clarifies the flow down of programmatic and management process requirements
- Clarifies accountability, roles and responsibilities of key personnel
- Challenges
 - Providing training for institutional and project personnel
 - Updating center-specific processes and practices to align with 7120.5D
 - Developing a database to identify the lead
 Technical Authority and associated delegations of waiver approval authority



Backup



Programmatic Requirements Hierarchy

Direction	Content	Governing Document
Needs, Goals, Objectives (NGO's)	Agency strategic direction	Strategic Plan
Agency Requirements	Structure, relationships, principles governing design and evolution of cross-Agency NGO's	Architectural Control Documents (ACD)
MDAA Requirements	High-level requirements levied on a program including programmatic direction	Program Commitment Agreement (PCA)

MDAA +Mission Directorate Associate Administrator



Programmatic Requirements Hierarchy (cont.)

Direction	Content	Governing Document
Program Requirements	Detailed requirements to implement the PCA and programmatic requirements levied from Program its projects	Program Plan
Project Requirements	Detailed requirements to implement the Program Plan and programmatic requirements levied from Program its projects	Project Plan
System Requirements	Detailed requirements allocated from project to lower levels of project	Systems Req. Doc.

Increased accountability and clarity in flowdown of programmatic requirements



Program Life Cycle

NASA Life	FORMULATION Approval for			IMPLEMENTATION					
Cycle Phases	Pre-Program Acquisition		Program Acquisition				Operations		
Program Life Cycle Gates & Major Events	FAD _	KDP 0 ⁶ KDP I PCA ¹ Program Plan ¹		۴	(DP 111 \	/ кор	V	/ KDP n \	
Project Starts Program			Start Project7	oject m, m+1				Updated PCA 2	Start process
Updates								Updated Program Plan	
Agency Reviews									
Major Program Reviews ⁷		P/SRR P/SDR (PPAR) (PAR)							
Uncoupled	& Loosely Coup	led Programs			PIRs, & I	KDPs are conduc	ted ~ e	<u>every two</u> years	
or			or (Plf	R)					
Single-Proj	ect ³ & Tightly Co	upled Programs							
FOOTNOTES				process will t	be restarte	ed when directed by the implementation steps :	e AA, ile as origin	the program's upgrad	de will go through the
 PCA and Program to ensure program Projects, in some in project pre-formula 	7. These reviews are conducted by the program for the independent SRB (with the exception of the FRR and SMSR). See Section 2.5 and Table 2-5. ACRONYMS PCA—Program Commitment Agreement								
 Single-project program reviews from PDR until operations are the same reviews as the project reviews (not duplicates). Single-project programs are approved at KDP II. 				ASP—Acquisition Strategy Planning meeting ASM—Acquisition Strategy Meeting CDR—Critical Design Review PLAR—Post-Launch Assessment Review				view n Review nent Review	
 Tightly coupled pro- are conducted to er Once in operations. 	CERR—Critical Byents Readiness Review FAD—Formulation Authorization Document FRR—Flight Readiness Review P/SRR—Program/System Requirements Review					Approval Review finition Review quirements Review			
KDP 0 and the PP/ are understood and	KDP—Key Decision Point PSR—Program Status Review LRR—Launch Readiness Review SIR—System Integration Review ORR—Operational Readiness Review SRB—Standing Review Board				u iew I				
When programs rec	quire upgrades (e.g., ne	ew program capabilities)	, the life-cycle	PAR—Program	Approval	Review	SMSR-	–Safety and Mission S	uccess Review



Project Life Cycle

NASA Life		FORMUL	ATION Appro	oval for IMPLEMENTATION				
Cycle Phases	Pre-Systems	Acquisition	Implem	entation System	s Acquisition	Operations	Decommissioning	
Project Life Cycle Phases	Pre-Phase A: Concept Studies	Phase A: Concept & Technology Development	Phase B: Preliminary Design & Technology Completion	Phase C: Final Design & Fabrication	Phase D: System Assembly, Int & Test, Launch	Phase E: Operations & Sustainment	Phase F: Closeout	
Project Life Cycle Gates & Major Events	KDP A FAD Draft Project Requirements	KDP B Preliminary Project Plan	KDP C Baseline Project.Plan?	7 KDP D 7		KDP F munch End of Missio	7 Final Archiwal n of Data	
Agency Reviews	ASP ⁵	ASMP						
Human Space Flight Project Reviews ¹	MC					R PLAR CERR ³ End of		
Re-flights		(1004	Re-enters appropriate life	rycle phase if	Refirbishment			
Robotic Mission Project Reviews ¹	Δ		modifications are needed by	tweenflights ⁶			\triangle	
Launch Readiness Reviews	MC	R SRRMDR* (PNAR	PDR) (NAR)	CDR/ SI PRR ²		SMSR, LRR (10), FRR (10)	DK	
Supporting Reviews		Peer Peer	Reviews, Subsyst	em PDRs, Subsys	em CDRs, and Syst	em Reviews	\bigtriangleup	
FOOTNOTES				ACRONYMS				
 Flexibility is allowed in the timing, number, and content of reviews as long as the equivalent information is provided at each KDP and the approach is fully documented in the Project Plan. These reviews are conducted by the project for the independent SRB. See Section 2.5 and Table 2-6. PRR needed for multiple (≥4) system copies. Timing is notional. CERRs are established at the discretion of Program Offices. For robotic missions, the SRR and the MDR may be combined. The ASP and ASM are Agency reviews, not life-cycle reviews. Includes recertification, as required. Project Plans are baselined at KDP C and are reviewed and updated as required, to ensure project content, cost, and budget remain consistent. 				ASP—Acquisition Strategy Planning Meeting ORR—Operational Readiness Review ASM—Acquisition Strategy Meeting ORR—Operational Readiness Review CDR—Critical Design Review PDR—Preliminary Design Review CERR—Critical Brents Readiness Review PFAR—Post-Flight Assessment Review DR—Formulation Authorization Document PNAR—Preliminary Non-Advocate Review FRR—Flight Readiness Review SAR—System Acceptance Review KDP—Key Decision Point SDR—System Definition Review MCR—Mission Concept Review SIR—System Integration Review MDR—Mission Definition Review SMSR—Safety and Mission Success Review MAR—Non-Advocate Review SRR—System Requirements Review				