#### Criminal Justice and Health and Human Services: An Exploration of Overlapping Needs, Resources, and Interests in Brooklyn Neighborhoods

Eric Cadora, Open Society Institute

with

Mannix Gordon, Pratt Institute Center for Community and Environmental Development

Charles Swartz, Center for Alternative Sentencing and Employment Services


This paper was produced for a conference funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services on January 30-31, 2002. The views expressed herein are those of the authors, and should not be attributed to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, as well as the Urban Institute, its trustees, or its funders. Criminal Justice and Health and Human Services An Exploration of Overlapping Needs, Resources, and Interests in Brooklyn Neighborhoods

#### **Data Sources**


The New York City Dept. of Info. Technology and Training (2000 data) The New York City Dept. of City Planning (2000 data) The United States Census 2000 (2000 data) The New York City Human Resources Administration (2000 data) The New York City Police Department (2000 data) The New York City Dept. of Corrections (1998 data) The New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (1998 data) The New York City Dept. of Probation (1999 data) The New York State Division of Parole (2000 data)

### Administrative Boundaries

Brooklyn, NY




Traditionally, criminal justice and other public policy analysis takes place on either a caseby-case basis or on the broad jurisdictional level. However, both these analytical ends of the spectrum miss the cumulative impact that individual decisions have on neighborhoods. To register that important dimension, data must be mapped at the census tract or block level.



## **Population Profile**

Brooklyn, NY




# **Population Profile**

Brooklyn, NY

It's also important to note of other demographic concentrations, such as race and ethnicity.


**Percent Black** 



**Percent White** 

### **Needs Based Programs**


Brooklyn, NY




Recipients of needs based program

### **Needs Based Programs**





#### Criminal Justice Brooklyn, NY Jail & Prison Admissions (1 Yr)




It is vitally important to understand incarceration, re-integration, and community supervision as a backdrop to the provision of needs based program services. Residents who are admitted to jail and prison are highly concentrated in a few neighborhoods. The highest incarceration rate neighborhoods experience nine times as many admissions to jail and prison each year than the lowest. Moreover, their incarceration and return takes place over a relatively short period of time— 66% of incarcerated residents return home in fewer than 3 years, producing a potentially destabilizing migration pattern in these neighborhoods.

Jail Sentence Lengths


Sentence (Days)	Count
0 to 5	1268
6 to 10	1362
ll to 15	682
16 to 30	687
31 to 60	339
61 to 120	212
121 to 365	242

Jail and Prison Admissions per 1000 Residents by Precinct



Maximum Prison Sentence Lengths


Sentence (Years)	Count
l to 3	1298
3.1 to 6	1837
6.1 to 10	637
10.1 to 20	268
20.1 to Life	332



#### **Criminal Justice**

Brooklyn, NY

Annual Jail & Prison Expenditures (\$ Millions)




Another way to understand criminal justice policy as a backdrop to the provision of needs based program services is to specify the deployment of resources geographically. For example, the individual, case-by-case decisions to remove and return residents to and from incarceration add up to considerable expenditures for the well being of particular neighborhoods. In some neighborhoods, we find "million dollar blocks," in which more than a million dollars are spent to incarcerate and return residents from that block in a single year. Cumulatively, upwards of \$50 million dollars are spent each year to remove and return residents from the highest incarceration rate neighborhoods. One reason it is important to take account of the deployment of resources for any particular geographical location is that when added up across services—e.g., criminal justice, health & human services, labor, etc...-opportunities to blend resources in more effective service combinations become apparent.

#### **Criminal Justice**

Brooklyn, NY

Residents on Probation/Parole




Concentrations of residents under the supervision of probation and parole authorities mirror those of incarceration. Thousands of residents are under the supervision of separate criminal justice agencies in a single neighborhood. These overlaps also present opportunities for cross criminal justice agency collaborations when viewed from a community-level perspective.

# **Criminal Justice**


Brooklyn, NY

#### Probation Caseload Distributions

As with incarceration expenditures, the deployment of probation and parole supervision resources is important to understand geographically. For example, the map of Brooklyn below (left) shows the residence of one probation officer's caseload. That officer supervises what are deemed "high risk" probationers. The officer's caseload is 76 probationers. If we focus in on just one police precinct highlighted below (left) and map all the probationers on "high risk" supervision, we find that in that single police precinct there are 218 "high risk" probationers (bottom right). If we ask the question: How many different probation officers are these 218 probationers assigned to? We find that they fall into the caseloads of 43 different officers. This despite the fact that 218 probationers constitute only three caseloads.



The obvious opportunity made evident by this geographical caseload analysis is that all the "high risk" probationers in this precinct could be assigned to three instead of 43 different officers. Moreover, if they worked in the precinct instead of the downtown office, they would have a substantially greater understanding of the neighborhood in which their caseloads resided.



#### Criminal Justice and Needs Based Program Populations When we directly compare the

#### Incarcerated Residents (1 Yr)

> 3 Std. Dev.

2 – 3 Std. Dev.

1 – 2 Std. Dev.

0 – 1 Std. Dev.

-1 – 0 Std. Dev.

72

Mean = 50.5

rates of incarcerated residents and residents receiving TANF (expressed below in terms of standard deviations from the mean), we see substantial overlap in the highest concentration neighborhoods. These geographical overlaps suggest that these may be coincident populations.

#### **TANF** Recipients

> 3 Std. Dev.

2 – 3 Std. Dev.


1 – 2 Std. Dev.

0 – 1 Std. Dev.


-1 – 0 Std. Dev.

Mean = 204

### Criminal Justice and Needs Based Program Populations



#### Incarcerated Residents, Public Housing, Support



incarceration community shows dramatically how incarceration is a factor on almost every block of this community. It further suggests that involvement in the criminal justice system provides an irrepressible backdrop to the provision of needs based services. Issues such as incarceration and reintegration are further amplified by drug addiction and mental illness.

> **Total Incarcerated** 4,334 (4% of Adults) Drug Addicted 3,207 (3% of Adults) Mentally III 693 (1% of Adults)

### **Coincident Neighborhood Populations**

Incarcerated Residents and Needs Based Program Recipients

