

6. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CONAWAY OF TEXAS, OR HIS
DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES:

~~REVISED #7~~

~~AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT IN THE
NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE TO H.R. 3999
OFFERED BY MR. CONAWAY OF TEXAS, MR.
ARCURI OF NEW YORK, AND MS. SUTTON OF
OHIO~~

At the end of the bill, add the following:

1 **SEC. 7. SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

2 It is the sense of Congress that each State should
3 prepare a corrosion mitigation and prevention plan, for a
4 project for construction, replacement, or rehabilitation of
5 a bridge, that includes the following:

6 (1) An estimate of the expected useful life of
7 the bridge.

8 (2) An estimate of environmental exposure of
9 the bridge, including marine, deicer application, in-
10 dustrial, rural, rainfall, temperature, freeze-thaw,
11 and other factors that influence corrosion prevention
12 and corrosion mitigation strategies.

13 (3) An identification of the functional classifica-
14 tion of the bridge.

15 (4) Details of corrosion mitigation and preven-
16 tion methods that will be used with respect to the
17 bridge, taking into account—

- 1 (A) material selection;
- 2 (B) coating considerations;
- 3 (C) cathodic protection considerations;
- 4 (D) design considerations for corrosion;
- 5 and
- 6 (E) concrete requirements.

7 (5) Details of a project maintenance program
8 for the life of the bridge.

9 (6) A certification that the plan was developed
10 by the State or States and approved by a corrosion
11 expert.

12 (7) A certification that each individual con-
13 ducting inspections of Federal-aid highway bridges
14 in the State or States receives training from a corro-
15 sion expert.

