ONE HUNDRED SIXTH CONGRESS

TOM BUILEY, VIRGINIA, CHAIRMAN

ALSO DEW YORK GIVE THE CYNE MARK AND BONG THE CONTROL OF THE CONTR TO THE ACT TENDERSORS
TO BEECH SEARCH STOLEN WARREASS

TOM BUCEY, VIPGRIA, CHARMAN
AUCHAE, DIOXER CHOUSEAVA
MICHAE, DIOXER CHOO
MICHAEL BURARNS FLORIDS
US BARTON, 19935
THE STEARNS FLORIDS
SHARTON, 19935
THE STEARNS FLORIDS
SHARTON MORE SAT
OF HISTEARNS FLORIDS
SHARTON HOR SAT
SHARTON HOR STEARN SAT
SHARTON SAT
S

U.S. Wouse of Representatives Committee on Commerce

Room 2125, Ravburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515-6115

September 14, 1999

Environmental Accountability WEAKENED Under DOE Reorganization Plan

ATTES E CHARGETAN CHIEF OF HIMEE

Dear Colleague:

Does the DOE Reorganization proposal strengthen environmental accountability? The answer from 46 State Attorneys General is **NO**.

Proponents cite three provisions in their defense. Section 3261(a) states, "The Administrator shall ensure that the Administration complies with all applicable environmental, safety, and health statutes and substantive requirements." But, The State Attorneys General call this "an unenforceable exhortation."

Section 3261(c) states, "Nothing in this title shall diminish the authority of the Secretary of Energy to ascertain and ensure that such compliance occurs." Unfortunately, section 3213, which provides that this autonomous agency "shall not be responsible to, or subject to the authority, direction, or control of, any officer, employee, or agent of the Department of Energy ... except for the Secretary of Energy" removes the current authority of the Office of Health, Safety, and Environment or any other delegate of the Secretary to oversee these defense facilities, according to the State Attorneys General.

Section 3296(a) states, "Unless otherwise provided in this title, all provisions of law and regulation in effect immediately before the effective date of this title that are applicable to functions of the Department of Energy specified in section 3291 shall continue to apply to the corresponding functions of the Administration." However, the State Attorneys General state. "Section 3296, intended as a savings clause, will not preserve application of existing laws and regulations because of the introductory phrase 'unless otherwise provided in this title."

Who should you believe? I would trust the judgment of 46 chief law enforcement officers of the 50 states.

Vote YES on a motion to recommit the conference report with instructions to strip this provision.

> JOHN D. DINGELL RANKING MEMBER