Unanswered Questions About the Economic Impact of Gas Drilling in the Marcellus Shale



JM Barth & Associates, Inc.

RISKS:

- Water Contamination
- Air Pollution
- Sick Children
- Dying Agriculture
- Industrial Landscape

Is the potential economic gain worth the risks?

Studies of Economic Impact

- Biased
- Dated
- Seriously Flawed
- Inapplicable to Our Region

Economic Impact Studies

- New York (SGEIS, Broome County Study)
- Pennsylvania (Penn State Studies, API Study)
- Texas (Perryman Study)
- Western States (Headwater Economics Study)

SGEIS

- Economic Analysis from 1988
- Earnings Multiplier of 1.4
- Ignores declines in other industries
- Recommends 150 new tasks be assigned to DEC
- References flawed studies

Broome County Study ignores costs

- Infrastructure Costs
- Drinking water contamination
- Land, Stream and Air Pollution
- Declines in Property Values
- Declines in Other Industries (Tourism, agriculture, organic farming, sport hunting and fishing)

ECONOMIC REALITY: NY

Gas-Intensive NYS Counties Are Not Better Off

- More Families Below Poverty Level
- Lower Median Household Income
- Higher Unemployment Rate

Penn State Studies

- Highly Biased
- Supply Equation Volatile
- Ignores Economic Costs
- Myopic View
- Uses Input-Output Analysis

Input-Output Analysis

- All population has identical spending patterns
- Assumes Constant returns to scale
- Static in Time and Aspatial
- Coefficients are unknown
- Production Function is Constant (no input substitution)
- No price changes

ECONOMIC REALITY:PA

- 70% of gas employees are from out of state.
- 195 wells were drilled in 2008, and statewide employment in O&G Extraction Industry dropped in 2008.

Barnett Shale Play

Perryman Study

Data Sources?

Model Structure?

Accuracy?

ECONOMIC REALITY: TX

Barnett and Marcellus?



- Texas labor force has requisite skill set
- Texas has support industry network
- Gas company headquarters
- Dallas-Ft. Worth Metroplex v. Rural NY

JM Barth & Associates, Inc.

TEXAS may derive a greater economic benefit from gas drilling in NEW YORK than will NEW YORK



Multipliers are larger for

- More developed areas with industrial diversity
- Areas with labor force having requisite skills
- Areas with permanent residents as workers
- Labor intensive industries

Characteristics of Counties not focused on fossil fuel extraction

- Higher growth rates
- More diverse economies
- Better-educated populations
- Smaller gap between high and low income households
- More retirement and investment income

Source: Headwater Economics, 2008

"Mining the Data: Analyzing the Economic Implications of Mining for Nonmetropolitan Regions"

CONCLUSIONS:

Unemployment and Poverty Rates Worsen AND
Highest Levels of Long-Term Poverty

Source: Freudenburg, Sociological Inquiry, 2002.

The only parties likely to benefit financially are the gas companies and a few lucky landowners.

Deep Pockets of Gas Industry

Lobbying

Political Contributions

Economic Studies

Tax Subsidies

 Federal tax treatment of O&G industry encourages leasing, exploration and drilling whether or not they produce gas

 Industry lobbyists talked the Senate out of removing subsidies, in part on the basis of job loss

Conclusions

- The economic impact studies ignore important and significant costs
- The economic impact studies have been funded primarily by industry
- The methodology used is often inappropriate for this industry in this region
- NOT worth the risk of the potentially severe and in some cases irreversible consequences in the form of health, environmental and infrastructure degradation

BEWARE

Gas drilling in the Marcellus Shale may result in:

A NET NEGATIVE
ECONOMIC IMPACT
FOR NEW YORK

JM Barth & Associates, Inc.

Jannette M. Barth, Ph.D. JM Barth & Associates, Inc.

jm.barth@mac.com