IV. BOARD OF DIRECTORS

RESOLUTION ON INTERROGATIONS OF CRIMINAL SUSPECTS (NBI #27A)

SUMMARY

The Council is asked to adopt as APA policy the Resolution on Interrogations of Criminal Suspects as provided in the substitute main motion.

STRATEGIC GOALS/OBJECTIVES

Increase Recognition of Psychology as a Science (3 b and c).

FUNDING

None needed. Dissemination will be done electronically.

IMPLEMENTATION

If approved by Council, the Resolution will be included in APA Policy Manual. It will also be posted on the APA web site and disseminated to APA boards and committees, APA division and state, provincial and territorial psychological associations.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board of Directors, Committee on Legal Issues (COLI), along with the movers of the main motion (APA Division 41), recommend approval of the substitute main motion.

Additional recommendations from APA governance groups may be found in the Comments Chart (Exhibit 2).

MAIN MOTION

5 6

7

(as originated in NBI #27A)

That the Board of Directors recommends that Council adopts the following resolution that draws
 attention to the problem of false confessions and wrongful convictions, and includes
 recommendations for practices that can be employed to reduce the frequency of false confessions
 and wrongful convictions.

Resolution on Interrogations of Criminal Suspects

WHEREAS law enforcement officers, upon gaining a confession from a criminal suspect, often close their investigation, deem the crime solved, and sometimes overlook exculpatory evidence or other possible leads—even in cases in which the confession is internally inconsistent, contradicted

by external evidence, or the product of coercive interrogation (Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Drizin & Leo, 2004; Findley & Scott, 2006; Hirsch, 2007; Kassin & Gudjonsson, 2004);

WHEREAS prosecutors, upon learning of a suspect's confession, tend to charge suspects with the highest number and types of offenses, set bail higher, and are far less likely to initiate or accept a plea bargain to a reduced charge (Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Drizin & Leo, 2004; but see Redlich, in press);

WHEREAS many adults with mental disabilities and younger adolescents are limited in their understanding of the Constitutional rights to silence and to counsel, lack the capacity to weigh the consequences of a rights waiver, and are more likely to waive their rights (Cooper & Zapf, 2008; Rogers et al., 2007a; Clare & Gudjonsson, 1991; Everington & Fulero, 1999; Fulero & Everington, 1995; O'Connell, Garmoe & Goldstein, 2005; Abramovitch, Higgins-Biss & Biss, 1993; Abramovitch, Peterson-Badali & Rohan, 1995: Colwell, Cruise, Guy et al., 2005; Goldstein, Condie, Kalbeitzer et al., 2003; Grisso, 1980, 1981; Redlich, Silverman & Steiner, 2003; Viljoen, Klaver & Roesch, 2005; Viljoen & Roesch, 2005; Wall & Furlong, 1985; Clare & Gudjonsson, 1995: Everington & Fulero, 1999; O'Connell, Garmoe & Goldstein, 2005; Goldstein, Condie, Kalbeitzer et al., 2005; Redlich, Silverman & Steiner, 2003; Singh & Gudjonsson, 1992);

WHEREAS interrogations that are excessive in length, include the presentation of false evidence, or include implicit or explicit promises of leniency increase the risk of false confessions (Drizin & Leo, 2004; Horselenberg, Merkelbach, & Josephs, 2003; Kassin & Kiechel, 1996; Kassin & McNall, 1991; Klaver et al., 2008; Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Ofshe & Leo, 1997a, 1997b; Nash & Wade, 2009; Perillo & Kassin, 2011; Redlich & Goodman, 2003; Russano et al., 2005; Swanner, Beike, & Cole, 2010; White, 2001);

 WHEREAS innocent persons have falsely confessed to committing offenses of which they have been accused only later to be exonerated (Drizin & Leo, 2004; Gudjonsson, 1992, 2003; Kassin, 1997; Kassin & Gudjonsson, 2004; Lassiter, 2004; Lassiter & Meissner, 2010; Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Garrett, 2008; Scheck, Neufeld, & Dwyer, 2000; http://www.innocenceproject.org/);

WHEREAS confessions are particularly potent forms of evidence that jurors and others do not fully discount—even when they are judged to be coerced (Kassin & Neumann, 1997; Kassin & Sukel, 1997; Lassiter & Geers, 2004; Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Drizin & Leo, 2004; Kassin & Wrightsman, 1980; Neuschatz et al., 2008; Redlich, Ghetti, & Quas, 2008; Redlich, Quas, & Ghetti, 2008);

WHEREAS jurors and other triers of fact have difficulty distinguishing true and false confessions (Kassin, Norwick, & Meissner, 2005)—in part because false confessions are highly counterintuitive (Leo & Liu, 2009; Levine, Kim, & Blair, 2010) and in part because these statements, as seen in the confessions of defendants who were ultimately exonerated, typically contain vivid and accurate details about the offense and victim, facts that were not in the public domain, as well as other indicia of credibility (e.g., statements of motivation, apologies and remorse, corrected errors), indicating that the innocent confessor obtained the information from leading questions or other secondary sources of information (Garrett, 2010; Appleby, Hasel, & Kassin, 2011; Kassin, 2006; Leo, 2008; Leo & Ofshe, 1998, Ofshe & Leo 1997a, 1997b);

WHEREAS videotaping of interrogations in their entirety provides an objective and accurate audiovisual record of the interrogation, provides a vehicle by which to resolve disputes about the source of non-public details in a suspect's confession, and has the potential to deter interrogators from using inappropriate tactics and deter defense attorneys from making frivolous claims of police coercion (American Bar Association, 2004; Boetig, Vinson, & Weidel, 2006; Cassell, 1996a, 1996b; Drizin & Colgan, 2004; Geller, 1994; Gudjonsson, 2003; Kassin et al., 2010; Leo, 1996c; Slobogin, 2003; Sullivan, 2004; The Justice Project, 2007);

WHEREAS interrogations video recorded from a "neutral" camera perspective—one focusing attention equally on suspects and interrogators—produce less prejudiced judgments or interpretations of suspects' statements and behaviors than the more typical "suspect-focus" camera perspective that directs greater attention onto suspects than interrogators (Landström, Roos af Hjelmsäter, Granhag, 2007; Lassiter, 2002, 2010; Lassiter, Diamond, Schmidt, & Elek, 2007; Lassiter, Geers, Handley, Weiland, & Munhall, 2002; Lassiter, Geers, Munhall, Handley, & Beers, 2001; Lassiter, Ware, Lindberg, & Ratcliff, 2010; note that Ratcliff, Lassiter, Jager, Lindberg, Elek, & Hasinski, 2010, report preliminary evidence that the prophylactic effect of a neutral camera perspective may be less when the suspect is a racial minority and the interrogator is not);

WHEREAS, the findings set forth in this resolution regarding the phenomenon of false confessions are the product of established research methods that are widely accepted in the field of psychology, as evidenced by publication in the peer reviewed journals and books that are cited in the resolution and its supporting references; and

WHEREAS, as a scientific and educational organization, the American Psychological Association's mission is in part to promote the application of sound research findings to advance the public welfare;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the American Psychological Association recommends that all custodial interviews and interrogations of felony suspects be video recorded in their entirety and with a "neutral" camera angle that focuses equally on the suspect and interrogator;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends, recognizing that the risk of false confession is increased with extended interrogation times, that law enforcement agencies consider placing limits on the length of time that suspects are interrogated, with these limits only being exceeded with special authorization;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends that law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, and the courts recognize the risks posed to innocent suspects by interrogations that involve the presentation of false evidence;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends that police, prosecutors, and the courts recognize the risks posed to innocent suspects by interrogations that involve minimization "themes" that communicate promises of leniency;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends that particularly vulnerable suspect populations be provided special protection during interrogations in the form of the mandatory presence of either an attorney or professional advocate, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends that those who conduct interrogations receive special training regarding the risk of eliciting false confessions from individuals who are young, cognitively impaired, psychologically disordered, or in other ways are vulnerable to manipulation.

111 References

Abramovitch, R., Higgins-Biss, K., & Biss, S. (1993). Young persons' comprehension of waivers in criminal proceedings. Canadian *Journal of Criminology*, *35*, 309-322.

- Abramovitch, R., Peterson-Badali, M., & Rohan, M. (1995). Young people's understanding and assertion of their rights to silence and legal counsel. *Canadian Journal of Criminology*, 37, 1-18.
- American Bar Association (2004). *Resolution 8A Videotaping custodial interrogations*. Approved February 9, 2004.
- Appleby, S.C., Hasel, L.E., & Kassin, S.M. (in press). Police-induced confessions: An empirical analysis of their content and impact. *Psychology, Crime and Law*.
- Boetig, B. P., Vinson, D. M., & Weidel, B. R. (2006). Revealing incommunicado. *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, 75 (12), 1-8.
- Cassell, P. G. (1996a). Miranda's social costs: An empirical reassessment. *Northwestern University Law Review, 90,* 387-499.
- Cassell, P. G. (1996b). All benefits, no costs: The grand illusion of Miranda's defenders. Northwestern University Law Review, 90, 1084-1124.
- Clare, I., & Gudjonsson, G. H. (1991). Recall and understanding of the caution and rights in police detention among persons of average intellectual ability and persons with a mild mental handicap. *Issues in Criminological and Legal Psychology*, 1, 34-42.
- Clare, I., & Gudjonsson, G. H. (1995). The vulnerability of suspects with intellectual disabilities during police interviews: A review and experimental study of decision-making. *Mental Handicap Research*, *8*, 110-128.
- Colwell, L., Cruise, K., Guy, L., McCoy, W., Fernandez, K., & Ross, H. (2005). The influence of psychosocial maturity on male juvenile offenders' comprehension and understanding of the Miranda warning. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and Law, 33,* 444-454.
- Cooper, V. G., & Zapf, P. A. (2008). Psychiatric patients' comprehension of *Miranda* rights. *Law and Human Behavior, 32,* 390-405.
- Drizin, S. A., & Colgan, B. (2004). Tales from the juvenile confession front: A guide to how standard police interrogation tactics can produce coerced and false confessions from juvenile suspects. In G.D. Lassiter (Ed.), *Interrogations, confessions, and entrapment* (pp. 127-162). New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum.
- Drizin, S. A., & Leo, R.A. (2004). The problem of false confessions in the post-DNA world. *North Carolina Law Review, 82*, 891-1007.
- Everington, C., & Fulero, S. (1999). Competence to confess: Measuring understanding and Suggestibility of defendants with mental retardation. *Mental Retardation, 37,* 212-220.
- Findley, K. A., & Scott, M. S. (2006). The multiple dimensions of tunnel vision in criminal cases. *Wisconsin Law Review, 2006, 291-397*.
- Fulero, S., & Everington, C. (1995). Assessing competency to waive Miranda rights in defendants with mental retardation. *Law and Human Behavior*, *19*, 533-545.
- 152 Garrett, B. (2010). The substance of false confessions. *Stanford Law Review 62*, 1051-1119.
- 153 Garrett, B. (2008). Judging Innocence. Columbia Law Review, 108, 55-142.
 - Geller, W.A. (1994). Videotaping interrogations and confessions. *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, January 1994.
 - Goldstein, N., Condie, L., Kalbeitzer, R., Osman, D., & Geier, J. (2005). Juvenile offenders' Miranda rights comprehension and self-reported likelihood of false confessions. *Assessment*, 10, 359-369.
- 159 Grisso, T. (1980). Juveniles' capacities to waive Miranda rights: An empirical analysis.

 160 California Law Review, 68, 1134-1166.

- 161 Grisso, T. (1981). *Juveniles' waiver of rights: Legal and psychological competence.* New York: 162 Plenum.
- Gudjonsson, G. H. (1992). The psychology of interrogations, confessions, and testimony.
 London: Wiley.
- Gudjonsson, G. H. (2003). The psychology of interrogations and confessions: A handbook.
 Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons.
 - Horselenberg, R., Merckelbach, H., & Josephs, S. (2003). Individual differences and false confessions: A conceptual replication of Kassin and Kiechel (1996). *Psychology, Crime and Law, 9,* 1-18.
 - http://www.innocenceproject.org/.

- 171 Kassin, S. M. (1997). The psychology of confession evidence. *American Psychologist*, *52*, 221-172 233.
- Kassin, S. M. (in press). False confessions: Causes, consequences, and implications for reform. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *17*, 249-253.
 - Kassin, S. M. (2006). A critical appraisal of modern police interrogations. In T. Williamson (Ed.), *Investigative interviewing: Rights, research, regulation* (pp. 207-228). Devon, UK: Willan Publishing.
 - Kassin, S. M., Drizin, S. A., Grisso, T., Gudjonsson, G. H., Leo, R. A., & Redlich, A. D. (2010). Police-induced confessions: Risk factors and recommendations. *Law and Human Behavior*, 34, 3-38.
 - Kassin, S. M., & Gudjonsson, G. H. (2004). The psychology of confession evidence: A review of the literature and issues. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *5*, 35-69.
 - Kassin, S. M., & Kiechel, K. L. (1996). The social psychology of false confessions: Compliance, internalization, and confabulation. *Psychological Science*, *7*, 125-128.
 - Kassin, S. M., & McNall, K. (1991). Police interrogations and confessions: Communicating promises and threats by pragmatic implication. *Law and Human Behavior*, *15*, 233-251.
 - Kassin, S. M., Meissner, C. A., & Norwick, R. J. (2005). "I'd know a false confession if I saw one": A comparative study of college students and police investigators. *Law and Human Behavior*, 29, 211-227.
 - Kassin, S. M., & Neumann, K. (1997). On the power of confession evidence: An experimental test of the "fundamental difference" hypothesis. *Law and Human Behavior*, *21*, 469-484.
 - Kassin, S.M., & Sukel, H. (1997). Coerced confessions and the jury: An experimental test of the "harmless error" rule. *Law and Human Behavior*, *21*, 27-46.
 - Kassin, S.M., & Wrightsman, L. S. (1980). Prior confessions and mock juror verdicts. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 10,* 133-146.
 - Klaver, J., Lee, Z., & Rose, V. G. (2008). Effects of personality, interrogation techniques and plausibility in an experimental false confession paradigm. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, *13*, 71-88.
 - Landström, S., Roos af Hjelmsäter, E., & Granhag, P. A. (2007). The camera perspective bias: A case study. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, I, 199-208
 - Lassiter, G. D. (2002). Illusory causation in the courtroom. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *11*, 204-208.
 - Lassiter, G. D. (Ed.) (2004). *Interrogations, confessions, and entrapment*. New York: Kluwer Academic.
 - Lassiter, G. D. (2010). Psychological science and sound public policy: Video recording of custodial interrogations. *American Psychologist*, *65*, 768-779.
- Lassiter, G. D., Diamond, S. S., Schmidt, H. C., & Elek, J. K. (2007). Evaluating videotaped
 confessions: Expertise provides no defense against the camera-perspective effect.
 Psychological Science, *18*, 224-226.

Lassiter, G. D., & Geers, A. L. (2004). Bias and accuracy in the evaluation of confession evidence. In G. D. Lassiter (Ed.), *Interrogations, Confessions and Entrapment* (pp.197-213). New York: Kluwer/Plenum.

- Lassiter, G. D., Geers, A. L., Handley, I. M., Weiland, P. E., & Munhall, P. J., (2002).
 Videotaped confessions and interrogations: A change in camera perspective alters verdicts in simulated trials. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87, 867-874.
 - Lassiter, G. D., Geers, A. L., Munhall, P. J., Handley, I. M., & Beers, M. J. (2001). Videotaped confessions: Is guilt in the eye of the camera? In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*, (Vol. 33, pp. 189–254). New York: Academic Press.
 - Lassiter, G. D., & Meissner, C. A. (Eds.). (2010). *Police interrogations and false confessions:*Current research, practice, and policy recommendations. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
 - Leo, R. A. (1996c). The impact of Miranda revisited. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, *86*, 621-692.
 - Leo, R. A. (2008). *Police Interrogation and American Justice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
 - Leo, R. A., & Ofshe, R. J. (1998). The consequences of false confessions: Deprivations of Liberty and miscarriages of justice in the age of psychological interrogation. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 88, 429-496.
 - Levine, T. R., Kim, R. K., & Blair, J. P. (2010). (In)accuracy at detecting true and false confessions and denials: An initial test of a projected motive model of veracity judgments. *Human Communication Research*, *36*, 81-101.
 - Nash, R.A., & Wade, K.A. (2009). Innocent but proven guilty: Using false video evidence to elicit false confessions and create false beliefs. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, *23*, 624-637.
 - Neuschatz, J. S., Lawson, D. S., Swanner, J. K., Meissner, C. A., & Neuschatz, J. S. (2008). The effects of accomplice witnesses and jailhouse informants on jury decision making. *Law and Human Behavior*, *32*, 137-149.
 - O'Connell, M. J., Garmoe, W., & Goldstein, N. E. S. (2005). Miranda comprehension in adults with mental retardation and the effects of feedback style on suggestibility. *Law and Human Behavior*, *29*, 359-369.
 - Ofshe, R. J., & Leo, R.A. (1997a). The social psychology of police interrogation: The theory and classification of true and false confessions. *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society, 16,* 189-251.
 - Ofshe, R. J., & Leo, R. A. (1997b). The decision to confess falsely: Rational choice and irrational action. *Denver University Law Review, 74*, 979-1122.
 - Perillo, J. T., & Kassin, S. M. (2011). Inside interrogation: The lie, the bluff, and false confessions. *Law and Human Behavior*, *35*, 327-337.
 - Ratcliff, J.J., Lassiter, G. D., Jager, V. M., Lindberg, M. J., Elek, J. K., & Hasinski, A. E. (2010).

 The hidden consequences of racial salience in videotaped interrogations and confessions.

 Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 16, 200-218.
 - Redlich, A. D. (in press). False confessions and false guilty pleas. In G. D. Lassiter & C. A. Meissner (Eds.), *Police Interrogations and confessions: Current research, practice and policy*. Washington, DC: APA Books.
 - Redlich, A. D., Ghetti, S., & Quas, J. A. (2008). Perceptions of children during a police interview: A comparison of suspects and alleged victims. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 38, 705-735.
 - Redlich, A. D., & Goodman, G. S. (2003). Taking responsibility for an act not committed: Influence of age and suggestibility. *Law and Human Behavior*, *27*, 141-156.
- 259 Redlich, A. D., Quas, J. A., & Ghetti, S. (2008). Perceptions of children during a police 260 interview: Guilt, confessions, and interview fairness. *Psychology, Crime, and Law*.

- 261 Redlich, A. D., Silverman, M., & Steiner, H. (2003). Pre-adjudicative and adjudicative 262 competence in juveniles and young adults. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 21*, 393-263 410.
 - Rogers, R., Harrison, K., Hazelwood, L, & Sewell, K., (2007a). Knowing and intelligent: A study of Miranda warnings in mentally disordered defendants. *Law and Human Behavior*, 31, 401-418.
 - Russano, M. B., Meissner, C. A., Narchet, F. M., & Kassin, S. M. (2005). Investigating true and false confessions within a novel experimental paradigm. *Psychological Science*, *16*, 481-486.
 - Scheck, B., Neufeld, P., & Dwyer, J. (2000). *Actual innocence*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday. Singh, K., & Gudjonsson, G. (1992). Interrogative suggestibility among adolescent boys and its relationship to intelligence, memory, and cognitive set. *Journal of Adolescence*, 15, 155-161.
 - Slobogin, C. (2003). Ohio State Journal of Criminal Law, 1, 309-322.
 - Sullivan, T. P. (2004). *Police experiences with recording custodial interrogations*. Chicago: Northwestern University Law School, Center on Wrongful Convictions.
 - Swanner, J. K., Beike, D. R., & Cole, A. T. (in press). Snitching, lies and computer crashes: An experimental investigation of secondary confessions. *Law and Human Behavior*.
 - The Justice Project (2007). *Electronic recording of custodial interrogations: A policy review.*Washington, DC: The Justice Project.
 - Viljoen, J., & Roesch, R. (2005). Competence to waive interrogation rights and adjudicative competence in adolescent defendants: Cognitive development, attorney contact, and psychological symptoms. *Law and Human Behavior*, *29*, 723-742.
 - Viljoen, J., Klaver, J., & Roesch, R. (2005). Legal decisions of preadolescent and adolescent defendants: Predictors of confessions, pleas, communication with attorneys, and appeals. *Law and Human Behavior*, *29*, 253-277.
 - Wall, S., & Furlong, J. (1985). Comprehension of Miranda rights by urban adolescents with law related education. *Psychological Reports*, *56*, 359-372.
 - White, W. S. (2001). *Miranda's waning protections: Police interrogation practices after Dickerson*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION

(as originated by COLI and the movers of NBI #27A)

That the Board of Directors recommends that Council adopts as APA policy the following Resolution on Interrogations of Criminal Suspects that draws attention to the problem of false confessions and wrongful convictions, and includes recommendations for the practices that can be employed to reduce the frequency of false confessions and wrongful conviction.

Resolution on Interrogations of Criminal Suspects

WHEREAS law enforcement officers, upon gaining a confession from a criminal suspect, often close their investigation, deem the crime solved, and sometimes overlook exculpatory evidence or other possible leads—even in cases in which the confession is internally inconsistent, contradicted by external evidence, or the product of coercive interrogation (Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Drizin & Leo, 2004; Findley & Scott, 2006; Hirsch, 2007; Kassin & Gudjonsson, 2004);

WHEREAS prosecutors, upon learning of a suspect's confession, tend to charge suspects with the highest number and types of offenses, set bail higher, and are far less likely to initiate or accept a plea bargain to a reduced charge (Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Drizin & Leo, 2004; but see Redlich, 2010); WHEREAS many adults with mental disabilities and younger adolescents are limited in their understanding of the Constitutional rights to silence and to counsel, lack the capacity to weigh the consequences of a rights waiver, and are more likely to waive their rights (Cooper & Zapf, 2008; Rogers et al., 2007a; Clare & Gudjonsson, 1991; Everington & Fulero, 1999; Fulero & Everington, 1995; O'Connell, Garmoe & Goldstein, 2005; Abramovitch, Higgins-Biss & Biss, 1993; Abramovitch, Peterson-Badali & Rohan, 1995: Colwell,Cruise, Guy et al., 2005; Goldstein, Condie, Kalbeitzer et al., 2003; Grisso, 1980, 1981; Redlich, Silverman & Steiner, 2003; Viljoen, Klaver & Roesch, 2005; Viljoen & Roesch, 2005; Wall & Furlong, 1985; Clare & Gudjonsson, 1995: Everington & Fulero, 1999; O'Connell, Garmoe & Goldstein, 2005; Goldstein, Condie, Kalbeitzer et al., 2005; Redlich, Silverman & Steiner, 2003; Singh & Gudjonsson, 1992); a pattern that also afflicts ordinary adults who are under stress (Rogers, Gillard, Wooley, & Fiduccia, 2011; Scherr & Madon, 2013);

WHEREAS interrogations that are excessive in length, include the presentation of false evidence, or include implicit or explicit promises of leniency increase anxiety, create an incentive to escape the situation, mislead the suspect into believing that a confession is in one's best interests, and thereby increase the risk of false confessions (Drizin & Leo, 2004; Horselenberg, Merkelbach, & Josephs, 2003; Kassin & Kiechel, 1996; Kassin & McNall, 1991; Klaver et al., 2008; Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Ofshe & Leo, 1997a, 1997b; Nash & Wade, 2009; Perillo & Kassin, 2011; Redlich & Goodman, 2003; Russano et al., 2005; Swanner, Beike, & Cole, 2010; White, 2001);

WHEREAS innocent persons have falsely confessed to committing offenses of which they have been accused only later to be exonerated (Drizin & Leo, 2004; Gudjonsson, 1992, 2003; Kassin, 1997; Kassin & Gudjonsson, 2004; Lassiter, 2004; Lassiter & Meissner, 2010; Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Garrett, 2008; Scheck, Neufeld, & Dwyer, 2000; http://www.innocenceproject.org/);

 WHEREAS confessions are particularly potent forms of evidence that jurors and others do not fully discount—even when they are judged to be coerced (Kassin & Neumann, 1997; Kassin & Sukel, 1997; Lassiter & Geers, 2004; Leo & Ofshe, 1998; Drizin & Leo, 2004; Kassin & Wrightsman, 1980; Neuschatz et al., 2008; Redlich, Ghetti, & Quas, 2008; Redlich, Quas, & Ghetti, 2008); Wallace & Kassin, 2012);

WHEREAS jurors and other triers of fact have difficulty distinguishing true and false confessions (Kassin, Norwick, & Meissner, 2005; Honts, Kassin, & Craig, in press) and whereas false confessions are highly counterintuitive (Leo & Liu, 2009; Levine, Kim, & Blair, 2010) and in part because these statements, & Blair, 2010) and in part because these statements, as seen in the confessions of defendants who were ultimately exonerated, typically contain vivid and accurate details about the offense and victim, facts that were not in the public domain, as well as other indicia of credibility (e.g., statements of motivation, apologies and remorse, corrected errors), indicating that the innocent confessor obtained the information from leading questions or other secondary sources of information (Garrett, 2010; Appleby, Hasel, & Kassin, 2008; Kassin, 2006; Leo, 2008; Leo & Ofshe, 1998, Ofshe & Leo 1997a, 1997b);

WHEREAS videotaping of interrogations in their entirety provides an objective and accurate audiovisual record of the interrogation, provides a vehicle by which to resolve disputes about the source of non-public details in a suspect's confession, and has the potential to deter interrogators from using inappropriate tactics and deter defense attorneys from making frivolous claims of police coercion (American Bar Association, 2004; Boetig, Vinson, & Weidel, 2006; Cassell, 1996a,

64 1996b; Drizin & Colgan, 2004; Geller, 1994; Gudjonsson, 2003; Kassin et al., 2010; Leo, 1996c; 65 Slobogin, 2003; Sullivan, 2004; The Justice Project, 2007); 66 67 WHEREAS interrogations video recorded from a "neutral" camera perspective—one focusing 68 attention equally on suspects and interrogators—produce less prejudiced judgments or 69 interpretations of suspects' statements and behaviors than the more typical "suspect-focus" 70 camera perspective that directs greater attention onto suspects than interrogators (Landström, 71 Roos af Hjelmsäter, Granhag, 2007; Lassiter, 2002, 2010; Lassiter, Diamond, Schmidt, & Elek, 2007; Lassiter, Geers, Handley, Weiland, & Munhall, 2002; Lassiter, Geers, Munhall, Handley, & Beers, 72 73 2001; Lassiter, Ware, Lindberg, & Ratcliff, 2010; 74 75 WHEREAS, the findings set forth in this resolution regarding the phenomenon of false confessions 76 are the product of established research methods that are widely accepted in the field of 77 psychology, as evidenced by the AP-LS scientific review paper (Kassin et al., 2010) peer reviewed 78 journals, and books that are cited in the resolution and its supporting references; and 79 80 WHEREAS, as a scientific and educational organization, the American Psychological Association's 81 mission is in part to promote the application of sound research findings to advance the public 82 welfare; 83 84 THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the American Psychological Association recommends that all 85 custodial interviews and interrogations of felony suspects be video recorded in their entirety and 86 with a "neutral" camera angle that focuses equally on the suspect and interrogator; 87 88 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends, recognizing that the risk of false confession is 89 increased with extended interrogation times, that law enforcement agencies consider placing 90 limits on the length of time that suspects are interrogated; 91 92 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends that law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, and the courts recognize the risks of eliciting a false confession by interrogations that involve the 93 94 presentation of false evidence; 95 96 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends that police, prosecutors, and the courts 97 recognize the risks of eliciting a false confession that involve minimization "themes" that 98 communicate promises of leniency; 99 100 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends that those who interrogate individuals who are 101 young (with particular attention paid to developmental level and trauma history), cognitively 102 impaired, those with impaired mental health functioning, or in other ways are vulnerable to 103 manipulation receive special training regarding the risk of eliciting false confessions; and 104 105 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that APA recommends that particularly vulnerable suspect populations, 106 including youth, persons with developmental disabilities, and persons with mental illness, be

108109110

111

112

113

107

Abramovitch P. Higgins-Riss V. & Riss S. (1992). Young persons' com

advised by an attorney or professional advocate.

Abramovitch, R., Higgins-Biss, K., & Biss, S. (1993). Young persons' comprehension of waivers in criminal proceedings. Canadian *Journal of Criminology*, *35*, 309-322.

provided special and professional protection during interrogations such as being accompanied and

References

- 114 Abramovitch, R., Peterson-Badali, M., & Rohan, M. (1995). Young people's understanding 115 and assertion of their rights to silence and legal counsel. Canadian Journal of Criminology, 116 *37*. 1-18.
- 117 American Bar Association (2004). Resolution 8A – Videotaping custodial interrogations. Approved February 9, 2004. 118

120

121

122

125

126 127

128

129

130

131 132

133

134

135

136

137 138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

153 154

- Appleby, S.C., Hasel, L.E., & Kassin, S.M. (2011). Police-induced confessions: An empirical analysis of their content and impact. Psychology, Crime and Law.
- Boetig, B. P., Vinson, D. M., & Weidel, B. R. (2006). Revealing incommunicado. FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, 75 (12), 1-8.
- 123 Cassell, P. G. (1996a). Miranda's social costs: An empirical reassessment. Northwestern 124 University Law Review, 90, 387-499.
 - Cassell, P. G. (1996b). All benefits, no costs: The grand illusion of Miranda's defenders. Northwestern University Law Review, 90, 1084-1124.
 - Clare, I., & Gudjonsson, G. H. (1991). Recall and understanding of the caution and rights in police detention among persons of average intellectual ability and persons with a mild mental handicap. Issues in Criminological and Legal Psychology, 1, 34-42.
 - Clare, I., & Gudjonsson, G. H. (1995). The vulnerability of suspects with intellectual disabilities during police interviews: A review and experimental study of decision-making. Mental Handicap Research, 8, 110-128.
 - Colwell, L., Cruise, K., Guy, L., McCoy, W., Fernandez, K., & Ross, H. (2005). The influence of psychosocial maturity on male juvenile offenders' comprehension and understanding of the Miranda warning. Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and Law, 33, 444-454.
 - Cooper, V. G., & Zapf, P. A. (2008). Psychiatric patients' comprehension of Miranda rights. Law and Human Behavior, 32, 390-405.
 - Drizin, S. A., & Colgan, B. (2004). Tales from the juvenile confession front: A guide to how standard police interrogation tactics can produce coerced and false confessions from juvenile suspects. In G.D. Lassiter (Ed.), Interrogations, confessions, and entrapment (pp. 127-162). New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum.
 - Drizin, S. A., & Leo, R.A. (2004). The problem of false confessions in the post-DNA world. North Carolina Law Review, 82, 891-1007.
 - Everington, C., & Fulero, S. (1999). Competence to confess: Measuring understanding and Suggestibility of defendants with mental retardation. Mental Retardation, 37, 212-220.
 - Findley, K. A., & Scott, M. S. (2006). The multiple dimensions of tunnel vision in criminal cases. Wisconsin Law Review, 2006, 291-397.
 - Fulero, S., & Everington, C. (1995). Assessing competency to waive Miranda rights in defendants with mental retardation. Law and Human Behavior, 19, 533-545.
 - Garrett, B. (2010). The substance of false confessions. Stanford Law Review 62, 1051-1119.
- 152 Garrett, B. (2008). Judging Innocence. Columbia Law Review, 108, 55-142.
 - Geller, W.A. (1994). Videotaping interrogations and confessions. FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, January 1994.
- 155 Goldstein, N., Condie, L., Kalbeitzer, R., Osman, D., & Geier, J. (2005). Juvenile offenders' 156 Miranda rights comprehension and self-reported likelihood of false confessions. Assessment, 10, 359-369.
- 158 Grisso, T. (1980). Juveniles' capacities to waive Miranda rights: An empirical analysis. 159 California Law Review, 68, 1134-1166.
- 160 Grisso, T. (1981). Juveniles' waiver of rights: Legal and psychological competence. New York: 161
- 162 Gudjonsson, G. H. (1992). The psychology of interrogations, confessions, and testimony. 163 London: Wiley.

- 164 Gudjonsson, G. H. (2003). The psychology of interrogations and confessions: A handbook. 165 Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons.
- 166 Horselenberg, R., Merckelbach, H., & Josephs, S. (2003). Individual differences and false 167 confessions: A conceptual replication of Kassin and Kiechel (1996). Psychology, Crime and Law, 9, 1-18.
 - http://www.innocenceproject.org/.

169

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

- 170 Kassin, S. M. (1997). The psychology of confession evidence. American Psychologist, 52, 221-171 233.
 - Kassin, S. M. (2008). False confessions: Causes, consequences, and implications for reform. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 17, 249-253.
 - Kassin, S. M. (2006). A critical appraisal of modern police interrogations. In T. Williamson (Ed.), Investigative interviewing: Rights, research, regulation (pp. 207-228). Devon, UK: Willan Publishing.
 - Kassin, S. M., Drizin, S. A., Grisso, T., Gudjonsson, G. H., Leo, R. A., & Redlich, A. D. (2010). Police-induced confessions: Risk factors and recommendations. Law and Human Behavior, 34, 3-38.
 - Kassin, S. M., & Gudjonsson, G. H. (2004). The psychology of confession evidence: A review of the literature and issues. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *5*, 35-69.
 - Kassin, S. M., & Kiechel, K. L. (1996). The social psychology of false confessions: Compliance, internalization, and confabulation. Psychological Science, 7, 125-128.
 - Kassin, S. M., & McNall, K. (1991). Police interrogations and confessions: Communicating promises and threats by pragmatic implication. Law and Human Behavior, 15, 233-251.
 - Kassin, S. M., Meissner, C. A., & Norwick, R. J. (2005). "I'd know a false confession if I saw one": A comparative study of college students and police investigators. Law and Human Behavior, 29, 211-227.
 - Kassin, S. M., & Neumann, K. (1997). On the power of confession evidence: An experimental test of the "fundamental difference" hypothesis. Law and Human Behavior, 21, 469-484.
 - Kassin, S.M., & Sukel, H. (1997). Coerced confessions and the jury: An experimental test of the "harmless error" rule. Law and Human Behavior, 21, 27-46.
 - Kassin, S.M., & Wrightsman, L. S. (1980). Prior confessions and mock juror verdicts. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 10, 133-146.
 - Klaver, J., Lee, Z., & Rose, V. G. (2008). Effects of personality, interrogation techniques and plausibility in an experimental false confession paradigm. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 13, 71-88.
 - Landström, S., Roos af Hjelmsäter, E., & Granhag, P. A. (2007). The camera perspective bias: A case study. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, I, 199-208
 - Lassiter, G. D. (2002). Illusory causation in the courtroom. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 11, 204-208.
 - Lassiter, G. D. (Ed.) (2004). Interrogations, confessions, and entrapment. New York: Kluwer Academic.
 - Lassiter, G. D. (2010). Psychological science and sound public policy: Video recording of custodial interrogations. American Psychologist, 65, 768-779.
 - Lassiter, G. D., Diamond, S. S., Schmidt, H. C., & Elek, J. K. (2007). Evaluating videotaped confessions: Expertise provides no defense against the camera-perspective effect. Psychological Science, 18, 224-226.
 - Lassiter, G. D., & Geers, A. L. (2004). Bias and accuracy in the evaluation of confession evidence. In G. D. Lassiter (Ed.), Interrogations, Confessions and Entrapment (pp.197-214). New York: Kluwer/Plenum.

- Lassiter, G. D., Geers, A. L., Handley, I. M., Weiland, P. E., & Munhall, P. J., (2002).
 Videotaped confessions and interrogations: A change in camera perspective alters verdicts
 in simulated trials. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87, 867-874.
 - Lassiter, G. D., Geers, A. L., Munhall, P. J., Handley, I. M., & Beers, M. J. (2001). Videotaped confessions: Is guilt in the eye of the camera? In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*, (Vol. 33, pp. 189–254). New York: Academic Press.
 - Lassiter, G. D., & Meissner, C. A. (Eds.). (2010). *Police interrogations and false confessions:*Current research, practice, and policy recommendations. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
 - Leo, R. A. (1996c). The impact of Miranda revisited. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 86, 621-692.
 - Leo, R. A. (2008). *Police Interrogation and American Justice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
 - Leo, R. A., & Ofshe, R. J. (1998). The consequences of false confessions: Deprivations of Liberty and miscarriages of justice in the age of psychological interrogation. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology, 88,* 429-496.
 - Levine, T. R., Kim, R. K., & Blair, J. P. (2010). (In)accuracy at detecting true and false confessions and denials: An initial test of a projected motive model of veracity judgments. *Human Communication Research*, *36*, 81-101.
 - Nash, R.A., & Wade, K.A. (2009). Innocent but proven guilty: Using false video evidence to elicit false confessions and create false beliefs. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 23, 624-637.
 - Neuschatz, J. S., Lawson, D. S., Swanner, J. K., Meissner, C. A., & Neuschatz, J. S. (2008). The effects of accomplice witnesses and jailhouse informants on jury decision making. *Law and Human Behavior*, *32*, 137-149.
 - O'Connell, M. J., Garmoe, W., & Goldstein, N. E. S. (2005). Miranda comprehension in adults with mental retardation and the effects of feedback style on suggestibility. *Law and Human Behavior*, *29*, 359-369.
 - Ofshe, R. J., & Leo, R.A. (1997a). The social psychology of police interrogation: The theory and classification of true and false confessions. *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society, 16,* 189-251.
 - Ofshe, R. J., & Leo, R. A. (1997b). The decision to confess falsely: Rational choice and irrational action. *Denver University Law Review, 74,* 979-1122.
 - Perillo, J. T., & Kassin, S. M. (2011). Inside interrogation: The lie, the bluff, and false confessions. *Law and Human Behavior*, *35*, 327-337.
 - Ratcliff, J.J., Lassiter, G. D., Jager, V. M., Lindberg, M. J., Elek, J. K., & Hasinski, A. E. (2010).

 The hidden consequences of racial salience in videotaped interrogations and confessions.

 Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 16, 200-218.
 - Redlich, A. D. (2010). False confessions and false guilty pleas. In G. D. Lassiter & C. A. Meissner (Eds.), *Police Interrogations and confessions: Current research, practice and policy* recommendations (pp. 49-66). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
 - Redlich, A. D., Ghetti, S., & Quas, J. A. (2008). Perceptions of children during a police interview: A comparison of suspects and alleged victims. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 38, 705-735.
 - Redlich, A. D., & Goodman, G. S. (2003). Taking responsibility for an act not committed: Influence of age and suggestibility. *Law and Human Behavior*, *27*, 141-156.
- Redlich, A. D., Quas, J. A., & Ghetti, S. (2008). Perceptions of children during a police interview: Guilt, confessions, and interview fairness. *Psychology, Crime, and Law*.

- 264 Redlich, A. D., Silverman, M., & Steiner, H. (2003). Pre-adjudicative and adjudicative 265 competence in juveniles and young adults. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 21*, 393-266 410.
- 267 Rogers, R., Harrison, K., Hazelwood, L, & Sewell, K., (2007a). Knowing and intelligent: A study 268 of Miranda warnings in mentally disordered defendants. *Law and Human Behavior*, 269 31, 401-418.
 - Russano, M. B., Meissner, C. A., Narchet, F. M., & Kassin, S. M. (2005). Investigating true and false confessions within a novel experimental paradigm. *Psychological Science*, *16*, 481-486.
 - Scheck, B., Neufeld, P., & Dwyer, J. (2000). *Actual innocence*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
 - Singh, K., & Gudjonsson, G. (1992). Interrogative suggestibility among adolescent boys and its relationship to intelligence, memory, and cognitive set. *Journal of Adolescence*, 15, 155-161.
- 277 Slobogin, C. (2003). *Ohio State Journal of Criminal Law, 1,* 309-322.
 - Sullivan, T. P. (2004). *Police experiences with recording custodial interrogations*. Chicago: Northwestern University Law School, Center on Wrongful Convictions.
 - Swanner, J. K., Beike, D. R., & Cole, A. T. (in press). Snitching, lies and computer crashes: An experimental investigation of secondary confessions. *Law and Human Behavior*.
 - The Justice Project (2007). *Electronic recording of custodial interrogations: A policy review.*Washington, DC: The Justice Project.
 - Viljoen, J., & Roesch, R. (2005). Competence to waive interrogation rights and adjudicative competence in adolescent defendants: Cognitive development, attorney contact, and psychological symptoms. *Law and Human Behavior*, *29*, 723-742.
 - Viljoen, J., Klaver, J., & Roesch, R. (2005). Legal decisions of preadolescent and adolescent defendants: Predictors of confessions, pleas, communication with attorneys, and appeals. Law and Human Behavior, 29, 253-277.
- Wall, S., & Furlong, J. (1985). Comprehension of Miranda rights by urban adolescents with law related education. *Psychological Reports*, *56*, 359-372.
- White, W. S. (2001). *Miranda's waning protections: Police interrogation practices after Dickerson*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

278

279

280

281

282

283284

285

286

287

288

289

APA Division 41 (American Psychology-Law Society) developed a comprehensive "white paper" on false confessions that was published in *Law and Human Behavior* (2010) 34:3-38. Much of the research cited in that white paper was cited in APA *amicus* briefs addressing issues regarding false confessions filed in five cases to date (i.e., *Floyd v. Cain, Michigan v. Kowalski, Rivera v. Illinois, Warney v. New York,* and *Wright v. Pennsylvania*). In light of the substantial research, APA General Counsel suggested that Division 41 develop a proposed resolution since an APA policy in this regard can have an impact in some legal venues. In response to General Counsel's suggestion, New Business Item #27A: *Proposed Resolution on Interrogations of Criminal Suspects* (Exhibit 1) was introduced at Council's August 2011 meeting. The movers of the item were Randy Otto and Bill Foote representing APA Division 41. The proposed resolution draws attention to the problem of false confessions and wrongful convictions and includes recommendations for practices that can be employed to reduce the frequency of false confessions and wrongful convictions. If adopted as APA policy, the resolution could be distributed to and cited by policy makers wishing to make changes in relevant processes and systems.

The Committee on Legal Issues (COLI) was assigned as the lead group and the Board for the Advancement of Psychology in the Public Interest (BAPPI) as a referral group for review of this item. During COLI's fall 2011 meeting, the Committee noted that there is a well-established research base on

false confessions and wrongful convictions. COLI considered the proposed resolution to be well written, with the purpose and rationales for the proposed resolution clearly stated and addressing an issue of particular concern to psychology. COLI did, however, express some concern that the recommendations presented in the main motion (i.e., a few of the "therefore" clauses) did not naturally flow from the research and considered these clauses to be too prescriptive. As a result, COLI recommended a few minor changes to these clauses to make the statements less prescriptive while still providing the psychological science and guidance for practices that can be employed to reduce the frequency of false confessions and wrongful convictions.

COLI convened a joint meeting with BAPPI, to address the new business item during the fall 2011 APA Consolidated meetings, and COLI presented the main motion along with a COLI-proposed substitute motion to BAPPI for consideration. There was COLI/BAPPI consensus to approve a recommendation that Council adopt the COLI-proposed substitute motion as APA policy.

The COLI/BAPPI revised motion, with minor changes, was provided to the movers of the new business item for review. In mid-March 2012, the Division 41 Executive Committee, with the assistance of expert Saul Kassin, reviewed the changes proposed by COLI and BAPPI. The Division expressed just two concerns, as follows: 1. There was concern that the COLI/BAPPI recommendation, that the text be changed to suggest that interrogations of ALL suspects (including those accused of either misdemeanors or felonies) be recorded, might alienate law enforcement professionals and result in them discounting the document given the sheer number of misdemeanor arrests. Thus, they proposed returning the language to its previous form so that it is recommended that only interrogations of felony suspects be recorded. 2. The second concern was that existing language in one of the final sections (that was in the original main motion and not edited by COLI and BAPPI) could be read as suggesting that law enforcement officers get training about the risk of false confessions from persons who are at risk from falsely confessing. Therefore, the sentence was restructured for clarification. COLI agreed with the rationales presented for these two amendments.

The remaining referral group, BAPPI, and all other APA boards and committee received this item, along with the revised substitute motion (including rationales for amendment) for review and comment at the March 31-April 1, 2012 Consolidated meetings. Exhibit 2 presents a Comments Chart including all commentary received from governance groups after that meeting.

All comments from APA governance groups submitted subsequent to the spring 2012 meetings were provided to the movers of the new business item. The movers of the motion planned to work with the APA Division 41 Executive Committee to address the proposals for minor amendment submitted by a few governance groups. However, further review and revision on behalf of Division 41 was delayed. During summer 2013, David DeMatteo, JD, PhD, Division 41 Council Representative, took over the role of facilitating final Division 41 review and approval. Minor amendments were made to the proposed resolution to address the issue of disability with more specificity and also to identify other factors that might go towards making persons undergoing interrogation more vulnerable to false confessions which responded to issues raised by CDIP, CCYF, and COPA.

COLI, during its Spring 2014 meeting, revised the substitute motion as revised by Division 41 and agreed that issues raised by CDIP, CCYF, and COPA were adequately addressed. In this regard, there was COLI consensus that the substitute motion be approved and recommended for adoption as APA policy.

Additionally, the Board of Directors, during its June 2014 meeting, took action to recommend that Council adopt as APA policy the proposed Resolution on Interrogation of Criminal Suspects as provided in the substitute motion.

For reference, Exhibit 3 presents the substitute motion compared to the original main motion.

EXHIBITS

- 1. Council New Business Item #27A: Proposed Resolution on Interrogations of Criminal Suspects (August 2011)
- 2. Comments Chart with Responses to APA Governance Comments from the Spring 2012 Review
- 3. Substitute Motion compared to Main Motion

Donna J. Beavers Director, Law/Psychology Coordination Office of General Counsel